

# CONSTRUCTION PRODUCTIVITY GRAPH: A STRUCTURED FRAMEWORK TO ENHANCE PRODUCTIVITY AND SAFETY ON CONSTRUCTION SITES

Francesco Livio Rossini<sup>1</sup> and Gabriele Novembri<sup>2</sup>

## ABSTRACT

The construction industry is characterised by the constant production of unique products in dynamic contexts where, moreover, the workplace coincides with the product itself. This limits the adoption of standardization and process automation methodologies. Furthermore, the average size of professional firms and companies often does not allow for investments in process innovation or skills development. Then, we need to consider that construction is a highly risky activity, also to the lack of standardization as aforementioned.

The paper aims to present the framework of a methodology based on linking the information managed by a BIM model with Agent-based simulation techniques - ABS. The scope is to simulate the duration of the work under efficient conditions, understood as the best occupation of the available areas by a suitable number of workers. The result is the Construction Productivity Graph - CPG, a graph that indicates the optimization level of the construction process.

## KEYWORDS

Project construction management, agent-based modelling and Simulation, health & safety management, location based management – LBM, business process modeling – BPM.

## INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, the situation of the construction industry sees an important recovery in demand against a significant increase in the cost of raw materials. This, combined with the difficulty in finding skilled labor, has led to a significant increase in costs, after decades of stagnation and recession in the construction sector.

This situation leads to a renewed interest in reducing the resources to be used in building processes, above all by aiming to reduce waste in terms of materials and time spent.

This research has the objective of saving resources by optimizing construction times, intervening through more efficient management of the work areas. At the same time, it is important to reduce interference, an important cause of injuries during construction works. So, the optimized management of the areas also brings a higher level of safety, given by the lack of overlapping of activities with different risks which, if overlapped, can cause accidents. Finally, especially in the early experimental stages, it was possible to verify how this type of approach also leads to an optimization of the supply chain, due to the possibility of managing the material storage areas in a better way and reducing wasted time due to inefficient material handling.

---

<sup>1</sup> Post-Doc researcher, Department of Civil, Constructional and Environmental engineering DICEA, Sapienza – University of Rome, [francesco.rossini@uniroma1.it](mailto:francesco.rossini@uniroma1.it), [orcid.org/0000-0001-7577-0219](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7577-0219)

<sup>2</sup> Associate Professor, Department of Civil, Constructional and Environmental engineering DICEA, Sapienza – University of Rome, [Gabriele.novembri@uniroma1.it](mailto:Gabriele.novembri@uniroma1.it), [orcid.org/0000-0002-9283-3872](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9283-3872)

(Amiri et al., 2017) and the reworking activities due to variations, which can also result from design errors (Scherer & Schapke, 2011). This issue is known in the building industry for decades, finding a whole series of answers in the application of lean methodologies. However, their diffusion is affected by the traditionally low interest of the construction industry towards technological innovation. Furthermore, it should be considered that these approaches still require investments which, in an economic context that sees companies fragmented in size that limit the possibility of investments, ends up always believing in consolidated procedures or, in the worst-case scenario, evaluating the inefficiency more cost-effective. Finally, it should be noted that a process supplied with optimization methodologies has a lower accident rate, due to the organizational level that lie beneath optimization techniques in general (Elghaish et al., 2019).

An important contribution to process optimization has been given by the growing diffusion of the BIM methodology. This allows the exchange of information through a single and shared model, on which a whole series of verification and analysis activities capable of increasing productivity and the reliability of results can be activated.

In the proposed framework, the BIM model is connected to an agent-based simulation model via API. Each component of the model is connected to an agent equipped with rules, objectives, and behaviors to achieve them. These aspects, typical of agents, allow the model to adapt to any changes in objective (design intent) and context (BIM environment). Furthermore, the agent can carry out self-test activities, and therefore dynamically verify the maintenance of any parameters set by the designer.

Once the characteristics of the project have been defined, the agent model activates a 'Master Actor' who, being hierarchically above the others, has the role of activating the agents who represent the working groups. These are related to the work to be carried out in the assigned work area (location) therefore the agent system defines the duration and the number of resources foreseen. The result is the representation of the Construction Productivity Graph - CPG, a synthesis of these computational processes aimed at explaining the location of tasks and the appointment of the number of workers per construction site area. The dynamism of this methodology is therefore useful not only in the design phase, but also during the execution and management of the construction site, since it is sensitive to variations, unforeseen events and changes of objective, through continuous and traceable updating of the project database (BIM model).

## **BACKGROUND**

### **ABOUT ADVANCEMENT OF LEAN CONSTRUCTION**

The lean methodology is based globally on the reduction of waste that can be generated along the course of a process. The objective is in optimizing of the chain of labor and the reduction of resources employed to parity of result, more and more current thing in consideration of the current scarcity of energy and raw materials (Carvajal-Arango et al., 2019).

From the point of view of building production, optimizing processes also means taking care of the quality of concrete production operations, with repercussions on safety and quality of working life in general. So (Schimanski et al., 2021) analyses that, in the construction industry, the most widely used lean techniques are Just In Time - JIT, Total Quality Management - TQM and Location Planning System - LPS. In addition, from the experience of the years of the pandemic there is a growing interest in Agile, thanks to the rapid introduction of the techniques of dematerialization of processes even in a very traditional and focused on the real site, such as construction sector is. The growing, spreading of BIM then led to the development of specific prototypes such as KanBIM (Gurevich & Sacks, 2014). The goal of KanBIM is to control the workflow and visualize processes parameters inside a BIM model, where their entities represent the information database of the whole system. However, by analyzing the comparative tests

produced on these tools, we can see that the main issue is the link between the BIM entity and the production of the optimized process diagrams according to Lean logic (Babalola et al., 2019).

The advantages of these methodologies, considering the current level of development and definition, concern above all a better control of aspects such as space management, the effectiveness of the logistic chain and an improved responsibility of the technical figures involved in the working phases. From the point of view of the management of workforce, we can see - from the cognitive point of view - a possible drop in attention and operational responsibility on the part of workers, due to the repetitive and constant application of work patterns set by the system. This, combined with a lack of training, can lead to less reactivity and efficiency in case of exposure to unforeseen risky situations (Celik & Gul, 2021).

One of the most common causes of accidents on site is linked to the failure to manage interference, both from a spatial and temporal point of view. The construction site, unlike the industrial production line, is extremely dynamic and susceptible to having work crews interfering in the same area, but with different risks. Furthermore, consider how much the human factor can still influence the risk conditions in the building industry, another factor to be limited with the increase in automation in the building industry, a further reason for encouraging the introduction of industry 4.0 protocols to encourage continuous and constant connection between the forecast/simulation model and the reality of the construction site, with a view to the preventive reduction of overlapping situations. (Pregolato et al., 2022). A desirable goal is therefore to find a meeting point between human awareness in the management of repetitive actions, and process optimization understood as the systematic adoption of techniques and methods that are easily repeatable by workers, and which can also be transferred to worksite machines that can gradually be inserted into the work site, even as physical support for workers (eg. Exoskeleton) or wearable technologies in general. (Aguirre-Jofré et al., 2021).

The limits of this approach are the cost of installation and management, which are too expensive for small works, and to be applied in socio-economic contexts not technologically developed. Another important limit is the broadband availability, essential to ensure this flow of information. In construction works located in historic contexts, where thick walls or underground areas are present, it is difficult to ensure a complete ICT accessibility. It will be necessary to start thinking about site data networks intended as networks to be installed in parallel to the electrical ones, for making each point reachable by digital devices, also for linking these detailed models as required by the Digital Twin approach.

## **AGENT-BASED MODEL INTEGRATION FOR OVERCOMING THE LIMITS OF THE STATE OF THE ART**

A possible limitation of the above simulation models lies in the low weight assigned to the management of the working areas and tasks carried out by the workers, and in general for the management of overlaps. These, in addition to slowing down the working process and therefore the general quality of it, cause inefficiencies for the management of the supply chain, due to a reduced optimization of the spaces and therefore of the temporary storage space of the site.

