## WELL-POSEDNESS FOR THE BACKWARD PROBLEMS IN TIME FOR GENERAL TIME-FRACTIONAL DIFFUSION EQUATION

# <sup>1,\*</sup> GIUSEPPE FLORIDIA, <sup>2</sup> ZHIYUAN LI, <sup>3,4,5</sup> MASAHIRO YAMAMOTO

ABSTRACT. In this article, we consider an evolution partial differential equation with Caputo time-derivative with the zero Dirichlet boundary condition:  $\partial_t^{\alpha} u + Au = F$  where  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and the principal part -A, is a non-symmetric elliptic operator of the second order. Given a source F, we prove the well-posedness for the backward problem in time and our result generalizes the existing results assuming that -A is symmetric. The key is a perturbation argument and the completeness of the generalized eigenfunctions of the elliptic operator A.

Key words: fractional PDE, backward problem, well-posedness AMS subject classifications: 35R11, 34A12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department PAU, Università Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria, Via dell'Università 25 89124 Reggio Calabria, Italy & INdAM Unit, University of Catania, Italy, floridia.giuseppe@icloud.com, \*Corresponding author

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo, Shandong 255049, People's Republic of China, zyli@sdut.edu.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Honorary Member of Academy of Romanian Scientists, Splaiul Independentei Street, no 54, 050094 Bucharest Romania

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University) 6 Miklukho-Maklaya St, Moscow, 117198, Russian Federation, myama@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with sufficiently smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Henceforth let  $L^2(\Omega)$  denote the real Lebesgue space with the scalar product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , and let  $H^1(\Omega), H^1_0(\Omega), H^2(\Omega)$  be the Sobolev spaces (e.g., Adams [1]). By  $\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)}$  we denote the norm in  $H^2(\Omega)$  for example.

We consider a fractional partial differential equation:

(1.1) 
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^{\alpha} u(x,t) = -Au(x,t) + F(x,t), & x \in \Omega, \ 0 < t < T, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ u(x,0) = a(x), & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here -A is a uniformly elliptic operator and not necessarily symmetric. Throughout this article, we assume that  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , and the Caputo derivative  $\partial_t^{\alpha} g$  is defined by

$$\partial_t^{\alpha} g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \frac{dg}{ds}(s) ds,$$

where  $\Gamma$  denotes the gamma function. It is known that there exists a unique solution u = u(x,t) to the initial boundary value problem (1.1) under suitable conditions on A, a and F, and we refer for example to Gorenflo, Luchko and Yamamoto [7], Kubica, Ryszewska and Yamamoto [12], Kubica and Yamamoto [13], Sakamoto and Yamamoto [18], Zacher [28], and also later as lemmata we will show the regularity.

Equation (1.1) describes slow diffusion which can be considered as anomalous diffusion in highly heterogeneous media and is different from the classical case of  $\alpha = 1$ . In particular, the Caputo derivative is involved with memory term which possesses some averaging effect, and so (1.1) has not strong smoothing property: for  $a \in L^2(\Omega)$ , we can expect only  $u(\cdot, t) \in H^2(\Omega)$  with each t > 0. This is an essential difference from the case of  $\alpha = 1$ .

Now we will formulate our problem and results. For  $v \in H^2(\Omega)$ , we set

(1.2) 
$$-Av(x) := \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \partial_i (a_{ij}(x)\partial_j v)(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{d} b_j(x)\partial_j v(x) + c(x)v(x),$$

where

$$a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}), \quad b_j, c \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}), \quad 1 \le i, j \le d$$

and there exists a constant  $\kappa > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \ge \kappa \sum_{j=1}^{d} \xi_j^2, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}, \, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_d \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We consider

(1.3) 
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^{\alpha} u(x,t) = -Au(x,t), & x \in \Omega, \ 0 < t < T, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ u(\cdot,T) = b \end{cases}$$

with  $b \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$ .

We state our first main result.

**Theorem 1.1.** For each  $b \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$ , there exists a unique solution  $u \in C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C((0,T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega))$  to (1.3) such that  $\partial_t^{\alpha} u \in C((0,T]; L^2(\Omega))$ . Moreover we can choose constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  depending on T such that

(1.4) 
$$C_1 \| u(\cdot, 0) \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le \| u(\cdot, T) \|_{H^2(\Omega)} \le C_2 \| u(\cdot, 0) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

To the best knowledge of the authors, Sakamoto and Yamamoto [18] is the first work for the well-posedness of the backward problem in time for the case of symmetric A, that is,  $b_j \equiv 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq d$ .

