Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Biomedical Signal Processing and Control

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bspc



An explainable fast deep neural network for emotion recognition

Francesco Di Luzio, Antonello Rosato, Massimo Panella

Department of Information Engineering, Electronics and Telecommunications, University of Rome "La Sapienza", Via Eudossiana 18, 00184 Rome, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Emotion recognition Explainable AI Randomized neural network Facial landmark Deep Learning Video classification

ABSTRACT

In the context of artificial intelligence, the inherent human attribute of engaging in logical reasoning to facilitate decision-making is mirrored by the concept of explainability, which pertains to the ability of a model to provide a clear and interpretable account of how it arrived at a particular outcome. This study explores explainability techniques for binary deep neural architectures in the framework of emotion classification through video analysis. We investigate the optimization of input features to binary classifiers for emotion recognition, with face landmarks detection, using an improved version of the Integrated Gradients explainability method. The main contribution of this paper consists of the employment of an innovative explainable artificial intelligence algorithm to understand the crucial facial landmarks movements typical of emotional feeling, using this information for improving the performance of deep learning-based emotion classifiers. By means of explainability, we can optimize the number and the position of the facial landmarks used as input features for facial emotion recognition, lowering the impact of noisy landmarks and thus increasing the accuracy of the developed models. To test the effectiveness of the proposed approach, we considered a set of deep binary models for emotion classification, trained initially with a complete set of facial landmarks, which are progressively reduced basing the decision on a suitable optimization procedure. The obtained results prove the robustness of the proposed explainable approach in terms of understanding the relevance of the different facial points for the different emotions, improving the classification accuracy and diminishing the computational cost.

1. Introduction

Deep Learning (DL) models have recently achieved admirable performance for classification and forecasting tasks, and nowadays they represent a crucial tool in a wide range of real-world applications such as in computer vision, document analysis, natural language processing, etc. [1-3], as well as in tasks correlated to behavioral analysis and clinical diagnosis exploiting massive technologies [4-7]. Acknowledged for their high predictive and classification accuracy, DL models are often identified with black-box methods that, given an input, offer little visibility into why specific data characteristics are selected over others for the generalization task. Moreover, there is no explanation of how the training data is correlated with the represented choice of these features, or why specific pathways in the network are selected [8]. For this reason, DL architectures are seen as limited models in terms of explainability and interpretability [9]. In addition to this, DL models are known to be resources and time-consuming methods, including in their architectures computationally expensive layers for classification and prediction purposes [10-12].

As the fields in which Artificial Intelligence (AI) is committed are numerous, researchers, companies, and common users started to rely on its decision-making mechanisms; for this reason, the interpretability of their decisions and control over the inner processes of the different applications became, during the last years, a serious concern for several high-impact tasks [13]. The interpretation is the process of generating human-understandable explanations of why the different decisions are taken from DL models. For its inner nature, deep architectures hide the complex logic behind the decision-making process, making it difficult to reach such useful interpretations. For this reason, the employment of explainability for a better understanding of the inner comprehension of DL models is the key concept for a fair, safe, and understandable use of AI, in addition to a possible enabler for its deployment into everyday activity [14].

The explainability and interpretability terms are often used in a commutable way in the literature; however, a distinction between the two concepts has been made in [15], where the interpretation refers to the mapping of abstract concepts like the output class into a domain example; explanation, on the other hand, refers on a set of domain features contributing to the decision-making process of the DL model. The key role of explainability is the possibility given to the users to understand and reason about the inner mechanism of a deep architecture,

* Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: francesco.diluzio@uniroma1.it (F. Di Luzio), antonello.rosato@uniroma1.it (A. Rosato), massimo.panella@uniroma1.it (M. Panella).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bspc.2024.107177

Received 10 June 2023; Received in revised form 7 October 2024; Accepted 2 November 2024 Available online 14 November 2024

1746-8094/© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

leading to the model output. However, despite the numerous research works in this area, the progress remains quite limited in terms of the generalization capability of the implemented approaches [16].

In this paper, we are going to extend the methodology proposed in [17]; namely, we will describe and implement an improved version of the Integrated Gradients (IGs) algorithm developed on single binary classifiers for emotion recognition with landmarks detection [18]. In detail, we propose in this paper a new and more global version of this explainability technique, which examines the training mechanism of the implemented neural network on the entire training set and not on the single input sample. To enhance the amount of information gained through using this method, we suggest some additional steps that are customized for the particular application presented in this research.

Thus, the novelty expressed in this work is twofold and is described in the following. From the explainability point of view, we exploit in-depth the inner machinery of the proposed method using IGs. The implemented approach, subsequently, allows us to discover which are the most important facial landmarks for each emotion characterizing the emotive feeling in human faces. In addition to this, from a practical point of view, we investigated the re-training and the optimization of several implemented binary deep neural networks (DNNs), using a limited number of facial landmarks as input to the model, basing the landmarks selection on the attributions of importance given to every single facial point when optimizing the single binary emotion classifier.

We could finally evaluate and test the performance of the new proposed algorithm on real data, and the results will be compared with those of state-of-the-art methods. In particular, the well-known CK+ dataset [19] is employed for the experiments.

The results of the presented method are interesting from different perspectives. First of all, the proposed explainable method is able to exploit the importance of every single input facial landmark for each emotion giving a numerical and graphical representation of the feature importance for the training of different binary classifiers. Moreover, from a computational point of view, the importance attribution of the facial landmarks is employed to optimize the number of input landmarks to the network, giving, as a result, an increase in the accuracy of the network lowering the computational burden of the training procedures.

The focus of this paper revolves around the concept of explainability and investigates the contribution that an interpretable model can have on increasing the model classification performance and computational efficiency. To do so, explainability techniques have been applied to the deep neural networks employed to classify the visual temporal data. In this work, the implemented models represent an advanced fashion of the classical signal processing techniques; however, the explainability approach presented in this work can be applied to any differentiable function and to every signal processing method such as the ones presented in [20]. This paper shows how explainable AI can be used to address potential limitations of the signal processing techniques and how it represents a powerful tool to face these limitations in various real-world applications.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, other investigations carried out on explainability in the emotion recognition field are presented. In Section 3, the overall explainable AI method implemented is presented. In Section 4, the results of the proposed methodologies on a well-known dataset are presented and they are successively discussed in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6 the concluding remarks are drawn.

