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#### Abstract

ABBREVIATIONS LIST
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PART 1: Epigenetics

## 1 Introduction (1)

### 1.1 Epigenetics.

The first scientific definition of epigenetics can be attributed to Conrad Waddington. In 1942, he defined epigenetics as "the branch of biology which studies the casual interactions between genes and their products, which bring the phenotype into being". ${ }^{1}$ We can better define epigenetics as the study of meiotically/mitotically heritable and potentially stable changes in gene expression that are not caused by alteration of the DNA sequence. ${ }^{2}$ Thus, we can look at epigenetics as the bridge between the genotype and the phenotype, the factor that, according to the Waddington's epigenetic landscape, drives what potentially can take several different ways, into a defined path. In these regards, epigenetics can explain how in a complex multicellular organism, even though all the cells have the same genome, they can stably differentiate and generate populations of cell with different identities.

To date, there are at least five different events known to contribute to the epigenetic regulation of gene expression. These events include: DNA methylation, histone tails post-translational modifications (PTMs), interchange between histone variants, non-coding RNAs, and nucleosome/chromatin remodeling. ${ }^{3,4}$


Figure 1.1. The crystal structure of the nucleosome core particle consisting of H2A, H2B, H3 and H4 core histones, and DNA. The view in this picture is from the top through the superhelical axis.

The nucleosome is defined as the fundamental unit of chromatin in eukaryotes. The nucleosome is composed by an octamer containing two copies of each of the four core histones $(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~B}$, H3, and H4), around which are wrapped 147 DNA base pairs in 1.75 superhelical turns (Figure 1.1). ${ }^{5}$ The close interaction between DNA and histones in the nucleosome clearly explains how the latter can have a strong influence on DNA functions. Nucleosomes are highly dynamic. They can change their position sliding on the DNA, ${ }^{6,7}$ they can partially disassemble, ${ }^{8}$ and their
components can be replaced by histone variants. ${ }^{9}$ One could think that the nucleosome, and histones, have a mere physical role, hiding certain DNA regions from transcription factors, or influencing polymerase progression. However, this is not the sole effect related to the nucleosome-DNA interaction. In fact, the histone tails, protruding from the octamer, are object of numerous PTMs. ${ }^{10}$

Aberrant epigenetic mechanisms can drive to genetic mutations, and mutations in the epigenetic regulators cause alterations in the epigenome, leading to inappropriate genes, or signalling pathways activation or inhibition, resulting in a disease state. ${ }^{11,12}$ Interestingly, differently from genetic mutations, epigenetic aberrations are potentially reversible, and can be reverted to their normal state. These evidences encouraged the rise of epigenetic regulators as druggable targets in several disorders.

### 1.2 Writers, readers and erasers: the complex interplay of epigenetic players and the epigenetic code.

As previously mentioned, DNA methylation, histone tails post-translational modifications (PTMs), interchange between histone variants, non-coding RNAs, and nucleosome/chromatin remodeling, all contribute to define the epigenome of a single cell. Interestingly, none of the epigenetic marks is irreversible, and each of them can communicate a certain information, thus influencing the establishment of other marks, generating what is commonly known as epigenetic crosstalk. Practically, this is realized by the activity of the epigenetic players, that can be divided in writers, readers and erasers. We can define as writers all those enzymes able to impose an epigenetic mark on the chromatin. The erasers are those who remove the mark imposed by the writers, thus being responsible of the reversibility of the epigenetic modifications. The readers, finally, are those proteins or domains able to read the epigenetic code contained on the chromatin and convert it into an information. The interplay between writers, readers and erasers is quite complex, and all the epigenetic modifications are strictly related. An epigenetic mark can thus inhibit or induce the imposition of a second mark, or it can be read and activate secondary processes.
Even though much has been explored to date, there is still a lot to disclose in order to give an exhaustive picture of the epigenetic landscape.

### 1.3 The role of covalent histone modifications and chromatin remodeling.

Specific enzymes have been identified for acetylation, ${ }^{13}$ methylation, ${ }^{14}$ phosphorylation, ${ }^{15}$ ubiquitination, ${ }^{16}$ sumoylation, ${ }^{17} \mathrm{ADP}$-ribosylation, ${ }^{18}$ deamination, ${ }^{19}$ and proline isomerization. ${ }^{20}$ Histone tails PTMs contribute to define a closed (heterochromatin) or opened state of the chromatin (euchromatin), ${ }^{21,22}$ and they generate a code able to recruit specific binding proteins which would, in turn, have an effect on chromatin structure and functions (Figure 1.2). ${ }^{23,24}$


Figure 1.2. Euchromatin (transcriptional activation), and heterochromatin (transcriptional repression) and epigenetic control.

Additionally, chromatin remodelers contribute to positioning and restructuring nucleosomes. ${ }^{6,25-}$ ${ }^{31}$ Interestingly, chromatin remodelers respond to cell stresses, and can be activated on demand to restore chromatin landscape. ${ }^{31-33}$ The distribution of chromatin remodelers is finely regulated in vivo, and they have both distinct and redundant functionality. ${ }^{27,31,34}$ Recently, Lai et al. reviewed nucleosome dynamics and their effects on gene expression and DNA replication. ${ }^{35}$ In this review, they underline how the study of nucleosome dynamics should be regarded as the study of genome regulation. The DNA accessibility of various proteins and their complexes is regulated by the interplay between nucleosome occupancy and positioning, affecting thus gene expression programs. A number of different regulatory factors operate in an independent or concerted manner to ensure that a certain organization and composition of nucleosome is maintained at specific genomic loci. The authors suggest that such dynamic and complex organization may be useful to enable the genome to adapt and respond to external stimuli, as well as to recover from chromatin-disruptive activities (such as replication and transcription). ${ }^{35}$

### 1.4 Histone methylation.

One of the main PTMs occurring on histones is the methylation at the $\varepsilon$-amino group of lysine residues, or at the terminal guanidine group of arginines. The first evidence of protein lysine methylation was on a flagellin from Salmonella typhimurium, ${ }^{36}$ while histone methylation was discovered only some years later. ${ }^{37}$ Initially, protein methylation was believed to be irreversible. However, in 2004 after the discovery of the first demethylase (LSD1), ${ }^{38}$ this process was regarded as reversible and dynamic, involved in the regulation of a variety of events. ${ }^{39}$ Considering that methylation does not change the charge of lysine or arginine residue, it seems that its effect on chromatin structure is indirect. However, each methyl mark should be regarded as a signal that can be recognized by specific reader domains and lead to downstream effects.
Histone methyltransferases, able to cathalyse the methylation of specific aminoacids transferring activated methyl groups from the SAM co-substrate to the target residue, can be divided in two major types: lysine-specific (KMTs) and arginine-specific methyltransferases (PRMTs). The
lysine specific methyltransferases are the largest class, including 51 members. ${ }^{4}$ With the sole exception of DOT1L, all the KMTs are SET domain containing proteins. The SET domain itself contains the catalytic core. DOT1L contains a different catalytic domain, and for structure and sequence similarity is closer to PRMTs than KMTs. ${ }^{4}$ Nevertheless, all the KMTs have a similar catalytic mechanism, promoting the transfer of a methyl group from $S$-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) to the $\varepsilon$-amino group of lysine. ${ }^{40}$ Much of what we know about lysine methylation derives from the study of chromatin and histone methylation, so that the enzymes catalyzing these reactions were first named histone lysine methyltransferases (HKMTs) and histone lysine demethylases (HKDMs), respectively. ${ }^{41,42}$ Subsequently, together with the growing body of evidence reporting that these enzymes have non-histone substrates, they were renamed as lysine methyltransferases (KMTs) and demethylases (KDMs). Lysine methylation can occur at three different levels (mono-, di-, and tri-methylation), having different outcomes depending on the differently recruited binding proteins (readers) able to recognize this mark. In contrast to acetylation, regarded exclusively as a gene activating PTM, histone lysine methylation can be associated both with gene activation or silencing, depending on the digree and location of the methylated residue. For example, di- and tri-methylation of H3K9, H3K27, and H4K20 are generally associated with gene silencing, whereas $\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~K} 4, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~K} 36$, or H3K79 methylation is a mark of gene expression. ${ }^{41,43,44}$

Protein arginine methyltransferases can be divided in three classes:

- Type I PRMTs (PRMT1, PRMT2, PRMT3, PRMT4, PRMT6, and PRMT8), are able to produce monomethyl arginine (MMA) and asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA) (dimethylation of one of the terminal guanidino nitrogens);
- Type II PRMTs (PRMT5 and PRMT9), able to produce MMA and symmetric dimethylarginine (SDMA) (monomethylation of both terminal guanidino nitrogens);
- Type III PRMT or PRMT7, is the only known PRMT able to produce only MMA. ${ }^{45}$

As lysine methylation, also arginine histone methylation can favour or prevent the interaction of nuclear factors with other nearby histone marks. ${ }^{46,47}$ Arginine methylation can influence histone function either impairing the ability of arginine to form hydrogen bonds, ${ }^{48}$ or recruiting specific reader-domain containing proteins. ${ }^{49}$ Generally, histone SDMA is associated with transcriptional repression, while histone ADMA is related to gene expression. ${ }^{50}$ Commonly methylated arginine residues include: H2AR3, H4R3, H3R8, and H3R2. ${ }^{51}$

In our research, we focused our attention on the lysine methyltransferase EZH2 and on the PRC2 complex.

### 1.5 The PRC2 complex.

First identified in the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster as key repressive regulators of developmental genes (e.g. homebox $H O X$ genes), ${ }^{52}$ the polycomb group ( PcG ) proteins were later
proved to be highly evolutionary conserved, from unicellular organisms to humans. ${ }^{53} \mathrm{PcG}$ proteins, assembled in multiprotein polycomb repressive complexes (PRCs), control gene silencing mainly, but not only, through histone post-translational modifications (PTMs). Two main polycomb group complexes are described in mammals: polycomb repressive complex 1 ( PRC 1 ) and 2 ( PRC 2 ). Additionally, have been identified also the Phorepressive complex (PhoRC) and the Polycomb Repressive Deubiquitinase (PR-DUB). ${ }^{54-56}$ The PRCs possess histone modifying activities. PRC1 monoubiquitinates lysine 119 of histone $2 \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A})$, and can compact chromatin by binding to nucleosomes, while PRC2 catalyzes up to tri-methylation of lysine 27 of the histone 3 (H3K27), a repressive chromatin mark. ${ }^{56-58}$ Interestingly, PRC1 has been shown to recognize and bind H 3 K 27 me 3 , and PRC 2 is able to recognize H 3 K 27 me 3 as well as H2AK119ub1. ${ }^{59-62}$ In this way PRC1 and PRC2, being recruited at specific genomic loci, can cooperate in silencing gene expression. ${ }^{63}$
The minimal PRC2 core complex, endowed with a catalytic activity, include the following subunits: Enhancer of Zeste Homologue 1 (EZH1) or Enhancer of Zeste Homologue 2 (EZH2), Suppressor of Zeste 12 (SUZ12), and Embryonic Ectoderm Development (EED). Additional protein subunits such as Retinoblastoma Associated protein 47 or 48 ( $\mathrm{RbAp} 46 / 48$, also named RBBP4/7), Adipocyte Enhancer-Binding Protein 2 (AEBP2), Polycomb-like proteins (PCLs), and Jumonji and AT-Rich Interaction Domain 2 (JARID2) have been reported to play a role in the PRC2, modulating its activity in different contexts. ${ }^{64}$ Many of these non-core subunits contain DNA or histone binding domains and can favor the recruitment of PRC2 to certain regions, and here promote H3K27 methylation. ${ }^{63,65-67}$ Since its discovery, there has been an intense research activity in trying to elucidate the PRC2 structure, in order to better explain its functions. Even though structural and biochemical data on individual subunits started to be available around 2007, the first structural study on the PRC2 complex was published only in 2012, when Ciferri et al. reported the first low resolution electron microscopy (EM) structure of the PRC2 complex bound to AEBP2. ${ }^{68}$ Here, we will give an overview of the structure and functions of the diverse subunits, as well as of the PRC2. An updated review about PRC2 structure and functions has been recently published by Moritz and Trievel. ${ }^{67}$

Not much is known about SUZ12. SUZ12 may be involved in chromatin silencing in association with the ncRNA HOTAIR, has suggested by the studies of Rinn et al.. ${ }^{69}$ The C-terminus of SUZ12 contains a Zinc-finger motif, that seems to be involved in ncRNA binding.

Much more is known about EED structure and functions. EED folds into a WD-repeat structure, containing seven copies of the WD-repeat motif forming the seven-bladed propeller structure. WD-domains act as scaffolding elements, thus they can bind many proteins and have different functions. The primary sequence of EED shares only $18 \%$ identity with other WD-repeat proteins, nevertheless, structural comparisons show a good level of conservation of the WDrepeat domain. ${ }^{70}$ In 2007, Han et al. first identified a minimal 30-residue (aa 39-68) motif of

EZH2 essential for EED binding, named as EED-binding-domain (EDB). In the same work, they also reported the crystal structure of mouse EED bound with an EDB peptide. The crystal structure showed that the EDB binds to the bottom of the WD-repeat domain. Interestingly, in this work, they demonstrated that the key residues for EZH-EED binding are conserved not only EZH2 and EZH1, but also in the Drosophila homolog. Additionally, they have demonstrated how mutations in critical interaction residues, both in EED or EZH2, totally abolish the interaction. ${ }^{70}$ Following studies by Margueron et al. further proved and defined the essential role of EED. ${ }^{71}$ They found that EED binds preferentially histone methylated residues associated with gene silencing, and after crystal structure resolution, they were also able to explain the molecular basis of this selective recognition. Through kinetic studies, they also showed that EED binding to H3K27me3, but not to H3K27me1 or H3K27me2, stimulated PRC2 catalytic activity, thus proving the function of EED as a promoter of the propagation of repressive histone marks. ${ }^{71}$

### 1.5.1 PRC2 catalytic core (EZH1 and EZH2), and the importance of the complex.

The lysine methyltransferases EZH1 or EZH2 constitute the catalytic subunit of the PRC2 complex. They contain the conserved catalytic SET domain found in many other KMTs, and they both use $S$-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) as a co-substrate. Even though EZH1 and EZH2 possess a high sequence similarity, with $76 \%$ overall identity and $96 \%$ sequence identity in their catalytic SET domains, they exhibit different catalytic efficiencies, distinct chromatin binding properties, and expression patterns. EZH1 is expressed both in dividing and non-proliferative differentiated/adult cells, while EZH2 is only active in dividing cells. ${ }^{64}$ Besides that, EZH1containing PRC2 (PRC2-EZH1) displays lower methyltransferase activity than PRC2-EZH2. ${ }^{72}$ Several pieces of evidence suggest that PRC2-EZH2 should establish cellular H3K27me1/2/3 levels, while PRC2-EZH1 is involved in restoring H3K27me $1 / 2 / 3$ that could have been lost upon histone exchange or through demethylase activity. ${ }^{72}$ By themselves, EZH1 and EZH2 are not able to catalyze H 3 K 27 methylation, but they require the co-presence of at least two other protein subunits in the complex: EED and SUZ12. ${ }^{73-75}$ EZH2 has been proved to have also PRC2independent functions, being involved in the methylation of a number of non-histone substrates, such as the transcription factors GATA4 and PLZF. 76,77 The non PRC2 activity of EZH2 could drive to transcriptional activation, rather than repression. ${ }^{78} \mathrm{As}$ all the other epigenetic marks, also H3K27 methylation is reversible due to the activity of the histone demethylases UTX and JMJD3. ${ }^{79-83}$

### 1.5.2 EZH2 SET domain structure

The crystal structure of the EZH2 SET-containing catalytic domain was resolved independently by two different groups in 2013. ${ }^{84,85}$ The crystal structure shows that the catalytic domain is typically folded as a SET domain methyltransferase in absence of binding partners. It contains two zinc binding domains at the N -terminal, each of them binding three zinc ions. The C-terminal
region is composed by the SET domain, with an inserted SET (iSET) motif and a C-terminal SET (cSET) motif following the SET domain. Remarkably, the isolated EZH2 SET-domain, differently from the isolated SET domains of many other methyltransferases, adopts a conformation that does not allow the binding of the co-substrate SAM and of the substrate (autoinhibited), being thus unable to methylate its H3K27 substrate in the absence of protein interaction partners, EED and SUZ12. The authors proposed that the isolated SET domain acquires an auto-inhibited conformation, and the interactions with N -terminal regions of full length EZH2 and/or other PRC2 subunits induce conformational changes defining an active cofactor binding site. ${ }^{85}$

### 1.5.3 PRC2 crystal structure

In 2015, Jiao and Liu reported the first crystal structure of an active PRC2 complex ( 170 kDa ) from the yeast Chaetomium thermophilum (CtPRC2). ${ }^{86}$ In this work, they show the structure of the PRC2 complex both in a basal and stimulated state. Interestingly, comparing the two structures, they noticed the presence of a mobile EZH2 motif being allosterically modulated and regulating active site accessibility. ${ }^{86}$ Justin et al., in 2016, solved the crystal structure of the stimulated state of a human PRC2 complex (HsPRC2), containing EZH2 and EED polypeptides with the Vefs fragment of the SUZ12 subunit, together with a SAH cofactor, and the two peptides JARID2-K116me3 peptide (a high affinity activator), and H3K27M peptide (based on the oncogenic histone H3 mutation, binding and inhibiting the SET domain) (Figure 1.3A). ${ }^{87,88}$ The reported complex is arranged to form a trilobated tri-dimensional structure, where the first two lobes have regulatory functions, and the third is involved in catalysis. The so-called N lobe is composed by EED and the N-terminal region of EZH2. The middle lobe includes SUZ12 Vefs and the MCSS and SANT2 domains of EZH2. Finally, the catalytic lobe comprises the pre-SET and SET domains of EZH2, and the N-terminus of the Vefs domain. A superimposition of the CtPRC 2 and HsPRC 2 revealed a surprising evolutionary conservation of the core complex, even though there are evident differences between the two crystal structures (Figure 1.3B). Additionally, the key interactions for the allosteric activation of the SET domain have been highlighted comparing the structures of the isolated SET domain and the HsPRC2 (Figure 1.3C). The most important difference resides in the cSET motif organisation. In fact, in the isolated SET domain, this motif is mostly disordered. Partially occupying the substrate binding pocket, it contributes to the auto-inhibited state. Conversely, in HsPRC2 the cSET motif is flipped out of the substrate cleft, thus being available for catalysis. More detailed information about the HsPRC2 crystal structure, as well as a deep investigation on the oncogenic inhibition of H3K27M, and on enhancement of the catalytic efficiency induced by binding of repressive marks, such as H3K27me3, to the EED subunit are also given in these papers. ${ }^{87,88}$


Figure 1.3. Structure and regulation of the HsPRC2 core complex. A. HsPRC2 in complex with JARID2K116me3 and H3K27M peptides and SAH (PDB: 5HYN). Proteins, domains, and motifs color code is included Peptides and SAH are rendered as sticks, and Zn ions are depicted as spheres. B. Superimposition of the stimulated CtPRC2 complex (gray) and the stimulated human PRC2 complex (PDB codes 5 KJH and 5 HYN ). C. Structural alignment of the isolated SET domain of EZH2 (gray) and the SAL, SRM, iSET, cSET, and SET domains of EZH2 in the HsPRC2 stimulated complex (PDB codes 4MI0 and 5HYN). ${ }^{67}$

### 1.5.4 PRC2 interactome and target genes

The Polycomb complex is involved in the regulation of several different functions in addition to the $H O X$ gene silencing, including X-chromosome inactivation, germline development, cell fate decision, cell cycle regulation, senescence, stem cell pluripotency and cancer metastasis. ${ }^{64,89}$ In humans, the self-renewal ability of embryonic and tissue-specific stem cells is maintained by the PRC2 activity, and its dynamic regulation is critical for proper development and differentiation. ${ }^{90}$ EZH2 has been reported to be downstream of the cell cycle-regulatory retinoblastoma-E2F pathway, being thus implied in the expression of proliferative genes and for E2F-driven proliferation. ${ }^{91}$ The PRC2 complex is also involved in silencing of the Ink4a/Arf tumor suppressor locus, and EZH2 has been reported to be involved in silencing of E-cadherin, FOXC1 and DNA-damage repair pathways, thus promoting oncogenesis (Figure 4). ${ }^{92-95}$ Several molecular mechanisms can modulate PRC2 activity with a tissue specific trend. First of all, the transcription of the different PRC2 subunits can be positively or negatively regulated by different proteins (e.g.

E2F, c-Myc) ${ }^{96-98}$ and transcription factors (e.g. pRb, p16). ${ }^{91}$ Furthermore, EZH2 is posttranscriptionally regulated by microRNAs (miR101, miR29, miR2014) ${ }^{99}$ and can be a substrate of different kinases. ${ }^{100}$ Finally, the PRC2 complex can be specifically recruited by certain factors as Twistl or n-Myc (Figure 1.4). ${ }^{101,102}$


Figure 1.4. A. An overview on PRC2 interactome; B. Effects of EZH2 inhibition or PRC2 disruption. ${ }^{103}$

### 1.5.5 Relevance of EZH2 in cancer

The wide biological role of EZH2 and, specially, its deep involvement in the regulation of cell cycle progression, as well as its pivotal role in several cellular pathways well explains why its dysfunction is associated with several solid or hematological cancers. Several literature example show its dysregulation in cancers, as well as its involvement in stem cell maintenance and tumor development. ${ }^{78,104,105} \mathrm{EZH} 2$ overexpression has been related to an increase in H3K27me3, involved in the repression of tumor suppressor genes, ${ }^{106,107}$ as well as to the establishment of specific methylation patterns driving a global epigenetic reprogramming. ${ }^{108}$ EZH2 overexpression in cancer was reported for the first time in prostate ${ }^{109}$ and breast ${ }^{110}$ cancers. Its overexpression in prostate cancer was associated with aggressiveness and metastasis, ${ }^{109}$ similarly in endometrial, melanoma and bladder cancer it correlated with invasiveness and poor outcome. ${ }^{91,109,111-113}$ Moreover, EZH2 ectopic expression in primary cells war correlated to proliferative advantage. ${ }^{91}$ However, it was found that the sole EZH2 overexpression is not sufficient to cause leukemia, but can prevent hematopoietic stem cells exhaustion. ${ }^{114}$ Additionally, EZH2 knock-in leads to the development of myeloproliferative disorders in mice. ${ }^{115}$ Tanaka et al. found that EZH2 knock-out in the MLL-AF9 AML mouse model slowed leukemia progression in vivo, and compromised leukemia cell proliferation in vitro. ${ }^{116}$ Conversely, a recent work by Vo et al. showed that, in a mouse model of Myc-driven group 3 medulloblastoma, EZH2 inactivation accelerated tumor initiation and progression. In particular they found that EZH2 normally represses Gfi1 proto-oncogene expression, but in case of EZH2 inactivation Gfi 1 is
overexpressed and collaborates with Myc, inducing tumor development. ${ }^{117}$ Even though EZH2 is involved in regulating the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), its role in this process is still debated. On the one hand, in laryngeal squamous cells carcinoma (LSCC), EZH2 is described to promote EMT through down-regulation of E-cadherin $\left(\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right.$ dependent cell adhesion molecule E, Figure 1.4), and up-regulation of H 3 K 27 me 3 in vitro and in vivo, ${ }^{118}$ on the other hand it was recently reported to maintain an epithelial phenotype in ovarian cancer cells. ${ }^{119}$ These evidences could also suggest a different role of EZH2 depending on the cell/cancer type. Recently, EZH2 was described as key regulator of multiple myeloma development through the regulation of different factors, including the oncogenes IRF-4, XBP-1, PRDM1/BLIMP-1 (also known as immune response regulators), ${ }^{120-123}$ and $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{Myc}$, and the tumor suppressor microRNAs miR-125a3p and miR-320c (Figure 1.4). ${ }^{124}$

As previously mentioned, EZH2 has PRC2 independent functions, but also non-canonical functions, whose mechanism is not yet fully understood. However, also these unconventional activities seem to play a role in cancers. For example, in natural killer/T-cell lymphoma EZH2 acts as transcriptional activator. Its activity is methylation-independent, based on binding and activation of the CCND1 promoter. ${ }^{125}$ Some examples of non-histone targets methylation in cancers are given by androgen receptor in prostate cancer, ${ }^{126}$ and STAT3 in glioblastoma. ${ }^{127}$

### 1.5.6 EZH2 mutations (gain or loss of function)

Activating or inactivating somatic EZH2 mutations and deletions have been found in $22 \%$ of germinal-center diffuse large B-cell lymphomas, $7 \%$ of follicular lymphomas, and $12-23 \%$ of patients having myelodysplastic and myeloproliferative disorders. These mutations have been found within the SET domain, and include Y641F, Y641N, Y641S, Y641H, Y641C ${ }^{128}$, A677G, ${ }^{129}$ and A687V. ${ }^{130}$ The Y641 mutations were originally reported to inactivate the catalytic activity of the PRC2 complex in vitro, which was in contrast with the hyper-methylated state reported in EZH2-mutated cancers. Further studies demonstrated that wild-type (WT) EZH2 preferentially mono-methylates H3K27, whereas the Y641 mutants display increased efficiency for H3K27 diand tri-methylation. In fact, all the reported Y641 mutations are heterozygous, thus the mutant allele is always associated with a WT allele. Hence, Y641 mutant can cooperate with WT EZH2 to increase H3K27 methylation, reproducing a condition similar to EZH2 overexpression. ${ }^{131}$ In Tcell acute lymphoblastic leukemia, myeloproliferative disorders, and myeloid malignancies, have been recorded a number of missense, nonsense and frameshift EZH2 heterozygous or homozygous mutations. ${ }^{132-134}$ These mutations have been associated with loss of methyltransferase activity, leading in particular cases to an enhancement of NOTCH1 or RUNX1 oncogenic signaling. These evidences suggest that also loss of EZH2 can contribute to tumor development. Even though there are a number of data about EZH2 mutations in hematological cancers, not much have been investigated about such mutations in solid cancers. In conclusion,
considering that both gain and loss of function EZH2 mutations have been reported in cancers, we could conclude that EZH2 behaves in turn as an oncogene or as an onco-suppressor, based on the context. However, in each situation a balanced EZH2 activity is required to keep homeostasis.

### 1.5.7 EZH2 in cancer and non-cancer cell stemness

The role of EZH2 in maintaining the self-renewal potential in adult and embryonic stem cells (ESC) has been pointed out by numerous studies. Already in 2006, it has been reported that PRC2 was able to maintain pluripotency in ESCs by targeting and repressing a special set of developmental genes (including OCT4, SOX2, NANOG, Figure 1.4), whose expression would promote differentiation. ${ }^{90,135}$ Both the PRCs are involved in a temporal and spatial control of epigenetic modifications in stem cells, repressing undesirable differentiation programs, while stepwise and selectively establishing a specific terminal differentiation program. ${ }^{136} \mathrm{~A}$ recent study by Shan et al. provided new insights in the role played by EZH2/PRC2 in cell fate decision in ESC. ${ }^{137}$ Interestingly, they have shown that PRC2 is differently required for maintaining pluripotency in ESC, being essential for the primed state ESC, but dispensable in naïve state cells. A direct correlation between ESC and poorly differentiated cancer cells has been established by a shared gene expression signature of PRC2 target genes. ${ }^{138}$ Accordingly, EZH2 was found to be essential for the cancer stem cell formation and also for the expansion of an aggressive cancer stem cell population that promotes cancer progression. ${ }^{94}$ In the light of the aforementioned findings, it is evident that EZH2 is primarily regarded as a target in cancer. However, EZH2 activity is also affecting hematopoietic stem cell proliferation and differentiation, thymopoiesis and lymphopoiesis. ${ }^{139}$ Several studies also assessed EZH2 as regulator of T-cell differentiation and plasticity, as well as of the development of autoimmune diseases, and graft-versus-host disease (GVHD). These evidences suggest that modulation of histone methylation may have significant implications in the development of novel therapeutic strategies against GVHD or other T cell-mediated inflammatory disorders. These aspects have been recently well reviewed by Karantanos et al.. ${ }^{139}$

The capability of EZH2 to maintain the multipotent identity has been proved also in non-cancer adult stem cells, including muscle cell precursors (myoblasts) ${ }^{140}$ and neural stem cells (NSCs). ${ }^{141}$ EZH2 overexpression in NSCs results in increasing oligodendrocytes and decreasing astrocytes differentiation. ${ }^{141}$ More recently, EZH2 has been reported to be essential for astroglial differentiation in adult mice by inhibition of Ink4a (p16)/Arf (p19) and Olig2 (Figure 1.4). ${ }^{142,143}$ In contrast, EZH2 knock-out during mice cerebral development resulted in reduction of H3K27me3 level in cortical progenitor cells, and led to differentiation directly (into neurons), as well as indirectly (in the cerebral cortex). ${ }^{143}$ Aberrant EZH2 expression has been found also in muscular disorders. For instance, in Duchenne muscular dystrophy increased amount of TNF- $\alpha$
from myotubes inhibited the regenerative potential of satellite cells via epigenetic silencing of the Notch-1 signaling, by NF-кB-stimulated recruitment of EZH2 and DNMT3b on Notch1 gene promoter. ${ }^{144}$ It has been shown that Pax7 activation and satellite cells proliferation is promoted by pharmacological inhibition or genetic knockdown of either p38a kinase or EZH2, with subsequent muscles regeneration in dystrophic or normal mice. ${ }^{145}$ Proliferation of $\beta$-cells in pancreatic islets plays a pivotal role in self-renewal and in adaptive islet expansion. In this context, EZH2 has been described to repress Ink4alArf in $\beta$-cells. ${ }^{146}$ EZH2 induced Ink4a repression in young adult mice was sufficient to increase replication and regeneration of $\beta$ cells. ${ }^{147}$ Additionally, EZH2 was found involved in osteogenesis and adipogenesis. Human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) can be activated for osteogenesis, after EZH2 inactivation by cyclin-dependent kinase 1-mediated phosphorylation. ${ }^{148}$ Dissociation of EZH2 from the promoter region of myocyte enhancer factor-2-interacting transcriptional repressor (MITR) gene resulted in its upregulation and association with PPAR $\gamma 2$, impairing its activity and preventing adipogenesis, while enhancing osteogenic differentiation from hMSCs. ${ }^{149}$ In contrast, EZH2 was able to induce adipogenesis by disrupting the Wnt/ $\beta$-catenin signaling through direct binding to the promoters of Wnt genes to repress their expression. ${ }^{150}$

### 1.5.8 PRC2 as a druggable target

In the light of these findings, EZH2 has been considered an attractive target for cancer therapy. In time, numerous research groups have developed catalytic SAM-competitive inhibitors of EZH2. After crystal structure resolution, it was also possible to better understand the structural elements required for EZH2 inhibition. However, for different reasons (selectivity, inhibition of PRC2 scaffolding activity, resistance development) researchers started to look also for PRC2 disruptors, thus protein-protein interaction inhibitors able to impair the PRC2-dependent activity of EZH2.

### 1.5.8.1 EZH2 inhibitors

An overview of the most widely reported EZH2 inhibitors is given in Figure 1.5. Recently, a broader view of patented EZH2 inhibitors have been given in two different reviews. ${ }^{103,151}$

The carbocyclic adenosine analog 3-deazaneplanocin (DZNep, 1, Figure 1.5), a derivative of the natural antibiotic neplanocin-A, has been one of the first small molecules to be tested as EZH2 inhibitor. ${ }^{152}$ By mechanism, DZNep is an $S$-adenosyl-L-homocysteine hydrolase inhibitor, blocking the methionine cycle and SAM regeneration, thus affecting all SAM-dependent processes. DZNep is thus an indirect and unselective inhibitor. ${ }^{153}$ Despite that, it is currently under preclinical investigation for its relevant antitumor activity in various cancer types, associated with PRC2 inhibition and removal of H3K27me3 marks. ${ }^{154}$ The poor PK and toxicological profile of DZNep ${ }^{155}$ encouraged the development of novel, potent and selective inhibitors of EZH2. High-throughput biochemical screenings led to the development of SAM-
competitive catalytic EZH2 inhibitors, many of them containing a dimethylpyridone moiety. In 2012 Epizyme, Inc., USA reported EPZ005687 (2, Figure 1.5) as a potent, selective and SAMcompetitive small-molecule inhibitor of EZH2 with a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ of $24 \mathrm{nM} .{ }^{156}$ Treatment with $\mathbf{2}$ in EZH2WT, and Y641- or A677-mutant lymphoma cells, as well as in other cancer cell lines, including breast and prostate cancers, resulted in dose-dependent ablation of H3K27 methylation. Simultaneously, Glaxo Smith Kline (GSK), USA, via a high-throughput biochemical screening, followed by an extensive medicinal chemistry optimization, disclosed GSK126 (3, Figure 1.5), able to potently ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}^{\text {app }}$ of $0.5-3 \mathrm{nM}$ ) and selectively inhibit WT and mutant EZH2. GSK126 markedly inhibits the growth of EZH2 mutant diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in xenograft mice, ${ }^{129}$ and is currently being under evaluation in phase I clinical trials against various types of lymphoma. ${ }^{157,158}$ GSK343 (4, Figure 1.5) is another potent EZH2 inhibitor which, differently from GSK126, contains an indazole nucleus instead of an indole. ${ }^{159}$ EI1 (5, Figure 1.5),a SAMcompetitive inhibitor, is effective against WT and mutant EZH2, and displays > 10,000-fold selectivity for EZH2 over other methyltransferases, and 90 -fold selectivity over EZH1. In cellbased studies, EI1 was reported to reduce H3K27 methylation levels and activate PRC2 target genes. Moreover, it was able to decrease proliferation and to induce cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in Y641 mutant large B-cell lymphoma. ${ }^{160}$ Reported as the first orally bioavailable inhibitor in mice, UNC1999 (6, Figure 1.5) was a dual and highly selective inhibitor of WT and Y641 mutant EZH2, as well as of EZH1. Also $\mathbf{6}$ is a SAM-competitive inhibitor, able to reduce H3K27 methylation levels in cells and to induce apoptosis in Y641N mutant large B-cell lymphoma. ${ }^{161}$ Later, tazemetostat (EPZ6438, 7, Figure 1.5) was developed by means of a follow up optimization of the Epyzime scaffold (EPZ005687, 2 Figure 1.5). It has greater potency and better PK profile than EPZ005687, including good oral bioavailability. ${ }^{162}$ Compound 7 recently entered the clinical arena and is currently studied for B-cell lymphoma, relapsed or refractory non-Hodgkin lymphoma ${ }^{163}$, advanced solid tumors (Phase 1), diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (Phase 2), and follicular lymphoma (Phase 2). ${ }^{157}$ A 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-containing compound (8, Figure 1.5) was reported by Constellation Pharmaceuticals as a EZH2 inhibitor effective in KARPAS-422 lymphoma cells. ${ }^{164}$ Nevertheless, because of its poor PK properties, $\mathbf{8}$ was not suitable for further in vivo evaluation. The indole-based compound CPI-360, first reported in 2015, showed a good activity profile in the biochemical assays as well as in a KARPAS-422 mouse xenograft model. ${ }^{165}$ More recently, an optimization study on this compound led to the identification of 9 (CPI-1205, Figure 1.5), an highly potent (biochemical $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.002$ $\mu \mathrm{M}$, cellular $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=0.032 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and selective EZH2 inhibitor. Vaswani et al. also disclosed the co-crystal structure of 9 bound to the human PRC2 complex. This compound showed once againg a potent antitumor activity in a KARPAS-422 mouse xenograft model. Compound $\mathbf{9}$ is currently in Phase I clinical trials for B-cell lymphoma treatment (Table 1). ${ }^{166}$
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Figure 1.5. An overview on the most widely reported EZH2 inhibitors. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ or $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values are indicated.
What immediately catches the eye, having a look at the EZH2 inhibitors reported so far, is that the dimethylpyridone moiety is almost a constant, with a few exceptions. However, before PRC2 crystal structure resolution, it was difficult to evaluate how to get rid of this moiety and keep the inhibitory activity, thus having structure novelty. In the last years, efforts have been done to resolve PRC2 crystal structure alone and with small molecule inhibitor. ${ }^{167}$ Specially, the work of Brooun et al. has been essential to define the importance of the pyridone moiety in anchoring the ligand to its EZH2-binding site. Interestingly, in this study they demonstrated a partial overlap of the co-substrate- and inhibitor-binding sites, thus confirming the SAM competitive mechanism of this class of compounds. The binding mode also explains the lack of selectivity of many of these inhibitors for WT versus mutant EZH2, in fact EZH2 mutations occur far from the inhibitor binding site. The authors were also able to reconcile cellular data on drug resistance acquired by secondary mutations in "incongruous" regions, investigating their effects on inhibitor binding. ${ }^{167}$ The importance of this pharmacophore moiety has been confirmed also by a recent SAR study on UNC1999 (6, Figure 1.5), where they also showed how the structural manipulation of this
compound could give selective EZH2 versus EZH1 inhibitors, or keep the simultaneous EZH1/2 inhibition. ${ }^{168}$ Remarkably, even if the pyridone moiety was confirmed as important, it was demonstrated to be not sufficient for inhibitor binding.
Up to date, few EZH2 inhibitors are undergoing clinical trial studies as reported by Morera et al. ${ }^{157}$ In Table 1 we give an updated summary.

### 1.5.8.2 PRC2 disruptors

EZH2 knock-down studies with siRNA and shRNA have been showing that EZH2 is crucial in many tumor types. However, small-molecules EZH2 inhibitors proved to be effective in a smaller range of cancers. One explanation that has been given for this phenomenon, is that with knockdown both catalytic and scaffolding activity of EZH2/PRC2 are affected, while classical EZH2 inhibitors target only the first. ${ }^{50}$ On the other hand, considering that EZH2 has both PRC2 dependent and independent functions, we could think about selectively targeting the first. Thus, both issues suggest the need of targeting the PRC2 in an alternative way. Considering that it is a multiprotein complex, whose catalytic activity requires the assembly of a minimal core, targeting protein-protein interactions has been considered an interesting strategy to be applied. The first PRC2 disruptors to be described have been stabilized $\alpha$-helix of EZH2 peptides (also called SAH-EZH2). ${ }^{169,170}$ SAH-EZH2 have been designed to be an EZH2-EED disruptors, and they have been shown to be effective in this way. SAH-EZH2 disrupted the EZH2-EED complex in a dose dependent way, reduced H3K27 methylation and EZH2 protein levels in cancer cell lines (MLL-AF9 leukemia). ${ }^{169}$ High-throughput screenings led to the identification of the first small molecules EZH2-EED disruptors: astemizole (10, Figure 1.6), an old anti-histamine drug ${ }^{171}$ and wedelolactone (11, Figure 1.6), a natural compound. ${ }^{172}$ Between December 2016 and of March 2017, a series of publications proved the growing interest, and the huge effort done in developing EED-targeting agents as an alternative strategy to inhibit the PRC2 functions. ${ }^{173-178}$ In December 2016, Novartis reported the discovery of five structurally distinct EED binders (12-16, Figure 1.6), identified with a high-throughput screen using the PRC2 enzymatic assay as the primary screening assay. ${ }^{173}$ Compounds $\mathbf{1 2 - 1 6}$ displayed low $\mu \mathrm{M}$ activities against PRC2, with similar values in presence of EZH1 or EZH2, and they were quite selective in inhibition respect to other HMTs $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}>100 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. These compounds were able to inhibit the H3K27me3-stimulated, and the basal PRC2 activity in vitro. The co-crystal structure of compounds 12-16 in complex with EED have been resolved, demonstrating a similar "induced fit" in the H3K27me3 pocket of EED. Significant conformational changes of particular residues have been studied in detail. Seems that the induced conformational changes lead to the formation of a deeper pocket as compared to the original aromatic cage found when H 3 K 27 me 3 peptide is bound. ${ }^{173}$ This work has been a new starting point for different medicinal chemistry projects aiming the development of novel PRC2
disruptors. Indeed, this work was followed by three other publications describing the subsequent development of some of the newly reported scaffolds.
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Figure 1.6. PRC2 disruptors and EED binders, an overview.

An optimization study of compound 15, through X-ray crystallography guided fragmentation and regrowth, yielded compound 17 (EED226, Figure 1.6). ${ }^{175}$ Compound 17 is a potent and selective PRC2-EZH2/PRC2-EZH1 inhibitor, notably reducing global H3K27me3 in cells, able to kill selectively cells with a heterozygous Y641N mutation. This compound showed optimal PK properties, encouraging and enabling extensive preclinical studies, demonstrating an optimal tolerability in various species, also inducing regression of tumor xenografts in vivo. ${ }^{175}$ The
binding mode and mechanism of action of 17 were further investigated. The novel EED ligand binds to the H3K27me3 pocket of EED, thus confirming an allosteric mechanism different from those of the well-known SAM-competitors. It has been shown to modulate gene transcription in a similar way to the SAM-competitive EZH2 inhibitor. Notably, this compound is still effective in cell lines with acquired resistance to SAM-competitive EZH2 inhibitors, and shows a synergistic effect to inhibit cancer cell growth when combined with EZH2 inhibitors. ${ }^{177}$ Applying the same deconstruction and regrowth strategy, the Novartis scientists also developed compound $\mathbf{1 8}$ from the HTS hit 12 (Figure 1.6). The optimization proceeded step by step, and the activity was validated in cell-based assays, showing a similar outcome than what observed after EZH2 inhibitor treatment. These data encouraged to improve also PKs. Even though some modification induced a loss in efficiency, this study furnished a number of interesting data on EED binders and their biological effect. Additionally, the obtained molecules can be still optimized and can serve as tool compounds to study the biology of EED-mediated inhibition of PRC2 activity. ${ }^{174}$ In the same period, also AbbVie Inc. reported the identification of a novel potent and selective EED binder, compound 19 (A-395, Figure 1.6). It binds EED in the H3K27me3 binding pocket and inhibits H3K27 methylation in vitro via inhibition of PRC2 activation. It proved to be selective against a number of MTs and a panel of other methyl-lysine binding proteins. Compound 19 showed in cell activity, strongly reducing the H3K27 methylation, and inducing growth inhibition in cancer cell lines, and proved to be effective also in cell lines with acquired resistance to SAMcompetitive EZH2 inhibitors. Finally, the novel AbbVie compound was efficacious in a diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) xenografted mouse model (using cell lines containing an activating heterozygous EZH2 A677G mutation). ${ }^{176}$ Lastly, Barnash et al., optimized a low affinity methylated Jarid2 peptide to give the first peptidomimetic EED ligands (20 and 21, UNC5114 and UNC5115, Figure 1.6), using a coupled combinatorial and structure-based design approach. The binding mode and the exact mechanism of PRC2 inhibition are not yet fully understood. It is sure, however, that the novel peptidomimetic binder is an allosteric inhibitor, targeting the methyl-lysine reader function. ${ }^{178}$

Surprisingly, Novartis is already recruiting participants for a Phase I/II clinical trial (NCT02900651), with the aim to evaluate the EED inhibitor MAK683 (structure not disclosed) in patients with advanced malignancies, such as diffuse large B cell lymphoma, nasopharyngeal carcinoma and other advanced solid tumors (Table 1). These evidences suggest the PPI disruption as a valuable and alternative strategy for PRC2 targeting.

### 1.5.9 Not only EZH2: the rising of dual EZH1/EZH2 inhibitors and the polypharmacology

Respect to EZH2, the role and functions of EZH1 are not as broadly understood. However, in the last years there has been a growing interest in shading light on that. In 2015, Xu et al. reported
for the first time specific enzymatic dual inhibition of EZH2 and EZH1 as a promising therapeutic strategy for MLL-rearranged leukemia. ${ }^{179}$ They show that the dual inhibitor UNC1999 (6, Figure 1.5) efficiently arrests cell proliferation of this type of leukemia ex vivo and in vivo, while the selective EZH2 inhibitor GSK126 (3, Figure 1.5) was not as effective. The importance of this study resides also in the fact that it raises the question around the possibility and the need of simultaneously targeting EZH1 and EZH2, and calls for deeper investigation about the still misty EZH1, its functions and its relation with EZH2.
Concerning EZH2 inhibition, an emerging issue is the drug resistance. ${ }^{78,180}$ Already in 2015, models of acquired resistance to the EZH2 inhibitor EI1 (5, Figure 1.5) ${ }^{160}$ starting from EZH2mutated lymphoma cells have been developed by Gibaja et al.. They have found two novel secondary mutations (Y111L and Y661D), being associated with resistance to EZH2 inhibitors. ${ }^{180}$ Baude et al. have previously reported that loss-of function mutations altering the PRC2 subunits in malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors (MPNSTs) can amplify Ras-driven transcription. Moreover, resistance to EZH2 inhibition was correlated to the co-presence of SWISNF mutations and alterations in the Ras-pathway. ${ }^{181,182}$ These findings have great implications for the clinical translation of EZH2 inhibitors and call for the development of novel tools to target the resistant EZH2 mutants. This question has been partially addressed by the novel EED binders, but still intriguing would be the investigation of a possible combination therapy between EZH2 inhibitors and other known or novel epi-drugs or standard drugs (polypharmacology). ${ }^{183,184}$ In the literature there are already some examples of combination therapy. Some examples are the combination of EPZ-6438 (7 Figure 1.5, tazemetostat) with conventional NHL-directed chemotherapy in preclinical models of EZH2-mutant NHL, ${ }^{185}$ or with prednisolone, a glucocorticoid receptor agonist (GRag), again in EZH2-mutant and in germinal-center NHL, or GSK126 (3, Figure 1.5) and etoposide association in prostate cancer. ${ }^{186}$ Recently, the association of EZH2 inhibitors and IFN $\gamma$ for cancer treatment was also the object of a patent (WO 2014077784), where the authors showed that EZH2 inhibition restores IFNGR1 expression and sensitizes MYC-dependent prostate cancer cells (DU145, PC3) to IFN $\gamma$ treatment. Additionally, the combination of epigenetic drugs is also a valuable strategy, as suggested by several scientific evidences proving epigenetic crosstalk. ${ }^{187} \mathrm{~A}$ recent study on glioblastoma brain tumor initiating cells (BTIC), showed first that the EZH2 inhibitor UNC1999 (6, Figure 1.5), displaying a cytotoxic activity in the low micromolar range in different BTIC, had also synergistic effects with dexamethasone. The combination of UNC1999 and dexamethasone efficiently suppressed tumour growth in vivo. Additionally, the authors also show that the combination of EZH2 and HDAC inhibitors had synergistic effects in vitro on the same cell lines, increasing apoptosis and inducing DNA damage. ${ }^{188}$
Table 1. An overview on clinical trials on EZH 2 inhibitors or PRC 2 disruptors. Status $(\mathrm{S})$ : $\mathrm{R}=$ recruiting participants, $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{Active}, \mathrm{NR}=$ not recruiting, $\mathrm{T}=$ terminated, $\mathrm{NYR}=$ not yet recruiting

| Study | Phase | Trial number, start date | Disease(s) | Drug | Sponsor | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An Open-Label, Multicenter, Phase 1/2 Study of E7438 (EZH2 Histone Methyl Transferase [HMT] Inhibitor) as a Single Agent in Subjects With Advanced Solid Tumors or With B-cell Lymphomas | I +II | $\begin{gathered} \text { NCT01897571 } \\ 06 / 2013 \end{gathered}$ | B-cell lymphomas and advanced solid tumors | 7 | Epizyme, Inc. | R |
| A Study to Investigate the Safety, Pharmacokinetics, Pharmacodynamics and Clinical Activity of GSK2816126 in Subjects with Relapsed/Refractory Diffuse Large B Cell Lymphoma, Transformed Follicular Lymphoma, Other Non-Hodgkin's Lymphomas, Solid Tumors and Multiple Myeloma | I | $\begin{gathered} \text { NCT02082977 } \\ 04 / 2014 \end{gathered}$ | Various types of lymphoma | 3 | Glaxo Smith Kline | T |
| A Study Evaluating CPI-1205 in Patients With B-Cell Lymphomas | I | $\begin{gathered} \text { NCT02395601 } \\ 03 / 2015 \end{gathered}$ | B-Cell lymphoma | 9 | Constellation Pharmaceuticals | R |
| A Phase 1 Study of the EZH2 Inhibitor Tazemetostat in Pediatric Subjects With Relapsed or Refractory INI1-Negative Tumors or Synovial Sarcoma | I | $\begin{gathered} \text { NCT02601937 } \\ 12 / 2015 \end{gathered}$ | Rhabdoid tumors, synovial sarcoma | 7 | Epizyme, Inc. | R |
| A Phase II, Multicenter Study of the EZH2 Inhibitor Tazemetostat in Adult Subjects <br> With INI1-Negative Tumors or Relapsed/Refractory Synovial Sarcoma | II | $\begin{gathered} \text { NCT02601950 } \\ 12 / 2015 \end{gathered}$ | Rhabdoid tumors, synovial sarcoma | 7 | Epizyme, Inc. | R |
| Study of the EZH2 Inhibitor Tazemetostat in Malignant Mesothelioma | II | $\begin{gathered} \text { NCT02860286 } \\ 07 / 2016 \end{gathered}$ | Mesothelioma | 7 | Epizyme, Inc. | A, NR |


| Tazemetostat Rollover Study (TRuST): An Open-Label Rollover Study | II | $\begin{gathered} \text { NCT02875548 } \\ 08 / 2016 \end{gathered}$ | Various types of lymphoma, malignant rhabdoid tumors, synovial sarcoma, epitheliod sarcoma, advanced solid tumors | 7 | Epizyme, Inc. | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Safety and Efficacy of MAK683 in Adult Patients With Advanced Malignancies. | I +II | $\begin{gathered} \text { NCT02900651 } \\ 10 / 2016 \end{gathered}$ | Diffuse Large B-cell lymphoma | MAK683 | Novartis | R |
| Open-Label, Multi-Center, Two-Part, Ph1 <br> Study to Characterize the PKs of an Intravenous Micro-Dose of [14C]- <br> Tazemetostat (EPZ 6438) and the ADME of an Oral [14C]-Labeled Dose of Tazemetostat in Subjects With B-Cell Lymphomas or Adv Solid Tumors | I | $\begin{gathered} \text { NCT03010982 } \\ 01 / 2017 \end{gathered}$ | Diffuse Large B Cell <br> Lymphoma <br> Primary Mediastinal <br> Lymphoma <br> Mantle-Cell Lymphoma <br> Follicular Lymphoma <br> Marginal Zone Lymphoma | $\begin{gathered} 7 \text { and } \\ {[14 C] 7} \end{gathered}$ | Epizyme, Inc. | R |
| Open-Label, Multicenter, Two-Part, Phase 1 Study to Characterize Effects of a Moderate CYP3A Inhibitor on PK of Tazemetostat, the Effects of Tazemetostat on PK of CYP2C8 and CYP2C19 Substrates, and the Effect of Increased Gastric pH on PK of Tazemetostat in B-cell Lymphoma Subjects | I | $\begin{gathered} \text { NCT03028103 } \\ 03 / 2017 \end{gathered}$ | Diffuse Large B Cell <br> Lymphoma <br> Primary Mediastinal <br> Lymphoma <br> Mantle Cell Lymphoma | 7 | Epizyme, Inc. | R |
| Pediatric MATCH: Tazemetostat in Treating Patients With Relapsed or Refractory Advanced Solid Tumors, Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma, or Histiocytic Disorders With EZH2, SMARCB1, or SMARCA4 Gene Mutations | II | $\begin{gathered} \text { NCT03213665 } \\ 07 / 2017 \end{gathered}$ | Tumors Harboring Alterations in EZH2 or Members of the SWI/SNF Complex | 7 | National Cancer Institute | R |
| Tazemetostat in Treating Patients With Metastatic or Unresectable Solid Tumors or B-Cell Lymphomas With Liver Dysfunction | I | $\begin{gathered} \text { NCT03217253, } \\ 03 / 2018 \end{gathered}$ | Metastatic or Unresectable Solid Tumors or B-Cell Lymphomas With Liver Dysfunction | 7 | National Cancer Institute | NYR |

### 1.6 Histone acetylation

First discovered on histones in 1964, ${ }^{189}$ protein lysine acetylation regards the lysine $\varepsilon$-amino group, and its overall occurrence is the result of the balanced activity of lysine acetyltransferases (HATs), and histone deacetylases (HDACs). ${ }^{190,191}$ HATs and HDACs mainly target lysines on Nterminal histones regions. Lysine acetylation is associated with a neutralization of the positive charge on $\varepsilon$-amino groups, thus impairing the DNA-histone interactions and leading to transcriptional activation (Figure 1.7). Histone acetylation, indeed, disrupt the ordered chromatin structure, and enhances access of transcription factors, transcriptional regulatory complexes, and RNA polymerases to promoter regions of DNA. Conversely, histone deacetylation (positively charged histones) is associated with transcriptional repression (Figure 1.7). 192,193


Figure 1.7. Histone acetylation neutralizes the positive charge on histone tails, directly affecting the chromatin status. In deacetylated chromatin, histone tails are positively charged and strongly associated with the negatively charged DNA backbone, leading to transcriptional silencing (heterochromatin); conversely, acetylated chromatin is more accessible and transcriptionally active (euchromatin).

HATs, using acetyl-CoA as acetyl group donor, are divided in four families, including the p300/CBP family, MYST family (TIP60, YBF2, MOZ, and MOF), GNAT family (PCAF and GCN5), and Rtt109 family. ${ }^{192}$ They have been studied for their role in gene transcription and regulation, and each of these enzymes have more than one lysine substrates, even though some specificity has been reported in some cases. Additionally, some HATs can acetylate also nonhistone substrates, as for example p300/CBP is acetylating p53. ${ }^{194}$ Concerning histone deacetylases, they have been divided in four classes. The class I, II and IV, the so called classical HDACs, are zinc dependent enzymes, while the class III or non-classical HDACs are NADdependent enzymes of the Sir family, also known as Sirtuins. Even though they are structurally different and use different mechanisms, both HDACs and Sirtuins can silence gene expression through deacetylation on specific promoters or chromosomal domains, and they can regulate other cellular processes by targeting non-histone proteins. ${ }^{192,195}$


Figure 1.8. Acetylation/deacetylation reactions on lysine $\varepsilon$-amino groups.

### 1.7 Bromodomains

Bromodomains (BRDs) are the main acetyl-lysine readers. They are evolutionary conserved protein-protein interaction domains or modules. The first bromodomain-containing protein have been identified in Drosophila melanogaster, encoded in the brahma gene (from which the bromodomain name is derived). ${ }^{196}$ More recently, also YEATS domains have been shown to bind acetylated lysines, in addition to the crotonylated ones. ${ }^{197}$ In humans there are 61 known bromodomains, identified by a genome wide analysis, and they have been found in 46 different proteins. Based on sequence homology and structure similarity, the BRDs have been divided in 8 families (Figure 1.9). ${ }^{198}$ BRD-containing proteins recognize acetylated histones and contribute to the regulation of gene expression in multiple ways. As nicely summarized by Fujisawa et al., BRD-containing proteins can:

- act as scaffolds that facilitate the assembly of larger protein complexes;
- serve as transcription factors, or regulate transcription factors;
- have diverse catalytic functions (methyltransferases, acetyltransferases, helicases, chromatin remodelers), thus being directly involved in chromatin remodeling. ${ }^{199}$

BRDs are expressed in various tissues, but their expression can vary, thus suggesting that it can be influenced by the context, thus reinforcing the idea of BRDs as key players in gene expression modulation. ${ }^{200}$ As BRDs play an important role in human cellular homeostasis, their dysregulated activity has been associated with several disorders, including neurological, inflammatory and cancerous diseases. ${ }^{201-203}$

### 1.7.1 Bromodomains structure

BRDs are small (about 110 aa ) and well conserved domains, whose structure was characterized more than 15 years ago. ${ }^{204-206}$ Each bromodomain module is typically composed by four $\alpha$ helices $\left(\alpha_{Z}, \alpha_{A}, \alpha_{B}, \alpha_{C}\right)$, linked by the ZA and the BC loops. The four $\alpha$-helices form a small acetylated lysine binding pocket, typically containing hydrophobic amino acids, and an asparagine residue, present in most of the BRDs. This asparagine residue is essential for Kac binding. Indeed, the Kac forms a hydrogen bond with this asparagine residue. Additional hydrogen bonds are established with conserved water molecules in the binding pockets. All

BRDs, except PB1(5), contain four conserved water molecules in this pocket, and some of them contain also two additional water molecules in the ZA channel. The acetyl lysine binding mode has been proved to be conserved by several crystal structures of BRDs in complex with acetylated peptides. The charged surface surrounding the Kac binding pocket of the BRD module mediates the interactions with the rest of the acetylated peptide. ${ }^{199}$ An exhaustive and updated review about bromodomains and their roles in health and disease is given by Fujisawa et al. ${ }^{199}$


Figure 1.9. Structure-based phylogeny of the human bromodomains and their inhibitors. In humans there are 61 bromodomains found in 46 bromodomain-containing proteins. Roman numerals indicate the eight major structural classes. The phylogenetic tree is derived from data obtained Filipakoupoulos et al., ${ }^{198}$ as reported by Perez-Salvia and Esteller. ${ }^{207}$

### 1.7.2 The BET family and BRD4

One of the most studied and better known BRDs families is the bromodomain and extra terminal (BET) family. This family includes the ubiquitously expressed BRD2, BRD3 and BRD4, and the testis specific BRDT. The BETs share common structural organization, with a N-terminal composed by two bromodomains in tandem (BD1 and BD2), and an extra-terminal (ET) domain at the C-terminus. ${ }^{207}$ The BETs are involved in cell cycle regulation. BRD2 functions as a scaffold protein, able to recruit at chromatin level transcriptional cell cycle-regulatory genes as E2F1 and E2F2, and regulates the expression of other genes through interaction with the

SWI/SNIF complex. BRD4, instead, regulates genes involved in the $M$ to early G1 phase transition. ${ }^{208-211}$ BRD4 is also an important and global regulator of gene transcription. Both BRD2 and BRD4 can recruit the positive transcription elongation factor complex ( $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{TEFb}$ ), and activate genes involved in cell proliferation. ${ }^{212} \mathrm{BRD} 4$ has been also reported to be involved in the release of the active $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{TEFb}$ from its inactive complex with HEXIM1 protein and 7S snRNA. BET proteins are also involved in the regulation of cancer related genes such as c-MYC, thus being broadly involved in cancer development and progression. It has been found that BRD4 regulates NF-KB dependent genes, preventing the degradation of RelA, that promotes NF-KB activity. ${ }^{210}$ In ER breast cancer, BRD3 and BRD4 contribute to tamoxifen resistance, being involved in the activation of ESR1 transcription through interaction with WHSC1. 209

### 1.7.3 BRDs as druggable targets

BRD-containing proteins, have been found overexpressed in several diseases, including cancer and inflammatory diseases. These evidences, together with the key regulatory role of BRDs on cell cycle and cell proliferation, made bromodomains an attractive therapeutic target. ${ }^{213}$ Even though, the BRDs binding domain is well known, and it makes it easier to target with small molecules, not all the BRDs are equally druggable. A computational analysis of all the 61 BRDs pointed out family I and II as easier to target. ${ }^{214}$
The first BET inhibitors to be reported have been the benzodiazepine (+)-JQ1 (21, Figure 1.10) ${ }^{215}$ and I-BET762 (22, Figure 1.10). ${ }^{216}$ The discovery of these inhibitors contributed to assess the implication of BETs in several diseases, including first of all cancer, but also 215,217-219 autoimmune disease, ${ }^{220}$ inflammation, ${ }^{216}$ and viral infections including latent HIV-1 reactivation. 221
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Figure 1.10. Some examples of BET inhibitors.

