

ARTICLE OPEN

Check for updates

The β-arrestin1/endothelin axis bolsters ovarian fibroblastdependent invadosome activity and cancer cell metastatic potential

Danila Del Rio^{1,6}, Ilenia Masi ^{1,6}, Valentina Caprara², Flavia Ottavi¹, Gabriele Albertini Petroni ¹, Erica Salvati ¹, Daniela Trisciuoglio ¹, Sara Maria Giannitelli ³, Anna Bagnato ², Emanuele Mauri⁴, Francesca Spadaro ⁵ and Laura Rosanò ¹

© The Author(s) 2024

Recruitment of fibroblasts to tumors and their activation into cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) is a strategy used by tumor cells to direct extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling, invasion, and metastasis, highlighting the need to investigate the molecular mechanisms driving CAF function. Endothelin-1 (ET-1) regulates the communication between cancer and stroma and facilitates the progression of serous ovarian cancer (SOC). By binding to Endothelin A (ET_A) and B (ET_B) receptors, ET-1 enables the recruitment of β-arrestin1 (β-arr1) and the formation of signaling complexes that coordinate tumor progression. However, how ET-1 receptors might "educate" human ovarian fibroblasts (HOFs) to produce altered ECM and promote metastasis remains to be elucidated. This study identifies ET-1 as a pivotal factor in the activation of CAFs capable of proteolytic ECM remodeling and the generation of heterotypic spheroids containing cancer cells with a propensity to metastasize. An autocrine/paracrine ET-1/ET_{A/B}R/β-arr1 loop enhances HOF proliferation, upregulates CAF marker expression, secretes pro-inflammatory cytokines, and increases collagen contractility, and cell motility. Furthermore, ET-1 facilitates ECM remodeling by promoting the lytic activity of invadosome and activation of integrin β1. In addition, ET-1 signaling supports the formation of heterotypic HOF/SOC spheroids with enhanced ability to migrate through the mesothelial monolayer, and invade, representing metastatic units. The blockade of $ET_{A/B}R$ or β -arr1 silencing prevents CAF activation, invadosome function, mesothelial clearance, and the invasive ability of heterotypic spheroids. In vivo, therapeutic inhibition of ET_{A/B}R using bosentan (BOS) significantly reduces the metastatic potential of combined HOFs/SOC cells, associated with enhanced apoptotic effects on tumor cells and stromal components. These findings support a model in which ET-1/ β-arr1 reinforces tumor/stroma interaction through CAF activation and fosters the survival and metastatic properties of SOC cells, which could be counteracted by ET_{A/B}R antagonists.

Cell Death and Disease (2024)15:358; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-024-06730-6

INTRODUCTION

The supporting role of stromal cells in the metastatic ability of serous ovarian cancer (SOC) cells has been extensively demonstrated, highlighting how the co-evolution of tumor cells with fibroblasts in the tumor bulk strongly influences the metastatic journey and response to therapy [1–3]. Fibroblasts in the tumor microenvironment (TME), also referred to as cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), are a highly heterogeneous cellular component originating from different precursors, including resident tissue fibroblasts, and mesothelial cells (MCs). CAFs account for several malignant properties, such as invasion and metastasis, via cytokine/chemokine secretion, and extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling, capable of impacting the clinical outcomes of SOC patients [4–6]. Different omics studies revealed an ecosystemic landscape of high-grade (HG)-SOC at early or late stages linked to heterogeneity within the TME, where the most aggressive "fibrosis" or "mesenchymal" CAF subtype is associated with poor patient survival. Moreover, the high content of CAFs and a subtype of CAFs expressing the EMT features is enriched in metastatic tumors. In addition, several signaling pathways, ligands, and receptors are involved in the communication between CAFs and cancer cells with prognostic and therapeutic relevance [7–12]. An abundance of CAFs is associated with advanced stage and metastasis to the omentum and lymph nodes, contributing to the formation of the premetastatic niche and the failure of drug treatments by exchanging signals with tumor cells [7, 13]. CAFs can form the core of spheroids and serve as a scaffolding to aggregate in heterotypic spheroids with cancer cells, via the activation of cadherins and integrins, representing metastatic units with high malignant potential. These heterotypic spheroids contribute to peritoneal dissemination given the high degree of invasiveness, enhanced pro-survival signaling, and adhesion to

Received: 17 October 2023 Revised: 6 May 2024 Accepted: 8 May 2024 Published online: 22 May 2024

¹Institute of Molecular Biology and Pathology (IBPM), National Research Council (CNR), Rome 00185, Italy. ²Unit of Preclinical Models and New Therapeutic Agents, IRCCS-Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, Rome 00144, Italy. ³Department of Science and Technology for Sustainable Development and One Health, University Campus Bio-Medico di Roma, Rome 00128, Italy. ⁴Department of Chemistry Materials and Chemical Engineering, University Politecnico di Milano, 20133 Milano, Italy. ⁵Confocal Microscopy Unit, Core Facilities, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome 00161, Italy. ⁶These authors contributed equally: Danila Del Rio, Ilenia Masi. ^{Se}email: Iaura.rosano@cnr.it Edited by Patrizia Agostinis

mesothelium [14]. In addition, fibroblasts are characterized by extensive matrix-synthesizing and matrix-remodeling capacities, pivotal for establishing an invasion-permissive TME [15, 16]. In this context, Src-transformed fibroblasts or fibroblasts activated by growth factors, signaling pathways, and environmental cues, might form invadosomes, integrin-based matrix adhesion, composed of actin regulators, as TKS5 and cortactin, facilitating matrix degradation, in different human diseases including cancers [17–21].

In SOC, mediators present in ascites initiate or perpetuate CAF activation [2]. Since the available treatments for this tumor remain limited, the knowledge of the interaction between CAFs and tumor cells via soluble mediators is essential for the development of effective treatment strategies.

Within the SOC-associated tumor-promoting factors, the peptide endothelin-1 (ET-1) and its interactions with the cognate G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs), Endothelin A (ET_AR), and B (ET_BR) receptors, support tumor progression, activating key pathways in invasion and metastasis, through the differential cooperation of β -arrestins (β -arrs) with proteins in cytosolic and nuclear compartments [22, 23]. Although the predominant effects have been studied on cancer cells, the ET-1 axis is involved in regulating the activities of cancer-associated stromal cells, including those related to ovarian TME, such as endothelial and lymphatic cells, and MCs [24–28]. The $ET_A R/\beta$ -arr1 signaling promotes invadopodia-dependent invasion [29]. Moreover, ET_AR/ β -arr1 drives integrin α 5 β 1 (int α 5 β 1) inside-out activation and promotes the survival of 3D spheroids, with mesotheliumintercalation capacity and invasive behavior [30]. However, the role of ET-1/β-arr1 in ovarian fibroblast activation and ECM remodeling is completely unknown. Additionally, it has been reported that *β*-arr1 enhances fibroblast migration when cocultured with cancer cells by modulating cofilin activity [31], suggesting a new uncovered ET-1/β-arr1-driven pathway in CAFs. Here, we studied how the ET-1/ β -arr1 axis promotes ovarian CAF behavior and ECM remodeling, supporting tumor/stroma communication and the favorable behavior in the SOC premetastatic niche, and tested the therapeutic effects of inhibiting this axis targeting fibroblast/cancer cell metastatic units.