Moreover by a technical reason, [18] assumes that  $c \leq 0$ . As for backward problems for time-fractional equations with symmetric A, we can refer to many works: Liu and Yamamoto [14], Tuan, Huynh, Ngoc, and Zhou [19]. In particular, as for numerical approaches, see Tuan, Long and Tatar [20], Tuan, Thach, O'Regan, and Can [21]. Wang and Liu [22, 23], Wang, Wei and Zhou [24], Wei and Wang [25], Xiong, Wang and Li [26], Yang and Liu [27] and the references therein. However, we do not find the results for non-symmetric A. Originally the backward well-posedness comes from the time fractional derivative  $\partial_t^{\alpha}$ , and should not rely on the symmetry of the elliptic operator A, and Theorem 1.1 is a natural generalization of the existing results since [18] to the case of a general uniform elliptic operator A. As is seen by the proof, we can further prove

**Corollary 1.2.** In Theorem 1.1, for each distinct  $T_1, T_2 > 0$ , there exist contants  $C_3 = C_3(T_1, T_2) > 0$  and  $C_4 = C_4(T_1, T_2) > 0$  such that

$$C_3 \| u(\cdot, T_2) \|_{H^2(\Omega)} \le \| u(\cdot, T_1) \|_{H^2(\Omega)} \le C_4 \| u(\cdot, T_2) \|_{H^2(\Omega)}.$$

Furthermore we can show also the backward well-posedness with the presence of a non-homogeneous term F.

For the formulation, we introduce some function spaces. Let

(1.5) 
$$-A_0 v(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_i (a_{ij}(x)\partial_j v), \quad \mathcal{D}(A_0) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega).$$

Then it is known that the specrum  $\sigma(A_0)$  consists entirely of eigenvalues with finite multiplicities and according to the multiplicities we number:

$$(1.6) 0 < \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \lambda_3 < \cdots.$$

Also we know that we can choose eigenfunctions  $\varphi_n$  for  $\lambda_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is an orthonormal basis in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Then we can define the fractional power  $A_0^{\gamma}$  with  $\gamma \geq 0$ :

(1.7) 
$$\begin{cases} A_0^{\gamma} v = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{\gamma}(v, \varphi_n) \varphi_n, \\ \mathcal{D}(A_0^{\gamma}) = \{ v \in L^2(\Omega); \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2\gamma} | (v, \varphi_n) |^2 < \infty \} \\ \|A_0^{\gamma} v\| = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{2\gamma} | (v, \varphi_n) |^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{cases}$$

We can refer for example to Pazy [15] and we can derive (1.7) directly from

$$A_0 v = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(v, \varphi_n) \varphi_n,$$
  
$$\mathcal{D}(A_0) = \left\{ v \in L^2(\Omega); \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2 |(v, \varphi_n)|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Moreover we know that  $\mathcal{D}(A_0^{\frac{1}{2}}) = H_0^1(\Omega), \ \mathcal{D}(A_0^{\gamma}) \subset H^{2\gamma}(\Omega)$ . Henceforth we set  $\|v\|_{\mathcal{D}(A_0^{\gamma})} = \|A_0^{\gamma}v\|$ .

Now we are ready to state the well-posedness with non-homogeneous term.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $F \in L^{\infty}(0,T; \mathcal{D}(A_0^{\varepsilon}))$  with some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For each  $b \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ , there exists a unique solution

$$u \in C((0,T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)) \cap C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega))$$

to

$$\partial_t^{\alpha} u = -Au + F(x, t), \quad x \in \Omega, \ 0 < t < T,$$
  
 $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$   
 $u(\cdot, T) = b$ 

and we can choose a constant C > 0 such that

$$||u(\cdot, 0)|| \le C(||u(\cdot, T)||_{H^2(\Omega)} + ||F||_{L^{\infty}(0,T;\mathcal{D}(A_0^{\varepsilon}))}).$$

The article is composed of three sections. In Section 2, we show fundamental properties of the fractional differential equations and Section 3 is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let us recall (1.5) and (1.6). For  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $\beta > 0$ , by  $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$  we denote the Mittag-Leffler function with two parameters:

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}$$

(e.g., Podlubny [16]). Then  $E_{\alpha,\beta}(z)$  is an entire function in  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . We set

$$S(t)a = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a, \varphi_n) E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha}) \varphi_n(x), \quad t \ge 0$$

and

$$K(t)a = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha,\alpha}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha})(a,\varphi_n)\varphi_n(x), \quad t > 0$$

for  $a \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

Henceforth we write  $u(t) = u(\cdot, t)$ , etc., and we regard u as a mapping defined in (0, T) with values in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Moreover  $u(t) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ means  $u(\cdot, t) = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  in the trace sense (e.g., [1]). Then we can see the following.

**Lemma 2.1.** (i) There exists a constant C > 0 such that

(2.1) 
$$||S(t)a|| \le C||a||, \quad t \ge 0$$

and

(2.2) 
$$||A_0S(t)a|| \le Ct^{-\alpha}||a||, \quad t > 0.$$

For  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ , there exists a constant  $C(\gamma) > 0$  such that

(2.3) 
$$||A_0^{\gamma}K(t)a|| \le C(\gamma)t^{\alpha(1-\gamma)-1}||a||, \quad t > 0$$

(ii) Let  $G \in L^{\infty}(0,T; \mathcal{D}(A_0^{\varepsilon}))$  with some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $a \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Then