The goal of an agent system is to predict the emerging behavior of the model (Khodabandelu & Park, 2021). This model is composed of agents, rules, behavior understood as the actions that enable the agent to comply with the rules and goals (Grignard et al., 2022). Of course, agent modelling is not the only way to introduce productivity into the decision-making process, as there are a whole series of methodologies that serve the same purpose, moreover they have already tried and tested in the manufacturing sector and considered for the construction sector such as Discrete Event Simulation - DES and System Dynamics – SD (Lyneis & Ford, 2007). DES and SD follow a top-down approach, in which a system is built at the macro level at the beginning, then hypotheses are proposed, and its validity is measured, which is deeply based on empirical analysis, thus being affected by the implicit knowledge of the technician setting

the model parameters (Fortino et al., 2005). Agent modelling follows the bottom-up approach, where the basis is the agents and the choices made to achieve their objectives in a heterogeneous or homogeneous/consequential manner, as is the case when pursuing swarm behavior (Zhang et al., 2019).

One of the fields in which the ABM approach is related to the solution of problems in the multi-options field, due to its ability to adapt the behaviors of the agents according to the different rules and objectives, according to the scenario. This dynamism makes the ABM simulation approach closer to the current building design and production, which is always determined by a constantly evolving context. In fact, while other simulation techniques tend to represent standardized actions in homogeneous contexts, the ABMS allows the modeling of the rules individually, and verifies the behavior of the agent both as a reaction of the individual to external stress, and through the verification of adaptation of the whole system with respect to external stimuli (Mathieu et al., 2018). However, ABM, following a bottom-up approach, can establish the interactive properties and characteristics of agents from the level of simple, reciprocal interactions, and thus produce an emergent result at the macro level. This characteristic makes ABM a preferable solution for the activation of what-if computational processes, without relying heavily on empirical analysis, excessive assumptions or directing the model in a preordained and biased direction (Khodabandelu & Park, 2021).

In conclusion, thanks to the ABM, the management of interferences for safety purposes can be much more accurate since it is effectively linked to dynamic changes in the context, and useful for the continuity checks that must be carried out to verify that the site is compliant with the H&S rules. At the same time, it is possible to increase the reliability of the forecast of the spaces occupied for the storage of materials and the installation of components, with related advantages over the management of the supply chain.

## METHODOLOGY

The aim of the research is to set up a methodology potentially able to reduce the uncertainties in building production, above all when given by the lack of knowledge of the positioning of the personnel and of the equipment used. The result is a constant check, conducted by the agent system on the data flow provided by the BIM model, with respect to compliance with the needs of productivity and compliance with safety. Then, it is possible to further improve the synergies between the detailed progress of the works and the evaluation of the interferences of risk prevention. From this point of view, the first phase of the work consists of an accurate modeling of the site and the building, for having a reliable match between the reality and the digital model. (Abune'meh et al., 2016). Therefore, the first phase analyzed concerns the expected modeling specifications, where the level of detail of the BIM elements must follow the overall needs, assuming for the identified purpose an always very high level, bordering on the needs related to facility management. These elements are then managed by a Work Breakdown Structure - WBS, capable of linking the properties of the element with the workings connected to it. Following consolidated workflows, the federated model (i.e. The model includes the various WBSs for the different technical domains), is subjected to clash detections, aimed to filter critical issues in the project right from the start. The positive contribution that the implicit (i.e. Subjective) knowledge of the human designer can give, which includes his evaluations, is not overlooked. These are useful both for the purposes of improving the project being defined, and for training a possible machine learning engine towards more suitable solutions in similar future cases. (Figure 1). Once a BIM model suitable for construction analysis has been got, agent-based simulations can be activated, which will define the number of workers required to perform the planned activities in the respective locations (Antwi-Afari et al., 2018). The location is the functional unit of the construction site, a set of locations encompassing homogeneous work where resources can be allocated. Once the work to be carried out in the

locations has been organized, the system automatically starts the verification of the propaedeutic and proximity to the horizontal and vertical communication ways of the construction site, for reducing wasted time and interference that may arise from the mere transit of materials through the site.




Figure 1: summary of the BIM model checking process.

## BIM MODEL VALDATION THROUG MICROSERVICES

This paper describes the methodological approach for a future case study to be applied to a real construction site. The first step consists in importing a BIM model with a minimum LOD of 400, for having a sufficient level of information due to a consistent checking phase, and at the same time enough information to feed the proposed simulation system, or other linked machine learning based tools.

To manage this huge amount of information, often coming from different actors and, above all, subject to numerous updates and alignments during the phases from design to construction, the two approaches of the BPMS protocol can be taken into consideration. The first concerns a centralized orchestrator, where there are a whole series of microservices that gravitate around a centralized management system. The second instead appears as a choreography of microservices where, in a circular and one-way direction, there is a continuous exchange of information where the previous actor updates/modifies the information and sometimes the actions of the predecessor.

The result of the comparison showed that, in this specific case, it was preferable to set up a centralized microservice orchestrator for a matter of scalability of the system and identification of the contribution of the single microservice (Figure 2).




Figure 2: On the Left, the Conceptual Schema of a Centralized Orchestrator; on the Right the Choreography One.

## AGENT MODELING FOR THE ASSIGNMENT OF LOCATION AND CREWS

Orchestration using microservices allows you to conduct a whole series of updates, variations, and checks without risking major project inconsistencies. Of particular importance will be the verification of correspondence between BIM elements, possibly updated with respect to all the checks carried out, and the connected work activities, according to the WBS approach.

The analysis of the workings is of particular importance for the subsequent modelling phase of the agents, since they will have to adapt their behavior with respect to the specific rules of the workings, both in terms of productivity and in terms of safety.

Once the modeling of the rules and behavior of the agents has been completed, their activation will be delegated to a Master Actor who, according to the logic of the actors, manages the workflows by activating or not the agents, according to the breakdown into phases envisaged by the WBS. Therefore, we model the WBS themselves as Agencies, i.e. Systems that contain within them other agents that represent simple components and elements. The most important rules regarding the location of the intervention, the productivity of each working team and the need for operating space, and the respect of priorities or the sequence in general of the work activity analysis. The establishment of these rules is decisive for managing the optimization of times and resources, given that a large part of the optimization conditions derives from the possibility of using multiple locations, managing interferences and the possibility of using shared logistic conditions to reduce the areas transit and handling of loads on site, conditions characterized by a high risk of accidents. This activity also helps to correctly evaluate the lost time of transport within the site. The result is the production of a table that summarizes the number of resources to be employed, the location to occupy and the duration of the work. (Figure 3).

Construction Productivity Graph: a Structured Framework to Enhance Productivity and Safety on Construction Sites

Starting Date		1		2		3		3		4	
17/11/2022 8.00	Location 1 (2h)	Location 1 Start	Location 1 Finish	Location 2 (2h)	Location 2 Start	Location 2 Finish	Location 3 (2h)	Location 3 Start	Location 3 Finish	Location 4 (2h)	
Task 1	32	17/11/22 8.00	21/11/22 13.20	32	21/11/22 13.20	24/11/22 10.40	32	24/11/22 10.40	29/11/22 8.00	32	
Task 2	38	16/12/22 10.40	19/12/22 15.20	38	19/12/22 15.20	21/12/22 12.00	38	21/12/22 12.00	23/12/22 8.40	38	
Task 3	105	3/1/23 16.00	6/1/23 13.00	105	6/1/23 13.00	11/1/23 10.00	105	11/1/23 10.00	13/1/23 15.00	105	
Task 4	25	16/1/23 8.00	18/1/23 8.40	25	18/1/23 8.40	20/1/23 9.20	25	20/1/23 9.20	24/1/23 10.00	25	
Task 5	50	7/2/23 13.20	10/2/23 14.20	50	10/2/23 14.20	15/2/23 15.20	50	15/2/23 15.20	21/2/23 8.20	50	
Task 6	72	23/2/23 8.00	27/2/23 8.00	72	27/2/23 8.00	1/3/23 8.00	72	1/3/23 8.00	3/3/23 8.00	72	
Teams Name		Members									
Team 1	3	17/11/22 8.00	21/11/22 13.20	24/11/22 10.40	29/11/22 8.00	1/12/22 13.20	6/12/22 10.40	9/12/22 8.00	13/12/22 13.20	16/12/22 10.40	
Team 2	6	16/12/22 10.40	19/12/22 15.20	21/12/22 12.00	23/12/22 8.40	26/12/22 13.20	28/12/22 10.00	29/12/22 14.40	2/1/23 11.20	3/1/23 16.00	
Team 3	10	3/1/23 16.00	6/1/23 13.00	11/1/23 10.00	13/1/23 15.00	18/1/23 12.00	23/1/23 9.00	25/1/23 14.00	30/1/23 11.00	2/2/23 8.00	
Team 4	3	16/1/23 8.00	18/1/23 8.40	20/1/23 9.20	24/1/23 10.00	26/1/23 10.40	30/1/23 11.20	1/2/23 12.00	3/2/23 12.40	7/2/23 13.20	
Team 5	4	7/2/23 13.20	10/2/23 14.20	15/2/23 15.20	21/2/23 8.20	24/2/23 9.20	1/3/23 10.20	6/3/23 11.20	9/3/23 12.20	14/3/23 13.20	
Team 6	9	23/2/23 8.00	27/2/23 8.00	1/3/23 8.00	3/3/23 8.00	7/3/23 8.00	9/3/23 8.00	13/3/23 8.00	15/3/23 8.00	17/3/23 8.00	

Figure 3: The Output of the Agent-based Simulation, Indicating Tasks, Locations and Time Needed.