RESULTS

ET-1/β-arr1 axis promotes ovarian fibroblast activation

To investigate ET-1 as a candidate soluble mediator affecting CAF behavior and the involvement of β -arr1, we used human primary fibroblasts derived from the normal ovary (HOFs), characterized by the lower basal expression of fibroblast activation markers, such as α -SMA, vimentin, confirming their inactivated phenotype, compared to ovarian cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) (Supplementary Fig. 1A). Both HOFs and CAFs express ET-1, ET_AR, and ET_BR, as well as β -arr1 (Fig. 1A, and Supplementary Fig. 1B). Moreover, HOFs secrete ET-1 in their conditioned media (CM) reaching the level of 11 pg/µl/10⁶ cells (Fig. 1B).

In agreement with previous data [26–28, 32], ET-1 induces HOFs to acquire features of CAFs, as an increase in platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR), fibroblast activated protein (FAP), and vimentin expression (Supplementary Fig. 1C). In addition, the treatment with ET-1 enhances the expression of ET_BR and β -arr1 and decreases that of ET_AR (Supplementary Fig. 1D), suggesting that autocrine or paracrine ET-1 secretion might regulate ET-1/ β -arr1 axis. Moreover, an enhanced collagen contraction is evident upon ET-1 addition (Fig. 1C). To analyze the involvement of specific receptors in these effects, we added either the ET_AR antagonist Ambrisentan (AMB), the ET_BR antagonist BQ788, or both. The addition of AMB, BQ788, or their combination, blocks the ET-1 effect, shrinking the gels to the HOF control level (Fig. 1C). Since CAFs secrete soluble factors to reconstruct the TME and promote cancer cell invasion and metastasis [17], we used

cytokines profiler arrays to analyze CMs from HOFs unstimulated or stimulated with ET-1. Enhanced levels of many cytokines related to cancer invasion and migration are found in the CMs of ET-1-treated cells, including IL-6, IL-8, CXCL11, and CXCL12 (Fig. 1D), further supporting the hypothesis that ET-1 is a driver of activated fibroblasts.

ET-1 regulates invadosome activation and Intß1 signaling

Considering the role of invadosome in fibroblast-driven tissue invasion and matrix remodeling [33], and that ET-1/ β -arr1 promotes invadopodia in cancer cells [29, 30], we evaluated the ET-1 axis as a regulator of invadosome. As shown by confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) analysis, while untreated HOFs did not form degradative cortactin clusters, under the addition of ET-1, F-actin/cortactin puncta became visible within the cell body, displaying invadosome properties, as degradative activity, but not when cells were treated with AMB or BQ788, or BOS (Fig. 2A and Supplementary Fig. 2). Moreover, β-arr1 silencing inhibits invadosome activity at the same extent of ET-1 receptor antagonists (Fig. 2A, B and Supplementary Fig. 3A, B), while these effects are rescued by overexpression of β-arr1-FLAG (Supplementary Fig. 3A, B), confirming the role of β-arr1 in ET-1-dependent invadosome. The membrane-bound metalloproteinase MT1-MMP (MMP14) regulates invadosome by enabling the degradation of ECM [33]. ET-1 promotes enhanced expression of MMP14 in HOFs (Supplementary Fig. 4A). In invadosome, localization of MMP14 is evident at the substrate-digested dots in ET-1-treated cells, while Ilomastat, an MMP inhibitor, significantly inhibits ET-1-induced gelatin degradation (Fig. 2C), demonstrating the involvement of MMPs in this effect.

Since F-actin cores are surrounded by "adhesive rings" enriched in integrins, and that β -arr1 regulates both adhesion ring formation around invadopodia, and int β 1 signaling [29, 30, 34–36], we evaluated intβ1-linked molecular effectors to ECM remodeling. HOFs express int \beta1 and int \alpha5 (Supplementary Fig. 4B). Intß1 surrounds cortactin-rich degraded dots in ET-1-treated HOFs, but not in cells treated with AMB, BQ788, BOS, or β-arr1 silencing, to the same extent as ATN161, an int α 5 β 1 antagonist (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. 4C), suggesting the involvement of ET-1dependent intß1 signaling in invadosome. Moreover, ET-1 promotes intß1 activation and downstream related FAK and paxillin (Fig. 4A–C and Supplementary Fig. 4D, 5A, B). This effect is inhibited by the ET-1 receptor antagonists or ATN161 (Fig. 4B and Supplementary Fig. 4D), demonstrating that ET-1 regulates intβ1 signaling. Moreover, β-arr1 silencing inhibits intβ1 activation, and downstream FAK, paxillin, and talin1 phosphorylation (Supplementary Fig. 5A, B), suggesting that ET-1/ β -arr1 might contribute to int \beta1/talin1 activation. In addition, CLSM analysis shows the recruitment of talin1 to F-actin in ET-1-stimulated cells, but not in cells treated with AMB, BQ788, or in combination (Fig. 4C).

ET-1-activated CAFs form heterotypic spheroids with high invasive and metastatic behavior

Then, we evaluated whether ET-1-driven molecular changes translate into HOF phenotypic differences. The addition of ET-1 promotes HOF invasive potential, but not when cells are pretreated with BQ123, BQ788, or BOS, or after β -arr1 silencing (Supplementary Fig. 6A), indicating the involvement of β -arr1 in this process. In addition, a significant release of proteases, such as MMP-7 and uPAR, is observed in ET-1-treated cells (Supplementary Fig. 6B, C). According to the role of ET-1 as a mitogenic factor for cancer and stromal cells [22, 23, 28, 31], cell proliferation and live–dead assays show that ET-1 increases cell vitality, while the addition of AMB, or BQ788 or BOS, as well as silencing of β -arr1 in both cell types, significantly block the basal or ET-1 effects (Supplementary Fig. 6D, E), confirming the presence of an ET-1/ ET_{A/B}R autocrine loop and the involvement of β -arr1 in cancer-associated ovarian stromal cells. Moreover, a time-dependent



Fig. 1 ET-1/β-arr1 axis activates human ovarian fibroblasts (HOFs). A Representative Western blotting (WB) of whole cell lysates probed with Abs to ET_AR , ET_BR , and β-arr1. Tubulin, loading control. **B** ET-1 secretion measured by ELISA in conditioned media (CM) from HOFs at indicated time points. Histograms represent the mean ± SD. Statistics were obtained using One-way ANOVA, n = 2. **C** Collagen contraction assay by using HOFs stimulated with ET-1 and/or AMB (ET_AR antagonist) and/or BQ788 (ET_BR antagonist) after 72 h. Histograms represent the mean ± SD; statistics were obtained using One-way ANOVA. **D** Cytokine release evaluated in CM from untreated or ET-1-treated HOFs (24 h) by using cytokine profiler array. Histograms represent the mean ± SD, n = 2 of the analytes detected; statistics were obtained using One-way ANOVA.

activation of p42/44 MAPK and AKT occurs in response to ET-1 stimulation, compared to control cells (Supplementary Fig. 6F). The presence of either ET_AR or ET_BR antagonists, or BOS, significantly reduces ET-1-dependent p42/44 MAPK and AKT phosphorylation levels (Fig. 5A), indicating the involvement of both receptors in ET-1-induced mitogenic pathways.