2. Related work

Automatic emotion recognition has been a fascinating and extensively researched topic for over 40 years. Recent studies have shown that emotion recognition systems have the potential to be used in various applications, such as public safety, people surveillance, and human–computer interaction [21]. The objective of this state-of-theart review section is to describe the innovative use of explainability methods in recent research studies to enhance the performance and interpretability of DNNs for emotion recognition. This literature review will examine the most recent and innovative research studies in the field of automatic emotion recognition that have employed explainability methods. In detail, this paper will be centered on explainability with landmarks detection, but given the innovative aspect of this dissertation, the description will be enlarged including methods working with different streams of input data, from text analysis to visual samples.

Recently, different explainability approaches have been investigated for emotion recognition tasks. In [22], an explainable DL model is proposed for multi-class text emotion recognition. The authors developed a novel explainability technique for the training of an innovative prediction procedure. The proposed architecture is composed of different modules, the classification is done through the employment of convolutional layers and bidirectional Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) layers. Explainability is used to describe the training and predictions of the proposed system analyzing the inter and intra-cluster distances, where the clusters are the projection of the emotion embeddings on a hyperplane. The results achieved with this method are promising and ensure the applicability of the model to real-world applications and diverse texts. This text emotion recognition system for emotional classification can in fact classify sentiment classes with high precision and can be used for various textual data types.

In spite of this, the proposed approach is applicable only to text data and it is only able to distinguish between positive, neutral and negative emotions, without the possibility to discern the single sentiments. Another interesting work in terms of explainable AI for emotion recognition is presented in [23]. In this paper, a multimodal speechemotion recognition system has been developed with an explainability framework to describe the prediction process of the network. The neural architecture is based on Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) and pre-trained with a bidirectional encoder; for the prediction of the final emotion class, the layers are successively concatenated. The training of the layers and the prediction of the network have been explained through emotion embedding plots and analyzing the intersection matrices for various emotion classes' embeddings. In this case, the presented framework is interesting and reaches a high accuracy of the classification. On the other hand, the multimodal aspect of the method could be expanded with the incorporation of more modalities such as videos and images, which is what is properly studied in the present work.

More related to images, and facial interpretation, is the paper proposed in [24], where a Convolutional Neural Network CNN-based model is developed for driver emotion recognition, using the output of the network to expect his behavior during the drive. The model has been evaluated on four different datasets and some experiments have been conducted on a real driving environment with good results. Two different interpretability techniques have been used to understand the model behavior: the saliency map, which is one of the most known methods, which is used to clarify the importance of some areas in an input image; and Grad-CAM, another map that specifies the neuron's important values for each specified decision of interest. An interesting improvement of this work could be the analysis of the driver's distraction in real-time, which could lead to an extension of the applicability domains of the approach.

Another work using images of faces as input is presented in [25]. In this investigation, a hybrid explainable artificial intelligence framework composed of a functional and an explainable block has been implemented for facial expression classification. Also in this case, the framework is based on a 6-layer convolutional neural network. This model has been backed by a layer comprising a facial action unit extraction module whose outputs are used for the interpretation of the obtained output. This module is based on an autoencoder that uses the pre-trained Resnet-50 as an encoder to extract the action units from the input image. A multilayer perceptron is added at the output of the extractor to reinforce the functional pipeline in terms of classification accuracy. This work is well-presented and reaches good accuracy, it would be even more powerful if used with an improved system of the facial action unit extraction module implemented with state-of-the-art neural methods.

Also in [26] an explainable DL algorithm for emotion recognition from human faces is built. In this case, the classification is performed between three basic emotions: happiness, neutrality, and sadness. The proposed method, which takes input images, is able to show on the facial images the areas that are symptomatic of a certain emotion. 1500 samples have been used to train the proposed promising explainable emotion recognition method. This is an inspiring work, presenting really interesting results and having some similarities with our proposed solution; however, the possibility of discerning between only three classes is quite limiting for several different practical applications.

Given this literature review, the aim of the proposed paper is to learn from the proposed approaches incorporating the interesting aspects presented above and expand the domains and the applicability of explainability methods. The goal of the proposed approach is in fact to present a new global explainable framework outstanding the state-of-the-art for generalization capability and for completeness of applicability to the entire set of the six typical emotions.

3. Material and methods

Given the importance of this topic, highlighted also by the preceding literature review, in the following we present the investigation of explainable binary DNNs for emotion recognition. The starting point of this paper is presented by the investigation presented in [17], in which deep binary classifiers are implemented to distinguish a single emotion from the others. In this paper, we present the problem of attributing the classification of these deep binary classifiers to their input features, which in this case are 468 facial landmarks coordinates. Moreover, we use these attributions vectors to optimize the number and the selection of the input features leading to better performance of the implemented models and for a complete understanding of the facial point importance in the classification of the single emotions.

Let $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, 1]$ represent a binary classifier and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ a general input; an attribution of the prediction of input \mathbf{x} , relative to a *baseline* input \mathbf{x}' , is a vector $\mathbf{a} = A_F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ where $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a_i is the contribution of the *i*th feature of input \mathbf{x} to its prediction. Establishing a baseline facilitates the interpretation of the methodology, such that the attributions are independent of the baseline, and thus, the output can be attributed to the specific input features of the samples under examination.

3.1. Integrated gradients

Given that the concept of baseline is fundamental to describe the functioning of the deep classifier with respect to the input we propose an explainability method for the attribution of importance of the input features based on IGs, which define an explainability technique presented in [18] based on the concept of baseline. The baseline \mathbf{x}' is represented by a sample that has the same dimensions as the DNN input and it is chosen in a way that the classification probability at the baseline is near zero. For example, the general choice when applying IGs to a DNN trained with images is the completely black image. IGs are defined "as the path integral of the gradients along the straight-line path from the baseline \mathbf{x}' to the input \mathbf{x} " [18]. Hence, the IG along a dimension is the gradient of the objective function $F(\mathbf{x})$ along that dimension. In our implementation, the function F will be represented by the different binary DNNs.

The IGs technique is an interesting method for several different reasons: first, it satisfies *sensitivity*, meaning that for every input and baseline differing in one feature and in the predictions, the different feature is given a non-zero attribution. Moreover, this method satisfies also *completeness*, which testifies that the attributions add up to the difference between the output of the objective function at the input under exam and the baseline.