(2.4) 
$$u(t) = S(t)a + \int_0^t K(t-s)G(s)ds, \quad t > 0$$

 $\text{ is in } C((0,T];H^2(\Omega)\cap H^1_0(\Omega)) \text{ and satisfies } \partial_t^\alpha u \in L^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega)),$ 

(2.5) 
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^{\alpha} u(t) = -A_0 u(t) + G(t), \quad t > 0, \\ \lim_{t \to 0} \|u(\cdot, t) - a\| = 0, \\ u(\cdot, t) \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad 0 < t < T. \end{cases}$$

(iii) For each t > 0, there exists a constant C > 0 such that

 $||u(t)||_{H^2(\Omega)} \le C(t^{-\alpha}||a|| + ||A_0^{\varepsilon}G||_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}).$ 

**Remark 1.** We can prove stronger regularity of  $\partial_t^{\alpha} u$  but the lemma is sufficient for our purpose.

Proof. (of Lemma 2.1).

(i) We can refer to Gorenflo, Luchko and Yamamoto [7], and for completeness we give the proof. First we note

(2.6) 
$$|E_{\alpha,1}(-\eta)| \le \frac{C}{1+\eta}, \quad \eta > 0$$

(e.g., Theorem 1.6 (p.35) in Podlubny [16]).

Since  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is an orthonormal basis in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , by (2.6) we have

$$||S(t)a||^{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a,\varphi_{n})|^{2} |E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_{n}t^{\alpha})|^{2}$$
$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a,\varphi_{n})|^{2} \left(\frac{C}{1+|\lambda_{n}t^{\alpha}|}\right)^{2} \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a,\varphi_{n})|^{2},$$

that is, (2.1) follows.

Next, since

$$A_0 S(t)a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, \varphi_n) \lambda_n E_{\alpha, 1}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha}) \varphi_n,$$

again by (2.6) we see

$$||A_0 S(t)a||^2 = t^{-2\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a,\varphi_n)|^2 |\lambda_n t^{\alpha}|^2 |E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha})|^2$$
$$\leq Ct^{-2\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a,\varphi_n)|^2 \left(\frac{|\lambda_n t^{\alpha}|}{1+|\lambda_n t^{\alpha}|}\right)^2, \quad t > 0,$$

which implies (2.2).

By (1.7), we have

$$A_0^{\gamma} K(t) a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^{\alpha - 1} E_{\alpha, \alpha}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha}) \lambda_n^{\gamma}(a, \varphi_n) \varphi_n,$$

and so

$$\begin{split} \|A_0^{\gamma}K(t)a\|^2 &\leq t^{2\alpha-2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{C}{(1+|\lambda_n t^{\alpha}|)^2} \lambda_n^{2\gamma} |(a,\varphi_n)|^2 \\ &= Ct^{2\alpha-2}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n^{2\gamma} t^{2\gamma\alpha}}{(1+|\lambda_n t^{\alpha}|)^2} t^{-2\alpha\gamma} |(a,\varphi_n)|^2 \\ &\leq Ct^{2(\alpha-\alpha\gamma)-2} \sup_{\xi\geq 0} \left(\frac{\xi^{\gamma}}{1+\xi}\right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a,\varphi_n)|^2. \end{split}$$

By  $0 \le \gamma \le 1$ , we see that  $\sup_{\xi \ge 0} \frac{\xi^{\gamma}}{1+\xi} < \infty$ , and so (2.3) can be seen. Thus the proof of Lemma 2.1 (i) is complete. (ii) In terms of e.g., Theorem 4.1 in [7] and Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 in [18], we already know some regularity of u(t).

By Theorem 2.1 (i) in [18] or by (2.1), we can verify that  $S(t)a \in C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega))$  and  $\lim_{t\to 0} ||S(t)a - a|| = 0$ . By (2.2), we see that

$$A_0\left(\sum_{n=1}^N (a,\varphi_n) E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^\alpha)\varphi_n\right)$$

converges in  $C([\delta, T]; L^2(\Omega))$  as  $N \to \infty$  with arbitrarily fixed  $\delta > 0$ . Therefore  $A_0S(t)a \in C([\delta, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ , which implies

(2.7) 
$$S(t)a \in C([\delta, T]; \mathcal{D}(A_0)) = C([\delta, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)).$$

Moreover, we can directly prove that  $\partial_t^{\alpha}(E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha})) = -\lambda_n E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha})$ , and obtain

$$\partial_t^{\alpha} S(t)a = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial_t^{\alpha} (E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha}))(a,\varphi_n)\varphi_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} -\lambda_n E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha})(a,\varphi_n)\varphi_n.$$