Considering that CAFs serve as a scaffolding for forming heterotypic spheroids [14, 37], we evaluated the potential of the ET-1 axis to induce spheroid formation, supporting mesothelial clearance properties and metastatic behavior. To assess the requirement of ET-1-dependent CAFs to support the ability of cancer cells to invade, we performed a 3D matrix invasion assay in which Kuramochi cells/HOFs were seeded on top of a 100 µm thick containing fibronectin/type I collagen gels. Cells adhered to and invaded these gels, and the addition of ET-1 significantly

enhances the average invasion depth into the ECM of both cancer cells and HOFs, whereas this effect is inhibited when cells were treated with AMB, BQ788, BOS, and upon silencing of β -arr1 (Fig. 5B and Supplementary Fig. 7A). We evaluated ET-1-dependent CAF activities in a fibroblast-containing 3D organo-typic model composed of a mixture of collagen I and HOFs, and OVCAR3 cells plated on top, by using a polystyrene scaffold engineered into a 200-µm thick membrane. Cells were able to attach, grow, and colonize the full thickness of the scaffold within 7 days of the addition of ET-1, but not in control conditions (Fig. 6A). Immunocytochemical analysis confirms the presence of CK8-expressing SOC cells invading the matrix in ET-1-treated condition (Fig. 6B). Taken together, these findings support the idea that the ET-1 enhances the invasive potential of SOC cells in 3D models containing active fibroblasts.



Fig. 2 ET-1 regulates invadosome formation and activation. A Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) analysis of sh-SCR or sh-ARRB1 HOFs, plated onto gelatin and treated with ET-1 and/or BOS ($ET_{A/B}R$ antagonist) for 48 h. Cells were stained for Cortactin (green) and F-actin (red), nuclei in blue (DAPI). Gelatin was reported in pseudo-color gray. Degradation areas appear as black holes, while co-localization is shown in merged images (pseudo-color yellow). Orthogonal views (y-z plane; x-z plane) indicate areas of degraded gelatin with co-localized F-actin and cortactin. Right, separate channels and merged images of the selected ROI and the histogram profiles of F-actin/cortactin/gelatin signals in the line drawn. **B** Representative WB of whole cell lysates from sh-SCR or sh-ARRB1 HOFs stimulated or not with ET-1 and probed with Ab to β -arr1. Tubulin, loading control. **C** CLSM analysis of HOFs, plated onto gelatin and treated with ET-1 or Ilomastat (MMP inhibitor). Cells were stained for MMP14 (green) and F-actin (red). Co-localization is shown in merged images (pseudo-color white or yellow). Right, separate channels and merged ROI. Scale bar, 20 µm. Histograms (**A**, **C**) represent the mean ± SD of the normalized degradation area percentage of cells; statistics were obtained using the One-way ANOVA.



Fig. 3 ET-1/ET_{A/B}R/β-arr1 axis regulates invadosome activity through Intβ1. CLSM analysis of sh-SCR and sh-ARRB1 HOFs, plated onto gelatin and treated with ET-1 and/or BOS. Cells were stained for Intβ1 (green) and Cortactin (red), nuclei in blue (DAPI). Gelatin was reported in pseudo-color gray. Degradation areas appear as black holes, while co-localization is shown in merged images. Orthogonal views (y-z plane; x-z plane) indicate areas of degraded gelatin with cortactin surrounded by Intβ1 rings. *Right*, separate channels and merged images of the selected ROI. Scale bar, 20 μ m. Histograms represent the mean ± SD of the normalized degradation area percentage of cells; statistics were obtained using one-way ANOVA.

Then, we evaluated the effect of the ET-1 axis on the ability of heterotypic spheroids to displace mesothelial monolayer. We used sh-SCR OVCA433/HOF or sh-ARRB1 OVCA433/HOF spheroids and fluorescently labeled MCs (Cy5) and followed the dynamics of a mesothelial monolayer after cancer spheroid attachment by live imaging. The cleared area increases when ET-1 was added to the spheroids, but this effect is inhibited after silencing of β -arr1 or in the presence of AMB, BQ788, or BOS (Fig. 6C and Supplementary Fig. 7B). Altogether these data demonstrate that the ET-1/ β -arr1 axis contributes to the CAF-dependent invasive and metastatic potential of SOC cells.

$\mathrm{ET}_{\mathrm{A/B}}\mathrm{R}$ blockade inhibits the metastatic potential of combined SOC/HOF cells in vivo

We, therefore, investigated the involvement of ET-1-driven CAFs in mediating early transcoelomic metastasis and tested the possibility that therapeutic interventions targeting ET-1 receptors could disrupt the interplay between cancer cells and CAFs, preventing metastatic implantation in the peritoneum and beyond. Luctransfected SKOV3/HOFs were implanted intraperitoneally in mice, then treated with AMB or BOS or control for 5 weeks. Tumor growth in the peritoneal cavity was assessed using BLI images every seven days. Results show that while AMB inhibited intraperitoneal spreading, this effect was significantly pronounced after treatment with BOS (Fig. 7A). Peritoneal metastatic organs were examined, and nodules were used for WB analysis. Reduced expression of PDGFR, and Vimentin is visible in AMB-treated tissues and to a greater extent in those from BOS-treated mice (Fig. 7B). To evaluate whether the effect of antagonists on metastasis regression is related to increased apoptosis, we performed TUNEL assays on tumor sections immunostained for α -SMA, a fibroblast marker, CD31, a marker of endothelial cells, and CK8 for cancer cells. As shown in Fig. 7C, both antagonists significantly enhance the number of apoptotic cells, with a more efficacy effect of BOS on cancer and stromal cells.

The findings indicate a potential treatment window for SOC metastasis by blocking $\text{ET}_{A/B}R$, inhibiting crosstalk between cancer cells and CAFs, and enhancing cell death.

DISCUSSION

In recent years, the TME has been viewed not only as a physical support for cancer cells but also as a facilitator of tumor progression, where changes in stromal cell signaling may precede or act independently in cancer cells to determine disease outcomes [38]. One of the first cell types identified is the resident fibroblast, which can be recruited and activated by the crosstalk with cancer cells. Fibroblasts can establish a niche where they can thrive unrestricted, modifying the physical, dimensional, and chemical aspects of the tissue in a continuous dynamic and co-evolutionary manner with cancer cells. This highlights the need to understand in depth how resident fibroblasts become CAFs and how their functions are altered to establish a mature TME during tumor evolution.

This study provides evidence that the $ET_{A/B}R/\beta$ -arr1 pathway acts as a novel cue for HOFs to become CAFs. This is the result of 1. increased proliferation, migration, and secretion of specific soluble factors. 2. the ability to locally degrade and invade the ECM by facilitating invadosome formation. 3. the formation of heterotypic spheroids with SOC cells with high metastatic



Fig. 4 ET-1/ET_{A/B}**R regulates Int** β **1/talin1 signaling through** β **-arr1.** Representative WB of whole cell lysates from HOFs stimulated with **A** ET-1 at indicated times or **B** ET-1 (5 min) and/or AMB and/or BQ788 and/or BOS. Tubulin and GAPDH, loading control. Histograms represent the mean ± SD of densitometric analyses of proteins relative to GAPDH; statistics were obtained using One-way ANOVA. **C** CLSM analysis of HOFs stimulated with ET-1 for 5 min and/or AMB and/or BQ788 and/or AMB + BQ788, stained for active Int β 1 (green), talin1 (magenta) and F-actin (red) detection. Co-localization is shown in merged images (pseudo-color white or yellow). Columns represent the mean ± SD of quantification of Pearson's correlation between act Int β 1 and talin1; statistics were obtained using one-way ANOVA.

potential. At the translational level, $ET_{A/B}R$ blockade inhibits tumor invasion by reducing CAF activation and the invasive and metastatic behavior of heterotypic spheroids within a tumor, with reduced cell survival.