The IG for the *i*th feature of input \mathbf{x} is defined as:

$$IG_{i}(\mathbf{x}) = (x_{i} - x_{i}') \cdot \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial F\left[\mathbf{x}' + \alpha(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')\right]}{\partial x_{i}} d\alpha, \qquad (1)$$

where α is an interpolation constant employed for a perturbation of the features. From a practical point of view, it is not always numerically possible to solve the integral presented in (1) and it can be a computationally costly operation; hence, the numerical approximation can be computed as:

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{IG}}_{i}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{(x_{i} - x_{i}')}{m} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\partial F\left[\mathbf{x}' + \frac{k}{m}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')\right]}{\partial x_{i}},$$
(2)

where k is the scaled feature perturbation constant and m is the number of steps in the Riemann sum approximation of the integral. In particular, in this vectorized implementation of IGs, the scaled feature perturbation constant k = 1, ..., m singularly describes each of the m steps of the tensor representing the interpolated samples. Increasing the number of steps for the interpolation m increases the number of possible values for k, making Eq. (2) a more accurate approximation of Eq. (1).

Referring to the term $(x_i - x'_i)$, this is a necessary term used to scale the IGs and keep them in terms of the original sample. This represents the path from the baseline sample to the input one. Given that IG consists of the integration in a straight line, this sum ends up being roughly equivalent to the integral term of the derivative of the interpolated sample function with respect to α with a high number of steps. Hence, the first thing to do is to generate a linear interpolation between the baseline and the original input. From a practical point of view, the interpolated samples are small steps in the feature space between the baseline \mathbf{x}' and the input \mathbf{x} . Then, the gradients are computed, to measure the path between changes in a feature and changes in the model's prediction.

Given the construction of the method, IGs present some limitations:

- IGs algorithm provides features importance on individual examples, but it does not reveal particular information across the entire dataset;
- the method provides individual feature relevance, but it does not explain feature interactions and combinations;
- even if IGs can be used to help understand how the network works, if the features highlighted as more informative are not the ones matching a logical intuition, there is no explanation for why this phenomenon takes place.

Given those limitations, which can be quite significant in terms of the generalization capability of the approach, we propose in Section 3.3 some additional steps that are meant to overcome these limitations intrinsic to IGs. In particular, increasing the globalization capability of the method over the whole dataset enables the use of the proposed explainable technique for the optimization of the number of input landmarks to the DNN.

3.2. Data environment

Given the preceding summary on IGs technique, for a better understanding of the proposed methodology and for a good comprehension of the experimental activity, we will describe the data manipulated and used in this work. We employed for training, validating, and testing one of the most known and important datasets in the field of emotion recognition, the Extended Cohn-Kanade dataset (CK+) [19]. The CK+ contains videos of emotional feelings, it contains sequences showing the shift from neutral to peak expression, and it is composed of videos representing 7 different emotions, including the 16 classical emotions with the addition of the contempt emotion. The dataset is composed of videos of students with age between 18 and 30 years old. 65% of the subjects in the dataset are women, 15% of the data samples are African-Americans, and 3% of the subjects are Asian and South American. All the videos in the dataset have 640×480 pixel resolution at 30 fps, with 8-bit grayscale images. Despite its limitations, such as the narrow age range and lack of representation of various ethnic groups, this dataset is commonly used to study DL models for recognizing emotions. It is useful for comparing a model's performance to the current leading models and provides a reliable performance metric. To increase the dataset for evaluation purposes, we also performed data augmentation by flipping each frame of each video vertically. This ensures the model's dependability in diverse conditions. However, given the low number of instances of the dataset labeled with the 'contempt' label, this emotion is not analyzed in the proposed study, and data samples with that label have been removed from the employed dataset. Each frame in each video undergoes the preprocessing procedure, consisting of:

- face cropping from each video;
- resizing of the square face box image to a 300 × 300 × 1 image, as one-channel (grayscale) image;
- detection of the 468 face landmarks, depicting the entire face.

The 468 landmarks plane coordinates of each frame of each video represent the input features of the neural architecture. In detail, the *i*th sample of the dataset is represented by a $P \times 2 \times L$ tensor \mathbf{V}^i , where P is the number of video frames and L is the number of extracted landmarks. One of the most important contributions of the presented approach consists in the employment of an improved and suitable fashion of the explainable AI technique of IGs for the optimization of L.

3.3. Proposed approach

The proposed explainability and optimization method presented in this paper is based on the application of the IGs to several binary DNNs (i.e. six, one for each typical emotion) for binary emotion recognition with landmarks detection. The network architecture is the same as the deep model presented in [17]. It consists of stacked Convolutional and LSTM layers employed to extract spatio-temporal features from the videos given in input to the network. These extracted features are subsequently passed to fully-connected layers with non-linear activation functions for the final binary classification. To deal with the highcomputational burden typical of the training of DNNs, two different fashions of the model are proposed: R-EMO (Randomized Emotion classifier) in which the convolutional layer is randomized and T-EMO (Trained Emotion classifier), the fully trained version of the network.

Moreover, applying explainability to randomized networks is something particularly interesting, because it investigates the typical tradeoff between the accuracy of the classification and efficiency of the training procedure from a different perspective. In fact, what is expected is a possible gain in accuracy and efficiency given by the explainable procedure and its consequent optimization of the input parameters which can further balance the loss in accuracy of the classification, result of the randomization of some layers in the network. A graphic representation of the proposed network is presented in Fig. 1. More in detail, the network is composed of:

 a one-channel 2D-CNN layer which receives a frame of the video in input and applies various different convolutional filters associated with nonlinear kernels with Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU) as activation function. The result of this layer is represented by different feature maps. In the case of the proposed R-EMO network, the entire set of weights of the kernels is selected randomly and never changed during the network optimization. Instead, in the fully trained version of the network T-EMO, the filters' weights are estimated by the training procedure;

- a batch normalization layer for normalizing the inputs from the convolutional layer using the means and standard deviations of the batches of inputs seen during training;
- a flatten layer used to collapse the tensor associated with the normalized feature maps;
- an LSTM layer which is the only recurrent layer of the proposed architecture;
- a dropout layer employed after the recurrent layer in order to prevent overfitting;
- a fully connected layer (denoted as FC1) connecting the hidden state of the preceding LSTM layer (possibly after the drop-out operation) to the succeeding final layer for classification;
- another fully connected layer (denoted as FC2) with two neurons for the final classification.