Once the number of workers has been got from the agent system in relation to the work to be carried out for each location, a new micro-service is activated, aimed at the graphic visualization and verification of interference in relation to the activities expected for each location and the number of workers used. Simple spreadsheets on accessible and widespread formats such as \*.xlsx were used to define these graphs. From there, the Location Based Structure is set up, so that the duration of work, the maintenance of the optimal workflow average and any overlaps can be fully visualized.

After an initial series of inferences, the agent system establishes a balance between the number of workers, the duration of the activities and the occupation of the areas. Once this information has been defined, graphically represented according to the standards of the Location Based Structure, in order to verify, also graphically, the existence of overlaps or interferences, as well as the possibility of having the expected number of workers.

To define these graphs and make them as interoperable and accessible to as wide an audience as possible, simple spreadsheets in \*.xlsx format were used.

The first result shows the expected number of people, the duration of the work and the teams involved (Figure 4), according to simple straight and oblique lines. This type of scheme is unique for each location, and in any case already guarantees, at a local level, initial checks on compliance with the maximum number of people allowed on site, on the equipment and on the possibility of managing areas for the storage of materials. Once the congruence of the works inserted in the individual areas has been verified, we can enter the result relating to the entire construction site into the system, to have a complete view of the optimized consecutiveness of the works.




Figure 4: CPG Sample: in Blue the Number of Workers; the Horizontal Lines Describe the Duration of Tasks and the Team Involved. Analysis Conducted for a Single Location.

A further step is the one-to-one link between these results and the BIM model, especially if it is not possible to proceed with the forecasts resulting from the simulation phases.

The objective, through the APIs of the BIM editors used, is to update the simulations on the basis of the information contained in the objects and also the opposite, so as to make the simulation model not only a tool for verifying and organizing the phases of processing, but also a design support, in the sense in which the BIM object can be adapted to the production and safety needs of the construction site..

## DISCUSSION

In a first development of this framework, we can observe an underestimation of the productivity reduction factor due to peak occupancy, and an overcrowding in certain areas that are also at high risk, such as in the hypothesis as shown in (fig. 05). Further improvements of this study will keep in analysis, real construction sites and their development compared to forecasting.

We can overcome this type of weakness could through the improvement of the predictive model techniques based on Bayesian statistics. So, in this way will be possible to enhance the correctness of a forecast produced by Bayesian analysis of previous similar cases. These forecasts will be based on expert judgement and the combination with the context. Finally, the future works need to overcome those issues:

- Scalability of the system: considering the large amount of detail required for BIM and agent modelling, there are major limitations regarding the machine's ability to process such large data volumes in a relatively short time. It could be interesting to evaluate the development of digital meta-models, in which will be show representative values of the project, to carry out rapid assessment, creating a new design level named 'constructive feasibility'.
- Forecast accuracy: to have an adequately accurate forecast model, it is necessary to feed the database of similar events with a good amount of data, as is for the 'big data' approach. This consideration may find many practical obstacles, since the construction plans of construction sites, execution methods and metrics of executed projects are sensitive information, proportional to the intended use of the building itself, and therefore problematic to publish. Likewise, it is difficult to create a common data sharing environment from the point of view of the production process of construction companies, which have part of their capitalization and value in the construction and maintenance of best practices and standardized procedures. So, it would be interesting to consider a free digital platform of references in this sense, produced by public institutions that, depending on the type of intervention carried out and the sensitivity of the building, could make available on an open platform with all information necessary to train data libraries dedicated to the construction elements of the building, and to the related agents and rules.
- Auto-generative knowledge: it would also be interesting to gather the experiences gained on the building site itself, and to consider these as a basis to improve the decision-making process for the following construction phases.



Construction Productivity Graph: a Structured Framework to Enhance Productivity and Safety on Construction Sites




Figure 5: An Overview of the Line of Balance of the Site.

## CONCLUSIONS

The proposed methodology takes as its reference the best practices related to lean construction applications, from which derives the conceptualization of CPG. In this management process, the BIM model is the accurate database from which to take and input data following the processing of the ABM simulation results. This information flow, guaranteed by the service orchestrator and managed via APIs, opens interesting perspectives from the point of view of the method's subsequent evolutions. Thus, other micro-services could be added to the workflow, to make the results increasingly accurate, but accepting a reduction in the modelling and simulation execution speed. From the point of view of 4.0 implementation, trusting also in the possibility of having an adequate ICT infrastructure, the possibilities of using wearable technologies connected to the simulation environment could be implemented. This could bring two results. The first one consists of an immediate communication between the system and the worker, who could be warned constantly about the activities to be carried out in the predetermined workplace; the latter instead concerns a continuous collection of data, to create an extensive database for subsequent machine learning applications.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND FUNDINGS

Case studies and implementations of the proposed methodology were also developed during the 'Construction Site Management' thesis workshop, academic year 2020/21. Authors: Edoardo De Santis (Eng, PhD c), Caterina Spoletini, (Eng, M.Sc.).

This work was supported by the Ministry of Education, University and Research – PRIN 2017 prot. 2017EY3ESB.

## REFERENCES

- Abune'meh, M., El Meouche, R., Hijaze, I., Mebarki, A., & Shahrour, I. (2016). Optimal construction site layout based on risk spatial variability. *Automation in Construction*, *70*, 167–177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2016.06.014>
- Aguirre-Jofré, H., Eyre, M., Valerio, S., & Vogt, D. (2021). Low-cost internet of things (IoT) for monitoring and optimising mining small-scale trucks and surface mining shovels. *Automation in Construction*, *131*, 103918. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2021.103918>
- Amiri, R., Sardroud, J. M., & Soto, B. G. de. (2017). BIM-based Applications of Metaheuristic Algorithms to Support the Decision-making Process: Uses in the Planning of Construction Site Layout. *Procedia Engineering*, *196*, 558–564. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2017.08.030>
- Antwi-Afari, M. F., Li, H., Seo, J., & Wong, A. Y. L. (2018). Automated detection and classification of construction workers' loss of balance events using wearable insole pressure sensors. *Automation in Construction*, *96*, 189–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2018.09.010>
- Babalola, O., Ibem, E. O., & Ezema, I. C. (2019). Implementation of lean practices in the construction industry: A systematic review. *Building and Environment*, *148*, 34–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2018.10.051>
- Carvajal-Arango, D., Bahamón-Jaramillo, S., Aristizábal-Monsalve, P., Vásquez-Hernández, A., & Botero, L. F. B. (2019). Relationships between lean and sustainable construction: Positive impacts of lean practices over sustainability during construction phase. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *234*, 1322–1337. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.05.216>
- Celik, E., & Gul, M. (2021). Hazard identification, risk assessment and control for dam construction safety using an integrated BWM and MARCOS approach under interval type-2 fuzzy sets environment. *Automation in Construction*, *127*, 103699. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2021.103699>