The growing number of evidences showing BET implication in such pathological states, fostered the research on development of small molecules BET inhibitors. ${ }^{222}$ Among the novel developed compounds, many have been useful chemical tool to better explore phenotypes and understand the molecular role of BET inhibition. Additionally, some BET inhibitors, including I-BET762, entered the clinical arena for cancer indications, giving promising results. ${ }^{207}$

All the BET ligands so far developed contain a specific Kac mimic moiety. Romero et al. classified the BET inhibitors based on this moiety, thus dividing them in triazole, dimethylisoxazole, and pyridone-like containing molecules. Only a few compounds are not fitting these groups. ${ }^{222}$ In the last years, have been published a number of reviews resembling the progress in development on BRDs inhibitors (Figure 1.10). ${ }^{207,222,223}$

## 2 Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of novel pyrrole-based EZH2 inhibitors, displaying antiproliferative activity, cell cycle arrest, and H3K27me3 reduction in cancer cells, including glioblastoma primary cells

### 2.1 Research project

As part of our ongoing research on development of histone/protein methyltransferases inhibitors, we planned to work also on the development of EZH2 inhibitors. At the beginning of our work, only EZH2 inhibitors bearing a bicyclic heterocyclic (indazole, indole) central core were reported. We developed two projects in parallel: the design and development of pyrrole-based EZH2 inhibitors, and a second one on pyrazole-based EZH2 inhibitors. In this chapter, I will discuss about the design and development of pyrrole-based EZH2 inhibitors. This research project started with a molecular pruning of the known EZH2 inhibitor GSK126 (3, Figure 2.1). More in detail, we wanted to identify the required structural elements for inhibition activity, to this aim a molecular simplification approach was the most appropriate one. In a first attempt, we decided to keep fix the 4,6-dimethyl-3-methylamino-2-pyridinone moiety, crucial, even if not sufficient alone for the target binding. ${ }^{167,168}$ Importantly, the design of our molecular core was based on:

- replacement of the indole central core with a pyrrole;
- shifting of the 4,6-dimethyl-3-methylamino-2-pyridinone group from the $\mathrm{C}(4)$ indole to the $\mathrm{C}(3)$ pyrrole position, keeping an amide linker (Figure 2.1).


Figure 2.1. Design of novel pyrrole based EZH2 inhibitors.

We next started to explore how to best substitute the pyrrole ring, so to identify the minimal structure requisites for the target binding, and, in the meantime, increase the inhibition potency. First of all, we developed a small series of compounds aimed to investigate about the possible and most favored substitutions at the positions $\mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{C}(2)$ and $\mathrm{C}(5)$ of the pyrrole ring (Figure 2.2). Thus, we first kept fix a phenyl substituent at the $\mathrm{N}(1)$ of the pyrrole, and started to place one by one alkyl substituent groups in position $\mathrm{C}(2)$ and $\mathrm{C}(5)$ (Figure 2.2, chart 1 ). All the newly obtained derivatives have been tested in a biochemical assay using the PRC2 multicomponent protein in order to evaluate their inhibition activity. The obtained data suggested that the best
combination was the 2,5-dimethyl substitution (25c). Thus, keeping fix these substituents, we studied the effects of $\mathrm{N}(1)$ substitution (Figure 2.2, chart 2). Interestingly, compounds 25e-f, bearing a free NH or only a small methyl group as substituent, displayed poor inhibition activity, but increasing the dimension ofthe substituent at the $\mathrm{N}(1)$, we observed a progressive increase in inhibition potency. Overall, in this first small series, 25c was the most potent EZH2 inhibitor, and it became our lead for further optimization. We next evaluated the effects of an electrophilic substituent at the $\mathrm{N}(1)$ (Figure 2.2, chart 3), but none of the proposed modifications improved the activity, so that $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{c}$ remained our lead compound. We investigated also about the replacement of the phenyl ring with nitrogen-containing heterocycles (Figure 2.2, chart 4).


Figure 2.2. Development of novel pyrrole-based EZH2 inhibitors: molecular build up. Chart 1 and 2. Exploring various substitution at $\mathrm{C}(2), \mathrm{C}(5)$, and $\mathrm{N}(1)$ position. Chart 3. Inserting electrophilic substituent at the $\mathrm{N}(1)$. Chart 4. Replacement of N 1 phenyl ring with nitrogen containing heterocycles.

Even if, once again, none of these compounds showed a better activity than the lead 25c, we could exploit interesting information for further molecular development. In particular, the enzymatic inhibition data suggested that exploring any substitution in the meta and para positions of the phenyl ring could be interesting. Prompted by these results, we designed and synthesized a large series of isomers, substituted in meta or para position with different electron withdrawing (EWG) or electron donating (EDG) groups (Figure 2.3, chart 5). Interestingly, in all
cases the meta substituted isomer was more potent than the para isomer. Indeed, we went on exploring a broader panel of meta substitutions (Figure 2.3, Chart 6).



Figure 2.3. Further exploring the substitution on the phenyl ring. Chart 5. Study on various electron withdrawing (EWG) or electron donating (EDG) groups in meta or para position of the phenyl ring. Chart 6 . Further exploring the meta substitution.

After a biochemical screening on all the synthesized compounds to evaluate their EZH2 inhibition activity, and selectivity, the most potent inhibitors have been screened in four cancer cell lines (U937, NB4, SHSY5Y, and MDA-MB231). In particular, we investigated on their effects on cell cycle progression, cell viability/apoptosis and H3K27 methylation levels. Compounds $\mathbf{2 5 f} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{- g}$ ' have been tested in primary glioblastoma cell lines, to evaluate their effects on cell proliferation and H3K27 methylation.

### 2.2 Results and discussion

### 2.2.1 Chemistry

The synthesis of the derivatives $\mathbf{2 5 a} \mathbf{- z}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 a} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{- j}$ ' started with the generation of differently substituted pyrroles through a Paal-Knorr reaction (Scheme 1). More in detail, for pyrroles 26c, f-
 presence of acetic acid (Scheme 1 A ). In case of deactivated anilines (pyrroles 26k-q), cobalt(II)chloride was used as catalyst (Scheme 1 A ). For the synthesis of the pyrrole 26d, 6-methyl-2,5-heptanedione, instead of 2,5-hexanedione, underwent Paal-Knorr reaction in presence of aniline and acetic acid (Scheme 1 B).


Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: a) glacial $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$, sealed tube, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}(35-80 \%)$; b) glacial $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \times 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, sealed tube, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}(65-78 \%)$; c) trichloroacetyl chloride, dry DCE, sealed tube, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; d) I. $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{KOH}(\mathrm{aq}), \mathrm{EtOH}$, r.t. to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; II. 2 N HCl (aq) (54-73 \%).

The pyrroles $\mathbf{2 6 c - h}, \mathbf{k - q}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{a}^{\prime}-\mathbf{j}$ ', and the commercially available 2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole were then involved in a Friedel-Craft acylation in presence of trichloroacetyl chloride to give the corresponding trichloroacetyl derivatives. These intermediates were not isolated, but directly converted in the corresponding carboxylic acids $\mathbf{3 1 \mathbf { c } - h}, \mathbf{k - q}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{a} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j}^{\prime}$ (Scheme 1).
In order to obtain compound 31a (Scheme 2 A ), 1-phenyl-1H-pyrrole was formylated in presence of oxalyl chloride and $N, N$-dimethylformamide to give a mixture of the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ formyl derivatives $\mathbf{2 7} \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}$ with an overall $80 \%$ yield, being the $\beta$ formyl derivative $40 \%$ with respect to the other isomer. The aldehyde intermediate $\mathbf{2 7} \boldsymbol{\beta}$, was then oxidized in presence of silver nitrate in basic media (Scheme 2 A ). The synthesis of the intermediate 31b proceeded as reported in Scheme 2 B. A reaction between ethyl acetoacetate and 2-chloroacetaldeyde in presence of 17 N aqueous ammonia yielded ethyl 2-methyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (28). Compound 28 was
involved in an Ullman-like reaction with iodobenzene, giving 29 in $94 \%$ yield. The ethyl ester was hydrolyzed in basic conditions to give the acid 31b.


Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: a) I. dry DMF, oxalyl chloride, dry DCE, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$; II. 1-phenyl-1H-pyrrole, 2 h ( $\alpha+\beta 80 \%, \beta 32 \%$ ); b) I. $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}, 6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 6 h; II. $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})(62$ $\%$; c) 2-chloroacetaldeyde, $\quad 17 \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{NH}_{3} \quad(\mathrm{aq})(32 \%) ; \quad$ d) iodobenzene, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \quad \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~N}^{\prime}-$ dimethylethylenediamine, CuI, sealed tube, $\mathrm{N}_{2}, 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}(94 \%)$; e) $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{KOH}(\mathrm{aq}), \mathrm{EtOH}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$ (95\%).


Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: a) piperidine (for $\mathbf{2 6 f}^{\prime}$ ) or morpholine (for $\mathbf{2 6 g}{ }^{\prime}$ ), $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, $\mathrm{PH}(\mathrm{tBu}) \mathrm{BF}_{4}$, KOtBu , dry toluene, sealed tube, $\mathrm{N}_{2}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}(76-80 \%)$; b) Phenol, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CuI}, N, N^{\prime}-$ dimethylglycine hydrochloride, dry dioxane, sealed tube, $\mathrm{N}_{2}, 9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{~h}(63 \%)$ (for 26h'); c) Tiophenol, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$, xantphos, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dry $o$-xylene, $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, reflux, $20 \mathrm{~h}(97 \%)$ (for $\mathbf{2 6 i}$ ); d) phenylboronic acid, 2 M $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4}$, toluene/ethanol, sealed tube, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}(75 \%)$.

The pyrroles intermediates 26f'-i' were obtained starting from the 1-(3-bromophenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole (26d', Scheme 3 A). More in detail, a palladium-catalysed C-N bond formation gave the piperidin ( $\mathbf{2 6 f}^{\prime}$ ) and morpholine ( $\mathbf{2 6} \mathbf{g}^{\prime}$ ) substituted derivatives in good yields. ${ }^{224}$ The reaction between 26d' and phenol in Ullman-like conditions yielded the 3-phenoxy substituted compound 26h'. ${ }^{225}$ Reacting the tiophenol in the same conditions did not yield the expected product. Nonetheless, the 3-phenyltio analogue 26i' was obtained in $97 \%$ yield through
a $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3} /$ Xantphos catalyzed Buchwald-Hartwig cross-coupling reaction. ${ }^{226}$ The meta bissubstituted compound 26j' was obtained reacting the 1-(3-bromo-5-methoxyphenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole ( $\mathbf{2 6 k}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ ) with phenylboronic acid in the Suzuki-coupling conditions (Scheme 3 B). The synthesis of the amine $\mathbf{3 3}$ was carried following the reported literature procedure. ${ }^{159}$ We started with a condensation of 2-cianoacetamide and 2,4-pentanedione to give the intermediate 32 that was hydrogenated by using $10 \%$ palladium on carbon and platinum(IV)oxide as catalysts and then salified by hydrochloric acid (Scheme 4 ).


Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: a) piperidine, $\mathrm{EtOH}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}(43 \%)$ b) I. $\mathrm{H}_{2}(70 \mathrm{psi}), 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; II. $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}), \mathrm{EtOH}$.


Scheme 5. Reagents and conditions: a) triethylamine, O-(benzotriazole-1-yl)- $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}-$ tetramethyluronium tetrafluorborate (TBTU), dry DMF, $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, r. t., 4 h (52-75 \%).

A
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Scheme 6. Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2} \times 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 37 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{MeOH}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r. t., $30 \mathrm{~h}(44-52$ \%); b) $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ in DCM , dry DCM, $\mathrm{N}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r. t., $4 \mathrm{~h}(54-90 \%)$.

The coupling between the acids $\mathbf{3 1 a - h}, \mathbf{k - q}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{a}^{\prime}-\mathbf{j}{ }^{\prime}$, activated with O-(benzotriazole-1-yl)$N, N, N$ ', $N$ '-tetramethyluronium tetrafluorborate (TBTU) in presence of triethylamine, and the amine $\mathbf{3 3}$ yielded the final compounds $\mathbf{2 5 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k - q}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime} \mathbf{- j}$ ' (Scheme 5). The final anilines
$\mathbf{2 5 r}$, $\mathbf{s}$ were obtained by reduction of the corresponding nitro-derivatives $\mathbf{2 5 p}, \mathbf{q}$ in presence of tin(II)chloride (Scheme 6 A). Whereas, the O-demethylation of compounds $\mathbf{2 5 t}$, $\mathbf{u}$ in presence of boron tribromide gave the final compounds $\mathbf{2 5 v}$, $\mathbf{w}$ (Scheme 6 B). The synthesis of the final compounds $\mathbf{2 5 i} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{j}$ proceeded similarly to what already observed for the other derivatives. However, in these cases, the trichloroacethyl intermediates $\mathbf{3 0 i}, \mathbf{j}$ were isolated and directly involved in a coupling with the amine $\mathbf{3 3}$ (Scheme 7).


Scheme 7. Reagents and conditions: a) glacial $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \times 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, sealed tube, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $1 \mathrm{~h}(21-38$ \%); b) trichloroacetyl chloride, dry DCE, sealed tube, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}(30-41 \%)$; c) triethylamine, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 20 \mathrm{~h}$ (56-63 \%).

### 2.2.2 Biochemical EZH2 enzymatic inhibition assay and structure activity relationship (SAR)

All the new synthesized compounds have been first screened in an in vitro enzymatic assay to evaluate their ability to inhibit EZH2 catalytic activity. More in detail, compounds $\mathbf{2 5 a - z}, \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{- j}$ ' have been tested against a human five component PRC2 complex, containing EZH2, EED, SUZ12, RbAp48, and AEBP2. The assay was performed using Core Histone as substrate and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}-$ SAM as co-substrate. SAH and GSK126 (3, Figure 2.1) were used as reference compounds (SAH $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 34.7 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathbf{3} \mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.009 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Compounds $\mathbf{2 5 a - z}, \mathbf{a}^{\prime}-\mathbf{j}$ ' have been initially screened at two fixed doses $(100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $50 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Selected compounds have been further tested in a dose range (10 points) to determine their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$.

The biochemical screening revealed a surprisingly structure activity relationship (SAR) that guided us through all the structure optimization steps. As already mentioned, our study started as a molecular simplification of the scaffold of $\mathbf{3}$. The first set of molecules we designed, aimed to define the minimal required substituents at the positions $\mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{C}(2)$ and $\mathrm{C}(5)$ of the pyrrole core. We first investigated about the effects of the substitution at the positions $\mathrm{C}(2)$ and $\mathrm{C}(5)$ of the pyrrole. Interestingly, the insertion of methyl groups substituents in these positions progressively improved the inhibition potency (25a-c, Figure 2.4). However, the replacement of one of the two methyl groups with a branched isopropyl was not well tolerated (25d, Figure 2.4).
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$37.80 \mu \mathrm{M}$


$8.51 \mu \mathrm{M}$


25c
$5.78 \mu \mathrm{M}$


25d $22.40 \mu \mathrm{M}$

Figure 2.4. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ data for compounds 25a-d: 2,5-dimethyl substitution on the pyrrole core is the most favored. The removal of one or both methyl groups, or the replacement of one of them with a branched alkyl group caused a drop in inhibition activity.

Thus, we decided to keep fix the 2,5-dimethyl substitution on the pyrrole core and explore the effects of different substituents at the $\mathrm{N}(1)$ (25c, 25e-h, Figure 2.5). A free NH (25e) or a small alkyl group substituent ( $\mathbf{2 5 f}$ ) were not tolerated, causing nearly a complete lost in activity. The insertion of an isopropyl ( $\mathbf{2 5 g}$ ), still aliphatic, but bulkier was tolerated, whereas a N-benzyl substitution ( $\mathbf{2 5 h}$ ) further increased the potency of inhibition. Nonetheless, the most potent compound was still 25c, where the aromatic ring was directly bound to the pyrrole $\mathrm{N}(1)$ (Figure 2.5). Prompted by these results, we continued the exploration of this scaffold evaluating the effects of an electrophilic substituent at the N(1) (25i-k, Figure 2.6).


25e
12.3 \%
inhibition at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$


25f 19.2 \% inhibition at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$

${ }^{25 \mathrm{~g}}$


25c
$5.78 \mu \mathrm{M}$


25h
$11.50 \mu \mathrm{M}$

Figure 2.5. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ data for compounds 25e-f: keeping fix the 2,5-dimethyl substitution, a free NH or a methyl group at the position $\mathrm{N}(1)$ of the pyrrole determined a nearly complete loss in activity. A more branched alkyl group (isopropyl) was better tolerated, as well as a benzyl substituent, even though the highest potency of inhibition was still observed with an aromatic group directly bounded to the pyrrole $\mathrm{N}(1)$.


Figure 2.6. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ data for compounds $\mathbf{2 5 i} \mathbf{- k}$ : an electrophilic substituent at the $\mathrm{N}(1)$ did not increase the inhibition potency when compared $\mathbf{2 5 c}$.

No one of the substituent that we evaluated was able to increase the inhibition potency when compared to $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{c}$, that remained our lead. It is worth to notice that only the phenylsulphonylsubstituted compound (25i) displayed an activity in the $10^{1} \mu \mathrm{M}$ range ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 15.70 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), being thus 10 folds more potent than $\mathbf{2 5 j}$, $\mathbf{k}$ (Figure 2.6).


25I
$60.80 \mu \mathrm{M}$


25m
$9.55 \mu \mathrm{M}$


25n
$8.09 \mu \mathrm{M}$


250
$43.70 \mu \mathrm{M}$

Figure 2.7. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ data for compounds 251-0: the meta or para position of the phenyl ring of MC3707 are the most interesting to explore for further substitution.

Next, in a small series of derivatives the phenyl ring was replaced with nitrogen-containing heterocycles, a regioisomeric study providing interesting data for the design of new compounds ( $\mathbf{2 5 1 - 0}$, Figure 2.7). In particular, only compounds $\mathbf{2 5 m}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 n}$, respectively a pyridine-3-yl and a pyridine-4-yl substituted derivatives, showed an inhibition potency similar to the lead compound ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $9.55 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $8.09 \mu \mathrm{M}$ respectively, Figure 2.7). The pyridine-2-yl substitution ( $\mathbf{2 5 I}, \mathrm{IC}_{50} 60.80 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) seemed particularly disfavored, suggesting that there could be a larger chemical space to target and additional contact points around the $\mathrm{C}(3)$ and $\mathrm{C}(4)$ positions of the phenyl ring (Figure 2.7).
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Figure 2.8. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ data for compounds $\mathbf{2 5 p} \mathbf{- z}, \mathbf{2 5 a}$ ': exploring the meta or para substitution on the phenyl ring of $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{c}$. The meta substituted derivatives were always more potent than the para isomers regardless the EW or ED nature of the substituent.

In light of these data, we thought that any additional functionalization, aimed to improve the binding potency and thus the inhibition activity, should occur preferably in the meta or para position of the phenyl ring of our lead 25c. Therefore, we next synthesized and screened a large
series of isomers, substituted in meta or para position with different electron withdrawing (EWG) or electron donating (EDG) groups. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are summarized in Figure 2.8. Looking at the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ data for compounds $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{p - z}$, 25a' (Figure 2.8), it is immediate to notice that, in all cases, regardless the electron withdrawing or electron donating nature of the substituents, the meta isomer ( $\mathbf{2 5 p}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x}$ ) was always a more potent inhibitor then the para one ( $\mathbf{2 5 q}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{y}$ ), differently from what observed with the pyridine-containing compounds, where the activity of the two isomers $\mathbf{2 5 m}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 n}$ was almost the same. Comparing the difference in $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ between the meta and para isomers, seems that the gap is higher for more polar substituent ( $\mathbf{2 5 r}, \mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 v}$, w). We could speculate that the more polar groups are less tolerated in the para position, whereas more hydrophobic groups are better tolerated. Pleasingly, almost all the new compounds displayed an equal or higher inhibition potency when compared to the lead 25c. Among them, $\mathbf{2 5 x}$, the meta-phenyl substituted, was the most potent inhibitor with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $0.33 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Finally, a new series of meta-substituted derivatives was synthesized and tested, showing all of them $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in the low $\mu \mathrm{M}$ range ( $\mathbf{2 5 b}^{\mathbf{\prime}} \mathbf{- j} \mathbf{j}$, Figure 2.9).
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Figure 2.9. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ data for compounds $\mathbf{2 5 b} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{- j}$ '.
The data reported for the halo-substituted compounds ( $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{b}$ - $\mathbf{d}^{\prime}$ ) show how the bulkier and less electronegative the substituent, the higher the inhibition potency. We could speculate that the increase in the dimension and/or the reduction in electronegativity of the halogen could play a role in ameliorating the binding to the target enzyme, thus giving an increased inhibition potency. Compound 25e', with a meta-t-butyl substitution, was the most potent of the whole series
displaying an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $0.14 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The replacement of the phenyl ring with piperidine ( $\mathbf{2 5 f}^{\prime}$ ) or morpholine ( $\mathbf{2 5 g} \mathbf{g}^{\prime}$ ), or the insertion of a flexible O ( $\mathbf{2 5 h}^{\prime}$ ) or $\mathrm{S}(\mathbf{2 5 i})$ bridge between the two phenyl rings of $\mathbf{2 5 x}$, was tolerated, but still did not improve the inhibition potency (Figure 2.9). The double meta substitution of compound $\mathbf{2 5 j} \mathbf{j}$, was tolerated as well, but gave only an inhibition potency intermediate between those of the mono-substituted analogues ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 0.83 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ).

### 2.2.3 Target selectivity validation

Biochemical screening of $25 \mathrm{c}, 25 \mathrm{t}, 25 \mathrm{x}, 25 \mathrm{y}, 25 \mathrm{~d}^{\prime}$ and $25 \mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ against a panel of DNA, histone, and protein methyltransferases (EZH1, DOT1L, DNMT1, G9a, MLL1 complex, PRMT1, SET7/9)

In order to validate the target selectivity of our compounds for EZH2 against other methyltransferases, we tested derivatives $\mathbf{2 5 c}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{d}^{\prime}$, and $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ against a panel of DNA, histone, and protein methyltransferases. Tables 2 and 3 summarize the results as $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ or percentage of inhibition at $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

Table 2. Percentage of inhibition at $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (top), and $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (bottom) of $\mathbf{2 5 c}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{d}$ ', and $\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ against a panel of methyltransferases. Each data is the mean of at least two independent results. SD is included between $\pm 10 \%$.

| $\mathbf{C p d}$ | \% of <br> inhibition <br> at | DOT1L | DNMT1 | G9a | MLL1 <br> complex | PRMT1 | SET7/9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ |
|  | $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $1.9 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 t}$ | $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $6.7 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ |
|  | $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $1.5 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 x}$ | $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $41.0 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $41.0 \%$ |
|  | $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $31.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 y}$ | $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $44.5 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ |
|  | $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $2.5 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $51.9 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $34.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 d}$ | $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
|  | $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $2.8 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 e}$ | $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0.2 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ |
|  | $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ |
| SAH | - | $0.9 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0.09 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0.66 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0.37 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0.33 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $56.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |

Table 3. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ or $\%$ of inhibition at $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathbf{2 5 c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{d}^{\prime}$, and $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ against EZH1. Each data is the mean of at least two independent results. SD is included between $\pm 10 \%$.

| Cpd | SAH | $\mathbf{2 5 c}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 t}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 x}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 y}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 d}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 e}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EZH1 | $12.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $42.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $3.52 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0.90 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0 \%$ | $7.47 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0.92 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |

Pleasingly, all the derivatives displayed no or very slight inhibition activity against the methyltransferases DOT1L, DNMT1, G9a, MLL1 complex, PRMT1, SET7/9. The only exception was $\mathbf{2 5 y}$, showing about $52 \%$ of inhibition at $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against MLL1 complex. However, doubling the dose of $\mathbf{2 5 y}$ did not determine an increase in inhibition activity. On the other hand, we found really interesting what observed testing these compounds against EZH1. Our lead compound $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{c}$ had a $42.8 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$, with 7.4 fold selectivity for EZH2. Intriguingly, while the para-substituted compound 25y was completely inactive, its meta isomer $\mathbf{2 5 x}$, as well as all the meta-substituted derivatives $\mathbf{2 5 t}$, e', d’, displayed low $\mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values (Table 3), suggesting that playing with the meta-para substitution we can get selectivity over the two PRC2 methyltransferases.

### 2.2.4 In cell evaluation (1)

Preliminary data on cell proliferation, cell cycle and H3K27 methylation in U937, NB4, SHSY5Y, and MDA-MB231 cancer cells (Prof. Lucia Altucci, Department of Biochemistry, Biophysics and General Pathology, Second University of Naples)

To validate the inhibition activity in a more complex context, selected compounds ( $\mathbf{2 5 c}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}$, $\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{\prime}}-\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{\prime}}, \mathbf{h} \mathbf{\prime}, \mathbf{j}$, , Figure 2.10) have been tested in a panel of cancer cell lines.
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Figure 2.10. Compounds selected for in cell validation. Enzymatic $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ are reported in brackets.

As preliminary cell-based screening, we tested the 16 selected compounds at a fixed dose ( 50 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) after 72 hours incubation in histiocytic lymphoma U937, acute promyelocytic leukemia

NB4, neuroblastoma SHSY5Y, and breast adenocarcinoma MDA-MB231 cancer cell lines. In particular, we looked at their effect on cell proliferation, cell cycle progression and H3K27 methylation levels. 3 (Figure 2.1, GSK126) has been used as positive control. These preliminary assays aimed to validate the activity of our compounds and to choose the best cell line, and the most active compounds for further investigation (longer time treatment and lower dose range).

### 2.2.4.1 U937 histiocytic lymphoma

In U937 cells, we looked first at the effect of compounds $\mathbf{2 5 c}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b}^{\prime}-\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{\prime}}, \mathbf{h}$ ', and $\mathbf{j}$ ' on cell cycle progression at a fixed dose after 72 h of treatment (Figure 2.11).


Figure 2.11. Cell cycle progression in U937 cells after treatment with $\mathbf{2 5 c}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b} \mathbf{\prime}-\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{h}^{\prime}$, and $\mathbf{j}$ ' at a fixed dose $(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$ after 72 h .

All the compounds, including the control 3 (GSK126), have been tested at the final concentration of $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The reported data show that the majority of the tested compounds has no strong effect on cell cycle progression. Nonetheless, 25x displayed a strong apoptotic effect, with $100 \%$ apoptosis. 25p, $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{c}^{\prime}, \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{\prime}}, \mathbf{h}^{\prime}$, and $\mathbf{j}^{\prime}$ showed mild pro-apoptotic effects. 25d' and $\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ also increased the number of G1 cells.

We then analyzed the effects of our compounds on U937 cell proliferation. All the novel EZH2i were tested at the fixed dose of $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The graphs reported below (Figure $2.12,2.13$ ) show that many of them were able to reduce the cell proliferation rate, when compared to the control, but only a few of them displayed a significant effect. 25x was confirmed as the strongest proapoptotic, giving $100 \%$ of apoptosis after 72 h of treatment. Also, 25h' had a cytotoxic effect, but less strong even if prolonged in time. Conversely, the cytotoxic or cytostatic effects of $\mathbf{2 5 y}$ and 25e', respectively, were limited in time during $48 \mathrm{~h} . \mathbf{2 5 j}$ ', instead, showed a longer lasting cytostatic effect (Figure 2.13).


Figure 2.12. Effects of compounds $\mathbf{2 5 c}$, $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p - r}, \mathbf{t}(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$ on U 937 cell proliferation (trypan blue cell count) after 24,48 and 72 h treatment.


Figure 2.13. Effects of compounds $\mathbf{2 5 x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{\prime}} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{\prime}}, \mathbf{h}^{\mathbf{\prime}}, \mathbf{j} \mathbf{\prime}(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$ on U937 cell proliferation (trypan blue cell count) after 24,48 and 72 h treatment.

We next looked at the effects of selected compounds ( $\mathbf{2 5 b} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{\prime}} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{e}^{\prime}, \mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{h}^{\prime}, \mathbf{2 5 j}{ }^{\prime}$ ) (50 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) on H3K27me3 levels. As shown in Figure 2.14, 25b' (lane 6) and 25d' (lane 7) reduced H3K27me3 levels. No relevant effects were observed after treatment with the other tested compounds. Considering the kinetic of the methylation/demethylation equilibrium in cell, we can assess that 72 h is still a short time to appreciate any effect. Additional experiments with longer treatment time are running.


Figure 2.14. Western blot: H3K27me3 levels in U937 cells after 72 h treatment (fixed dose $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ histone extract. Lane $1=$ ctr; lane $2=\mathbf{3} 20 \mu \mathrm{M}$; lane $3=\mathbf{3} 10 \mu \mathrm{M}$; lane $4=\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{e}^{\prime}$; lane $5=\mathbf{2 5 c}$; lane $6=\mathbf{2 5 b}$ '; lane $7=\mathbf{2 5 d}$ '; lane $8=\mathbf{2 5 j}$ '; lane $9=\mathbf{2 5 h}$ '.

### 2.2.4.2 NB4 acute promyelocytic leukaemia

The same assays have been repeated with compounds $\mathbf{2 5 c}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b}^{\prime}-\mathbf{e}^{\prime}, \mathbf{h}^{\prime}$, and $\mathbf{j}^{\prime}$ in the human acute promyelocytic leukaemia cell line NB4. All the compounds, including the control 3 (GSK126), have been tested at the final concentration of $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$.


Figure 2.15. Cell cycle progression in NB4 cells after treatment with $\mathbf{2 5 c}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b} \mathbf{\prime}-\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{h}$ ’, and $\mathbf{j}$ ' at a fixed dose after 72 h .

The majority of the tested compounds had no strong effects on cell cycle progression, even though many of them induced up to $30 \%$ of apoptosis. Nonetheless, we observed once again a strong cytotoxicity after treatment with compound 25x, giving $100 \%$ of apoptosis (Figure 2.15). In this cell line, also compound $\mathbf{2 5 y}$ displayed a strong cytotoxic effect ( $100 \%$ apoptosis). In order to better appreciate their activity and exclude any unspecific cytotoxic effect, these two compounds should be tested at lower doses. $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{e}^{\prime}, \mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{h}$, and $\mathbf{2 5 j}$ ' had strong effects on cell cycle progression, markedly increasing the percentage of G1 cells (Figure 2.15). Cell proliferation data (Figure $2.16,2.17$ ) show how all the tested compounds were able to reduce cell proliferation rate over 72 h , when compared to the vehicle treated control. We can distinguish between two classes of derivatives: those that showed a real cytotoxic effect ( $\left.\mathbf{2 5 x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{h}^{\mathbf{\prime}}, \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{\prime}}, \mathbf{j}^{\prime}\right)$ and those that only reduced the proliferation rate ( $\mathbf{2 5 c}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p - r}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ ).


Figure 2.16. Effects of compounds $\mathbf{2 5 c}$, $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m} \mathbf{- r}$, and $\mathbf{t}(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$ on NB4 cell proliferation (trypan blue cell count) after 24,48 and 72 h treatment.


Figure 2.17. Effects of compounds $\mathbf{2 5 x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b}^{\prime}-\mathbf{e}^{\prime}, \mathbf{h}^{\prime}$, and $\mathbf{j} \mathbf{j}^{\prime}(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$ on NB4 cell proliferation (trypan blue cell count) after 24,48 and 72 h treatment.

A western blot analysis on histone extracts of NB4 cells treated with compounds $\mathbf{2 5 b}{ }^{\mathbf{\prime}} \mathbf{- e}{ }^{\mathbf{\prime}}, \mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{}$ ', $\mathbf{2 5 j} \mathbf{\prime}^{\prime}(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$ after 72 h was performed to evaluate if there were any effects on EZH2-dependent histone methylation (Figure 2.18). The collected data suggest that the tested compounds, even if able to reduce H3K273me levels did not have strong effects (Figure 2.18). However, the effect of compounds 25b’ (lane 6, Figure 2.18) and 25d' (lane 7, Figure 2.18) at $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was comparable to the effect of $\mathbf{3}$ at $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Figure 2.18). Longer time treatment experiments are running also on this cell line, in order to better appreciate any effect on histone methylation.


Figure 2.18. Western blot: H3K27me3 levels in NB4 cells after 72 h treatment (fixed dose $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ histone extract. Lane $1=$ ctr; lane $2=\mathbf{3} 20 \mu \mathrm{M}$; lane $3=\mathbf{3} 10 \mu \mathrm{M}$; lane $4=\mathbf{2 5 e}$ '; lane $5=\mathbf{2 5 c}$ '; lane $6=\mathbf{2 5 b}$ '; lane $7=\mathbf{2 5 d}{ }^{\prime}$; lane $8=\mathbf{2 5 j}{ }^{\prime}$; lane $9=\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{h}^{\prime}$.

### 2.2.4.3 SHSY5Y neuroblastoma

When tested in the human neuroblastoma SHSY5Y cell line, compounds $\mathbf{2 5 c}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}$, and $\mathbf{y}$ showed only a reduction of cell proliferation rate, without any strong cytotoxicity (Figure 2.19). Once again, compound $\mathbf{2 5 x}$ was the sole compound showing a stronger effect, blocking cell proliferation (Figure 2.19).


Figure 2.19. Effects of compounds $\mathbf{2 5 c}$, $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p - r}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$ on SHSY5Y cell proliferation (trypan blue cell count) after 72 h treatment.

Interestingly, many of the tested compounds reduced H3K27me3 levels in this cell line after 72 h treatment, suggesting that this cell line could be more sensitive to these derivatives (Figure 2.20).


Figure 2.20. Western blot: H3K27me3 levels in SHSY5Y cells after 72 h treatment (fixed dose $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). 10 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ histone extract. Lane $1=\mathrm{ctr}$; lane $2=\mathbf{3} 20 \mu \mathrm{M}$; lane $3=\mathbf{3} 10 \mu \mathrm{M}$; lane $4=\mathbf{2 5} \mathrm{c}$, lane $5=\mathbf{2 5 n}$, lane $6=\mathbf{2 5 m}$, lane $7=\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{y}$; lane $8=\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{h}$, lane $9=\mathbf{2 5}$, lane $10=\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{p}$, lane $11=\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{q}$, lane $12=\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{r}$, lane $13=\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{x}$


Figure 2.21. Cell cycle progression in SHSY5Y cells after treatment with $\mathbf{2 5 c} \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{\prime}} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{e}^{\prime}, \mathbf{h}$ ', and $\mathbf{j} \mathbf{\prime}$ at a fixed dose after 72 h .


Figure 2.22. Effects of compounds $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{\prime}} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{e}^{\prime}$, $\mathbf{h}^{\prime}$, and $\mathbf{j}^{\prime}(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$ on SHSY5Y cell proliferation (trypan blue cell count) after 24, 48 and 72 h treatment.


Figure 2.23. Western blot: H3K27me3 levels in SHSY5Y cells after 72 h treatment (fixed dose $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). 1 $\mu$ g histone extract. Lane $1=$ ctr; lane $2=\mathbf{3} 20 \mu \mathrm{M}$; lane $3=\mathbf{3} 10 \mu \mathrm{M}$; lane $4=\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{e}^{\prime}$; lane $5=\mathbf{2 5 c}$ '; lane $6=$ $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ '; lane $7=\mathbf{2 5 d}{ }^{\prime}$; lane $8=\mathbf{2 5 j}$ '; lane $9=\mathbf{2 5 h}$ '.

In the same neuroblastoma cell line, we looked at the effects of $\mathbf{2 5 c} \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{\prime}} \mathbf{- \mathbf { e } ^ { \prime } , \mathbf { h }}$, and $\mathbf{j}$ '. Interestingly, almost all of them increased the number of cells in G1 phase, but none of them was able to strongly induce apoptosis (Figure 2.21). 25e', 25h' and 25j' displayed the strongest
antiproliferative effects among the tested compounds (Figure 2.22). Western blot analysis showed a reduction of H3K27me3 after treatment with 25c'-e'. Also 25b' slightly reduced H3K27me3 (Figure 2.23).

### 2.2.4.4 MDA-MB231 breast adenocarcinoma

Once tested in the human breast adenocarcinoma cell line MDA-MB231, 25c, h, m, n, p-r, $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{y}$, significantly reduced cell proliferation rate (Figure 2.24). In a western blot analysis on MDAMB231 histone extracts, compounds $\mathbf{2 5 h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{t}$, and $\mathbf{2 5 y}$ have been proved to reduce H3K27me3 after 72 h treatment (Figure 2.25).


Figure 2.24. Effects of compounds $\mathbf{2 5 c}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$ on MDA-MB231 cell proliferation (trypan blue cell count) after 72 h treatment.


Figure 2.25. Western blot: H3K27me3 levels in MDA-MB231 cells after 72 h treatment (fixed dose 50 $\mu \mathrm{M}) .5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ histone extract. Lane $1=\mathrm{ctr}$, lane $2=\mathbf{3} 20 \mu \mathrm{M}$, lane $3=\mathbf{2 5}$ c, lane $4=\mathbf{2 5 n}$, lane $5=\mathbf{2 5 m}$, lane $6=$ $\mathbf{2 5 y}$, lane $7=\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{h}$, lane $8=\mathbf{2 5}$, lane $9=\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{p}$, lane $10=\mathbf{2 5 q}$, lane $11=\mathbf{2 5 r}$, lane $12=\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{x}$.

Cell cycle analysis was performed after 72 hours treatment with compounds $\mathbf{2 5 b} \mathbf{\prime}$-e', $\mathbf{h}^{\mathbf{\prime}}, \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j}^{\prime}$ (50 $\mu \mathrm{M})$. The results reported in Figure 2.26, show a marked effect after administration of $\mathbf{2 5 e} \mathbf{e}^{\prime}, \mathbf{h}$ ' or $\mathbf{j}$ ', with a strong increase in pre-G1 cells number. These data were further confirmed by the results obtained in a time-course cell viability assay (Figure 2.27). 25e', $\mathbf{h}^{\prime}$, and $\mathbf{j}$ ' were the strongest anti-proliferative, exerting similar effects after 72 h treatment. 25c', instead, seems to have a cytostatic effect. Additionally, western blot analysis after 72 hours treatment (Figure 2.28) showed that compounds $\mathbf{2 5 b}{ }^{\prime}$ (lane 7), 25d' (lane 5), 25h' (lane 6), and 25j' (lane 4) are able to reduce H3K27 methylation levels. Compound 25b' displayed the strongest effect.


Figure 2.26. Cell cycle analysis in MDA-MB231 cells after treatment with $\mathbf{2 5 b} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{e} \mathbf{\prime}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}$ a a fixed dose after 72 h .


Figure 2.27. Effects of compounds $\mathbf{2 5 b} \mathbf{\prime}-\mathbf{e}^{\prime}, \mathbf{h}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j} \mathbf{\prime}(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$ on MDA-MB231 cell viability after 24,48 and 72 h treatment.


Figure 2.28. Western blot: H3K27me3 levels in MDA-MB231 cells after 24 (a), 48 (b), and 72 h (c) treatment (fixed dose $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ histone extract. Lane $1=\mathrm{ctr}$; lane $2=\mathbf{2 5 c}$ ', lane $3=\mathbf{2 5 e} \mathbf{e}^{\prime}$, lane $4=\mathbf{2 5 j}$, lane $5=\mathbf{2 5 d}$ ', lane $6=\mathbf{2 5 h}$ ', lane $7=\mathbf{2 5 b}$ ', lane $8=\mathbf{3} 10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, lane $9=\mathbf{3} 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

### 2.2.4.5 In cell evaluation (1): conclusions and future perspectives

As preliminary cell-based assays, the activity of compounds $\mathbf{2 5 c}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p - r}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{\prime}} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{\prime}}, \mathbf{h}$ ', and $\mathbf{j}$ ' has been evaluated in different human cancer cell lines at fixed dose $(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$ after 72 hours treatment. The preliminary results here presented are not exhaustive, but furnished us interesting information for further investigation. Interestingly, many of the tested compounds were able to reduce cell proliferation rate in all the tested cancer cell lines. In some cases, we recorded strong pro-apoptotic and cytotoxic effects, as for compound $\mathbf{2 5 x}$, that gave $100 \%$ apoptosis in different cell lines. Considering the strong toxicity displayed by $\mathbf{2 5 x}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 y}$ it could be worth to explore their effects at lower (non-cytotoxic) doses, so to evaluate if it is possible to exclude any unspecific effect. Interestingly, $\mathbf{2 5 h}$ ' and $\mathbf{2 5 j}$ ' had relevant effects on cell cycle progression in different cell lines, increasing the G1 cell number without strong cytotoxicity. In general, in most of the cases effects on H3K27 methylation levels were mild. In U937 cells H3K27 methylation levels were reduced only after 25b' or 25c' treatment. In NB4 leukemia cell line, we could not observe relevant effects on H3K27 methylation. Interestingly, the neuroblastoma SHSY5Y cell line was more sensitive to our compounds: H3K27me3 levels were reduced with $\mathbf{2 5 c}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{b}^{\prime}-\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$. Also, in breast cancer MDA-MB231 cells we observed H3K27 demethylation after treatment with several of our compounds ( $\left.\mathbf{2 5 h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{\prime}}, \mathbf{d}^{\prime}, \mathbf{h}^{\mathbf{}}, \mathbf{j}^{\mathbf{\prime}}\right)$. Overall 25b' was the compound able to induce H3K27 methylation reduction in all the tested cell lines, even though it was not a strong antiproliferative. We could speculate that this is due to better pharmacokinetic (PK) features of this compound. Considering the kinetic of the methylation/demethylation equilibrium in cell, we can assess that 72 h is still a short time to appreciate the effect of EZH2is. Additional experiments with longer treatment time are ongoing. In the next future, we will also explore the effects of these compounds in a lower dose-range. Dose-dependent cell proliferation studies on different cell lines will be performed. The most responsive cell line will be then selected for further investigation. More in detail, we are planning to study the effect of our compounds on H3K27 methylation in a dose range (and after longer time treatment). The effect on EZH2 target genes expression will be evaluated in the selected cell line. Additionally, we will investigate on the cell death mechanism and/or pro-differentiating effect, by dose and time dependent study on specific markers.

### 2.2.5 In cell evaluation (2)

Compounds 25f' and 25g' in glioblastoma primary cell cultures, preliminary data (Prof. Susanna Scarpa, Department of Experimental Medicine, Sapienza University, Rome)


25f'
( $1.06 \mu \mathrm{M}$ )


25g'
(4.06 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ )

Figure 2.29. Compounds $\mathbf{2 5 f} \mathbf{\prime}^{\mathbf{- g}}$ '. Enzymatic $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ are reported in brackets.
In the group of Prof. Scarpa, three primary cell cultures (GL1, GL2, GL3) were established from surgical tissues of three different patients with glioblastoma following a validated protocol. ${ }^{227,228}$ In order to check the homogeneity of the neoplastic phenotype, each culture was characterized by immunofluorescence staining of two glioblastoma markers, GFAP and nestin. ${ }^{229} 100 \%$ of cells from GL1, GL2 and GL3 resulted positive for both markers (Figure 2.30).


Figure 2.30. Immunofluorescence staining for GFAP and for nestin. RED: anti-GFAP or anti-NESTIN, BLUE: DAPI staining for DNA

In the literature, there is a number of evidences suggesting a potential role of EZH2 in glioblastoma, ${ }^{188,230-232}$ including a recent paper by Grinshtein et al., where the SAM-competitive EZH2 inhibitor 20 (UNC1991, Figure 1.5) has been proved cytotoxic in vitro on a diverse collection of brain tumour initiating cell lines at micromolar concentrations. Additionally, the authors showed that $\mathbf{2 0}$ synergized with dexamethasone (DEX) and this combination suppressed tumour growth also in vivo. ${ }^{188}$ In light of these findings, we decided to test two of our
compounds ( $\mathbf{2 5 f}^{\prime}, \mathbf{g}$ ', Figure 2.29) in the glioblastoma cell lines established in the Prof. Scarpa group.

First, we evaluated the effects of the two EZH2 inhibitors $\mathbf{2 5 f}$ ' and $\mathbf{2 5 g}$ ' on cell viability and replication in the glioblastoma cell lines U-87, GL1, GL2 and GL3 and in a primary culture of human dermal fibroblasts, HF (used as a control of somatic not transformed cells). All the tested cell lines were treated for 24,48 , or 72 h with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}, 25 \mu \mathrm{M}$, or $50 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{25f}$ ' or $\mathbf{2 5 g}$ '. After 24 h of treatment no effects were evident (data not shown). After 48 h of incubation we started to observe a decrease in cell viability in all four glioblastoma cell cultures. The decrease in glioblastoma cells viability reached significant values after 72 h of treatment with both molecules already at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of concentration (Figure $2.31 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}$ ).


Figure 2.31. Cell viability of untreated (ctr) and $\mathbf{2 5 f}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 g}{ }^{\prime}$ ' treated cultures expressed as percentage of alive cells after 48 h (dark grey bar) or 72 h (white bar). These data are expressed as the mean of at least 3 independent experiments. Standard deviation is reported in bars. Asterisks indicate the significant data.

Interestingly, after 72 h , none of the tested molecules had any negative effect on HF cell proliferation, suggesting that there was no unspecific cytotoxic effect (Figure 43 b). Based on these data, we decided to use $\mathbf{2 5 f}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 g}{ }^{\prime}$ at the concentration of $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ with an incubation time of 72 h for all the following experiments. In order to detect whether the effects on cell replication were connected to the specific inhibition of EHZ2 activity, the levels of H3K27me3 were measured by western blot (Figure 2.32). Interestingly H3K27me3 levels were increased in GL1 cell lines if compared with U-87 cell line, while its levels in HF were not detectable (Figure 2.32). Treatment with $\mathbf{2 5 f}$ ' and $\mathbf{2 5 g}$ ' determined an evident reduction of H3K27me3 levels in
both glioblastoma cell cultures U-87 and GL1 (Figure 2.32), assessing that the tested compounds are really acting as EZH2i.


Figure 2.32. Western blot of untreated (ctr) and 25f' and 25g' treated U-87, GL1 and HF for tri-methylhistone H3 and for actin.


Figure 2.33. Cell viability of U-87 and GL1 untreated (ctr) and treated with $\mathbf{2 5 f}{ }^{\prime}, \mathbf{2 5 g}$ ', and Temozolomide (T) expressed as percentage of alive cells. The three different bars indicate treatment at three different times: 24 h (dark gray), 48 h (light gray) and 72 h (white). These data are expressed as the mean of at least 3 independent experiments. Standard deviation is reported in bars. Asterisks indicate the significant data.

We next decided to compare the effects of the two novel EZH2 inhibitors with those of Temozolomide, the sole chemotherapeutic agent to date approved for the treatment of glioblastoma patients. Thus, we treated U-87 and GL1 cells with $\mathbf{2 5 f}^{\prime}(25 \mu \mathrm{M}), \mathbf{2 5 g}{ }^{\mathbf{\prime}}(25 \mu \mathrm{M})$, or Temozolomide $(100 \mu \mathrm{M})$, alone or in combination, for 72,96 or 120 h . When used alone, the pyrrole-based EZH2 inhibitors and Temozolomide had equivalent effects on cell proliferation inhibition (Figure 2.33). Pleasingly, the combination of $\mathbf{2 5 f}$ ' or $\mathbf{2 5 g}$ ' and Temozolomide had much stronger, but not additive effects on cell proliferation inhibition already after 72 h (Figure 2.33).

### 2.2.5.1 In cell evaluation (2): conclusions and future perspectives

The fact that the treatment of glioblastomas remains difficult and that no contemporary treatments are curative, together with the growing number of evidences about EZH2 involvement in glioblastoma, call for a wider exploration of EZH2 inhibitors as potential therapeutic tools. In collaboration with the group of Prof. Scarpa, we started to study the effects of two novel EZH2 inhibitors $\mathbf{2 5 f}$ ' and $\mathbf{2 5 g}{ }^{\prime}$ ' in different glioblastoma cell lines. Preliminary data show that both $\mathbf{2 5 f}$ ' and $\mathbf{2 5 g}$ ' were able to reduce cell proliferation after 48 h already at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration. $\mathbf{2 5 f}$ '
and $\mathbf{2 5 g}$ ' treatment determined an evident reduction of H 3 K 27 me 3 levels in both glioblastoma U 87 and GL1 cells, assessing that the tested compounds are really acting as EZH2i. Finally, their association with Temozolomide produced much stronger effects on cell proliferation inhibition already after 72 h , than the treatment with single drugs.

### 2.3 General conclusions and future perspectives

The role of aberrant EZH2 activity in cancer is validated by several scientific evidences. In the last years, EZH2 inhibitors also entered the clinical arena. ${ }^{103}$ This research project aimed to develop novel EZH2 inhibitors and validate their activity in different cancer cells. We started with a molecular simplification on compound 3 scaffold (GSK126, Figure 11), keeping fix the 4,6-dimethyl-3-methylamino-2-pyridinone moiety and replacing the indole central core with a pyrrole. We started then to build up a new scaffold, evaluating step by step the required or preferred substituents to improve the inhibition activity. In summary, the biochemical assays revealed an interesting structure activity relationship (SAR), that we can resemble as follows:

- a 2,5-dimethyl substitution on the pyrrole is the best combination: removing one or both the methyl groups, or replacing one of them with a more bulky and branched isopropyl group, caused a drop in inhibition potency;
- the pyrrole $\mathrm{N}(1)$ should be substituted, preferably with bulky and aromatic groups. The highest inhibition potency was obtained with an aromatic ring directly bound to the nitrogen;
- a substituent in meta or para on the phenyl ring can help to improve the activity. The meta isomers proved to be more potent than the para ones.

In this study, compounds $\mathbf{2 5 x}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 e}$ ' were the most potent in the in vitro inhibition assay. With $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $0.330 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $0.138 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively, they were 17 to 42 folds more potent than the lead 25c. Selected compounds have been also screened against a panel of methyltransferases, in order to validate their target selectivity. Pleasingly, the tested compounds displayed no or slight inhibition activity against the methyltransferases DOT1L, DNMT1, G9a, MLL1 complex, PRMT1, SET7/9. Moreover, we found really interesting the EZH1 inhibition data. Our lead compound 25 c had a $42.8 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$, with 7.4 -fold selectivity for EZH 2 . Intriguingly, the parasubstituted compound $\mathbf{2 5 y}$ was completely inactive, while its meta isomer $\mathbf{2 5 x}$, as well as all the meta-substituted derivatives ( $\mathbf{2 5 t}$, $\mathbf{d}^{\prime}$, and $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$ ), displayed low $\mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values. So that we can conclude that the meta-substituted compounds can inhibit both EZH2 and EZH1.

Subsequently, compounds $\mathbf{2 5 c}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p - r}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b}^{\prime}-\mathbf{e}^{\prime}, \mathbf{h}^{\prime}$, and $\mathbf{j}$ ', displaying enzymatic IC $_{50}$ values in the low $\mu \mathrm{M}$ range, have been tested in U937, NB4, SHSY5Y, and MDA-MB231 cancer cell lines. In particular, we looked at their effect on cell proliferation, cell cycle progression and H3K27 methylation levels at a fixed dose $(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$ after 72 hours treatment. The preliminary results here reported are not exhaustive, but furnished us interesting information for further investigation. In some cases, we observed strong pro-apoptotic and cytotoxic effects, as for compound 25x, that gave $100 \%$ apoptosis in different cell lines. Considering the strong toxicity displayed by $\mathbf{2 5 x}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 y}$ it could be worth to explore their effects at lower (non-cytotoxic) doses, so to evaluate if it is possible to exclude any unspecific effect. Interestingly, $\mathbf{2 5 h}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 j} \mathbf{j}$ had relevant effects on cell cycle progression in different cell lines, increasing the G1 cell number
without strong cytotoxicity. In general, in most of the cases effects on H3K27 methylation levels were mild. In U937 cells H3K27 methylation levels were reduced only after 25b’ or 25d' treatment. Instead, in NB4 leukemia cell line, we could not observe relevant effects on H3K27 methylation. Interestingly, the neuroblastoma SHSY5Y cell line was more sensitive to our compounds: H3K27me3 levels were reduced after 72 h treatment with $\mathbf{2 5 c}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}$, and 25b'-e'. Also, in breast cancer MDA-MB231 cells we observed H3K27 demethylation after treatment with several of our compounds ( $\mathbf{2 5 h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b}^{\prime}, \mathbf{d}^{\prime}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j}^{\prime}$ ). Overall $\mathbf{2 5 b} \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ was the sole compound displaying a reduction of H 3 K 27 methylation in all the tested cell lines, even if it was not the most effective in arresting cell cycle and cell proliferation. We speculate that this could be due to better pharmacokinetic (PK) features of this compound. However, longer time treatment is required to better evaluate the in-cell EZH 2 inhibition activity of these compounds.

In parallel, in collaboration with the group of Prof. Scarpa, we started to study the effects of two novel EZH2 inhibitors $\mathbf{2 5 f}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 g}$ ' in different glioblastoma cell lines. Preliminary data show that both compounds were able to reduce cell proliferation after 48 h already at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration. 25f' and $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{g}^{\prime}$ 'treatment determined an evident reduction of H3K27me3 levels in both glioblastoma U-87 and GL1 cell lines, assessing that the tested compounds are really acting as EZH2i. Finally, their association with Temozolomide produced much stronger, but not additive effects on cell proliferation inhibition, than the treatment with single drugs already after 72 h treatment.

Considering the good profile in biochemical assays, we believe that, in order to better appreciate the activity of this series of compounds in cells, will be necessary to conjugate an improvement of substrate binding potency and a PK properties optimisation. The meta substitution could be further explored, inserting different size bulkier groups. We will investigate on the substitution with more lipophilic groups, that seem to be favored, and we will try to evaluate if the new substitutions will give us a different selectivity of inhibition for EZH2 over EZH1. Additionally, a main goal from the medicinal chemistry point of view will be the replacement of the 4,6-dimethyl-3-methylamino-2-pyridinone moiety with a completely different one. Looking at the known EZH2 inhibitors, what catches the eye is that all of the most potent compounds (including those in clinical studies) belong to the 2-pyridone-containing class. There have been already some attempts in replacing this groups with some analogues, but these manipulations mainly resulted in a drop of activity. ${ }^{103}$ However, is always worth to remember that this moiety alone is not sufficient for the inhibition activity, as reported by Yang et al., ${ }^{168}$ and also confirmed in our study (see compounds 25e, f). Probably, the best way to proceed, also considering that a crystal structure of PRC2 with inhibitor is now available, ${ }^{167}$ will be a structure-based drug design. The data collected till now let us think that there might be a different sensitivity of the tested cancer cell lines towards EZH2 inhibition. This could be a point of interest for further investigation. We are planning to determine EZH2 and EZH1 expression levels in the different cancer cell lines we
are studying, to establish whether there is a different level of expression that can justify the different response, or we should look for more complex answers. In both cases, it is in our interest to better investigate about the mechanism of action of these compounds. We will evaluate them in SAM-competition experiments. In depth cell-based studies will focus on the identification of the targeted pathways.

Concerning EZH2, is worth to remember that have been recently reported examples of development drug resistance to EZH2 inhibitors. ${ }^{180}$ These findings have great implications for the clinical translation of EZH2 inhibitors and require the development of novel tools to target the resistant EZH2 mutants. Even though, we can always think about the design of novel and selective compounds, the investigation of a possible combination therapy between EZH2 inhibitors and other known or novel epi-drugs or standard drugs (polypharmacology) would be more intriguing. ${ }^{183,184}$ In these regards, the development of molecular hybrids could be an interesting strategy to evaluate. Finally, the growing number of evidences pushing for a deeper investigation on EZH1, ${ }^{179,233,234}$ together with the preliminary data collected in our biochemical screening, encourage for deeper investigation on this topic, including the development of proper chemical tools.

### 2.4 Experimental Section

### 2.4.1 Chemistry

Melting points were determined on a Buchi 530 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 400 and 100 MHz , respectively, on a Bruker AC 400 spectrometer; chemical shifts are reported in $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ units relative to the internal reference tetramethylsilane ( $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ ). EIMS spectra were recorded with a Fisons Trio 1000 spectrometer; only molecular ions $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$and base peaks are given. All compounds were routinely checked by TLC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. TLC was performed on aluminum-backed silica gel plates (Merck DC, Alufolien Kieselgel 60 F254) with spots visualized by UV light. All solvents were reagent grade and, when necessary, were purified and dried by standard methods. Concentration of solutions after reactions and extractions involved the use of a rotary evaporator operating at reduced pressure of ca. 20 Torr. Organic solutions were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. Elemental analysis has been used to determine purity of the described compounds, that is, > $95 \%$. Analytical results are within $\pm 0.40 \%$ of the theoretical values. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Milan (Italy), or from Alfa Aesar, Karlsruhe (Germany), and were of the highest purity.