The abundant stromal response that accompanies human tumor progression has led to the study of the intriguing properties of fibroblasts, revealing their ability to sense external cues and respond rapidly by activating signaling cascades and switching to an activated state [38, 39]. Several soluble factors derived from the tumor or stromal cells can reinforce a self-sustaining cycle leading to support the plasticity that accompanies the establishment of the CAF phenotype. Previous studies have identified the direct role of the ET-1 axis in driving molecular changes in cancer-associated fibroblasts. In colorectal cancer, ET-1/ET_AR stimulates proliferative, migratory, and contractile tumorigenic effects [40]. Moreover, specific antagonism of ET_AR, ET_BR, or both, inhibits the proliferation, migration, and collagen contraction [28]. In an orthotopic model of breast cancer, the nanoparticles bearing the ET_{A/B}R antagonist macitentan prevent fibrotic progression by regulating the CAF function [27]. Notably, ET-1-dependent fibrocyte-associated mechanisms promote lung tumor growth and metastasis, with local upregulation of ET-1 axis members, and bosentan interferes with all phenotypic switches that characterize the lung cancer-supportive niche [26]. In HG-SOC cells, ET-1 dictates the





Fig. 5 ET-1 facilitates heterotypic spheroid formation, survival, and invasive behavior. A Representative WB of whole cell lysates from HOFs, stimulated with ET-1 and/or AMB and/or BQ788 and/or BOS for 5 min, and probed with Abs to pMAPK, MAPK, pAKT and AKT. Histograms represent the mean ± SD of densitometric analyses of proteins relative to GAPDH; statistics were obtained using One-way ANOVA. **B** sh-SCR or sh-ARRB1 Kuramochi/HOFs treated with ET-1 and/or AMB and/or BQ788 and/or BQ788 and/or BOS were allowed to invade fibronectin/type I collagen plugs in an inverted invasion assay (48 h). Cells were stained with PKH67 (green, HOFs) or PKH26 (red, Kuramochi), before invasion assay, and serial optical sections (10 μm intervals) were acquired. The invasion was measured by dividing the sum of the signal intensity of all slides (total cells); statistics were obtained using One-way ANOVA, Dunnett post hoc analysis. Scale bar, 100 μm.

activation of a p53/YAP/HIF-1 α transcriptional apparatus in fibroblasts, enhancing the release of VEGF circuits, regulating the DNA damage response, and the efficacy of PARPi treatment [32]. Our study extends these findings in HOFs, by confirming the role of ET-1 as a soluble factor produced by fibroblasts or tumor cells, with both ET_AR and ET_BR as part of a feedback loop to enhance their activation. In addition, we provide a previously unrecognized role of β -arr1 in their activation and a new dimension in ECM remodeling via invadosome. Despite the recognized role of β -arr1 in stromal cells in pathological conditions, few studies have demonstrated its involvement in cancer-associated stromal cells. Breast cancer cells promote the migration of fibroblasts, which is associated with increased expression of β -arr1 and a smallmolecule ligand of β -arr1 interferes with the β -arr1-cofilin scaffolding pathway, thus inhibiting cancer-dependent migration of fibroblasts [31]. Regarding the SOC, the ET-1/ β -arr1 axis triggers the genetic reprogramming of MCs, allowing them to acquire a fibroblast-like phenotype that promotes cancer progression [24]. Here, we report that an ET-1/ β -arr1 loop facilitates a proliferative and activated phenotype of fibroblasts via both ET_{A/B}R, conferring a pro-tumorigenic role via matrix degradation. The central role of CAF in the TME determined an effort to understand the origins and functions of heterogeneous and distinct populations of CAFs, although there is a lack of consensus markers for specific cell populations [41, 42]. Recent studies in this tumor identified subtypes associated with worse survival, showing mesenchymal phenotype, or associated with stromal response and extensive desmoplasia, acting as contributors to ECM secretion and matrisome expression [42, 43]. Although it is difficult to identify specific subtypes of CAFs induced by ET-1 without omics studies,



Fig. 6 ET-1/β-arr1 enhances the invasive behavior of CAFs/SOC cells. A HOFs (6×10^5) and OVCAR3 cells (1.2×10^6) were seeded on a Collcontaining polystyrene scaffold in the absence or presence of ET-1 for 1 week. The images show the invading H&E stained cells after a week in the organotypic model. Columns represent the mean ± SD of the number of invading cells; statistics were obtained using Student *t*-test. **B** Sections as in **A** were stained for CK8 (green) and DAPI (blue) detection. The corresponding transmitted light images are also shown. Arrows depict the top side of the scaffold where cells were plated. **C** Images depict mesothelial clearance induced by sh-SCR-OVCA433 (green)/HOFs (red) or sh-ARRB1-OVCA433 (green)/HOF (red) spheroids treated or not with ET-1 at 0- and 3-h time points. Scale bar, 100 µm. Histograms represent the ratio between the area of the "hole"/aperture in the mesothelial monolayer after 3 h (highlighted with the yellow line) and the initial spheroid area (0 h). One-way ANOVA.

we hypothesize that ET-1 induces the reprogramming of HOFs to ECM-remodeling/myofibroblastic CAFs, since the observed upregulation of ECM proteins, such as Fibronectin, α-SMA, Vimentin, CD29, and proteases. However, data from a cytokine array also indicate a possible induction of an inflammatory phenotype with tumor-promoting functions. A more in-depth study will be performed in this respect. Several studies have shown that fibroblasts form invadosome that regulate mechanosensing and directional migration [17-21]. The invadosome allows cells to sense and interact with their TME, and participate in its remodeling, although cells of mesenchymal origin, including CAFs, could degrade the surrounding matrix by a mechanism independent of invadosome [44-47]. In addition, some growth factors may induce invadosome in fibrosis-related disease, but few studies have demonstrated factors involved in regulating CAF invadosome. In pancreatic cancer, palladin induces invadosome formation in CAF and invasion through Cdc42 activity [21].

We report for the first time that the ET-1 axis promotes invadosome in fibroblasts for the localized release of lytic enzymes that participate in the generation of tracks in the ECM. These findings, together with those in cancer cells, support the idea that β -arr1, acting as a subcellular signpost of the ET-1 axis, facilitates

the coordinated ability of CAFs and cancer cells to degrade the ECM material and promotes cancer invasion. Furthermore, in line with the idea that activated fibroblasts display cancer cell properties in multiple ways, we show that heterotypic spheroids containing ET-1-driven CAFs exhibit enhanced mesothelial clearance capabilities compared to those in which the ET-1 axis is impaired, confirming their supportive role in SOC dissemination [37]. We took advantage of the 3D models to compare the therapeutic anti-metastatic potential of different ET-1 receptor antagonists as well as *β*-arr1 silencing in vitro and in vivo. Although previous data have shown that ambrisentan prevents peritoneal metastasis in SOC xenografts [30], our study is the first to demonstrate that bosentan is effective in controlling tumor dissemination by targeting the metastatic potential of heterotypic spheroids, associated with enhanced apoptosis in cancer cells, fibroblasts and endothelial cells expressing ET_BR, placing them as the cornerstone of peritoneal metastasis. These data support previously published findings demonstrating that the dual ET-1R receptor antagonist macitentan interrupts ET-1-driven pro-survival signals, affecting cancer cells and the feed-forward loops in the TME [32]. As different subsets of CAFs are emerging, being tumorrestraining and tumor-promoting roles, depending on the stage of