- Elghaish, F., Abrishami, S., Hosseini, M. R., Abu-Samra, S., & Gaterell, M. (2019). Integrated project delivery with BIM: An automated EVM-based approach. *Automation in Construction*, 106, 102907. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2019.102907>
- Fortino, G., Garro, A., & Russo, W. (2005). *A Discrete-Event Simulation Framework for the Validation of Agent-based and Multi-Agent Systems*.
- Grignard, A., Nguyen-Huu, T., Taillandier, P., Alonso, L., Ayoub, N., Elkatsha, M., Palomo, G., Gomez, M., Siller, M., Gamboa, M., Moreno, C. I., & Larson, K. (2022). Using Agent-Based Modelling to Understand Advantageous Behaviours Against COVID-19 Transmission in the Built Environment. In K. H. Van Dam & N. Verstaevel (Eds.), *Multi-Agent-Based Simulation XXII* (Vol. 13128, pp. 86–98). Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-94548-0\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-94548-0_7)
- Gurevich, U., & Sacks, R. (2014). Examination of the effects of a KanBIM production control system on subcontractors' task selections in interior works. *Automation in Construction*, 37, 81–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2013.10.003>
- Khodabandelu, A., & Park, J. (2021). Agent-based modeling and simulation in construction. *Automation in Construction*, 131, 103882. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2021.103882>
- Lyneis, J. M., & Ford, D. N. (2007). System dynamics applied to project management: A survey, assessment, and directions for future research. *System Dynamics Review*, 23(2–3), 157–189. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sdr.377>
- Mathieu, P., Morvan, G., & Picault, S. (2018). Multi-level agent-based simulations: Four design patterns. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 83, 51–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simpat.2017.12.015>
- Pregolato, M., Gunner, S., Voyagaki, E., De Risi, R., Carhart, N., Gavriel, G., Tully, P., Tryfonas, T., Macdonald, J., & Taylor, C. (2022). Towards Civil Engineering 4.0: Concept, workflow and application of Digital Twins for existing infrastructure. *Automation in Construction*, 141, 104421. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2022.104421>
- Scherer, R. J., & Schapke, S.-E. (2011). A distributed multi-model-based Management Information System for simulation and decision-making on construction projects. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 25(4), 582–599. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2011.08.007>
- Schimanski, C. P., Pradhan, N. L., Chaltsev, D., Pasetti Monizza, G., & Matt, D. T. (2021). Integrating BIM with Lean Construction approach: Functional requirements and production management software. *Automation in Construction*, 132, 103969. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2021.103969>
- Zhang, P., Li, N., Jiang, Z., Fang, D., & Anumba, C. J. (2019). An agent-based modeling approach for understanding the effect of worker-management interactions on construction workers' safety-related behaviors. *Automation in Construction*, 97, 29–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2018.10.015>



## IGLC 31 - Lille, France 2023

Download references: BibTeX (/Papers/ExportConferenceBibtex/33) | RIS Format (/Papers/ExportConferenceRis/33)

### Waste: Why Economics Got Is So Wrong, and What Could Be the Remedy?

Lauri Koskela, Glenn Ballard and Trond Bølviken

(/Papers/Details/2194)



(/Papers/Details/2194/pdf)

### Examining the Gap Between Construction Software Modules and Lean Contractor Practices

Matt Stevens and Kola Olayiwola

(/Papers/Details/2047)



(/Papers/Details/2047/pdf)

### Strategies for the Implementation of Greenbim in a Developing Country

Samuel A. Adekunle, Clinton Aigbavboa, Obuks Ejhwomu, Matthew Ikuabe and Tunde Aregbesola

(/Papers/Details/2049)



(/Papers/Details/2049/pdf)

### Building Information Modelling (BIM) for Project Planning: Measures to Improve Its Adoption

Opeoluwa Akinradewo, Olusegun Oguntona, Babatunde Ogunbayo, Clinton Aigbavboa and Gilbert Chimbadzwa

(/Papers/Details/2059)



(/Papers/Details/2059/pdf)

### Barriers to the Adoption of Building Information Modeling in Quantity Surveying Practice in South Africa

Kenneth Otasowie, Clinton Aigbavboa, Matthew Ikuabe, Peter Adekunle, Ayodeji Oke and Samuel Adekunle

(/Papers/Details/2078)



(/Papers/Details/2078/pdf)

## Application of Big Data and Internet of Things in the Built Environment: A Bibliometric Review

Peter Adekunle, Cliton Aigbavboa, Ayodeji Oke, Opeoluwa Akinradewo and Osamudiamen Otasowie

(/Papers/Details/2079)



(/Papers/Details/2079/pdf)

## Process Analysis With an Automatic Mapping of Performance Factors Using Natural Language Processing

Svenja Lauble, Philipp Zielke, Hongrui Chen and Shervin Haghsheno

(/Papers/Details/2080)



(/Papers/Details/2080/pdf)

## Promoting the IPD Delivery Method in Construction Projects: A BIM-Based Smart Contract Approach

Mohamed Assaf, Lena Salami, Diana Salhab and Ahmed Hammad

(/Papers/Details/2088)



(/Papers/Details/2088/pdf)

## Lean Supporting a Framework for the Construction Innovation Process

Bernardo Martim Beck da Silva Etges and Carla Schwengber ten Caten

(/Papers/Details/2096)



(/Papers/Details/2096/pdf)

## Zooming Into Workers' Psychology and Physiology Through a Lean Construction Lens

Lynn Shehab and Farook Hamzeh

(/Papers/Details/2101)



(/Papers/Details/2101/pdf)

## A Construction Information System as a Lean Information Management Enabler – Case Study

Yanh Ribeiro, Jorge Teixeira, Pedro Mêda, Joaquim Moreira, Rui Sousa and Hipólito Sousa

(/Papers/Details/2105)



(/Papers/Details/2105/pdf)

## Leveraging BIM and Mixed Reality to Actualize Lean Construction

Alessandro Carbonari, Massimiliano Pirani and Alberto Giretti

(/Papers/Details/2111)



(/Papers/Details/2111/pdf)

## Barriers to BIM Implementation in Bridge Construction: A Case Study

Eric Forcael, Alonso Castro, Francisco Bedwell, Euro Casanova, Francisco Orozco and Francisco Moreno

(/Papers/Details/2144)



(/Papers/Details/2144/pdf)

## The Role of Lean in Digital Project Delivery

Kevin McHugh, Bhargav Dave and Marzia Bolpagni

(/Papers/Details/2146)



(/Papers/Details/2146/pdf)

## Development of an Immersive Virtual Reality Prototype to Explore the Social Mechanisms of the Last Planner® System

Canlong Liu, Vicente A. González, Gaang Lee and Roy Davies

(/Papers/Details/2153)



(/Papers/Details/2153/pdf)

## Lean Construction Waste Reduction Through the Autonomous Vehicle Technology

Omar A. Owais, Mani Poshdar, Ali GhaffarianHoseini and Amirhosein Ghaffarianhoseini

(/Papers/Details/2154)



(/Papers/Details/2154/pdf)

## Efficient Pavement Distress Detection and Visual Management in Lean Construction Based on BIM and Deep Learnin

Ting Deng and Yi Tan

(/Papers/Details/2162)



(/Papers/Details/2162/pdf)

## Construction Productivity Graph: A Structured Framework to Enhance Productivity and Safety on Construction Sites

Francesco Livio Rossini and Gabriele Novembri

(/Papers/Details/2164)



(/Papers/Details/2164/pdf)

## Using Low-Code and Artificial Intelligence to Support Continuous Improvement in the Construction Industry

Eder Martinez and Diego Cisterna

(/Papers/Details/2167)



(/Papers/Details/2167/pdf)

## Unleashing the Power of Chatgpt for Lean Construction: An Early Outlook

Makram Bou Hatoum and Hala Nassereddine

(/Papers/Details/2172)



(/Papers/Details/2172/pdf)

## Lean Construction and Building Information Modeling (BIM) in Design Management: Survey Results From French Companies

Wassim AlBalkhy, Eva Chaize, Vincent Morael, Zoubeir Lafhaj and Ivanka Iordanova

(/Papers/Details/2183)



(/Papers/Details/2183/pdf)

## Lean and Industry 4.0 in Brick Manufacturing: A Digital Twin-Based Value Stream Mapping Proposed Framework

Dorra Karmaoui, Wassim AlBalkhy, Zoubeir Lafhaj and Christophe Chapiseau

(/Papers/Details/2185)



(/Papers/Details/2185/pdf)

## Cost Reduction in Construction Through Project Compatibility in a Virtual Prototype

Joseph Hakkinen Alves Santos and Camila Campos Goméz Famá

(/Papers/Details/2187)



(/Papers/Details/2187/pdf)

## Evaluating the Usability of the Leanbuild Software Application After the Design Stage

Muktari M. Musa, Emmanuel I. Daniel, Namadi S. Ahmed and Ifeatu C. Eneidah

(/Papers/Details/2189)



(/Papers/Details/2189/pdf)

## Environmental Improvements for Renovation Work Using Laser Scraping

Kaori Nagai, Motoki Imazeki, Yasuaki Kaneko and Yuuki Kawai

(/Papers/Details/2193)



(/Papers/Details/2193/pdf)