General procedure for the synthesis of pyrroles ( $\mathbf{2 6 c} \mathbf{- h}, \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{\prime}}-\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{\prime}}, \mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ ). Example (method a): Synthesis of 1-benzyl-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole (26h)


In a flame dried sealed tube, was prepared a solution of 2,5-hexanedione ( $8.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}, 1$ mL ) in glacial acetic acid ( 3 mL ). Benzyl amine ( $7.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 1.22 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to the solution. The reaction solution was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After this time the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. The acetic acid was removed in vacuo (azeotrope with toluene) and the residue was purified by silica gel chromatography, eluting with $n$-hexane: ethyl acetate 20:1. The product was obtained as a white solid ( $6.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.18 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ). m.p. 41$45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $n$-hexane). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.24\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $5.09\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 5.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 6.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}), 7.29$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}$, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{c}:} 12.1$ (2 C), 45.7, 105.6 (2 C), 125.5 (2 C), 126.9, 127.3, 128.4 (2 C), 138.7 ppm. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 185.1204, found: 185.1209. The reported data are in good agreement with the literature. ${ }^{235,236}$

## Example (method b): Synthesis of 2,5-dimethyl-1-(phenylsulfonyl)-1H-pyrrole (26i)



In a sealed tube, was prepared a solution of 2,5-hexanedione ( $19.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}, 2.24 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in glacial acetic acid ( 3 mL ). Benzensulfonamide ( $12.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 2 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \times 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(0.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \% \mathrm{~mol}, 0.151 \mathrm{~g})$ were added to the solution. The reaction solution was stirred at $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . After this time, even if not complete (by TLC still $50 \%$ of starting material), the reaction was stopped. The acetic acid was removed in vacuo (azeotrope with toluene) and the residue was purified by silica gel chromatography, eluting with $n$-hexane: ethyl acetate $15: 1$ ( $350.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 12 \%$ ). m.p. $96-98{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta\right.$; $\mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.41\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (CDCl $\left.3,100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{c}}: 12.4$ (2 C), 107.1 (2C), 126.9 (2 C), 127.2 (2 C), 129.0 (2 C), 133.6, 138.2 ppm . MS (EI) m/z [M] $]^{+}$calculated: 235.0667, found: 235.0663. ${ }^{237}$

## Synthesis of 2,5-dimethyl-1-(3-phenoxyphenyl)-1H-pyrrole (26h')



A flame dried sealed tube was loaded with 1-(3-bromophenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole 26d' $(1.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.500 \mathrm{~g})$, phenol ( $2.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 0.282 \mathrm{~g}$ ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}$, 1.303 g ), CuI ( $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{eq}, 38.07 \mathrm{mg}$ ), and $N, N$-dimethylglycine hydrochloride ( 0.60 mmol, $0.3 \mathrm{eq}, 83.75 \mathrm{mg}$ ), and dry dioxane ( 4 mL ) was added. The system was degassed $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ and then stirred at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . After this time TLC analysis indicated complete conversion of the starting material. The reaction was quenched by addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the combined organic layers were washed with an aqueous saturated NaCl solution $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was further purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with petroleum ether: ethyl acetate $150: 1$, to obtain the pure 2,5 -dimethyl-1-(3-phenoxyphenyl)-1H-pyrrole ( 1.25 mmol, $0.330 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%)$. m.p. $79-81{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $1.96\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 6.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H})$, $6.85-6.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 6.97-6.99(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}) 7.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta\right.$;
$\mathrm{ppm}) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 12.7$ (2C), 107.1 (2C), 116.2, 118.4 (2C), 119.6, 124.0, 124.5, 126.7 (2C), 129.3 (2C), 130.2, 138.1, 156.8, 156.9 ppm. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 263.1310, found: 263.1314.

Synthesis of 2,5-dimethyl-1-(3-(phenylthio)phenyl)-1H-pyrrole (26i')


In a flame dried round bottom flask were added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.7 \mathrm{eq}, 0.376 \mathrm{~g})$, and dry $o$ xylene ( 3 mL ), and the system was degassed $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$, and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Tiophenol ( $2.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ eq, $0.264 \mathrm{~g}, 0.246 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added and the system was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . In a flame dried sealed tube was prepared a solution of 1-(3-bromophenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole $(1.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.400 \mathrm{~g}), \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(0.160 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \% \mathrm{~mol}, 0.146 \mathrm{~g})$, and xantphos $(0.176$ mmol. $11 \% \mathrm{~mol}, 0.102 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in $o$-xylene $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was degassed $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ and stirred at room temperature for 20 mins. After this time, the tiophenate suspension previously prepared was added to the reaction mixture. The system was heated to reflux for 20 h . After this time the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. The reaction was quenched by addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers were washed with a 2 N aqueous solution of $\mathrm{KOH}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and an aqueous saturated NaCl solution $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was further purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with $n$-hexane: ethyl acetate $40: 1$, obtaining the desired compound as a light-yellow solid ( $1.56 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.435 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ ). m.p. 75-78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(n\right.$-hexane). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.02\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.88$ (2H, s, C(3)H, C(4)H), 7.05-7.09 (2H, m, C(10)H, C(15)H), 7.34-7.46 (7H, m, C(7)H, C(9)H, $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 12.9$ (2C), 106.7 (2C), 123.4, 126.3, 126.6 (2C), 127.0, 127.3, 128.6, 129.1 (2C), 130.2, 130.8 (2C), 133.1, 138.4 ppm . MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 279.1082, found: 279.1085.

Synthesis of 1-(3-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)phenyl)piperidine (26f')


In a flame dried sealed tube, 1-(3-bromophenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole ( $1.59 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$, 0.400 g ), piperidine ( $3.59 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.272 \mathrm{~g}, 0.316 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{AcO})_{2}(0.024 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \% \mathrm{~mol}$, $5.4 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{PH}^{+}(\mathrm{tBu})_{3} \mathrm{BF}_{3}^{-}(0.019 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \% \mathrm{~mol}, 5.6 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathrm{KOtBu}(3.59 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.25 \mathrm{eq}$,
0.404 g ) were added in sequence and suspended in dry toluene ( 4 mL ). The system was degassed $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$, and stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After this time, TLC analysis indicated complete conversion of the starting material. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers were washed with an aqueous saturated NaCl solution $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with $n$-hexane: ethyl acetate $5: 1$, to give 1-(3-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)phenyl)piperidine as a colorless oil ( $1.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.324 \mathrm{~g}, 80$ $\%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.59-1.60\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, $1.97\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.17-3.20\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 5.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 6.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 6.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 6.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, 100 MHz , $\delta ; \mathrm{ppm}) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 12.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 23.9,26.3$ (2C), 51.5 (2C), 106.8 (2C), 108.3, 113.2, 126.5, 127.2 (2C), $130.8,139.0,153.4 \mathrm{ppm}$. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 254.1783, found: 254.1782 .

## Synthesis of 4-(3-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)phenyl)morpholine (26g’)



In a flame dried sealed tube, 1-(3-bromophenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole (1.28 mmol, 1.1 eq , $0.320 \mathrm{~g})$, morpholine $(1.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.101 \mathrm{~g}, 0.110 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{AcO})_{2}(0.012 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \% \mathrm{~mol}$, $2.6 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{PH}^{+}(\mathrm{tBu})_{3} \mathrm{BF}_{3}{ }^{-}(0.009 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.8 \% \mathrm{~mol}, 2.7 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathrm{KOtBu}(1.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 0.196$ $g$ ) were added in sequence and suspended in dry toluene ( 4 mL ). The system was degassed $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$, and left under stirring at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . After this time, TLC analysis indicated complete conversion of the starting material. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers were washed with an aqueous saturated NaCl solution $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with $n$-hexane: ethyl acetate $8: 1$, to give the pure 4-(3-(2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)phenyl)morpholine as a light yellow oil ( $0.89 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.227 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.97\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.16\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.73\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 5.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 6.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 6.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 6.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$; ppm) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 12.7$ (2C), 48.2 (2C), 66.5 (2C), 106.8 (2C), 108.3, 113.1, 126.5, 126.9 (2C), 130.7, 139.0, $153.4 \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 256.1576, found: 256.1578 .

## Synthesis of 1-(5-methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole (26j’)



A sealed tube was loaded with 1-(3-bromo-5-methoxyphenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole 26k' ( $1.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0,500 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and it was dissolved in a 3:1 mixture of THF ( 3.6 mL ) and ethanol $(1.2 \mathrm{~mL})$. Phenylboronic acid ( $1.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.217 \mathrm{~g}$ ), a 2 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$
 reaction mixture was stirred at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . After this time the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis, and it was quenched by addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the combined organic layers were washed with an aqueous saturated NaCl solution ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with petroleum ether: ethyl acetate $90: 1$, giving the pure 1 -(5-methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-2,5-dimethyl- 1 H -pyrrole ( 1.33 mmol, $0.370 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ) m.p. $84-87^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $2.03\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.80\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 6.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 6.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.32(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},(\mathrm{C} 14) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 12.9$ (2C), 55.8, 106.8 (2C), 107.9, 108.7, 117.5, 126.6 (2C), 127.5, 127.9 (2C), 129.6 (2C), 138.8, 140.7, 142.4, $162.3 \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{MS}$ (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$ calculated: 277.1467, found: 277.1462.

General procedure for the synthesis of pyrroles-3-carboxylic acids ( $\mathbf{3 1 c - h , k - q , t , u , z , ~ a ' - j ’ ) . ~}$ Example: Synthesis of 1-(3-bromophenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic acid (31d’)


In a sealed tube, 1-(3-bromophenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole ( $1.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.400 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was dissolved in dichloroethane ( 5 mL ) and the solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Trichloroacetyl chloride $(4.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.536 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. The solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes, then let warm to room temperature and finally heat to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred at this temperature for 1 h . After this time, TLC analysis showed complete conversion of the starting material. The volatiles were removed in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in a $1: 1 \mathrm{EtOH}$ : THF mixture. The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a 2 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{KOH}(16.0 \mathrm{mmol}$,
$10 \mathrm{eq}, 0.898 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. After this time the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. The organic solvents were removed in vacuo. The byproducts were extracted from the basic aqueous layer with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and acidified till pH 2 by addition of a 2 M aqueous solution of HCl . The product precipitated, it was filtered, rinsed several times with distilled water and dried in oven $\left(60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Y $61 \%$. m.p. $>250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.94\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.23(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.62$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 11.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 12.1,13.4,107.1,111.8,120.5,125.6,126.1,126.7$, $130.5,130.9,136.0,138.5,165.5 \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 293.0051, 295.0031 found: 293.0050, 295.0029.

Synthesis of ethyl 2-methyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (28)


A mixture of ethyl acetoacetate $(15.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 2.0 \mathrm{~g})$ and 2-chloroacetaldehyde $(15.3 \mathrm{mmol}$, $1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 1.96 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 17 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(46.2 \mathrm{mmol}$. $3.0 \mathrm{eq}, 2.72$ mL ) was added dropwise. The reaction solution was left under stirring at room temperature for 20 h. After this time the reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with a 2 N aqueous solution of $\mathrm{HCl},(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. ( $750 \mathrm{mg}, 4.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 32 \%$ ) m.p. $75-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $n$-hexane). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.36\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.55(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.29\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.57-6.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 8.35(1 \mathrm{H}$, bs, NH) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta\right.$; ppm $) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 13.7,14.8,59.2,110.4,111.7,115.8$, $134.9,165.6 \mathrm{ppm}$. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 153.0790 , found: 153.0794. The reported data are in good agreement with the literature. ${ }^{238,239}$

Synthesis of ethyl 2-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (29)


In a flame dried sealed tube was added ethyl 2-methyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate ( $1.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq, 0.200 g ), and it was solubilized in 1.5 mL of dry toluene and the system was degassed $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$. $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(2.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1 \mathrm{eq}, 0.579 \mathrm{~g}), N, N$ 'dimethylethylenediamine ( $0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2 \mathrm{eq}, 22.9$ mg ), and $\mathrm{CuI}(0.065 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}, 12.4 \mathrm{mg})$ were added under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ flow. The reaction mixture was then left under stirring at $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . After this time, the reaction was judged complete by TLC analisys. The inorganic salts were removed by filtration, and the residue was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with $n$-hexane: ethyl acetate 4 : 1 , to obtain a light yellow oil. The product obtained was triturated with petroleum ether to give ethyl 2-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate ( $1.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.282 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ). m.p. $170-172{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (toluene/acetonitrile). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.38\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.46(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.32\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 7.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.49(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 12.8,14.5,59.2,109.9,113.6,121.4,125.9$ (2C), 127.9, 129.4 (2C), 136.1, $139.4,165.6 \mathrm{ppm}$. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 229.1103, found: 229.1109. The reported data are in good agreement with the literature. ${ }^{240}$

## Synthesis of 2-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic acid (31b)



A solution of ethyl 2-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate ( $1.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.280 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in ethanol ( 3 mL ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a 2 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{KOH}(4.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{eq}$, 0.269 g ) was added dropwise to this solution. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 minutes and then heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After this time, 8 eq of KOH were added and the reaction was stirred at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . Even if there was a residue of starting material, the reaction was stopped. The organic solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The residual starting material was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ from basic $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The aqueous solution was then cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and titrated by addition of a 2 M aqueous solution of HCl till pH 1. The product was then extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The compound was used without further purification (229 mg, $1.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ ). m.p. $197-199{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$; ppm): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.93\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 11.95(1 \mathrm{H}$, bs, COOH ) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 12.8,109.7,114.2,122.7,125.9$
(2C), 128.1, 129.2 (2C), 136.8, 138.6, 166.2 ppm . MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 201.0790, found: 201.0787.

Synthesis of 1-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carbaldehyde (27 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ )


In a flame dried round bottom flask was prepared a solution of dry DMF ( $1.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$, 0.100 mL ) in dry DCE ( 1.6 mL ). The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and oxalyl chloride ( 1.40 mmol, $1.2 \mathrm{eq}, 0.118 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added. The solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes. 1phenylpyrrole ( $13.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 2.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added dropwise, and the reaction mixture was let warm to room temperature and stirred for 2 h . After this time the reaction was quenched by adding a 2 M aqueous KOH solution. The product was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the combined organic layers were washed with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The product was further purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with $n$-hexane: ethyl acetate $5: 1$. The $\alpha$ and $\beta$ formylated products were isolated. The $\beta$-formyl derivative was obtained in $32 \%$ yield (yellow oil), where the $\alpha$ formyl isomer was obtained with $48 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.69$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 7.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 7.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 9.81(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHO}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$; ppm) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 109.9,119.3,121.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 125.1$, 127.3, 127.8, 129.6 (2C), 139.7, $185.2 \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 171.0684, found: 171.0689.

## Synthesis of 1-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic acid (31a)



To a solution of 1-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carbaldehyde ( $3.60 \mathrm{mmol} .1 .0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.616 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in methanol $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, were added $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(5.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.6 \mathrm{eq}, 0.0977 \mathrm{~g})$ and a 6 M aqueous solution of NaOH ( $22.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.25 \mathrm{eq}, 0.899 \mathrm{~g}$ ). The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 5 h . After this time the reaction was complete. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction was quenched by slow addition of a 2 M aqueous solution of HCl till pH 2 . The product was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers were washed with a saturated
aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The extract was triturated with petroleum ether, filtered. The solid was rinsed several times with petroleum ether, and dried in oven $\left(60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Y $62 \%$. m.p. $193-195{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6^{-}}\right.$ DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.43(1 \mathrm{H}$, bs, C(5)H), $7.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.93$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 12.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 115.9$, $116.3,117.5,120.6(2 C), 125.2,126.7,129.8(2 C), 139.7,165.2 \mathrm{ppm} . \operatorname{MS}(E I) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[M]^{+}$ calculated: 187.0633, found: 187.0635. The reported data are in good agreement with the literature. ${ }^{241}$

## Synthesis of 4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridine-3-carbonitrile (32)



A solution of 2-cyanoacetamide ( $47.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 4.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ), and piperidine ( $6.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.13 \mathrm{eq}$, $0.61 \mathrm{~mL})$ in ethanol ( 30 mL ) was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min . After this time, the solution was let cool to room temperature, and 2,4-pentanedione ( $47.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 4.9 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added. The reaction was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . After this time the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. The product precipitated and it was filtered. The solid on the filter was rinsed with ethanol and diethyl ether, and then dried to give as a white solid ( $20.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 43 \%$ ). m.p. 288-290 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.22\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.29$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 6.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 12.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, 100 MHz , $\delta ; \mathrm{ppm}) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 19.3,19.6,104.3,110.1,111.4,150.6,159.9,160.8 \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$ calculated: 148.0637, found: 148.0639. ${ }^{159}$

Synthesis of 3-(aminomethyl)-4,6-dimethylpyridin-2(1H)-one hydrochloride (33)


4,6-Dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridine-3-carbonitrile ( $13.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 2.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was suspended in 5 mL of acetic acid and the system was degassed $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right) . \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(1.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \% \mathrm{~mol}, 0.144 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{PtO} 2(0.062 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}, 14.11 \mathrm{mg})$ were added. $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ gas ( 70 psi ) was bubbled into the reaction mixture through a Paar-apparatus for 6 h at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time the reaction was judged complete by TLC analysis. The suspension was filtered and the acetic acid removed in vacuo (azeotrope with toluene). The residue was dissolved in ethanol ( 20 mL ). The solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 3 mL of a 6 M aqueous solution of HCl were added dropwise. The product
immediately precipitated as hydrochloric salt. The system was left under stirring at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The product was then fitered, rinsed with ethanol and diethyl ether, to give the pure amine as a white solid. Y $69 \%$. m.p. $295-298{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.16$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.77\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 5.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 8.17(2 \mathrm{H}$, bs, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $11.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NHCO}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{c}}: 18.8,19.1$, $38.2,111.3,116.7,151.4,156.1,163.7 \mathrm{ppm}$. MS (EI) m/z [M-HCl] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 152.0950, found: 152.0946 . ${ }^{159}$

General procedure for the synthesis of pyrrole amides ( $\left.25 a-h, k-z, a^{\prime}-j^{\prime}\right)$. Example: Synthesis of N -((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-1-(5-methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25j')


In a flame dried round bottom flask, 1-(5-methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic acid ( $0.218 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 70.0 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was solved in dry DMF ( 0.3 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. Triethylamine ( $1.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.213 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and O-(benzotriazol-1-yl)$N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$ 'tetramethyluronium tetrafluoroborate (TBTU) ( $0.262 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}, 83.9 \mathrm{mg}$ ) were added to the reaction solution, and the system was left under stirring at room temperature for 40 minutes. After this time, the acid activation was confirmed by TLC analysis. 3-(Aminomethyl)-4,6-dimethylpyridin-2( 1 H )-one hydrochloride ( $0.239 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}, 45.2 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added to the solution and the reaction was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at room temperature for 16 h . After this time, the reaction was judged complete by TLC analysis and it was quenched by addition of 3 mL of a saturated aqueous solution of NaCl . The product precipitated, and it was filtered and rinsed with distilled water. The solid was dried and further purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with ethyl acetate: methanol $40: 1$, to give $\mathbf{2 5 j}$ ' ( $0.142 \mathrm{mmol}, 64.6 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ). m.p. $140-145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (benzene). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.99\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.27\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.87\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H), 6.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H) 6.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(17) H), 7.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{C}(19) H), 7.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(15) H), 7.32-7.49\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(22) H, \mathrm{C}(23) H, \mathrm{C}(24) H\right.$ and $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right)$, $7.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(21) H, \mathrm{C}(25) H), 11.48\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs},-\mathrm{NH}-\right.$ pyridone). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.1,13.4,18.5,19.6,32.7,55.7,104.6,108.1,109.0,110.9,114.3,116.1$,
117.8, 127.7, 127.8 (2 C), 129.6 (2 C), 130.1, 131.5, 138.7, 140.7, 142.3, 151.6, 157.9, 162.1, 163.7, 167.6 ppm . MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 455.2209, found: 455.2213.

Chemical and Physical Data, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and MS (EI) Data for Compounds 25a-h, k-z, a'-i'.
$\mathbf{N}$-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-3carboxamide (25a)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.26$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 6.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H), 7.30\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right) H\right), 7.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{C}(4) H)$, $7.48\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) H\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right) H\right), 7.59\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) H\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right) H\right), 7.75$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{C}(5) H), 7.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) H), 11.49\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s} .-\mathrm{NH}-\right.$ pyridone) $\mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta 18.5,19.1,32.6,106.5,110.9,115.7,116.0,120.4,120.5,120.8$ (2C), 126.7, 129.8 (2C), 139.5, 151.7, 158.2, $163.6,166.4 \mathrm{ppm}$; m.p. $115-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane); Y=56 \%; MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 321.1477, found: 321.1476.
$\mathbf{N}$-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-3carboxamide (25b)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.19\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.38$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.25\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H), 6.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(5) H), 6.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.36\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) H\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right) H\right), 7.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $\left.7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right) H\right), 7.52\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right) H\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right) H\right), 7.58\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right)$, $11.46\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s} .-\mathrm{NH}\right.$ - pyridone) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 11.6,18.5,19.1$, $32.8,110.1,111.3,116.0,120.2,123.1,125.3$ (2C), 127.9, 129.3 (2C), 134.8, 137.7, 151.6, 157.9, 163.6, $167.3 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ m.p. $197-199{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile); $\mathrm{Y}=61 \%$; MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 335.1634, found: 335.1639 .

## $\mathbf{N}$-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-

 3-carboxamide (25c)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.91\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.19\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.23\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H)$, $6.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.26\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right) H\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right) H\right), 7.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz},-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right)$, 7.49-7.56 (3H, m, C $\left(3^{\prime}\right) H$, $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right) H$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right) H\right), 11.45(1 \mathrm{H}$, s. -NH- pyridone) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.0,13.3,18.5,19.1,32.8,104.7,111.4,114.2$, 116.0, 127.8 (2C), 128.1, 129.1 (2C), 130.0, 131.4, 136.3, 151.5, 157.9, 163.6, 167.5 ppm ; m.p. $220-225{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/methanol); Y=58 \%; MS (EI) m/z [M] calculated: 349.1790, found: 349.1786.

## N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-5-isopropyl-2-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-

 pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25d).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.98\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.16\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.49-2.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(14) H)$, $4.23\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H), 6.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}(18) H, \mathrm{C}(22) H)$, 7.46-7.55 (4H, m, $\mathrm{C}(19) H, \mathrm{C}(20) H, \mathrm{C}(21) H$, and $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 11.47$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NH}$ - pyridone) $\mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.1,18.5,19.1,20.8$ (2C), 26.1, $32.8,105.7,111.1,113.2,116.0,127.3$ (2C), 128.1, 129.1 (2C), 132.1, 136.2, 143.8, $151.5,157.9,163.6,167.5 \mathrm{ppm}$; m.p. $235-239^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/methanol); Y=54\%. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 377.2103, found: 377.2108 .

## N -((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3carboxamide (25e)


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.07\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.11\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.16$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH} H_{3}$ ), $2.33\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.18\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H)$, $5.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.19\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 10.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{pyrrole}), 11.42$ ( 1 H , s. -NH- pyridone) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 13.3,13.5,18.4,19.1$, $32.8,102.4,110.4,110.9,116.0,122.9,133.7,151.5,157.9,163.6,168.0$ ppm; m.p. $210-215{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/methanol); Y=52 \%; MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 273.1477, found: 273.1480.

## N -((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-1,2,5-trimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-

 carboxamide (25f)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta 2.13$ ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.15 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.41\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.32\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 4.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 5.84$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H), 6.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.25\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 11.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s} .-\mathrm{NH}-$ pyridone) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta 11.7,12.5,18.5,19.1,30.9,32.8$, $102.9,111.2,112.3,116.0,130.8,133.1,151.5,157.9,163.6,167.4$ ppm; m.p. $222-225{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/methanol); Y=54 \%; MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 287.1634, found: 287.1635.

N -((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-1-isopropyl-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25g)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.38\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(14) H_{3}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(15) H_{3}\right)$, 2.11 $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.16\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.19\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.50\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.18$
$\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 4.41-4.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(13) H), 5.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{CH}-$ pyridone $), 6.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.21\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 11.44(1 \mathrm{H}$, s. $-\mathrm{NH}-$ pyridone $) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.6,14.1,18.5,19.1,20.4$ (2C), 32.8, 48.2, 103.8, 111.2, $114.3,116.0,125.4,132.3,151.5,157.9,163.6,167.5 \mathrm{ppm}$; m.p. $180-185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile); $\mathrm{Y}=$ $63 \%$; MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 315.1947, found: 315.1949.

1-benzyl-N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25h)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.05\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.36\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.20\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(13) H_{2}\right), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H), 6.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 6.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(15) H, \mathrm{C}(19) H)$, $7.24\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 7.30-7.37(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(16) H, \mathrm{C}(17) H, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 11.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $-\mathrm{NH}-$ pyridone $) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 11.9,12.3,18.5,19.1,32.7$, $48.8,102.6,111.3,113.5,116.0,127.6$ (2C), 127.8, 128.5 (2C), 129.5, 136.6, 151.5, 157.9, 163.6, $167.5 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ m.p. $205-208{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile); Y=50\%; MS (EI) m/z [M] calculated: 363.1947, found: 363.1945 .

Benzyl (3-(((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)carbamoyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-1-yl)carbamate (25k)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.98\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.11\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.16$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.26\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.20\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.19\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(15) H_{2}\right), 5.85$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H), 6.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.38-7.42(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(17) H, \mathrm{C}(18) H, \mathrm{C}(19) H, \mathrm{C}(20) H$,
$\mathrm{C}(21) \mathrm{H}$ and $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 10.46\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 11.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NH}-$ pyridone $) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 11.3,11.9,18.5,19.1,32.8,66.7,103.1,111.0$, $113.2,115.9,127.9,128.1$ (2C), 128.3 (2C), 131.6, 132.6, 136.1, 151.5, 157.9, 158.2, 163.6, $167.5 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ m.p. $256-259{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol); $\mathrm{Y}=60 \%$. MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 422.1954, found: 422.1956 .

## N -((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H-

pyrrole-3-carboxamide (251)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.97\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s} \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.26\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.23\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H)$, $6.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.44-7.53\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(15) H, \mathrm{C}(16) H\right.$ and $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 8.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}(17) H), 8.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 11.46\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NH}-\right.$ pyridone) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.0,13.4,18.5,19.1,32.8,106.7,111.2,116.0,119.8,120.6$, $122.5,128.7,130.3,138.9,148.4,151.5,152.7,157.9,163.6,167.5 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ m.p. $230-233{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/methanol); $\mathrm{Y}=57 \%$; MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 350.1743 , found: 350.1748 .

## N -((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1-(pyridin-3-yl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25m)


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.92\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.23\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H)$, $6.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.49\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 7.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(17) H), 7.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 8.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s} \mathrm{C}(14) H), 8.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(16) H)$, 11.47 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NH}-$ pyridone) $\mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.0,13.3,18.5$, $19.1,32.8,104.7,111.3,114.2,115.9,124.1,127.8,129.8,131.4,131.6,143.8,144.5,151.5$,
157.9, 163.6, 167.5 ppm ; m.p. $183-185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile); Y= $61 \%$; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 350.1743 , found: 350.1746 .
$\mathbf{N}$-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1-(pyridin-4-yl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25n)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.97\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.17$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.25\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.22\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H)$, $6.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(14) H, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 7.53\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right.$ ), $8.74(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(15) H, \mathrm{C}(17) H), 11.50\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NH}-\right.$ pyridone) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.1,13.4,18.5,19.2,32.7,104.6,111.0,113.9$ (2C), 114.3, 116.0, $130.1,131.5,140.8,150.4$ (2C), $151.5,157.9,163.6,167.5$ ppm; m.p. $235-237{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/methanol); $\mathrm{Y}=58 \% ; \mathrm{MS}$ (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 350.1743, found: 350.1740.

## N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1-(pyrazin-2-yl)-1H-

pyrrole-3-carboxamide (250)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.02\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.30\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.23\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H)$, $6.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.56\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 8.75-8.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(16) H), 8.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(15) H), 8.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(17) H), 11.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NH}-$ pyridone) $\mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.0,13.3,18.5,19.1,32.8,106.7$, $110.9,116.1,122.6,128.5,130.3,133.8,136.2,137.9,150.3,151.5,157.9,163.6,167.5 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ m.p. 200-205 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile); $\mathrm{Y}=52 \% ; \mathrm{MS}$ (EI) m/z [M]+ calculated: 351.1695, found: 351.1697.

N -((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1-(3-nitrophenyl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25p)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.94\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.23\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.23\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H)$, $6.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 7.77-7.86\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}\right.$ and $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-$ ), $8.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(15) H), 8.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(17) H), 11.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NH}-$ pyridone $) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.1,13.3,18.5,19.2,32.8,104.8,111.2,114.2,116.1$, $121.9,122.5,129.6,130.1,130.3,131.5,136.4,146.8,151.5,157.8,163.6,167.5 \mathrm{ppm}$; m.p. 137$139{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (benzene); $\mathrm{Y}=59 \% \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 394.1641, found: 394.1642.

N -((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1-(4-nitrophenyl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25q)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.96\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.25\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.23\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H)$, $6.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.54\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 7.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(15) H$, $\mathrm{C}(19) H), 8.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(16) H, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 11.49\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NH}-\right.$ pyridone) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.1,13.2,18.5,19.2,32.8,104.7,111.2,114.3,115.6,123.7$ (2 C), 125.1 (2 C), 130.0, 131.5, 142.4, 145.8, 151.5, 158.0, 163.7, 167.5 ppm; m.p. 243-246 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol); $\mathrm{Y}=60 \% \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 394.1641, found: 394.1646.

N -((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25t)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.92\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.80\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.23\left(2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right)$, $5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H), 6.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 6.81-6.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(15) H, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 7.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(17) H)$, 7.39-7.46 (2H, m, C(19)H and $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 11.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NH}-$ pyridone) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.2,13.1,18.5,19.1,32.8,55.3$, $104.9,111.2,114.3,114.5,115.5,116.0,124.7,129.9,130.1,131.5,139.0,151.5,157.9,161.6$, $163.5,167.6 \mathrm{ppm} ;$,m.p. $202-204{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile); Y= $65 \%$. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 379.1896, found: 379.1892.

## N -((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-

 1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25u)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.89\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18$ $\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.82\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.22\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{C}(10) H), 6.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(16) H, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 7.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(15) H, \mathrm{C}(19) H), 7.43\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 11.46\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NH}\right.$ - pyridone) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.1,13.2,18.5,19.1,32.7,55.4,104.7,111.2,114.3$, 115.4 (2 C), 115.8, 128.7 (2 C), 130.1, 131.6, 136.5, 151.6, 158.0, 159.4, 163.6, 167.5. ppm; m.p. $196-200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile); $\mathrm{Y}=71 \%$. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 379.1896, found: 379.1894.

## 1-([1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25x)


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.96\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.19$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.25\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.23\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H)$, $6.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}), 7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.50$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(22) H, \mathrm{C}(24) H\right.$ and $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N} H C O-\right), 7.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(15) H), 7.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}), 7.74(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(21) H, \mathrm{C}(25) H), 7.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(17) H), 11.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, -NH- pyridone) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.1,13.2,18.5,19.2,32.7$, $104.7,111.1,114.3,116.1,118.4,121.2,126.0,126.9$ (2 C), 127.8, 128.7 (2 C), 129.0, 130.1, $131.7,139.9,140.7,141.1,151.5,157.9,163.6,167.5 \mathrm{ppm}$; m.p. $236-239{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol); $\mathrm{Y}=67$ \% MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 425.2103, found: 425.2107.

## 1-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25y)


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.96\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.19$ (3H, s, C(11)CH3), $2.25\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.24\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H)$, $6.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(15) H, \mathrm{C}(19) H), 7.40-7.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(23) H$ and $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 7.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(22) H, \mathrm{C}(24) H), 7.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(21) H, \mathrm{C}(25) H)$, $7.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(16) H, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 11.48\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NH}\right.$ - pyridone) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.0,13.3,18.5,19.1,32.8,104.7,110.9,114.2,116.1,126.3$ (2C), 126.9 (2C), 127.7, 127.9 (2C), 128.8 (2C), 130.1, 131.5, 139.6, 140.9, 142.2, 151.4, 158.1, 163.6,
167.7 ppm ; m.p. $203-205^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile); $\mathrm{Y}=56 \% . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 425.2103, found: 425.2100.

## 1-(4-benzoylphenyl)-N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-

## 1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25z)


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.98\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.19$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.27\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.24\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H)$, $6.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.48-7.50\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(16) H, \mathrm{C}(18) H\right.$ and $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 7.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}(23) H, \mathrm{C}(25) H), 7.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(24) H), 7.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(22) H, \mathrm{C}(26) H)$, $7.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(15) H, \mathrm{C}(19) H), 11.46\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NH}-\right.$ pyridone) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.1,13.3,18.5,19.1,32.7,104.8,110.9,114.3,115.9,125.3$ (2 C), $128.2,128.7$ (2 C), 129.5(2 C), 130.0, 130.6 (2 C), 131.5, 132.4, 138.1, 140.5, 151.5, 158.0, $163.6,167.5,195.2 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ m.p. $223-227^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/methanol); Y=52\%. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$ calculated: 453.2052, found: 453.2048.
$\mathbf{N}$-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-1-(4-
((dimethylamino)methyl)phenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide hydrochloride (25a')

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.93\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.20\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.22$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.28\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.71\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(22) H_{3}\right), 2.73\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(21) H_{3}\right), 4.28$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(20) H_{2}\right), 4.36\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 6.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H), 6.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H)$, $7.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(16) H, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 7.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(15) H, \mathrm{C}(19) H), 8.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$,
$\left.J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 10.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NH}-$ pyridone $), 12.24\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs},-\mathrm{NH}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.1,13.3,18.5,19.1,32.8,45.1$ (2C), 63. 9, 104.7, $111.2,114.2,116.0,126.3$ (2C), 127.2 (2C), 130.1, 131.5, 139.0, 139.7, 151.6, 157.9, 163.6, $167.5 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ m.p. $159-164{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (benzene); $\mathrm{Y}=65 \% . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{HCl}]^{+}$calculated: 406.2369, found: 406.2367.

## N -((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-1-(3-fluorophenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-

 1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25b')
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.92\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.11\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.17$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) 2.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) 4.22\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H)$, $6.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(19) H), 7.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(17) H), 7.33-7.37$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CONHCH}_{2}-\right), 7.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(15) H), 7.56-7.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(18) H), 11.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs},-\mathrm{NH}-$ pyridone $) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.1,13.2$, $18.5,19.2,32.8,104.7,110.9,114.2,115.8,116.1,116.4,124.7,130.0,130.6,131.5,136.2$, $151.5,158.0,162.6,163.7,167.5 \mathrm{ppm}$; m.p. $215-218{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/methanol); Y=59\%. MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 367.1696 , found: 367.1699 .

## 1-(3-chlorophenyl)-N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25c')


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.92\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.11\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.17$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4,22\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H)$, $6.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.26-7.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(18) H)$, 7.45-7.46 (2H, m, C(15)H, C(17)H), 7.56-7.58 $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CONHCH}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(19) H\right), 11.48\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}\right.$ pyridone). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.1,13.2,18.5,19.1,32.8,104.7,111.2,114.3,116.0,126.4,127.6,128.1,130.2$,
130.3, 131.5, 134.0, 137.8, 151.5, 157.9, 163.6, $167.5 \quad$ ppm; m.p. 221-223 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/methanol); $\mathrm{Y}=61 \% . \mathrm{MS}$ (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 383.1401, found: 383.1406.

## 1-(3-bromophenyl)-N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25d')


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.92\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.11\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.17$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.22\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H)$, $6.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 7.45-7.52\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N} H \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{C}(19) H\right), 7.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(15) H), 7.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(17) H), 11.48\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}\right.$ pyridone). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.2,13.3,18.5,19.1,32.8,104.8,111.2,114.4,116.0,120.5,125.6$, $125.9,126.5,129.9,130.7,131.5,138.4,151.5,157.9,163.6,167.6$. ppm; m.p. $163-165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (benzene); Y=59 \%. MS (EI) m/z [ $\left.{ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{M}\right]^{+}$calculated: 427.0895, found: 427.0893; [ $\left.{ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{M}\right]^{+}$ calculated: 429.0875, found: 429.0877 .

## 1-(3-(tert-butyl)phenyl)-N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25e')


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.30\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.90\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $2.11\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.19\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H), 6.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(17) H), 7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{C}(15) H), 7.41-7.50\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}\right), 11,47\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}\right.$, NH pyridone). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 11.9,13.2,18.5,19.2,30.9$ (3 C), 32.7, 34.8, 104.7, $111.2,114.2,116.1,121.6,123.2,126.9,129.8,130.1,131.6,139.4,148.3,151.5,158.0,163.6$, $167.6 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ m. p. $140-143{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (benzene); Y= $66 \%$. MS (EI) m/z [M] calculated: 405.2416, found: 405.2414.

## N -((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1-(3-(piperidin-1-

 yl)phenyl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25f')
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.54-1.60\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.92$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.11\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.18-$ $3.20\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(21) H_{2}, \mathrm{C}(25) H_{2}\right), 4.22\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H), 6.25(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 6.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}), 6.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}), 7.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}), 7.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}), 7.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 11.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs},-$ NH- pyridone). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.1,13.3,18.5,19.2,24.6,27.0(2$ C), $32.8,51.4$ (2 C), 104.7, 108.6, 111.2, 113.4, 114.2, 116.1, 126.8, 130.1, 130.5, 131.6, 139.3, $151.5,153.4,158.0,163.6,167.7 \mathrm{ppm}$; m.p. $135-137^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (benzene); Y=55\%. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$ calculated: 432.2525 , found: 432.2521 .

N -((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1-(3-morpholinophenyl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide ( 25 g ')

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.92\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.16\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(22) H_{2}, \mathrm{C}(24) H_{2}\right), 3.72(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\left.J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(21) H_{2}, \mathrm{C}(25) H_{2}\right), 4.22\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H), 6.26(1 \mathrm{H}$, s, C(4)H), $6.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(19) H), 6.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(15) H), 7.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(17) H)$, 7.34-7.39 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}$ and $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 11.47\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}\right.$, -NH- pyridone) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.1,13.3,18.5,19.2,32.8,48.1$ (2 C), 66.4 (2 C), 104.7, 108.6, 111.2, 113.4, 114.3, 116.0, 126.7, 130.1, 130.7, 131.5, 139.3, 151.5, 153.4, 158.0, 163.6,
$167.5 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{p} .178-180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile); $\mathrm{Y}=53 \% . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 434.2318, found: 434.2321.

N -((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1-(3-phenoxyphenyl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25h')

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.96\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.19\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.25$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.29\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.46\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H)$, $6.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 6.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(15) H), 6.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(17) H), 7.03-7.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(21) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(25) \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}), 7.28\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right)$, 7.34-7.42 (2H, m, C(18)H, C(19)H), $11.48\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs},-\mathrm{NH}-\right.$ pyridone). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.1,13.4,18.5,19.2,32.8,104.8,111.2,114.2,115.9,116.1,118.2$ (2 C), 119.6, 124.1, 124.4, 129.3 (2 C), 129.9, 130.0, 131.6, 138.3, 151.4, 156.8, 156.9, 158.0, 163.6, $167.5 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ m.p. $125-128^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane); $\mathrm{Y}=69 \%$. MS (EI) m/z $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 441.2052, found: 441.2055 .

## N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1-(3-

 (phenylthio)phenyl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25i')
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.87\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.11\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.15$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.16\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.20\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H)$, $6.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.00-7.53(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(15) H, \mathrm{C}(17) H, \mathrm{C}(18) H, \mathrm{C}(19) H, \mathrm{C}(21) H, \mathrm{C}(22) H$, $\mathrm{C}(23) H, \mathrm{C}(24) H, \mathrm{C}(25) H), 7.42\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 11.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NH}-$ pyridone $) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR
(DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.2,13.3,18.5,19.2,32.7,104.8,111.0,114.2,115.9,123.3$, $126.2,127.0,127.5,128.6,129.2$ (2 C), 129.9, 130.0, 131.2 (2 C), 131.7, 133.2, 138.6, 151.5, $157.9,163.6,167.6 \mathrm{ppm}$; m.p. $128-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (benzene); $\mathrm{Y}=75 \% . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 457.1824, found: 457.1822.

General procedure for the synthesis of the compounds 25 v , w Example: Synthesis of $\mathbf{N}$ -((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-1-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25v)


N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide ( $\mathbf{2 5 t}$ ) ( $0.0572 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 21.7 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was solved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The solution was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a 1 M solution of $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}(0.114$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.114 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added dropwise. The temperature was left slowly rise to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After $2 \mathrm{~h}, 1.0$ eq more of $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ was added $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 3 h . After this time the reaction was complete, and it was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers were washed with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The extract was triturated with a $1: 1$ mixture of petroleum ether: diethyl ether and filtered. The solid was rinsed several times with petroleum ether, and dried. $\mathrm{Y}=73 \%$; m.p. $217-219{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/methanol); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.91\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $2.19\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.22\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H), 6.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{C}(4) H), 6.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}), 6.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}), 6.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H})$, $7.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}), 7.41\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 10.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{OH}), 11.46$ (1H, s, -NH- pyridone) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.1,13.2,18.6,19.2$, $32.7,104.7,111.2,113.9,114.2,114.8,115.9,123.7,129.8,130.1,131.5,137.6,151.5,157.4$, 157.9, 163.6, 167.6 ppm ; MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 365.1739, found: 365.1737.

Chemical and Physical Data, ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and MS (EI) Data for Compound $\mathbf{N}$-( $(4,6-$ dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25w)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.88\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.17$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.22\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H)$, $6.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 6.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(16) H, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 7.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(15) H$, $\mathrm{C}(19) H), 7.38\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 9.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{OH}), 11.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{pyridone})$ $\mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.1,13.3,18.5,19.2,32.8,104.7,111.2$, $114.3,116.0,128.9$ (2 C), 129.9, 130.0 (2 C), 131.5, 135.4, 151.5, 157.4, 158.0, 163.6, 167.4 ppm; m.p. 286-289 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol); Y=55 \% MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 365.1739, found: 365.1740.

General procedure for the synthesis of the compounds 25r, s Example: Synthesis of 1-(3-aminophenyl)-N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25r)


A solution of N -((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1-(3-nitrophenyl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide ( $\mathbf{2 5 p}$ ) ( $0.127 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 50.0 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in methanol ( 1 mL ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2} \times 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.643 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0 \mathrm{eq}, 143.02 \mathrm{mg})$ and $37 \% \mathrm{HCl}(15 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ were added. The reaction mixture was left to warm to room temperature and stirred for 30 h . After this time the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. The reaction was diluted with ethyl acetate ( 3 mL ), cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched by addition of a $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} / \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ buffered solution ( pH 8 ). The product was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The extract was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with ethyl acetate: methanol 10:1. $\mathrm{Y}=53 \%$; m.p. decomposition at 210
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/methanol); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.91\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.20\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.36\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{PhNH} H_{2}\right), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H), 6.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 6.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(19) H), 6.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(16) H), 6.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(17) H), 7.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(15) H), 7.39\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 11.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NH}-$ pyridone $) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.1,13.3,18.5,19.3,32.7,104.7,109.6,110.8,111.0$, $114.2,116.0,123.8,129.9,130.5,131.4,137.7,148.2,151.5,157.9,163.6,167.4 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}$ (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 364.1899 , found: 364.1895.

Chemical and Physical Data, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and MS (EI) Data for Compound 1-(4-aminophenyl)-N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25s)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.88\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.16$ $\left.\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.21\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{PhNH})_{2}\right)$, $5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H), 6.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 6.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(16) H, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 6.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(15) H, \mathrm{C}(19) H), 7.34\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 11.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NH}-$ pyridone $)$ $\mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.3,13.2,18.5,19.1,32.8,104.7,110.9$, $114.3,115.9,120.8$ (2 C), 126.9 (2 C), 130.0, 131.5, 133.8, 145.7, 151.5, 158.0, 163.6, 167.5 ppm; m.p. decomposition at $200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile); $\mathrm{Y}=55 \% \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 364.1899, found: 364.1898.

General procedure for the synthesis of the compounds $\mathbf{2 5 i}$, $\mathbf{j}$ Example: Synthesis of $\mathbf{N}$-( (4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1-(phenylsulfonyl)-1H-
pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25i)


3-(aminomethyl)-4,6-dimethylpyridin-2(1H)-one hydrochloride ( $0.267 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 50.41 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was suspended in acetonytrile ( 2 mL ). Triethylamine ( $0.534 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.0745 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 minutes. After this time, 2,2,2-trichloro-1-(2,5-dimethyl-1-(phenylsulfonyl)-1H-pyrrol-3-yl)ethan-1-one ( $0.178 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq, 62.8 mg ) was added and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 16 h . After this time the reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times$ 10 mL ), the combined organic layers were washed with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The extract was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with ethyl acetate: methanol $25: 1$. The product was triturated with a $1: 1$ mixture of petroleum ether: diethyl ether. $\mathrm{Y}=56 \%$. m.p. 213-217 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/methanol); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.10\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.13\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.34\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.60$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.17\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H), 6.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) H)$, $7.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(16) H, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 7.78(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(15) H, \mathrm{C}(17) H, \mathrm{C}(19) H$ and $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 11.43\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NH}-\right.$ pyridone) $\mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ $12.2,14.3,18.5,19.1,32.7,106.4,111.2,114.4,116.0,127.1$ (2 C), 128.9 (2 C), 133.8, 135.1, 135.7, 138.1, 151.5, 158.0, 163.6, $167.5 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}(E I) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 413.1409, found: 413.1413.

Chemical and Physical Data, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and MS (EI) Data for Compound 1-benzoyl-N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (25j)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.08\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.31\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.35(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.40\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.51\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 5.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) H), 6.09$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s} \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.47-7.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(17) H, \mathrm{C}(19) H), 7.64-7.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(16) H, \mathrm{C}(20) H$ and $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}-\right), 7.71-7.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 11.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NH}-$ pyridone $) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 12.4,13.5,18.5,19.1,32.8,106.7,111.2,116.1,119.9,128.9$ (2 C), 129.1 (2 C), 131.5, 131.7, 134.5, 136.4, 151.5, 158.0, 163.6, 167.5, 168.9 ppm; m.p. $118-122{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane); $\mathrm{Y}=63 \% . \mathrm{MS}$ (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 377.1739, found: 377.1735.

| Cpd | Molecular structure | m.p. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Crystallization solvent | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | MS (EI) [ $\mathrm{M}^{+}$] | Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26c |  | 46-48 | $n$-hexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.05(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.93\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 7.23-$ $7.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.39-$ $7.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H})$ ppm. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculated: 171.1048; } \\ & \text { found: } 171.1050 \end{aligned}$ | 24243 |
| 26d |  | 58-60 | $n$-hexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.10(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $\left.7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.02\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.68$ $2.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}), 5.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.27$ (2H, d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.51(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculated: 199.1361; } \\ & \text { found: } 199.1358 \end{aligned}$ | 243 |
| 26 f |  | oil | - | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.32(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 3.51\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 5.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | Calculated: 109.0891; found: 109.0889 | 244 |
| 26g |  | oil | - | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.50(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $\left.7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, C(7) \mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.32\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, 4.41-4.48 (1H, m, C(6)H), $5.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$ ppm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculated: 137.1204; } \\ & \text { found: 137.1206 } \end{aligned}$ | 243 |


| 26h |  | 41-45 | $n$-hexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{\sigma}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.24(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.09\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 5.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 6.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H})$, $7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculated: 185.1204; } \\ & \text { found: } 185.1209 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | 235,236 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 i |  | 96-98 | cyclohexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.41(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.53$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H})$ ppm. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calculated: } 235.0667 \text {; } \\ \text { found: } 235.0663 \end{gathered}$ | - |
| 26j |  | 38-39 | $n$-hexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.09(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 5.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.50(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tt}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | Calculated: 199.0997; <br> found: 199.0994 | 245,246 |
| 26k |  | 117-119 | cyclohexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.13(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 5.25\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 5.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.49$ (5H, m, NH, C(11)H, C(12)H, C(14)H, C(15)H) ppm | Calculated: 244.1212; found: 244.1215 | 247 |


| 261 |  | oil | - | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.15(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.25$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H})$, $7.83-7.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 8.63-8.65$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculated: } 172.1000 \\ & \text { found: } 172.1004 \end{aligned}$ | 248249 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26m |  | oil | - | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.07(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.44-$ 7.47 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.61$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 8.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 8.67-8.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculated: } 172.1000 \\ & \text { found: } 172.0998 \end{aligned}$ | 235 |
| 26n |  | 113-115 | cyclohexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.11(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, 7.19$7.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 8.74-$ $8.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculated: } 172.1000 \\ & \text { found: } 172.1002 \end{aligned}$ | 235 |
| 260 |  | 60-62 | $n$-hexane | $\begin{gathered} { }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}^{( }\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.19(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \\ \left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 8.58- \\ 8.61(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} . \end{gathered}$ | Calculated: 173.0953; <br> Found: 173.0957 | 250 |
| 26p |  | 75-80 | $n$-hexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.09(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.60$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H})$, $8.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 8.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | Calculated: 216.0899; <br> found: 216.0901 | 251 |


| 26q |  | 78-81 | $n$-hexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.10(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.41$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 8.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | Calculated: 216.0899; found: 216.0895 | 251 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26t |  | 55-56 | $n$-hexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.10(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.85\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 6.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H})$, $6.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | Calculated: 201.1154; found: 201.1155 | ${ }^{235},{ }^{252}$ |
| 26u |  | 57-59 | $n$-hexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.05(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.89\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, C(3)H, C(4)H), 6.99-7.00 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.17$ (2H, d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H})$ ppm. | Calculated: 201.1154; found: 201.1157 | ${ }^{253},{ }^{252}$ |
| 26x |  | 55-57 | $n$-hexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.11(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.22$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \quad J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \quad J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}), 7.49(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}), 7.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.67$ (3H, m, C(7)H, C(13)H, C(17)H) ppm. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calculated: } 274.1361 ; \\ \text { found: } 274.1365 . \end{gathered}$ | - |


| 26 y |  | 74-76 | $n$-hexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.12(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.32$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}), 7.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H})$, $7.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}), 7.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calculated: } 274.1361 ; \\ \text { found: } 274.1359 . \end{gathered}$ | 254 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26z |  | 133-135 | cyclohexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.01(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.45$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}), 7.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}), 7.78$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculated: } 275.1310 \\ & \text { found: } 275.1308 \end{aligned}$ | - |
| 26a' |  | 323-325 | methanol | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.95(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.17\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $3.44\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 5.78\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 7.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | Calculated $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{HCl}]^{+}$: 228.1626; found: 228.1630 | 235 |


| 26b' |  | 62-63 | $n$-hexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.07(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 6.98$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.16$ ( 1 H , tdd, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | Calculated: 189.0954; found: 189.0957 | 252 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26c ${ }^{\prime}$ |  | 41-42 | $n$-hexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.97(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.02-$ $7.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.16(1 \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H})$, 7.30-7.35 (2H, m, C(9)H, C(11)H) ppm. | Calculated: 205.0658; found: 205.0656 | 255 |
| 26d' |  | 84-85 | $n$-hexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.96(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.08-$ 7.11 ( 1 H , ddd, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.26$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, C(7)H), 7.45-7.48 ( 1 H , ddd, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, C(9)H) ppm. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calculated: } 249.0153 \text {, } \\ 251.0133 \text {; found: } \\ 249.0150,251.0131 \end{gathered}$ | 256257 |
| 26e' |  | 59-61 | $n$-hexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.26(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.97\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | Calculated: 227.1674; found: 227.1678 | - |


| 26f' |  | oil | - | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{\sigma}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.59-1.60$ $\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.97(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.17-3.20\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 5.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 6.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 6.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H})$, $6.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ 7.6 Hz, C(10)H) ppm. | Calculated: 254.1783, found: 254.1782 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 269' |  <br> 16 | oil | - | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.97(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.16\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.73\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, $5.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 6.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 6.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 6.99(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | Calculated: 256.1576, found: 256.1578 |  |
| 26h' |  | 79-82 | $n$-hexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.96(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 6.74$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H})$, 6.97-6.99 (3H, m, C(9)H, C(13)H, C(17)H) 7.07 (1H, t, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calculated: } 263.1310, \\ \text { found: } 263.1314 \end{gathered}$ | - |


| 26i' |  | 75-78 | $n$-hexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.02(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.05-$ $7.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.46(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H})$ ppm. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculated: 279.1082, } \\ & \text { found: } 279.1085 . \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26j' |  | 84-87 | cyclohexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR ( $d_{\sigma}$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.03(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.80\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 6.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 6.98(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H})$, 7.28-7.32 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},(\mathrm{C} 14) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H})$, $7.51-7.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculated: 277.1467, } \\ & \text { found: } 277.1462 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 26k' |  | 115-116 | cyclohexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.02(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.81\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, , $6.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.03$ <br> $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculated: } 279.0259 ; \\ & \text { found: } 279.0261 \end{aligned}$ | - |


| $27 \beta$ |  | oil | - | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{\sigma}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 7.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H})$, $7.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 7.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 9.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHO}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | Calculated: 171.0684; found: 171.0687 | 258 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 |  | 75-78 | $n$-hexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.36(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $\left.7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.55\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.29(2 \mathrm{H}$, q, $\left.J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.57-6.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 8.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | Calculated: 153.0790 ; found: 153.0794 | 238 |
| 29 |  | 170-172 | toluene/acetonitrile | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.38(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $\left.6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.46\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.32(2 \mathrm{H}$, q, $\left.J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 7.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.43-$ $7.49(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calculated: 229.1103; } \\ \text { found:. } 229.1109 \end{gathered}$ | 259 |


| Cpd | Molecular structure | m.p. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Crystallization solvent | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | MS (EI) $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$ | Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 a |  | 193-195 | acetonitrile | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR ( $d_{\sigma}$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.61(1 \mathrm{H}$, bs, C(4)H), $7.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}$, $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 7.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.67$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H})$, $12.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | calculated: 187.0633, found: 187.0635 | 241 |
| 31b |  | 197-199 | acetonitrile | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{\sigma}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.93(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 89) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 11.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | calculated: 201.0790, found: 201.0787 | - |
| 31c |  | 210-215 | acetonitrile | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{\sigma}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.92$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.20\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, 7.31 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.59$ ( 3 H , $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}, 11.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculated: } 215.0946, \\ & \text { found: } 215.0949 \end{aligned}$ | 260 |


| 31d |  | 230-232 | methanol | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.98(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(12) H)$, $2.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.54(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H} .12 .03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calculated:243.1259, } \\ \text { found: } 243.1262 \end{gathered}$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31e |  | 210-213 | acetonitrile | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.34\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, $10.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 11.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | Calculated: 139.0633, found: 139.0635 | 261 |
| 31f |  | 223-225 | acetonitrile/methanol | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.33\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.32\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 5.87$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 11.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | Calculated: 153.0790, found: 153.0787 | - |
| 31g |  | 183-183 | acetonitrile | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.40(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 2.22\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.51$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 6.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 11.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | Calculated: 181.1103, found: 181.1101 | - |


| 31h |  | 195-198 | acetonitrile | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta 2.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) 2.4\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 5.12\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.17$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 6.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H})$, $7.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}) 7.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 11.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | Calculated: 229.1103, found: 229.1106 | 262 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31k |  | 190-192 | acetonitrile | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ : $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.99(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.26\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H} 2)$, $6.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.47(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), $10.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}), 11.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH})$ ppm | Calculated: 288.1110, found: 288.1107 | - |
| 30j |  | oil | - | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.14(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.44\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, $7.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.77(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | Calculated: 342.9934, found: 342.9931 | - |


| 30i |  | oil | - | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$,. $\delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.51(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.80\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, $7.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H})$ ppm | Calculated: 378.9603, found: 378.9608 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 311 |  | 178-180 | toluene/acetonitrile | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.98(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.26\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, $7.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 8.03-8.08$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 8.65-8.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, C(8)H), $12.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | Calculated: 216.0899, found: 216.0901 |  |
| 31m |  | oil | - | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.93(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.23\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, 7.57-7.61 (1H, dd, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 8.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 8.67$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 12.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | Calculated: 216.0899, found: 216.0897 | - |


| 31n |  | 240-245 | methanol | $\begin{gathered} { }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{\sigma} \text {-DMSO, } 400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.98(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \\ \left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.26\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), \\ 7.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 8.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J= \\ 5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 11.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ | Calculated: 216.0899, found: 216.0898 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 310 |  | oil | - | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{\sigma}\right.$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.32\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, $8.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 8.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 12.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calculated: } 217.0851, \\ \text { found: } 217.0853 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 31p |  | 255-260 | methanol | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.16\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, $7.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 8.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 8.45$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 11.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | Calculated: 260.0797, found: 260.0799 | - |


| 31q |  | 275-276 | methanol | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.98(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.25\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, $7.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 8.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 11.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | Calculated: 260.0797, found: 260.0795 | 262 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31t |  | 241-244 | methanol | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ : $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.80\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $6.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H})$, 7.06-7.09 (1H, dd, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 11.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | Calculated: 245.1052, found: 245.1049 | - |
| 31u |  | 243-245 | methanol | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.34\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.83\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $6.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 11.62$ <br> $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | Calculated: 245.1052, found: 245.1054 | 262 |


| 31x |  | 160-162 | toluene/acetonitrile | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.98(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.26\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, $7.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}), 7.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}), 7.60$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.77$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}), 7.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 11.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calculated 291.1259:, } \\ \text { found: } 291.1256 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31y |  | 235-237 | methanol | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.25\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, 7.39-7.43 (3H, m, C $(15) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}), 7.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, C(13)H, C(17)H), $7.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 11.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | Calculated: 291.1259, found: 291.1262 |  |


| 31z |  | 290-292 | methanol | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.27\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, $7.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}), 7.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}), 7.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}), 7.90$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 11.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}$, COOH) ppm | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calculated: } 319.1208 \\ \text { found: } 319.1210 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31a' |  | 178-180 | toluene/acetonitrile | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.19\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.23\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 3.55\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, $7.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 12.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | Calculated: 272.1525, found: 272.1528 |  |
| 31b' |  | 220-226 | methanol | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.22\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, $7.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.41(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 11.54(1 \mathrm{H}$, bs, COOH ) ppm | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calculated: } 233.0852, \\ \text { found: } 233.0849 \end{gathered}$ | - |


| 31c' |  | 240-245 | methanol | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{\sigma}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, $7.30-7.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.58-$ $7.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 11.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH})$ ppm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculated: } 249.0557, \\ & \text { found: } 249.0559 \end{aligned}$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31d' |  | > 250 | methanol | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, $7.34-7.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.52(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.72$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 11.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH})$ ppm | calculated: 293.0051, <br> 295.0031 found: <br> 293.0050, 295.0029 | - |
| 31e' |  | 148-150 | toluene | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{\sigma}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.32(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.93\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.20\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $6.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 11.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Calculated: 271.1572, } \\ & \text { found: } 271.1570 \end{aligned}$ | - |


| 31f' |  | 178-180 | toluene/acetonitrile | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, 400 MHz ,. $\delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.56(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.94\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $2.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.19-3.21\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 6.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 6.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 11.56(1 \mathrm{H}$, bs, COOH ) ppm | Calculated: 298.1681, found: 298.1684 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 319' |  | 173-175 | toluene/acetonitrile | $\begin{gathered} { }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \\ \left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.23\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.15-3.18(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \\ \left.\mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.71-3.74\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}_{2},\right. \\ \left.\mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 6.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}(7) H), 7.02 \\ (1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) H), 7.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 11.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \\ \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ | Calculated: 300.1474, found: 300.1478 | - |


| 31h' |  | 172-173 | toluene/acetonitrile | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{\sigma}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.23\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, $6.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.14(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}), 7.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}), 7.44(2 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}), 7.56$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 11.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calculated: } 307.1208 \text {, } \\ \text { found: } 307.1211 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31i' |  | 172-174 | toluene/acetonitrile | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{\sigma}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, . \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.17\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, $7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.48(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), $7.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 11.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH})$ ppm | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calculated: } 323.0980 \text {, } \\ \text { found: } 323.0978 \end{gathered}$ |  |


| 31j' |  | 168-170 | toluene/acetonitrile | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{\sigma}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.28\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.88\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $6.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 6.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}), 7.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}), 7.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}), 11.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | Calculated: 321.1365, found: 321.1367 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table S3. Elemental Analyses for Compounds 25a-z, 25a'-j’'

| compd | MW | calculated, \% |  |  |  | found, \% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | C | H | N | other | C | H | N | other |
| 25a | 321.38 | 71.10 | 5.96 | 13.08 | 9.96 (0) | 71.23 | 5.87 | 13.05 | 9.85 (O) |
| 25b | 335.41 | 71.62 | 6.31 | 12.53 | 9.54 (O) | 71.54 | 6.28 | 12.61 | 9.57 (O) |
| 25c | 349.43 | 72.18 | 6.63 | 12.03 | 9.16 (0) | 72.25 | 6.54 | 12.06 | 9.15 (0) |
| 25d | 377.49 | 73.18 | 7.21 | 11.13 | 8.48 (0) | 73.08 | 7.30 | 11.21 | 8.41 (O) |
| 25e | 273.34 | 65.91 | 7.01 | 15.37 | 11.71 (O) | 65.84 | 7.12 | 15.44 | 11.60 (O) |
| $25 f$ | 287.36 | 66.88 | 7.37 | 14.62 | 11.14 (O) | 66.83 | 7.46 | 14.54 | 11.17 (O) |
| 25g | 315.42 | 68.54 | 7.99 | 13.32 | 10.14 (O) | 68.49 | 7.84 | 13.46 | 10.21 (O) |
| 25h | 363.46 | 72.70 | 6.93 | 11.56 | 8.80 (0) | 72.64 | 6.90 | 11.62 | 8.84 (O) |
| $25 i$ | 413.49 | 61.00 | 5.61 | 10.16 | $\begin{gathered} 15.48(\mathrm{O}) \\ 7.75(\mathrm{~S}) \end{gathered}$ | 61.07 | 5.73 | 10.24 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 15.33(\mathrm{O}) \\ 7.63(\mathrm{~S}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 25j | 377.44 | 70.01 | 6.14 | 11.13 | 12.72 (O) | 70.10 | 6.21 | 11.09 | 12.60 (O) |
| 25k | 422.49 | 65.39 | 6.20 | 13.26 | 15.15 (O) | 65.44 | 6.14 | 13.21 | 15.21 (O) |
| 251 | 350.42 | 68.55 | 6.33 | 15.99 | 9.13 (0) | 68.65 | 6.27 | 15.87 | 9.21 (O) |
| 25m | 350.42 | 68.55 | 6.33 | 15.99 | 9.13 (0) | 68.52 | 6.30 | 15.94 | 9.24 (O) |
| 25n | 350.42 | 68.55 | 6.33 | 15.99 | 9.13 (0) | 68.58 | 6.27 | 15.91 | 9.24 (O) |
| 250 | 351.41 | 64.94 | 6.02 | 19.93 | 9.11 (O) | 64.92 | 6.07 | 19.97 | 9.04 (O) |
| 25p | 394.43 | 63.95 | 5.62 | 14.20 | 16.22 (O) | 63.90 | 5.71 | 14.29 | 16.10 (O) |
| 25q | 394.43 | 63.95 | 5.62 | 14.20 | 16.22 (O) | 63.88 | 5.68 | 14.16 | 16.28 (O) |
| 25r | 364.45 | 69.21 | 6.64 | 15.37 | 8.78 (0) | 69.16 | 6.71 | 15.39 | 8.74 (O) |
| 25s | 364.45 | 69.21 | 6.64 | 15.37 | 8.78 (0) | 69.32 | 6.74 | 15.42 | 8.52 (O) |
| $25 t$ | 379.46 | 69.64 | 6.64 | 11.07 | 12.65 (O) | 69.59 | 6.52 | 11.12 | 12.77 (O) |
| 25u | 379.46 | 69.64 | 6.64 | 11.07 | 12.65 (O) | 69.61 | 6.56 | 11.16 | 12.67 (O) |
| 25v | 365.43 | 69.02 | 6.34 | 11.50 | 13.13 (O) | 69.08 | 6.25 | 11.46 | 13.21 (O) |
| 25w | 365.43 | 69.02 | 6.34 | 11.50 | 13.13 (O) | 69.12 | 6.29 | 11.54 | 13.05 (O) |
| 25x | 425.53 | 76.21 | 6.40 | 9.87 | 7.52 (0) | 76.18 | 6.46 | 9.74 | 7.62 (O) |
| 25y | 425.53 | 76.21 | 6.40 | 9.87 | 7.52 (0) | 76.24 | 6.47 | 9.85 | 7.44 (O) |
| 25z | 453.54 | 74.15 | 6.00 | 9.27 | 10.58 (O) | 74.31 | 5.94 | 9.33 | 10.42 (O) |
| 25a' | 422.99 | 65.07 | 7.05 | 12.65 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.00(\mathrm{Cl}) \\ & 7.22(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ | 65.01 | 7.13 | 12.44 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.09(\mathrm{Cl}) \\ 7.33(\mathrm{O}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 25b ${ }^{\prime}$ | 367.42 | 68.65 | 6.04 | 11.44 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.17 \text { (F) } \\ & 8.71 \text { (O) } \end{aligned}$ | 68.72 | 6.01 | 11.52 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.11(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 8.64(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 25c' | 383.88 | 65.71 | 5.78 | 10.95 | $\begin{gathered} 9.23(\mathrm{Cl}) \\ 8.34(\mathrm{O}) \end{gathered}$ | 65.88 | 5.64 | 10.92 | $\begin{aligned} & 9.11(\mathrm{Cl}) \\ & 8.45(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ |


| 25d' | 428.33 | 58.89 | 5.18 | 9.81 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 18.65(\mathrm{Br}) \\ 7.47(\mathrm{O}) \end{gathered}$ | 58.81 | 5.03 | 9.94 | $\begin{gathered} 18.73(\mathrm{Br}) \\ 7.49(\mathrm{O}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25e' | 405.54 | 74.04 | 7.71 | 10.36 | 7.89 (O) | 74.12 | 7.68 | 10.44 | 7.76 (O) |
| 25f' | 432.57 | 72.19 | 7.46 | 12.95 | 7.40 (O) | 72.24 | 7.33 | 12.97 | 7.46 (O) |
| 259' | 434.54 | 69.10 | 6.96 | 12.89 | 11.05 (O) | 69.28 | 6.84 | 12.75 | $11.13$ <br> (O) |
| 25h' | 441.53 | 73.45 | 6.16 | 9.52 | 10.87 (O) | 73.52 | 6.21 | 9.63 | 10.64 (O) |
| 25i' | 457.59 | 70.87 | 5.95 | 9.18 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.99(\mathrm{O}) \\ & 7.01(\mathrm{~S}) \end{aligned}$ | 70.93 | 6.02 | 9.26 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.87(\mathrm{O}) \\ & 6.92(\mathrm{~S}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 25j ${ }^{\prime}$ | 455.56 | 73.82 | 6.42 | 9.22 | 10.54 | 73.96 | 6.51 | 9.15 | 10.38 |

### 2.4.2 Biochemistry

EZH2/PRC2 assays. (Reaction Biology Corporation, USA) The EZH2 substrate ( $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ Core Histone or $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H} 3 / \mathrm{H} 4$ Octamer, H3/H4 Tetramer, or Histone H3) was added in freshly prepared reaction buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8), 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, $1 \%$ DMSO). The PRC2 complex [complex of human EZH2, human EED, human SUZ12, human AEBP2, and human RbAp48] was delivered into the substrate solution and the mixture was mixed gently. Afterwards, the tested compounds dissolved in DMSO were delivered into the enzyme/substrate reaction mixture by using Acoustic Technology (Echo 550, LabCyte Inc. Sunnyvale, CA) in nanoliter range, and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{SAM}$ was added into the reaction mixture to initiate the reaction. The reaction mixture was incubated for 1 h at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then it was delivered to filter-paper for detection. The data were analyzed using Excel and GraphPad Prism software for $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ curve fits.
EZH1 complex, DOT1L, G9a, MLL1 complex, SET7/9, PRMT1, and DNMT1 assays. (Reaction Biology Corporation, USA) The appropriate methyltransferase substrate $(0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ core histone for EZH1 complex, $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ oligonucleosomes for DOT1L, $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ histone H3 (1-21) peptide for G9a, $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ nucleosomes for MLL1 complex, $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ core histone for SET7/9, $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ histone H 4 for PRMT1, and $0.001 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ poly(dI-dC) for DNMT1) was added in freshly prepared reaction buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8.5$ ), $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,50 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{NaCl}, 0.01 \% \mathrm{Brij35}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, 1 \% DMSO). The MT enzyme was delivered into the substrate solution and the mixture was mixed gently. Afterwards, the tested compounds dissolved in DMSO were delivered into the enzyme/substrate reaction mixture by using Acoustic Technology (Echo 550, LabCyte Inc. Sunnyvale, CA) in nanoliter range, and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-SAM was also added into the reaction mixture to initiate the reaction. The reaction mixture was incubated for 1 h at 30 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then it was delivered to filter-paper for detection. The data were analyzed using Excel and GraphPad Prism software for $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ curve fits.