Fig. 7 ET_{A/B}**R blockade suppresses the metastatic potential of CAFs/SOC cells. A** Bioluminescent images of intraperitoneally (i.p) injected SKOV3-Luc (3×10^6)/HOF-Luc (1×10^6)-cells in NOD/SCID mice, undergoing treatments for 5 weeks with Methocel (vehicle, CTR) or AMB (10 mg/kg, oral daily), BOS (10 mg/kg, i.p. daily). Data are presented as mean ± SD, n = 2, one-way ANOVA. Inset, images of i.p. organs visualized by BLI. B Representative WB of whole cell lysates from metastatic nodules probed with Abs to PDGFR and Vimentin. Histograms represent the mean ± SD of densitometric analyses of proteins relative to GAPDH; statistics were obtained using one-way ANOVA. **C** CLSM examinations (three-dimensional reconstruction images) of Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded (FFPE) tissue sections (5 µm thick) from untreated (CTR) and AMB or BOS-treated mice. Sections were stained for TUNEL detection (red) in combination with anti- α SMA (upper panels), anti-PECAM1/CD31 (middle panels) or anti-cytokeratin-8 (lower panels) primary Abs, showed in green. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Merged images are reported and colocalizations are shown in yellow. Insets represent higher-power magnification images of selected areas with TUNEL staining in proximity of the specific markers (arrows), indicating apoptotic events in tissues from BOS-treated mice. Scale bar, 50 µm. n = 2. Histograms represent the ratio of the TUNEL-positive cells in the region of interest to the TUNEL-positive cells in the whole section relative to the number of cells (nuclei). One-way ANOVA.

the tumor and the complex context of the surrounding TME, and distinct subsets of CAFs are interconvertible via manipulation of specific signaling [48], further research is required to understand the full CAF pool in a cancer-dependent manner and precise understanding of the mechanisms governing CAF heterogeneity and plasticity is a prerequisite for therapeutic interventions that selectively target tumor-supporting CAFs. Although additional molecular studies are required to provide detailed evidence of the role of the $ET_{A/B}R/\beta$ -arr1 axis to translate these results on CAFs into clinical practice and ultimately to achieve clinical benefit, our findings establish the pivotal role of this signaling pathway in the malignant properties of ovarian fibroblasts, including their ability

to degrade ECM via invadosome formation. Considering that CAFs are an ideal target for direct antineoplastic therapies in SOC, our data suggest that $ET_{A/B}R$ approaches, targeting both cancer cells and stromal CAFs, may be an effective therapeutic strategy to destroy the survival and invasive behavior of metastatic units, limiting SOC progression.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Cells

HOFs were obtained from ScienCell (Cat# 7330-SC) and cultured in Fibroblast Medium (Cat# 2301-SC; ScienCell). CAFs were obtained from

10

Vitro Biopharma (Cat# CAF02) and cultured in Low-Serum, VitroPlus III, Complete Medium (Cat# PC00B1). Omental-derived adult primary MCs were obtained from Zen-Bio (USA) and cultured in Mesothelial Cell Growth Medium (Cat# MSO-1; Zen-Bio). The SOC cell line SKOV3 (ATCC[®] HTB-77[™]) provided by the American Type Culture Collection (LGC Standards, Teddington, UK), was maintained in McCoy's 5A medium (Cat# 26600-023; GIBCO Thermo Fisher). OVCA433 cell line was provided by Prof. G. Scambia (Catholic University School of Medicine) and maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (Cat# 21885-025; GIBCO Thermo Fisher). Kuramochi cell line was provided by the National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition (NIBIOHN, Osaka, Japan), and the OVCAR3 cells (ATCC[®] HTB-161 were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (LGC Standards, Teddington, UK), both cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Cat# 618700-010; GIBCO Thermo Fisher). All media were supplemented with 10% or 20% FBS, containing penicillin (10,000 U/ml)streptomycin (10 mg/ml). Cells were incubated at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2 and were tested for the absence of viral/ mycoplasma contamination.

Antibodies and chemical reagents

Antibodies (Abs) used for western blotting (WB) were: anti-β-arr1 (Cat# ab32099; Abcam), anti-Tubulin (cat# sc-32293; RRID:AB_628412 ; Santa Cruz), anti-Endothelin A receptor (Cat# PA3-065; Thermo Fisher), anti-Endothelin B receptor (Cat# ab11759; Abcam), anti-GAPDH (Cat# G945; RRID:AB_10597731; Sigma-Aldrich), anti-phospho-paxillin (Cat# ABP-0156; Immunological Sciences), anti-paxillin (cat# MAB-80128: RRI-D:AB_11187804; Immunological Sciences), anti-phospho-FAK (Cat# ABP-0290; RRID:AB_2173671; Immunological Sciences), anti-FAK (Cat# MAB-10157, RRID:AB_10905163; Immunological Sciences), anti-Into5 [EPR7854] (Cat# ab150361; Abcam), anti-α-SMA (Cat# NCL-L-SMA; Leica), anti-FAP (Cat# 28244; Abcam), anti-PDGFR (Cat# 32570; Abcam), anti-CD29 (active intB1) (9EG7) (Cat# 550531; RRID:AB 393729; BD Biosciences), anti-CD29 (int β1) (Cat#610468; BD Biosciences); anti-pp42/44 MAPK (Cat# 4370; Cell Signaling), anti-p42/44 MAPK (Cat# 4695; Cell Signaling), anti-pAkt (Cat# 2605; Cell Signaling), anti-Akt (Cat# 2602; Cell Signaling), anti-vimentin (Cat# D21H3, Cell Signaling), anti-phospho-talin1 (Ser425) (Cat# TP171; RRID:AB 2840569; ECM Biosciences), anti-talin1 (Cat# MA5-28133; RRI-D:AB_2204003; Invitrogen), anti-MMP14 (Cat#IM57L, Oncogene), anti-FLAG (F1804 Sigma-Aldrich). Secondary antibodies used for WB were as follows: horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (Cat# 32460, Life Technologies) or anti-mouse (Cat# PA128568, Life Technologies). Primary antibodies used for immunofluorescence (IF) were as follows: anti-Cortactin (Cat# 3503; RRID:AB_2115160; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-CD29 (9EG7), anti-talin1 (Cat# MA5-28133; RRID:AB_2204003; Invitrogen), anti-PECAM1/CD31 (Cat# LS-C286337 Lifespan Biosciences), anti-α-SMA (Cat# NCL-L-SMA; Leica), anti-CK8 (Cat# CK8-TS1-L; Leica), anti-FLAG (F1804 Sigma-Aldrich), anti-MMP14 (Cat#IM57L, Oncogene).