Hence, by (2.6) we see that

$$(2.8) \quad \|\partial_t^{\alpha} S(t)a\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2 |E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha})|^2 |(a,\varphi_n)|^2$$
$$= t^{-2\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_n t^{\alpha})^2 |E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha})|^2 |(a,\varphi_n)|^2$$
$$\leq Ct^{-2\alpha} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(a,\varphi_n)|^2 \left(\frac{\lambda_n t^{\alpha}}{1+\lambda_n t^{\alpha}}\right)^2 \leq Ct^{-2\alpha} \|a\|^2$$

and

(2.9) 
$$\partial_t^{\alpha} S(t) a \in C((0,T]; L^2(\Omega)).$$

By (2.3) with  $\gamma = 0$ , we can easily verify that

$$\left\| \int_0^t K(t-s)G(s)ds \right\| \le C \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \|G(s)\|ds$$

$$\leq C \|G\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Hence, with  $S(t)a \in C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega))$ , we see that  $\lim_{t\to 0} ||u(t) - a|| = 0$ . Moreover by Theorem 2.2 (i) in [18], we see

$$\partial_t^{\alpha} \left( \int_0^t K(t-s)G(s)ds \right) \in L^2(\Omega \times (0,T)).$$

This with (2.8), we obtain  $\partial_t^{\alpha} u \in L^1(0,T;L^2(\Omega)).$ 

Now we will prove

10

$$\int_0^t K(t-s)G(s)ds \in C((0,T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega))$$

For arbitrarily fixed  $0 < \delta_0 < \delta$ , we set

$$v_{\delta_0}(t) = \int_0^{t-\delta_0} A_0 K(t-s) G(s) ds, \quad t \ge \delta.$$

By (2.3) we can see that  $v_{\delta_0} \in C([\delta, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ . For  $\delta \leq t \leq T$ , by (2.3) we estimate

$$\left\|\int_{0}^{t} A_{0}K(t-s)G(s)ds - v_{\delta_{0}}(t)\right\| = \left\|\int_{t-\delta_{0}}^{t} A_{0}K(t-s)G(s)ds\right\|$$
$$= \left\|\int_{t-\delta_{0}}^{t} A_{0}^{1-\varepsilon}K(t-s)A_{0}^{\varepsilon}G(s)ds\right\| \leq C\int_{t-\delta_{0}}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha\varepsilon-1} \|A_{0}^{\varepsilon}G(s)\|ds\|$$
$$\leq C \|A_{0}^{\varepsilon}G\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} \frac{\delta_{0}^{\alpha\varepsilon}}{\alpha\varepsilon}.$$

Hence

$$v_{\delta_0} \longrightarrow \int_0^t A_0 K(t-s) G(s) ds$$
 in  $C([\delta, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ 

as  $\delta_0 \to 0$ , and by  $v_{\delta_0} \in C([\delta, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ , we conclude that

$$\int_0^t K(t-s)G(s)ds \in C([\delta,T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega))$$

for any  $\delta > 0$ , and then

$$\int_0^t K(t-s)G(s)ds \in C((0,T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega))$$

Consequently by (2.7), we obtain  $u \in C((0,T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega))$ . Finally, by (2.3) we have

$$\left\|A_0\int_0^t K(t-s)G(s)ds\right\| = \left\|\int_0^t A_0^{1-\varepsilon}K(t-s)A_0^{\varepsilon}G(s)ds\right\|$$
$$\leq C\int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha\varepsilon-1} \|A_0^{\varepsilon}G(s)\|ds \leq C \|A_0^{\varepsilon}G\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \frac{t^{\alpha\varepsilon}}{\alpha\varepsilon}.$$

With (2.2), the proof of the part (iii) is complete. Thus the proof of Lemma 2.1 is complete.  $\hfill \Box$ 

Henceforth we set

$$Bv(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} b_j(x)\partial_j v(x) + c(x)v(x), \quad v \in \mathcal{D}(B) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega).$$

Next by Lemma 2.1, we can prove

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $F \in L^{\infty}(0,T; \mathcal{D}(A_0^{\varepsilon}))$  with some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $a \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Then the solution u to (1.1) belongs to

$$C((0,T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega))$$

and there exists a constant C > 0 depending on T, such that

$$\|u(T)\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C(t^{-\alpha}\|a\| + \|A_{0}^{\varepsilon}F\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}), \quad t > 0.$$

*Proof.* (of Lemma 2.2). Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}$ . By Lemma 2.1, we have

(2.10) 
$$u(t) = S(t)a + \int_0^t K(t-s)F(s)ds + \int_0^t K(t-s)Bu(s)ds.$$

By Gorenflo, Luchko and Yamamoto [7] or Kubica, Ryszewska and Yamamoto [12], we know that there exists a unique solution  $u \in C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega))$  to (2.10). Applying  $A_0$  to equation (2.10), we have

$$A_{0}u(t) = A_{0}S(t)a + \int_{0}^{t} A_{0}^{1-\varepsilon}K(t-s)A_{0}^{\varepsilon}F(s)ds + \int_{0}^{t} A_{0}^{1-\varepsilon}K(t-s)A_{0}^{\varepsilon}Bu(s)ds.$$