### 2.4.3 In cell evaluation (1)

(Prof. Lucia Altucci, Department of Biochemistry, Biophysics and General Pathology, Second University of Naples)
Cells culture (DCC). SHSY5Y and MDA-MB231 cells (purchased by ATCC) were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (EuroClone), supplemented with $10 \%$ heatinactivated fetal bovine serum FBS (Sigma-Aldrich), antibiotics ( $100 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin, 100 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin and $250 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ amphotericin-B) and 2 mM L-glutamine (Euroclone).
U937 and NB4 cells were cultured in RPMI supplemented with $10 \%$ heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma-Aldrich), antibiotics ( $100 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin, $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin and $250 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ amphotericin-B), and 2 mM L -glutamine (Euroclone).
Cell viability analysis. Cells were treated with $\mathbf{2 5 c}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{b}^{\prime}-\mathbf{e}^{\prime}, \mathbf{h}^{\prime}$, and $\mathbf{j} \mathbf{j}^{\prime}$ (stock
solution 10 mM in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO)) and reference compound 3. Than the cells were incubated with trypan blue (Euroclone) at a ratio of 1:1. Trypan blue-positive cells (dead cells) as well as total cell population were counted (burker chamber) using an optical microscope to calculate the percentage of viable cells.

Cell cycle. $2.0 \times 10^{5}$ cells were collected, washed with PBS once and resuspended in hypotonic buffer ( $0.1 \% \mathrm{NP}-40,0.1 \%$ sodium citrate, $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ propidium iodide (PI) in phosphate buffered saline). Cells were then incubated in the dark for at least 30 minutes and measured on FACS Calibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson). Flow cytometric data were analysed using ModFit (Verity) and Cell Quest (Becton Dickinson) technologies. Apoptosis was measured as pre-G1 analyzed by FACS with Cell Quest software (BD Biosciences). Cell death was measured as subG1 DNA fragmentation. All experiments were performed in triplicate and values expressed in mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$.

Antibodies, Plasmids and chemicals. Primary antibodies used: anti-acetylated tubulin (SIGMA), anti-H3K27me3 (Abcam); anti-H4 (Abcam) was used as loading control. Antibodies were used according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Total proteins extraction. Cells were harvested and washed once with cold PBS and lysed in a lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.4,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \% \mathrm{NP} 40,10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaF}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ PMSF and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). The lysates were centrifuged at 13000 r.p.m. for 30 min at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Protein concentrations were estimated by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad).

Western blot analyses. $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total protein and $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of histone extracts, were denatured boiling samples in buffer ( 0.25 M Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 6.8,8 \%$ SDS, $40 \%$ glycerol, $5 \% 2$ 2mercaptoethanol, bromophenol blue $0.05 \%$ ) for 3 min before electrophoresis. Proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE ( $8 \%-10 \%-15 \%$ polyacrylamide) in Tris-glycine-SDS ( 25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine, 0.1 \% SDS). After electrophoresis, proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Schleicher e Schuell, Germany) in a buffer containing Tris-glycine ( 25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine) (Bio-Rad, Italy) and $20 \%$ methanol. The complete transfer was assessed using Ponceau red (Sigma Aldrich, USA) staining. After blocking with $5 \%$ non-fat dry milk in TBS 1 $\times /$ Tween $0.1 \%(10 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8.0,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.1 \%$ Tween-20), the membrane was incubated with the primary antibody overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After washing with TBS $1 \times /$ Tween $0.1 \%$, membranes were incubated with the horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:5000) for 60 min at room temperature and the reaction was detected with chemioluminescence detection system (Amersham Biosciences, UK).

### 2.4.4 In cell evaluation (2)

(Prof. Susanna Scarpa, Department of Experimental Medicine, Sapienza University, Rome)
Cell cultures and treatments. The human glioblastoma multiform cell line U-87 MG (here indicated as U-87) has been utilized (ATCC). Three primary cell cultures (GL1, GL2, GL3) were
established from surgical tissues of patients with glioblastoma, plus one human dermal fibroblast primary culture, HF, as previously described. U-87 were grown in EMEM medium supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal calf serum (FCS), 2 mM glutamine, $50 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin-streptomycin, 1 mM nonessential amino acid, 1 mM sodium pyruvate; the primary cell cultures and HF were grown in DMEM medium supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal calf serum (FCS), 2 mM glutamine, $50 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin-streptomycin.
The two EZH2 inhibitors ( $\mathbf{2 5 f}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 g}{ }^{\mathbf{\prime}}$ ) were solubilized in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) at 10 mM stock solution and utilized to final concentrations from $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Temozolomide was solubilized in DMSO at 100 mM stock solution and utilized to final concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

Cytotoxicity assay. To determine cytotoxicity, sulforhodamine B colorimetric assay was performed: $1.5 \times 10^{4}$ cells were plated on 96 well plates, grown for 24 h and treated with different concentrations of $\mathbf{2 5 f} \mathbf{f}^{\prime}, \mathbf{g}(10 \mu \mathrm{M}, 25 \mu \mathrm{M}, 50 \mu \mathrm{M})$, and with $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ temozolomide, for 48 or 72 h . Control cells were treated with equivalent amounts of DMSO. Cells were then fixed with $50 \%$ trichloroacetic acid for 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stained for 30 min at room temperature with 0.4 \% sulforhodamine B in $1 \%$ acetic acid. Excess dye was removed by washing four times with 1 \% acetic acid. Protein-bound dye was dissolved in 10 mM TRIS pH 10 , and optical density (OD) was determined at 510 nm using a microplate reader.
Western blot. Cell lysates were obtained scraping the cells in lysis buffer $1 \%$ Triton, $0.1 \%$ SDS, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ TRIS-HCl $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,2 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA plus protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche Applied Sciences) for 30 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, lysates were then centrifuged at $12,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Protein concentration was evaluated by Bio-Rad Protein Concentration Assay. Samples of lysate ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) were separated by molecular weight on 10 or $12 \%$ SDS-PAGE and then transferred into a nitrocellulose membrane. Blots were blocked for 1 hour at room temperature in $5 \%$ non-fat dry milk and then incubated overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with antibody to Tri-Methyl-Histone H3 (Lys27) (Cell Signaling Technology) diluted 1:1000 in 5 \% BSA, 0.1 \% Tween 20 TRIS-buffered saline or alternatively for 1 hour at room temperature with anti- $\beta$-actin (Sigma Aldrich) diluted 1:1000 in TRIS-buffered saline. Blots were washed in TRIS-buffered saline with $0.1 \%$ Tween 20 and then incubated with horseradish peroxidase conjugated antirabbit or anti-mouse antibodies (1:5000 diluted) (Sigma-Aldrich). The filters were then developed by enhanced chemiluminescence (Super Signal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate, Thermo Scientific) using Kodak X-Omat films. The densitometry quantitation of the bands was performed using Image J software (NIH).

Immunofluorescence. The cells were grown directly on Labteck chamber slides (Nunc) for 24 hours. Cells were then washed with PBS with $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ and fixed with absolute cold methanol for 5 min (for nestin staining) or with $4 \%$ buffered paraformaldheyde for 20 minutes at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (for GFAP staining). The cells were incubated with $3 \%$ bovine serum albumin for 1 hour at room
temperature and then with anti glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) antibody (Abcam) for 1 hour at room temperature, and with anti-nestin antibody (Abcam) overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were then washed twice with PBS with $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$ and then incubated with the secondary anti rabbit antibody Alexa Fluor 594 conjugated (1:400 diluted) for 1 hour at room temperature. The cells were finally washed twice with PBS with $\mathrm{Ca} / \mathrm{Mg}$, mounted with Prolong Antifade reagent (Life Technologies) and analyzed by a fluorescence microscope (Olympus BX52), imagine acquisition and processing were conducted by IAS 2000 software. Fluorescence intensity of 3 randomly selected fields was acquired by densitometric quantitation using ImageJ software and the mean was determined (NIH).

Statistical analysis and graphic programs. All results were analyzed by ANOVA, and the significance was evaluated by the Tukey HSD post hoc test (Honestly Significant Difference). All figures were elaborated with Adobe Photoshop CS5 and all graphs with Graph Pad Prism 5.0.

## 3 <br> Development of Pyrazole-based Inhibitors of EZH2 able to Induce Apoptosis and Autophagy in Cancer Cells, reduce cell stemness in SHH medulloblastoma cancer stem cells, and impair of tumour growth in vivo*

### 3.1 Research project

As previously mentioned, in parallel with the pyrrole-based EZH2i project, we developed a second project on design and synthesis of pyrazole-based EZH2i. This project started with a structural simplification and modification on the indazole scaffold described by GSK and Epyzime companies (2, 4 Figure 1.5). Our approach was based on:

- ring opening of the indazole aromatic bicycle to get the pyrazole heterocycle;
- shifting of the dimethylpiridone amide group from $\mathrm{C}(4)$ indazole position to the $\mathrm{C}(4)$ pyrazole position;
- $\mathrm{N}(1)$ pyrazole substitutions with alkyl (isopropyl, cyclopentyl), arylalkyl (benzyl) and aryl (phenyl) groups;
- addition of alkyl (methyl, $n$-propyl) and of different aromatic (phenyl, pyrrolyl, styryl) substituents at $\mathrm{C}(5)$ pyrazole position (Figure 3.1).


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a: } \mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{R}_{1}=1 H \text {-pyrrol-1-yl, } \mathrm{R}_{2}=i \text {-Pr. } \mathbf{b}: \mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{R}_{1}=1 H \text {-pyrrol- } 1 \text {-yl, } \mathrm{R}_{2}=\text { cyclopentyl. } \\
& \text { c: } n=0, R_{1}=1 H \text {-pyrrol-1-yl, } R_{2}=\text { Ph. } \mathbf{d}: n=0, R_{1}=1 H \text {-pyrrol-1-yl, } R_{2}=B n \text {. } \\
& \text { e: } \mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=i \text {-Pr. f: } \mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\text { cyclopentyl. } \mathbf{g}: \mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ph} \text {. } \\
& \text { h: } \mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Bn} \text {. } \mathrm{i}: \mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\text { styryl, } \mathrm{R}_{2}=i \text {-Pr. } \mathrm{j}: \mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\text { styryl, } \mathrm{R}_{2}=\text { cyclopentyl. } \\
& \text { k: } n=0, R_{1}=\text { styryl, } R_{2}=P h . I: n=0, R_{1}=\text { styryl, } R_{2}=B n . m: n=0, R_{1}=H, R_{2}=P h \\
& \mathrm{n}: \mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=i \text {-Pr. o: } \mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ph} . \mathrm{p}: \mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Bn} \text {. } \\
& \mathbf{q}: \mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{R}_{1}=n-\mathrm{Pr}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ph} . \mathrm{r}: \mathrm{n}=1, \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ph} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 3.1. Design of novel Pyrazole-based EZH2 inhibitors (34a-r).

All the newly obtained derivatives 34a-r have been screened by Reaction Biology Corporation (PA, USA) against the EZH2 methyltransferase activity by using the PRC2 multicomponent protein in order to determine their inhibition activity ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values or percent of inhibition at fixed dose). Next, we have tested the capability of the most active compound $\mathbf{3 4 o}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 15.4 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ to decrease the histone H 3 K 27 me 3 levels by western blot, as well as to arrest proliferation by MTS assay in four different cancer cell lines (human breast cancer MDA-MB231, myelogenous leukemia K562, prostate cancer PC3, and neuroblastoma SK-N-BE). Finally, we investigated the cell death mechanism (apoptosis, autophagy) in the most responsive cell lines (K562, SK-N-BE).

Additionally, $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ served as a tool in a biological study by the group of Prof. Elisabetta Ferretti, where EZH2 has been validated as an attractive target for SHH MB cancer and cancer stem cell impairment. In this study, EZH2 pharmacological inhibition by $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ proved to be effective also in medulloblastoma xenografted mouse models. ${ }^{264}$

### 3.2 Results and Discussion

### 3.2.1 Chemistry

Compounds 34a-d were prepared starting from a Clauson-Kaas reaction between 5-aminopyrazole esters 35a-d (previously prepared according to the literature) ${ }^{265}$ and 2,5dimethoxytetrahydrofuran in acetic acid at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, providing the 5-pyrrolyl-pyrazole derivatives 36a-d (Scheme 8). The esters 36a-d were hydrolyzed in basic conditions with 2 N potassium hydroxide in ethanol to give the corresponding pyrazole acid derivatives $\mathbf{3 7 a} \mathbf{a}$-d.


Scheme 8. Reagents and conditions: a) 2,5-dimethoxytetrahydrofuran, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1-6 \mathrm{~h}$; b) 2 N $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, r. t., 16 h; c) 33, TBTU, Et ${ }_{3}$ N, dry DMF, $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, r. t., 16 h.


Scheme 9. Reagents and conditions: a) appropriate hydrazine, EtOH, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or r. t.; b) $2 \mathrm{NKOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, r. t., 16 h; c) 33, TBTU, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, dry DMF, $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, r. t., 16 h.

The coupling between the acids $\mathbf{3 7 a - d}$ and the amine 33 (prepared as described in chapter 2), in presence of triethylamine, $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetramethyl-O-(benzotriazol-1-yl)uronium tetrafluoroborate (TBTU), dry $N, N$-dimethylformamide, yielded the final amides 34a-d (Scheme 8 ). The synthesis of the pyrazole derivatives $\mathbf{3 4 e - q}$ started from the appropriate enamine $\mathbf{3 8 a} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$-e' (prepared according to the literature) ${ }^{266}$, which underwent cyclo-condensation with the appropriate hydrazines (all commercially available) in EtOH at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to obtain pyrazole esters $\mathbf{3 9 e} \mathbf{- q}$. The latter intermediates were hydrolyzed in the same conditions as described for 36a-d, to provide the corresponding pyrazole acid derivatives $\mathbf{3 7 e} \mathbf{e} \mathbf{q}$, which were finally converted into the amides $\mathbf{3 4} \mathbf{e}$ $\mathbf{q}$ by using the same methodology as described for 34a-d (Scheme 8 and 9). The amide $\mathbf{3 4 r}$ was obtained from the acid 40 (prepared according to the literature) ${ }^{267}$, following the same procedure as above described for final compounds $\mathbf{3 4 a - q}$ (Scheme 10).


Scheme 10. Reagents and conditions: a) 33, TBTU, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, dry DMF, $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, r. t., 16 h.

### 3.2.2 Biochemical EZH2 enzymatic inhibition assay and structure activity relationship (SAR)

All new synthesized compounds have been tested against the human histone five component complex Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2) containing the catalytic methyltransferase EZH2, Embryonic Ectoderm Differentiation (EED), Suppressor of Zeste 12 protein homolog (SUZ12), Histone-binding protein RBBP4 (Rbap48) and Zinc finger protein AEBP2 (AEBP2). Moreover, Histone H3 at $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and SAM at 250 nM as substrate and cofactor, respectively, were used. SAH was used as a positive control compound. As a part of our molecular pruning approach, we first decided to synthesize compounds in which the indazole nucleus was replaced by a 5-arylpyrazole ring. First, we inserted at the pyrazole-C(5) position a 1 H -pyrrol-1-yl (34ad), phenyl (34e-h) or styryl (34i-l) group, and an iso-propyl, cyclopentyl, phenyl or benzyl substituent at $\mathrm{N}(1)$, obtaining compounds displaying very low EZH2 inhibition activity (Table 4). The shift of the phenyl ring from pyrazole $\mathrm{C}(5)$ to $\mathrm{N}(1)$ position $(\mathbf{3 4 m})$ led to the first pyrazole analog with $>25 \%$ of inhibition at $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Table 4). However, its negligible $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value (> 400 $\mu \mathrm{M})$ suggested that additional structural modifications were required.

Table 4. Inhibiting activity against PRC2 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}{ }^{a}, \mu \mathrm{M}$ or \% inhibition at fixed dose, $\left.50 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ Compounds were tested in 10 -dose $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ mode with 2 -fold serial dilution starting from $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solutions or in two independent experiments at the fixed $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration.


34a-r

| cpd | n | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% inhibition at } \\ \mathbf{5 0} \mu \mathrm{M} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{IC}_{50}, \\ \mu \mathrm{M} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34a | 0 | 1H-pyrrol-1-yl | iso-propyl | 0 |  |
| 34b | 0 | 1H-pyrrol-1-yl | cyclopentyl | 4.7 |  |
| 34c | 0 | 1H-pyrrol-1-yl | phenyl | 0 |  |
| 34d | 0 | 1H-pyrrol-1-yl | benzyl | 5.8 |  |
| 34e | 0 | phenyl | iso-propyl | 0 |  |
| 34 f | 0 | phenyl | cyclopentyl | 2.7 |  |
| 349 | 0 | phenyl | phenyl | 6.9 |  |
| 34h | 0 | phenyl | benzyl | 20 |  |
| 34i | 0 | styryl | iso-propyl | 0 |  |
| 34j | 0 | styryl | cyclopentyl | 2.9 |  |
| 34k | 0 | styryl | phenyl | 0 |  |
| 341 | 0 | styryl | benzyl | 11.8 |  |
| 34m | 0 | H | phenyl | 25.3 | >400 |
| 34n | 0 | methyl | iso-propyl | 16.7 | 342.7 |
| 340 | 0 | methyl | phenyl | 71.5 | 15.4 |
| 34p | 0 | methyl | benzyl | 68.3 | 19.6 |
| $\mathbf{3 4 q}$ | 0 | $n$-propyl | phenyl | 29.0 | 151 |
| 34r | 1 | methyl | phenyl | 4.5 | >400 |
| SAH |  |  |  |  | 34.7 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | 0.009 |

The introduction of a methyl group at the pyrazole $\mathrm{C}(5)$ with compound $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ turned in a nice improvement of the inhibitory potency against EZH2 $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=15.4 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. The replacement of the
phenyl group at the $\mathrm{N}(1)$ of $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ with a benzyl group gave the equipotent analog $\mathbf{3 4} \mathbf{p}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=19.6\right.$ $\mu \mathrm{M})$. Conversely, replacing the $\mathrm{N}(1)$ phenyl with an iso-propyl group (34n), elongating the $\mathrm{C}(5)$ substituent of $\mathbf{3 4 0}$, from methyl to $n$-propyl ( $\mathbf{3 4 q}$ ), or homologating its carboxamide to acetamide linkage (34r), caused a severe drop or complete loss in inhibition activity (Table 4). Based on these data, compound $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ was chosen for further evaluation.
3.2.3 Compound 340 activity validation: biochemical screening in presence of different substrates, SAM-competition experiments and screening against a panel of methyltransferases (EZH1, DOT1L, DNMT1, G9a, MLL1 complex, PRMT1, SET7/9)

Further experiments on $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ using different substrates ( $\mathrm{H} 3 / \mathrm{H} 4$ octamer, $\mathrm{H} 3 / \mathrm{H} 4$ tetramer, and histone H 3$)$ confirmed its inhibitory ability against $\mathrm{EZH} 2 / \mathrm{PRC} 2\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right.$ values $=6.3$, 5.3, and 5.7 $\mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively), as well as its SAM-dependent mechanism of action (Figure 3.2).



Figure 3.2. SAM competition experiments. Left, dependence of $\mathbf{3 4 0} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values with increasing SAM concentration. Right, inhibitory effects of $\mathbf{3 4 o} v s$ EZH2/PRC2 at increasing SAM concentrations.

Table 5. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ or percentage of Inhibition of $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ against a panel of methyltransferases.

| MT | $\mathbf{I C}_{50}, \boldsymbol{\mu M}$ | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ inhibition <br> at $\mathbf{1 0 0} \boldsymbol{\mu M}$ | \% inhibition <br> at $\mathbf{2 0 0} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EZH1 complex | 138.5 | 43 | - |
| DOT1L |  | 6 | 3 |
| G9a |  | 7 | 5 |
| MLL1 complex |  | 7 | 21 |
| SET7/9 |  | 0.8 | 5 |
| PRMT1 |  | 8 | 6 |
| DNMT1 |  | 0 | 0 |

Moreover, 340 was screened against a panel of methyltransferases (MTs) and proved to be a selective inhibitor of EZH2. Indeed, $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ was 22 -fold less potent against the closely related EZH1
complex, and practically inactive against the other MTs, including the arginine methyltransferase PRMT1, and the DNA methyltransferase DNMT1 (Table 5).

### 3.2.4 Effects of $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ in a panel of cancer cells

## (Group Prof. Marco Tafani, Department of Experimental Medicine, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy).

We evaluated the capability of $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ to arrest proliferation (MTS assay) on four different cancer cell lines, including: human breast cancer MDA-MB231, myelogenous leukemia K562, prostate cancer PC3 and neuroblastoma SK-N-BE. 34o was tested at three different concentrations ( 1,10 and $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), and up to 5 days of treatment (Figure 3.3).


Figure 3.3. Antiproliferative effect of $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ (Top line) or $\mathbf{3}$ (bottom line) on different cancer cell lines after 1, 2 and 4 days of treatment (K562 and PC3), or after 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 days of treatment (MDA-MB-231 and SK-N-BE). The effect of $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ was determined by MTS cell proliferation assay after treatment with 1,10 and $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of compound.
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Figure 3.4. Cytotoxicity of $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ in non-cancer cells. Antiproliferative effects of $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ (left) and of $\mathbf{3}$ (right) in human embryonic kidney HEK293 cells treated with 1,10 and $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the compound for 5 days (MTS Cell Proliferation Assay).

The effects of $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ on cell growth and morphology were assessed in SK-N-BE and K562 cells, chosen as representative of non-adherent and adherent cell lines, respectively. $\mathbf{3}$ was used as
reference drug. Respect to the control, 340 increased cell detachment in SK-N-BE and reduced cell growth in K562 cells. Similar results were obtained with 3 at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Figure 3.5).


Figure 3.5. SK-N-BE and K562 cells were either left untreated or treated with $0.5,1$ and $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathbf{3 4 o}$ for 2,4 and 6 days. $\mathbf{3}(1 \mu \mathrm{M})$ was used as a reference. Cell morphology was evaluated by optical microscopy and picture taken.

With the sole exception of PC3 cancer cell line, $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ displayed a dose- and time-dependent reduction of proliferation, detectable from $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{SK}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{BE}$, and from $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in MDA-MB231 and in K562 cells. Instead, in PC3 cells, 340 showed proliferation arrest only at $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Differently, 3 (GSK126, Figure 1.5) was very potent against the leukemia K562 cells, but displayed significant effects in the tested solid tumor cells only at $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Figure 3.3). Interestingly, when tested in non-cancer human embryonic kidney cells HEK293, 34o proved to be less toxic than $\mathbf{3}$ showing only moderate toxicity after 5 days at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Figure 3.4).

### 3.2.5 Intracellular inhibition of H3K27 methylation

## (Group Prof. Marco Tafani, Department of Experimental Medicine, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy)

As a functional read-out of EZH2 inhibition in cells, we determined the levels of H3K27me3 in SK-N-BE and in K562 cells treated with $\mathbf{3 4 o}(0.5$ and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) for 6 days, in comparison with $\mathbf{3}$ (1 $\mu \mathrm{M}) . \beta$-Actin was used for equal loading. Western blots in Figure 3.6 shows a dose-dependent reduction of the H3K27me3 levels after treatment of with 340, particularly in SK-N-BE cells, where $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ was more effective than $\mathbf{3}$ (over $80 \%$ against $40 \%$ of H3K27me3 demethylation). As expected, 3 displayed the strongest effect in K562 cells (see Figure 3.6 B for quantization by
densitometry analysis). We have also shown that, in both cell lines, neither $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ nor $\mathbf{3}$ reduced H 3 levels after 6 days of treatment at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Figure 3.7).


Figure 3.6. (A) Western blotting showing reduction of H3K27 trimethylation by $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ in neuroblastoma (SK-N-BE) and leukemia (K562) cells, and (B) relative densitometric analysis. B-Actin was used for normalization.


Figure 3.7. Western blot analysis showing the levels of H3K4me3 and histone H3 after treatment with 3 and 340 (both at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in SK-N-BE and K562 cells. The relative densitometry for H3K4me3 (arbitrary units) is reported. $\beta$-Actin was used for normalization.

### 3.2.6 Autophagy induction of 340 in myelogenous leukemia K562 and neuroblastoma SK-

## N -BE cell lines

(Group Prof. Marco Tafani, Department of Experimental Medicine, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy)
Autophagy represents a physiological mechanism used by cells to recycle intracellular components or remove damaged organelles. However, excessive autophagy activation can result in cell death. EZH2 has been recently reported to epigenetically repress negative regulators of the mTOR pathway, such as TSC2, leading to inhibition of autophagy. ${ }^{268}$ Accordingly, the EZH2
inhibitors GSK343 (4, Figure 1.5) ${ }^{159}$ and UNC1999 (6, Figure 1.5) ${ }^{161}$ have been shown to induce autophagic cell death in MDA-MB-231 cells. ${ }^{269}$ Additionally, co-inhibition of both EGFR and EZH2 has been studied in colon cancer HT-29 and HCT-15 cell lines, using the small molecules gefitinib and 6. The association of these two drugs yielded not only a significant decrease in cell number and increased apoptosis compared to the single target inhibition, but also significantly induced autophagy, indicating that autophagy may play a role in the observed synergy. From a molecular point of view, induction of autophagy can be evaluated by studying the expression of proteins that represent markers of such a process. The most studied and used marker of autophagy is the protein called MAP1LC3A, or microtubule associated protein 1 light chain 3 alpha, or LC3. In fact, during this process, the full-length form of LC3 is cleaved to give the smaller LC3-II, that is then lipidated and associates with the forming autophagosome. Therefore, accumulation of LC3-II is used as an indicator of autophagy induction.


Figure 3.8. Effect of $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ on autophagy induction. (A) Western blot analysis for LC3-II protein accumulation in SKN-BE (after 4 days treatment) and K562 (after 3 days treatment) by using 340 at 0.5 and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in comparison with $\mathbf{3}$ at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. (B) Densitometric analysis. $\beta$-Actin was used for normalization.

Thus, we have investigated the capability of $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ to induce autophagy (LC3-II protein accumulation) in SK-N-BE and K562 cells. Western blot analysis, after treatment of SK-N-BE (4 days) and K562 (3 days) with 34o, showed a clear dose dependent (from 0.5 to $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) induction of LC3-II protein (Figure 3.8 A ), even stronger than the reference compound $\mathbf{3}$ (used at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in both cell lines (Figure 3.8 A ). Quantization of these data by densitometric analysis is shown in Figure 3.8 B .

### 3.2.7 Effects of $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ on apoptosis induction in neuroblastoma SK-N-BE cell lines (Group of Prof. Marco Tafani, Department of Experimental Medicine, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy)

To further investigate about the induction of apoptosis by $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ in neuroblastoma SK-N-BE cells, the levels poly(ADP-ribose)polymerase (PARP) cleavage, a mark of late event in apoptosis, and caspase 3 induction were determined by western blot followed by densitometric analysis. (Figure 3.9 A) Tested in a dose range from 0.5 to $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 4 days, 34 o induced detectable dosedependent PARP-cleavage (determined by antibody anti-PARP) as well as caspase 3 induction, nevertheless it was less effective than $\mathbf{3}$ (able to give a similar result already at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, Figure 3.9).


Figure 3.9. (A) Western blot and related densitometric analysis (B) for PARP cleavage and caspase 3 induction by $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ at $0.5,1$ and $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 4 days in SK-N-BE cells. 3 was used as reference compound ( 1 $\mu \mathrm{M}), \beta$-actin as loading control.

### 3.2.8 Effects of 340 in SHH medulloblastoma cancer stem cells

(Group of Prof. Elisabetta Ferretti, Department of Experimental Medicine, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy)
The group of Prof. Ferretti is investigating about medulloblastoma (MB) pediatric brain cancer, and medulloblastoma cell stemness in relation with EZH2 overexpression. ${ }^{270,271}$ In this context, they have found that both mouse and human MB-SLCs (medulloblastoma stem like cells) display high levels of EZH2 when grown in stem cell-medium (SM) and lower levels in cells grown in FBS-supplemented medium (DFM). A similar effect was observed also in MB DAOY cells. Stemness and differentiation markers were evaluated in all the mentioned cell lines, and the DMF cultured cells acquired a more "differentiated" phenotype, with a reduced expression of the stemness markers. ${ }^{264}$


Figure 3.10. Representative Western Blot (up) and densitometric analysis (down) of H3K27me3 protein levels in mMB SLCs treated for 48 h with $0.5,5$ and $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathbf{3 4 o}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{p}}<0.05$, ${ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$.


Figure 3.11. Evaluation of cell viability, measured with MTS assay, of mMB-SLCs (A) and hMB-SLCs (B) treated with increasing concentrations of $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ at $48 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{p}$ value versus untreated cells: ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05$, **p<0.01.

Moreover, through lentiviral-mediated short hairpin EZH2 silencing technique, they proved that EZH2 plays a critical role in the maintenance of MB cells including stem-like cells (SLCs). ${ }^{264}$ They wanted to validate these effects also after EZH2 pharmacological inhibition, and they used compound $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ as a pharmacological tool to validate these results. First of all, the ability of $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ (at $0.5,5$, and $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) to inhibit the EZH2 enzymatic activity was validated in an in vitro cell-
based assay. The lowest dose that significantly decreased H3K27me3 level in MB-SLCs was 5 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ (Figure 3.10).


Figure 3.12. Evaluation of cell viability, measured with MTS assay, of mMB-SLCs and hMB-SLCs treated for 48 h with $0.5,5,25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ (MC, gray), $\mathbf{3}$ (GSK, black), $\mathbf{2}$ (EPZ, green)and 7 (TAZ, red). *p<0.05, **p<0.01.

The effects of $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ on murine and human MB-SLCs were evaluated in dose- and time-dependent experiments, using 3 (GSK126, Figure 1.5) as a reference drug. In both cell lines, we were able to define the lowest effective dose of $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ at $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and the minimum effective time as 48 h (Figure 3.11). The dose selection was further confirmed by cell viability experiments on MB-SLCs in comparison with known EZH2is, including EPZ5687 (2, Figure 1.5), and tazemetostat (7, Figure 1.5) (Figure 3.12).


Figure 3.13. (A) Evaluation of cell viability, measured with MTS assay, of hMB-SLCs treated for 48 h with $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ and shEZH2 alone or in combination. Bars represent mean $\pm$ S.D. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05$. (B) Western Blot (left) and densitometric analysis (right) of H3K27me3 and PCNA, in Neural Stem Cells (NSC) treated for 48 h with $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 34o. H3: loading control. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05$.

Interestingly, the combination treatment of hMB-SLCs with $\mathbf{3 4 o}(5 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and shEZH2 did not display any additive effect on cell viability respect to the shEZH2 treatment alone, thus assessing the target specificity of our compound (Figure 3.13 A). In order to exclude any nonspecific toxic effect by $\mathbf{3 4 0}$, it was tested on neural stem cells (NSC), used as a model of non-transformed cells.
${ }^{272}$ In NSCs, compound $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ had no significant effect on H3K27me3 and PCNA protein levels (Figure 3.13 B ).


Figure 3.14. Biological effects of EZH2 inhibition in SHH MB-SLCs. (A-B) mMB-SLCs and hMB-SLCs were treated for 48 h with $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ or 3. Representative images of Western Blot (left) and densitometric analysis (right) of H3K27me3, PCNA, and cleaved caspase 3 (cleaved CSP-3). H3: loading control. Dashed bars represent DMSO-treated cells (CTRL). *p $<0.05$, **p $<0.01$. (C-D) Self-renewal measured by clonogenic assay. $* \mathrm{p}<0.05, * * \mathrm{p}<0.01$.

The effects of $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ treatment in mMB-SLCs and hMB-SLCs, and in DAOY and DAOY-SLCs were evaluated more in depth. In mMB-SLCs and hMB-SLCs both $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ significantly
reduced H3K27me3 and PCNA protein levels. In these cell lines, compound $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ induced apoptosis (caspase 3 cleavage) (Figure 3.14 A and B), and significantly reduced mMB-SLC and hMB-SLC self-renewal, measured as clonogenic potential (stemness impairment) (Figure 3.14 C and D). In DAOY cells, H3K27me3 and PCNA levels resulted impaired only by 34o, while in DAOY-SLCs, both drugs down affected H3K27me3 and PCNA levels (Figure 3.15 A). Such effects were confirmed by cell viability assays: 340 impaired cell viability in both cell systems after 48 h , while 3 was able to significantly impair only DAOY-SLCs viability after 72 h of treatment (Figure 3.15 B). Additionally, $34 \boldsymbol{y}$ induced cell death in DAOY-SLCs (Figure 3.15 C). In this way we demonstrated that EZH2 pharmacological inhibition in medulloblastoma can impair cell proliferation and stemness, and induce apoptosis.


Figure 3.15. Biological effects of EZH2 inhibition in DAOY cells and DAOY-SLCs. (A) DAOY cells and DAOY-SLCs were treated for 48 h with $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ or 3. Representative images of Western Blot (left) and densitometric analysis (right) of H3K27me3 and PCNA. H3: loading control. Dashed bars represent DMSO-treated cells (CTRL). *p<0.05. (B) Evaluation of cell viability, measured with MTS assay, of DAOY and DAOY-SLCs treated for 48 h and 72 h with $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ or $\mathbf{3}$. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05$. (C) Percentage of cell death assessed in DAOY and in DAOY-SLCs treated with both compounds for 72 h . Bars represent the mean of at least three independent experiments performed in triplicate mean $\pm$ S.D. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05$.

### 3.2.9 Effects of $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ in an in vivo mouse model of medulloblastoma

(Group of Prof. Elisabetta Ferretti, Department of Experimental Medicine, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy)

Before going on with further experiments, considering the existing reports regarding limitation to in vivo use of EZH2i due to poor bio-distribution and scarce BBB penetration, ${ }^{273,274}$ in collaboration with the group of Prof. Sabrina Castellano, we tested both $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ in the parallel artificial membrane permeability assay for the BBB (PAMPA-BBB). Propranolol and furosemide were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. In this assay, $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ displayed a closer capability to permeate the lipid membrane to propranolol than 3. (Table 5) Thus, $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ was judged eligible for in vivo experiments on medulloblastoma mouse model.

Table 5. Log Pe values of tested compounds in the PAMPA-BBB.

| Compound | $\log \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\Delta$ (respect to propranolol) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $-5.41 \pm 0.04$ | -0.20 |
| 34 o | $-5.33 \pm 0.01$ | -0.12 |
| Propranolol (positive control) | $-5.21 \pm 0.06$ |  |
| Furosemide (negative control) | Under detection limit |  |

Twice/week intra-peritoneal injection of $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ at $20 \mu \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{Kg}$ in 6-day old mice led to a significant reduction of H 3 K 27 me 3 levels in cerebella and in brains (Figure 3.16 A ), further confirming that 340 crosses the BBB. Next, in nude mice have been generated xenograft DAOY-SLCs orthotopic tumors (XT-MB), that grew as tumor masses with the characteristics of MBs. Mice treatment with 34 significantly impaired XT-MB growth (Figure 3.16 B ). A dose dependent reduction of H3K27me3 in treated mice was revealed by western blot analysis of tumor xenografts (Figure 3.16 C and 3.17 A$)$. Interestingly, tumors from treated mice also showed significantly lower levels of NANOG protein (Figure 3.16 C), and a significant reduction of proliferating Ki67positive cells as well (Figure 3.16 D). Finally, an increased level of cleaved caspase 3 in treated mice suggested apoptosis induction. (Figure 3.17 B). Taken together, these data show that $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ is effective in hampering MB-SLCs growth in vivo.


Figure 3.16: Biological effects of 34o (MC3629) in vivo. (A) Representative Western Blots show H3K27me3 levels in cerebellum and brain from wild type mice treated with $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ or vehicle (CTRL). Dot plots represent densitometric analysis of all samples. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05$. (B-D) Xenograft tumors (XT-MB) were generated in mice, after 10 days $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ was administered twice a week for 3 weeks; control mice were treated with vehicle (CTRL). (B) Xenograft volume ( $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ) evaluated at the maximum diameter; dot plot represents mean $\pm$ S.D. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05$. (C) Representative images of Western Blot and densitometric analysis of H3K27me3 and NANOG. H3: loading control $* \mathrm{p}<0.05$. (D) Xenograft sections were immunostained for the proliferation marker Ki67: on the left, dot plot shows Ki67 levels of proliferating cells; on the right, representative images of the staining. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05$.


Figure 3.17: (A) Representative western Blot (left) and densitometric analysis (right) of H3K27me3 for dose finding in in vivo experiments. H3: loading control, *p<0.05. (B) Representative Western Blot (left) and densitometric analysis (right) of cleaved-CSP-3 for evaluation of apoptosis in XT-MB treated with $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ (MC3629). H3: loading control. *p<0.05.

### 3.3 Conclusions

This research project yielded the design, synthesis and biological validation of a class of novel pyrazole-based EZH2 inhibitors 34a-r. Our molecular design was based on the replacement of the indole/indazole bicyclic ring, contained in known EZH2i (2, 4, Figure 5), with the simpler pyrazole group, and we found the insertion of a phenyl or benzyl portion at the $N(1)$ and the methyl group at the $C(5)$ position of the pyrazole ring as the best substitutions to afford the highest inhibition potency in vitro. The most potent compound in biochemical assays, $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values $=5.3-15.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), was tested in cell-based assays. Compound $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ displayed arrest of cell proliferation in SK-N-BE neuroblastoma, MDA-MB231 breast cancer, and K562 leukemia cells during 4/5 days of treatment. 3 (GSK126), used as the reference drug, was more potent against K562 but less efficient against solid tumor cell lines. Western blot analysis performed in K562 and SK-N-BE cells treated with 340 revealed a reduction of the levels of H3K27me3, and induction of autophagy (increase of the LC3 II levels) stronger than that obtained after 3 treatment. When tested in SK-N-BE cells for induction of apoptosis, $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ determined PARP cleavage and induction of caspase 3 , in this case lower than those obtained with $\mathbf{3}$, suggesting that in this cell line autophagy rather than apoptosis induction may be involved in the arrest of proliferation.

The group of Prof. Ferretti proved that the genetic silencing of EZH2 in MB-SLCs, derived from patients affected by SHH MB or from SHH MB murine model, led to reduction of H3K27me3 levels, reduction of proliferation, and self-renewal impairment. To evaluate if pharmacological EZH2 inhibition could have the same effects, $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ has been tested in the same cellular models. This compound at $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ displayed significant biological activity, impairing cell proliferation and self-renewal, and inducing apoptosis. When co-administered with shEZH2 to hMB-SLCs, 340 did not show any additive effect on cell viability, thus confirming its selective action on the methyltransferase target. We then assessed the capability of $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ to cross the BBB in vitro, in a PAMPA-BBB assay. Moreover, we observed the ability of $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ to reduce H3K27me3 levels in brain and cerebellum in vivo. Finally, we treated MB xenografted mice with 340. In vivo experiments showed a significant decrease of tumor volume, a reduction of stemness and cell proliferation, and induction of apoptosis in treated mice. Thank to this study we validated EZH2 as an attractive target for SHH MB cancer and cancer stem cell impairment. We also demonstrated that EZH2 pharmacological inhibition by $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ is effective in MB cells and in MB xenografted mouse models.

### 3.4 Experimental Section

### 3.4.1 Chemistry

Melting points were determined on a Buchi 530 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 400 and 100 MHz , respectively, on a Bruker AC 400 spectrometer; chemical shifts are reported in $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ units relative to the internal reference tetramethylsilane ( $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ ). EIMS spectra were recorded with a Fisons Trio 1000 spectrometer; only molecular ions $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$and base peaks are given. All compounds were routinely checked by TLC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. TLC was performed on aluminum-backed silica gel plates (Merck DC, Alufolien Kieselgel 60 F254) with spots visualized by UV light. All solvents were reagent grade and, when necessary, were purified and dried by standard methods. Concentration of solutions after reactions and extractions involved the use of a rotary evaporator operating at reduced pressure of ca. 20 Torr. Organic solutions were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. Elemental analysis has been used to determine purity of the described compounds, that is, > $95 \%$. Analytical results are within $\pm 0.40 \%$ of the theoretical values. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Milan (Italy), or from Alfa Aesar, Karlsruhe (Germany), and were of the highest purity.

General procedure for the synthesis of pyrazole esters $\mathbf{3 5 a}$-d and 39e-q. Example: Ethyl 1-isopropyl-5-phenyl-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate (39e)
To a solution of ethyl -2-benzoyl-3-(dimethylamino)acrylate (38e) ( $1.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.250 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in ethanol ( 5 mL ), isopropylhydrazine hydrochloride ( $1.011 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.112 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and triethylamine ( $2.022 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.28 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were added and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 h . After the completion of the reaction, the solvent was evaporated (by $90 \%$ ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (20 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added to the residue. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers were washed with a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, and a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo, to obtain ethyl 1-isopropyl-5-phenyl-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate as a pure colourless solid, which was used in the following step without further purification. $\mathrm{Y}=66 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ : $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.15\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t},-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.45\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d},-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 4.14\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q},-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.34(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m},-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 7.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), $7.50(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons $), 8.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, pyrazole proton) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 14.26,21.74$ (2C), 53.12, 61.21, 115.65, 128.52 (2C), 128.61, 128.91 (2C), 130.80, 139.82, 152.04, 163.24 ppm; MS (EI) m/z $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 258.1368, found: 258.1373.

General procedure for the synthesis of 5-pyrrolyl-pyrazole esters 36a-d. Example: synthesis of ethyl 1-cyclopentyl-5-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl)-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate (36b)
To a solution of ethyl 1-cyclopentyl-5-amino-1 H -pyrazole-4-carboxylate ( $\mathbf{3 5 b}$ ) $(4.478 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq, 1 g ) in acetic acid ( 6 mL ), 2,5-dimethoxytetrahydrofuran ( $6.718 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 0.870 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was
added and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 1 h . Then 5 mL of toluene were added and the yellow solution was concentrated under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with $n$-hexane: ethyl acetate $8: 1$, to furnish ethyl 1 -cyclopentyl-5-( $1 \mathrm{H}-$ pyrrol-1-yl)-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate (10b) as a light-yellow oil. $\mathrm{Y}=88 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.20\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t},-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, cyclopentane protons), 1.93-2.03 $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, cyclopentane protons $), 2.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, cyclopentane protons $), 4.18\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q},-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $4.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, cyclopentane proton $), 6.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, pyrrole protons $), 6.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, pyrrole protons $)$, $7.99\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, pyrazole proton) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 14.26,24.58(2 \mathrm{C})$, 33.37 (2C), 59.74, 62.16, 101.03, 112.92 (2C), 121.59 (2C), 139.21, 142.64, 163.88 ppm ; MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 273.1477, found: 273.1479 .

General procedure for the synthesis of pyrazole acids 37a-q. Example: synthesis of 1-phenyl-5-styryl-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylic acid (37k)

Ethyl 1-phenyl-5-styryl-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate ( $\mathbf{3 9 k}$ ) ( $1.818 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.579 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was suspended in ethanol ( 6 mL ), then a 2 N aqueous solution of $\mathrm{KOH}(7.280 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0 \mathrm{eq}, 3.64 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added, and the resulting mixture was left stirring at room temperature. After 16 h the reaction was complete, the ethanol was removed in vacuo. The residue was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 2 N aqueous solution of HCl was added dropwise till $\mathrm{pH}=2$. The obtained precipitate was filtered, rinsed with distilled water $(3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried in oven at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give $\mathbf{3 7 k}$ as a colourless solid. Yield $93 \%{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},-$ $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}), 7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons $), 7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons), $8.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, pyrazole proton), $12.63(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 24.6$ (2C), 33.4 (2C), 62.2, $99.5,112.9$ (2C), 121.6 (2C), 138.8, 142.0, 166.1; MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 290.1055, found: 290.1058.

General procedure for the synthesis of amides 34a-r. Example: synthesis of $\mathbf{N}$-( $\mathbf{( 4 , 6 -}$ dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-5-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazole-4carboxamide (340)
To a solution of 5-methyl-1-phenyl-1 $H$-pyrazole-4-carboxylic acid (150) ( $0.742 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$, 0.150 g ) in anhydrous $N, N$-dimethylformamide ( 5 mL ), TBTU ( $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-Tetramethyl-O-(benzotriazol-1-yl)uronium tetrafluoroborate) ( $0.890 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}, 0.463 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and triethylamine ( $5.194 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.720 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were added under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere and the solution was left under stirring at room temperature for 25 minutes. After this time, 3-(aminomethyl)-4,6-dimethylpyridin-2(1H)-one hydrochloride (33) ( $0.890 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}, 0.167 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction was quenched by a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the precipitate was filtered, rinsed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $n$ hexane $(3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting light-yellow solid was purified by recrystallization (acetonitrile: methanol 1:1) to provide pure $\mathbf{3 4 o}$ as a colorless solid. $\mathrm{Y}=60 \%$; m.p. $225-227{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
(DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.13$ (s, 3H, 4,6-dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.19 (s, 3H, 4,6dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.51\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 5-\right.$ methylpyrazole $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $5.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}\right.$ pyridone proton), $7.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}\right.$, aromatic protons), $7.96\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, J=4.8\right.$ Hz ), $8.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, pyrazole proton), $11.48(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyridone NH$) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 11.1,18.5,19.1,32.8,111.1,116.0,123.3$ (2C), 126.9, 127.2, 129.1 (2C), 139.2, 142.6, 146.6, 151.5, 158.0, 163.6, 165.9 ppm ; MS (EI) m/z [M] calculated: 336.1586, found: 336.1590. ${ }^{275,276}$

## Chemical and Physical Data, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and MS (EI) Data for Compounds 34a-r. $\mathbf{N}$-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-1-isopropyl-5-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl)-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxamide (34a)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}-, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6$ dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6\right.$ dimethylpyridone $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\right)$, $4.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 5.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}$ - pyridone proton), $6.29(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, pyrrole protons, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.95 (dd, 2 H , pyrrole protons, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.14\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), $8.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, pyrazole proton), $11.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyridone -NH$) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 18.5,19.1,21.7(2 \mathrm{C}), 32.8,51.4,100.2,111.1,112.9$ (2C), 116.0, 121.6 (2C), 138.1, $147.3,151.6,158.0,163.6,165.1 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{Y}=66 \%$; m.p. $229-231^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol); MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$ calculated: 353.1852, found: 353.1845 .

## 1-cyclopentyl-N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-5-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl)-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxamide (34b)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.53$ (m, 2H, cyclopentyl protons), 1.78 (m, 2H, cyclopentyl protons), 1.87 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, cyclopentyl protons), 2.09 (s, 3H, 4,6 dimethylpyridone $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6\right.$ dimethylpyridone $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, cyclopentyl $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\right), 4.12(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 5.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}$ - pyridone proton), $6.29(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, pyrrole protons, $J=$ $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, pyrrole protons, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.15\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyrazole proton), $11.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, pyridone -NH ) $\mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ $18.5,19.1,24.6$ (2C), 32.8, 33.4 (2C), 62.2, $99.8,111.1,112.9$ (2C), 116.0, 121.6 (2C), 139.1, $146.4,151.6,158.0,163.6,165.1 . p p m ; Y=61 \% ;$ m.p. $208-210^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/ methanol); MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated:379.2008, found: 379.2011.

## N -((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-1-phenyl-5-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl)-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxamide (34c)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.11$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6$ dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.50(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6$ dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $4.17\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 5.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}$ - pyridone proton), $6.17(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons, $J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons, $J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.49(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,
$-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $8.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, pyrazole proton), $11.48\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, pyridone -NH ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 18.5,19.1,32.8,101.3,111.1,112.9$ (2C), 116.0, 121.6 (2C), 125.2 (2C), 127.2, 129.5 (2C), 138.5, 139.6, 141.7, 151.6, 158.0, $163.6,165.1 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{Y}=68 \%$; m.p. 232-235 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol); MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 387.1695, found: 387.1699.

## 1-benzyl-N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-5-(1H-pyrrol-1-yl)-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxamide (34d)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.09$ (s, 3H, 4,6 dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.10 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6$ dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $4.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 5.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.82$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}$ - pyridone proton), $6.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, pyrrole protons), $6.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, pyrrole protons, $J=1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons), $7.36(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H},-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, pyrazole proton), $11.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, pyridone -NH ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 18.5,19.1,32.8,51.1,100.5,111.1,112.9$ (2C), 116.0, 121.6 (2C), 127.6, 128.4 (2C), 129.0 (2C), 136.3, 139.6, 141.5, 151.6, $158.0,163.6,165.1 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{Y}=65$ \%; m.p. $187-189{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile); MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 401.1852, found: 401.1845 .

N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-1-isopropyl-5-phenyl-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxamide (34e)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}-, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6$ dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6\right.$ dimethylpyridone $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.15\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=4.8\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\right), 5.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}-$ pyridone proton $), 7.28\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=4.0\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons), $7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons), $7.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyrazole proton), $11.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyridone -NH$) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 18.5$, $19.1,21.7$ (2C), $32.8,53.1,111.1,116.0,124.5,128.5$ (2C), 128.6, 128.9 (2C), 130.8, 141.5, $151.6,153.9,158.0,163.6,165.3 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{Y}=67 \%$; m.p. $211-213{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/ methanol); MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 364.1899, found: 364.1901.

1-cyclopentyl-N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-5-phenyl-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxamide (34f)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, cyclopentyl protons), $1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, cyclopentyl protons), 1.89 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, cyclopentyl protons), 2.07 (s, 3H, 4,6 dimethylpyridone $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6\right.$ dimethylpyridone $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.10\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, cyclopentyl proton $-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}-$ ), $5.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}-\right.$ pyridone proton), $7.30\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=4.8\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons), $7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons), $7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyrazole proton), $11.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyridone -NH$) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 18.5$, 19.1, 24.6 (2C), 32.8, 33.4 (2C), 64.1, 111.1, 116.0, 122.8, 128.5 (2C), 128.6, 128.9 (2C), 130.8, $142.0,151.6,154.1,158.0,163.6,165.3 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{Y}=59 \% ;$ m.p. $213-215{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/ methanol); MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 390.2056, found: 390.2060.