The particular architecture described, presents some interesting aspects when related to its final application and methodology. First of all, the presented model is capable of tracking the spatio-temporal correlation between the different landmarks of the different frames of the input videos with the combination of CNN layers and LSTM layers. The importance of this aspect is greatly highlighted by the application under investigation. In fact, the videos show the variations of human faces during the emotional feeling, and with this combination, it is possible to elaborate on the related spatial variation of the landmark coordinates across the different frames. Moreover, the sole employment of the landmarks coordinates of each frame as input to the network allows the optimization of the architectural weights to be not resource greedy, with the possibility to develop real-time classification software. After the CNN and the LSTM layers, the Fully Connected layers are employed to analyze a projection of the extracted features using the nonlinear activation functions of these layers, as it is common practice when building deep learning models for classification.

When computing IGs through (2), for the specific application presented in this paper, the function *F* is represented by the binary DNNs themselves. Once trained, the model is in fact a learned function describing one of the possible mappings between the input feature space and the output space defined by the different classes (i.e., the six different emotions). The expected input for the model is a dense 5D tensor with shape $N \times P \times C \times L \times 1$ where:

- *N* is the number of video samples in the dataset;
- *P* is the number of video frames employed for each sample;
- *C* is the number of spatial coordinates (i.e., C = 2);
- *L* is the number of landmarks that is the parameter to be optimized by using the proposed explainable AI technique.

The latest tensor dimension is 1 as we are using as input to the DNN an equivalent one-channel (grayscale) sample.

The gradient is used to reveal which landmarks coordinates of the frames have the strongest effect on the model's predicted class probabilities given that the gradients are describing the local changes in the model's output. From a visual point of view, the plot in Fig. 2 shows how the model's confidence in the prediction varies across alphas which can be interpreted as the small steps in the feature space between the baseline and the input. For this graphic representation, the single sample is labeled as *surprise*, and the baseline represent one of the *happiness* emotional feeling video. After the IGs are computed, their absolute values across the frames and across the coordinates are summed to produce an attribution mask. This attribution vector shows the information brought by each landmark throughout the entire video.

To deal with a subset of IGs limitations we implemented a novel algorithm, in particular, trying to give a more global fashion to the proposed approach. In fact, to obtain a greater amount of information from the utilization of this method, we propose some additive steps, tailored for the specific application proposed in this study:

 for each emotion, the selection of a video representing a typical behavior of that label is performed;



Fig. 1. Graphical representation of the proposed DNN architecture that is used for emotion recognition/classification. The generic element $X_{j,n}^i$ in each input slice, which is associated with a video frame, represents the horizontal/vertical spatial coordinate (j = 1, 2) of the *n*th landmark ($n = 1 \dots L$) within the *i*th video frame ($i = 1 \dots P$).



Fig. 2. Graphical representation of the target class probability for the emotion surprise over alpha when using a sadness-labeled video as the baseline. In particular, it can be seen that when α is 0, the network predicts the interpolation class as *non-surprise*. When α increases, the model confidence in the *surprise* classification increases as well saturating after $\alpha = 0.3$.

- Then, for each label, IGs are computed using as *F* the binary network related to that emotion, computing IGs for each input sample in the training set and employing as a baseline one of the five typical samples;
- the whole process is repeated using the five different baselines selected as typical representations of the complementary emotions (i.e. the five different labels with respect to the examined input sample);
- after the computation of the IGs for all the combinations, for each emotion, the attributions obtained with each sample are firstly averaged along the samples channel, and successively along the five respective baselines channel for each emotion, obtaining a final attribution vector.

Following this procedure, we obtained, for each label, an average value of the attribution mask reflecting the importance of every single landmark for the classification of that specific emotion, being thus able to organize the 468 input features from the most important to the least informative. This is one of the main contributions of this paper, from the explainable AI perspective, it allows the awareness of the importance of every single landmark for the detection of each emotion in a video sequence. From a practical point of view, using 468 landmarks as input features can be useful for different reasons: first of all, it permits obtaining a complete depiction of the human faces, moreover, it allows capturing the facial micro-movements during the emotional feeling, being able to classify emotions with higher accuracy.

Using such a large number of input features could determine that some of them are not really helpful to the final classification and thus, adding noise to the overall classification method, diminishing the interpretability of the results. For this reason, it seems interesting to try different combinations of input features to the binary DNN before training, looking for an improvement in the classification performance basing the features selection on the IGs method proposed herein.

4. Experimental results

In this section, we present the numerical results obtained with the novel proposed methodology and the impact of explainability on the implemented method. In particular, we applied the proposed methodology to two different architectures (i.e. T-EMO and R-EMO) to demonstrate the improvement of the performance of the networks when deleting from the input features the non-informative landmarks. In addition, we were able to show from a graphical perspective how the selection of the most important facial points matches human intuition, reinforcing the solidity of the implemented models and the proposed explainable approach. Hence, with the aim of applying IGs to the six binary classifiers using (2), we employed as estimator F the binary networks trained with the entire set of 468 landmarks. Doing this, with the proposed method we obtained the attribution of the importance of each facial landmark for each emotion.

After obtaining these attributions related to each input feature, we performed six different grid search procedures for each binary network, for the optimization of the hyperparameters, changing for each attempt the number of input landmarks to a lower value: 234, 128, 64, 32 and 16, respectively. A graphical representation of the obtained importance attribution can be seen in Fig. 3. The entire set of neural networks proposed in this paper is trained using the ADAM algorithm [27] with a learning rate fixed at 10^{-4} and mini-batch size equal to 16, selected after the first experimental activity. The grid search procedure is implemented on the training data for each of the experimental settings. This procedure has been followed in order to avoid overfitting issues. Given the computational resources available, the grid search procedures have been performed only to optimize the hyperparameters of the fully trained fashion of each binary network. Moreover, from a theoretical point of view, it seems fair to evaluate the discrepancies in the performance obtained by the fully trained architectures and the randomized ones when the structure of the models is the same, to

Table 1

Optimized	hyperparameters	for T-EM	O network	with 234	input landmarks.
-----------	-----------------	----------	-----------	----------	------------------

Emotion	Convolutional Filters (F)	LSTM Units (Q)	FC1 Neurons (<i>R</i>)
Anger	25	31	54
Disgust	35	50	63
Fear	39	51	34
Happiness	50	58	63
Sadness	24	68	54
Surprise	30	58	63

Table 2

Optimized hyperparameters for T-EMO network with 128 input landmarks.