Then, applying Lemma 2.1 (i), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)} &\leq Ct^{-\alpha} \|a\| + C \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha\varepsilon-1} ds \|A_{0}^{\varepsilon}F\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} \\ &+ C \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha\varepsilon-1} \|u(s)\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)} ds \\ &\leq C(t^{-\alpha} \|a\| + \|A_{0}^{\varepsilon}F\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}) + C \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha\varepsilon-1} \|u(s)\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)} ds \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the following: by  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}$  we have  $||A_0^{\varepsilon}v|| \sim ||v||_{H^{2\varepsilon}(\Omega)}$ for  $v \in \mathcal{D}(A_0^{\varepsilon}) = H^{2\varepsilon}(\Omega)$  (e.g., Fujiwara [6]), and so

$$\|A_0^{\varepsilon}Bu(s)\| \le C \|Bu(s)\|_{H^{2\varepsilon}(\Omega)} \le C \|u(s)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}$$

because  $Bu(s) \in H^1(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{D}(A_0^{\varepsilon})$  by  $u(s) \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ . The generalized Gronwall inequality (e.g., Henry [9] or Lemma A.2 in [12]) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)} &\leq C(t^{-\alpha}\|a\| + \|A_{0}^{\varepsilon}F\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}) \\ &+ Ce^{Ct} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{\alpha\varepsilon-1} (s^{-\alpha}\|a\| + \|A_{0}^{\varepsilon}F\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}) ds \\ &\leq C(t^{-\alpha}\|a\| + \|A_{0}^{\varepsilon}F\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))}) \\ &+ Ce^{Ct} \left( t^{\alpha\varepsilon-\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha\varepsilon)\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha+\alpha\varepsilon)} \|a\| + \frac{t^{\alpha\varepsilon}}{\alpha\varepsilon} \|A_{0}^{\varepsilon}F\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\Omega))} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$||u(t)||_{H^2(\Omega)} \le C(t^{-\alpha}||a|| + ||A_0^{\varepsilon}F||_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2(\Omega))})$$

Thus the proof of Lemma 2.2 is complete.

Finally we know

**Lemma 2.3.** For T > 0, the operator

$$S(T): L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$$

is surjective and there exist constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that

$$C_1 ||S(T)a||_{H^2(\Omega)} \le ||a|| \le C_2 ||S(T)a||_{H^2(\Omega)}.$$

Lemma 2.3 is proved as Theorem 4.1 in [18], whose proof is based on the representation of S(T)a by the eigenfunction expansion and the complete monotonicity of  $E_{\alpha,1}(-\lambda_n t^{\alpha})$  (e.g., Gorenflo and Mainardi [8], Pollard [17]).

### 3. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** In terms of the lower-order part B of the elliptic operator -A, we can rewrite (1.1) as

(3.1) 
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^{\alpha} u(t) = -A_0 u(t) + B u(t), \quad t > 0, \\ u(0) = a, \\ u(t) \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad 0 < t < T. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.1 (ii), we have

(3.2) 
$$b := u_a(T) = S(T)a + \int_0^T K(T-s)Bu_a(s)ds.$$

Here, by  $u_a(t)$ , we denote the solution to (3.1). Applying Lemma 2.3 to (3.2), we obtain

(3.3) 
$$a = S(T)^{-1}b - S(T)^{-1} \int_0^T K(T-s)Bu_a(s)ds =: S(T)^{-1}b - La,$$

where

(3.4) 
$$La = S(T)^{-1} \int_0^T K(T-s) B u_a(s) ds$$

**First Step.** We prove that  $L: L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  is a compact operator. We set

$$L_0 a = \int_0^T K(T-s) B u_a(s) ds, \quad a \in L^2(\Omega).$$

Then  $La = S(T)^{-1}L_0a$ .

We choose  $0 < \delta_0 < \delta_1 < \frac{1}{4}$ . We will estimate  $||A_0^{1+\delta_0}L_0a||$ . We note that  $A_0^{\gamma}K(t)a = K(t)A_0^{\gamma}a$  for  $\gamma \ge 0$  and  $a \in \mathcal{D}(A_0^{\gamma})$ , which can be directly verified. By (2.3), we have

$$\begin{split} \|A_0^{1+\delta_0}L_0a\| &= \left\| \int_0^T A_0^{1+\delta_0} K(T-s) Bu_a(s) ds \right\| \\ &= \left\| \int_0^T A_0^{1+\delta_0-\delta_1} K(T-s) A_0^{\delta_1} B(u_a(s)) ds \right\| \\ &\leq C \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha(\delta_1-\delta_0)-1} \|Bu_a(s)\|_{H^1(\Omega)} ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha(\delta_1-\delta_0)-1} s^{-\alpha} \|a\| ds. \end{split}$$

For the last inequality, we used  $0 < \delta_0 < \delta_1 < \frac{1}{4}$ , and  $b_j, c \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ and Lemma 2.2, and  $\mathcal{D}(A_0^{\delta_1}) = H^{2\delta_1}(\Omega)$  (e.g., [6]) and

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_0^{\delta_1} B u_a(s)\| &\leq C \|B u_a(s)\|_{H^{2\delta_1}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \|u_a(s)\|_{H^{1+2\delta_1}(\Omega)} \leq C \|A_0 u_a(s)\| \leq C s^{-\alpha} \|a\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$||A_0^{1+\delta_0}L_0a|| \le C||a|| \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha(\delta_1-\delta_0)-1} s^{-\alpha} ds$$
  
= $CT^{\alpha(\delta_1-\delta_0-1)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha(\delta_1-\delta_0))\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha+\alpha(\delta_1-\delta_0))} ||a||$ 

because  $\delta_1 - \delta_0 > 0$ .