## N -((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-1,5-diphenyl-1H-pyrazole-4carboxamide ( $\mathbf{3 4 g}$ )

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.10$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6$ dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.11 ( s , $3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6$ dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 4.17 ( d, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}$ - pyridone proton), 7.17 (d, 2 H , aromatic protons, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.24(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}\right.$, aromatic protons), $7.60\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyrazole proton), 11.46 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyridone $-\mathrm{N} H$ ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 18.5,19.1,32.8$, 111.1, 116.0, 124.1 (2C), 125.8, 127.1, 128.4 (2C), 128.6, 128.9 (2C), 129.1 (2C), 130.6, 139.6, 140.2, 146.0, 151.6, 158.0, 163.6, $165.3 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{Y}=57 \%$; m.p. $206-208{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/ methanol); MS (EI) m/z [M] calculated: 398.1743, found: 398.1740.

## 1-benzyl-N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-5-phenyl-1H-pyrazole-4carboxamide (34h)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta 2.08$ (s, 3H, 4,6 dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, 4,6 dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $4.11\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 5.14\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.82(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}-$ pyridone proton), $6.90(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons), 7.43 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons and $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-$ ), 8.01 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyrazole proton), 11.45 ( s , 1 H , pyridone $-\mathrm{N} H$ ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta 18.5,19.1,32.8,52.9$, 111.1, 116.0, 120.6, 127.6, 128.0 (2C), 128.4 (2C), 128.6 (3C), 128.9 (2C), 130.9, 136.0, 140.6, 142.5, 151.6, 158.0, 163.6, $165.3 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{Y}=62 \%$; m.p. $181-183{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile); MS (EI) m/z $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 412.1899, found: 412.1893.

## (E)-N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-1-isopropyl-5-styryl-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxamide (34i)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.40\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}-, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6$ dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.15 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6$ dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $4.24\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=4.0\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\right), 5.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}-$ pyridone proton), $7.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, vinyl proton, $J=$ $16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons and vinyl proton), $7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons), 7.83 (t, $1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyrazole proton), $11.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyridone -NH ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 18.5,19.1,21.7$ (2C), 32.8, 52.3, 111.1, 116.0, $121.8,122.0,126.3$ (2C), 129.0 (2C), 129.8, 131.6, 136.6, 143.8, 145.3, 151.6, 158.0, 163.6, $165.4 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{Y}=64 \%$; m.p. $223-225^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol); MS (EI) m/z [M] calculated: 390.2056, found: 390.2058.

## (E)-1-cyclopentyl-N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-5-styryl-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxamide (34j)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, cyclopentyl protons), $1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, cyclopentyl protons), 1.97 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, cyclopentyl protons), 2.06 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, cyclopentyl protons), 2.10
$\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6\right.$ dimethylpyridone $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6\right.$ dimethylpyridone $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.24(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, cyclopentyl proton $-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}-), 5.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{pyridone}$ proton), $7.20(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, vinyl proton, $J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons and vinyl proton), $7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic proton), $7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic and vinyl protons), $7.60(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.85\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyrazole proton), $11.45(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 H , pyridone -NH ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 18.5,19.1,24.6(2 \mathrm{C}), 32.8$, 33.4 (2C), 63.9, 111.1, 116.0, 120.6, 122.1, 126.3 (2C), 129.0 (2C), 129.8, 131.6, 136.6, 143.4, $144.3,151.6,158.0,163.6,165.4 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{Y}=63 \%$; m.p. $192-194{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile); MS (EI) m/z $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 416.2212, found: 416.2215 .

## (E)-N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-1-phenyl-5-styryl-1H-pyrazole-

## 4-carboxamide ( 34 k )

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.12$ (s, 3H, 4,6 dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.19 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6$ dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $4.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 5.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}$ - pyridone proton), $6.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, vinyl proton, $J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons and vinyl proton), $7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons $), 8.09\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyrazole proton), $11.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyridone -NH$) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 18.5,19.1,32.8$, $111.1,116.0,117.9,122.2,123.0$ (2C), 126.3 (2C), 127.2, 129.0 (2C), 129.1 (2C), 129.8, 132.6, $136.6,136.7,139.5,141.8,151.6,158.0,163.6,165.4 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{Y}=62 \% ;$ m.p. $231-233{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol); MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 424.1899, found: 424.1903.

## (E)-1-benzyl-N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-5-styryl-1H-pyrazole-

 4-carboxamide (341)${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.11$ (s, 3H, 4,6 dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.18 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6$ dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $4.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 5.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $5.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}$ - pyridone proton), $7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons), 7.24-7.40 ( $\mathrm{m}, 7 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons and vinyl protons), 7.47 (d, 3 H , aromatic protons, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyrazole proton), $7.97\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 11.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, pyridone -NH ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 18.5,19.1,32.8,52.8,111.1,116.0,118.8,121.7,126.3$ (2C), 127.6, 128.0 (2C), 128.4 (2C), 129.0 (2C), 129.8, 131.1, 136.0, 136.6, 140.7, 142.8, 151.6, 158.0, 163.6, $165.4 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{Y}=58 \%$; m.p. $195-197{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile); MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 438.2056, found: 438.2051 .

## N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazole-4carboxamide (34m)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.13$ (s, 3H, 4,6 dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.19 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6$ dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $4.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 5.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}$ - pyridone proton), $7.35(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic proton), $7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons), $7.82(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic
protons, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.05\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N} H-, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyrazole proton), $8.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyrazole proton), $11.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyridone -NH$) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ $18.5,19.1,32.8,111.1,116.0,119.2$ (2C), 119.4, 127.5, 129.4 (2H), 132.3, 136.8, 139.4, 151.6, 158.0 , 162.3, 163.61.ppm; Y $=67 \%$; m.p. $>250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol); MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 322.1430, found: 322.1433 .

## N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-1-isopropyl-5-methyl-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxamide (34n)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.33\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}-, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6$ dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6\right.$ dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 5$ methylpyrazole $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.22\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\right), 5.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}$ - pyridone proton), $7.76\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyrazole proton), $11.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyridone ${ }^{-N H}$ ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 11.9,18.5,19.1,21.7$ (2C), 32.8, 51.0, $111.1,116.0,125.9,147.2,148.8,151.6,158.0,163.6,165.9 . p p m ; Y=57 \%$; m.p. $194-196{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile); MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 302.1743, found: 302.1744.

## 1-benzyl-N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-5-methyl-1H-pyrazole-4carboxamide (34p)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.11$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6$ dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.16 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6$ dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 5\right.$ methylpyrazole $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $4.22\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-\right.$, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}-$ pyridone proton $), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic protons, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.31\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, aromatic protons), $7.84\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.94(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 H , pyrazole proton), $11.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyridone -NH$) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$, ppm): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 11.8,18.5,19.1,32.8,52.6,111.1,116.0,123.6,127.6,128.4$ (2C), 128.7 (2C), 136.1, $147.4,150.1,151.6,158.0,163.6,165.9 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{Y}=51 \% ;$ m.p. $219-221^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol); MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 350.1743 , found: 350.1739 . ${ }^{275}$

## N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-1-phenyl-5-propyl-1H-pyrazole-4carboxamide ( $\mathbf{3 4 q}$ )

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.72\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) , 2.13 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6$ dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.17 (s, 3H,4,6 dimethylpyridone $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.92\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 4.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 5.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}$ - pyridone proton), $7.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}\right.$, aromatic protons), $7.95\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyrazole proton), $11.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyridone -NH$) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 13.6$, $18.5,19.1,21.8,25.0,32.8,111.1,116.0,119.9,124.2$ (2C), 127.2, 128.9 (2C), 139.8, 145.7, $146.6,151.6,158.0,163.6,165.6 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{Y}=60 \%$; m.p. $204-206^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/ methanol); MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 364.1899, found: 364.1904 .

N-((4,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3-yl)methyl)-2-(5-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)acetamide (34r)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.11$ (s, 3H, 4,6 dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.13 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, 4,6$ dimethylpyridone $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, pyrazole $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}-\right), 4.09$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 5.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}-$ pyridone proton), $7.39(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic proton, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.42-7.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}\right.$, aromatic and pyrazole protons), $7.91\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $11.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, pyridone $-\mathrm{N} H) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 10.3,18.5,19.1$, $30.3,33.0,109.1,111.1,116.0,123.3$ (2C), 127.2, 129.1 (2C), 136.6, 138.0, 139.3, 151.6, 158.0, 163.6, $170.8 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{Y}=66 \%$; m.p. $215-217{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/ methanol); MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$ calculated: 350.1743 , found: 350.1747

Table S4. Chemical and Physical Data of the Intermediates 38a'-e'.

|  <br> 38a'-e' |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cpd | R | m. p. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | recrystallization solvent | yield <br> (\%) |
| 38a, ${ }^{277}$ |  | 64-66 | cyclohexane | 78 |
| 38b, ${ }^{278}$ |  | oil | - | 72 |
| $\mathbf{3 8} \mathbf{c}^{\text {, } 279}$ | -H | 150-152 | toluene | 65 |
| 38d ${ }^{266}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 43-45 | $n$-hexane | 77 |
| 38e ${ }^{\text {, } 278}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 112-114 | cyclohexane | 69 |

Table S5. Chemical and Physical Data of the Intermediates 35a-d, 36a-d, and 39e-q.


35a-d, 36a-d, 39e-q

| Cpd | R | R1 | m. p. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | recrystallization solvent | yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35a ${ }^{280}$ | $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 62-63 | cyclohexane | 92 |
| 35b ${ }^{281}$ | $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | cyclopentyl | oil | - | 95 |
| 35c ${ }^{282}$ | $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | Ph | 107-109 | cyclohexane | 81 |
| 35d ${ }^{265}$ | $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | Bn | 109-111 | cyclohexane | 82 |
| 36a | N | $i$-Pr | oil | - | 67 |
| 36b | $-\mathrm{N}$ | cyclopentyl | oil | - | 77 |
| 36c ${ }^{283}$ | N | Ph | 111-113 | cyclohexane | 88 |
| 36d | N | Bn | 44-45 | $n$-hexane | 77 |
| 39e |  | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | oil | - | 75 |
| 39f |  | cyclopentyl | oil | - | 68 |
| 39g ${ }^{284}$ |  | Ph | 113-115 | cyclohexane | 66 |
| 39h ${ }^{285}$ |  | Bn | 68-70 | $n$-hexane | 73 |
| 39i | - $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$ | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | oil | - | 70 |
| 39j | $-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$ | cyclopentyl | oil | - | 67 |


| $\mathbf{3 9 k}^{286}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$ | Ph | oil | - | 69 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3 9 1}^{287}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$ | Bn | oil | - | 65 |
| $\mathbf{3 9 m}^{288}$ | -H | Ph | $98-100$ | cyclohexane | 66 |
| 39n $^{289}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $i$-Pr | oil | - | 70 |
| $\mathbf{3 9 o}^{290}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | Ph | $56-58$ | $n$-hexane | 88 |
| $\mathbf{3 9 p}^{\mathbf{3 9 q}^{284}}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | Bn | oil | - | 82 |
| - <br> $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ <br> 3 | Ph | $112-114$ | cyclohexane | 92 |  |

Table S6. Chemical and Physical Data of the Intermediates 37a-q.

|  <br> 37a-q |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cpd | R | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | m. p. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | recrystallization solvent | yield $(\%)$ |
| 37a |  | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 138-140 | toluene | 87 |
| 37b | N | cyclopentyl | 167-168 | toluene/acetonitrile | 93 |
| 37c ${ }^{291}$ |  | Ph | 184-186 | acetonitrile | 89 |
| 37d | N | Bn | 170-172 | toluene/acetonitrile | 77 |
| 37e |  | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 164-166 | toluene/acetonitrile | 73 |
| 37f |  | cyclopentyl | 196-197 | acetonitrile | 68 |
| 37g ${ }^{290}$ |  | Ph | 177-178 | toluene/acetonitrile | 83 |
| 37h ${ }^{292}$ |  | Bn | 166-168 | toluene/acetonitrile | 76 |
| 37i | $-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$ | $i-\operatorname{Pr}$ | 167-170 | toluene/acetonitrile | 80 |
| 37j | $-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$ | cyclopentyl | 191-193 | acetonitrile | 85 |
| 37k | $-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$ | Ph | 176-177 | toluene/acetonitrile | 83 |
| 371 | $-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$ | Bn | 136-140 | toluene | 78 |
| 37m ${ }^{293}$ | -H | Ph | 225-227 | methanol | 88 |
| 37n ${ }^{289}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $i-\operatorname{Pr}$ | 151-153 | toluene | 92 |
| 370 ${ }^{290}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | Ph | 163-165 | toluene/acetonitrile | 86 |


| $\mathbf{3 7 p}{ }^{275}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | Bn | $167-169$ | toluene/acetonitrile | 77 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3 7 q} \mathbf{q}^{290}$ | - <br> $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ <br> 3 | Ph | $111-113$ | cyclohexane | 71 |


| Table S7. Elemental Analyses for Compounds 34a-r. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cmpd | $\mathbf{*}$ MW | calculated, $\%$ |  |  | found, \% |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{H}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{H}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 4 a}$ | 353.43 | 64.57 | 6.56 | 19.82 | 64.78 | 6.67 | 19.59 |
| $\mathbf{3 4 b}$ | 379.46 | 66.47 | 6.64 | 18.46 | 66.23 | 6.51 | 18.72 |
| $\mathbf{3 4 c}$ | 387.44 | 68.20 | 5.46 | 18.08 | 68.04 | 5.55 | 18.32 |
| $\mathbf{3 4 d}$ | 401.47 | 68.81 | 5.77 | 17.44 | 68.59 | 5.65 | 17.69 |
| $\mathbf{3 4 e}$ | 364.45 | 69.21 | 6.64 | 15.37 | 69.44 | 6.75 | 15.19 |
| $\mathbf{3 4 f}$ | 390.49 | 70.75 | 6.71 | 14.35 | 70.98 | 6.84 | 14.11 |
| $\mathbf{3 4 g}$ | 398.47 | 72.34 | 5.57 | 14.06 | 72.59 | 5.68 | 13.82 |
| $\mathbf{3 4 h}$ | 412.49 | 72.80 | 5.86 | 13.58 | 73.04 | 5.99 | 13.27 |
| $\mathbf{3 4 i}$ | 390.49 | 70.75 | 6.71 | 14.35 | 70.57 | 6.59 | 14.52 |
| $\mathbf{3 4 j}$ | 416.53 | 72.09 | 6.78 | 13.45 | 71.88 | 6.70 | 13.64 |
| $\mathbf{3 4 k}$ | 424.50 | 73.56 | 5.70 | 13.20 | 73.82 | 5.88 | 12.95 |
| $\mathbf{3 4 1}$ | 438.53 | 73.95 | 5.98 | 12.78 | 74.17 | 6.08 | 12.54 |
| $\mathbf{3 4 m}$ | 322.37 | 67.07 | 5.63 | 17.38 | 67.29 | 5.74 | 17.17 |
| $\mathbf{3 4 n}$ | 302.38 | 63.55 | 7.33 | 18.53 | 63.89 | 7.45 | 18.28 |
| $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ | 336.40 | 67.84 | 5.99 | 16.66 | 68.02 | 6.10 | 16.39 |
| $\mathbf{3 4 p}$ | 350.42 | 68.55 | 6.33 | 15.99 | 68.36 | 6.29 | 16.17 |
| $\mathbf{3 4 q}$ | 364.45 | 69.21 | 6.64 | 15.37 | 69.44 | 6.79 | 15.08 |
| $\mathbf{3 4 r}$ | 350.42 | 68.55 | 6.33 | 15.99 | 68.42 | 6.39 | 16.13 |

### 3.4.2 Biochemistry

EZH2/PRC2 assays. (Reaction Biology Corporation, USA) The EZH2 substrate ( $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ core histone, or $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H} 3 / \mathrm{H} 4$ octamer, or $5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H} 3 / \mathrm{H} 4$ tetramer, or $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ histone H 3 ) was added in the freshly prepared reaction buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8.0)$, $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, 1 \% DMSO). The PRC2 complex [complex of human EZH2, human EED, human SUZ12, human AEBP2, and human RbAp48] was delivered into the substrate solution and the mixture was mixed gently. Afterwards, the tested compounds dissolved in DMSO were delivered into the enzyme/substrate reaction mixture by using Acoustic Technology (Echo 550, LabCyte Inc. Sunnyvale, CA) in nanoliter range, and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-SAM was added into the reaction mixture to initiate the reaction. The reaction mixture was incubated for 1 h at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then it was delivered to filter-paper for detection. The data were analyzed using Excel and GraphPad Prism software for $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ curve fits. For SAM competition experiments, the same procedure was used with different SAM concentration ( $1,2.5,5$, and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and $\mathrm{H} 3 / \mathrm{H} 4$ octamer as the substrate.
EZH1 complex, DOT1L, G9a, MLL1 complex, SET7/9, PRMT1, and DNMT1 assays. (Reaction Biology Corporation, USA) The appropriate methyltransferase substrate $(0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ core histone for EZH1 complex, $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ oligonucleosomes for DOT1L, $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ histone H 3 (121) peptide for G9a, $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ nucleosomes for MLL1 complex, $0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ core histone for SET7/9, $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ histone H 4 for PRMT1, and $0.001 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ poly(dI-dC) for DNMT1) was added in freshly prepared reaction buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8.5$ ), $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.01 \%$ Brij35, 1 mM DTT, $1 \%$ DMSO). The MT enzyme was delivered into the substrate solution and the mixture was mixed gently. Afterwards, the tested compounds dissolved in DMSO were delivered into the enzyme/substrate reaction mixture by using Acoustic Technology (Echo 550, LabCyte Inc. Sunnyvale, CA) in nanoliter range, and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$-SAM was also added into the reaction mixture to initiate the reaction. The reaction mixture was incubated for 1 h at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then it was delivered to filter-paper for detection. The data were analyzed using Excel and GraphPad Prism software for $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ curve fits.

### 3.4.3 In cell evaluation

(Groups of Prof. Elisabetta Ferretti, and Dott. Marco Tafani, Department of Experimental Medicine, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy)

Cell cultures. The MDA-MB-231 human breast carcinoma cell line, the human neuroblastoma cell line SK-N-BE, and the human chronic myelogenous leukemia cell line K562 were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The human prostate adenocarcinoma cell line PC-3 was maintained in DMEM medium (Sigma-Aldrich). Both media were supplemented with 100 units $/ \mathrm{ml}$ penicillin, $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin, and $10 \%$ heat-
inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma-Aldrich). All the cells were maintained at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere of $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $95 \%$ air.

DAOY cells were obtained from ATCC and cultured in MEM medium (Gibco, Invitrogen), supplemented with $10 \%$ heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, $1 \%$ sodium pyruvate, $1 \%$ nonessential amino acid solution, $1 \%$ L-glutamine and penicillin/streptomycin. Human and mouse stem-like cells (hMB-SLC and mMB-SLC) were derived, as previously described from primary human SHH-MBs and from spontaneous tumors arisen in Ptc+/- mice. ${ }^{274}$ DAOY-SLCs were obtained as described in Alimova et al.. ${ }^{270}$ All SLCs were maintained in DMEM/F12 supplemented with $0.6 \%$ glucose, $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ insulin, $60 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml} N$-acetyl-L-cystein, $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ heparin, $20 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ EGF, $20 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ bFGF (Peprotech, Rocky Hill, NJ), 1X penicillinstreptomycin and B27 supplement without vitamin A (Gibco). For differentiation experiments, hMB-SLCs and mMB-SLC were mechanically dissociated and plated for 48 h on D-poly-lysine coated dishes in differentiation medium (DMEM/F12 with N 2 supplement and $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ heparin, 0,6 \% glucose, $60 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL} N$-acetyl-L-cysteine, $1 \% \mathrm{FBS}$ ). For self-renewal assessment, clonogenic assay was performed as previously described. ${ }^{274}$ Briefly: oncospheres were disaggregated with non-enzymatic cell dissociation buffer (Sigma) and cells were plated at clonal density (1-2 cells $/ \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ) into 96-well plates and cultured for an appropriate number of days (7-15) until oncospheres were countable.

Cell Viability. Cells were seeded in a 96 well plate. The day after cells were treated as described and cell viability was measured by using the CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay (MTS) (Promega, Milan, Italy) and following manufacturer's instructions. Cell viability was also assessed by Trypan blue exclusion. Following treatment, cells were stained by addition of Trypan Blue. Cells were then visualized and counted with a phase contrast microscopy (NIKON Eclipse TE2000U). Finally, cell viability and morphology was also documented by taking pictures of the cells after 2, 4 and 6 days of treatment by using a digital camera mounted on an EclipseNet 2000 microscope (Nikon Instruments, Florence, Italy).

Protein Extraction and Western Blot Assays. 3 was dissolved in DMSO and added to a final concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Compound $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ was dissolved in DMSO and added to a final concentration of $0.5,1$ and $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for the indicated times. Cells were harvested, washed twice in PBS and resuspended in lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 5 mM EDTA, $250 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 50 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{NaF}, 0.1$ \% Triton X-100, $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ leupeptin and 1 mM phenyl-methylsulfonyl fluoride). After 30 min on ice, the lysates were clarified by centrifugation ( 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and the supernatant fraction collected. Protein concentration was measured by the Bradford assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). Proteins were applied to SDS-polyacrylamide gels. The gels were blotted ( 1.5 h at 230 mA ) onto a Hybond-ECL nitrocellulose filter (Amersham Life science, Inc.). A Dual Color prestained protein solution (Bio-Rad Laboratories) was used as a molecular weight standard. The filter was washed twice with TBS-0.1 \% Tween-20 buffer (TBS-T), before
blocking non-specific binding sites with $5 \% \mathrm{milk} / \mathrm{TBS}-\mathrm{T}$ for 1 h . The filter was then incubated with the specific primary antibody [mouse anti-human H3K27me3, anti-human H3K4me3, antihuman H3, anti-caspase 3 antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), rabbit anti-human LC3I/II (Novus Biologicals, Abingdon, UK), mouse anti- $\beta$-actin (Sigma), or rabbit anti-PARP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA)] diluted in $5 \%$ milk/TBS-T overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The nitrocellulose filter was washed twice and incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated appropriate secondary antibody [mouse anti-rabbit HRP, goat anti-mouse HRP (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA)]. Detection was performed at room temperature using the enhanced chemiluminescent detection system (ECL kit) purchased from Euroclone (Milan, Italy). Experiments were repeated three times. Densitometric analysis of the bands relative to $\beta$-actin was performed using Image J software (NIH).

Western blot (medulloblastoma). Western blots were performed according to standard procedures. ${ }^{294}$ Briefly, protein lysates were prepared using RIPA buffer with fresh protease inhibitors. Lysates were separated on SDS polyacrylamide gels. Proteins were transferred on nitrocellulose membrane $(0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ (PerkinElmer). Membranes were blocked for 1 h at room temperature in $5 \%$ nonfat dry milk and incubated overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with antibodies against EZH2 (4905S Cell signaling), H3K27me3 (07-449 Millipore), PCNA (2586 Cell signaling), ACTIN (I-19 sc-1616; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), GAPDH (ab9484, Abcam), cleaved CSP-3 (D-175 9661S; Cell Signaling) and H3 (ab1971, Abcam). HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) were used in combination with enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL Amersham).

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting. Cells were sorted based on CD133 expression levels using a FACSAriaIII (BD Biosciences) equipped with a 633 nm laser and FACSDiva software (BD Biosciences version 6.1.3). Briefly, cells were immunostained with APC-conjugated anti-CD133 (Miltenyi Biotec) according to manufacturer's protocol, and were then subjected to cell sorting. Cells were gated using forward and side scatter (FSC-A and SSC-A) plot to identify live cells (average 65\%), and were then detected in the APC channel for CD133 expression. Following this gate strategy, cells were sorted for expression of CD133 (APC- and APC+ cells) and subsequently checked for purity.
PAMPA-BBB. Donor solution ( $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ or $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was prepared by diluting 10 or 5 mM DMSO compound stock solution using phosphate buffer ( $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,0.01 \mathrm{M}$ ). Filter membrane was coated with $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of BBB-specific lipid solution prepared dissolving 16 mg of PBL (Avanti Lipids Polar, 141101P) in $600 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $n$-dodecane. Donor solution ( $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added to each well of the filter plate. To each well of the acceptor plate $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of solution ( $5 \% \mathrm{DMSO}$ in phosphate buffer) were added. Each compound was tested in triplicate. The sandwich was incubated for 24 h at room temperature under gentle shaking. After the incubation time, the sandwich plates were separated and $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the acceptor plate were transferred to a UV quartz
microtiter plate and measured by UV spectroscopy, using a Multiskan GO microplate spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) at $250-500 \mathrm{~nm}$ at step of 5 nm . Reference solutions ( 250 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) were prepared diluting the sample stock solutions to the same concentration as that with no membrane barrier. The BBB permeability value $\log \mathrm{Pe}$ is determined applying the equation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log P e=\log \left\{C \times\left(-\ln \left(1-\frac{[\text { drug }] \text { acceptor }}{[\text { drug }] \text { equilibrium }}\right)\right)\right\} \\
C=\left(\frac{V d \times \text { Va }}{(V d+V a) \times \text { area } \times \text { time }}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

In this equation, VA is the volume of the acceptor compartment $\left(0.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, VD is the donor volume ( $0.15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), area is the accessible filter area ( $0.24 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ), and time is the incubation time in seconds.

### 3.4.4 Mice experiments

Mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratories and maintained in the Animal Facility at Sapienza University of Rome. All procedures were performed in accordance with the Guidelines for Animal Care and Use of the National Institutes of Health with the approval of the Ethics Committee for Animal Experimentation (Prot. N 03/2013) of Sapienza University of Rome.
In vivo treatment. CD1 wild-type mice were treated intra-peritoneally with $20 \mu \mathrm{Moles} / \mathrm{Kg}$ of 340 ( $\mathrm{n}=8$ for each group). Treatment was performed at the age of 8 and 10 days post-natal and mice were sacrificed at 12 days post-natal. Brain and cerebellum tissues were collected for analysis.
Medulloblastoma xenografts. DAOY-SLCs were stereotaxically implanted into the cerebellum of nude mice by using the following coordinates according to the atlas of Franklin and Paxinos: 6.6 mm posterior to the bregma; 1 mm lateral to the midline; and 2 mm ventral from the surface of skull of mice, under ketamine ( $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, i.p.)/xylazine ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, i.p.) anesthesia. Cells ( 2 $\times 105$ per $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were implanted at an infusion rate of $1 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$. Mice were separated into two groups. Group $1(\mathrm{n}=8)$ was intraperitoneally treated with $\mathbf{3 4 0}(20 \mu \mathrm{Moles} / \mathrm{Kg})$ suspended in $10 \%$ (2-Hydroxypropyl)- $\beta$-cyclodextrin $+1 \%$ DMSO (Sigma), Group 2 ( $\mathrm{n}=8$ ) was treated with $10 \%$ (2-Hydroxypropyl)- $\beta$-cyclodextrin $+1 \%$ DMSO (Sigma). After 21 days of treatment, animals were sacrificed and brains were formalin fixed and paraffin embedded. Serial thick coronal sections $(2 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ starting from mesencephalon to the end of cerebellum were performed. The analysis was performed on 20 sections, sampled every $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ on the horizontal plan of the cerebellum, in which the tumor was identified and outlined at 2.5 X magnification.
Every $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ of brain slice, hematoxylin and eosin (H\&E) staining was performed. Tumor area of every slice was evaluated with a microscope (Axio Imager M1 microscope) equipped with a motorized stage and software Image Pro Plus 6.2. The following formula was used to calculate
brain tumor volume: tumor volume $=$ sum of (measured area for each slice x slice thickness x sampling frequency). ${ }^{295}$

Immunohistochemistry. Paraffin-embedded sections were deparaffinized, and stained with either H\&E or immunohistochemical stains as specified. Heat-induced epitope retrieval was performed with citrate buffer pH 6 . Sections were incubated with ki67 primary antibody (Thermo Scientific) for 1 h . Ki67 expression was expressed as percentages of total cells with labeled nuclei.

* Adapted with the permission of the authors from:
- Mellini P, Marrocco B, Borovika D, Polletta L, Carnevale I, Saladini S, Stazi G, Zwergel C, Trapencieris P, Ferretti E, Tafani M, Valente S, and Mai A. Pyrazole-based inhibitors of Enhancer of Zeste Homolog 2 induce apoptosis and autophagy in cancer cells. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B. doi: 10.1098/rstb. 2017.0150 (ACCEPTED in September 2017, now in Press)
- Miele E, Valente S, Alfano V, Silvano M, Mellini P, Borovika D, Marrocco B, Po A, Besharat ZM, Catanzaro G, Battaglia G, Abballe L, Zwergel C, Stazi G, Milite C, Castellano S, Tafani M, Trapencieris P, Mai A, Ferretti E. The histone methyltransferase EZH2 as a druggable target in SHH medulloblastoma cancer stem cells. Oncotarget. 2017 Aug 2;8(40):68557-68570. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.19782. PubMed PMID: 28978137.


## 4 Design, synthesis and characterization of novel Astemizole analogues as potential PRC2 disruptors

### 4.1 Research project

The catalytic methyltransferase activity of EZH2, as previously mentioned, only takes place in co-presence of at least two other protein subunits of the PRC2 complex: EED and SUZ12. ${ }^{73-75}$ Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that the catalytic activity of EZH2 is impaired by the disruption of the interaction with its partner EED. ${ }^{70,169}$ These evidences, together with the need to develop a new class of PRC2-targeting molecules, led to the application of the protein-protein interaction (PPI) disruption as a strategy to target the PRC2.


Figure 4.1. Molecular design study on Astemizole 10. In black are reported the modifications that have been applied on final compounds, in grey are reported those modifications that have not been yet included in final compounds.

During the last part of my PhD, I worked on the development of PRC2 disruptors. The starting point of our project was a paper published by Kong et al. in 2014. The authors reported the wellknown antihistamine drug Astemizole (10, Figure 1.6 and 4.1) as EZH2-EED disruptor. ${ }^{171}$ Knowing that 10, other than antihistamine $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ activity, is cardio toxic, we decided to manipulate its scaffold, so to try to dissect the anti- $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and cardio toxic effects from the PRC2 disruption activity. Compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ looks as a nice scaffold to work on for a medicinal chemist: figure 63 resembles our molecular design study. In black are reported the modifications that have been
applied, in gray those that we included in our design, but we still did not apply to any final compound.
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Figure 4.2. Novel Astemizole (10) analogues 41a-q.

We started our molecular design study breaking up 10 in six different portions:

- benzimidazole core,
- benzimidazole $\mathrm{N}(1)$ substitution,
- NH linker,
- piperidine ring,
- ethylene spacer,
- 4-methoxyphenyl ring.

We, then, focused on each single portion and evaluated if it was possible to remove it, or how to replace it. We started with the benzoimidazole core, and we thought that it could be replaced with a nitrogen-containing monocycle (imidazole or triazole), or with an indole or different fused bicycles (red arrow, Figure 4.1). The benzoimidazole N(1) of $\mathbf{1 0}$ is substituted with a 4fluorobenzyl group. We questioned whether this group was essential for PRC2 disruption, thus we removed or replaced it with different aliphatic or aromatic substituents, such as methyl, isopropyl, benzyl, or 4-fluorophenethyl (light blue arrow, Figure 4.1). We also wanted to evaluate the importance of the NH linker between the benzoimidazole and the piperidine. Thus, we tried to remove this flexible linker, including the nitrogen in the piperidine ring generating a piperazine (pink and green arrows, Figure 4.1). We then decided to expand our investigation also to the piperidine portion. More in detail, we completely removed this ring, or replace it with inferior or superior cyclic homologues, or cut it giving a linear, nitrogen-containing spacer (green arrow, Figure 4.1). Also, the ethylene spacer between the piperidine and 4-methoxyphenyl ring was an element of investigation. We thought to remove, shorten or elongate it, or to replace one of the two methylene with a carbonyl group (orange arrow, Figure 4.1). Finally, we questioned about the importance of the 4-methoxy substitution, removing or doubling it (yellow arrow, Figure 4.1). Figure 4.2 will resemble all the final compounds obtained so far.

### 4.2 Results and discussion

### 4.2.1 Chemistry

For the synthesis of compounds 41a-p we started building the 2 -chlorobenzimidazole ( $\mathbf{4 3}$, Scheme 11) from 2 -aminoaniline, reacted with 1,1 '-carbonyldiimidazole in $N, N-$ dimethylformamide to give benzoimidazolone (42, Scheme 11).


Scheme 11. Reagents and conditions a) CDI, dry DMF, $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}(78 \%)$; b) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$, Reflux, $2 \mathrm{~h}(60 \%)$.
Compound $\mathbf{4 2}$ was then involved in a reaction with phosphoryl chloride to give $\mathbf{4 3}$ in good yield (Scheme 11). The N -alkylation of the 2-chlorobenzimidazole (43), or 2-chloroimidazole (commercially available) central core was performed in presence of sodium hydride with the appropriate alkyl or benzyl halide, yielding the intermediates 44b-f and $\mathbf{4 5}$ (Scheme 12). The amines 47a-e were obtained in two steps starting from the commercially available tert-butyl (3(methylamino)propyl)carbamate, tert-butyl pyrrolidin-3-ylcarbamate, tert-butyl piperidin-4ylcarbamate, tert-butyl azepan-4-ylcarbamate, or tert-butyl piperazine-1-carboxylate. In these cases, potassium carbonate was sufficient to promote the NH deprotonation and thus the subsequent $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}$ on the 1-(2-bromoethyl)-4-methoxybenzene substrate. The tert-butyloxycarbonyl-
protected intermediates 46a-e underwent cleavage in acidic media, to give the corresponding amine hydrochlorides 47a-e (Scheme 13). The amines 47a-e, or the commercially available 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethan-1-amine, were then involved in a $S_{N}$ with compounds $43,44 e$, or $44 f$ as nucleophiles, using $N$-methyl-2-pyrrolidone as solvent and 4-dimethylaminopyridine as a catalyst when required, to give the final compounds 41a, e-k (Scheme 14 and 15).
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Scheme 12. Reagents and conditions a) iodomethane, or 2-iodopropane, or benzylbromide, or 4fluorobenzylbromide, or (2-bromoethyl)benzene, NaH $60 \%$, dry THF/ dry DMF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t., 1-6 h (65-99 \%)
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Scheme 13. Reagents and conditions a) 1-(2-bromoethyl)-4-methoxybenzene, dry $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 60-$ $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}(60-70 \%)$; b) 4 N HCl in THF, dry THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t., $5 \mathrm{~h}(83-95 \%)$
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Scheme 14. Reagents and conditions a) 47c, NMP, sealed tube, $175{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4-14 \mathrm{~h}$ (39-75 \%)
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Scheme 15. Reagents and conditions a) 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethan-1-amine, or 47e, NMP, sealed tube, $175{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}(36-64 \%)$; b) 47a, b, or d, DIPEA, NMP, sealed tube, $175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(30-45 \%)$

In the same conditions, reacting the 2 -chloro substituted intermediates $\mathbf{4 4 b} \mathbf{- d}$ and $\mathbf{4 5}$ with 4aminopiperidine, we obtained the amines 48b-d, f, g (Scheme 16). Finally, reacting these amines with the appropriate alkyl halide in presence of potassium carbonate we obtained the final compounds 41b-d, l, m, p-r Whereas, a amide coupling of 48e with 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)acetic acid in presence of 1,1 '-carbonyldiimidazole yielded compound 41n (Scheme 17 B , method b). We tried to synthesise the $\beta$-carbonyl amine isomer, but, even though the reaction worked, the product proved unstable, and we were not able to isolate it.



Scheme 16. Reagents and conditions a) 4-aminopiperidine, NMP, sealed tube, $175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2-3 \mathrm{~h}(26-88 \%)$


Scheme 17. Reagents and conditions a) 1-(bromomethyl)-4-methoxybenzene, or 1-(3-bromopropyl)-4methoxybenzene, or 4-(2-bromoethyl)-1,2-dimethoxybenzene, or 1-(2-bromoethyl)-4-methoxybenzene, or (2-bromoethyl)benzene, dry $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, r.t to $60-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 4 h (24-72 \%); b) 2-(4methoxyphenyl)acetic acid, CDI, dry THF, r.t., 5 h (75 \%)


Scheme 18. Reagents and conditions a) 1-(bromomethyl)-4-methoxybenzene, or 1-(3-bromopropyl)-4methoxybenzene, or 4-(2-bromoethyl)-1,2-dimethoxybenzene, or 1-(2-bromoethyl)-4-methoxybenzene, or (2-bromoethyl)benzene, dry $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, r.t to $60-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $4 \mathrm{~h}(24-72 \%)$.

### 4.3 Conclusions and future perspectives

During the last part of my PhD , I directed my research toward the development of PRC2 disruptors. This research project started with the idea of manipulating compound $\mathbf{1 0}$, recently reported as PRC2 disruptor, but known since a long time as antihistamine $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ drug with cardiotoxic side effects. By means of chemical manipulation on this known scaffold, we aimed to dissect the antihistamine and cardio-toxic effects from the PRC2 disruption activity of compound 10. So far, this research project yielded the synthesis and characterization of a series of 17 compounds as Astemizole (10) analogues (41a-r). We validated a facile and fast synthetic route for this series of compounds.

The synthesized derivatives will be evaluated as PRC2 disruptors, as well as anti- $\mathrm{H}_{1}$. We hope that some of the modifications that we applied will give the desired selectivity and guide us for further optimization.

### 4.4 Experimental Section

### 4.4.1 Chemistry

Melting points were determined on a Buchi 530 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 400 and 100 MHz , respectively, on a Bruker AC 400 spectrometer; chemical shifts are reported in $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ units relative to the internal reference tetramethylsilane $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right)$. EIMS spectra were recorded with a Fisons Trio 1000 spectrometer; only molecular ions $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$and base peaks are given. All compounds were routinely checked by TLC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. TLC was performed on aluminum-backed silica gel plates (Merck DC, Alufolien Kieselgel 60 F254) with spots visualized by UV light. All solvents were reagent grade and, when necessary, were purified and dried by standard methods. Concentration of solutions after reactions and extractions involved the use of a rotary evaporator operating at reduced pressure of ca. 20 Torr. Organic solutions were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. Elemental analysis has been used to determine purity of the described compounds, that is, $>95 \%$. Analytical results are within $\pm 0.40 \%$ of the theoretical values. All chemicals were purchased from SigmaAldrich, Milan (Italy), or from Alfa Aesar, Karlsruhe (Germany), and were of the highest purity.

## Synthesis of 1,3-dihydro-2H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-one (42)



2-aminoaniline ( $27.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 3.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was dissolved in dry DMF ( 3 mL ) and $N, N^{\prime}$ carbonyldiimidazole ( $30.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}, 4.94 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added. The solution was stirred at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . After this time, TLC analysis indicated complete conversion of the starting material. The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and it was quenched by adding a 0.5 M aqueous solution of HCl . The product precipitated and the solid was filtered, rinsed with distilled $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, then dried in oven $\left(60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ resulting in $78 \%$ yield $(2.90 \mathrm{~g}, 21.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%)$. m.p. $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 7.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2(\mathrm{NH})) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 108.4$ (2C), 120.2 (2C), 128.9 (2C), $155.4 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}$ (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 134.0480, found: 134.0476.

## Synthesis of 2-chloro-1H-benzo[d]imidazole (43)



1,3-dihydro-2H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-one 42 ( $21.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 2.9 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was refluxed in absolute $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 2 h . After this time all the starting material was converted into product. The reaction was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched by slow addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ solution was added till $\mathrm{pH}=8$. The product precipitated, it was filtered, rinsed with distilled $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and dried in oven $\left(60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The solid was further purified on column chromatography eluting with chloroform: ethyl acetate 10: 1, to give the desired product in $60 \%$ yield ( $1.92 \mathrm{~g}, 12.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ ). m.p. 205-210 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 12.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 112.4,118.3,123.2$ (2C), 138.9, 139.5 (2C). ppm; MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 152.0151, found: 152.0154.

## General procedure for the synthesis of the intermediates 44b-e and 45. Example: synthesis of 2-chloro-1-isopropyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazole (44c)



A suspension of NaH ( $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil) ( $3.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}, 94.46 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in dry DMF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a solution of 2-chlorobenzimidazole ( $3.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 500 \mathrm{mg}$ ) in dry DMF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes. After this time, 2-iodopropane ( $3.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}, 669 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added and the system was stirred at room temperature for 6 h . Then, since there was still a large amount of residual starting material, the system was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 1.3 eq of NaH were added. After 20 minutes, 0.8 eq of 2-iodopropane were added and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 3 h . The reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, diluted by adding $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then quenched with water $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers were washed with an aqueous saturated NaCl solution $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with $n$-hexane: ethyl acetate $2: 1$, to give 2-chloro-1-isopropyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazole ( $530 \mathrm{mg}, 2.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%$ ). m.p. $69-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.63\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 4.83-4.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 7.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 20.4$ (2 C), 47.7, 111.6, 121.2, 122.8, 124.5, 136.1, 138.3, 140.8. ppm; MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 194.0611, found: 194.0607. The reported data are in good agreement with the literature. ${ }^{296}$

General procedure for the synthesis of the intermediates 46a-e and final compounds 41b-d, I-m, o-q Example 1: tert-butyl (1-(4-methoxyphenethyl)azepan-4-yl)carbamate (46e)


To a solution of tert-butyl azepan-4-ylcarbamate ( $1.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq, 245.1 mg ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(4$ mL ) was added dry $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $1.482 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}, 204.8 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Then, 1-(2-bromoethyl)-4methoxybenzene ( $1.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 246.0 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . After this time $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was removed in vacuo, and the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then water ( 20 mL ) was added. The product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10$ $\mathrm{mL})$, the combined organic layers were washed with an aqueous saturated NaCl solution $(2 \times 5$ mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with chloroform: methanol $15: 1$, to give tert-butyl (1-(4-methoxyphenethyl)azepan-4-yl)carbamate ( $0.798 \mathrm{mmol}, 278 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ). m.p. - (oil); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.47\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.62-1.97\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.62-2.67\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.74-2.84\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 3.80-3.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 4.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{CONH}), 6.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}), 7.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ : $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 23.7,28.4$ (3C), $32.8,34.4,35.7,51.8,53.7,54.3,54.7,55.6,79.3,113.8$ (2C), 129.5 (2C), 131.9, 157.1, 157.8 ppm ; MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 348.2413, found: 348.2410.

Example 2: synthesis of $\mathbf{N}$-(1-(3,4-dimethoxyphenethyl)piperidin-4-yl)-1-(4-fluorobenzyl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-amine (410)


In a flame dried round bottom flask, was prepared a solution of 1-(4-fluorobenzyl)-N-(piperidin4 -yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-amine ( $0.208 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq, 67.4 mg ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dry $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.270 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}, 37.37 \mathrm{mg}$ ), and 3,4-dimethoxyphenethyl bromide ( 0.208 mmol , $1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 50.93 \mathrm{mg}$ ) were added. The system was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . After this time, since there was still a large residue of amine, 0.2 eq of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, and 0.2 eq of 3,4-dimethoxyphenethyl
bromide were added and the reaction was heated to $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The reaction was then stopped. The excess of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was removed by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with chloroform: methanol 20:1, to give 41 mg of pure compound ( $0.084 \mathrm{mmol}, 40 \%$ ). m.p.- (oil) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.51-$ $1.98\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.69-2.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.94\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(21) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.02\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.46\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.87(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(31) \mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(32) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 5.16\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.75-6.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(26) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(29) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(30) \mathrm{H}), 7.01$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), $7.09-7.22(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), $7.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{c}} 31.9$ (2C), 32.8, 46.2, 49.3, 51.7 (2C), 55.9 (2C), $59.4,108.5,111.3,112.1,115.6$ (2C), 116.4, 119.8, 120.3, 120.6, 129.1 (2C), 131.7, 132.6, 134.5, 141.4, 147.8, 148.1, 148.9, $161.2 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}$ (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 488.2588, found: 488.2591 .

General procedure for the synthesis of the intermediates 47a-e. Example: synthesis of 1-(4-methoxyphenethyl)pyrrolidin-3-amine hydrochloride


A solution of tert-butyl (1-(4-methoxyphenethyl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)carbamate ( $0.798 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$, 255.7 mg ) in dry THF ( 6 mL ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a 4 N solution of $\mathrm{HCl}(7.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{eq}$, 1.99 mL ) in dioxane was added dropwise. The solution was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes, and then let warm to room temperature. After $6 \mathrm{~h}, 10$ eq more of 4 N HCl were added and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 6 h . After this time the reaction was complete. The volatiles were removed in vacuo. Diethyl ether was added to the residue, and the product precipitated, it was filtered, rinsed with diethyl ether, and dried ( $0.662 \mathrm{mmol}, 194.2 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ). m.p. $165-170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (toluene/acetonitrile); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $d_{6} \mathrm{DMSO}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.31-1.39$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 1.95-2.03\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m} \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.07-2.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.19$ ( $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}\right)$, 2.60-2.64 (1H, m, C(2)H'), 2.67-2.71 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), $2.74\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.95-3.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, C(5)H'), 3.27-3.35 (1H, m, C(1)H), $3.79\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 6.7(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H})$, $7.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 31.6,33.1$, $50.6,54.4,54.9,55.3,64.2,114.1$ (2C), 129.3 (2C), $132.0,157.6 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ MS (EI) m/z [M-HCl] ${ }^{+}$ calculated: 220.1576, found: 220.1577 .

General procedure for the synthesis of the intermediates 48b-d, $\mathbf{f}, \mathrm{g}$ and the final compounds 41a, e-k. Example 1 (method a): synthesis of 1-benzyl-N-(piperidin-4-yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-amine (48d)


In a sealed tube, 1-benzyl-2-chloro-1H-benzo[d]imidazole ( $1.607 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.390 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and 4aminopiperidine ( $2.411 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 0.241 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were disoolved in 1 mL of NMP. The reaction was heated to $175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . After this time TLC analysis showed complete conversion of the starting material. The reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, then quenched with water ( 5 mL ). The product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 7 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers were washed with an aqueous saturated NaCl solution ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with chloroform: methanol: ammonia 15: 1: 0.1 , to give the pure 1 -benzyl-N-(piperidin-4-yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-amine ( $0.153 \mathrm{~g}, 0.499 \mathrm{mmol}, 31 \%$ ). m.p. $79-84^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}), 3.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}), 3.47-$ $3.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}), 5.22\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 7.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic proton), $7.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), 7.28-7.37 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), $7.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta\right.$, $\mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 32.9$ (2C), 46.2, 47.6 (2C), 49.7, 108.5, 116.5, 120.2, 120.7, 126.9 (2C), 127.5, 128.4 (2C), 134.6, 137.1, 141.3, 147.9 ppm ; MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 306.1844, found: 306.1849.

Example 2 (method a): synthesis of N -(1-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-4-yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-amine (41a)


A sealed tube was loaded 2-chlorobenzimidazole ( $1.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 300 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and 1-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-4-amine ( $2.96 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 692 \mathrm{mg}$ ), and they were dissolved in
1.5 mL of NMP. The system was stirred at $175{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h . After this time, the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. The reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 5 mL ), then quenched with water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 7 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers were washed with an aqueous saturated NaCl solution $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with chloroform: methanol $10: 1$, to obtain 516 mg of pure compound ( $1.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ ). m.p. 229-231 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/methanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.73-1.77$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.90\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.92-2.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.16-3.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 3.73\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(25) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 6.88-6.91(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}), 7.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.20(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(21) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 32.1$ (2C), 32.9, 49.2, 51.8 (2C), 55.4, $59.1,113.2,113.4,113.8$ (2C), 121.5 (2C), 129.4 (2C), 131.9, 135.4, 136.0, 150.6, 157.8 ppm ; MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 350.2107, found: 350.2104 .

## Example 3 (method b): synthesis of N1-(1-(4-fluorobenzyl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)-N3-(4-methoxyphenethyl)-N3-methylpropane-1,3-diamine (41g)



In a sealed tube, 2-chloro-1-(4-fluorobenzyl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazole ( $0.402 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 104.8$ mg ) was dissolved in NMP ( 2.6 mL ), N1-(4-methoxyphenethyl)-N1-methylpropane-1,3-diamine dihydrochloride ( $0.603 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 178.2 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and DIPEA ( $1.407 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.5 \mathrm{eq}, 181.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24$ mL ) were added. The reaction was stirred at $175{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6.5 h . After this time, even if there was still a small residue of starting material, the reaction was quenched with water ( 5 mL ). The product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 7 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers were washed with an aqueous saturated NaCl solution $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with chloroform: methanol: ammonia 20:1:0.1, to obtain 35 mg of pure compound ( $0.078 \mathrm{mmol}, 19 \%$ ). m.p. - (oil) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.87-1.91\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(29) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.63-2.65$ $\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(21) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.64-3.67\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(30) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 4.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.76-6.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(25) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(27) \mathrm{H}), 6.84-7.17(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}$,
$\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(28) \mathrm{H}), 7.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H})$ $\mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 27.1,39.5,42.5,43.8,46.2,55.4,55.7,59.5$, $108.4,113.8$ (2C), 115.5 (2C), 116.4, 119.9, 120.4, 129.1 (2C), 129.7 (2C), 131.8, 132.3, 134.6, 141.5, 148.7, 157.8, 162.5 ppm ; MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 446.2482, found: 446.2487.

Synthesis of the final compound 1-(4-((1-(4-fluorobenzyl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)piperidin-1-yl)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethan-1-one (41n)


In a flame dried round bottom flask, 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)acetic acid ( $0.154 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 26$ mg ) and CDI ( $1.85 \mathrm{mmol} .1 .2 \mathrm{eq}, 30 \mathrm{mg}$ ) were dissolved in dry THF ( 1 mL ). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes. After this time, the amine $\mathbf{4 8 f}(1.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 50$ mg ) was added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 5 h , when it was judged complete by TLC analysis. The organic solvent was removed in vacuo. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the product extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers were washed with an aqueous 2 N HCl solution ( 3 mL ), and an aqueous saturated NaCl solution $(2 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The extract was triturated in petroleum ether. The solid was filtered and rinsed several times with petroleum ether, then dried in vacuo. ( $54.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.116 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ ). m.p. $165-168{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (toluene/acetonitrile). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.57-1.61\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.93-1.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.23-3.65\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.52\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(25) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(32) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 4.01-4.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}), 5.18\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(28) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(30) \mathrm{H}), 7.01-7.18(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), 7.26-7.28 $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}(17) \mathrm{H}), 7.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 31.9$ (2C), 39.2, 44.2 (2C), 46.3, $49.2,55.3,108.5,113.4$ (2C), 115.5 (2C), 116.3, 119.8, 120.5, 127.7, 129.1 (2C), 129.2 (2C), $131.8,134.6,141.3,148.1,158.4,162.3,169.01 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 472.2275, found: 472.2278 .

Chemical and Physical Data, ${ }^{1} H$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and MS (EI) Data for Compounds 41b-f, h-k. $\quad \mathrm{N}$-(1-(4-Methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-4-yl)-1-methyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-amine (41b)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.60-2.06\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dm}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.72-2.75(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 2.82\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.96\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, 3.01-3.07 ( $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.58-3.62\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.81\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(26) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 6.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.20(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), $7.59-7.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 28.9$, 31.9 (2C), 32.7, 49.3, 51.7 (2C), 55.4, 59.0, 108.9, 113.8 (2C), 116.6, 120.7, 121.6, 129.5 (2C), 132.1, 134.7, 139.6, 152.9, 157.8 ppm ; m.p. - (oil). MS (EI) m/z [M] calculated: 364.2263, found: 364.2268 .

## 1-Isopropyl-N-(1-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-4-yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-amine (41c)


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.59\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}), 2.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}), 2.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}, 2.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(21) \mathrm{H}), 3.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}), 3.81\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(28) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 4.62-4.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H})$, $6.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(26) \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.19(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), $7.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 7.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ 20.3 (2C), 31.9 (2C), 32.7, 46.5, 49.3, 51.8 (2C), 55.4, 59.0, 110.3, 113.8 (2C), 117.6, 121.9, 122.3, 129.5 (2C), 132.1, 134.2, 141.6, 151.2, 157.6 ppm; m.p. $93-96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane). MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 329.2576, found: 329.2579.

## 1-Benzyl-N-(1-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-4-yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-amine (41d)


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.53-1.56\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.93-1.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.66-2.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}), 2.79\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}_{2}, 2.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=\right.$ $\left.6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(25) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.97-3.03\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.45-3.49\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.81\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(32) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 5.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 6.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(28) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(30) \mathrm{H})$, 7.02-7.22 $\left(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}\right.$, aromatic protons), $7.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 31.9$ (2C), 32.7, 46.2, 49.3, 51.8 (2C), 55.4, 59.1, 108.4, 113.7 (2C), 116.4, $119.8,120.5,126.9$ (2C), 127.4, 128.5 (2C), 129.4 (2C), 132.1, 134.6, 137.1, 141.3, 148.2, 157.8 ppm; m.p. - (oil). MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 440.2576, found: 440.2578.

## 1-(4-Fluorophenethyl)-N-(1-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-4-yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-

 amine (41e)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.22-1.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}), 2.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(21) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.53\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(25) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(26) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.67-2.71\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(25) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(26) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.82-2.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(21) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}), 2.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\left.J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 3.71-3.73\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(33) \mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}\right), 4.00$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 6.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(29) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(31) \mathrm{H}), 6.90(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(28) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(32) \mathrm{H}), 6.99-7.01$ (2H, m, C(6)H, C(7)H), 7.04-7.08 (3H, m, C(5)H, $\mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}), 7.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{c}}$ 31.8 (2C), 32.7, 35.4, 43.6, 49.4, 51.7 (2C), 55.2, 59.1, 110.4, 113.7 (2C), 115.1 (2C), 116.5,
$119.8,124.1,129.5$ (2C), 130.3 (2C), 131.9, 133.3, 135.7, 141.0, 148.9, 157.8, 161.3 ppm; m.p. $147-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (toluene). MS (EI) m/z [M]) ${ }^{+}$calculated: 472.2638, found: 472.2633.

## 1-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-2-(4-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazole (41f)


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.61-2.80\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(21) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.31-3.34\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.81\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(31) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 5.21\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, $6.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(27) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(29) \mathrm{H}), 7.00-7.22(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), $7.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 32.7,46.1,47.9$ (2C), 52.4 (2C), 55.3, 59.7, 108.6, 113.6 (2C), 115.5 (2C), 116.4, 119.8, 120.5, 129.1 (2C), 129.6 (2C), 131.8, $132.1,135.4,141.2,155.4,157.7,162.3 \mathrm{ppm}$; m.p. $140-142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (toluene). MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$ calculated: 444.2325, found: 444.2322.

1-(4-fluorobenzyl)-N-(1-(4-methoxyphenethyl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2amine (41h)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.65-1.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}), 2.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.45\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(21) \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.76(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, C(19)H', C(21)H', C(23)H, C(24)H), $2.91\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.95-2.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.80\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(31) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 5.05\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(27) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(29) \mathrm{H}), 7.01-7.05(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(26) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(30) \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.17(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}), 7.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta\right.$, $\mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 30.9,33.1,46.2,51.1,52.7,54.9,55.4,62.5,108.4,113.8$ (2C), 115.4, 115.7, 116.4, $119.8,120.5,129.0,129.2,129.6$ (2C), 131.8, 132.1, 134.5, 141.3, 148.1, 157.7, $162.1 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ m.p. $140-143{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (toluene). MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 444.2325, found: 444.2326.

## 1-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-N-(1-(4-methoxyphenethyl)azepan-4-yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-amine

 (41i)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.79\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}(25) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.14-2.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(25) \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.53\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(26) \mathrm{H}), 2.80\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(26) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.83-2.95$ (2H, m, C(22)H', C(25)H’), 3.19$3.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}), 3.80\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(33) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 5.75\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(29) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(31) \mathrm{H}), 7.03-7.07(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(28) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(32) \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.17$ (5H, m, $\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}), 7.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 23.6,32.8,34.2,35.9,46.2,51.8,54.3$ (2C), 54.7, 55.4, 108.5, 113.8 (2C), $115.4,115.6,116.4,119.8,120.4,129.1$ (2C), 129.5 (2C), 131.7, 132.1, 134.6, 141.3, 148.1, $157.8,162.3 \mathrm{ppm}$; m.p. $96-99{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane). MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 472.2638, found: 472.2637.

## 1-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-N-(4-methoxyphenethyl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-amine (41j)


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.80\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.72\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(26) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 4.86\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.99(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), 7.06-7.09 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 35.2,43.4,46.2,55.3,108.5,113.8$ (2C), 115.4 (2C), 116.4, 119.8, 120.4, 128.9 (2C), 129.1 (2C), 131.6, 131.7, 134.5, 141.4, 148.6, 157.8, $162.3 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ m.p. $130-132{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane). MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 375.1747, found: 375.1744.

## 1-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-N-(1-(4-methoxyphenyl)piperidin-4-yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-amine (41k)


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.52-1.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}), 2.86-2.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}), 3.79$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(30) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 3.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.06-4.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}), 5.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(26) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(28) \mathrm{H}), 6.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(25) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(29) \mathrm{H})$, 7.04-7.10 (4H, m, C(12)H, C(13)H, C(15)H, C(16)H), 7.15-7.19 (3H, m, C(5)H, C(6)H, C(7)H), $7.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 32.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 46.3$, $49.2,50.4$ (2C), 55.3, 108.4, 115.4 (2C), 115.6 (2C), 116.4, 118.9 (2C), 120.1, 120.6, 129.1 (2C), 131.7, 134.6, 141.3, 146.1, 148.2, 154.4, 162.3 ppm ; m.p. $164-165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (toluene/acetonitrile). MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 430.2169, found: 430.2173 .

1-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-N-(1-(4-methoxybenzyl)piperidin-4-yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-amine (411)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.48-1.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}), 1.98-2.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}), 2.72-2.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}), 3.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}), 3.47-$ $3.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}), 3.79\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.82\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(30) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 5.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(26) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(28) \mathrm{H}), 7.02-7.25(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), 7.65 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 31.8$ (2C), 46.2, 49.3, 51.9 (2C), 55.4, 61.8, 108.5, 113.9 (2C), 115.4 (2C), 116.3, 119.8, $120.4124 .5,128.9$ (2C), 129.7 (2C), 131.7, 134.5, 141.4, 148.1, 158.7, 162.4 ppm ; m.p. - (oil). MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 444.2325, found: 444.2321.

1-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-N-(1-(3-(4-methoxyphenyl)propyl)piperidin-4-yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-amine (41m)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.61-1.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}), 1.98-2.02\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(25) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}), 2.74$ (3H, m, C(18)H, C(20)H, C(22)H), $3.00\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, 3.43-3.48 (2H, m, C(26)H), $3.77\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(33) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 5.17\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(29) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(31) \mathrm{H}), 7.01-7.05$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), $7.10-7.23(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), $7.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H})$ $\mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 28.7,31.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 33.5,46.2,49.3,52.2$ (2C), 55.3, 58.1, 108.4, 113.6 (2C), 115.4 (2C), 116.5, 119.9, 120.4, 128.9 (2C), 129.3 (2C), 131.7, 134.6, 136.7, 141.4, 148.1, $157.8,162.5 \mathrm{ppm}$; m.p. $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $n$-hexane). MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 472.2638, found: 472.2641 .