The chemical reagents used were:4',6'-diamidino-2-phenykindole (DAPI) (Cat# 1331762; Bio-Rad Laboratories), Vectashield (Cat# H-1000; Vector Laboratories), QCM Gelatin Invadopodia Assay (RED) (Cat# ECM671; Millipore), PKH26 red fluorescent cell linker kit for general cell membrane labeling (Cat# PKH26GL-1KT, Sigma-Aldrich), PKH67 green fluorescent cell linker kit for general cell membrane labeling (Cat# PKH67GL-1KT, Sigma-Aldrich), CellTracker[™] Deep Red Dye (Cat# C34565,Thermo Fisher), Alexa Fluor-488 phalloidin (Cat # A12379; Thermo Fisher), Alexa Fluor 594 phalloidin (Cat# A12381; Thermo Fisher), Alexa Fluor 633 phalloidin (Cat# A22284; Thermo Fisher), ET-1 (100 nmol/L) (Cat# E7764-1MG; Sigma-Aldrich), BQ788 (1 µM) (Peninsula Laboratories), BQ123 (1 µM) (Cat# L01435, Alexis Corporation), Ambrisentan (1 µM) (Cat# SML2104; Sigma-Aldrich) also called (+) - (2S) - 2-[(4,6dimethylpyrimidin-2-yl) oxy]-3methoxy-3,3-diphenylpropanoic acid, Bosentan (Cat# HY-A0013A, Med Chem Express), type I collagen rat tail (Cat# MA01730; BD Biosciences), llomastat 10 μ M (Millipore, Cat# 6M6001), fibronectin human foreskin 15 µg/ml (Cat# F2518-5MG; BD Biosciences), click-iT Plus TUNEL Assay protocol (Invitrogen, Cat# C10247). ET-1R antagonists were added 20 min before the addition of ET-1.

Cell viability

Cells (3×10^4) were cultured on a 24-well plate and cultured in serum-free medium in different experimental conditions for 48 h. Total cells from each well were collected by using Trypsin-EDTA 1X in PBS (Euroclone) solution and counted by using an automated cell counter (Beckman Coulter). The experiment was performed in sextuplicate for all conditions described and repeated at least three times.

Live-dead assay

Cells (1×10^3) were cultured in a complete medium. After 24 h of starvation, serum-free medium or serum-free medium containing different experimental treatments were added. After 48 h, the Cyto3DTM Live–Dead Assay Kit (TheWell Bioscience, Inc., North Brunswick, NJ, USA) was used to determine the live/dead nucleated cells using a dual-fluorescence system of acridine orange (AO) and propidium iodide (PI), both nuclear staining (nucleic acid binding) dyes. All live nucleated cells (green), and all dead nucleated cells (red) were counted. Several images were obtained using a Bio-Rad ZOE fluorescent cell imager (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Quantification analyses were performed using ImageJ (https://imagej.net/software/fiji), a Java-based freeware, analyzing the mean gray value of the green and red channels separately, and then calculating the green/red ratio.

ELISA

Following the manufacturer's protocols, uPAR, MMP-7, and ET-1 secretion were evaluated by using a Human uPAR Immunoassay (Cat# DUP00 R&D), Human Total MMP-7 Quantikine ELISA Kit (Cat# DMP700, R&D), and ET-1 Human Endothelin-1 ELISA Kit (Car# CEK1146, Cohesion Biosciences), respectively. Briefly, 50 μ L of conditioned medium obtained at different time points was added into each well of the 96-well plate pre-coated with anti-tag antibody by using the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration of each desired protein in each sample was determined by interpolating the absorbance values against the standard curve that was calculated by recombinant proteins at gradient dilution.

Silencing of β-arr1 and transient transfection

To obtain stable silencing, HOFs, OVCA433, and Kuramochi cells were infected with shRNA-expressing lentiviruses. Lentiviral particles were obtained by co-transfecting HEK293FT cells (from ATCC) with pMD2.G and psPAX2 plasmids (Addgene #12259 and #12260 respectively), and the target lentiviral vectors pLV[Exp]-U6>Scramble[shRNA#1]-hPGK>Puro(ns):T2A:Luciferase or pLV[Exp]-U6 > hARRB1[shRNA#1]-hPGK>Puro(ns):T2A:Luciferase (Vector Builder) with JetPEI transfection reagent (PolyPlus, Graffenstaden, FR) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After 48 h, the HEK293FT supernatant, containing infecting particles, was collected, and replaced with a fresh medium. The collected supernatant was centrifuged to eliminate cell debris and directly administered to cells seeded at 30-40% confluence. A second infection cycle was repeated after 24 h. The cells were then allowed to recover in fresh medium for 48 h and then splitted. Lentivirus-infected cells were selected for 72 h in medium containing 1ug/ml of puromycin (Cat #A11138-03, Gibco). The pcDNA3-B-arr1-FLAG wild-type plasmid construct, a 'wobble' mutant construct encoding rat β -arr1 sequences resistant to small interfering RNA targeting kindly provided by Dr Robert Lefkowitz (Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA) was for the ectopic expression of β -arr1, or empty vector.

RNA isolation and qRT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from cells using PureZol (Cat# 7326880 BioRad), according to the manufacturer's instructions and 1 µg was used for retrotranscription (RT) using PrimeScrip RT Reagent Kit (Cat# RR037A, Takara). Quantitative real-time PCR was performed by using the light Cycler QuantStudio 3 qPCR System (Applied Biosystem) using SensiFAST[™] SYBR[®] Hi-ROX One-Step Kit (Meridian Bioscience). Final data were obtained by using 2^{$\Delta\Delta$ Ct} method. The number of each gene-amplified product was normalized to the number of GAPDH amplified products. The primers used were as follows:

EDNRA F: 5'-ATCACCGTCCTCAACCTCT-3' EDNRA R: 5'-CAGTGGAGAGACAATTTCAATGGC-3' EDNRB F: 5'-ATCACCGTCCTCAACCTCT-3' EDNRB R: 5'-CAGATGGAGAGACAATTTCAATGGC-3' ARRB1 F: 5'-CAGGAACGCCTCATCAAGA-3' EDN1 R: 5'-GTGTCTACTGCACAGAACATGGA-3' EDN1 F: 5'-GTGTCTACTTCTGCCACCTG-3' EDN1 R: 5'-AAGTAAATTCTCAAGGCTCTCT-3' GAPDH F: 5'-ACATCGCTCAGACACCATG-3 GAPDH R: 5'-TGTAGTTGAGGTCAATGAAGGGG-3'

Western blotting (WB)

For WB analysis, total cells were detached by scraping, collected by centrifugation, and lysed in RIPA buffer [50 mMTris·HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mm NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate (NaDoc), 0.1% SDS] containing proteases and phosphatase inhibitors (Roche). Protein concentrations were determined using the DC Protein assay (Bio-Rad

Human Cytokine array

A Human Cytokine Array Kit (ARY026) was purchased from R&D (Minneapolis, USA). Briefly, the conditioned medium was added into Array Buffers (R&D, ARY026) to a final volume of 1.5 mL and then applied to each antibodyprinted nitrocellulose membrane for overnight incubation at 4°C. On the next day, the membrane was incubated with the detection antibody cocktail (R&D, ARY026) for 1 h at room temperature. Treated with HRP-conjugated streptavidin antibody, the membrane was exposed to ChemiDoc. Each pair of positive dots represented signals of highly expressed cytokines and the intensity was quantified by ImageJ software. The full list of all proteins candidates is available at the manufacturer's official website (Proteome Profiler Human XL Oncology Array ARY026: R&D Systems (mdsystems.com). Data extraction and analysis were performed after subtraction of the background and normalization to the internal references provided by the manufacturer, using an ImageJ protein array analyzer software (http:// rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/macros/toolsets/Dot%20Blot%20Analyzer.txt).