Since  $\mathcal{D}(A_0^{1+\delta_0}) \subset H^{2+2\delta_0}(\Omega)$  and the embedding  $H^{2+2\delta_0}(\Omega) \longrightarrow H^2(\Omega)$  is compact, the operator  $L_0: L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow H^2(\Omega)$  is compact. Moreover  $S(T)^{-1}: H^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  is bounded by Lemma 2.3, we see that  $L = S(T)^{-1}L_0: L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  is a compact operator.

**Second Step.** Since  $b \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$ , by Lemma 2.3 we have  $p := S(T)^{-1}b \in L^2(\Omega)$  and we rewrite (3.3) as

$$(3.5) \qquad (1+L)a = p \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega)$$

In the First Step, we already prove that  $L : L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  is compact. Hence if we will prove that

$$La = -a \quad \text{implies} \quad a = 0,$$

then the Fredholm alternative yields that  $(1+L)^{-1} : L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is a bounded operator, and the proof can be finished.

Equation (3.6) implies

$$S(T)a + \int_0^T K(T-s)Bu_a(s)ds = 0 \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega).$$

Then we have to prove a = 0. For it, by means of Lemma 2.1 (ii), it is sufficient to prove that if w satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^{\alpha} w(t) = -Aw(t), \\ w(t) \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad 0 < t < T \end{cases}$$

and w(T) = 0 in  $L^{2}(\Omega)$ , then w(0) = 0.

We recall that the operator A is defined by (1.2) with  $\mathcal{D}(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$ . Then it is known that the spectrum  $\sigma(A)$  of A consists entirely of eigenvalues with finite multiplicities. We denote  $\sigma(A)$  by

 $\{\mu_1, \mu_2, ...\}$ . Here  $\sigma(A)$  is a set and so  $\mu_i$  and  $\mu_j$ ,  $i \neq j$  are mutually distinct. Let  $P_n$  be the projection for  $\mu_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  which is defined by

$$P_n = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{\gamma(\mu_n)} (z-A)^{-1} dz,$$

where  $\gamma(\mu_n)$  is a circle centered at  $\mu_n$  with sufficiently small radius such that the disc bounded by  $\gamma(\mu_n)$  does not contain any points in  $\sigma(A) \setminus {\mu_n}$ , Then  $P_n : L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  is a bound linear operator and  $P_n^2 = P_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (e.g., Kato [10]). Setting  $m_n := \dim P_n L^2(\Omega)$ , we have  $m_n < \infty$ .

The following is a fundamental fact.

**Lemma 3.1.** If  $y \in L^2(\Omega)$  satisfies  $P_n y = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then y = 0.

*Proof.* First we note

$$-(A^*v)(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_i (a_{ij}\partial_j v) - \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_j (b_j v) + c(x)v, \quad \mathcal{D}(A^*) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega),$$

where  $A^*$  is the adjoint operator of A. Let  $P_n^*$  be the adjoint operator of  $P_n$ :  $(P_n\varphi,\psi) = (\varphi, P_n^*\psi)$  for each  $\varphi, \psi \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

Then it is known (e.g., [10]) that  $\sigma(A^*) = {\overline{\mu_n}}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $\overline{\mu}$  denotes the complex conjugate of  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $P_n^*$  is the projection for the eigenvalue  $\overline{\mu_n}$  of  $A^*$ , and dim  $P_n^* L^2(\Omega) = \dim P_n L^2(\Omega) = m_n$ . Then by Theorem 16.5 in Agmon [2], we have

$$\overline{\operatorname{Span}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}P_n^*L^2(\Omega)}=L^2(\Omega),$$

that is,

(3.7) 
$$(y, P_n^*\psi) = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \psi \in L^2(\Omega) \quad \text{imply } y = 0.$$

Now we can complete the proof of Lemma 3.1. Let  $P_n y = 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(P_n y, \psi) = 0$  for all  $\psi \in L^2(\Omega)$ . Therefore  $0 = (P_n y, \psi) = (y, P_n^* \psi)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\psi \in L^2(\Omega)$ , which yields y = 0 by (3.7).  $\Box$  Third Step: completion of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let we note  $\partial_t^{\alpha}(P_n u(t)) = P_n \partial_t^{\alpha} u(t)$  because  $P_n : L^2(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  is a bounded operator. We set  $u_n(t) = P_n u(t)$ . Then

$$P_nAu_n(t) = Au_n(t) = -\mu_n u_n(t) + D_n u_n(t),$$

where  $D_n$  is an operator satisfying  $D_n^{m_n} = O$ , which corresponds to the Jordan canonical form. Then (3.1) yields

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^{\alpha} u_n(t) = (-\mu_n + D_n) u_n(t), \\ u_n(0) = P_n a, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