## A Fuzzy Framework for Contractor Selection on IPD Projects

Zeina Malaeb, Mohamed ElMenshawy, Anas Badreddine, Omar Azakir and Farook Hamzeh

(/Papers/Details/2051)



(/Papers/Details/2051/pdf)

## Life Cycle Cost and Value of Norwegian Sports Facilities

Johan C. Ørke, Tobias O. Malvik, Olav Torp and Terje K. Fossheim

(/Papers/Details/2097)



(/Papers/Details/2097/pdf)

## IPD en France: Is It Legal

Emna Attouri, Alan Mossman, Laetitia Fehlmann, Ian Heptinstall and Laure Ducoulombier

(/Papers/Details/2103)



(/Papers/Details/2103/pdf)

## Strategic Partnerships – Best Practice Across Norway and Denmark

Sigurd B. Paulsen, Atle Engebø, Morten S. Jensen, Rolf Simonsen and Ola Lædre

(/Papers/Details/2121)



(/Papers/Details/2121/pdf)

## Integrated Project Delivery (IPD) for Healthcare Projects: A Company-Specific Analysis

A.S. Hanna, Z. Zhu and J.T. Morrison

(/Papers/Details/2127)



(/Papers/Details/2127/pdf)

## Collaborative Dialogue During the Pre-Tendering Phase to Maximize Project Value Generation

Valeria Vecchio, Abbey Dale Abellanosa, Bernadette Konwat, Yu Wei and Farook Hamzeh

(/Papers/Details/2139)



(/Papers/Details/2139/pdf)

## Towards a Flow-Based Disruption Metric: A Case Study

Eran Haronian and Shmuel Korb

(/Papers/Details/2145)



(/Papers/Details/2145/pdf)

## Procurement of Collaborative Project Teams – a Literature Study

Trond Bølviken, Una Obiose Kriston Nwajei and Magnus Mikael Hellström

(/Papers/Details/2168)



(/Papers/Details/2168/pdf)



## Safety Culture in Construction Industry of Nepal

Prajwol Neupane and Farook Hamzeh

(/Papers/Details/2058)



(/Papers/Details/2058/pdf)

## Safety-I and Safety-II: Contributions of Uas Safety Monitoring on Construction Sites

Roseneia Rodrigues Santos de Melo and Dayana Bastos Costa

(/Papers/Details/2081)



(/Papers/Details/2081/pdf)

## Potential Application of Deep Learning and UAS for Guardrail Safety Inspection

Hugo S. Peinado, Roseneia R. S. Melo, Mírian C. F. Santos and Dayana B. Costa

(/Papers/Details/2087)



(/Papers/Details/2087/pdf)

## Features of a Behavior-Based Quality System (BBQS)

Sulyn Gomez, Semich Chousein, Iris D. Tommelein, Glenn Ballard, Ralph Romayor, Michelle Diaz, Paz Arroyo and Safak Tekin

(/Papers/Details/2095)



(/Papers/Details/2095/pdf)

## Assessing Quality Performance Outcomes & the Relationship With RFI and PCI Processes: A General Contractor Case Study

Elizabeth Gordon, Keila Rawlinson, Neha Dabhade, Dean Reed and Charlie Dunn

(/Papers/Details/2113)



(/Papers/Details/2113/pdf)

## Occupational Stress in Construction: Fostering an IGLC Research Agenda

Saad Sarhan, Stephen Pretlove, Alan Mossman and Mohammed Z.E.B Elshafie

(/Papers/Details/2133)



(/Papers/Details/2133/pdf)

## Inventory and Piling Waste: A Computer Vision Approach

Inshu Chauhan, Eelon Lappalainen, Ana Reinbold, Ilari Palsola and Olli Seppänen

(/Papers/Details/2052)



(/Papers/Details/2052/pdf)

## Sustainability as Target Value – a Parametric Approach

Sebastiano Lombardo, Arve Hindenes, Sigmund Aslesen and Sigmund Reff

(/Papers/Details/2070)



(/Papers/Details/2070/pdf)

## Defining CO2 Emissions of a Construction Project on the Basis of Programmatic Information

Ari Pennanen, Saku Metsärinne and Pyry Haahtela

(/Papers/Details/2071)



(/Papers/Details/2071/pdf)

## On-Site Waste Management: A Use Case of Lean Construction and Artificial Intelligence Synergy

Fabrice Berroir, Pierre Guernaccini and Jean-Sébastien Sottet

(/Papers/Details/2092)



(/Papers/Details/2092/pdf)

## Role of Work Flow in Reducing Life Cycle Energy Consumption in Construction

Musab Jamal Maraqa, Rafael Sacks and Sabrina Spatari

(/Papers/Details/2109)



(/Papers/Details/2109/pdf)

## Lean Co-Acting With Circularity? An Investigation in Product-Service Systems in Rental Housing

David Parker, Tuuli. E. Jylhä, Gerard A. van Bortel and Daan F. J. Schraven

(/Papers/Details/2124)



(/Papers/Details/2124/pdf)

## Choosing by Advantages (CBA) to Select the Best Location for a Solar Photovoltaic Plant in the Pre-Feasibility Stage

Kevin Aza, Andrews A. Erazo-Rondinel, Lao J. Li-Albrizzio, Mauricio A. Melgar and Anthony F. Paucar-Espinoza

(/Papers/Details/2135)



(/Papers/Details/2135/pdf)

## Analysing Film Plastic Waste in Residential Construction Project

Krishna Chauhan, Antti Peltokorpi and Olli Seppänen

(/Papers/Details/2152)



(/Papers/Details/2152/pdf)

## Green Is Good: First Run Study of a Sustainable Building Structure

Sigmund Aslesen, Arve Hindenes, Sigmund Reff and Espen Stordal

(/Papers/Details/2160)



(/Papers/Details/2160/pdf)

## Exploring How Lean Project Delivery Supports Carbon Capture, Utilization, and Storage for Industrial Retrofits

Nicholas Heier, Anika Chakravarti, Anja Røyne and Kristen Parrish

(/Papers/Details/2169)



(/Papers/Details/2169/pdf)

## The Circu-Lean Revolution: A Review of the Synergies Between Lean and the Circular Economy

Nathan G. O. Johns, Saeed Talebi, Mark Shelbourn, Chris Roberts and Mike Kagioglou

(/Papers/Details/2171)



(/Papers/Details/2171/pdf)

## Semantic Network Analysis of Lean Construction Literature

León William and Guevara Jose

(/Papers/Details/2091)



(/Papers/Details/2091/pdf)

## Untangling the Concepts of Value and Values

Frode Drevland and Jardar Lohne

(/Papers/Details/2115)



(/Papers/Details/2115/pdf)

## Creating Co-Location Concepts Under Consideration of Hybrid Approaches in Construction Projects

Leonie Szyperski, Maximilian R.-D. Budau, Gernot Hickethier and Shervin Haghsheno

(/Papers/Details/2159)



(/Papers/Details/2159/pdf)

## Flexible Robotic Production in Off-Site Construction: A Lean Approach

Jennifer Alejandra Cardenas Castaneda, Beda Barkokebas, Pablo Martinez and Rafiq Ahmad

(/Papers/Details/2176)



(/Papers/Details/2176/pdf)

## Visual Management Implementation Strategy: An Analysis of Digital Whiteboards

Bárbara Pedó, Algan Tezel, Lauri Koskela, Patricia Tzortzopoulos, Carlos Torres Formoso, Elena Vrabie and Stuart

Robinson

(/Papers/Details/2188)



(/Papers/Details/2188/pdf)

## Workshop for Learning Visual Management in Japan: A Report

Koichi Murata

(/Papers/Details/2062)



(/Papers/Details/2062/pdf)

## Developing and Testing Computer- and Virtual Reality-Based Target Value Design Simulations

Suryeon Kim, Zofia Rybkowski and H. David Jeong

(/Papers/Details/2129)



(/Papers/Details/2129/pdf)

## Incorporating Psychology of Learning Through the Malabares Method for Effective Implementation of the Last Planner System

Cristóbal Plubins, Lispet Wesp, Cristobal Fernandois, Andrés Antúnez, Guillermo Tirado and Rodrigo F. Herrera

(/Papers/Details/2131)



(/Papers/Details/2131/pdf)

## Teaching and Training Efforts of Academia and Industry Towards Lean Construction in India

Prashanth Kumar Sreram and Albert Thomas

(/Papers/Details/2134)



(/Papers/Details/2134/pdf)