Emotion	Convolutional	LSTM	FC1
	Filters (F)	Units (Q)	Neurons (R)
Anger	25	31	54
Disgust	39	30	63
Fear	39	51	34
Happiness	39	68	72
Sadness	39	58	74
Surprise	40	68	73

Table 3

Optimized hyperparameters for T-EMO network with 64 input landmarks.

Emotion	Convolutional	LSTM	FC1
	Filters (F)	Units (Q)	Neurons (<i>R</i>)
Anger	25	31	54
Disgust	39	30	33
Fear	39	51	34
Happiness	16	58	52
Sadness	39	68	64
Surprise	40	58	63

Table 4

Optimized hyperparameters for T-EMO network with 32 input landmarks.

-			
Emotion	Convolutional	LSTM	FC1
	Filters (F)	Units (Q)	Neurons (R)
Anger	25	31	54
Disgust	39	50	63
Fear	39	61	64
Happiness	16	58	52
Sadness	39	58	74
Surprise	30	58	63

Table 5

Optimized hyperparameters for T-EMO network with 16 input landmarks.

		<u>^</u>	
Emotion	Convolutional	LSTM	FC1
	Filters (F)	Units (Q)	Neurons (R)
Anger	25	31	54
Disgust	39	50	53
Fear	24	68	64
Happiness	16	58	52
Sadness	39	58	64
Surprise	40	58	63

analyze the possible advantages and the potential losses due to the randomization of certain parts of the neural architectures. The different parameters obtained with the mentioned procedure are listed from Tables 1 to 5.

The dataset employed for the experiments is the CK+ dataset, already described in Section 3.2. A total of 487 video samples were utilized for training the networks, and 87 samples were used for testing various network architectures. For each experiment, 10% of the training set was reserved for validation purposes. When training the binary classifiers, it should be noted that the training set had an imbalanced distribution, as it contained samples from six different emotions, with only one emotion being labeled with a 'true' logical label (binary value 1) and the samples from the remaining emotions being labeled with a 'false' logical label (binary value 0).



Fig. 3. Graphical representation of the landmarks' relevance attribution, projected into a 2-D space. The top left picture represents the 468 landmarks employed. The other images show the most important landmarks selected over the entire set with a blue circle. We have from the top right to the right down picture the different combinations of the most important landmarks: 234. 128, 64, 32, 16.

Once found the optimal hyperparameters, for each combination of model parameters and number of features (i.e. facial landmarks), six binary classifiers are modeled and trained, with each of these models acting as a binary categorizer for every single emotion.

The model performance is evaluated by means of classification accuracy, intended as the ratio of well-classified samples over the number of total samples in the examined dataset. The binary cross entropy BC is employed as loss function and is defined by Eq. (3).

$$BC = -\frac{1}{S} \sum_{i=1}^{S} \left[y_i \log(\hat{y}_i) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - \hat{y}_i) \right],$$
(3)

where *S* is the cardinality of the dataset, y_i is the true binary label of the *i*th sample and \hat{y}_i is the probability estimated by the adopted model that the *i*th sample represents the considered emotion. Given that the training outcome and the consequent accuracy level on each test depend upon the random initialization of the model weights, 10 different runs of each algorithm are performed with 10 different seeds and with a different set of hyperparameters, varying also the

training/validation/test set partition. Hence, the choice of the optimal hyperparameters and the coherent binary classification performance are based on the mean and on the standard deviation of the accuracy over the different runs of the proposed algorithm. The binary classification performance of the different networks trained with the most informative landmarks is summarized in Tables 6 and 7.

All of the experiments were conducted utilizing Python programming language and Keras[®] library with the backend operating on a machine equipped with an AMD Ryzen[™] 7 5800X 8-core CPU, clocked at 3.80 GHz, 64 GB of RAM, and an NVIDIA[®] GeForce[™] RTX 3080 Ti GPU, clocked at 1.365 GHz and 12,288 MB of GDDR6X RAM. The GPU was utilized for training, testing, as well as for the grid search procedure.

It must be remarked that the main purpose of the proposed paper is the description and the implementation of an innovative explainability framework, and of an uncommon procedure for the selection of the input features to train the binary deep neural networks for emotion recognition. For this reason, the scope of this result section is the Table 6

Classification accuracy for binary T-EMO network.						
468	234	128	64	32	16	
0.924 ± 0.016	0.926 ± 0.037	0.905 ± 0.037	0.903 ± 0.034	0.924 ± 0.030	0.891 ± 0.041	
0.934 ± 0.019	0.964 ± 0.027	0.964 ± 0.019	0.951 ± 0.034	0.948 ± 0.040	0.925 ± 0.045	
0.948 ± 0.024	0.971 ± 0.023	0.974 ± 0.020	0.964 ± 0.026	0.950 ± 0.026	0.919 ± 0.041	
0.986 ± 0.008	0.988 ± 0.011	0.982 ± 0.014	0.979 ± 0.018	0.981 ± 0.015	0.978 ± 0.012	
0.920 ± 0.026	0.905 ± 0.052	0.916 ± 0.020	0.914 ± 0.040	0.922 ± 0.018	0.910 ± 0.029	
0.993 ± 0.009	0.995 ± 0.021	0.995 ± 0.008	0.993 ± 0.012	0.991 ± 0.009	0.986 ± 0.007	
	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{curacy for binary T-E} \\ \hline \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	curacy for binary T-EMO network. 468 234 0.924 ± 0.016 0.926 ± 0.037 0.934 ± 0.019 0.964 ± 0.027 0.948 ± 0.024 0.971 ± 0.023 0.986 ± 0.008 0.988 ± 0.011 0.920 ± 0.026 0.905 ± 0.052 0.993 ± 0.009 0.995 ± 0.021	curacy for binary T-EMO network. 468 234 128 0.924 ± 0.016 0.926 ± 0.037 0.905 ± 0.037 0.934 ± 0.019 0.964 ± 0.027 0.964 ± 0.019 0.948 ± 0.024 0.971 ± 0.023 0.974 ± 0.020 0.986 ± 0.008 0.988 ± 0.011 0.982 ± 0.014 0.920 ± 0.026 0.905 ± 0.052 0.916 ± 0.020 0.993 ± 0.009 0.995 ± 0.021 0.995 ± 0.008	curacy for binary T-EMO network.46823412864 0.924 ± 0.016 0.926 ± 0.037 0.905 ± 0.037 0.903 ± 0.034 0.934 ± 0.019 0.964 ± 0.027 0.964 ± 0.019 0.951 ± 0.034 0.948 ± 0.024 0.971 ± 0.023 0.974 ± 0.020 0.964 ± 0.026 0.986 ± 0.008 0.988 ± 0.011 0.982 ± 0.014 0.979 ± 0.018 0.920 ± 0.026 0.905 ± 0.052 0.916 ± 0.020 0.914 ± 0.040 0.993 ± 0.009 0.995 ± 0.021 0.995 ± 0.008 0.993 ± 0.012	curacy for binary T-EMO network.4682341286432 0.924 ± 0.016 0.926 ± 0.037 0.905 ± 0.037 0.903 ± 0.034 0.924 ± 0.030 0.934 ± 0.019 0.964 ± 0.027 0.964 ± 0.019 0.951 ± 0.034 0.948 ± 0.040 0.948 ± 0.024 0.971 ± 0.023 0.974 ± 0.020 0.964 ± 0.026 0.950 ± 0.026 0.986 ± 0.008 0.988 ± 0.011 0.982 ± 0.014 0.979 ± 0.018 0.981 ± 0.015 0.920 ± 0.026 0.905 ± 0.052 0.916 ± 0.020 0.914 ± 0.040 0.922 ± 0.018 0.993 ± 0.009 0.995 ± 0.021 0.995 ± 0.008 0.993 ± 0.012 0.991 ± 0.009	