We can define an operator  $E_{\alpha,1}((-\mu_n + D_n)t^{\alpha})$  by the power series:

$$E_{\alpha,1}((-\mu_n + D_n)t^{\alpha}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\mu_n + D_n)^k t^{\alpha k}}{\Gamma(\alpha k + 1)}, \quad t > 0.$$

Then we can directly verify

(3.8) 
$$u_n(t) = E_{\alpha,1}((-\mu_n + D_n)t^{\alpha})P_na, \quad t > 0.$$

Now we calculate the right-hand side of (3.8). Correspondingly to the Jordan canonical form, we can choose a suitable basis of  $P_n L^2(\Omega)$ :

$$\psi_j^k : k = 1, ..., \ell_n, \quad j = 1, ..., d_k$$

satisfying  $\sum_{k=1}^{\ell_n} d_k = m_n$ , and

$$\begin{cases} (A - \mu_n)\psi_1^k = 0, \\ (A - \mu_n)\psi_2^k = \psi_1^k, \\ \dots \dots \dots , \\ (A - \mu_n)\psi_{d_k}^k = \psi_{d_k-1}^k, \quad 1 \le k \le \ell_n. \end{cases}$$

We expand  $P_n a$  in terms of this basis in  $P_n L^2(\Omega)$ :

$$P_n a = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell_n} \sum_{j=1}^{d_k} a_j^k \psi_j^k.$$

Then

18

$$E_{\alpha,1}((-\mu_n+D_n)t^{\alpha})(\psi_1^k\psi_2^k\cdots\psi_{d_k}^k)\begin{pmatrix}a_1^k\\\vdots\\a_{d_k}^k\end{pmatrix}$$
$$=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}t^{\alpha m}\frac{(-\mu_n+D_n)^m}{\Gamma(\alpha m+1)}(\psi_1^k\psi_2^k\cdots\psi_{d_k}^k)\begin{pmatrix}a_1^k\\\vdots\\a_{d_k}^k\end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (\psi_1^k \, \psi_2^k \cdots \psi_{d_k}^k) \\ \times \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{\alpha m}}{\Gamma(\alpha m+1)} \begin{pmatrix} -\mu_n^m & * & \cdots & * & * \\ 0 & -\mu_n^m & \cdots & * & * \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\mu_n^m & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\mu_n^m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^k \\ \vdots \\ a_{d_k}^k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $u_n(T) = 0$ , we see that each component of the above is equal to 0 at t = T, and so

(3.9) 
$$\begin{cases} E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha})a_1^k + \sum_{p=2}^{d_k} \theta_{1p}a_p^k = 0, \\ E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha})a_2^k + \sum_{p=3}^{d_k} \theta_{2p}a_p^k = 0, \\ \dots \\ E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha})a_{d_k-1}^k + \theta_{d_k-1,d_k}a_{d_k}^k = 0, \\ E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha})a_{d_k}^k = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\theta_{jp}$  with  $j+1 \leq p \leq d_k$  and  $j = 1, ..., d_k - 1$ , are some constants depending also on T. By the complete monotonicity (e.g., Gorenflo and Mainardi [8], and Pollard [17]), we see that  $E_{\alpha,1}(-\mu_n T^{\alpha}) \neq 0$ . Therefore by the backward substitution in (3.9), we can sequentially obtain  $a_{d_k}^k = 0, a_{d_k-1}^k = 0, ..., a_1^k = 0$  for  $k = 1, ..., \ell_n$ . Hence  $P_n a = 0$ for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we reach a = 0 in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Thus the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let w = w(t) be the solution to

$$\partial_t^{\alpha} w(t) = -Aw(t) + F, \quad t > 0,$$
  
$$w(0) = 0, \quad w(t) \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad t > 0$$

Since  $F \in L^{\infty}(0, T; \mathcal{D}(A_0^{\varepsilon}))$ , Lemma 2.2 proves that  $w \in C((0, T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ . We consider

(3.10) 
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^{\alpha} v(t) = -Av(t), & t > 0, \\ v(T) = b - w(T), & v(t) \in H_0^1(\Omega), & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 1.1, for  $b \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)$ , there exists a unique solution  $v \in C([0,T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap C((0,T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega))$  such that  $\partial_t^{\alpha} v \in C((0,T]; L^2(\Omega))$  to (3.10). Setting u = v + w, we see that u(T) = b - w(T) + w(T) = b. Then we can verify that u satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^{\alpha} u(t) = -Au(t) + F(t), \quad t > 0, \\ u(T) = b, \quad u(t) \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad t > 0. \end{cases}$$

The uniqueness of u is seen by Theorem 1.1. Thus the proof of Theorem 1.3 is complete.

In future projects we would investigate similar problems where the principal part is an elliptic operator of order greater than 2, like in [5],

and in the case of applied systems like [3]. Moreover we would study related inverse problems similarly to [3], [4] and [11].

#### Acknowledgment

The authors are indebted to the anonymous referee for the criticism and the useful suggestions which have made this paper easier to read and understand.