## How to Navigate the Dilemma of Value Delivery: A Value Identification Game

Salam Khalife and Farook Hamzeh

(/Papers/Details/2166)



(/Papers/Details/2166/pdf)

## Development and Testing of a Digital Lean Tool to Sharpen Motion and Transportation Waste Recognition

Yasaman Arefazar, Zofia K. Rybkowski, H. David Jeong, Jinsil Hwaryoung Seo and Sayyed Amir Hossain Maghool

(/Papers/Details/2180)



(/Papers/Details/2180/pdf)

## Exploration of Educational Backgrounds, Personality Traits, and Gender on Tendencies to Collaborate Among Owners, Architects, Engineers, and Contractors

Vishnu Ramanath and Zofia K. Rybkowski

(/Papers/Details/2192)



(/Papers/Details/2192/pdf)

## Overcoming the Barriers Toward Widespread Adoption of Prefabrication: An Approach Involving Emerging Technologies

Tran Duong Nguyen and Pardis Pishdad-Bozorgi

(/Papers/Details/2060)



(/Papers/Details/2060/pdf)

## Product and Process Complexity in Construction: An Exploratory Study Using Bill-of-Materials (Bom)

Carolina Melecardi Zani and Cecilia Gravina da Rocha

(/Papers/Details/2064)



(/Papers/Details/2064/pdf)

## Factors Influencing Cycle Times in Offsite Construction

Fatima Alsakka, Haitao Yu, Farook Hamzeh and Mohamed Al-Hussein

(/Papers/Details/2067)



(/Papers/Details/2067/pdf)

## Exploring Offsite Construction for the Construction Sector: A Literature Review

James P. Broadhead, Emmanuel I. Daniel, Olalekan Oshodi and Sa'id Ahmed

(/Papers/Details/2075)



(/Papers/Details/2075/pdf)

## Benefits of Robotic Utilization in the Prefabricated Construction Industry

Peter Adekunle, Cliton Aigbavboa, Osamudiamen Kenneth Otasowie and Samuel Adekunle

(/Papers/Details/2076)



(/Papers/Details/2076/pdf)

## Contribution of Lean Techniques to Industrialized Construction Adoption: A Barriers Mitigation Approach

Alejandro Vásquez-Hernández, Jesús Ortega, Luis Fernando Alarcón and Eugenio Pellicer

(/Papers/Details/2086)



(/Papers/Details/2086/pdf)

## The Impact of an Offsite Production Approach on Mechanical and Electrical Projects: Evidence From the UK

Tonisha Carys O’Gorman, Emmanuel Itodo Daniel and Ezekiel Chinyio

(/Papers/Details/2104)



(/Papers/Details/2104/pdf)

## Off-Site/on-Site Composite Construction Method: An Unconscious Lean Construction Practice

Jeferson Shin-Iti Shigaki and Tomonari Yashiro

(/Papers/Details/2118)



(/Papers/Details/2118/pdf)

## Exploring a Platform Approach to Improve the Uptake of Offsite Construction in Housebuilding: Evidence From the United Kingdom

Zoe A. Kennedy, Emmanuel I. Daniel, Matthew Egan, Trudi Sully and Gaynor Tennant

(/Papers/Details/2155)



(/Papers/Details/2155/pdf)

## Application of Fuzzy Logic for Selection of Off-Site Construction Approach

Amirhossein Mehdipoor, Ivanka Iordanova and Mohamed Al-Hussein

(/Papers/Details/2156)



(/Papers/Details/2156/pdf)

## Improving Premanufacturing Phases in Off-Site Construction Through a Digitalization Approach

Beda Barkokebas, Felipe Ost, Claudio Mourgues and Lissa Saruhashi

(/Papers/Details/2170)



(/Papers/Details/2170/pdf)

## Investigation of the Supply Chain of Mass Timber Systems

Rafael V. Coelho, Aaron K. Anderson and Iris D. Tommelein

(/Papers/Details/2181)



(/Papers/Details/2181/pdf)

## Lean and IoT Integration to Improve Flow in Construction Prefabrication: a Proposed Framework

Wassim AlBalkhy, Sara Rankohi, Zoubeir Lafhaj, Ivanka Iordanova, Jorge Mauricio Ramirez Velasquez, Mario Bourgault and Robert Pellerin

(/Papers/Details/2186)



(/Papers/Details/2186/pdf)

## Maturity Models in Off-Site Construction and Analysis of Lean Incorporation: Review

Jesús Ortega, Alejandro Vásquez-Hernández, Harrison A. Mesa and Luis Fernando Alarcón

(/Papers/Details/2191)



(/Papers/Details/2191/pdf)

## Developing a Framework for Assessing Team Alignment in Construction Using TVD

Nazanin Najafizadeh and Farook Hamzeh

(/Papers/Details/2055)



(/Papers/Details/2055/pdf)

## Building a Lean House With the Theory of Constraints for Construction Operations in Zimbabwe: A Conceptual Framework

Cynthia Moyo and Fidelis Emuze

(/Papers/Details/2056)



(/Papers/Details/2056/pdf)

## Perception of Project Management Among Construction Workers: A Survey in Denmark

Stephanie T. Salling, Cristina T. Pérez and Søren Wandahl

(/Papers/Details/2068)



(/Papers/Details/2068/pdf)

## Framework of Interaction Between ICT, Process, and People in Construction: A Case Study

Vijayashree TM, Paramjit Singh Lota and Bhargav Dave

(/Papers/Details/2069)



(/Papers/Details/2069/pdf)

## Worker Involvement Strategies in Construction: Insights From the Lean Literature

Hugo Sefrian Peinado and Dayana Bastos Costa

(/Papers/Details/2099)



(/Papers/Details/2099/pdf)

## Unethical and Criminal – Predicting “Dark Side” Phenomena in the AEC Industry

Jardar Lohne, Frode Drevland and Ola Lædre

(/Papers/Details/2107)



(/Papers/Details/2107/pdf)

## Investigating and Simulating Collaboration Among the LPS Phases

Elyar Pourrahimian, Lynn Shehab and Farook Hamzeh

(/Papers/Details/2112)



(/Papers/Details/2112/pdf)

## Methodology to Quantitatively Assess Collaboration in the Make-Ready Process

Camilo Ignacio Lagos, Rodrigo F. Herrera, Alejandro Mac Cawley, Pablo Maluk and Luis F. Alarcón

(/Papers/Details/2128)



(/Papers/Details/2128/pdf)

## Perspectives on Roles and Responsibilities of Project Team Members to Enable Collaborative Decision-Making Process

Randi Christensen, Paz Arroyo and Annett Schöttle

(/Papers/Details/2140)



(/Papers/Details/2140/pdf)

## Analysis of Lean Construction Cases in Ireland

Trevor McSharry, Kevin McHugh and Lauri Koskela

(/Papers/Details/2148)



(/Papers/Details/2148/pdf)

## When We Develop Collaboration, What Exactly Do We Develop?

Sol Skinnarland and Trond Bølviken

(/Papers/Details/2158)



(/Papers/Details/2158/pdf)

## Organizing the Argumentation for Changing the Delivery System Using Choosing by Advantages (CBA)

Annett Schöttle, Rafael Guerreiro, André Haubrich, Michael Ditandy and Fabian Behme

(/Papers/Details/2174)



(/Papers/Details/2174/pdf)

## Capability-Building for Construction Informing Design

Dean Reed, Chad Arthur and Charlie Dunn

(/Papers/Details/2190)



(/Papers/Details/2190/pdf)

## Learning From Delays in Daily Design Work – Comparison of Root Cause Analysis and Functional Resonance Analysis



Eelon Lappalainen, Aku Hänninen, Petri Uusitalo and Olli Seppänen

(/Papers/Details/2046)



(/Papers/Details/2046/pdf)

## A Framework for Design Waste Mitigation in Off-Site Construction

Danial Gholinezhad Dazmiri and Farook Hamzeh

(/Papers/Details/2063)



(/Papers/Details/2063/pdf)

## Product Platform Flow to Develop New Products in an Off-Site Company

Tainara P. Nievola and Sergio Scheer

(/Papers/Details/2082)



(/Papers/Details/2082/pdf)

## Collaboration in the Detailed Design Phase of Construction Projects – a Study of Interdisciplinary Teams

Mona Salam, Perry Forsythe and Catherine Killen

(/Papers/Details/2083)



(/Papers/Details/2083/pdf)

## Improving Productivity in Ventilation and Plumbing Installations by Developing Designs

Tuomas Valkonen and Olli Seppänen

(/Papers/Details/2090)