## 1-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-N-(1-phenethylpiperidin-4-yl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-amine (41p).


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.50-1.58\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.93-1.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.68-2.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.86\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(25) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.94-2.98$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(24) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(25) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.02-3.05\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.45-3.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 5.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.00-7.33(12 \mathrm{H}$, m, aromatic protons), 7.65 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 31.7$ (2C), 32.5, 46.2, $49.3,51.8$ (2C), 59.6, 108.5, 115.4 (2C), 116.3, 119.7, 120.4, 126.2, 128.4 (2C), 128.7 (2C), 129.1 (2C), 131.7, 134.5, 139.0, 141.3, 148.1, $161.6 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{p}$. - (oil). MS (EI) m/z [M] $^{+}$ calculated: 428.2376, found: 428.2379.

## N-(1-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl)-1-(4-methoxyphenethyl)piperidin-4-amine (41q).


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.35-1.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.81\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(21) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, $2.93\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.57-3.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}), 3.72\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(29) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, $4.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s} \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 6.76-6.86(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), 7.01 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H})$, 7.06 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(27) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 31.7$ (2C), $32.8,49.3,50.4,51.7$ (2C), 55.4, 59.1, 113.7 (2C), 114.8, 115.2 (2C), 118.9, 129.4 (2C), 130.1 (2C), 132.2, 132.8, 148.5, 157.8, 162.4 ppm ; m.p. $85-87^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane). MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$ calculated: 408.2325, found: 408.2324.

| cpd | Molecular structure | m.p. <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Recrystallization solvent | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | HRMS (EI) | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44b |  | 115-117 | cyclohexane | $\begin{gathered} { }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR }\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.79 \\ \left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 7.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}, \\ \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} . \end{gathered}$ | Calculated: 166.0298; <br> Found: 166.0295 | 296 |
| 44d |  | 103-105 | cyclohexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.37$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 7.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.36(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}), 7.52(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | Calculated: 242.0611; Found: 242.0609 | 296 |
| 44e |  | 75-80 | $n$-hexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.10$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 4.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 6.95$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}), 7.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}), 7.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 7.28$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H})$ ppm | Calculated: 274.0673; <br> Found: 274.0677 | - |
| 44f |  | 89-90 | cyclohexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.21$ <br> $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 7.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}$, <br> $\mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}), 7.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}), 7.32$ <br> $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}$, C(8)H) ppm. | Calculated: 260.0517 ; Found: 260.0520 | 297,298 |
| 45 |  | 60-62 | $n$-hexane | $\begin{gathered} { }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR }\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.09 \\ \left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 7.00 \\ (1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), \\ 7.16-7.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} . \end{gathered}$ | Calculated: 210.0360; Found: 210.0355 | - |


| cpd | Molecular structure | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | HRMS (EI) | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46a |  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl3, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): ~ \delta \mathrm{H} 1.45$ ( $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{COOC}(\mathrm{CH} 3) 3), 1.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H} 2), 2.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H} 3), 2.64(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H} 2), 3.65-$ $3.68(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H} 3, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H} 3), 6.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}), 7.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | Calculated: 322.2256 ; Found: 322.2260 | - |
| 46b |  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl3, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): ~ \delta \mathrm{H} 1.47(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{COOC}(\mathrm{CH} 3) 3), 1.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 2.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ $\left.\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 2.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 2.44$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 2.99\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.18\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H} 3)$, $3.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 4.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CON} H), 6.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}), 7.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | Calculated: 320.2100 ; Found: 320.2098 | - |
| 46c |  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl3, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta \mathrm{H} 1.47$ ( $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, COOC(CH3)3), 1.62-1.97 (4H, m, C(3)H2, C(5)H2), 2.62-2.67 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H} 2$ ), 2.71-2.82 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H} 2$, $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H} 2), 3.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H} 3), 3.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, C(4)H), $4.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CON} H), 6.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}), 7.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | Found: 334.2253 <br> Calculated: 334.2256; | 299 |
| 46e |  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl3, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): ~ \delta \mathrm{H} 1.46(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, COOC(CH3)3), $2.46(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H} 2), 2.54-2.59$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H} 2), 2.72-2.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H} 2), 3.46(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H} 2), 3.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H} 3), 6.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}), 7.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | Calculated: 320.2100; Found: 320.2105 | - |


| cpd | Molecular structure | m.p. | Recrystallization solvent | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | HRMS (EI) | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47a |  | 95-100 | cyclohexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.d_{6} \mathrm{DMSO}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $1.91\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, $2.51\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.62\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.73\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 6.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}), 7.08$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | Calculated: 222.1732; <br> Found: 222.1730 | 300 |
| 47c |  | 263-265 | methanol | ```\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR ( \(d_{6}\) DMSO, \(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\) ): \(\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\) \(1.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 1.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}\), \(\left.\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}\), C(6)H), 2.74-2.81 (5H, m, C(4)H, C(7)H, C(8)H), \(2.87\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.81\) \(\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 6.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}\), C(13)H), \(7.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}\)``` | Calculated: 234.1732; Found: 234.1735. | - |
| 47d |  | 264-265 | methanol | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.d_{6} \mathrm{DMSO}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $1.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.59(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}\right), 1.63-1.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.12-2.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 2.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 2.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, C(9)H'), $2.83\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.81\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 6.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}), 7.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | Calculated: 248.1889; Found: 248.1891. | - |


| 47e |  | 257-258 | methanol | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(d_{6} \mathrm{DMSO}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $2.45\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.59\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.73$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.79\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 6.83$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}), 7.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$ | Calculated: 220.1576; Found: 220.1574. | 301 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table S10. Characterization data for compounds 48b-g. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cpd | Molecular structure | m.p. <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Recrystallization solvent | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | HRMS (EI) | Ref. |
| 48b |  | 154-155 | toluene | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $1.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}), 1.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H}), 2.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H})$, 3.07 (2H, t, C(14)H, C(16)H), $3.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}), 3.61\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, $7.19(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.60$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | Calculated: 230.1531; <br> Found: 230.1533 | 302 |
| 48c |  | 79-80 | cyclohexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $1.61\left(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}\right.$, C(17)H), $1.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(13) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H})$, $2.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}), 3.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}), 3.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H})$, $4.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 7.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | Calculated: 258.1844; <br> Found: 258.1842 | 303 |


| 48f | 21 | 90-94 | cyclohexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $1.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}), 1.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(23) \mathrm{H}), 2.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{H})$, $3.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.48$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(20) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{H}), 5.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 6.89-7.21(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), $7.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Calculated: } 324.1750 \\ \text { Found: } 324.1754 \end{gathered}$ | 304 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48g |  | 85-87 | cyclohexane | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $1.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}), 1.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(15) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(19) \mathrm{H}), 2.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(14) \mathrm{H})$, $2.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{H}), 3.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, C(16)H, C(18)H), $5.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 6.86$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H})$, $7.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. | Calculated: 274.1594; Found: 274.1597 | 305 |


| compd | MW | calculated, \% |  |  |  | found, \% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | C | H | N | other | C | H | N | other |
| 41a | 350.47 | 71.97 | 7.48 | 15.99 | 4.57 (O) | 71.84 | 7.53 | 15.86 | 4.77 (O) |
| 41b | 364.49 | 72.50 | 7.74 | 15.37 | 4.39 (O) | 72.63 | 7.81 | 15.32 | 4.24 (O) |
| 41c | 392.55 | 73.43 | 8.22 | 14.27 | 4.08 (0) | 73.29 | 8.31 | 14.29 | 4.11 (0) |
| 41d | 440.59 | 76.33 | 7.32 | 12.72 | 3.63 (0) | 76.39 | 7.26 | 12.81 | 3.54 (O) |
| 41e | 472.61 | 73.70 | 7.04 | 11.86 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.02(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 3.39(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ | 73.54 | 7.21 | 11.73 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.08(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 3.44(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 41f | 444.55 | 72.95 | 6.58 | 12.60 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.27 \text { (F) } \\ & 3.60(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ | 72.88 | 6.46 | 12.74 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.23(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 3.69(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 41g | 446.57 | 72.62 | 7.00 | 12.55 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.25(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 3.58(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ | 72.74 | 7.03 | 12.39 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.38(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 3.46(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 41h | 444.55 | 72.95 | 6.58 | 12.60 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.27(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 3.60(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ | 73.08 | 6.71 | 12.43 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.13(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 3.65(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 41i | 472.61 | 73.70 | 7.04 | 11.86 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.02(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 3.39(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ | 73.59 | 7.13 | 11.75 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.09 \text { (F) } \\ & 3.44(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 41j | 375.45 | 73.58 | 5.91 | 11.19 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5.06(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 4.26(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ | 73.39 | 6.02 | 11.10 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.00(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 4.49(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 41k | 430.53 | 72.54 | 6.32 | 13.01 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.41(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 3.72(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ | 72.63 | 6.45 | 12.97 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.35(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 3.60(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 411 | 444.55 | 72.95 | 6.58 | 12.60 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.27(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 3.60(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ | 72.89 | 6.66 | 12.45 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.51(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 3.49(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 41m | 472.61 | 73.70 | 7.04 | 11.86 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.02(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 3.39(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ | 73.45 | 7.15 | 11.74 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.14(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 3.52(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 41n | 472.56 | 71.17 | 6.19 | 11.86 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.02(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 6.77(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ | 71.24 | 6.32 | 11.73 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.89(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 6.82(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 410 | 488.61 | 71.29 | 6.81 | 11.47 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.89(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 6.55(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ | 71.36 | 6.74 | 11.33 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.82(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 6.75(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 41p | 428.55 | 75.67 | 6.82 | 13.07 | 4.43 (F) | 75.51 | 6.69 | 13.02 | 4.78 (F) |
| 41q | 408.52 | 70.56 | 7.16 | 13.71 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.65(\mathrm{~F}) \\ & 3.92(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ | 70.68 | 7.22 | 13.63 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.49 \text { (F) } \\ & 3.98(\mathrm{O}) \end{aligned}$ |

## 5 Development Of Photoaffinity Probes For BET Bromodomains

Project realized in collaboration with Prof. Stuart Conway during a visiting period in his group, Organic Chemistry Department, University of Oxford)

### 5.1 Activity-based protein profiling (ABPP)

After the development of the first activity-based probe (ABP) in 1961, ${ }^{306}$ activity-based protein profiling (ABPP) proved to be a powerful toll to study enzyme activity in complex contexts. This technique aims to selectively visualize the active forms of particular enzymes using chemical probes defined activity-based probes (ABPs), directed to the active site. Niphakis, 2014 \#1719\} Considering all the processes a protein can undergo, and all the different forms it can exist, this technique should be regarded as complementary to the classical methods used for protein or mRNA quantification, that could lead to misinterpretation. The applications of ABPs in time have been numerous. They have been used to identify unknown functions of known proteins, to study up- and down-regulation of catalytic activity in several diseases, to identify protein targets of certain chemical entities, to study proteins in complex biological samples (cell cultures, and living organisms), ${ }^{307,308}$ or to study protein-protein interactions, ${ }^{309}$


Figure 5.1. One and two step ABPs. A) A scheme resembling the composition of one and two step ABPs. B) Direct ABP labelling, and C) two step ABP labelling. ${ }^{307}$

In order to be functional, ABPs should contain three elements (Figure 5.1 A ):

- a recognition element, which confers selectivity for a particular protein or protein class;
- a reactive group or "warhead", which reacts with the target enzyme. Generally, a photoaffinity element able to react upon irradiation at a certain wavelength;
- a tag (direct ABP, Figure 5.1 B) or a ligation handle (two step ABP, Figure 5.1 C). Different tags can be used, based on the detection techniques. Fluorescent tags enable visualization of the labeled proteins via SDS-PAGE or by fluorescence microscopy. Affinity tags (e.g. biotin), instead, are ideal for blotting detection, or pull-down experiments followed by mass spectrometry analysis. As previously mentioned, there are direct or two steps ABPs. In the direct ABPs, the tag is directly bound to the ABP (Figure 5.1 B). Instead, in the two-step labeling, the ABP is first modified with a small bio-orthogonal chemical group, that allows the modification with the tag-containing group (Figure 5.1 C). The advantage of a two-steps ABP is to have a smaller modular probe, that is less prone to unspecific interaction with the target protein, but it can be still selectively functionalized after target binding. Additionally, cell permeability of two-steps ABP is generally improved. ${ }^{307}$ In the design and development of ABPs one of the main challenges is the balance between selectivity and reactivity. To find a good equilibrium and have a functional probe, it is necessary to use the appropriate recognition element and reactive group. ${ }^{307}$


### 5.1.1 Photoaffinity labelling and photoreactive groups

Photoaffinity labelling (PAL) is a powerful technique used to study protein-ligand interactions. It can be useful to elucidate the unknown target of a ligand, to elucidate protein structure, function and conformational changes, as well as to identify alternative binding sites. ${ }^{310}$ Also medicinal chemistry and drug discovery benefit of this technique. ${ }^{311}$ PAL is realized using chemical probes able to irreversibly bind a target protein, after activation by light irradiation. ${ }^{312}$ To do that it is necessary to incorporate in the probe a photoreactive group, which, upon irradiation at the proper wavelength, is able to form a reactive intermediate that rapidly reacts with and binds to the nearest molecule, being ideally the target protein. As nicely summarized by Smith and Collins, the ideal features of a photoaffinity probe include high degree similarity, activity, and affinity level comparable to the parent compound, stability in the dark in a range of pHs , activation at wavelengths that do not damage the biological samples. The newly formed bond should be stable in the isolation and detection conditions. ${ }^{313}$ The most common photoreactive groups used for PAL are phenylazides, benzophenones and phenyldiazirines (Figure 5.2). Other used functional groups include diazo groups, diazocarbonyls, enones, sulfur radicals, halogenated substrates, nitrobenzenes, diazonium salts, and alkyl derivatives of azides and diazirines. ${ }^{314,315}$ Phenylazides form a reactive phenylnitrene upon loss of nitrogen (Figure 5.2 a). They are easily synthesized and commercially available. ${ }^{312}$ However, the use of phenylazides has many drawbacks. First of all, they need to be irradiated at high energy (250280 nm ), with the risk of damaging biological samples. The photo-crosslinking reaction with nitrenes gives not high yields, when compared with carbenes. ${ }^{316}$ One possible reason is that
nitrenes can give side reactions, rearranging to form benzazirines and dehydroazepines/ketenimines (Figure 5.2 a). ${ }^{312,317}$
a)

b)

c)

d)


Figure 5.2. The most common photo-crosslinking group used in ABPs and their products after irradiation.

Generating the photo-reactive species upon irradiation at 350-370 nm (wavelength not dangerous for proteins), benzophenones and diazirines should be preferred as photo-reactive groups. Benzophenones form a reactive triplet diradical when irradiated with light (Figure 5.2 b). They are quite stable and easy to prepare. Nevertheless, they require long irradiation periods, that negatively affect labelling specificity. ${ }^{318}$ Finally, the most commonly used photoaffinity group is represented by aryldiazirines, and specially the trifluoromethyl derivative, endowed with a great chemical stability. Upon irradiation, diazirines form a carbenes, that are extremely reactive and have a short half-life, which guarantees a rapid crosslink (Figure 5.2 c , d). However, due to this high reactivity, carbenes can give nonspecific binding, or they can be quenched by water, thus reducing ligation yields. Irradiation of diazirines possessing electrondonating substituents also generates diazo intermediate, that can itself form a carbene, but the process is really slow. Instead, electron-withdrawing groups favor the formation of a triplet carbine, unable to give such byproducts, for this reason the trifluoromethyl derivative is often preferred.

### 5.1.2 Two step ABP and ligation chemistry

In case of two step ABPs , once the protein has been crosslinked, it will be necessary to functionalize it with a proper tag. Ligation chemistry is often used to this purpose, and a number of bio-orthogonal reactions have been applied. ${ }^{319}$ In the ligation handle ABP design, one should take in account that the ligation step should be selective, high yielding and suitable for use in aqueous solution. Click chemistry best satisfy these requirements. ${ }^{320}$ The copper-catalysed alkyne azide cycloadditon (CuAAC) developed by Sharpless et al. is broadly applied. Indeed,
this reaction is fast and efficient in physiological conditions, and compatible with different functional groups. Nevertheless, the copper catalyst is toxic to cells, ${ }^{321}$ thus copper-free click chemistry has been developed. Bertozzi et al. proposed to use strain as driving force to promote alkyne-azide cycloaddition (SPAAC: strain-promoted alkyne azide cycloaddition). ${ }^{322,323}$ They show that cyclooctanynes and azides can react rapidly and efficiently in aqueous media, without any metal catalyst. A step forward in the optimization of SPAAC has been the insertion of a gem-difluoro substitution in $\alpha$ of the alkyne of the cyclooctyne, proved to be useful also in vivo. ${ }^{324}$ Other click-reaction variants have been developed in time including the strain-promoted alkyne-nitrone cycloaddition, ${ }^{325,326}$ and the photo-click cycloaddition. ${ }^{327-329}$ Additionally, the traceless Staudinger ligation, cross-metathesis reactions, Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling, DielsAlder cycloadditions between strained alkenes/alkynes and tetrazines, 1,2-aminothiol-CBT condensations, and ketone-hydroxylamine condensation are other possible biorthogonal reactions to be applied in ligation chemistry. ${ }^{319}$ Obviously, each of these reactions has a range of preferred conditions that could limit its application to certain experimental conditions. ${ }^{319}$

### 5.2 Rationale

During the last five months of my PhD, I have been working in the laboratory of Prof. Conway in Oxford Chemistry Research Laboratory on the development of photoaffinity probes for BET bromodomains. Recently, in the Conway group have been developed photoaffinity labelling probes for BET bromodomains. Benzophenone- and diazirine-based probes have been designed based on the potent bromodomain ligand 24. ${ }^{330,331}$ The synthesised probes have been validated and studied at various levels. This work is already at its second round of optimisation (Figure 5.3), and has yielded a useful second-generation modular probe (49).


Figure 5.3. From the ligand to the probes: development of photoaffinity labelling probes for bromodomains, first and second step of optimization.

Compound 49 shows $30 \%$ cross-linking efficiency to the BRD4(1) bromodomain and, weak, but noteworthy, binding to the bromodomains of CREBBP, WDR9(2) (a non-canonical bromodomain), and the parasitic $T c \mathrm{BDF} 3$ bromodomain was detected. ${ }^{332}$ However, crosslinking experiments on cell lysates revealed potential cellular stability issues for the probe.


Figure 5.4. Overcoming cell lysates instability: design of a third-generation probe.

Prompted by these results, the first goal of my project was the synthesis and characterisation of a probe with an increased stability in cell lysate. Looking at the structure of compound 49 was quite immediate to identify the ester linkage between the BRD-binding core and the probe side chain as a liable bond that could be responsible of the instability of the probe in cell lysates.

Thus, in a first attempt, we thought to replace the aforementioned ester linkage with an amide one (Figure 5.4).

### 5.2.1 Synthesis of the third-generation probe

The synthesis of 3-benzoyl-5-(3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4-yl)phenyl acetate (57) was performed according to the literature ${ }^{331}$ and following the optimisation previously reported in the group. ${ }^{332}$ The aldehyde 52 was obtained starting from commercially available 3-bromo-5-hydroxybenzoic acid (Scheme 19).


Scheme 19. Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{BH}_{3} 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, dry THF, Ar, rt, $3 \mathrm{~h},(92 \%)$; b) $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h},(71 \%)$.

The bi-substituted benzoic acid was first reduced, by using borane tetrahydrofuran complex, to the corresponding benzylic alcohol (51), which was then involved in a mild oxidation with manganese(IV)oxide. The oxidation was performed in chloroform under reflux as previously optimised (Scheme 19). ${ }^{332}$


Scheme 20. Reagents and conditions: a) I. KF (aq), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; II. L-(+)-tartaric acid, THF, (73 \%).

Potassium (3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4-yl)trifluoroborate (53) was obtained with a good yield (73 \%) starting from the corresponding boronic acid, following the procedure described by Lennox and Lloyd-Jones (Scheme 20). ${ }^{333}$ The Suzuki coupling between 52 and 53 worked in a $83 \%$ yield (Scheme 21). 54 was then involved in a Grignard reaction with phenylmagnesium bromide to give 55 in a good yield ( $85 \%$ ) (Scheme 21). Compound 55 was selectively acetylated on the phenolic hydroxyl group in presence of sodium hydroxide and acetic anhydride, yielding 56 (Scheme 22).


Scheme 21. Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, $\mathrm{RuPhos}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, sealed tube, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight $(83 \%)$; b) PhMgBr 1 M in THF, dry THF, Ar atm, RT, 1h, (85\%).

The oxidation of this compound to the corresponding benzophenone derivative was performed with manganese(IV)oxide applying the same conditions used for the oxidation of the alcohol 51 (Scheme 22). In this case, a larger amount of oxidising agent was required to observe complete conversion of the starting material. The reaction took longer than reported using the more powerful, but toxic reagent pyridinium chlorochromate. Considering the good yield (79 \%), it would be preferable to use this less toxic alternative.


Scheme 22. Reagents and conditions: a) acetic anhydride, $\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}),{ }^{\mathrm{i} P O H}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}(81 \%)$; b) $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 26 \mathrm{~h}(79 \%)$.

The synthesis of the amine $\mathbf{5 9}$ (a-b) proved challenging. Different strategies have been applied before finding a convenient synthetic route. A summary of the strategies applied with the relative results is provided by Table 6.


Scheme 23. Reagents and conditions: a) $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SiN}_{3}, \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{2}$, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight (70 \%); b) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} 0,40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight.

Finally, the synthesis of the azide 58 has been found to be the most convenient and high yielding approach. Compound 58 was obtained from the reaction of compound 56 with trimethylsilyl azide in presence of copper triflate in anhydrous dichlorometane at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Scheme 23).

Subsequently, different strategies have been applied for the reduction of the azide $\mathbf{5 8}$ to the amine 59. The mildest method was tried first: a Staudinger reduction in presence of triphenylphosphine and water at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Even though the starting material was fully converted into the product without any major byproduct formation, it was found quite challenging to purify the amine 59 from the triphenylphosphinoxide byproduct. Thus, the same reaction was carried also in presence of polymer supported triphenylphosphine, but the overall reaction resulted less clean. Additionally, an hydrogenation using Pd/C (10 \%) as catalyst yielded the desired product together with an over-reduced byproduct that was not possible to purify.

Finally, a classical Staudinger reduction was found the most convenient method to apply for this reduction.

Table 6. Summary of the applied strategies for the synthesis of the amine $\mathbf{5 9}$ or the azide $\mathbf{5 8}$. $\mathrm{SM}=$ starting material

| SM | Reaction conditions | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57 | I. $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \times \mathrm{HCl}(1.2 \mathrm{eq}) \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COONa}(2 \mathrm{eq})$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, reflux; <br> II. $25 \% \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}$ (25 eq), Zn dust ( 25 eq), $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}$ | Isoxazole unstable. |
| 57 | $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}(10 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}(1 \mathrm{eq})$,dry DCE, $\mathrm{N}_{2}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | Deacetylation. |
| De-acetyl57 | I. $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{4}(2 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}$ ( 15 eq ), dry THF, $\mathrm{rt}, 6 \mathrm{~h} .$ <br> II. $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(2 \mathrm{eq})$, rt, overnight. | The reaction did not go to completion. 11b isolated in $11 \%$ yield |
| 56 | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ( 1.5 eq ), phtalimide ( 1.5 eq ), DIAD ( 1.6 eq ), dry THF, $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. | Mitsunobu product isolated $11 \%$ yield |
| 56 | a. DPPA ( 1.2 eq), DBU ( 1.2 eq), dry THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT. <br> b. DPPA (1.2 eq), DBU ( 1.2 eq), dry DMF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | SM completely consumed, but no azide formation observed. |

I. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ (1.2 eq), dry $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (1.5 eq), dry

56
$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{RT}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$;
II. $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ (2 eq), dry DMF, rt, 48 h .
$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SiN}_{3}(3 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{2}(10 \%$ mol $)$, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight

The azide product formation observed, but the reaction was very slow and did not go to completion.

58 isolated with $70 \%$ yield, a small amount of -OTMS intermediate residual even after addition of $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{SiN}_{3}$ and long hours reaction.

The amine $\mathbf{5 9}$ proved to be unstable, thus, the extract was used in the next step without further purification. For future investigation, an alternative way to reduce the azide $\mathbf{5 8}$ could be an hydrogenation in presence of Lindlar catalyst.
The synthesis of the diazirine cross linker was based on the procedures reported by Li et al. ${ }^{334}$ and the optimisation applied in the group. ${ }^{332}$ Ethylacetoacetate underwent double lithiation with
freshly prepared lithium diisopropylamide, followed by selective $C(4)$ alkylation with propargyl bromide to give 60. The protected acetal derivative 61 was obtained heating 60 under reflux with ethylene glycol in presence of sub-stoichiometric amount of para-toluensulfonic acid in toluene, ensuring the removal of the water by a Dean-Stark apparatus (Scheme 24).


Scheme 24. Reagents and conditions: a) I. Diisopropylamine, $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi}, 30 \mathrm{~min},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, II. ethyl acetoacetate, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, III. propargyl bromide, $1 \mathrm{~h}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ( $68 \%$ ); b) 4-TsOH, ethylene glycol, toluene, Dean-Stark apparatus, $20 \mathrm{~h}, 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; c) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, THF, $3 \mathrm{~h},(48 \%$ over 2 steps).

Compound 61 was then involved in a reduction with lithium aluminium hydride to give the alcohol 62 (Scheme 24). Through an Appel reaction 62 was converted into the corresponding iodo-alkane 63, used as starting material for a nucleophilic substitution with potassium cyanide that allowed to increase the carbon chain length giving 64. The basic hydrolysis of the nitrile, followed by an acidic acetal hydrolysis gave the carboxylic acid 65 (Scheme 25).


Scheme 25. Reagents and conditions: a) 1 H -Imidazole, $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}(88 \%)$; b) KCN , DMF, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}\left(83 \%\right.$ ); c) I. $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ (aq.), $1 \mathrm{~h}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{I I} .2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}$ (aq) adjusted pH to $1,15 \mathrm{~h}$, rt (75\%).

The diazirine derivative 66 was synthesised by applying the conditions reported in the literature. ${ }^{335}$ The diphenylphosphanyl-phenyl ester 67 was obtained from the esterification of the acyl chloride of the carboxylic acid $\mathbf{6 6}$ with $o$-diphenylphosphinophenol (Scheme 26). ${ }^{336}$


Scheme 26. Reagents and conditions: a) I. $7 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH , overnight, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, II. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{OSO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$, dry $\mathrm{MeOH},-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathbf{I I I} . \mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}(23 \%)$; b) I. $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$, II. dry $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, o-$ diphenylphosphinophenol, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Ar}$, rt ( $45 \%$ over two steps).

The final compound $\mathbf{5 0}$ was first synthesized through a coupling of the amine $\mathbf{5 9}$ with acyl chloride 68, freshly prepared from the acid 66 (Scheme 27A). This classical way gave compound 50 with a $38 \%$ yield. Additionally, once we had proved that the azido-intermediate 58 was reduced in the Staudinger conditions in presence of triphenylphosphine, we found it
convenient and interesting to investigate the traceless Staudinger ligation conditions to get compound 50.
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Scheme 27. Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, 40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$; b) $\mathrm{dryEt}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{dryCH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $1 \mathrm{~h}(38$ \% over two steps) ; c) 1. dry DMF, 2. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

For this purpose, the azide $\mathbf{5 8}$ was reacted with the diphenylphospanyl-phenyl ester $\mathbf{6 7}$ (Scheme 27B). In these conditions we were able to obtain the final compound. This strategy was considered particularly attractive to skip one synthetic step and avoid isolating the amine intermediate which is difficult to purify and unstable. Moreover, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that this reaction is applied for the synthesis of such kind of small molecules. Nonetheless, further optimisation of the traceless Staudinger ligation is ongoing.

### 5.2.2 BRD(4)1 affinity of compound 50

## (Larissa See and Amy Scorah, Group of Prof. Stuart Conway, CRL, Oxford)

Before proceeding with compound 50 testing in crosslinking experiments, we confirmed its ability to bind the target BRD4(1) in Alpha Screen assay. The compound have been tested in triplicate in presence of $\operatorname{BRD} 4(1)$ and the $\mathrm{H} 4(\mathrm{KAc})_{4}$ peptide as competitor.

## Alpha Screen BRD4(1)



Figure 5.5. BRD4(1) Alpha Screen for compounds 24 and 50. Data were fitted using Prism software, using the four-parameter sigmoidal $\log$ [inhibitor] $v s$ response equation.

Table 7. BRD4(1) Alpha Screen $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for compounds 24, 49, and 50. In brackets are reported $95 \%$ confidence intervals. *result obtained in an independent experiment performed in the same conditions. ${ }^{332}$

| Cpd | Molecular structure | IC 50 on BRD4(1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 |  | 0.48 ¢ ${ }^{\text {M (0.38-0.61) }}$ |
| 49 |  | $0.91 \mu \mathrm{M}(0.62-1.24) *$ |
| 50 |  | $0.92 \mu \mathrm{M}(0.51-1.66)$ |

Compound 50 have been tested at the highest concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and $1: 2$ serial dilutions have been done for a total of 14 points. The known BRD4 ligand 24 has been used as positive control. The obtained results are recapitulated in Figure 5.5 and Table 7. The Alpha Screen data
show that compound 50 retained the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of its close analogue 49. ${ }^{332}$ In light of these data, we proceeded with compound $\mathbf{5 0}$ testing.

### 5.2.3 Photo crosslinking experiments with compound 50

UV/VIS spectra of compound $\mathbf{5 0}$ were recorded in triplicates scanning in a range between 150 and 600 nm . However, a characteristic absorption was observed only between 250 and 450 nm (Figure 5.6). At least three main transitions can be appreciated. Around 360 nm the n to $\pi^{*}$ transition of the diazirine is observed. The molar extinction coefficient at 360 nm is $60 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, in agreement with literature data for aliphatic diazirines. ${ }^{337}$


Figure 5.6. UV/VIS spectra of $10 \mathrm{mM}, 5 \mathrm{mM}, 2.5 \mathrm{mM}$, and 1.25 mM solutions of $\mathbf{5 0} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{MeOH}$.

Crosslinking experiments between the diazirine 50 and purified BRD4(1) bromodomain were performed by irradiating the samples in a photoreactor at 350 nm , to selectively activate the diazirine. The samples were first analyzed by protein LC-MS. Incubation of purified BRD4(1) with the probe 50 in absence of UV irradiation did not result in any crosslinking (Figure 5.7 c ). However, already after 2 min irradiation the crosslinking reaction occurred with about $20 \%$ efficiency (Figure 5.7 d ). Time course experiments showed an irradiation time dependent increase of crosslinking efficiency (CE), reaching a maximum of about $50 \%$. Interestingly, long irradiation time did not improve the CE, and artifacts were observed. Finally, we concluded that the best compromise in terms of incubation time, was 20 minutes. Pleasingly, the crosslinking efficiency was superior to the previous probe. Moreover, an optimization of the irradiation conditions was achieved running the photo-crosslinking reaction in 3 mm NMR tubes, rather than in glass vials. In this way the exposed surface was maximized and a better CE was observed. A competition experiment confirmed the selective binding in the KAc binding site of the probe 50, since no crosslinking was observed in presence of 10 equivalents of known BRD4 ligands 21 or 24 (Figure 5.7 g-h; see Figure 1.10 for molecular structures).


Figure 5.7. (a) Deconvoluted protein mass spectrum of the BRD4(1) bromodomain; (b) Time course of the crosslinking efficiency of the probe $\mathbf{5 0}$ to BRD4(1) bromodomain; Deconvoluted protein mass spectrum of (c) BRD4(1) incubated with diazirine probe 50 without UV irradiation; (d) with 2 min UV irradiation (e) with 20 min UV irradiation. (f) CuAAc between the cross linked protein and TAMRAPEG3 azide. (g) Competition experiment with 10 eq of 21 and 20 min UV irradiation. (h) Competition experiment with 10 eq of $\mathbf{2 4}$ and 20 min UV irradiation.


Figure 5.8. SDS-PAGE gel of purified BRD4(1) bromodomain crosslinked with probe $\mathbf{5 0}$ (for each condition the experiment was performed in triplicates). a), b) and c) The membrane was visualized with Ponceau stain. d), e), f) Fluorescence (Ex 532 nm, Em: 605 nm ) visualization.


Figure 5.9. SDS-PAGE gel of purified BRD4(1) bromodomain crosslinked with probe $\mathbf{5 0}$ (for each condition the experiment was performed in triplicates). a), b) and c) The membrane was visualized through fluorescence (Ex 532 nm , Em: 605 nm ). The relative fluorescence intensity is reported below, and it was determined with Image $\mathbf{J}$ software.

In further investigations, the crosslinked protein was reacted in a copper catalysed alkyne azide cyclisation ( CuAAc ) with TAMRA-PEG-azide (Figure 5.7 f). The click chemistry was performed using copper sulphate, the reducing agent tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) and the ligand tris[(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl]amine (TBTA). ${ }^{338}$ One hour incubation at room temperature was sufficient to show the mass corresponding to the TAMRA labelled BRD4(1) bromodomain (Figure 5.7 f). This experiment demonstrates a functional in vitro probe, which is able to crosslink to $\mathrm{BRD} 4(1)$ bromodomain, and subsequently the linker can be modified by using CuAAc chemistry to attach not only a fluorophore as TAMRA, but also other linkers, useful for further experiments. The TAMRA-labelled BRD4(1) bromodomain protein was also visualized on a PVDF (polyvinylidene difluoride) membrane through fluorescence (Ex 532 nm , Em: 605 nm ). An intense band on lane B1-3 confirmed the CuAAc chemistry worked with TAMRA-PEG-azide (Figure 5.8 d ). The negative controls in lane C 1-3 (competitor 24) and D 1-3 (competitor 21) show very faint bands, indicating the probe might have slightly crosslinked, despite the competition (Figure 5.8 e). It is worth to remark that $\mathbf{2 1}$ proved poorly soluble in the assay conditions, thus the crosslinking observed in this case could be due to an effective competitor concentration lower than what expected. Noteworthy, the crosslinking observed in the competition experiment with the more soluble compound 24 is comparable with that observed with the non-irradiated samples (Figure $5.9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ ). The poor chemical-physical
properties of $\mathbf{2 1}$ could explain also the higher internal variability between the triplicates (Figure $5.9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ ). Additionally, the BSA control showed crosslinking. Considering the unspecific mechanism of the photo-catalyzed reaction, this is not surprising. However, in the next future could be interesting to perform competition experiments with BRD4 inhibitors in presence of BSA, as well as a photo-crosslinking reaction in presence of both BRD(4)1 and BSA. Taken together, the data obtained with the competition experiment and the BSA-control experiment, suggest that an optimization of the conditions of the experiment, and in detail of the probe concentration, is required. It could be particularly useful to repeat the crosslinking reaction in presence of a dose- range of the probe. This experiment will allow to identify the lowest effective concentration of the probe, aimed also to gain selectivity.

### 5.3 Conclusions and prospectives

This project yielded the validation of a synthetic route to obtain compound $\mathbf{5 0}$, as well as its synthesis and characterisation. The probe has been already tested and proved to be efficient in preliminary in vitro enzymatic assays. To the best of our knowledge, for the first time in this study the traceless Staudinger ligation was proved to be an attractive strategy also in the synthesis of such kind of small molecules. This synthetic route allowed us to skip one step and, noteworthy, to prepare the amine $\mathbf{5 9}$, not easy to purify and unstable. Nonetheless, additional experiments are ongoing, aimed to better investigate and optimize the conditions for the traceless Staudinger ligation.

Preliminary biochemical in vitro experiments showed that the probe $\mathbf{5 0}$ is efficiently crosslinked to BRD4(1) only after UV-irradiation. The CE is increasing in a time dependent way, but the most convenient irradiation time has been judged to be 20 minutes (CE $47 \%$ ). To prove the selective binding in the KAc binding site of the probe 50, a competition experiment in presence of 10 equivalents of the known BRD4 ligands 21 or 24 (Figure 1.10) was performed. While from LC-MS no crosslinked protein was detected, after click reaction a small amount of the crosslinked protein was visualized by fluorescence detection, revealing that the probe might have slightly crosslinked. It is worth to remark that 21 proved poorly soluble in the assay conditions, thus the crosslinking observed in this case could be due to an effective competitor concentration lower that what expected. Noteworthy, the crosslinking observed in the competition experiment with the more soluble compound 49 is comparable with that observed with the non-irradiated samples. Interestingly, in the photoreaction conditions the probe $\mathbf{5 0}$ was able to crosslink also to BSA. Those evidences suggest that for further investigations may be worth to optimize the probe concentration in the crosslinking reaction mixture. The low specificity could be due to the high concentration with respect to the target protein. Once the assay conditions will be optimized, the probe selectivity will be assessed in cross linking experiments with several human and parasitic bromodomains. Finally, the validated probe will be tested in cross-linking and pull-down experiments on cell lysates, after confirming its stability in the assay conditions. This experiment will be performed using cell lysates derived from cells expressing/overexpressing BRD4(1). In these more complex media, the selectivity of the probe over other bromodomains, as well as other different cellular targets will be evaluated. The results obtained will be essential for further development of photoaffinity probes for bromodomains, thus providing new tools for a better understanding of the role of bromodomains, not only in humans, but also in parasites. Figure 5.9 resembles some of the possible future chemical manipulations to be applied in the design of novel photoaffinity probes. Considering the comparable potency of the BRD4(1) ligands 69 and 70 (Figure 5.10), the probes could be evaluated either in the acetylated form on the phenolic- OH , or containing the
free - OH . (Figure 5.9). This aspect could be interesting especially in terms of chemical-physical properties of the probes.


Figure 5.9. Probing the probe: future perspectives.

Hewings et al. demonstrated that a fluorine is tolerated in the meta or para position of the bromodomain ligand 71 (Figure 5.10), ${ }^{330,331}$ therefore fluorine-substituted probes should be considered as well. According to Hewings et al., the fluorine substituted derivatives display a similar activity against BRD4(1), but the para fluoro substituted compound is more selective for BRD4(1) over CREBBP. ${ }^{331}$ Thus, could be interesting to evaluate first the para-fluoro substitution (Figure 5.10).

$69 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
BRD4(1) IC50 $=0.382 \mu \mathrm{M}$
$70 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ac}$
BRD4(1) IC50 $=0.371 \mu \mathrm{M}$

$71 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}$
BRD4(1) IC50 $=0.64 \mu \mathrm{M}$
$72 \mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Ph}$
BRD4(1) IC50 $=1.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$
$73 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Ph}$
BRD4(1) IC50 $=1.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$

Figure 5.10. 3,5-dimethylisoxazole BRD4(1) ligands. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values obtained in an ALPHA assay.

Moreover, it could be worth evaluating the effect of different lengths of the probe side chain on potency and selectivity (Figure 5.9). Finally, different copper-free click tags could be applied. For example, Li et al. have described the use of cyclopropane-containing copper-free clickable photo-crosslinkers, useful for protein labelling, as well as imaging in live cells. ${ }^{339}$ Hence, a different series of clickable cyclopropane-containing probes could be evaluated (Figure 5.9).

### 5.4 Experimental section

### 5.4.1 Chemistry

All dry reactions were carried out using standard techniques under an argon or nitrogen atmosphere with flame-dried glassware. Anhydrous solvents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Commercially available diisopropylamine (DIPA) was purified according to the standard procedure (refluxed on KOH for 3 hours and then distilled). All the other commercially available reagents were used as supplied without further purification. Petroleum ether refers to the fraction boiling at $40-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) analysis was carried out on Merck silica gel 60 F254 pre-coated aluminium-backed plates and visualised by UV light ( $\lambda \max =254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and stained with aqueous basic potassium permanganate solution or ninhydrin solution. Flash column chromatography was carried out on silica gel ( 60 Å, 240-400 mesh) under positive pressure of nitrogen. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVIII HD $400(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectrometer or Bruker AVII $500(500 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectrometer in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (unless otherwise stated). Chemical shifts $\delta$ are quoted in parts per million (ppm) relative to tetramethylsilane $(\delta=0.00 \mathrm{ppm})$, to the nearest $0.01 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra is reported as follows: chemical shift (number of protons, multiplicity ( $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{br}=$ broadened), coupling constant J (to the nearest 0.1 Hz ), assignment). Residual protic solvent was used as the internal reference: $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}}=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were recorded on Bruker AVIII HD $400(101 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectrometer or Bruker AVII 500 $(126 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectrometer in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (unless otherwise stated). Chemical shifts $\delta$ are quoted in parts per million ( ppm ) relative to tetramethylsilane ( $\delta=0.00 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), to the nearest 0.1 ppm . The multiplicity of each signal is singlet unless otherwise stated. Residual protic solvent was used as the internal reference: $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{C}}=77.2 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$. NMR data was processed using Mestrenova 11.0 software. Spectra were assigned using HSQC and HMBC experiments as necessary. Electrospray ionisation mass spectra were obtained using an Agilent 6120 spectrometer for low resolution or Bruker MicroTOF spectrometer for high resolution, where $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ values are reported in Daltons. Infrared spectra were recorded from neat samples on a Bruker Tensor 27 spectrometer with a diamond ATR Spectrometer. Absorption maxima (vmax) are reported in wavenumbers $(\mathrm{cm}-1)$ where $\mathrm{s}=$ strong, $\mathrm{m}=$ medium, $\mathrm{w}=$ weak, $\mathrm{br}=$ broad. Melting points were obtained using a Gallenkamp MF-370 capillary tube melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Analytical high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was performed on a PerkinElmer Flexar system with a Binary LC Pump and UV/VIS LC Detector set at 254 nm . For determination of purity, a Dionex Acclaim® ${ }^{\circledR} 120 \mathrm{C} 18$ column [ $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 12 \AA, 150 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4.6$ mm ] reverse phase column was used with a constant flow rate of $1.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and gradient method of 10 min from 95:5 $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ :acetonitrile to $5: 95 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ :acetonitrile with a 5 min hold. Samples injected were prepared by dissolving in methanol or acetonitrile and filtered.

## Synthesis of 3-bromo-5-(hydroxymethyl)phenol (51)



A solution of 3-bromo-5-hydroxybenzoic acid $(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.461 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in dry THF ( 4 mL ) was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{BH}_{3}$ in THF ( $1 \mathrm{M}, 1.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added dropwise over a period of 30 minutes. After the addition was complete the reaction solution was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 5 h after which time TLC analysis indicated complete consumption of the starting material. The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched with a 2 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{HCl}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was suspended in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography, eluting with ethyl acetate: petroleum ether 1:2, to afford 3 as a colourless solid ( $0.0825 \mathrm{~g}, 0.406 \mathrm{mmol}, 88.1 \%$ ): Rf: 0.15 (petroleum ether: EtOAc 2:1); mp 85-90 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOAc})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 9.83(1 \mathrm{H}$, br. s, $\mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{OH}), 6.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) H), 6.79(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J 2.1, 2.1, C(2)H), $6.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 5.26\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br. s, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 4.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 4.0$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right) ;$ LRMS m/z (ES-) 201 ([M $\left.\left.\mathrm{M}^{79} \mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{H}\right]^{-}, 100 \%\right), 203\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{81} \mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{H}\right]^{-}, 93.68 \%\right)$. These data are in good agreement with the literature. ${ }^{331}$

## Synthesis of 3-Bromo-5-hydroxybenzaldehyde (52)



To a solution of 3-bromo-5-(hydroxymethyl)phenol $51(1.78 \mathrm{~g}, 8.77 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ activated $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(5.34 \mathrm{~g}, 61.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 7 \mathrm{eq})$ was added. The reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 3 h after which time the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. The suspension was cooled to room temperature, then filtered through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography, eluting with ethyl acetate: petroleum ether 1:3, to afford 52 as a yellow solid ( $1.39 \mathrm{~g}, 6.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 79$ \%): Rf: 0.26 (petroleum ether: EtOAc 3:1); mp 138-140 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $10.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHO}), 9.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{OH}), 7.52\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J} 1.4,1.4, \mathrm{C}\left(2^{`}\right) H\right), 7.27-7.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{`}\right) H$ and $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{`}\right) H$ ) , LRMS m/z (ES-) 198.9 ([ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{79} \mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{H}\right]^{-}, 82.6 \%$ ), 200.9 ([ $\left.\left.\mathrm{M}^{81} \mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{H}\right]^{-}, 78.3 \%\right)$. These data are in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{331}$

## Synthesis of potassium (3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4-yl)trifluoroborate (53)



To a suspension of (3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4-yl)boronic acid ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 17.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, were added 7 mL of a 10 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{KF}(4.12 \mathrm{~g}, 70.96 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ eq). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature until complete dissolution of the boronic acid. A solution of $L$-(+)-tartaric acid ( $5.46 \mathrm{~g}, 36.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in THF ( 27 mL ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture over a period of 10 minutes. A colourless precipitate formed instantly. The suspension was stirred at room temperature for 20 minutes. After this time the reaction mixture was filtered. The filter cake was rinsed several times with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, the combined filtrates were concentrated in vacuo to give $\mathbf{5 3}$ as a colourless solid (2.62 g, $12.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$ ). Rf: 0.10 (petroleum ether: Et 2 O 1:1); mp $>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone) [Lit:59 > 200 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right] ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 2.20\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.05\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{F}}:-134.20,-134.96(3 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~m}) ;$ LRMS m/z (ES-) 164.1 ([M-K] 100 $\%)$. These data are in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{331}$

## Synthesis of 3-(3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4-yl)-5-hydroxybenzaldehyde (54)



In a flame dried Schlenk tube, 3-bromo-5-hydroxybenzaldehyde 52 ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was solubilized in degassed EtOH (15 mL). Potassium (3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4yl)trifluoroborate $53(1.11 \mathrm{~g}, 5.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.067 \mathrm{~g}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.06 \mathrm{eq})$, RuPhos ( $0.279 \mathrm{~g}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.12 \mathrm{eq}$ ), and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 9.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq})$ were added to the solution and the reaction mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, for 3 h . After this time the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. After cooling to rt the suspension was filtered through Celite $\circledR$. The Celite® was rinsed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was triturated in a mixture of petroleum ether: diethyl ether 10:1 for 20 minutes. The suspension was filtered and rinsed with petroleum ether to give $\mathbf{5 4}$ as a colourless solid ( $0.893 \mathrm{~g}, 4.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%$ ). Rf: 0.21 (petroleum ether: EtOAc 3:1); mp 189-191 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ [Lit $\left.184-187{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right] ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 10.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CHO}), 9.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{OH}), 7.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) H), 7.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) H), 2.43\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 2.24 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); LRMS m/z (ES-):216.1 ([M-H] $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ ) These data are in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{331}$

## Synthesis of 3-(3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4-yl)-5-(hydroxy(phenyl)methyl)phenol (55)



A solution of 3-(3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4-yl)-5-hydroxybenzaldehyde 54 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq) in dry THF ( 4 mL ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 1 M solution of phenylmagnesium bromide in THF ( $0.69 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added dropwise over 15 minutes. As the phenylmagnesium bromide was added, an off-white precipitate formed instantly. The suspension was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 1 h , when the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. The suspension was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction was quenched by addition of 0.5 mL of a 1 M aqueous solution of HCl and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was suspended in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The extract was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with petroleum ether: ethyl acetate $1.5: 1$ to afford 7 as a colourless solid ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ ). Rf: 0.12 (petroleum ether: EtOAc 2:1); mp 180$185{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ [Lit:59 187-188 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right] ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz},\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 9.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.40$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(9) H$ and $\mathrm{C}(13) H), 7.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(10) H$ and $\mathrm{C}(12) H), 7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(11) H), 6.79$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) H$ and $\mathrm{C}(4) H), 6.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J} 1.8,1.8, \mathrm{C}(2) H), 5.90-5.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J} 4.0, \mathrm{C}(7) H)$, 5.66-5.65 (1H, d, J 4.0, CHOH), $2.36\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; LRMS m/z (EI-) 294.1 ([M-H] $\left.{ }^{-} 100 \%\right)$. These data are in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{331}$

Synthesis of 3-(3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4-yl)-5-(hydroxy(phenyl)methyl)phenyl acetate (56)


To a solution of 3-(3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4-yl)-5-(hydroxy(phenyl)methyl)phenol 55 ( 0.200 g , $0.677 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in $\mathrm{iPrOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.0731 \mathrm{~g}, 1.83 \mathrm{mmol}$, $2.7 \mathrm{eq})$ in water $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$. After the completed addition, acetic anhydride ( $170 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.83 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.7 eq ) was added dropwise, and the suspension was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. After this time TLC analysis indicated complete conversion of the starting material. The suspension was adsorbed onto Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ : $\mathrm{EtOAc} 5: 1$, to afford 56 as a colourless oil $(0.184 \mathrm{~g}, 0.545$ mmol, $81 \%)$. Rf: $0.16\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{EtOAc} 5: 1\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 7.42-7.37(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$,
$\mathrm{C}(9) H, \mathrm{C}(10) H, \mathrm{C}\left(12^{`}\right) H$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(13) H\right)$, 7.35-7.32 (1H, m, $\left.\mathrm{C}(11) H\right)$, 7.17-7.16 (1H, m, $\mathrm{C}(6) H), 7.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 6.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J} 1.8,1.8, \mathrm{C}(2) H), 5.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(7) H), 2.39(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.33\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 2.25\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; LRMS m/z (ES+) $338.2\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right.$ $100 \%$ ). These data are in accordance with the literature. ${ }^{331}$

## Synthesis of 3-benzoyl-5-(3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4-yl)phenyl acetate (57)



To a solution of 3-(3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4-yl)-5-(hydroxy(phenyl)methyl)phenyl acetate 56 $(0.184 \mathrm{~g}, 0.545 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(0.474 \mathrm{~g}, 5.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{eq})$ and the reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 15 h . After this time 10 eq of $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(0.474$ $\mathrm{g}, 5.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred for additional 3.5 h . After this time the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. After cooling to room temperature, the suspension was filtered through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ : $\mathrm{EtOAc} 30: 1$, to afford 57 as a colourless solid $(0.144 \mathrm{~g}, 0.429 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \%)$. Rf: $0.19\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{EtOAc} 30: 1\right)$; mp 119$121{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone) [Michael Brand $136-138^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ]; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 7.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(9) H$ and $\mathrm{C}(13) H), 7.56(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, J 7.4, 7.4, 1.2, 1.2, C(11)H), $7.49(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J 1.5, 1.5, $\mathrm{C}(6) H), 7.47-7.43(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(10) H, \mathrm{C}(12) H, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J} 1.7,2.1, \mathrm{C}(2) H), 2.38(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.29\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 2.24\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; LRMS m/z (ES+) $336.2([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$, $100 \%$ ). These data are in accordance with what reported in the group. ${ }^{332}$

## Synthesis of 3-(azido(phenyl)methyl)-5-(3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4-yl)phenyl acetate (58)



A solution of 3-(3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4-yl)-5-(hydroxy(phenyl)methyl)phenyl acetate (57) (250 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.741 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere was cooled at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Trimethylsilyl azide ( $295 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added dropwise to the solution and it was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. After this time $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)_{2}(26.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0741 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{eq})$ was added to the solution. The solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes and then was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred at this temperature for one hour. After this time all the
alcohol starting material was converted into the -OTMS protected derivative. The solution was then heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere for 20 hours. After this time the reaction was judged complete by TLC analysis and it was quenched with water. The product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine $(2 \times 4$ mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The extract was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with a gradient of $7 \%$ to $35 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in petroleum ether to afford 3-(azido(phenyl)methyl)-5-(3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4-yl)phenyl acetate (58) (204 mg, 0.563 $\mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%$ ) as a colourless oil. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}: 0.42$ petroleum ether: EtOAc 2: 1. $v_{\max }\left(\right.$ (thin film) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2101$ $\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right), 1767(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1202(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}), 706(\mathrm{~m}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 7.43-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(9) H, \mathrm{C}(10) H, \mathrm{C}(11) H, \mathrm{C}(12) H$, and $\mathrm{C}(13) H), 7.07-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.05-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(6) H$ ), $6.95(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) H), 5.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(7) H), 2.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(16) H_{3}\right), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 2.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(18) H_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 169.2\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 165.8$ ( $\mathrm{C}(16))$, $158.5(\mathrm{C}(18)), 151.2(\mathrm{C}(1)), 142.0(\mathrm{C}(5)), 138.9(\mathrm{C}(8)), 132.2(\mathrm{C}(3)), 129.1(\mathrm{C}(9)$ and $\mathrm{C}(13)), 128.7(\mathrm{C}(11)), 127.6(\mathrm{C}(10)$ and $\mathrm{C}(12)), 125.2(\mathrm{C}(4)), 121.8(\mathrm{C}(2)), 119.7(\mathrm{C}(6)), 115.7$ ( $\mathrm{C}(17)$ ), $67.9(\mathrm{C}(7)), 21.3\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 11.8(\mathrm{C}(16)), 10.9(\mathrm{C}(18)) . \mathrm{LRMS}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{ES}+) 363.2$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 100 \%\right) ; 385.2\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 43 \%\right), \mathrm{HRMS}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{ES}+) 363.14526[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(requires 363.14517).

## Synthesis of 3-(amino(phenyl)methyl)-5-(3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4-yl)phenyl acetate (59)



3-(azido(phenyl)methyl)-5-(3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4-yl)phenyl acetate ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.174 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq) was solubilised in wet THF ( 2.9 mL ). Triphenylphosphine ( $136.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.521 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and water $(320 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ were added to the solution and the system was stirred at room temperature for one hour. After this time, TLC analysis indicated the complete conversion of the starting material to give the iminophosphorane intermediate. The reaction was then heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . ter this time the reaction was judged complete by TLC analysis. The solvent was removed in vacuo, the residue was dissolved in toluene $(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the product extracted with a 2 M HCl solution $(3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The the combined acidic aqueous layers were titrated by addition of a 1 M solution of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The product was then extracted from the basic aqueous layer with EtOAc ( 3 $\times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine $(1 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give 3-(amino(phenyl)methyl)-5-(3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4yl)phenyl acetate as a colourless oil ( 53.5 mg ) that was used in the next step without further purification. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ : 0.21 chloroform: methanol 30: 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, C D \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right) \delta_{H} 7.42-7.29$
(5H, m, C(9), C(10), C(11), C(12), C(13)), $7.17(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $\mathrm{J}=2.2,1.5,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4)), 7.12(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $\mathrm{J}=1.6,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(6)), 6.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2)), 5.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(7)), 2.37(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(16) H_{3}\right), 2.30\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 2.23\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(18) H_{3}\right), 2.21\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 169.5\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 165.7(\mathrm{C}(16)), 158.6(\mathrm{C}(18)), 151.1(\mathrm{C}(1))$, $148.0(\mathrm{C}(5)), 145.0(\mathrm{C}(5)), 132.2(\mathrm{C}(3)), 128.8(\mathrm{C}(9)$ and $\mathrm{C}(13)), 128.7(\mathrm{C}(11)), 126.9(\mathrm{C}(10)$ and $\mathrm{C}(12)), 125.2(\mathrm{C}(4)), 120.8(\mathrm{C}(2)), 119.3(\mathrm{C}(6)), 116.0(\mathrm{C}(17)), 59.5(\mathrm{C}(7)), 21.3$ $\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 11.8\left(\mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 10.9\left(\mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. LRMS: m/z (ES+) $320.2(100 \%,[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}-$ $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{+}$), HRMS: m/z (ES+) $337.15472[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(requires 337.15467).