This work is also supported by the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (IN $\delta$ AM), through the GNAMPA Research Project 2019. Moreover, this research was performed in the framework of the French-German-Italian Laboratoire International Associé (LIA), named COPDESC, on Applied Analysis, issued by CNRS, MPI and IN $\delta$ AM.

#### References

- [1] R.A. Adams, Sobolev Spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] S. Agmon, Lectures on Elliptic Boundary Value Problems, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1965.
- P. Cannarsa, G. Floridia, F. Gölgeleyen, M. Yamamoto, Inverse coefficient problems for a transport equation by local Carleman estimate, Inverse Problems (IOS Science), 35 no. 10 (2019) https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6420/ab1c69, http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.06355 (2019).
- [4] P. Cannarsa, G. Floridia, M. Yamamoto, Observability inequalities for transport equations through Carleman estimates, Springer INdAM series, Vol. 32, (2019), doi:10.1007/978-3-030-17949-6-4, Trends in Control Theory and Partial Differential Equations, by F. Alabau-Boussouira, F. Ancona, A. Porretta, C. Sinestrari; https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.05005.
- [5] G. Floridia, M. A. Ragusa, Differentiability and partial Hölder continuity of solutions of nonlinear elliptic systems, *Journal of Convex Analysis*, **19** no.1, (2012) 63-90.

- [6] D. Fujiwara, Concrete characterization of the domains of fractional powers of some elliptic differential operators of the second order, Proc. Japan Acad. 43 (1967) 82-86.
- [7] R. Gorenflo, Y. Luchko, and M. Yamamoto, Time-fractional diffusion equation in the fractional Sobolev spaces, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 18 (2015) 799-820.
- [8] R. Gorenflo and F. Mainardi, Fractional calculus: Integral and differential equations of fractional order, in: A. Carpinteri and F. Mainardi (Eds.), Fractals and Fractional Calculus in Continuum Mechanics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997, pp. 223-276.
- D. Henry, Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.
- [10] T. Kato, Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [11] A.Y. Khapalov, P. Cannarsa, F.S. Priuli, G. Floridia, Wellposedness of a 2-D and 3-D swimming models in the incompressible fluid governed by Navier-Stokes equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 429, no. 2 (2015) 1059-1085.
- [12] A. Kubica, K. Ryszewska, and M. Yamamoto, Introduction to a Theory of Time-fractional Partial Differential Equations, Springer Japan, Tokyo, 2020.
- [13] A. Kubica and M. Yamamoto, Initial-boundary value problems for fractional diffusion equations with time-dependent coefficients, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 21 (2018) 276-311.
- [14] J.-J. Liu and M. Yamamoto, A backward problem for the time-fractional diffusion equation, Appl. Anal. 89 (2010) 1769-1788.
- [15] A. Pazy, Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [16] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.

- [17] H. Pollard, The completely monotonic character of the Mittag-Leffler function  $E_{\alpha}(-x)$ , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 54 (1948) 115-116.
- K. Sakamoto and M. Yamamoto, Initial value/boundary value problems for fractional diffusion-wave equations and applications to some inverse problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 382 (2011) 426-447.
- [19] N. H. Tuan, L.N. Huynh, T.B. Ngoc, and Y. Zhou, On a backward problem for nonlinear fractional diffusion equations, Applied Mathematics Letters 92 (2019) 76-84.
- [20] N. H. Tuan, L. D. Long, and S. Tatar, Tikhonov regularization method for a backward problem for the inhomogeneous time-fractional diffusion equation, Appl. Anal. 97 (2018) 842-863.
- [21] N.H. Tuan, T.N. Thach, D. O'Regan, and N.H. Can, Backward problem for time fractional reaction-diffusion equation with nonlinear source and discrete data, preprint: arXiv:1910.14204
- [22] L. Wang and J.-J. Liu, Data regularization for a backward time-fractional diffusion problem, Comp. Math. Appl. 64 (2012) 3613-3626.
- [23] L. Wang and J.-J. Liu, Total variation regularization for a backward timefractional diffusion problem, Inverse Problems 29 (2013) 115013.
- [24] J.-G. Wang, T. Wei, and Y.-B. Zhou, Tikhonov regularization method for a backward problem for the time-fractional diffusion equation, Appl. Math. Model. 37 (2013) 8518-8532.
- [25] T. Wei and J.-G. Wang, A modified quasi-boundary value method for the backward time-fractional diffusion problem, ESAIM: Math. Model. Numer. Anal. 48 (2014) 603-621.
- [26] X.-T. Xiong, J.-X. Wang, and M. Li, An optimal method for fractional heat conduction problem backward in time, Appl. Anal. 91 (2012) 823-840.
- [27] M. Yang and J.-J. Liu, Solving a final value fractional diffusion problem by boundary condition regularization, Appl. Numer. Math. 66 (2013) 45-58.

[28] R. Zacher, Weak solutions of abstract evolutionary integro-differential equations in Hilbert spaces, Funkcial. Ekvac. 52 (2009) 1-18.