(/Papers/Details/2090/pdf)

## Managing User Requirements in Social Housing Upgrading

Samira Awwal, Patricia Tzortzopoulos, Mike Kagioglou and Joao Soliman-Junior

(/Papers/Details/2106)



(/Papers/Details/2106/pdf)

## Managing Human-Centered Innovation Within Tvd in Healthcare Projects

Patricia A Tillmann and Stuart Eckblad

(/Papers/Details/2125)



(/Papers/Details/2125/pdf)

## Set-Based Design in Construction Projects: Benefits, Difficulties and Trends

Karen Castañeda, Rodrigo F. Herrera, Omar Sánchez and Guillermo Mejía

(/Papers/Details/2132)



(/Papers/Details/2132/pdf)

## Planned Percentage Completed in Construction – a Quantitative Review of Literature

Eelon Lappalainen, Ana Reinbold and Olli Seppänen

(/Papers/Details/2048)



(/Papers/Details/2048/pdf)

## Enhancing Earned Value Analysis With Intrinsic Schedule Performance Metrics

Frédéric Bosché and Kat Larabi-Tchalaia

(/Papers/Details/2053)



(/Papers/Details/2053/pdf)

## Assessment of Lean Guided Construction Project Monitoring and Evaluation Practices: Issues and Challenges

Ogunbayo Babatunde Fatai, Aigbavboa Clinton Ohis, Murendeni Liphadzi, Ramabodu Molusiwa Stephen and Ogunbayo Shakirat Bola

(/Papers/Details/2054)



(/Papers/Details/2054/pdf)

## Repeatable, Scalable, Global Implementation of Optimized Cycle-Time Flow (OCF)

Doron S. Gabai, Nikita S. Kennard, Rafael Sacks, Mark K. Miera and Tabitha D. Cloyd

(/Papers/Details/2061)



(/Papers/Details/2061/pdf)

## What Is the Complexity of Production Planning and Control?

Omar Zegarra and Luis Fernando Alarcón

(/Papers/Details/2066)



(/Papers/Details/2066/pdf)

## Location-Based Work Sampling: Field Testing and Utility Evaluation

Cristina T. Pérez, Stephanie T. Salling and Søren Wandahl

(/Papers/Details/2074)



(/Papers/Details/2074/pdf)

## Analysing the Value Adding Activities in the Brazilian Construction Companies

Lucas B. Bizarro, Luís C. Staudt, Bernardo M. B. S. Etges and Marcus C. T. Fireman

(/Papers/Details/2077)



(/Papers/Details/2077/pdf)

### Achieving a 4-Hour Takt Time – and Driving Change With It

Jaakko Riekk, Jukka Rannisto, Joonas Lehtovaara, Olli Seppänen and Antti Peltokorpi

(/Papers/Details/2085)



(/Papers/Details/2085/pdf)

### Analyzing the Lean Principles in Integrated Planning and Scheduling Methods

Moslem Sheikhhoshkar, Hind Bril El-Haouzi, Alexis Aubry, Farook Hamzeh and Mani Poshdar

(/Papers/Details/2098)



(/Papers/Details/2098/pdf)

### A Narrative Review of Workspace Planning in Construction: Challenges and Insights

Diana Salhab, Fatima Alsakka and Farook Hamzeh

(/Papers/Details/2100)



(/Papers/Details/2100/pdf)

### A System Dynamic Modelling Approach for Integrated Lean-BIM Planning and Control Methods

Mahmoud Karaz and José Manuel Cardoso Teixeira

(/Papers/Details/2108)



(/Papers/Details/2108/pdf)

### A Mechanism for Smart Contracts to Mediate Production Bottlenecks Under Constraints

Gongfan Chen, Chuanni He, Simon M. Hsiang, Min Liu and Huaming Li

(/Papers/Details/2114)



(/Papers/Details/2114/pdf)

### A Mechanism for Smart Contracts to Mediate Production Bottlenecks Under Constraints

Gongfan Chen, Chuanni He, Simon M. Hsiang, Min Liu and Huaming Li

(/Papers/Details/2116)



(/Papers/Details/2116/pdf)

### Daily Huddles' Effect on Crew Productivity

Søren Wandahl, Cristina T. Pérez, Stephanie Salling, Cecilie H. Hansen, Magnus K. Nielse and Tom Nissen

(/Papers/Details/2119)



(/Papers/Details/2119/pdf)

## A Combined Digital Twin and Location-Based Management System

Manuel Jungmann, Timo Hartmann, Rahul Tomar and Lucian Ungureanu

(/Papers/Details/2120)



(/Papers/Details/2120/pdf)

## Predictive Simulation for Automated Decision-Support in Production Planning and Control

Timson Yeung, Jhonattan Guillermo Martinez Ribón, Li-Or Sharoni, Rafael Sacks and Tomi Pitkäranta

(/Papers/Details/2122)



(/Papers/Details/2122/pdf)

## Logistics Planning Within the Last Planner System for Highway Construction Projects

Bruno G. Antonini, Fernanda M. Pimentel, Marcus C. T. Fireman, Bernardo M. B. S. Etges, Frederico R. Campos, João de Lima Junior and Bárbara K. Kronbauer

(/Papers/Details/2126)



(/Papers/Details/2126/pdf)

## Lean Construction Through Waste Register Method: A Case Studies Project in Indonesia

Rina Asri Aisyah, Kevin Gunawan and Abdhy Gazali

(/Papers/Details/2138)



(/Papers/Details/2138/pdf)

## Investigating Emergence of 'New Tasks' in Last Planner® System: Social Network Perspective of Planning Behaviours

Anukriti Gupta and Ganesh Devkar

(/Papers/Details/2141)



(/Papers/Details/2141/pdf)

## Development of a Machine Learning-Based Labour Productivity Prediction Tool to Practice Lean Construction

Abhay Saini and Albert Thomas

(/Papers/Details/2142)



(/Papers/Details/2142/pdf)

## An Evaluation of the Lookahead Planning Function in Last Planner® System

William Power, Derek Sinnott, Patrick Lynch and Chris Solorz

(/Papers/Details/2149)



(/Papers/Details/2149/pdf)

## A Study of the Benefits of Lean Construction During the Pandemic: the Case of Peru

Andrews A. Erazo-Rondinel, Isaac M. Ccoyllar and Anabela Huaccha

(/Papers/Details/2151)



(/Papers/Details/2151/pdf)

## Integrating Standardized Work and Production Status Control to Support Location-Based Planning and Control

Fabrício Berger Vargas, Fabiana Bonesi, Carlos Torres Formoso and Iamara Rossi Bulhões

(/Papers/Details/2161)



(/Papers/Details/2161/pdf)

## A Logistic Framework to Enable Takt Time Planning

Raquel H. Reck and Marcus C. T. Fireman

(/Papers/Details/2163)



(/Papers/Details/2163/pdf)

## Do Failures in a Takt Plan Fit the FMEA Framework?

Becca Apgar and James P. Smith

(/Papers/Details/2175)



(/Papers/Details/2175/pdf)

## Results of the Causes and Impacts of Making-Do Wastes in Production in Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil

Tatiana Gondim do Amaral, Caio Cesar Medeiros Maciel, Renato Rafael Del Grosso Filho, Rubia Cristina de Souza Pessoni, Gabriella Soares de Paula and Sara Vieira da Silva

(/Papers/Details/2177)



(/Papers/Details/2177/pdf)

## What Does a Commitment to a Plan Mean?

John Skaar, Trond Bølviken, Bo Terje Kalsaas and Lauri Koskela

(/Papers/Details/2178)



(/Papers/Details/2178/pdf)

## Integrating a Strategic Milestone and Phase Plan (SMPP) as a New Level of the Last Planner System (LPS): An Investigation on Megaprojects

Annett Schöttle and Andreas Böker

(/Papers/Details/2179)



(/Papers/Details/2179/pdf)

## Lean Construction and AWP: Similarities, Differences, and Opportunities

Slim Rebai, Wassim AlBalkhy, Olfa Hamdi and Zoubeir Lafhaj

(/Papers/Details/2184)



(/Papers/Details/2184/pdf)

## MEASURING TIME SPENT IN VALUE-ADDING WORKSPACES USING SMARTWATCHES

Cristina T. Pérez, Stephanie T. Salling and Søren Wandahl

(/Papers/Details/2045)



(/Papers/Details/2045/pdf)