## Synthesis of ethyl 3-oxohept-6-ynoate (60)



A solution of distilled diisopropyl amine ( $1.56 \mathrm{~g}, 2.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in dry THF (15 mL ) was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in hexanes ( $2.5 \mathrm{M}, 6.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added dropwise over 5 minutes. After the completed addition the solution was warmed to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 30 minutes. After this time the solution was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of distilled ethyl acetoacetate ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.97 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in dry THF $(0.97 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added over 5 minutes. After the completed addition the solution was warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 1 h . After this time, propargyl bromide ( $80 \%$ in toluene, $0.914 \mathrm{~g}, 1.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added, and the solution was stirred for 1 h , at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After this time the reaction was quenched by slow addition of glacial acetic acid $(0.9 \mathrm{~mL})$. The suspension was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was suspended in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine $(2 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residual oil was purified by silica gel chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ : EtOAc 20:1, to afford 12 as pale yellow oil $(0.877 \mathrm{~g}, 5.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 67.9 \%)$. Rf: $0.56\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ : EtOAc 10:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 4.23\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 7.2, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 3.49\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(6) H_{2}\right)$, $2.84\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7.4, \mathrm{C}(4) H_{2}\right), 2.50\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J 7.5,2.7, \mathrm{C}(3) H_{2}\right), 1.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 2.7, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{CH}), 1.31$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7.2, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$; GC-HRMS $m / z\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{+}\right)$[Found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 169.0861$ requires 169.0859]. These data are in good agreement with the literature. ${ }^{340}$

## Synthesis of ethyl 2-(2-(but-3-yn-1-yl)-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)acetate (61)



To a solution of ethyl 3-oxohept-6-ynoate $\mathbf{6 0}(0.877 \mathrm{~g}, 5.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in toluene ( 37 mL ) were added ethylene glycol ( $0.356 \mathrm{~g}, 0.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ), and 4-toluenesulfonic acid
monohydrate $(0.0496 \mathrm{~g}, 0.260 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq})$. The solution was heated under reflux, for 19 h in a Dean-Stark apparatus. After this time the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. After cooling to ambient temperature, the organic layer was washed with a 1 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residual oil $(0.782 \mathrm{~g})$ was used without further purification. Rf: 0.21 (petroleum ether: EtOAc 4:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 4.08\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 7.2, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 3.95-3.90(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.59\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(6) H_{2}\right), 2.27-2.21\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) H_{2}\right), 2.07-2.04\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, $1.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 2.6, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{CH}), 1.20\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7.2, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$; MS m$/ \mathrm{z}$ (GCMS) $213.1127(100 \%$ $\left.[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)\left(\right.$requires 213.1121). These data are in good agreement with the literature. ${ }^{340}$

## Synthesis of 2-(2-(but-3-yn-1-yl)-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)ethan-1-ol (62)



To a solution ethyl 2-(2-(but-3-yn-1-yl)-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)acetate 61 ( $0.782 \mathrm{~g}, 3.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq) in dry THF ( 15 mL ) was added $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $4.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.15 \mathrm{eq}$ ) dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction solution was let under stirring at room temperature for 2 h . After this time the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $337 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were added. The system was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes, then let warm to room temperature. After this time to the reaction mixture were added $337 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v} \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution, and $1010 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in three portions. The obtained solution was stirred at room temperature for 10 minutes, then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to afford yellow oil. The crude was purified by silica gel chromatography, eluting with petroleum ether: EtOAc 1:1, to afford 2-(2-(but-3-yn-1-yl)-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)ethan-1-ol as pale yellow oil ( $1.04 \mathrm{~g}, 6.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ ). Rf: 0.10 (petroleum ether: EtOAc 2:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 3.98-3.89\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.71-3.67\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J 5.3,5.3, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 2.59$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 5.3, \mathrm{OH}), 2.21\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J 7.0,2.4, \mathrm{C}(3) H_{2}\right), 1.89-1.86\left(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(1) H, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$. GC-HRMS $m / z\left(\mathrm{CI}^{+}\right)$[Found: $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 171.1016$ requires 171.1016 ]. These data are in good agreement with the literature. ${ }^{340}$

Synthesis of 2-(but-3-yn-1-yl)-2-(2-iodoethyl)-1,3-dioxolane (63)


A solution of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(0.720 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq})$ and $1 H$-imidazole $(0.510 \mathrm{~g}, 7.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(17 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and iodine $(0.761 \mathrm{~g}, 2.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq})$ was added. The dark solution was stirred for 15 min at room temperature. 2-(2-(But-3-yn-1-yl)-1,3-dioxolan-2-
yl)ethan-1-ol $62(0.425 \mathrm{~g}, 2.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the completed addition the reaction mixture was stirred under reflux for 1 h where TLC analysis indicated complete conversion of the alcohol. After cooling to ambient temperature the brown solution was quenched with $10 \%$ w/v aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine $(2 \times$ $10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residual solid was triturated in petroleum ether : $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 10: 1$ for 5 min , filtered and concentrated in vacuo to afford a yellow oil $(2.30 \mathrm{~g})$ which was further purified by silica gel chromatography, eluting with petroleum ether: EtOAc 4:1, to afford 63 as a colourless oil $(0.610 \mathrm{~g}, 2.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%)$. Rf: 0.56 (petroleum ether: EtOAc 4:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 3.89\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.09-3.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.23-2.17\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) H_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(6) H_{2}\right), 1.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 2.7, \mathrm{C}(1) H), 1.82-1.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$; MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (GSMS) $281.0025\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. These data are in accordance with what reported. ${ }^{332}$

## Synthesis of 3-(2-(but-3-yn-1-yl)-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)propanenitrile (64)



To a solution of 2-(but-3-yn-1-yl)-2-(2-iodoethyl)-1,3-dioxolane $63(0.610 \mathrm{~g}, 2.177 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq ) in DMF ( 5 mL ) was added potassium cyanide ( $0.149 \mathrm{mg}, 2.287 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ). The solution was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. After this time the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. The reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature. The next morning, the reaction was quenched by adding saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 15 mL ). The product was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with LiCl $(0.5 \mathrm{M}, 2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography, eluting with petroleum ether: ethyl acetate 4:1, to afford 64 as a colourless oil $(0.351 \mathrm{~g}, 1.953 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%)$. Rf: 0.21 (petroleum ether: EtOAc 4:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 3.92\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7.6$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 2.21\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J 7.4,2.8 \mathrm{C}(3) H_{2}\right), 1.98\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7.6, \mathrm{C}(6) H_{2}\right), 1.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 2.8, \mathrm{C}(1) H)$, $1.80\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J 7.4 \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$; LRMS m/z (ES+) $202([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]+, 100 \%)$. These data are in accordance with what reported in the group. ${ }^{332}$

## Synthesis of 4-oxooct-7-ynoic acid (65)



A solution of 3-(2-(but-3-yn-1-yl)-1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)propanenitrile $64(0.316 \mathrm{~g}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq) in $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated under reflux for 2 hours. After this time the TLC analysis indicated complete consumption of the starting material. After cooling to room temperature, the aqueous layer was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The pH of the aqueous solution was adjusted to pH 1 by adding a 2 M aqueous HCl solution. The acid solution was stirred at room temperature for three hours. After this time the product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \times 5$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 5 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography, eluting with petroleum ether: ethyl acetate $5: 1$ and $2 \%$ acetic acid, to afford $\mathbf{6 5}$ as a colourless solid (0.204 $\mathrm{g}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ ); m.p. $55-57{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAc). Rf: 0.10 (petroleum ether: EtOAc 5:1:2\% acetic acid $) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 12.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{COOH}), 2.76(\mathrm{t}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(1) H), 2.71-2.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(4) H_{2} \mathrm{C}(6) H_{2}\right), 2.40\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 2.31(\mathrm{td}, J=7.3$, $\left.2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$. LRMS: m/z (ES-) $153.0\left([\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, 100 \%\right)$. These data are in accordance with what reported in the group. ${ }^{332}$

## Synthesis of 3-(3-(But-3-yn-1-yl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)propanoic acid (66)



4-Oxooct-7-ynoic acid $\mathbf{6 5}(250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ was solubilized in dry $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 7 M solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in MeOH was added ( 5 mL ) and the reaction solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . After this time a solution of hydroxilamine-Osulfonic acid ( $367 \mathrm{mg}, 3.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.6 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After one hour at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the suspension was warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. The suspension was diluted with $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, filtered, rinsed $(\mathrm{MeOH})$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, triethylamine ( $565 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added and the solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $\mathrm{I}_{2}(617 \mathrm{mg}, 2.43,1.5 \mathrm{eq})$ was added in 5 portions until the brown colour persist. After 30 minutes the solution was diluted with $\operatorname{EtOAc}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with a 1 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), 10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ aqueous solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), 1 \mathrm{M}$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography, eluting with $20 \%$ EtOAc in petroleum ether and $1 \% \mathrm{AcOH}$, to afford 3-(3-(But-3-yn-1-yl)-3H-diazirin-$3-y l)$ propanoic acid as a yellow oil ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.325 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ ). $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}: 0.14$ (EtOAc40 \% in petroleum ether $+2 \%$ acetic acid); $v_{\max }($ thin film $) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3500-2500(\mathrm{br} w)(\mathrm{COOH}), 3296$ (w) (C $=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 2925 (w), 1712 (s) (C=O), 1434 (w) (diazarine), 1289 (w), 1220 (w), 925 (w), 647
$(\mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, d_{6} D M S O\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 12.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOH}), 2.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H})$, $2.04\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H_{2}\right), 1.99\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.3,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(3) H_{2}\right), 1.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.58\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$; LRMS m/z (ES-) $165.1\left(100 \%[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$. These data are in good agreement with what previously reported in the group. ${ }^{332}$

## Synthesis of 2-(diphenylphosphaneyl)phenyl 3-(3-(but-3-yn-1-yl)-3H-diazirin-3yl)propanoate (67)



Step 1: 3-(3-(But-3-yn-1-yl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)propanoic acid ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.132 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ and stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. After this time the $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ was removed in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere).
Step 2: 2-(diphenylphosphanyl)phenol ( $24.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.088 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was solubilised in 0.5 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right.$ atmosphere $)$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(37 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.265 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq})$ was added. The solution was cooled at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 5 minutes. After this time a solution of 3-(3-(But-3-yn-1-yl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)propionyl chloride in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (see step 1) was added dropwise over 5 minutes. The solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes and then let warm to room temperature and stirred for one hour. After this time the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. The reaction was quenched by water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(3 \times 4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with a 1 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 5 mL ), a 1 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The extract was purified by column chromatography eluting with EtOAc : petroleum ether 1: 15 to afford 2-(diphenylphosphaneyl)phenyl 3-(3-(but-3-yn-1-yl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)propanoate as a colourless oil ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03986 \mathrm{mmol}$, Y $45 \%$, $98.4 \%$ HPLC pure ). $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}: 0.14$ (EtOAc: petroleum ether 1:10). $v_{\text {max }}$ (thin film) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3296(\mathrm{wb})(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}), 3055$ (w) 2921 (w) (C-H), 2300 (w) (C $=\mathrm{C}$ ), 1760 (s) (C=O), 161587 (w), 1466, 1434 (m), 1191 (m), 1132 (s), 746, 697. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 7.41-7.28(11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(10) H, \mathrm{C}(15) H$, $\mathrm{C}(16) H, \mathrm{C}(17) H, \mathrm{C}(18) H, \mathrm{C}(19) H, \mathrm{C}(21) H, \mathrm{C}(22) H, \mathrm{C}(23) H, \mathrm{C}(24) H, \mathrm{C}(25) H), 7.19-7.10$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(11) H, \mathrm{C}(12) H), 6.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=7.6,4.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(13) H), 2.08-2.02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $\left.7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(1) H_{2}\right), 2.01-1.93\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(5) H_{2}, \mathrm{C}(7) H\right), 1.59\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) H_{2}, \mathrm{C}(4) H_{2}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 170.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 152.7(\mathrm{C}(8), \mathrm{J}=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 135.5$ (C(14), C(20), J= $9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 134.1(\mathrm{C}(15), \mathrm{C}(19), \mathrm{C}(21), \mathrm{C}(25), \mathrm{J}=20.2), 133.8(\mathrm{C}(10))$, 133.8 ( $\mathrm{C}(13))$, 130.3 ( $\mathrm{C}(9)$ ), $129.3(\mathrm{C}(17), \mathrm{C}(23)), 128.8(\mathrm{C}(16), \mathrm{C}(18), \mathrm{C}(22), \mathrm{C}(24), \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 126.4(\mathrm{C}(12))$, 122.6 ( $\mathrm{C}(11)), 82.7(\mathrm{C}(6))$, $69.4(\mathrm{C}(7))$, $32.2(\mathrm{C}(4))$, $28.4(\mathrm{C}(1))$, $27.9(\mathrm{C}(2)), 27.5(\mathrm{C}(3))$, 13.4
(C(5)); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (202 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-15.88(+37.94$ oxide $)$. LRMS: m/z $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right) 427.2\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right.$, 100\%); HRMS: m/z (ES+) $427.15723\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$(requires 427.15699).

## Synthesis of 3-((3-(3-(but-3-yn-1-yl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)propanamido)(phenyl)methyl)-5-(3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4-yl)phenyl acetate (50)



Step 1: 3-(3-(But-3-yn-1-yl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)propanoic acid ( $29.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.178 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in 1.5 mL of $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ and stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. After this time the $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ was removed in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right.$ atmosphere $)$.

Step 2: 3-(Amino(phenyl)methyl)-5-(3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4-yl)phenyl acetate ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.119$ mmol, 1.0 eq ) was solubilised in 1 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right.$ atmosphere) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(49.7 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.357 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added. The solution was cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 5 minutes. After this time a solution of 3-(3-(But-3-yn-1-yl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)propionyl chloride in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (see step 1) was added dropwise over 5 minutes. The solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes and then let warm to room temperature and stirred for one hour. After this time the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. The reaction was quenched by water ( 5 mL ). The product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with a 1 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, a 1 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine (5 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The extract was purified by column chromatography eluting with a gradient of $10 \%$ to $15 \%$ of EtOAc in Toluene to afford 3-((3-(3-(but-3-yn-1-yl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)propanamido)(phenyl)methyl)-5-(3,5-dimethylisoxazol-4yl)phenyl acetate as a colourless oil ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 45.4 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{Y} 38 \%, 97 \%$ HPLC pure). $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}: 0.39$ (EtOAc: petroleum ether 1:1). $v_{\max }\left(\right.$ thin film) $/ \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3293$ (wb) (NH amide and $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 2924 (w) (C-H), 1766 (m) (C=O), 1645 (m) (C=O amide), 1535 (m), 1204 (s). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 7.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=8.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) H$ and $\mathrm{C}(12) H), 7.33-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) H), 7.25$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(9) H$ and $\mathrm{C}(13) H), 6.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 6.94-6.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) H$ and $\mathrm{C}(2) H), 6.26$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H), 6.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCO}), 2.35\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(16) H_{3}\right), 2.29(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 2.20\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(18) H_{3}\right), 2.05-1.97(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(20) H$ and $\mathrm{C}(24) H), 1.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}(26) H), 1.92-1.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}(23) H), 1.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(21) H) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 170.4(\mathrm{C}(19)), 169.4\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 165.8(\mathrm{C}(16)), 158.5(\mathrm{C}(18)), 151.3(\mathrm{C}(1))$, $143.8(\mathrm{C}(5)), 140.6(\mathrm{C}(8)), 132.2(\mathrm{C}(3)), 129.1(\mathrm{C}(9)$ and $\mathrm{C}(13)), 128.2(\mathrm{C}(11)), 127.6(\mathrm{C}(10)$ and $C(12)), 125.7(C(4)), 121.4(C(2)), 119.6(C(6)), 115.8(C(17)), 82.8(C(25)), 69.4(C(26))$,
$56.8(\mathrm{C}(7)), 32.6(\mathrm{C}(21)), 30.4(\mathrm{C}(20)), 28.3(\mathrm{C}(23)), 27.9(\mathrm{C}(22)), 21.3\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 13.4$ (C(24)), $11.8\left(\mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 10.9\left(\mathrm{C}(18) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. LRMS: m/z (ES+) 485.2 ( $\left.[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 89 \%\right) ; 507.2$ ([M+Na] $51 \%$ ), HRMS: m/z (ES+) $507.20035\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right.$(requires 507.20028 ).

### 5.4.2 Biochemical methods

Protein expression and purification (Dr Anthony K. N. Chan, Group of Prof. Stuart Conway, CRL, Oxford). The BRD4(1) bromodomain (Addgene plasmid \# 38942) construct was transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells for expression. The protein was purified using Immobilized Metal Affinity Chromatography with a HisTrapTM column (GE Healthcare) followed by gel filtration chromatography with Superdex 75 resin (GE Healthcare). The protein purity was assessed by SDS-PAGE.

Alpha Screen assay (Larissa See and Amy Scorah, Group of Prof. Stuart Conway, CRL, Oxford). Assay buffer ( 25 mM HEPES, $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.05 \%(w / v$ ) CHAPS, $0.1 \%(w / v)$ BSA, pH 7.6 ) was freshly prepared and filter sterilised through a $0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ filter. For incubation steps, the plate was sealed, shaken for 10 seconds at 600 rpm on a plate oscillator and kept at room temperature in the dark. Compounds, protein, and peptides were dispensed into a ProxiPlate-384 Plus (Perkin Elmer) using a Thermo-Fisher electronic multi-channel pipette. The plate was read using a Synergy ${ }^{\text {TM }} 2$ MultiMode Microplate Reader using the built-in AlphaScreen 384 ProxiPlate function: excitation $680 \mathrm{~nm}, 0.18 \mathrm{sec}$; emission $570 \mathrm{~nm}, 0.37 \mathrm{sec}$. $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ chelate acceptor and streptavidin donor beads were prepared as a mixture in a $1: 400$ dilution $(0.005 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ final assay concentration). Compounds $(5 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ were dispensed into wells as serial dilutions from the specified concentrations from 50 mM (24) and 10 mM (50) DMSO stock solutions. Protein-peptide mixes $(7 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ for each combination were prepared then dispensed into wells and incubated for 30 minutes. BRD4 protein and biotinylated $\mathrm{H} 4(\mathrm{KAc})_{4}$ were used at 10 nM and 4 nM final assay concentration (FAC) respectively. Assay beads ( $8 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were added to attain a final assay volume of $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ and incubated in the dark for 1 hour. Dose response curves were obtained for compounds in triplicate, from $150 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (24) and $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (50) with serial $1: 2$ dilutions. DMSO concentration was maintained at 0.5 \% FAC. Data was processed by fitting a four-parameter equation to calculate $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values using Prism software.

Crosslinking experiments on purified bromodomains: The crosslinking reaction was performed in 3mm Microsample NMR tubes in a total volume of $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$.

The protein was centrifuged to remove aggregates. Protein concentration were determined by measuring the absorbance at 280 nm using a NanoDrop Lite spectrophotometer (Nanodrop ${ }^{\circledR}$ Technologies Inc.) by using the predicted protein absorbance (BRD4(1): $\varepsilon_{280}: 28545 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ).

Time course experiment: All the samples were prepared with a final volume of $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ in SEC Buffer ( 50 mM Hepes, $500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.6$ ). Purified BRD4(1) final concentation: $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$; probe final concentration: $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (from a 10 mM stock solution in DMSO); final DMSO $0.2 \%$. The samples were pre-incubated at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Subsequently, the mixtures were irradiated in a Luzchem LZC-5 photoreactor equipped with eight 8 Watt lamps (Hitachi FL8BL-8, ~350 nm ) for 0 to 60 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Competition experiment: All the samples were prepared with a final volume of $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ in SEC Buffer ( 50 mM Hepes, $500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.6$ ). An excess ( 10 equivalents) of the competitor (JQ-1 or 1) was added to a solution of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ purified BRD4(1) and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound $\mathbf{2}$. The DMSO concentration was normalized to $1 \%$. The samples were pre-incubated at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min. Subsequently, the mixtures were irradiated in a Luzchem LZC-5 photoreactor equipped with eight 8 Watt lamps (Hitachi FL8BL-8, $\sim 350 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) for 20 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Purified BRD4(1) final concentation: $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$; probe final concentration: $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (from a 10 mM stock solution in DMSO); competitor final concentration: $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (JQ-1 from a 30 mM stock solution in DMSO; 1 from a 50 mM stock solution in DMSO); final DMSO $1 \%$.

Crosslinking efficiencies: The crosslinking efficiencies of the probe to purified BRD4(1) bromodomains were determined by protein liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LCMS). A Waters LCT Premier XE (ESI-TOF-MS) coupled to a Waters $1525 \mu$ Binary HPLC system using a Merck Cromolith RP18e guard cartridge as the column ( $5 \times 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) was used. Mobile Phase: Solvent A: Water $+0.1 \%$ formic acid; Solvent B: Acetonitrile

| Step length (min) | Flow rate (mL/min) | Elapsed time (min) | \%A | \%B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.4 | 1 | 95 | 5 |
| 4 | 0.4 | 5 | 0 | 100 |
| 3 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 100 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 8.1 | 95 | 5 |

Total mass spectra were reconstructed from the ion series using the MaxEnt1 algorithm on MassLynx software (Version 4.1, Waters Inc.) by deconvolution between 15000 and 20000 Da. Crosslinking efficiencies (CE) were calculated by comparing the peak heights of the signal from crosslinked BRD4(1) to the signal from unreacted BRD4(1) displayed in MassLynx (Equation $1)$.

$$
C E[\%]=\frac{C P}{B R D 4(1)+C P} \times 100
$$

Equation 1 The equation used to determine the crosslinking efficiency $(C E)$, where $C P$ is the peak height of crosslinked BRD4(1) protein and $B R D 4(1)$ is the peak height of unreacted BRD4(1) protein.

CuAAC of the crosslinked protein: $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the solutions of the crosslinked protein were mixed with $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of freshly prepared click mix solution and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a 10 mM stock solution (DMSO) of TAMRA-PEG-azide and incubated at room temperature for 2 h . The click mix solution contains $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CuSO} 4,1 \mathrm{mM}$ tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP HCl ), 0.1 mM tris(benzyltriazolylmethyl)amine (TBTA) (from a 5 mM DMSO stock solution) dissolved in Milli-Q water. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

SDS-PAGE: Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel was cast using Mini-PROTEAN® 3 Cell (Bio-Rad). Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was performed using Mini-PROTEAN® 3 Electrophoresis Module (Bio-Rad). The Tris-Glycine discontinuous buffer system was used in the SDS-PAGE experiments. Protein samples for SDSPAGE was first prepared in $4 \times$ Laemmli sample buffer containing $0.355 \mathrm{M} \beta$-mercaptoethanol, and then denatured at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . Chemicals for SDS-PAGE, Trizma® base, $30 \%$ acrylamide/bis-acrylamide solution, sodium dodecyl sulfate dust-free pellets, $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$ -tetramethylethane-1,2-diamine (TEMED) and ammonium persulfate (APS), were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Glycine was purchased from Fisher Scientific.

Protein staining: After SDS-PAGE proteins transferred onto PVDF membranes were stained with Ponceau S Stain (Amresco Inc.) for 15 min . Subsequently, the membranes were rinsed with Milli-Q water to allow protein visualisation. The proteins crosslinked and clicked with TAMRA-PEG-azide were visualised on the SDS PAGE gel using ChemiDoc ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ MP System (Bio-Rad) (Ex. 532 nm, Em. 605 nm).

PART 2: Ether Lipids Methabolism

## 6 Introduction (2)

### 6.1 Lipid metabolism and cancer

Aggressive metastatic tumors exhibit an altered cell metabolism favoring high cell proliferation rate and invasiveness. Already in 1920 s , Warburg's discoveries pointed out a relation between cancer and aberrant metabolism. ${ }^{341}$ During the last years, scientists put efforts to define the metabolic pathways driving normal cells to a deviant tumorigenic state. ${ }^{342}$ Among the biochemical nodes that contribute to cancer development, dysregulated lipid metabolism and enhanced de novo lipogenesis are established hallmarks of cancer. ${ }^{343-345}$ Remarkably, in cancer cells lipids are required not only as cell membrane building blocks and to the formation of lipid rafts, essential for cellular trafficking and migration, but also for generation of signalling molecules, critical for cancer progression, and for lipid-mediated proteins post-translational modifications. ${ }^{346}$ Among the numerous lipid-modulated signalling pathways, phosphatidyl inositol, lysophosphatidic acid (LPA), sphingosine-1-phosphate, and prostaglandin signalling pathways have been shown to play a role in cancer. ${ }^{347-353}$ The discovery in 1994 of the oncogenic protein fatty acid synthase (FASN) fostered the research on understanding dysregulated lipid metabolism in cancer cells. ${ }^{354}$ Thus, the discovery by Kuhajda et al. was followed by a number of studies proving that both genetic or pharmacological ablation of FASN are able to reduce cancer pathogenicity. Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain this evidence, including phospholipids depletion, lipid rafts alterations, DNA replication inhibition, malonyl-CoA build up and associated toxicity, and inhibition of anti-apoptotic proteins such as Akt. ${ }^{355}$ Notwithstanding the fact that FASN is an attractive target in oncology, its druggability proved challenging. Early FASN inhibitors, including cerulenin and its derivative C75, epigallocatechin-3-gallate, triclosan, and orlistat target different regions of the FASN complex and induce apoptotic cell death in cancer cells. However, none of them is selective enough for FANS to be used for clinical development. ${ }^{356}$ More recently, the resolution of FASN crystal structure allowed a structure-based development of various tool compounds. ${ }^{357,358}$ To date, TVB-2640 is the first and sole FASN inhibitor that successfully acceded the clinical phase (NCT02223247, NCT02980029, NCT03032484, NCT03179904). In the last years, several studies pointed out that the endogenous production (via FASN) is not the only way for cancer cells to increase fatty acids production. More in detail, also the release of esterified fatty acids (via monoacylglycerol lipase, MAGL), or the release and absorption of fatty acids from dietary sources (via lipoprotein lipase, LPL and fatty acid binding protein 4, FABP4) have been implied in this process. ${ }^{359-361}$ Accordingly, Nomura et al. showed how an increase in dietary fat intake could drive cancer cell pathogenesis. ${ }^{362}$ Targeting fatty acids metabolism could be much more selective for highly proliferative cells than targeting glucose metabolism.

### 6.2 Ether lipids and cancer

Ether phospholipids constitute a particular class of phospholipids, characterized by one or more ether linkage, rather than an ester linkage, at the sn-1 position of the glycerol backbone (Figure 6.1 B-C). They constitute approximately the $20 \%$ of the total phospholipid mass in healthy humans and their distribution varies greatly among the different tissues (particularly abundant in brain, heart, and white blood cells). ${ }^{363,364}$ Two types of ether bonds occur in ether phospholipids: the alkyl-ether bond and the vinyl-ether bond. A major subgroup of ether phospholipids is those of plasmalogens, characterized by a vinyl-ether bond at $\mathrm{sn}-1$.




Figure 6.1. Classical phospholipids (A), alkyl ether lipids (B), and plasmalogens or vinyl-ether lipids (C). The red circles highlight the different type of bond present at the sn-1 position of the glycerol backbone.

Even though the role of intracellular and circulating ether lipids is not fully understood, their physical properties are crucial in many aspect of cell biology. Ether lipids contribute to cellular structure definition, membrane fusion and vesicle formation, free radical scavenging, storage of lipid second messengers. ${ }^{364,365}$ Additionally, some ether lipids, including platelet-activating factor-ether (PAFe) and lysophosphatidic acid-ether (LPAe), have been regarded as prooncogenic signalling molecules. ${ }^{349,366-369}$

For the first time in the 1960s, Snyder and Wood documented an aberrant ether lipid metabolism across different types of cancer. ${ }^{370,371}$ Later, the serine hydrolase KIAA1363, involved in ether lipids metabolism, was found to be upregulated in different human aggressive cancers. ${ }^{369,372}$ In 2011, a study by Chang et al. contributed to the target validation, showing that both genetic silencing or selective pharmacological inhibition of KIAA1363 lowered ether lipids and oncogenic signalling lipids, such as alkyl lysophosphatidic acid, impairing cancer cell migration, invasion, and in vivo tumorigenicity. ${ }^{373}$ The broad spectrum of functions covered by lipids at cellular and supra-cellular level, along with the interplay between lipid metabolism and oncogenic signalling, call for a deeper investigation on the alterations in cancer lipid metabolism. Lipid metabolism could be a new target in cancer therapy.

### 6.2.1 Ether lipids biosynthesis

The ether lipids biosynthesis is initiated in the peroxisomes to be concluded in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Figure 6.2). ${ }^{374}$ Two substrates, namely dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP) and a long-chain ( $\mathrm{C}>10$ ) fatty acyl-CoA ester, are involved in the first step: an esterification catalysed by the acyl-transferase called glyceronephosphate O-acyl transferase (GNPAT; also known as dihydroxyacetonephosphate acyltransferase (DHAPAT), Figure 6.2).


Figure 6.2. Ether lipids biosynthesis in peroxisomes.
The DHAPAT thus generates an acyl-DHAP, substrate of the enzyme alkylglycerone phosphate synthase (AGPS; also known as alkyldihydroxyacetone phosphate synthase (AGPS), Figure 6.2). AGPS is responsible for the replacement of a fatty acid with a fatty alcohol generating an alkyl-DHAP. ${ }^{375-377}$ The alkyl-DHAP is translocated to the cytosol, where it is either reduced to alkyl-glycerol phosphate (alkyl-GP) or is transported to the ER as such and then reduced to alkyl-GP by the ER enzymes (Figure 6.2).

### 6.2.2 AGPS crystal structure

The key step in the ether phospholipids synthesis is clearly the exchange of a fatty acid chain in acyl-DHAP with a fatty alcohol chain, catalysed by AGPS enzyme.
AGPS is a 130 kDa peroxisomal flavoenzyme, using flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) as a cofactor. Interestingly, the FAD acts through an unusual non-redox catalytic mechanism. Indeed, it covalently binds the DHAP moiety of acyl-DHAP, acting as a trap to immobilize the substrate in AGPS active site, promoting the acyl-alkyl exchange and forming the precursor of all ether lipid species. ${ }^{377}$ The crystal structure of Cavia porcellus AGPS (displaying a $93 \%$ sequence identity with the human enzyme) was reported in this work. The AGPS crystal structure shows that this enzyme is a dimer of identical subunits, each composed by three domains: N-terminal, FAD-binding and cap domains (Figure 6.3). AGPS has a V-shaped active site located at the interface between the FAD and cap domains in front of the flavin ring. More in detail, the active site runs across the cap-FAD domain interface from the gating helix to

Arg419 (Figure 6.3). The active site is built to perfectly host the tripartite substrate acyl-DHAP, composed by a fatty chain hydrophobic tail, the central three-carbon unit of DHAP, and the negatively charged phosphate group.


Figure 6.3. Crystal structure of AGPS. (A) The dimer with the molecular twofold axis vertical in the plane of the paper. The FAD is shown in yellow; the bound aliphatic ligand, in cyan. Loop 347-359, the "HHH loop" (615-622), and the gating $\alpha$-helix (126-137) are shown in orange. \# indicates that loop 347359 is part of the active site of the twofold related subunit. AGPS is associated to the inner side of the peroxisomal membrane. (B) The final $2 \mathrm{Fo}-\mathrm{Fc}$-weighted electron density map (contoured at the $1.4 \sigma$ level) of the active site in the Tyr578Phe mutant. Protein carbons are shown in gray; flavin carbons, in yellow; oxygens, in red; nitrogens, in blue; and chloride, in magenta. The Tyr578 side chain of the WT protein is superimposed and shown with thin bonds. ${ }^{377}$

In detail, the longest arm of the V -shaped active site is rich in hydrophobic residues, hosting the hydrophobic aliphatic portion of the substrate. The second, shorter, and spherical arm comprises several hydrophilic residues including Arg419 and two Thr residues, enabling the substrate phosphate group anchoring. The two arms are connected by a small constriction where His616, His617, Tyr576 and the FAD flavin ring are located (Figure 6.3 B). ${ }^{377}$ Based on these evidences, the catalytic cycle of AGPS has been described by Nenci et al. as here reported (Figure 6.4). The acyl-DHAP substrate, in its enol form, first attacks the flavin $\mathrm{N}(5)$. The substrate tautomerization is most likely enabled by Tyr578, involved in acid/base catalysis. This
hypothesis is supported by the evidence that the Tyr578Phe AGPS mutant is catalytically inactive. Additionally, the negatively charged enolate is stabilised by interaction with the His615-617 residues in the HHH loop. Indeed, the His616Ala or H617Ala mutants are inactive. The formation of the $\mathrm{C}(1)$ acyl-DHAP to $\mathrm{N}(5)$ flavin covalent adduct is followed by the release of a fatty acid, generating the covalent intermediate between hydroxyacetone phosphate and the flavin. Finally, the fatty alcohol, probably deprotonated by Tyr578, attacks the hydroxyacetone phosphate $\mathrm{C}(1)$ exchanging with the flavin to obtain the ether-containing product (Figure 6.4). ${ }^{377}$ Nenci et al. studied five active-site mutations (Thr309Ile, Arg419His, Leu469Pro, Arg515Leu, and Tyr578Phe), three of them found in patients affected by rhizomelic chondrodysplasia punctate. ${ }^{378,379}$ All the studied mutations inactivate the enzyme. Three of them (Thr309Ile, Leu469Pro, and Arg515Leu) prevent the enzyme to bind FAD, whereas the two others (Arg419His and Tyr578Phe), although exhibiting normal stability, were enzymatically inactive. These results contributed to define the crucial residues for substrate binding and catalytic activity.


Figure 6.4. Mechanistic proposal for the AGPS reaction. ${ }^{377}$

### 6.2.3 AGPS and Cancer

The crucial role of AGPS is highlighted by the the devastating consequences of its inactivating mutations, related with serious and invalidating diseases. Benjamin et al. reported that AGPS is up-regulated across different types of aggressive and metastatic tumors, such as human breast (231MFP), melanoma (C8161) and prostate cancer (PC3) compared with less aggressive cancer cells (MCF7, MUM2C, and LNCaP, respectively). ${ }^{380}$ In this context, AGPS overexpression determines an increase in the level of ether lipids and controls cellular utilization of fatty acid, eicosanoid and acylglicerophospholipid metabolism to promote cell proliferation, motility and,
therefore, tumor growth and metastasis formation. ${ }^{349,376,377,381}$ Benjamin et al. documented that AGPS gene expression is enhanced in $\operatorname{ER}(+) / \operatorname{PR}(+)$ and $\operatorname{ER}(-) / \operatorname{PR}(-)$, and in Nottingham grade 1,2 , and 3 primary human breast tumors compared with normal breast tissues, as measured by quantitative PCR (qPCR). These findings suggest that AGPS overexpression can occur in early stages of breast cancer development. Interestingly, AGPS overexpression in grade 1, 2, and 3 primary human breast tumors, and in aggressive breast and melanoma cancer cells was significantly correlated with transforming Harvey-Rat sarcoma oncogene (HRAS) expression, showing that transformation of cells by HRAS is a way to up-regulate AGPS expression. Metabolomic profiling of aggressive human breast 231MFP, melanoma C8161 and prostate PC3 cancer cells, as well as HRAS-transformed MCF10A cells, revealed that they display largely higher ether lipid levels compared with less aggressive or empty vector-transfected MCF10A control cells. More in detail, the lipid species whose levels are increased upon AGPS overexpression include: phosphatidic acid-ether (PAe), LPAe, phosphatidyl inositol-ether (PIe), phosphatidylcholine-ether (PCe), lysophosphatidylcholine-ether (LPCe), phosphatidylserineether (PSe), phosphatidylglycerol-ether (PGe), lysophopshatidylglycerol-ether (LPGe) lipids, and plasmalogen ether lipids, such as phosphatidylethanolamine-plasmalogen (PEp). ${ }^{380}$ Interestingly, several of those lipids are known oncogenic signaling molecules which contribute to the inflammatory microenvironment. ${ }^{382}$


Figure 6.5. Model depicting the metabolic role of AGPS in exerting control over ether lipid metabolism, fatty acid metabolism, and glycerophospholipid metabolism.

To further elucidate the contribution of AGPS to cancer pathogenicity, AGPS was genetically silenced in in breast 231MFP and melanoma C8161 cancer cells. ${ }^{380}$ Its inactivation led to an overall reduced level of multiple structural ether lipids, as well as, oncogenic signaling molecules, also reducing breast 231MFP and melanoma C8161 tumor xenograft growth in immune-deficient mice..$^{380}$ Accordingly, AGPS overexpression in less aggressive breast (MCF7)
and melanoma (MUM2C) cancer cells lead to an increase in cell migration and cell survival in situ, and tumor xenograft growth in vivo, suggesting that the sole AGPS is sufficient to establish an aggressive phenotype in less aggressive human cancer cells. ${ }^{380}$ The effects of AGPS were also investigated in human glioma U87 and hepatic carcinoma HepG2 cell lines. ${ }^{383}$ Zhu et al. found that AGPS silencing resulted in a decreased the expression of oncogenic signalling molecules, affecting adhesion and invasion potential of these cell lines. Furthermore, AGPS depletion was shown to cause cell cycle arrest in G0/G1 phase, suggesting that AGPS could play a role also as a cell-cycle regulator in cancer proliferation. In this study, the authors found that cell levels of invasion-related genes (such as Mmp-2/9, E-cadherin and CD44) were strongly affected by AGPS knock-down. ${ }^{383}$ Finally, AGPS affected the activity of MAPK signaling pathway and the transcriptional activity of Twist, AP-1, and Snail. ${ }^{383}$

### 6.3 Discovery and development of the first in class AGPS inhibitor

The increasing number of evidences proving AGPS implication in cancer rise and evolution, called for the development of small molecules able to target this enzyme, potentially useful as tools for further biological investigation and target validation, with the aim to exploit it as target against metastatic tumors. Recently, we reported the discovery of the first class AGPS inhibitors through an in-house established ligand binding assay (ThermoFAD). ${ }^{384,385} \mathrm{We}$ started with screening an initial set of 1360 small molecules from the Prestwick Chemical Library that encompasses 1280 approved drugs and a subset of the ZINC database. ${ }^{386}$ AGPS from Cavia porcellus was chosen as a suitable system for inhibitor screening because of its stability and suitability for crystallographic studies. We identified lead compounds that affected the thermal stability of AGPS, increasing the melting temperature of the protein by $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 6.6). They included the antifungal agent antimycin A (from Prestwick Chemical Library, 74 Figure 6.6), ${ }^{387}$ and (3-(2-fluorophenyl)-N-(1-(2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzoimidazol-5-yl)ethyl)butanamide (ZINC-69435460, 75 Figure 6.6).




Figure 6.6. Structure and effect on AGPS thermal stability of the identified inhibitors

To confirm whether these lead compounds inhibited AGPS activity, we used a radioactivitybased enzymatic assay, using palmitoyl-DHAP and [1- $\left.{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]-$ hexadecanol as substrates. This assay is based on the detection of $\left[1-{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]$ hexadecyl-DHAP formation as a function of time in the presence of an excess of inhibitor $(180 \mu \mathrm{M})$. Even though we were not able to calculate Ki values, due to limitations of our enzymatic assay (poor solubility of the substrates and low sensitivity of the radioactive detection), we were able to demonstrate that the two lead compounds inhibit AGPS enzymatic activity (Figure 6.7). ${ }^{385}$ The attempts to measure the inhibitors binding through surface plasmon resonance and isothermal calorimetry failed due to insolubility of the compounds. Nonetheless, the affinity constants were estimated as 200-700 nM for both compounds through thermal shift assay following the procedures established by Matulis et al.. ${ }^{388}$ To explore the binding mechanisms between AGPS and the identified inhibitors, the crystal structures of AGPS in complex with 74 and 75 were determined by X-ray crystallography at $2.0-2.2 \AA$ resolution, enabling a detailed view of the inhibitor binding (Figure 6.8). Both inhibitors resided in the V-shaped active site, whose vertex is the putative substrate cavity, in front of the FAD.


Figure 6.7. AGPS functional role and inhibition of AGPS activity by lead inhibitors. Inhibition of Cavia porcellus AGPS activity was assessed by a radioactivity assay using $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ palmitoyl-DHAP, $96 \mu \mathrm{M}$ [1$\left.{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]$ hexadecanol, and $180 \mu \mathrm{M}$ inhibitor and detecting the formation of $\left[1-{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]$ hexadecyl-DHAP as a function of time. The controls were performed using AGPS alone and the catalytically inactive AGPS mutant T578F. Measurements were performed at least in triplicate. ${ }^{385}$

Most importantly, both inhibitors establish specific interactions with the protein residues, but their binding mode and location in the active site are significantly different (Figure $6.8 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}$ ). The two molecules, binding two, only partly overlapping, druggable sites within the protein, were therefore considered as distinct lead compounds. The crystal structure of 75 (ZINC69435460) shows that the dihydrobenzimidazole moiety occupies the hydrophilic DHAP site, making $\pi$-stacking interactions with the FAD isoalloxazine ring, thus preventing the access of the substrate to the active site (Figure 6.8 B). In this region, several H-bonds, including those with His616 and His617, belonging to the HHH loop, contribute to the binding. The amidic substituent points with the fluorophenyl ring toward the hydrophobic tunnel that hosts the acyl chain of the substrate. Here binding is mediated mainly by hydrophobic interactions. Conversely, compound 74 (antimycin A) locates outside of the active site and do not establish direct contact with the flavin (Figure 6.8 C ). Basically, whereas 75 binds deeper into the active site, 74 acts as a plug that obstructs access of the substrate. In both cases, the inhibitor binding does not cause dramatic changes in the protein conformation, but only small changes. Even though, the investigation on 74 was interesting to better understand its mode of binding and to identify druggable sites in the protein, it was not considered an attractive lead for further optimisation, considering the high synthetic challenges and its known activity as inhibitor of cytochrome C reductase. ${ }^{389}$ Along this line, we focused our efforts on the synthesis and evaluation of several structurally related analogues of 75.
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Figure 6.8. Structural studies on Cavia porcellus AGPS in complex with the inhibitors 74 and 75. (A) Overall view of the dimeric enzyme bound to $\mathbf{7 5}$ (in blue). The inhibitor is extended along the hydrophobic substrate tunnel, delimited by the "gating helix" (residues 126-137). AGPS is known be associated with the lumenal side of the peroxisomal membrane as outlined in the figure. FAD is shown in yellow. (B) Weighted 2Fo - Fc final electron density map contoured at the $1.2 \sigma$ level for 75 in the same orientation of the left subunit shown in part A. Binding involves specific H bonds (black dashed lines) with the catalytic residues Asp303, Ser527, His616, and His617. The position and the distance of the inhibitor suggest also $\pi$ interactions with the cofactor isoalloxazine ring. A few side chains change their conformation with respect to the unbound enzyme (light orange). (C) Final weighted 2Fo- Fc map (1.2 $\sigma$ contour level) for the antimycin A-enzyme complex. Commercial 74 is a mixture of four compounds, differing in the length of the alkyl chain. From the crystal structure in complex with AGPS, it is evident that antimycin A1 is binding to the enzyme as its bulky six-carbon side chain nicely fits the electron density. The electron density is fully consistent with antimycin A1 (6-carbon alkyl moiety) being bound to the protein. Inhibitor binding causes the rearrangement of several residues around the active site (in orange superposition with native AGPS residues). ${ }^{385}$

Next, an exploration of a small series of $\mathbf{7 5}$ analogues, yielded the identification of compound 76 (Figure 6.6). Compound 76, lacking the methyl group at $\beta$-carbon of amide moiety, showed higher binding affinity and the same effect on AGPS enzymatic activity compared to 75.


Figure 6.9. Lipidomic profiling data from C8161 melanoma (A), 231MFP breast (B), and SKOV3 ovarian $(\mathbf{C})$ cancer cells treated with vehicle (DMSO) or $76(500 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for 24 h . Shown in heat maps are relative levels compared to control for each lipid measured by targeted SRM-based LC-MS/MS lipidomics. Darker and lighter blue compared to control indicates elevated or reduced levels, respectively, upon 76 treatment. Bar graphs show lipid species that were significantly ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) changed $>2$-fold upon 76 treatment compared to vehicle-treated controls. Data in bar graphs are shown as average $\pm$ SEM. ${ }^{385}$

Considering also that compound 76 was lacking one of the two chiral centers of $\mathbf{7 5}$, it was chosen as "hit" for further biological evaluation. ${ }^{385}$ Lipidomic analysis on C8161 melanoma, 231MFP breast, and SKOV3 ovarian cancer cells treated with $76(500 \mu \mathrm{M})$, showed that 76 primarily lowers the levels of ether lipids across all three cancer cell lines, largely without affecting the levels of lipids from other classes (Figure 6.9). Interestingly, the levels of the oncogenic signalling lipid LPAe were also lowered upon 76 treatment (Figure 6.9). Unfortunately, no effects were observed after treatment of cancer cells with a lower concentration of $76(50 \mu \mathrm{M})$. We believe that this could be due to cell/peroxisome permeability issues. We also investigated about the effects of 76 on cell survival and migration (Figure 6.10). In C8161 melanoma, 231MFP breast, and SKOV3 ovarian cancer cell, treatment with 76 (500 $\mu \mathrm{M})$ impairs serum-free cell survival and migration rate down to $50 \%$ (Figure $6.10 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{F}$ ). ${ }^{385}$ These results recapitulate what previously observed after AGPS genetic knockdown in cancer cells. ${ }^{380}$ The results obtained in this first study are promising. The development of in vivo efficacious AGPS inhibitors will be crucial for the future application of AGPS inhibitors for cancer therapy. They will also be valuable tools for further investigations on the biology of ether phospholipids.


Figure 6.10. AGPS inhibitor 76 impairs cancer cell pathogenicity. Shown are effects of $\mathbf{7 6}$ upon cell survival and migration in C8161 melanoma (A, B), 231MFP breast (C, D), and SKOV3 ovarian (E, F) cancer cells. Data shown as average $\pm$ SEM, $n=3-4 /$ group. Significance is represented as $* p<0.05$ in relation to control groups. ${ }^{385}$

## 7 Development of Alkyl Glycerone Phosphate Synthase Inhibitors: StructureActivity Relationship and Effects on Ether Lipids and Epithelial-Mesenchymal

 Transition in Cancer Cells
### 7.1 Research project

Prompted by these results we decided to pursue an in-depth SAR investigation on compound 76 scaffold, aimed to pinpoint the essential pharmacophore group for the inhibitory activity, and to increase the potency. Thus, we divided our scaffold in different portions, and started to manipulate them one by one, keeping fix the others. We first focused our attention on the phenylpropionamide moiety of our lead compound. More in detail, we performed a series of chemical manipulations including:

- introduction of more bulky groups on the $\beta$ carbon ( $\mathbf{7 7} \mathbf{j}-\mathbf{k}$, Figure 7.1),
- $\quad$ shift of the methyl group from $\beta$ to $\alpha$ carbon (771, Figure 7.1),
- shift of the fluorine substituent to meta or para position of the phenyl ring (77b-c, Figure 7.1),
- exploration of different substitutions at the ortho position of the phenyl ring, including the removal of the fluorine (77a, Figure 7.1) or its replacement with other halogens (77d-e, Figure 7.1), electron withdrawing groups or electron donating groups (77f-g, figure 7.1),
- doubling of the fluorine substitution on the phenyl ring (77h-i, Figure 7.1).


Figure 7.1. Development of novel analogues (77a-1) of AGPS inhibitor 76.

The first SAR data showed that the 2,6-difluoro substitution of compound 77i was the most favorable to improve the target binding and inhibitory activity. Thus, we also investigated about the effect of different modifications on compound 77i, including:

- shortening of the length of the chain connecting the two aromatic moieties (77m-n, Figure 7.2),
- replacement of the benzoimidazolone with a benzoimidazole (770, Figure 7.2),
- substitution of the two ureidic nitrogens of the benzoimidazolone with methyl groups (77p, Figure 7.2)
- homologation of the benzoimidazolone ring and its replacement with a 3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2-one (77q, Figure 7.2).


Figure 7.2. Further structural investigation on AGPS inhibitor 77i: derivatives 77m-q.

### 7.2 Results and discussion

### 7.2.1 Chemistry

The synthesis of derivatives $77 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{k}, 77 \mathbf{n} \mathbf{- q}$ started from Wittig-Horner condensation between differently substituted ketones and trimethylphosphonoacetate, by using $60 \%$ sodium hydride in tetrahydrofuran at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford unsaturated esters 78a-k (Scheme 28A). Differently, the Wittig-Horner reaction between 2-fluorobenzaldehyde and triethyl 2-phosphonopropionate in presence of anhydrous potassium carbonate in ethanol at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ provided the unsaturated ester 781 (Scheme 28B). The esters 78a-l underwent palladium catalyzed hydrogenation, by using a ThalesNano H-Cube Reactor, to afford the esters 79a-l, which were converted to the corresponding acids 80a-l through a basic hydrolysis by using 2 N potassium hydroxide in ethanol (Scheme 28A-B). The acid $\mathbf{8 0 m}$ was prepared as previously reported in the group (Scheme 28C). ${ }^{390}$ The synthesis of the amines $\mathbf{8 6 - 8 8}$ started reacting the 3,4-diaminobenzonitrile or 4-nitrobenzene-1,2-diamine in presence of $N, N$, carbonyldiimidazole to give the benzoimidazolones $\mathbf{8 1}$ and $\mathbf{8 2}$ (Scheme 29). A double N -alkylation of $\mathbf{8 1}$ in presence of sodium hydride and iodomethane gave compound 83 (Scheme 29). Compound 85 was synthesized starting from 4-chloro-3-nitrobenzonitrile that was first involved in a $S_{N}$ in presence of ethyl glycinate hydrochloride to give the intermediate 84 (Scheme 29). A one-pot reaction on the nitro-derivative 84 , including reduction of the nitro-group and cyclization, yielded compound 85 (Scheme 29).
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Scheme 28. Reagents and conditions: a) trimethylphosphonoacetate, $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}$, dry THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r. t., $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, overnight (41-79 \%) ; b) triethyl-2-fosfonopropionato, dry $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dry $\mathrm{EtOH}, 8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; c) $\mathrm{MeOH}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H} 2}=10 \mathrm{bar}, \mathrm{v}=1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(79-83 \%)$; d) 2 N KOH , EtOH, r. t., overnight (94-97 \%) e) I. $2.5 \mathrm{M} n$ - BuLi in hexane, dry THF, $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t., 1 h ; II. Methyliodide, $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t., $15 \mathrm{~min}(77$ \%).


Scheme 29. Reagents and conditions: a) $N, N$ 'carbonyldiimidazole, dry THF, $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}(90-92 \%)$; b) I. $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}$, dry THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$; II. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}$, dry THF r. t., $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, overnight ( $97 \%$ ); c) ethyl glycinate hydrochloride, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dry DMF, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, sealed tube (94 \%); d) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2} \times 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 37 \% \mathrm{HCl}$, r. t (61 \%).

The amines $\mathbf{8 6}, \mathbf{8 7}, \mathbf{8 9}$, and $\mathbf{9 0}$ were obtained from the corresponding nitriles through $10 \%$ palladium on carbon catalyzed hydrogenation in acetic acid with a ThalesNano H-Cube Reactor (Scheme 30A, B, D, E). The amine $\mathbf{8 8}$ was obtained by reduction of the nitro derivative $\mathbf{8 3}$ in presence of $\operatorname{tin}(\mathrm{II})$ chloride and concentrated hydrochloric acid in ethanol (Scheme 30C). In the last step, the acids $\mathbf{8 0 a} \mathbf{- m}$ were coupled with the opportune amines in presence of $N, N$ carbonyldiimidazole and triethylamine in anhydrous $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide to obtain final amides 77a-q (Schemes 31, 32).
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Scheme 30. Reagents and conditions: a) glacial $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C} 10 \%, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H} 2}=50 \mathrm{bar}, \mathrm{v}=1.5 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (77-91 \%); b) $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2} \times 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 37 \% \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, r. $\mathrm{t}(44 \%)$.
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Scheme 31. Reagents and conditions a) $N, N$-carbonyldiimidazole, dry DMF, r. t. or $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight (73$85 \%$ ).
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Scheme 32. Reagents and conditions a) $N, N$-carbonyldiimidazole, dry DMF r. t. or $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight (73$85 \%$ ).

### 7.2.2 ThermoFAD based screening of compounds 77a-q

(Group of Prof. Andrea Mattevi, Dr. Valentina Piano, Department of Biology and Biotechnology, University of Pavia)

As previously showed, ThermoFAD was a reliable assay to screen molecules binding to AGPS, therefore, we took advantage of this medium-throughput screening to test the novel analogues (77a-q) of compound 76. ${ }^{385}$ From the effects of the tested compounds on AGPS thermal stability (Table 8 ), it is evident that increasing the steric hindrance at the $\beta$ carbon of the phenylpropionamide moiety with an ethyl (77j) or with a phenyl substituent (77k), caused respectively a decrease or a complete loss in AGPS binding. Looking at the effect of the substitution of the phenyl ring of phenylpropionamide moiety, we observed that the elimination of the fluorine at $\mathrm{C}(2)$ position resulted in a reduction of the target binding ( $\Delta \mathrm{Tm} 1.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 77 \mathbf{a}$ ), whereas its replacement with other halogens, such as chlorine (77d), bromine (77e) or with a methyl group (77f) was well tolerated, showing thermal shift values similar to the reference $\mathbf{7 6}$. Nevertheless, when the fluorine atom was replaced with an electron releasing group, such as a methoxy group ( $\mathbf{7 7} \mathbf{g}$ ), the binding was abrogated (Table 8). We also investigated on the fluorine-substituted isomers of compound 76. Thus, the fluorine was repositioned to the meta or para position of the phenyl ring. Although the meta substitution yielded a slight decrease in binding affinity ( $\Delta \mathrm{Tm} 3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathbf{7 7 b}$ ), the para substituted isomer displayed an increase of AGPS Tm up to $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathbf{7 7 c})$. Prompted by these results, we synthesized also 2,4- and 2,6-difluoro analogues. Among them the 2,6-difluoro derivative (77i) induced an increase of AGPS Tm up to $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The additional attempts to shorten the distance between the phenyl (77m) or benzoimidazolone (77n) moieties and the amide group resulted in poor or none binding, thus showing that the length of the molecule and, in particular, the distance between the two
aromatic rings is essential for the binding. Furthermore, the double methylation of the urea moiety of the benzoimidazolone, such as its replacement with a benzoimidazole or with a superior homologue ring were not tolerated (77o-q, Table 8).

Table 8. Effect of the novel Inhibitors (77a-q) on AGPS Thermal Stability. The shift in Tm ( $\Delta \mathrm{Tm}$ ) is considered in comparison with the unbound enzyme. Measurements were performed at least in triplicate; standard deviations are included in $\pm 10 \%$. $* \Delta \mathrm{Tm}$ : increase in melting temperature $\left(+,{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
770

### 7.2.3 Radioactivity assay of compounds 76, 77a-f, 77h-p

(Group of Prof. Andrea Mattevi, Dr. Valentina Piano, Department of Biology and Biotechnology, University of Pavia)


Figure 7.3. Inhibition of Cavia porcellus AGPS enzymatic activity was detected by a radioactivity assay using $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ palmitoyl-DHAP, $96 \mu \mathrm{M}\left[1-{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]$ hexadecanol, and $180 \mu \mathrm{M}$ inhibitor and detecting the formation of $\left[1-{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]$ hexadecyl-DHAP as a function of time. Controls were performed using AGPS alone and the catalytically inactive AGPS mutant T578F. ${ }^{377}$ Measurements were performed at least in triplicate and standard deviations are included in $\pm 10 \%$.

To assess whether the compounds 77 had an effect on AGPS catalytic activity, we performed a radioactivity-based enzymatic assay. Palmitoyl-DHAP and $\left[1-{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]$-hexadecanol were used as substrates and the formation of [ $\left.1-{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]$-hexadecyl-DHAP was measured as a function of time in presence of the compounds 76, 77a-f, 77h-p. Pleasingly, the inhibitory effect (Figure 7.3) was observed only with the compounds showing binding in ThermoFAD assay (77d-f, 77h-I, 77n, 77o). Indeed, compounds 77 o and 77 p , showing no binding, did not show AGPS inhibition (Figure 7.3). Interestingly, the 2,6-difluoro substituted compound (77i), which gave the highest AGPS thermal shift, showed also the highest inhibition potency, even when compared to the lead compound 76 (Figure 7.3).

### 7.2.4 Three-dimensional structure of AGPS in complex with 77i

(Group of Prof. Andrea Mattevi, Dr. Valentina Piano, Department of Biology and Biotechnology, University of Pavia)

To determine whether $\mathbf{7 7 i}$ retained the same binding mode of the parent inhibitor $\mathbf{7 6}$, the crystal structure of AGPS in complex with 77i was determined by X-ray crystallography at high resolution (2.0-2.2 Å).


Figure 7.4. Crystal structure of Cavia porcellus AGPS in complex with inhibitor 77i. (A) Weighted 2Fo - Fc final electron density map contoured at the $1.2 \sigma$ level for $77 \mathbf{i}$. The binding is mediated by specific H bonds (black dashed lines) with the active site residues Asp303, Ser527, His616, and His617, which force the benzoimidazolone moiety in front of the FAD cofactor, suggesting a $\pi$ interactions with the cofactor isoalloxazine ring. (B) Superposition of the crystal structures of unbound AGPS (black), AGPS (grey) in complex with 77i (green) and AGPS (coral) in complex with 76 (light blue). The binding of both 77i and 76 results in the same conformational changes in the side chains of the catalytic residues Tyr580, Tyr578, Arg566 and $\operatorname{Arg} 419$, compared to the unbound enzyme. The superposition of $\mathbf{7 7 i}$ and $\mathbf{7 6}$ shows that the binding site of the two inhibitors is perfectly conserved.

Although the quality of the collected data is not optimal, due to the low solubility of the inhibitor that damages the crystals (both in soaking and co-crystallization procedures), it is clear that 77i binds in AGPS active site as expected (Figure 7.4 A). Indeed, the binding mode of the parent compound 76 is perfectly conserved, including the pattern of rearrangements in the side chains of the active site residues, compared to the unbound enzyme (Figure 7.4 B ). The benzoimidazolone moiety is placed in the hydrophilic vertex of the V-shaped substrate cavity, where it establishes multiple H bonds with catalytic residues. The presence of the second fluorine on the phenyl ring most likely enhances the hydrophobic interactions of the $\mathbf{7 7 i}$ side chain with the aliphatic residues surrounding the tunnel hosting the acyl-chain of the substrate.
7.2.5 Effect of AGPS inhibitor 77i on ether lipids levels and on migration rate in 231MFP breast cancer cells
(Group of Prof Daniel K. Nomura, Department of Nutritional Sciences and Toxicology, University of California, Berkeley)
Next, we started to investigate whether compound 77i was more effective than the lead compound 76 also in a cellular context. Therefore, compound 77i was tested in the 231MFP breast cancer cell line, to study its effects on lipid metabolism, and cell migration


Figure 7.5. (A) AGPS inhibitor 77i lowers ether lipid levels in 231MFP breast cancer cells. Shown are lypidomic profiling data from 231MFP breast cancer cells treated with vehicle (DMSO) or 77i ( $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) for 24 h . Data in bar graphs are shown as average $\pm$ SEM. Lipid abbreviations are included in the Abbreviations list. Lower case "e" and "p" letters indicate ether and plasmalogen phospholipids, respectively; (B) AGPS inhibitor 77i effect upon cell migration rate in 231MFP breast cancer cells. Vehicle (DMSO) or $77 \mathbf{i}$ or 76 (used as reference positive control) were preincubated with cancer cells before seeding cells into serum-free survival or migration assays. Data shown as average $\pm$ SEM, n $=3-4 /$ group. Significance is represented as $* p<0.05$ and as $p<0.01$ related to control groups

Compound 77i decreased the level of ether phospholipids synthesis, ranging from $50 \%$ (PCe, LPE and LPAe) to $75 \%$ (PLe) (Figure 7.5 A ). Moreover, $77 \mathbf{i}$ was able to reduce of $80 \%$ the migration of 231 MFP breast cancer cells, while compound 76 showed only a $50 \%$ decrease (Figure 7.5 B ). These data further confirmed $77 \mathbf{i}$ to be more efficient even in a cellular context. However, in this assay we were not able to observe such effects treating cells with lower concentration than $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (data not shown).

### 7.2.6 Compound 77i, but not its analogue 77p, increases E-cadherin and reduces Snail and Mmp2 expression in PC3 and MDA-MB231 cancer cells

(Group of Prof. Marco Tripodi, Dr. Raffaele Strippoli, Dr. Cecilia Battistelli, Department of cellular Biotechnology and Hematology, "Sapienza" University of Rome)

In the tumor development and progression, invasion represents a crucial step associated with an altered gene and cellular lipids expression. The development of an invasive phenotype has been
associated with cancer aggravation. ${ }^{391}$ In particular, invasiveness is typically associated with the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) process accompanied by a downregulation of adhesion molecules expression (E-cadherin), as well as an increase of factors as metalloproteinases (MMP-2/9), involved in the degradation of basement membrane components. ${ }^{392-395}$ In 2014, Zhu et al. published a study where they investigated on the role of AGPS in regulating the invasion potential in glioma (U-87) and hepatic carcinoma cells (HepG2), ${ }^{383}$ thus disclosing the existing link between AGPS and invasiveness. The authors found that AGPS-knock-down improved the expression of E-cadherin, regulated MMP2/9 gene, and reduced activity of the MAPK signaling pathway and transcription activity of Twist, AP-1, and Snail. ${ }^{383}$


Figure 7.6. Effects of $\mathbf{7 7 i}(50,100,250,500 \mu \mathrm{M})$ on PC3 and MDA-MB231 cancer cells after 24 hours treatment. Vehicle (DMSO) treated cells have been used as control. (A) E-cadherin transcript quantification via RT-PCR in PC3 and MDA-MB231 cancer cells; (B) a typical western blot showing the effect of $\mathbf{7 7 i}(50,100,250 \mu \mathrm{M})$ on E-cadherin protein levels in PC3 and MDA-MB231 cancer cells; (C) Snail transcript quantification via RT-PCR in PC3 and MDA-MB231 cancer cells. Each data is the mean of at least three independent experiments. Error bars are shown.