Immunofluorescence

Cells cultured on coverslips were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature, permeabilized with 0.2% Triton-X-100 and blocked with 0.1 M glycine, 1% BSA and 0.1% Tween20 in PBS for 30 min at room temperature. Samples were incubated with primary Abs in 0.5% BSA in PBS overnight at 4 °C, followed by incubation with secondary Abs conjugated with DAPI. Coverslips were finally mounted with a Vectashield mounting medium for fluorescence. CLSM observations were performed with a Zeiss LSM980 apparatus, using a 63x/1.40 NA oil objective and excitation spectral laser lines at 405, 488, 543, 594, and 639 nm. Image acquisition and processing were carried out using the Zeiss Confocal Software Zen 3.1 (Blue edition). Signals from different fluorescent probes were taken in sequential scan settings and co-localization was visualized in merge images. Several cells were analyzed for each labeling condition, and representative results were shown.

All co-localization analyses were carried out using the Coloc2 plugin of ImageJ software to calculate Pearson's correlation coefficients. This software estimates the degree of overlap between fluorescence signals obtained in two separate fluorescent channels. The Pearson's coefficients were calculated from multiple images and then averaged, and SD of the mean was calculated.

Immunofluorescence on tumor tissue sections

Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue sections (5 µm thick) were deparaffinized with xylene and ethanol, hydrated through graded alcohols. For TUNEL detection (or staining) in combination with anti-PECAM1/CD31, anti-SMA, or anti-Cytokeratin-8 primary Abs, hydrated FFPE tissue sections were first subjected to a heat-induced epitope retrieval step by pH6 citrate buffer (Novus Biologicals) for 3×3 min in a microwave oven and then treated according to Click-iT Plus TUNEL Assay protocol (Invitrogen, Cat# C10247). At the end of TUNEL staining sections were blocked in PBS-BSA 3% for 60 min at 37 °C and stained with primary Abs overnight at 4 °C, followed by incubation with Alexa Fluor-488 F(ab)2 fragments of goat antimouse or goat anti-rabbit IgG plus DAPI for 60 min at 37 °C (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The slides were mounted in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories) and observed on a Zeiss LSM980 microscope, using a 40x/1.40 NA oil objective and excitation spectral laser lines at 405, 488, and 639 nm. At least 10 photomicrographs were collected from each tissue specimen, two tumors from each group were selected for analysis, and the number of TUNEL-positive staining cells were counted by using FIJI software. From the native RGB digital image, a green channel, a blue channel and an 8-bit red channel were extracted, and background noise was subtracted to obtain a cleaner image. Next, we applied the closest-to-reality (of the original image) thresholding method to obtain a binary image and used "find maxima" tool (noise tolerance = constant for the same set of images, output type = maxima within tolerance) to separately count the number of nuclei, TUNEL-positive cells, and TUNEL-positive cells in the specific ROI around the green-marked cells. The analysis was done by relating the TUNEL-positive cells of interest to the TUNEL-positive cells in the whole section relative to the number of cells (nuclei).

Transwell invasion assay

The assays were carried out using an insert 8.0 µm pore-sized membranes (Cat# 662638; Greiner Bio-one). Cells (3×10^4) were added to the lower chamber pre-coated with Cultrex Basement Membrane Matrix (Cat# 3500-096-03; Trevigen) and cultured with serum-free medium in different experimental conditions, as indicated. The cells were left to invade for 12 hr at 37 °C. Cells on the upper part of the membrane were scraped using a cotton swab, and the migrated cells were stained using Three-Step Stain Set (Cat# 3300; Thermo Scientific). Each experiment was performed in triplicates for all conditions described and repeated at least three times. From each transwell, several images were taken by using Bio-Rad ZOE fluorescent cell imager under a phase-contrast microscope, and four broad fields were considered for quantification. For each image, foci were quantified using the "Find Maxima" tool in the FJI software (noise tolerance = constant for the same set of images, output type = maxima within tolerance).

Fluorescent gelatin degradation assay

Coverslips were inverted on 200 μ L drop of QCM Gelatin Invadopodia Assay (Millipore) and heated to 37 °C. Coverslips were fixed in 0.5% glutaraldehyde for 15 min at 4 °C and after washing with PBS. Slides were sterilized with 70% ethanol and left in complete growth media for 1 h before use. Cells were cultured on gelatin-coated coverslips in a 24-well plate and left to adhere. Cells were then incubated for 48 hr in different experimental conditions and finally fixed and stained for CLSM examinations. Fluorescence signals were analyzed by Zeiss LSM980 Microscope equipped with a 63× oil objective. 3D reconstruction images of selected regions of interest (ROI) with evident matrix degradation spots were shown. To quantify invadopodia activity, black-and-white images of gelatin degradation are analyzed using FUI software. The fraction of degraded area was analyzed in this way: Analyze>Set Measurements>select "Area Fraction". The "area fraction" value was normalized to the number of nuclei in each image as measured from the DAPI channel in the same field [49].

Collagen contraction assay

Collagen gel was prepared according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, collagen solution was neutralized by adding 12 µl of acetic acid 0,1% and 7 µl of 1 M NaOH to 600 µl of collagen type I stock solution (3 mg/mL). Then, 500 µl of cell culture medium containing HOFs (3.5×10^3) were added and gently mixed to reach uniform distribution. The prepared solution was poured into a non-tissue culture-treated 24-well plate and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. Cells (3.5×10^3) were added to collagen. After collagen polymerization, gels were gently detached from the edges of the culture wells on the sides of the wells using a sterile tip and 1 mL of starvation medium was added to each gel in different experimental conditions. Gels were maintained at 37 °C and 5% CO2 in an Okolab cage incubator and monitored by time-lapse microscopy using an epifluorescence inverted microscope (Nikon Eclipse Ti-E). Collagen contraction was measured by NIS Elements AR software (Nikon) and expressed as a percentage of the initial gel area. The collected data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism software and statistical analysis was performed on at least three independent experiments.

Mesothelial clearance

Sh-SCR OVCA433 cells and HOFs or sh-ARRB1 OVCA433 and HOFs were labeled, respectively, with 2×10^6 M PKH67 green fluorescent cell linker or 2×10^{6} M PKH26 red fluorescent cell linker. Spheroids were formed by incubating 1×10^3 cells (3:1, OVCA433:HOFs) per well in a 96-well U-bottomshaped culture dish with a cell-repelling surface (Cat# F202003, faCellitate) at 37 °C for 16 h. MCs were labeled with Cy5 cell linker and MC monolayer was prepared by plating 40×10^3 cells per well in fibronectin-coated (50 µg/ml) Ibidi chamber slides (µ-slide 96-well Uncoated, Ibidi, Cat# 81811) followed by incubation at 37 °C for 16 hr. The spheroids were then transferred to the slides with the MC monolayers and the three cell populations were imaged. For live imaging experiments, we used an X-Light V3 confocal spinning disk unit (CrestOptics) mounted on a Nikon Ti-E Inverted Motorized time-lapse microscope with an integrated Perfect Focus System and equipped with a Kinetix CMOS camera (Photometrics) and a Celesta laser source (Lumencor). Ibidi slides were placed in the time-lapse microscope incubation chamber with integrated temperature, CO2, and humidity control (OkoLab), and timeD. Del Rio et al.

lapse Z-stack acquisitions were conducted for 3 h at 30 min intervals using NIS Elements AR ver.5 software (Nikon). Images represent the Maximum Intensity Projection (MIP) of 200 μm Z-stacks (z. step 20 μm). A ROI was selected for each image. For each time point, the non-fluorescent area in the mesothelial monolayer underneath the spheroid was measured using FJJI software and normalized to the initial spheroid area. Experiments were conducted at least in triplicate.