## A Kaizen Event Enabled by System Engineering in an Infrastructure Project

Matt Stevens, Ali Eghbaljoo and Frans Thevissen

(/Papers/Details/2057)



(/Papers/Details/2057/pdf)

## Master Schedule Optimisation With the Use of Flowlines and Performance Data

Danny Murguia, Asitha Rathnayake and Campbell Middleton

(/Papers/Details/2065)



(/Papers/Details/2065/pdf)

## Can Advanced Work Packaging Become a Lean Method?

Italo Sepúlveda, Luis F. Alarcón and Beda Barkokebas

(/Papers/Details/2072)



(/Papers/Details/2072/pdf)

## Handoffs Between Takt Train Wagons: a Systematic Literature Review

Mikal Andreassen and Frode Drevland

(/Papers/Details/2073)



(/Papers/Details/2073/pdf)

## Lean Health Check Framework

Parastoo Eivazi Ziaei, Diana Salhab, Ritu Ahuja and Farook Hamzeh

(/Papers/Details/2102)



(/Papers/Details/2102/pdf)

## Analysing the Impact of Construction Flow on Productivity

Asitha Rathnayake, Danny Murguia and Campbell Middleton

(/Papers/Details/2110)



(/Papers/Details/2110/pdf)

## The PICO Framework for Analysis and Design of Production Systems for Construction

Li-Or Sharoni, Rafael Sacks, Timson Yeung, Otto Alhava, Enni Laine and Jhonattan Martinez Ribon

(/Papers/Details/2123)



(/Papers/Details/2123/pdf)

## Implementation of Lean Thinking to Improve Masonry Construction and Design

Samaneh Momenifar, Karl Keyrouz and Farook Hamzeh

(/Papers/Details/2130)



(/Papers/Details/2130/pdf)

## Digital Shopfloor Management in Construction – a Case Study

Darius P. Heller

(/Papers/Details/2147)



(/Papers/Details/2147/pdf)

## An Examination of IGLC Takt Literature - Learnings & Opportunities

William Power, Derek Sinnott and Patrick Lynch

(/Papers/Details/2150)



(/Papers/Details/2150/pdf)

## Implementing Lean Methods for Facility Maintenance Management

Kiran Rambhau Janjalkar, Abhishek Raj Singh and Venkata Santosh Kumar Delhi

(/Papers/Details/2157)



(/Papers/Details/2157/pdf)

## A VDC Framework Proposal for Time Optimization in Diamond Drilling Operations for Mining

Luis Alonso Salazar Araujo, Michele Trefogli Voto Bernales and Alexandre Almeida Del Savio

(/Papers/Details/2165)



(/Papers/Details/2165/pdf)

## Workload Leveling Metrics for Location-Based Process Design

Vishesh V. Singh and Iris D. Tommelein

(/Papers/Details/2173)



(/Papers/Details/2173/pdf)

## Comparison of Takt Planning Methods Used on Projects of Different Types

Iris D. Tommelein and Jon Lerche

(/Papers/Details/2182)



(/Papers/Details/2182/pdf)

## Integration and Development Model for Supplier Relationship Management in Construction

Andreas Lepold, Marco Binninger and Oliver Wolfbeiß

(/Papers/Details/2044)



(/Papers/Details/2044/pdf)

## Utilising Design Thinking to Refine Customer Requirements – a Case Study Using the Concrete Supply Chain as an Example

Jan Wolber, Diego Cisterna, Özgür Tercan, Shervin Haghsheno and Svenja Lauble

(/Papers/Details/2050)



(/Papers/Details/2050/pdf)

## A Framework for Optimizing Material Management Processes in Oil and Gas EPC Projects

Mahmoud Daghlas, Rachad Bou Nasser Eddine, Michel Tabet and Mayssa Kalach

(/Papers/Details/2084)



(/Papers/Details/2084/pdf)

## A Three-Layered Approach for a Lean Subcontractor Procurement Process

Karen El Zind, Salam Bakesmati and Mayssa Kalach

(/Papers/Details/2089)



(/Papers/Details/2089/pdf)

## Construction Supply Chain Product Data Integration for Lean and Green Site Logistics

Fabrice Berroir, Magdalena Pyszkowski, Omar Maatar and Nico Mack

(/Papers/Details/2093)



(/Papers/Details/2093/pdf)

## Strategic Partnering Between Client, Contractor, and Subcontractor

Herman Kvale Ferstad, Atle Engebø, Paal André Slette and Ola Lædre

(/Papers/Details/2094)





(/Papers/Details/2094/pdf)

## Slack: What Is Lacking on Supply Chain Resilience Strategies?

Fernando Pereira, Marcus Fireman and Bernardo Etges

(/Papers/Details/2117)



(/Papers/Details/2117/pdf)

## Success in Interrelated Supply Chain: an Analysis of the Human Behaviour Under Crisis

Mani Poshdar, Rodrigo F. Herrera and Vicente A. González

(/Papers/Details/2136)



(/Papers/Details/2136/pdf)

## Development of an Optimization Model Based on Business Process Re-Engineering to Minimize Construction Projects Delay

Muhammad Atiq Ur Rehman, Sharfuddin Ahmed Khan, Taha Arbaoui, Mickael Huot and Amin Chaabane

(/Papers/Details/2137)



(/Papers/Details/2137/pdf)

## Supply Chain Risk, Disruption and Resilience; Comparison by Size and Industry Types

Arsalan Safari, Vanesa B. Al Ismail, Mahour M. Parast, Ismail Golgeci and Shaligram Pokharel

(/Papers/Details/2143)



(/Papers/Details/2143/pdf)



(index.html)

Founded in 1993, the IGLC is an international network of researchers from practice and academia in architecture, engineering, and construction (AEC) who feel that the practice, education, and research of the AEC industry have to be radically renewed in order to respond to the global challenges ahead.



---

**IGLC 31**

2 messaggi

**IGLC 31 Organizers** <iglc31@conftool.pro>

22 giugno 2023 alle ore 19:18

Rispondi a: Contact@iglc31.com

A: francesco.rossini@uniroma1.it

Cc: francesco@rossiniarchitetto.com, gabriele.novembri@uniroma1.it

Dear Francesco Livio Rossini,

please find your review results below.

**Contribution Details**

ID: 232

Title: Construction productivity graph: a structured framework to enhance productivity and safety on construction sites

All papers are now available online at [iglc.net](https://iglc.net).

To ensure the accuracy of the metadata in the IGLC.net database, we kindly request your assistance as authors. The paper metadata is entered by copy-pasting from the PDF files, which can lead to occasional errors. We ask that you visit [iglc.net](https://iglc.net) and review the detail pages of all papers for which you are an author. Please verify that the following information is correct:

- Paper title
- Author names
- Author affiliations
- Abstract
- Keywords

If you discover any discrepancies between the information in your paper and the details published on your paper's page on IGLC.net, please send an email to the IGLC.net webmaster, Dr. Frode Drevland, at [frode.drevland@ntnu.no](mailto:frode.drevland@ntnu.no).

If there are any issues with the PDF files' content, please contact Suryeon Kim at [kimsuryeon@tamu.edu](mailto:kimsuryeon@tamu.edu). Suryeon Kim is responsible for preparing the proceedings and will address the errors in the PDF. She will then forward the corrected versions to Dr. Drevland, who will replace the existing versions on IGLC.net and update the relevant information on the paper's detail page accordingly.

Please note that the DOI links in the papers and on the website are currently inactive. Once the quality assurance process is complete, we will register them with Crossref.

Thank you for your cooperation in ensuring the accuracy of the metadata for your papers on IGLC.net.

Your organizers of IGLC 31.

--  
IGLC 31

<https://www.conftool.pro/iglc31/>  
<https://www.iglc31.com/>

---

**Francesco Rossini** <francesco.rossini@uniroma1.it>  
A: kimsuryeon@tamu.edu  
Cc: gabriele.novembri@uniroma1.it

29 giugno 2023 alle ore 16:55

Dear KS,

Referring to the proceedings issue, I confirm that in the paper available on the conference website (Rossini & Novembri authors) there are any changes to make.  
Thanks for your support.  
Kindly,

f r

[Testo tra virgolette nascosto]

--

**Francesco Livio Rossini**

Adjunct Professor and Post-Doc in Construction Management  
Ph.D. in Engineering-based Architecture  
M.Sc. in Architecture - Building Engineering

Department of Civil, Building and Environmental Engineering - DICEA  
Sapienza - University of Rome