Based on these scientific evidences, we decided to better investigate about the mechanism of compound 77i, and check if AGPS pharmacological inhibition could reproduce the effects observed by Zhu et al. with genetic knock-down. In collaboration with the group of Prof. Tripodi, having a long experience and a good know-how in EMT investigation, we looked at the effects of compound 77i in human prostate cancer (PC3) and breast adenocarcinoma (MDAMB231) cell lines. First, we measured the levels of E-cadherin (protein and mRNA), Snail (mRNA) and MMP2 (mRNA) after 24 hours treatment with 77i (Figure 91, 92). Considering what previously observed for 231MFP breast cancer cells, we decided to use 77i in a dose range, being $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ the highest dose. Vehicle (DMSO) treated cells have been used as control. The preliminary results showed that $\mathbf{7 7 i}$ is able to increase E-cadherin expression and protein levels in PC3 and MDA-MB231 cancer cells when compared to the vehicle treated control (Figure $7.6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ ). In MDA-MB231 after treatment with $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of 77 i we observed a reduction of E-cadherin levels, we could speculate that it could be due to cytotoxic effects related to the high dosage (see cell proliferation experiments). Accordingly, 77i reduced Snail expression when compared to the vehicle treated control, with the sole exception of the $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ treated PC3 cells, where an increase in Snail levels was observed (Figure 7.6 C). Similarly, a reduction in MMP2 expression was observed in both treated cell lines (Figure 7.7). The fact that we are not able to see a dose-dependent effect, once again, could be related to cytotoxic effects of high doses treatment.


Figure 7.7. Effects of $\mathbf{7 7 i}(50,100,250,500 \mu \mathrm{M})$ on PC3 and MDA-MB231 cancer cells after 24 hours treatment. Vehicle (DMSO) treated cells have been used as control. Mmp2 transcript quantification via RT-PCR in PC3 and MDA-MB231 cancer cells. Each data is the mean of at least two independent experiments. Error bars are shown.

In order to better validate these results, we decided to evaluate the effects of $\mathbf{7 7 i}$ in comparison with our previous lead 76 and with the bis-methylated inactive analogue 77p (negative control), all tested in a dose range $(50,100,250 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in PC3 and MDA-MB231 cancer cell lines (Figure 7.8). 77i was confirmed to increase E-cadherin, and reduce Snail and MMP2 expression levels in both tested cell lines (Figure 7.8).


Figure 7.8. Effects of 76, 77i, and 77p (negative control) in a dose range ( $50,100,250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) on PC3 and MDA-MB231 cancer cells after 24 hours treatment. Vehicle (DMSO) treated cells have been used as control. (A-B) E-cadherin transcript quantification via RT-PCR in PC3 and MDA-MB231 cancer cells; (C-D) Snail transcript quantification via RT-PCR in PC3 and MDA-MB231 cancer cells. (E-F) MMP2 transcript quantification via RT-PCR in PC3 and MDA-MB231 cancer cells. The results represent the average $\pm$ SEM of at least three independent experiments performed in triplicates. Significance is represented as $* \mathrm{p}<0.05$ and as $* * \mathrm{p}<0.01$ related to control groups.

Also compound 76 displayed a similar trend if compared to $\mathbf{7 7 i}$. Pleasingly, compound $\mathbf{7 7} \mathbf{p}$, previously shown not to bind AGPS, and inactive as AGPS inhibitor, had no significant effect on E-cadherin, Snail and MMP2 expression (Figure 7.8). These preliminary data are a starting point for further target validation, and furnished important information about the mechanism of action of AGPS inhibitors. We proved for the first time that AGPS pharmacological inhibition can reduce cancer cell invasiveness, directly influencing E-cadherin, MMP2 and Snail expression. The reported data suggest that there may be a different sensitivity of these two cell lines to AGPS inhibition. In order to find an explanation to this evidence, we measured the AGPS mRNA expression levels in these cell lines. As shown in Figure 7.9A, the expression level of AGPS in MDA-MB 231 cell line is more than double, if compared to PC-3 cells. Thus, justifying the different response of the two cell lines to AGPS inhibitors. In further investigation we also studied the effect of compound 77i on PC-3 and MDA-MB 231 cancer cell lines. Interestingly, compound 77i had no strong antiproliferative effects till the dose of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$, however at $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ we started to see antiproliferative activity, that could explain what observed for EMT markers expression.


Figure 7.9. (A) mRNA expression of AGPS in PC-3 and MDA-MB-231 cells. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed on total RNA. L32 levels were used for normalization. Bars represent $\pm$ SEM of seven independent experiments. . (B) Dose-response curves for antiproliferative activity of 77i, in a dose range $(50,100,250 \mu \mathrm{M})$ on PC-3 and MDA-MB-231 cancer cells after 24 h treatment. The results represent the average $\pm$ SEM of two independent experiments performed in triplicate. Significance is represented as ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}$ $<0.05$ and as $* *$ p $<0.01$ related to control groups.

### 7.3 Conclusions and future perspectives

The FAD-dependent enzyme AGPS recently became an interesting potential target against aggressive metastatic tumors, as it has been found to be up-regulated across different types of aggressive cancer cell lines, such as human breast 231 MFP, melanoma C8161, and prostate PC3 cancers. ${ }^{380}$ AGPS knockdown led to an overall reduction of ether lipid levels, as well as oncogenic signaling molecules, such as LPAe, PAFe and eicosanoids, and it showed to lower
tumor growth rate in xenograft mice. ${ }^{380}$ The newly established small-molecule screening (ThermoFAD) allowed us to identify and characterize the first AGPS inhibitor, compound 76, which recapitulates the features of AGPS knockdown. In this study, we presented the design and development of compound 76 derivatives, aimed to highlight structure-activity relationship and define the pharmacophore elements, and to increase the inhibition potency both in vitro and in cancer cells. We applied several structural manipulations on compound 76, like the modulation of the steric hindrance at the $\alpha$ or $\beta$ carbon or the insertion of different substituents on the phenyl ring of phenylpropionamide moiety, shortening the distance in turn between the phenyl or benzimidazolone moiety and the amide link, the bis methylation of urea moiety of the benzimidazolone scaffold, its replacement with a benzoimidazolone or with a superior cyclic homologue. Among all mentioned chemical manipulations, the sole 2,6-difluoro-substitution (77i) resulted in an improvement of the activity (confirmed by binding and enzymatic assay). The co-crystal structure of AGPS with 77i revealed that this compound retained the binding mode observed for 76. We speculate that the second fluorine on the phenyl ring of 77i enhances the hydrophobic interactions with the aliphatic residues surrounding the substrate tunnel. When tested in 231 MFP breast cancer cell, compound 77 i lowered from $50 \%$ ( PCe , LPE and LPAe) to $75 \%$ (PLe) ether lipid levels, and reduced up to $80 \%$ the cell migration rate. Once tested in prostate cancer PC3 and breast adenocarcinoma MDA-MB231 cell lines, compound 77i was able to increase E-cadherin expression, while reducing Snail and MMP2 transcript levels. Pleasingly, the bis-methylated analogue 77p, that displayed no target binding and inhibition activity in vitro, had no effects on the expression of these markers.

This study allowed us to accumulate further evidences about the potential role of benzoimidazolone based AGPS inhibitors and their structural requisites for binding. The collected results show that the inhibitor identified in the initial screening 76 engages so specific interactions with the catalytic residues of AGPS active site, that only minor changes in the scaffold are tolerated. Even though biochemical and crystallography data, as well as preliminary cell-based data are quite promising, an improvement of the pharmacokinetic properties, such as solubility and cell permeability, is still required. It is in our interest to better investigate about the cellular mechanism of action of this class of compounds. More in detail, we will try to better discern the relation between AGPS and invasiveness, reconstructing the molecular pathways involved. To the best of our knowledge, there are no other AGPS inhibitors reported in the literature. Compound 77 i will serve as a tool for any further investigation.

### 7.4 Experimental section

### 7.4.1 Chemistry

Melting points were determined on a Buchi 530 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 400 and 100 MHz , respectively, on a Bruker AC 400 spectrometer; chemical shifts are reported in $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ units relative to the internal reference tetramethylsilane ( $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ ). EIMS spectra were recorded with a Fisons Trio 1000 spectrometer; only molecular ions $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$and base peaks are given. All compounds were routinely checked by TLC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. TLC was performed on aluminum-backed silica gel plates (Merck DC, Alufolien Kieselgel 60 F254) with spots visualized by UV light. All solvents were reagent grade and, when necessary, were purified and dried by standard methods. Concentration of solutions after reactions and extractions involved the use of a rotary evaporator operating at reduced pressure of ca. 20 Torr. Organic solutions were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. Elemental analysis has been used to determine purity of the described compounds, that is, $>95 \%$. Analytical results are within $\pm 0.40 \%$ of the theoretical values. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Milan (Italy), or from Alfa Aesar, Karlsruhe (Germany), and were of the highest purity.

General procedure for the ester intermediates 78a-l. Example: Synthesis of ethyl 3-(2-fluorophenyl)-2-methylacrilate (781)


To a suspension of anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(8.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}, 1.11 \mathrm{~g})$ in dry EtOH ( 10 mL ) were added in sequence triethyl-2-phosphonopropionate ( $4.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}, 1.04 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and 2 -fluoro benzaldehyde ( $4.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.42 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The reaction mixture was kept stirring at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 h . After this time reaction was quenched with water ( 10 mL ), concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude has been purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with $n$-hexane: ethyl acetate $50: 1$ to get the compound $\mathbf{7 8 1}$ as a light yellow oil as a mixture of the two isomers cis:trans $=1: 2(205 \mathrm{mg}, 0.984 \mathrm{mmol}, 41 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.11\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CIS}\right), 1.38(6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times$ $(3 \mathrm{H}), \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ TRANS), $2.06\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times(3 \mathrm{H}), \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ TRANS), $2.15\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ CIS), $4.12\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CIS}\right), 4.30(4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times(2 \mathrm{H}), \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ TRANS), $6.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}$ CIS), $7.04-7.39(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons CIS e TRANS), 7.72 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(3) H$ TRANS) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{c}}: 13.4$, 14.7, $60.5,115.8,121.9,124.9,130.6,131.0,132.0,132.9,158.6,169.2 \mathrm{ppm}$. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$ calculated: 208.0900, found: 208.0896. ${ }^{396}$

## Example: Synthesis of methyl 3-(2-fluorophenyl)-3-phenylacrylate (78k)



Trimethyl phosphonacetate ( $5.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.25 \mathrm{eq}, 0.505 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise to a suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(5.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.25 \mathrm{eq}, 0.125 \mathrm{~g})$ in dry THF ( 8 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under inert atmosphere $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$. After 30 minutes, 2-fluorobenzophenone ( $4.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.42 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was let to warm slowly to room temperature. The system was kept stirring at room temperature for 19 h . After this time, the reaction was complete and it was quenched by addition of 10 mL of a 1 M solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and the product was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with $n$-hexane: ethyl acetate, $50: 1$ to give the pure 78k as a colourless oil ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.95 \mathrm{mmol}$, $78 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.56\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right) ; 6.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) H)$; 6.93-7.09 (3H, m, C(9)H, C(11)H, C(15)H); 7.15 (2H, m, C(7)H, C(13)H,); 7.22-7.30 (4H, m, $\mathrm{C}(6) H, \mathrm{C}(8) H, \mathrm{C}(12) H, \mathrm{C}(14) H) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta \mathrm{c}: 52.2,114.3$, 119.8, 123.7, 126.2 (2C), 127.3, 128.1, 128.3 (2C), 129.1, 130.8, 136.6, 152.1, 158.5, 166.9 ppm. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 256.0900, found: 256.0903. ${ }^{397}$

## Chemical and Physical Data, ${ }^{1}$ H NMR, melting points, and MS (EI) Data for Compounds 78a-j.



Methyl-3-phenylbut-2-enoate (78a). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ : $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.41\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 3.73\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 6.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.48(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons) ppm. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 176.0837; found: 176.0842. ${ }^{398}$


Methyl-3-(3-fluorophenyl)but-2-enoate (78b). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.49\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 3.69\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right)$, $6.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 6.97-7.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. (TRANS only). m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] calculated: 194.0743; found: 194.0740 .


Methyl-3-(4-fluorophenyl)but-2-enoate (78c). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.58\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 3.78\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right)$, $6.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 7.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H})$,
7.46-7.50 (2H, m, C(7)H, C(9)H) ppm. (TRANS only). m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 194.0743; found: 194.0739. ${ }^{398}$

Methyl-3-(2-chlorophenyl)but-2-enoate (78d). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$
 $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.19\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right.$ TRANS), 2.52 (3H (2), d, $\left.J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CIS}\right), 3.57\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right.$ TRANS ), $3.78(3 \mathrm{H}(2), \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{COOCH}_{3} \mathrm{CIS}$ ), $5.86(1 \mathrm{H}(0.6), \mathrm{d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}$ CIS) $6.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}$ TRANS $), 7.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd} J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic proton $), 7.18-7.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic proton), 7.24-7.31 $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons CIS+TRANS), 7.39-7.43 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons CIS+TRANS) ppm. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] calculated: 210.0448; found: 210.0450. ${ }^{399}$


Methyl-3-(2-bromophenyl)but-2-enoate (78e). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right.$ TRANS), $2.50(3 \mathrm{H}(2), \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CIS}\right), 3.57\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right.$ TRANS), $3.78(3 \mathrm{H}(2), \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ CIS $), 5.84(1 \mathrm{H}(0.7), \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H} \mathrm{CIS}), 6.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}$ TRANS), $7.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic proton), $7.16-7.21(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons CIS+TRANS $), 7.30-7.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons CIS+TRANS $), 7.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic protons) ppm. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 253.9942; found: 253.9945.


Methyl-3-(o-tolyl)but-2-enoate (78f). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta\right.$, ppm): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.38\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 3.68(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 5.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 6.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H})$, 7.08-7.17 (3H, m, C(8)h, $\mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. (TRANS only). m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 190.0994; found: 190.0992. ${ }^{398}$

Methyl-3-(2-methoxyphenyl)but-2-enoate (78g). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$
 $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right.$ TRANS), 2.52 (3H(2), d, $\left.J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CIS}\right), 3.56\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right.$ TRANS $), 3.68(3 \mathrm{H}(2.4), \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{COOCH}_{3} \mathrm{CIS}\right), 3.83\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ TRANS), $3.86\left(3 \mathrm{H}(2.5), \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3} \mathrm{CIS}\right)$, 5.93 ( $1 \mathrm{H}(0.7), \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}$ CIS), $5.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}$ TRANS), 6.92-7.06 $(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons CIS+TRANS), $7.15-7.17(1 \mathrm{H}(0.76), \mathrm{dd}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic proton CIS) 7.28-7.35 ( 2 H , m, aromatic protons CIS+TRANS) ppm. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 206.0943; found: 206.0941. ${ }^{400}$


Methyl-3-(2,4-difluorophenyl)but-2-enoate (78h). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.09\left(3 \mathrm{H}(2.5), \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right.$ TRANS), 2.44 $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CIS}\right), 3.52\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right.$ TRANS), $3.69(3 \mathrm{H}$ (2.5), s, $\left.\mathrm{COOCH}_{3} \mathrm{CIS}\right), 5.91(1 \mathrm{H}(0.8), \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H} \mathrm{CIS}), 5.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}$

TRANS ), 6.73-6.83 (4H, m, aromatic protons, CIS+TRANS), 7.01-7.06 (1H, m, aromatic proton), 7.16-7.22 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic proton) ppm. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 212.0649; found: 212.0651.


Methyl-3-(2,6-difluorophenyl)but-2-enoate (78i). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.40\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 3.69\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right)$, $5.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 6.82-6.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.20$ (1H, m, C(8)H) ppm. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 212.0649; found: 212.0647 .


Methyl-3-(2-fluorophenyl)pent-2-enoate (78j). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.08-1.11\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.47-2.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}$, $\left.J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.59\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 6.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H})$, 7.07$7.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), 7.31-7.35 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, arimatic proton) ppm. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 208.0900; found: 208.0903.

General procedure for the arylpropionic esters intermediates 79a-l. Example: Synthesis of methyl 3-(2,4-difluorophenyl)butanoate (79h)


A 0.05 M solution of methyl-3-(2,4-difluorophenyl)but-2-enoate ( $279 \mathrm{mg}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) ( $\mathbf{7 8 h}$ ) in methanol has been reduced under flow conditions ( $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) on $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C} 10 \%$ catalyst in presence of an $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ pressure of 10 bar by using $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cube}{ }^{\circledR}$ (Thales Nano) to give $\mathbf{7 9 h}$. The solvent has been removed in vacuo, to give the pure product. No further purification was required ( $222.8 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ ). m.p.: oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.54-2.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.47-3.56 (1H, m, C(2)H), $3.63\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 6.74-6.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(6) H, \mathrm{C}(8) H), 7.14-7.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) H) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 20.2$, $33.0,38.9,51.6,103.6,111.6,129.3,131.1,153.9,163.3$, $172.1 \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$ calculated: 214.0805, found: 214.0799.

Chemical and Physical Data, ${ }^{1}$ H NMR, melting points, and MS (EI) Data for Compounds 79a-g, 79i-k.


Methyl 3-phenylbutanoate (79a). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $1.30\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.47-2.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.64\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.39-3.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$,
$\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 3.68\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 7.14-7.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 7.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. m.p.: oil. $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 178.0994; found: 178.0990. ${ }^{401}$

Methyl 3-(3-fluorophenyl)butanoate (79b). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$,
 $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.39-1.40(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.74\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.36-3.41$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 3.73\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 6.97-7.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H})$, $7.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}, 7.33-7.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 196.0900; found: 196.0897.


Methyl 3-(4-fluorophenyl)butanoate (79c). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.39(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.71\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, 3.36-3.40 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 3.72\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 7.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] calculated: 196.0900; found: 196.0904. ${ }^{398}$


Methyl 3-(2-chlorophenyl)butanoate (79d). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.30\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.50-2.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.73\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.65(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 3.77-3.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.25(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons) ppm. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 212.0604; found: 212.0607. ${ }^{401}$


Methyl 3-(2-bromophenyl)butanoate (79e). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.30\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.52-2.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.66\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.24-3.33$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 3.62\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 7.18-7.23(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.32$ (1H, m, C(8)H) ppm. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 256.0099; found: 256.0095. ${ }^{401}$


Methyl 3-(o-tolyl)butanoate (79f). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ : $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.25\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.38\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.50-2.56(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.49-3.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m} \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 3.63\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 7.07-7.17(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons) ppm. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 192.1150; found: 192.1147. ${ }^{402}$


Methyl 3-(2-methoxyphenyl)butanoate (79g). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.27\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.48-2.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 15 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.72\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 15 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right)$, 3.62-
$3.67\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 3.83\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 6.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 6.91(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{p}:$ oil. MS (EI) m/z $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$ calculated: 208.1099; found: 208.1098. ${ }^{401}$


Methyl 3-(2,6-difluorophenyl)butanoate (79i). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.46-1.47\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.73\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 3.83-3.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 6.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H})$, 7.21-7.26 (1H, m, C(8)H) ppm. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 214.0805; found: 214.0808.


Methyl 3-(2-fluorophenyl)pentanoate (79j). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.83\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.67-1.80\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.62-$ $2.76\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 3.61\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 6.99-$ $7.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), $7.18-7.24$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons) ppm. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 210.1056; found: 210.1061.


Methyl 3-(2-fluorophenyl)-3-phenylpropanoate (79k). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.10\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}\right), 4.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 7.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic proton), $7.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, aromatic proton), $7.26-7.35(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons) ppm. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 258.1056; found: 258.1054.

Ethyl 3-(2-fluorophenyl)-2-methylpropanoate (791). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.18-1.22\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.77-2.83$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.97-3.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 4.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 7.00-7.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), 7.16-7.25 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons) ppm. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 210.1056; found: 210.1059. ${ }^{403}$

General procedure for the arylpropionic acids intermediates 80a-I. Example: Synthesis of 3-(2-methoxyphenyl)butanoic acid (80g)


The ester 79 g ( $1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.220 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was solubilized in in EtOH ( 2 mL ). The system was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a 2 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{KOH}(4.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.220 \mathrm{~g})$ was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and kept stirring for 1 h . After this time the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. The EtOH was removed in vacuo. The residual aqueous solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ titrated with 1 M HCl , till
$\mathrm{pH}=1$. The product was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 10 mL ) and then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give the pure compound $\mathbf{8 0 g}$ as a colorless oil $(0.183 \mathrm{~g}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6^{-}}\right.$ DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.15\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.38-2.44$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.55\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.46-3.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) H)$, $3.79\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H), 6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) H), 7.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(6) H, \mathrm{C}(8) H), 12.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{c}}: 20.2$, 32.7, 40.4, 55.6, 111.0, 121.5, 127.3, 127.9, 136.1, 158.1, 176.3 ppm . MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$ calculated: 194.0943, found: 194.0947. ${ }^{401}$

Chemical and Physical Data, ${ }^{1}$ H NMR, melting points, and MS (EI) Data for Compounds 80a-f, 80h-k.


3-Phenylbutanoic acid (80a). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $1.24\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.47-2.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 15.2 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H})$, 2.65-2.70 ( $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.20$ (1H, m, C(8)H), $7.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H})$, $12.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{p} .:$ oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 164.0837; found: $164.0840 .{ }^{401}$


3-(3-Fluorophenyl)butanoic acid (80b). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$, $\mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.21\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.47-2.53\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.13-$ $3.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 6.98-7.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.12(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 12.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 182.0743; found: 182.0746. ${ }^{404}$
 3-(4-Fluorophenyl)butanoic acid (80c). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$, $\mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.19\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.46-2.50\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.12-$ $3.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 7.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.31$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 12.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$. m.p.: $70-72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane). MS (EI) m/z [M] calculated: 182.0743; found: 182.0739. ${ }^{405}$


3-(2-Chlorophenyl)butanoic acid (80d). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$, $\mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.27\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.53-2.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 15.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.67\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.45-3.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 12.09$ (1H, bs, COOH) ppm. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] calculated: 198.0448; found: 198.0451. ${ }^{401}$


3-(2-Bromophenyl)butanoic acid (80e). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$, $\mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.19\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.49-2.52\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.09-$ $3.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 7.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.31(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$,
$\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 12.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 241.9942; found: 241.9942 . ${ }^{401}$


3-(o-Tolyl)butanoic acid (80f). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $1.16\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.31\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.47-2.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.16(2 \mathrm{H}$, m, C(7)H, C(9)H), $7.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 12.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 178.0994; found: 178.0998. ${ }^{401}$


3-(2,4-Difluorophenyl)butanoic acid (80h). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, 400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.20\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.55-2.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $\left.1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.35-3.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 7.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 12.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$. m.p.: 72-74 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane). MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 200.0649; found: 200.0651.


3-(2,6-Difluorophenyl)butanoic acid (80i). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, 400 MHz , $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.26\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.65\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, 3.53-3.63 (1H, m, C(3)H), $7.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.33$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 12.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$. m.p.: oil. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 200.0649; found: 200.0647 .


3-(2-Fluorophenyl)pentanoic acid (80j). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$, $\mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.73\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.48-1.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H})$, 1.62$1.72\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 2.51-2.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H})$, 2.57$2.66\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\right), 3.13-3.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 7.09-$ $7.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(11) \mathrm{H}), 12.11$ (1H, bs, COOH) ppm. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 196.0900; found: 196.0904.

3-(2-Fluorophenyl)-3-phenylpropanoic acid (80k). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO,
 $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.05\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 4.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.29(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, aromatic protons), $7.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 12.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$. m.p.: 122-124 (cyclohexane/benzene). MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 244.0900; found: 244.0903.

3-(2-Fluorophenyl)-2-methylpropanoic acid (801). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO,

$400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.04\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 2.62-2.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.89-2.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 7.24-$ $7.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}), 12.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{COOH}) \mathrm{ppm}$. m.p.: oil. $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$ calculated: 182.0743; found: 182.0741.

Synthesis of 2-(2,6-difluorophenyl)propanoic acid (80m)


2,6-Difluorophenylacetic acid ( $5.81 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was dissolved in dry THF ( 16 mL ). The solution was cooled to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 2.5 M solution of $n$-buthyl lithium in hexane $(5.81 \mathrm{mmol}$, $1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 2.32 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise. The system was stirred for 15 minutes at the same temperature. After this time, a second portion of $n$-buthyl lithium ( $6.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}, 2.79 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. After this time, the reaction solution was cooled to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and methyl iodide ( $6.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}, 0.61 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 15 minutes. The clear solution was then poured into cold water $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 2 N HCl solution $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The product was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with EtOAc : chloroform $1: 1$ to obtain the pure acid 80 m as a white solid ( $4.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.822 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ). m.p.: $50-53{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(n-$ hexane). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right): \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.51\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{HCH}_{3}\right), 4.12(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{H}), 6.79-6.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 15.9,42.1,113.3$ (2C), 119.4, 130.2, 161.9, 164.5, 178.8 ppm. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated:186.0492, found:186.0488. ${ }^{390}$

General procedure for the synthesis of the benzoimidazolones 81 and 82. Example: 2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazole-5-carbonitrile (81)


To a solution of 3,4-diaminobenzonitrile ( $7.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq., 1 g ) in dry THF ( 15 mL ), was added $N, N$ '-carbonyldiimidazole ( $11.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq} ., 1.83 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and the system was stirred at 75 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After this time the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. The reaction was quenched by addition of 15 mL of water. The product precipitated. The suspension was filtered and the solid on the filter was rinsed with a 1 M aqueous HCl solution, with distilled water, and dried in oven $\left(60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The solid was then triturated in pure petroleum ether, filtered and dried, to give the pure compound 81 as a light brown solid ( $7.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ ). m.p. 295-299 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H})$, $7.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{H}), 7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 11.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{N}(8) \mathrm{H}), 11.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{N}(6) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 108.1,112.9,114.4,118.3,130.1$, $130.9,131.0,156.3 \mathrm{ppm}$. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 159.0433, found: 159.0436. ${ }^{406,407}$


5-nitro-1,3-dihydro-2H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-one (82) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 7.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ $\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 7.93-7.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 11.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{N}(7) \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{N}(9) \mathrm{H})$ ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 108.0,111.3,117.9,130.5,131.2$, $141.2,155.6 \mathrm{ppm}$. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 179.0331 , found: 179.0334 . m.p. $300-305{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol) 408,409

## Synthesis of 1,3-dimethyl-2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazo-5-carbonitrile (83)



2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazole-5-carbonitrile ( $1.88 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.3 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was solubilized in anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ). The solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{NaH}(4.52 \mathrm{mmol}$, $2.4 \mathrm{eq}, 0.18 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes. After this time methyl iodide ( $4.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.4 \mathrm{eq}, 0.64 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added dropwise and the system was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 19 h . After this time the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis and it was quenched with water ( 8 mL ). The product was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered. The extract was then purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with $n$-hexane: ethyl acetate 1 : 5 to give compound 7 ( $229 \mathrm{mg}, 1.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ ), m.p. 204-205 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, 400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 3.36\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{N}(1) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.37\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{N}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ $\mathrm{C}(3) H), 7.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(6) H) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO $\left., 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 26.8,27.5,107.7,111.3,113.1,118.3,128.2,132.7,133.5$, 155.5 ppm . MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 187.0746, found: 187.0751. ${ }^{410}$

## Synthesis of ethyl (4-cyano-2-nitrophenyl)glycinate (84)



In a sealed tube, 4-chloro-3-nitrobenzonitrile ( $2.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq} ., 0.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was dissolved in dry DMF ( 6 mL ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(13.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq} ., 1.89 \mathrm{~g}$ ) and ethyl glycinate hydrochloride ( 8.2 mmol , 3.0 eq., 1.15 g ) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After this time the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. The reaction was quenched by addition of 10 mL of a saturated solution of NaCl . The product precipitated and it was filtered. The solid on the filter was rinsed with distilled water, and dried to give the pure compound $\mathbf{8 4}(2.56 \mathrm{mmol}$,
$0.64 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ). m.p. $95-99{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.33$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.12(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{H}), 4.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H})$, $8.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{H}), 8.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.d_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 14.1,45.0,60.9,101.3,116.9,120.8,129.4,134.2,135.6,143.0,171.2 \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 249.0750, found: 249.0748 .

## Synthesis of 3-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxaline-6-carbonitrile (85)



Ethyl (4-cyano-2-nitrophenyl)glycinate ( $1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.25 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was dissolved in EtOH (3 $\mathrm{mL})$ and the solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{SnCl}_{2}(5.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0 \mathrm{eq}, 1.13 \mathrm{~g})$ and $37 \% \mathrm{HCl}(0.83$ mL ) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes, then let warm to room temperature and left under stirring for 16 h . After this time, the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. The solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was suspended in 20 mL of ethyl acetate and an aqueous saturated solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was added dropwise till $\mathrm{pH}=8$. The product was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained solid was triturated in petroleum ether, filtered and dried to give $\mathbf{8 5}$ as pure compound ( $0.613 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.106 \mathrm{mg}$, Y $61 \%$ ). m.p. $190-192{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.91\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) H_{2}\right), 6.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(9) H), 6.94\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs},-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}-\right), 6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(6) H), 7.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) H), 10.51\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}-\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$; ppm) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 42.6,105.8,115.9,117.9,118.4,125.8,130.5,138.5,163.3 \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$ calculated: 173.0589, found: 173.0587. ${ }^{411}$

General procedure for the Synthesis of amines 86, 87, 89 and 90. Example: Synthesis of (1H-benzo[d]imidazol-5-yl)methylamine hydrochloride (89)


A 0.05 M solution of 5-cyanobenzimidazole ( $3.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}, 0.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in glacial acetic acid has been let flow ( $1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) on $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C} 10 \%$ catalyst in presence of an $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ pressure of 50 bar by using H-Cube® (Thales Nano). The acetic acid was removed under reduced pressure to give the
corresponding acetate salt of compound $\mathbf{8 9}$. The crude was suspended in dry THF and 10 eq. of HCl ( 2 M in THF) were added dropwise to such suspension. The reaction mixture was left under stirring for 2 h . After this time the suspension was filtered, the solid was rinsed with petroleum ether to give the pure compound $\mathbf{8 9}$ as a white solid ( $2.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.494 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%$ ). m.p. $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 4.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(10) H_{2}\right), 7.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) H), 7.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(3) H), 8.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(6) H)$, 8.56-8.60 (3H, m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}(8) H\right)$, $9.42\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, benzimidazole $-\mathrm{N} H-$ ) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}-$ DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}) \delta_{\mathrm{c}}: 45.9,114.2,117.7,124.8,136.8,137.1,138.0,143.8 \mathrm{ppm}$. MS (EI) m/z [M-HCl] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 147.0796, found: 147.0798. ${ }^{412}$

## Chemical and Physical Data, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and MS (EI) Data for Compounds 86, 87 and 90 .

5-(aminomethy)-1,3-dihydro-2H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-one hydrochloride (86) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR ( $d_{6}-$


DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 3.96\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.94(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 7.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 7.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H})$, $8.33\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right), 10.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{N}(7) \mathrm{H}), 10.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{N}(9) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{c}: 45.9,107.3,112.5,125.0,128.7,130.1,135.9,156.2$ ppm. m.p. > $300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol) MS (EI) m/z [M-HCl] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 163.0746, found: 163.0742. 385,413

5-(aminomethyl)-1,3-dimethyl-1,3-dihydro-2H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-one hydrochloride (87)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 3.30\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{N}(7) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{N}(9) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.76\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 7.04-7.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 7.14$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},(\mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 8.45\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, 100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}) \delta_{\mathrm{c}}: 27.3,27.6,45.8,109.8,110.9,125.7,131.9,133.4,136.1,155.8 \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{p}$. $295-300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol). MS (EI) m/z [M-HCl] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 191.1059, found: 191.1063. ${ }^{414}$

7-(aminomethy)-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-one hydrochloride (90) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO,
 $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 3.83\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 7.27-7.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, (C(6)H, C(8)H), $7.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) \mathrm{H}), 8.11\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 10.25$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NHCO}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$; ppm) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 43.1$, 45.7, 115.6, 117.9, 124.8, 126.6, 131.7, 136.2, 165.5 ppm. m.p. 200-205 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/methanol). MS (EI) m/z [M-HCl] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 177.0902, found: 177.0903.

## Synthesis of 5-amino-1,3-dihydro-2H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-one (88)



5-nitro-1,3-dihydro-2H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-one ( $0.837 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}, 0.15 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was dissolved in ethanol ( 5 mL ). To the solution was added $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2} \times 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4.187 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}, 0.94 \mathrm{~g})$ and the system was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, $37 \% \mathrm{HCl}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes, then let warm to room temperature and then heated to $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature for 3 h and then left overnight (10 h) at room temperature. The next morning the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. The reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and quenched by dropwise addition of a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ till $\mathrm{pH} 7-8$. The product was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \times$ 5 mL ) and then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The extract was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with chloroform: methanol $8: 1$, to give 5 -amino-1,3-dihydro-2H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-one ( $0.365 \mathrm{mmol}, 54.5 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{Y} 44 \%$. m.p. $253-256{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 6.21-6.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(4) \mathrm{H}), 6.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}), 6.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{H}), 10.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{N}(1) \mathrm{H})$, $10.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{N}(2) \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 95.4,106.7,108.6$, $119.9,130.2,143.1,156.4 \mathrm{ppm}$. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 149.0589, found 149.0586. ${ }^{415}$

General procedure for the amides 77a-1. Example: Synthesis of 3-(2,6-difluorophenyl)-N-((2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-5-yl)methyl)butanamide (77i)


Compound $\mathbf{8 0 i}(0.399 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}, 0.08 \mathrm{~g})$ was dissolved in dry DMF ( 3 mL ). 1.1 eq of $1,1^{\prime}-$ carbonyldiimidazole ( $0.439 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}, 0.06 \mathrm{~g}$ ) were added and the system was stirred at room temperature for 40 minutes. After this time triethylamine ( $1.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq}, 0.15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and $86(0.599 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 0.12 \mathrm{~g})$ were added and the system was left under stirring at room temperature overnight ( 16 h ). The next morning the reaction was judged to be complete by TLC analysis. The reaction was quenched by addition of 10 mL of a saturated solution of NaCl . The product was then extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, a 2 N HCl aqueous solution (2 $\times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaCl}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained white solid was triturated in diethyl ether, filtered and dried to give 77 i as pure compound $(0.103 \mathrm{~g}, 0.299 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%)$. m.p. $197-199{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/methanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.24(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.46-2.61\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) H_{2}\right), 3.63-3.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) H), 4.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(10) H_{2}\right), 6.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 6.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(6) H, \mathrm{C}(8) H), 7.02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(12) H, \mathrm{C}(16) H), 7.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H), 8.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{NHCH})$,
10.53 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCONH}-$ ), 10.55 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCONH}-) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$; ppm) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 19.4,30.0,42.5,43.9,110.9,111.5(2 \mathrm{C}), 111.7,120.0,124.9,128.4,129.5,130.2$, 134.6, 155.5, $161.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 173.2 \mathrm{ppm}$. MS (EI) m/z [M] calculated: 345.1289, found: 345.1285.

Chemical and Physical Data, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and MS (EI) Data for Compounds 77aq. N -((2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-5-yl)methyl)-3-phenylbutanamide (77a)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.01-3.04(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) H_{2}\right), 3.17-3.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) H), 4.18\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) H_{2}\right), 6.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 6.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(5) H, \mathrm{C}(9) H)$, 7.16-7.30(5H, m, C(6)H, C(7)H, C(8)H, $\mathrm{C}(12) H, \mathrm{C}(16) H), 8.27\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 10.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCONH}-), 10.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-$ NHCONH-) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 21.3,42.1,43.0,43.8,110.9$, $111.9,124.9,126.1,126.7$ (2C), 128.4, 128.5 (2C), 130.3, 134.6, 146.2, 155.6, $173.0 \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{MS}$ (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 309.1477, found 309.1481. Y=78 \%. m.p. 252-254 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol).

3-(3-fluorophenyl)-N-((2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-5-yl)methyl)butanamide (77b)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.19\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.33-2.45(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) H_{2}\right), 3.20-3.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) H), 4.17\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) H_{2}\right), 6.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(18) H), 6.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(5) H, \mathrm{C}(9) H), 6.98-7.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) H, \mathrm{C}(12) H, \mathrm{C}(19) H)$, 7.29-7.35 (1H, m, C(7)H), $8.24\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 10.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCONH}-), 10.53$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCONH}-) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 21.4,39.5,42.2,44.0$, $110.9,111.9,113.8,114.1,124.8,125.4,128.5,129.1,130.3,134.6,145.1,155.4,159.6,172.9$ ppm. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated:.327.1383, found: 327.1385. Y=81 \%. m.p. $250-252{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol).

## 3-(4-fluorophenyl)-N-((2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-5-yl)methyl)butanamide

 (77c)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.32-2.41(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) H_{2}\right), 3.18-3.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) H), 4.17\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) H_{2}\right), 6.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(18) H), 6.78(2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(5) H, \mathrm{C}(9) H), 7.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(12) H, \mathrm{C}(19) H), 7.23-$ $7.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) H, \mathrm{C}(8) H), 8.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{NHCH} 2), 10.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCONH}-), 10.54$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCONH}-) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$; ppm) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 21.4,42.0,43.1,44.0$, $110.9,111.8,115.4$ (2C), 125.0, 128.4 (2C), 128.4, 130.3, 134.5, 145.1, 155.6, 160.2, 173.2 ppm. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 327.1383, found: 327.1387. Y=79 \%. m.p. $250-252{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol).

## 3-(2-chlorophenyl)-N-((2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-5-yl)methyl)butanamide (77d)


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.32-2.43(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) H_{2}\right), 3.17-3.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) H), 4.18\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) H_{2}\right), 6.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(18) H), 6.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) H, \mathrm{C}(19) H), 7.16-7.33(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) H, \mathrm{C}(7) H, \mathrm{C}(8) H$, $\mathrm{C}(12) H), 8.26\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 10.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCONH}-), 10.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-$ NHCONH-) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 20.3,35.3,42.4,44.0,110.9$, $111.9,124.9,126.4,127.9,128.2,128.5,129.1,130.2,133.5,134.7,144.8,156.0,173.1 \mathrm{ppm}$. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 343.1088, found: 343.1085. Y= 73 \%. m.p. $251-253{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol).

## 3-(2-bromophenyl)-N-((2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-5-yl)methyl)butanamide

 (77e)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.32-2.43(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) H_{2}\right), 3.17-3.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) H), 4.18\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) H_{2}\right), 6.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}(18) H), 6.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) H, \mathrm{C}(19) H), 7.16-7.30(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) H, \mathrm{C}(7) H, \mathrm{C}(8) H$, $\mathrm{C}(12) H), 8.26\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}-\right), 10.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCONH}-), 10.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-$ NHCONH-) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 20.3,36.6,42.3,44.0,110.9$, $111.8,123.0,124.9,126.9,128.0,128.5,129.4,130.2,133.6,134.7,146.0,155.6,173.2 \mathrm{ppm}$. MS (EI) m/z $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 387.0582, found: 387.0579. $\mathrm{Y}=80 \%$. m.p. $250-252{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol).

## N-((2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-5-yl)methyl)-3-(o-tolyl)butanamide (77f)


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.14\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.31(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(5) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.34-2.39\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.40-3.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) H), 4.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(10) H_{2}\right), 6.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 6.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) H, \mathrm{C}(19) H), 7.05-7.21$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) H, \mathrm{C}(7) H, \mathrm{C}(8) H, \mathrm{C}(12) H), 8.27\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}-\right), 10.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-$ NHCONH-), 10.55 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCONH}-) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{c}}$ : 19.5, 20.3, 35.6, 42.3, 43.9, 110.9, 111.8, 125.0, 126.4, 127.4, 127.8, 128.3, 130.2, 130.4, 134.7, 136.5, 145.5, $155.6,173.2 \mathrm{ppm}$. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 323.1634 , found: 323.1637 . $\mathrm{Y}=$ $85 \%$. m.p. 237-239 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol).

## 3-(2-methoxyphenyl)-N-((2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-5-yl)methyl)butanamide

 (77g)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.11\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) H), 2.40\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) H^{\prime}\right), 3.54-3.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) H)$, $3.78\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.15-4.26\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(10) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 6.79-6.82$ (2H, m, C(9)H, C(19)H), 6.89 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(7) H), 6.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(6) H), 7.14-$ 7.19 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(8) H, \mathrm{C}(12) H), 8.27\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right.$ ), 10.53 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCONH}-$ ), 10.56 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCON} H-$ ) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{c}: 20.3,32.8,42.3$, $43.9,55.5,111.0,111.2,112.3,121.5,125.0,127.6,127.9,128.4,130.3,134.6,136.2,155.6$, 158.0, 173.2 ppm . MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 339.1583, found: 339.1588. $\mathrm{Y}=77 \%$. m.p. $213-215{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/methanol).

3-(2,4-difluorophenyl)-N-((2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-5yl)methyl)butanamide (77h)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(3) H_{3}\right), 2.42-2.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(2) H_{2}\right), 3.45-3.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) H), 4.17\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) H_{2}\right), 6.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, C(18)H), 6.77-6.79 (2H, m, C(9)H, C(19)H), $7.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) H), 7.14(1 \mathrm{H}$,
$\mathrm{td}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(12) H), 7.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(6) H), 8.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz},-$ $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}-\right), 10.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCONH}-), 10.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCONH}-) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 20.3,35.4,42.3,43.9,103.5,110.9,111.4,111.8,125.0,128.4,129.3$, 130.2, 131.1, 134.6, 153.9, 155.6, 163.3, 173.2 ppm . MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 345.1289, found: 345.1291 . $\mathrm{Y}=85 \%$. m.p. $244-246^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol).

## 3-(2-fluorophenyl)-N-((2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-5-yl)methyl)pentanamide

 (77j)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 0.71\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(21) H_{3}\right), 1.47-1.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(20) H_{2}\right), 1.60-1.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) H), 2.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) H), 2.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $\left.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) H^{\prime}\right), 4.15\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) H_{2}\right), 6.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 6.74-$ 6.78 (2H, m, C(9)H, C(19)H), 7.09-7.16 (2H, m, C(7)H, C(8)H), 7.21-7.29 (2H, m, C(6)H, $\mathrm{C}(12) H), 8.27\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 10.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCONH}), 10.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-$ NHCONH) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$; ppm) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 13.1,25.7,39.3,43.8,44.2$, $110.9,112.2,117.3,124.9,125.4,127.9,128.4,128.6,130.0,130.3,137.1,156.2,159.9,173.1$ ppm. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 341.1540, found: 341.1543. Y=73 \%. m.p. 201-203 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/methanol).

## 3-(2-fluorophenyl)-N-((2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-5-yl)methyl)-3-

phenylpropanamide (77k)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 2.91\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) H_{2}\right), 4.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.4$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) H_{2}\right), 4.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(3) H), 6.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 6.71-6.74(2 \mathrm{H}$, m, C(9), C(19)), 7.09-7.28 (8H, m, C(6) H, C(7)H, C(5')H, C(6')H, C(7')H, C(8')H, C(9')H, $\mathrm{C}(12) H), 7.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(8) H), 8.38\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}-\right), 10.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-$ NHCONH-), $10.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCONH}-) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.d_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ : $37.9,42.9,43.8,110.9,112.0,116.2,124.0,125.4,126.8,127.9$ (2C), 128.3, 128.7 (2C), 129.0, $129.4,130.3,133.0,134.8,143.5,154.9,159.9,169.9 \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 389.1540 , found: 389.1538 . $\mathrm{Y}=75 \%$. m.p. $230-232{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol).

## 3-(2-fluorophenyl)-2-methyl-N-((2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-5yl)methyl)propanamide (771)


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.03\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.59-2.67(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) H_{2}\right), 2.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) H), 4.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) H), 4.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $\left.5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) H_{2}\right), 6.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(18) H)$, $6.75-6.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(9), \mathrm{C}(19))$, 7.06-7.15 (2H, m, C(7), C(8)), 7.20-7.27 (2H, m, C(6), C(12)), $8.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{NHCH} 2)$, $10.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCONH}-), 10.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCONH}-) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta$; ppm) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 18.3,36.2,41.0,42.9,110.9,111.9,115.7,124.6,125.0,125.9,128.5,129.4,130.2$, 132.3, 134.7, 155.5, 160.0, 171.0 ppm . MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 327.1383, found: 327.1388. $\mathrm{Y}=73 \%$. m.p. $231-233{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol).

## 2-(2,6-difluorophenyl)-N-((2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-5yl)methyl)propanamide (77m)


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.42\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) H), 4.22\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(9) H_{2}\right), 6.78-6.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(11) H, \mathrm{C}(17) H, \mathrm{C}(18) H)$, $7.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz},(\mathrm{C} 5) H, \mathrm{C}(7) H), 7.30-7.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) H), 8.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz},-$ $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}-\right), 10.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCONH}-), 10.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCONH}-) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}$, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 17.5,42.9,47.0,110.9,111.7,112.4$ (2C), 115.3, 124.9, 128.4, 129.7, 130.2, 134.6, 155.5, 162.4 (2C), 175.7 ppm . MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 331.1132, found: 331.1137. $\mathrm{Y}=75 \%$. m.p. $217-219^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/methanol).

3-(2,6-difluorophenyl)-N-(2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-5-yl)butanamide (77n)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.29\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{C}(2) H), 2.64-2.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) H), 3.71-3.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) H), 6.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(17) H)$, $6.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 7.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(6) H, \mathrm{C}(8) H), 7.27-7.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$,
$\mathrm{C}(7) H), 7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(11) H), 9.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCO}-), 10.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-\mathrm{NHCONH}-), 10.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s},-$ NHCONH-) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 19.3,30.1,41.9,104.7,109.3$, 111.5 (2C), 116.4, 122.0, 125.9, 129.5, 130.8, 135.9, 155.4, 161.0 (2C), $171.6 \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{MS}$ (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calculated: 331.1132 , found: $331.1129 . \mathrm{Y}=78 \%$. m.p. $>250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol).

## N-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-5-yl)methyl)-3-(2,6-difluorophenyl)butanamide (77o)


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOD, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.36\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) H_{2}\right), 3.76-3.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) H), 4.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) H), 4.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=14.4$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}(10) H^{\prime}\right), 6.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(6) H, \mathrm{C}(8) H), 7.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(19) H)$, 7.15$7.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) H), 7.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(12) H), 7.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 8.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{C}(15) H$ ) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (MeOD, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 19.4,30.1,42.4,43.9,111.6$ (2C), $113.9,118.8,122.0,125.3,129.5,135.5,136.9,138.3,143.8,161.1$ (2C), $173.2 \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{MS}$ (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 329.1340, found: 329.1335. Y= $77 \%$. m.p. - (oil).

## 3-(2,6-difluorophenyl)-N-((1,3-dimethyl-2-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-5yl)methyl)butanamide (77p)


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.25\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(3) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.52-2.58(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(2) H_{2}\right), 3.30\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(20) H_{3}, \mathrm{C}(21) H_{3}\right), 3.62-3.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) H), 4.22-4.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(10) H_{2}\right), 6.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(18) H), 6.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(6) H, \mathrm{C}(8) H), 7.00-7.04$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(12) H, \mathrm{C}(19) H), 7.24-7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(7) H), 8.37\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 19.3,26.9,27.4,30.1,42.4,44.0,110.9,111.3,111.6$ (2C), 122.1, 125.4, 129.5, 132.8, 133.9, 135.1, 155.4, 161.1 (2C), $173.3 \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$ calculated: 373.1602 , found: $373.1607 . \mathrm{Y}=82 \%$. m.p. $220-222^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetonitrile/methanol).

3-(2,6-difluorophenyl)-N-((3-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)methyl)butanamide (77q)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(d_{6}\right.$-DMSO, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.26\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(3) H_{3}\right), 2.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 27 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) H), 2.65\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 27 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(2) H^{\prime}\right), 3.65-3.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(3) H), 4.29(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(16) H_{2}\right), 6.97-7.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}(6) H, \mathrm{C}(8) H, \mathrm{C}(19) H), 7.13\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{N} H \mathrm{C}(16) \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, 7.25-7.32 (1H, m, C(7)H), $7.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(20) H), 8.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}(12) H), 8.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\left.\left.J=6 \mathrm{~Hz},-\mathrm{CONHCH}_{2}\right), 12.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{bs}, \mathrm{NHCOCH})_{2}\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $d_{6}$-DMSO, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \delta ; \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $\delta: 19.3,29.9,42.4,42.7,44.0,111.5$ (2C), 117.2, 117.8, 122.0, 125.9, 126.6, 129.5, 131.7, 135.3, 161.1 (2C), 163.4, 173.3. ppm. MS (EI) m/z [M] ${ }^{+}$calculated: 359.1445, found: 359.1451 . $\mathrm{Y}=83 \%$. m.p. $252-255^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol).

Table S12. Elemental analysis for compounds 77a-q.

| Cmpd | MW | calculated, \% |  |  |  | found, \% |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | C | H | N | other | C | H | N | other |
| 77a | 309.37 | 69.88 | 6.19 | 13.58 |  | 70.06 | 6.28 | 13.30 |  |
| 77b | 327.36 | 66.04 | 5.54 | 12.84 | 5.80 (F) | 65.84 | 5.41 | 13.09 | 5.95 (F) |
| 77c | 327.36 | 66.04 | 5.54 | 12.84 | 5.80 (F) | 66.18 | 5.71 | 12.65 | 5.67 (F) |
| 77d | 343.81 | 62.88 | 5.28 | 12.22 | 10.31 (Cl) | 63.12 | 5.37 | 11.96 | 10.22 (Cl) |
| 77e | 388.27 | 55.68 | 4.67 | 10.82 | 20.58 (Br) | 55.77 | 4.78 | 10.59 | 20.44 (Br) |
| 77f | 323.40 | 70.57 | 6.55 | 12.99 |  | 70.70 | 6.72 | 12.74 |  |
| 779 | 339.40 | 67.24 | 6.24 | 12.38 |  | 67.52 | 6.39 | 12.11 |  |
| 77h | 345.35 | 62.60 | 4.96 | 12.17 | 11.00 (F) | 62.45 | 4.76 | 12.31 | 11.12 (F) |
| 77i | 345.35 | 62.60 | 4.96 | 12.17 | 11.00 (F) | 62.78 | 5.11 | 11.94 | 10.84 (F) |
| 77j | 341.39 | 66.85 | 5.91 | 12.31 | 5.57 (F) | 66.59 | 6.07 | 12.19 | 5.65 (F) |
| 77k | 389.43 | 70.94 | 5.18 | 10.79 | 4.88 (F) | 71.21 | 5.27 | 10.52 | 4.74 (F) |
| 771 | 327.36 | 66.04 | 5.54 | 12.84 | 5.80 (F) | 66.17 | 5.62 | 12.59 | 5.68 (F) |
| 77m | 331.32 | 61.63 | 4.56 | 12.68 | 11.47 (F) | 61.54 | 4.45 | 12.83 | 11.59 (F) |
| 77n | 331.32 | 61.63 | 4.56 | 12.68 | 11.47 (F) | 61.44 | 4.42 | 12.92 | 11.58 (F) |
| 770 | 329.35 | 65.64 | 5.20 | 12.76 | 11.54 (F) | 65.78 | 5.36 | 12.52 | 11.44 (F) |
| 77p | 373.40 | 64.33 | 5.67 | 11.25 | 10.18 (F) | 64.57 | 5.72 | 11.02 | 10.32 (F) |
| 77q | 359.38 | 63.50 | 5.33 | 11.69 | 10.57 (F) | 63.77 | 5.45 | 11.41 | 10.45 (F) |

7.4.2 Biochemical and crystallographic methods. Protein Production and Crystallization (Dr. Valentina Piano, Group of Prof. Andrea Mattevi, Department of Biology and Biotechnology, University of Pavia). Cavia porcellus AGPS expression and purification were performed according to the methods previously described. ${ }^{377}$ Crystals of AGPS in complex with $77 \mathbf{i}$ were obtaining by co-crystallization, pre-incubating AGPS ( $12 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ ) and $77 \mathbf{i}(1 \mathrm{mM})$ ond ice 5 min before setting the plates. The crystal of AGPS/77i complex were obtained by sitting-drop vapor diffusion at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ mixing the pre-incubated AGPS/77i solution and 2-6\% $\mathrm{wt} /$ vol PEG 1500 in 100 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (Hepes) at pH 7.0-7.5 and washed in cryo-protectant solution (30 wt \%/vol PEG 1500 in 100 mM Hepes, pH 7.0-7.5) without inhibitor before freezing.

ThermoFAD-based screening (Dr. Valentina Piano, Group of Prof. Andrea Mattevi, Department of Biology and Biotechnology, University of Pavia). ThermoFAD experiments were performed with $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ AGPS, $180 \mu \mathrm{M}$ inhibitors, 50 mM HEPES buffer at $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,50 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \%$ glycerol, and $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ final volume. The temperature gradient was set to $25-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with fluorescence detection every $0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $485 \pm 30 \mathrm{~nm}$ excitation and $625 \pm 30 \mathrm{~nm}$ emission for 5 s (BioRad MiniOpticon Real-Time PCR System). To estimate the binding of the identified compounds, the same ThermoFAD protocol was applied by using serial dilutions of the compounds from $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to 10 nM . ${ }^{385}$

Enzymatic assays (Dr. Valentina Piano, Group of Prof. Andrea Mattevi, Department of Biology and Biotechnology, University of Pavia). Activity assays were performed under the same conditions previously described using radioactive [1- ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ ]-hexadecanol. ${ }^{416,417}$ The final concentrations were the following: 500 nM AGPS, 50 mM Tris $/ \mathrm{HCl}$ at $\mathrm{pH} 8.2,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaF}$, $0.1 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-100,100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ palmitoyl-DHAP (Echelon Bioscience), $96 \mu \mathrm{M}\left[1-{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]-$ hexadecanol (Sigma; specific radioactivity adjusted to $13000 \mathrm{dpm} / \mathrm{nmol}$ ) in a total volume of $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ at $36^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. When appropriate, an inhibitor or the vehicle (DMSO) was added to the reaction mixture to a final concentration of $180-200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ after pre-incubation with the protein for 30 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 7.4.3 Cell based methods.

Targeted Lipidomic Analyses (Sharon Louie, Daniel K. Nomura, Department of Nutritional Sciences and Toxicology, University of California, Berkeley). Metabolite measurements were conducted using modified previous procedures. ${ }^{380}$ Cancer cells were grown in serum-free media for 24 h to minimize the contribution of serum-derived metabolites to the cellular profiles. Cells were treated with $77 \mathrm{i}(500 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for 24 h . Cancer cells $(1 \times 106$ cells $/ 6 \mathrm{~cm}$ dish or $2 \times 106$ cells/ 6 cm dish) were washed twice with phosphate buffer saline (PBS), harvested by scraping, and isolated by centrifugation at 1400 g at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and cell pellets were flash frozen and stored at -80 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until metabolome extractions. Lipid metabolites were extracted in 4 mL of a 2:1:1 mixture of
chloroform:methanol/phosphate (buffered saline, $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,50 \mathrm{mM}$ ) with inclusion of internal standards C12:0 dodecylglycerol ( 10 nmol ) and pentadecanoic acid ( 10 nmol ). Organic and aqueous layers were separated by centrifugation at 1000 g for 5 min , and the organic layer was collected. The aqueous layer was acidified (for metabolites such as LPA) by adding $0.1 \%$ formic acid, followed by the addition of 2 mL of chloroform. The mixture was vortexed, and the organic layers were combined, dried down under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and dissolved in $120 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of chloroform, of which $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ was analyzed by both single reaction monitoring (SRM)-based LC-MS/MS or untargeted LC-MS. LC separation was achieved with a Luna reverse-phase C5 column ( 50 mm $\times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ with $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diameter particles, Phenomenex). MS analysis was performed with an electrospray ionization (ESI) source on an Agilent 6430 QQQ LC-MS/MS. Representative metabolites were quantified by SRM of the transition from precursor to product ions at associated collision energies.
Cell Migration Studies (Sharon Louie, Group of Prof. Daniel K. Nomura, Department of Nutritional Sciences and Toxicology, University of California, Berkeley). Migration assays were performed as previously describedin Transwell chambers (Corning) coated with collagen. 231MFP migration was measured after 8 h of $500 \mu \mathrm{M} 76$ and 77i treatment. ${ }^{380}$

Cell Culture. (Dr. Raffaele Strippoli, Dr. Cecilia Battistelli, Group of Prof. Marco Tripodi, Department of cellular Biotechnology and Hematology, "Sapienza" University of Rome) PC3 and MDA-MB 231 cells were grown in D-MEM supplemented with $10 \%$ feral bovine serum (Gibco Life Technology Monza Italy) and antibiotics. 24 hours after seeding, cells were treated with $50 \mu \mathrm{M}, 100 \mu \mathrm{M}, 250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ or $500 \mu \mathrm{M} 76,77 \mathrm{i}$ or 77 p for 24 hours. DMSO vehicle was used as control. 24 hours after treatment cells were washed once with PBS and collected in RNA lysis buffer (Qiagen GmbH, hilden, Germany) for RNA extraction and gene expression analysis or in Laemmli buffer for protein detection by western blot.
Western blot analysis (Dr. Raffaele Strippoli, Dr. Cecilia Battistelli, Group of Prof. Marco Tripodi, Department of cellular Biotechnology and Hematology, "Sapienza" University of Rome) Cells were lysed in Laemmli buffer ( 62.5 mM Tris- HCl at $\mathrm{pH} 6.8,2 \%$ SDS, $10 \%$ glycerol, $5 \% \beta$-mercaptoetanol). Proteins from either cell lysates were resolved on SDS-PAGE and transferred to Nitrocellulose filters (GE Healthcare). Blots were probed with specific antibodies after blocking non-specific reactivity with TBS-Tween plus $5 \%$ milk powder and the immune complexes were detected with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated species-specific secondary antiserum (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) followed by enhanced chemiluminescence reaction (Cyanagen). The following primary antibody was used: E-cadherin (BD).

RNA extraction, reverse transcription, reverse transcription and real-time polymerase chain reaction. (Dott. Raffaele Strippoli, Dott. Cecilia Battistelli, Group of Prof. Marco Tripodi, Department of cellular Biotechnology and Hematology, "Sapienza" University of Rome) RNAs were extracted by RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) and reverse transcribed with iScriptTM c-DNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA). cDNAs were amplified by qPCR reaction using GoTaq qPCR Master Mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Relative amounts, obtained with $2^{(-\Delta C t)}$ method, were normalized with respect to the housekeeping gene L34 rRNA. List of primers is reported in Table A:

|  | Forward Primer | Reverse Primer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L34 | GTCCCGAACCCCTGGTAATAG | GGCCCTGCTGACATGTTTCTT |
| Mmp2 | ATGCCGCCTTTAACTGGAG | GGAAAGCCAGGATCCATTTT |
| Snail | CACTATGCCGCGCTCTTTC | GCTGGAAGGTAAACTCTGGATTAGA |
| E-Cad | TACGCCTGGGACTCCACCTA | CCAGAAACGGAGGCCTGAT |
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