Table 7

lassification accuracy for binary R-EMO network

classification accuracy for binary R-EMO network.						
	468	234	128	64	32	16
Anger	0.889 ± 0.018	0.874 ± 0.032	0.862 ± 0.04	0.874 ± 0.033	0.883 ± 0.047	0.866 ± 0.043
Disgust	0.941 ± 0.023	0.941 ± 0.032	0.953 ± 0.019	0.897 ± 0.034	0.905 ± 0.023	0.887 ± 0.048
Fear	0.934 ± 0.033	0.948 ± 0.016	0.939 ± 0.027	0.936 ± 0.003	0.924 ± 0.025	0.907 ± 0.032
Happiness	0.968 ± 0.022	0.978 ± 0.011	0.971 ± 0.016	0.957 ± 0.021	0.966 ± 0.011	0.964 ± 0.017
Sadness	0.907 ± 0.031	0.893 ± 0.021	0.893 ± 0.037	0.907 ± 0.027	0.898 ± 0.033	0.893 ± 0.033
Surprise	0.962 ± 0.014	0.981 ± 0.009	0.976 ± 0.0016	0.981 ± 0.017	0.974 ± 0.027	0.974 ± 0.021

description of the improvement achieved in terms of accuracy of the classification and explainability of the proposed approach when compared with the models presented in [17]. The explainability framework presented in this paper is employed to select the most important input features for the classification of each of the six typical emotions. Given these premises, the input combination of features for each of the implemented solutions differs from one emotion to the other and it was not possible to follow the approach described in [17] for the construction of a six-emotions classifier, based on the merging of the six different binary deep neural models. In Section 5, the results will be analyzed, commented and compared with similar neural networks trained on the same dataset. In particular, the two solutions employed for benchmarking purposes trained on the same dataset are presented in [28].

- The first model proposed for benchmarking purposes is a linear SVM and achieves the following level of accuracies for the different emotions: Anger: 0.85%; Fear: 0.95%; Disgust: 0.78%; Happiness: 0.97%; Sadness: 0.75%; Surprise: 0.99%.
- The second model proposed for benchmarking purposes is a RBF SVM and achieves the following level of accuracies for the different emotions: Anger: 0.84; Fear: 0.95; Disgust: 0.74; Happiness: 0.98; Sadness: 0.79; Surprise: 1.

5. Discussion

In the following, we discuss the results presented in Section 4. First of all, in Fig. 3 it is shown which are the most important landmarks for the recognition of a single emotion. It is interesting to note how, by reducing the number of landmarks from 468 to 234, the facial points that intuitively are not influenced by emotional feeling are the ones depicting the nose and some points on the facial outline. When deleting additional input features based on their importance attribution, the facial points depicting the outline of the eyes and the cheeks are progressively erased, mostly highlighting the lips and their boundary. This behavior matches human intuition considering that, particularly for the surprise emotion, the human mouth is the body part that shows the most important change.

Analyzing the numerical results, it can be seen from Tables 6 and 7 that optimizing the number of landmarks leads to interesting results in terms of improvements in the accuracy performance of the binary networks. The first obvious advantage is represented by the general increase in the classification accuracy of each network. Generally speaking, it can be noted that the most performing combinations are obtained using 234 and 128 landmarks. In particular, both for T-EMO and R-EMO the optimization of the number of input features results in greater accuracy of the classification for each emotion. The highest

improvement in the T-EMO performance is related to the Disgust and Fear emotions, for which there is an increase in the accuracy of 3%. On the other hand, the improvement achieved by the randomized fashion of the network (i.e., R-EMO) is 1.9% for the Surprise and 1.5% for the Fear classification accuracy. Besides the numerical aspects, it must be remarked that the proposed methodology allows the construction of explainable deep networks that are also more accurate. Moreover, when comparing the accuracy achieved with the proposed methods with the benchmarking purposes, the T-EMO network achieves higher classification accuracy for the entire set of emotions when compared with the linear SVM and for 5 emotions over 6 when compared with the RBF SVM. The R-EMO architectures, on the other hand, achieve higher classification accuracy for 5 emotions over 6 with respect to the Linear SVM and for 4 emotions over 6 when compared with the RBF SVM. The average increase in the accuracy achieved by T-EMO with the two benchmarking models is 8% with respect to the linear SVM and 9% when compared with the RBF SVM. Considering the R-EMO network, the average increase in the accuracy is 6% with respect to the Linear SVM and 7% when compared with the RBF SVM.

Something that must be highlighted is that R-EMO accuracy performance in its best landmarks configuration is lower than the relative T-EMO accuracy for every emotion. This is interesting because it is something that does not occur with the basic 468 facial point configuration. However, this approach demonstrates that exploring explainable randomized architectures is a promising concept to investigate. This is because the decrease in accuracy caused by randomizing one or more layers can be offset not only by a significant reduction in training time, but also by an additional improvement in both efficiency and effectiveness performance through explainability-based feature optimization.

The general increase in the network accuracy, caused by a diminution in the number of input features to the network, represents a great advantage consisting of the overall improvement of the network classification performance with a substantial decrease in the needed computational power. In fact, by this method, the input feature space is controlled by a mathematically based explanation evaluating the most informative input features after training a deep binary neural classifier. The numerical results are even more impressive when the improvement margin for each of the binary networks implemented is at most 10%. When further diminishing the number of input landmarks, the model is still able to obtain an accurate prediction of the video class, but the accuracy generally decreases visibly when using less than 64 landmarks.

To conclude the discussion, emotion recognition from video analysis is inherently complex due to the dynamic nature of facial expressions, to the general absence of a common structure for the facial landmarks and to the possibility of simulating a different emotion from the one really experienced by a person in a determined moment. The scope of his investigation, and one of the main novelties of the implemented methodology, relies upon the attempt to find common patterns, describing a general behavior, representative of each typical single emotion. Even if this is a particularly difficult purpose for a neural network, the explainability framework presented in this paper seems to be an effective method for the classification of the most important facial landmarks, meant as the ones that contribute the most to the optimization of the neural network parameters for the classification of the single emotions.

6. Conclusions

Given the continuous interest in DL techniques and model functioning in the biomedical context, it is of remarkable importance to find new efficient explainability solutions to exploit the inner mechanism dictating the behavior of neural networks in this domain. In particular, the implementation of ML and DL models in clinical environments presents numerous challenges, primarily due to the complexity and variability of medical data, regulatory requirements, and the high stakes involved in patient outcomes. Clinical data often come from disparate sources, with varying levels of quality and completeness, which can lead to model bias or inaccurate predictions. Moreover, healthcare professionals may be reluctant to trust or adopt ML/DL tools without a clear understanding of how these models arrive at their decisions. This is where explainability becomes crucial. Explainable models can enhance trust by providing transparent reasoning for a model's predictions, thus enabling clinicians to interpret, validate, and justify the outcomes in a clinical setting. Additionally, explainability can aid in meeting regulatory standards, as models with clearer decision-making processes are more likely to be approved for clinical use [29-31].

From a different point of view, it is of great interest to investigate the trade-off between the accuracy of the classification and the computational cost of the optimization procedure; hence, the merging of explainability and randomization of some layers of the network is particularly intriguing from a scientific point of view. In this paper, we applied a customized fashion of the explainability technique of IGs to binary DNNs with randomized convolutional layers to capture the functioning of the network optimizing the number and position of input features. In particular, the networks were trained on videos of emotional feeling, from which 468 facial landmarks were extracted and whose coordinates are employed as input.

The aim of this work was to optimize the number of landmarks to use as input features for each emotion evaluating the diminishing of the noise feeding the model and the consecutive increase in the overall classification performance. Investigating the obtained attribution of importance for each input feature, we can confirm, even from a graphical point of view, that the implementation of the explainability method presented in this paper rests on solid foundations. The obtained results show that the landmarks selected as more important are the ones situated in the parts of the face that change the most during the emotional feeling, they are not always the same for the six typical emotions. On the other hand, by analyzing the numerical results obtained with the optimized networks, we can confirm the edge of the proposed approach, reporting advantages in classification accuracy and computational cost needed when using the most important selected landmarks for the training procedure.

In order to assess the randomized nature of the proposed method, we employed the fully trained version of the same network and the model presented in [17], optimized using the whole set of 468 face landmarks as input to the neural networks. Given the improvements obtained in this work with this customized version of IGs, this method can pave the way for even more complex investigations on the optimization of input features to DNNs for emotion recognition, exploiting even more in-depth, the inner mechanism ruling the functioning of deep neural architectures. Moreover, this method can be applied to each similarlyimplemented binary network, showing high portability which could result helpful in several different real-world applications and practical fields like surveillance systems, security systems in general, and even amusement tools.

From a practical point of view, given its mathematical implementation, the method proposed herein generates an attribution mask that reflects the facial landmarks movement during the emotional feeling. In detail, this attribution mask is representative of the importance of each landmark of the videos in the optimization procedure of the network weights. Considering that changing the input parameter to analyze the results can lead to dissimilar outcomes, a particularly important novel aspect of the proposed methodology relies upon its possible application to several models fed with different data sources. In particular, it is possible to perform the optimization of the input frame described in this paper when the computation of the integrated gradients is feasible, independently from the input data and its dimensionality.

Concluding, the methodology presented in this paper can pave the way for several different studies on new explainability techniques that can be used to describe the inner mechanism of deep learning models in several real-world application fields. In the future, the possibility to increase the global explanation capability of the proposed method can be achieved with the employment of diverse emotion recognition datasets, where other combinations of input features can be investigated and newer and deeper architectures can be analyzed. In this sense, the approach presented in this paper can be tested and its performance can be evaluated on multimodal datasets where emotion recognition can be performed analyzing the biomedical data recorded by numerous sensors. For instance, the explainability techniques presented in this paper could be employed to increase the efficiency and the classification accuracy of the methods presented in [32]. This methodology, in fact, can be applied to every differentiable function, and can be used to understand the inner functioning of the same and to enhance its classification capability.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Francesco Di Luzio: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Validation, Software, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Antonello Rosato:** Writing – original draft, Validation, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Conceptualization. **Massimo Panella:** Writing – review & editing, Validation, Supervision, Investigation, Formal analysis, Conceptualization.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

References

- [1] X. Bai, X. Wang, X. Liu, Q. Liu, J. Song, N. Sebe, B. Kim, Explainable deep learning for efficient and robust pattern recognition: A survey of recent developments, Pattern Recognit. 120 (2021) 108102.
- [2] Q. Zhang, L.T. Yang, Z. Chen, P. Li, A survey on deep learning for big data, Inf. Fusion 42 (2018) 146–157.
- [3] S. Dong, P. Wang, K. Abbas, A survey on deep learning and its applications, Comp. Sci. Rev. 40 (2021) 100379.
- [4] R. Altilio, L. Liparulo, M. Panella, A. Proietti, M. Paoloni, Multimedia and gaming technologies for telerehabilitation of motor disabilities [leading edge], IEEE Technol. Soc. Mag. 34 (4) (2015) 23–30, http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MTS. 2015.2494279.

- [5] B. Tyagi, S. Nigam, R. Singh, A review of deep learning techniques for crowd behavior analysis, Arch. Comput. Methods Eng. 29 (2022) 5427–5455, URL https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:250005806.
- [6] M. Panella, R. Altilio, A smartphone-based application using machine learning for gesture recognition: Using feature extraction and template matching via hu image moments to recognize gestures, IEEE Consum. Electron. Mag. 8 (1) (2019) 25–29, http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MCE.2018.2868109.
- [7] R. Poorni, P. Madhavan, Literature review on human behavioural analysis using deep learning algorithm, in: M.S. L. Kalinathan (Ed.), Computational Intelligence in Data Science, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2022, pp. 324–331.
- [8] S. Chakraborty, R. Tomsett, R. Raghavendra, D. Harborne, M. Alzantot, F. Cerutti, M. Srivastava, A. Preece, S. Julier, R.M. Rao, et al., Interpretability of deep learning models: A survey of results, in: 2017 IEEE Smartworld, Ubiquitous Intelligence & Computing, Advanced & Trusted Computed, Scalable Computing & Communications, Cloud & Big Data Computing, Internet of People and Smart City Innovation (Smartworld/SCALCOM/UIC/ATC/CBDcom/IOP/SCI), IEEE, 2017, pp. 1–6.
- [9] H.J. Escalante, I. Guyon, S. Escalera, J. Jacques, M. Madadi, X. Baró, S. Ayache, E. Viegas, Y. Güçlütürk, U. Güçlü, et al., Design of an explainable machine learning challenge for video interviews, in: 2017 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, IJCNN, IEEE, 2017, pp. 3688–3695.
- [10] X. Zhang, K. He, Y. Bao, Error-feedback stochastic configuration strategy on convolutional neural networks for time series forecasting, arXiv preprint arXiv: 2002.00717.
- [11] A. Rosenfeld, J.K. Tsotsos, Intriguing properties of randomly weighted networks: Generalizing while learning next to nothing, in: 2019 16th Conference on Computer and Robot Vision, CRV, IEEE, 2019, pp. 9–16.
- [12] F. Di Luzio, A. Rosato, F. Succetti, M. Panella, A blockwise embedding for multiday-ahead prediction of energy time series by randomized deep neural networks, in: 2021 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, IJCNN, IEEE, 2021, pp. 1–7.
- [13] J. Choo, S. Liu, Visual analytics for explainable deep learning, IEEE Comput. Graph. Appl. 38 (4) (2018) 84–92.
- [14] A. Singh, S. Sengupta, V. Lakshminarayanan, Explainable deep learning models in medical image analysis, J. Imaging 6 (6) (2020) 52.
- [15] M. Stano, W. Benesova, L.S. Martak, Explainable 3d convolutional neural network using gmm encoding, in: Twelfth International Conference on Machine Vision (ICMV 2019), Vol. 11433, SPIE, 2020, pp. 507–513.
- [16] Z.C. Lipton, The mythos of model interpretability: In machine learning, the concept of interpretability is both important and slippery, Queue 16 (3) (2018) 31–57.
- [17] F. Di Luzio, A. Rosato, M. Panella, A randomized deep neural network for emotion recognition with landmarks detection, Biomed. Signal Process. Control 81 (2023) 104418, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bspc.2022.104418, URL https: //www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1746809422008722.
- [18] M. Sundararajan, A. Taly, Q. Yan, Axiomatic attribution for deep networks, in: International Conference on Machine Learning, PMLR, 2017, pp. 3319–3328.

- [19] P. Lucey, J.F. Cohn, T. Kanade, J. Saragih, Z. Ambadar, I. Matthews, The extended cohn-kanade dataset (ck+): A complete dataset for action unit and emotion-specified expression, in: 2010 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition - Workshops, 2010, pp. 94–101, http: //dx.doi.org/10.1109/CVPRW.2010.5543262.
- [20] R.B. Pachori, Time-Frequency Analysis Techniques and their Applications, CRC Press, 2023.
- [21] S. Yang, B. Bhanu, Facial expression recognition using emotion avatar image, in: 2011 IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face & Gesture Recognition, FG, IEEE, 2011, pp. 866–871.
- [22] P. Kumar, B. Raman, A bert based dual-channel explainable text emotion recognition system, Neural Netw. 150 (2022) 392–407.
- [23] P. Kumar, V. Kaushik, B. Raman, Towards the explainability of multimodal speech emotion recognition, in: Interspeech, 2021, pp. 1748–1752.
- [24] A.A. Kandeel, H.M. Abbas, H.S. Hassanein, Explainable model selection of a convolutional neural network for driver's facial emotion identification, in: International Conference on Pattern Recognition, Springer, 2021, pp. 699–713.
- [25] M. Deramgozin, S. Jovanovic, H. Rabah, N. Ramzan, A hybrid explainable ai framework applied to global and local facial expression recognition, in: 2021 IEEE International Conference on Imaging Systems and Techniques, IST, IEEE, 2021, pp. 1–5.
- [26] M. Cesarelli, F. Martinelli, F. Mercaldo, A. Santone, Emotion recognition from facial expression using explainable deep learning, in: 2022 IEEE Intl Conf on Dependable, Autonomic and Secure Computing, Intl Conf on Pervasive Intelligence and Computing, Intl Conf on Cloud and Big Data Computing, Intl Conf on Cyber Science and Technology Congress (DASC/PiCom/CBDCom/CyberSciTech), IEEE, 2022, pp. 1–6.
- [27] D.P. Kingma, J. Ba, Adam: A method for stochastic optimization, arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980.
- [28] P. Kumar, S. Happy, A. Routray, A real-time robust facial expression recognition system using hog features, in: 2016 International Conference on Computing, Analytics and Security Trends, CAST, IEEE, 2016, pp. 289–293.
- [29] C.J. Kelly, A. Karthikesalingam, M. Suleyman, G. Corrado, D. King, Key challenges for delivering clinical impact with artificial intelligence, BMC Med. 17 (2019) 1–9.
- [30] L. Petersson, I. Larsson, J.M. Nygren, P. Nilsen, M. Neher, J.E. Reed, D. Tyskbo, P. Svedberg, Challenges to implementing artificial intelligence in healthcare: a qualitative interview study with healthcare leaders in sweden, BMC Health Serv. Res. 22 (1) (2022) 850.
- [31] K.S. Chan, N. Zary, Applications and challenges of implementing artificial intelligence in medical education: integrative review, JMIR Med. Eeduc. 5 (1) (2019) e13930.
- [32] S.V. Bhalerao, R.B. Pachori, Automated classification of cognitive visual objects using multivariate swarm sparse decomposition from multichannel eeg-meg signals, IEEE Transactions on Human–Machine Systems.