Inverted 3D collagen invasion assay

One hundred microliters of collagen (2.0 mg/ml type l/fibronectin (15 µg/ml) was allowed to polymerize in transwell inserts (the same as described in "transwell invasion assay") for 2 h at 37 °C. 3×10^4 Kuramochi cells and 1×10^4 HOFs were stained respectively with PKH26 red fluorescent cell linker and PKH67 green fluorescent cell linker, were seeded on top of the gel in a serum-free medium, and stimuli and/or inhibitors were added to the medium in the bottom chamber of the transwell as chemoattractants. After 48 h, the cells were fixed, stained, and visualized by an X-Light V3 confocal spinning disk unit, as described previously. Images represent 70 µm Z-stacks (z. step 10 µm). The invasion was measured using FUI software by dividing the sum of the signal intensity of all slides (total cells).

3D organotypic cultures

The organotypic model was created by putting type I collagen (0.8 mg/ml) and HOFs (7×10^5) on the Alvetex scaffolds (Reinnervate, Sedgefield, Co. Durham, UK). The day after, OVCAR3 cells (1.3×10^6) were seeded and cultured for 7 days. After 2 days, the growth medium was replaced with a starved medium under the conditions indicated. After 7 days, the scaffolds, once the medium is removed, are washed with PBS, fixed with Bouin's solution for 16 h, dehydrated with sequential ethanol washes (30-95%), clarified in xylene, and sliced into two parts and embedded on FFPE. The scaffolds were sectioned at 10 μ m, deparaffinized with xylene and ethanol, hydrated through graded alcohols, and subjected to heat-induced epitope retrieval step by pH6 citrate buffer (Novus Biologicals) three times for 3 min in a microwave. Sections were washed with PBS-T (0.01% Tween 20) and blocked in PBS-BSA 3% for 30 min at 37 °C, and then stained in two different ways: (1) with the standard hematoxylin (Mayer's solution for hematoxylin Sigma Aldrich, Cat# MHS16) and eosin (alcoholic Eosin Y solution, Sigma Aldrich, Cat# HT110116l) technique; (2) for Cytokeratin 8 detection (Leica Biosistems, Cat#NCL-L-CK8-TS1) was added to PBS-BSA (0.5% BSA) and incubated 30 min at 37 °C, followed by incubation with Alexa Fluor-488 F(ab)2 fragments of goat anti-rat IgG plus DAPI (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The slides were mounted in Vectashield (Vector Laboratories) and observed on a Zeiss LSM980 confocal laser scanning microscope. Each hematoxylin-eosin image was converted on FIJI to a black-and-white image, and then with Image>Adjust>Threshold, an area with a white background was selected that was representative of the invaded cells in the scaffold. A ROI was selected for each image that included only the cells within the scaffold, i.e., those that invaded, and then they were counted with the "analyze particles" function.

In vivo experiments

For in vivo animal studies, the experimental protocols complied with the principles of ARRIVE (https://arriveguidelines.org) and were approved by the National Ethics Committee for Animal Experimentation of the Italian Ministry of Health (authorization N1/2020- PR #365869604). The mice were housed in single cages with wood-derived bedding material in a specific pathogen-free facility with a 12 h light/dark cycle under controlled temperatures. The mice were cared for under the principles of laboratory animal care (National, Bethesda, Dof USA no. 85-23, revised 1985) and national laws, and received water and food ad libitum. 4-6 weeks of age female NOD/SCID mice (Charles River Laboratories) were used. Mice were injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) with 200 μ L PBS containing 3 \times 10⁶ viable of SKOV3-Luc+HOFs-Luc (3:1, SKOV3:-HOFs) [30], following the guidelines for animal experimentation. One week after, mice, were randomized into three different groups undergoing the following treatments for 5 weeks: (i) 200 µL Metocell (vehicle oral gavage, CTR), (ii) 200 µL Bosentan (10 mg/kg, oral gavage daily), and Ambrisentan (5 mg/kg, oral gavage daily). Mice were observed 2 times per week and monitored for signs of distress (i.e., changes in appearance, respiration, activity, etc.) and weighed. Mice showing signs of distress or losing greater than 15% of body weight were euthanized. Tumor burden was assessed once per week after tumor cell injection by measuring light emission following intraperitoneal luciferin administration (75 mg/kg body weight, intraperitoneal; Perkin Elmer, Hopkinton, MA, USA). Briefly, 10 min after administration of D-luciferin, photon emission was acquired for 3 min and analyzed with a CCD camera (Xenogen IVIS Lumina System; Perkin Elmer). Total flux (photons/second) was determined for the entire abdominal cavity per mouse and normalized to the mean total flux of control-treated mice imaged one week after tumor cell injection. Upon experimental termination, mice were euthanized, and visible metastases were carefully dissected, frozen, and used for WB, and IF analysis.

Statistical analysis

All the experiments were repeated at least three times, otherwise indicated. We used cell cultures with a normal distribution and similar variance between groups. Statistical analysis was conducted using GraphPad Prism software and the values represent mean \pm SD. Graphs comparing two conditions were analyzed via unpaired t-test with Welch's correction. Graphs comparing more than two conditions were analyzed via one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett post hoc analysis. The statistical significance was symbolized by *($P \le 0.05$), **($P \le 0.01$), ***($P \le 0.001$), or ****($P \le 0.001$).

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data generated during the current study are included in this article and its supplementary information file and could be available on reasonable request by inquiring the corresponding author. Uncropped western blots can be seen in supplemental materials.

REFERENCES

- 1. Dasari S, Fang Y, Mitra AK. Cancer associated fibroblasts: naughty neighbors that drive ovarian cancer progression. Cancers. 2018;10:406.
- Ding H, Zhang J, Zhang F, Xu Y, Yu Y, Liang W, et al. Role of cancer-associated fibroblast in the pathogenesis of ovarian Cancer: Focus on the latest therapeutic approaches. Int Immunopharmacol. 2022;110:109052.
- Naik A, Leask A. Tumor-associated fibrosis impairs the response to immunotherapy. Matrix Biol. 2023;119:125–40.
- Yeung TL, Leung CS, Yip KP, Au Yeung CL, Wong ST, Mok SC. Cellular and molecular processes in ovarian cancer metastasis. a review in the theme: cell and molecular processes in cancer metastasis. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2015;309:C444–56.
- 5. Lengyel E. Ovarian cancer development and metastasis. Am J Pathol. 2010;177:1053-64.
- Brown Y, Hua S, Tanwar PS. Extracellular matrix in high-grade serous ovarian cancer: advances in understanding of carcinogenesis and cancer biology. Matrix Biol. 2023;118:16–46.
- Govindarajan M, Ignatchenko V, Ailles L, Kislinger T. Proteomics of high-grade serous ovarian cancer models identifies cancer-associated fibroblast markers associated with clinical outcomes. Biomolecules. 2022;13:75.
- Xu J, Fang Y, Chen K, Li S, Tang S, Ren Y, et al. Single-cell RNA sequencing reveals the tissue architecture in human high-grade serous ovarian cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2022;28:3590–602.
- Ferri-Borgogno S, Zhu Y, Sheng J, Burks JK, Gomez JA, Wong KK, et al. Spatial transcriptomics depict ligand-receptor crosstalk heterogeneity at the tumor-stroma interface in long-term ovarian cancer survivors. Cancer Res. 2023;83:1503–16.
- 10. Deng Y, Tan Y, Zhou D, Bai Y, Cao T, Zhong C, et al. Single-Cell RNA-sequencing atlas reveals the tumor microenvironment of metastatic high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma. Front Immunol. 2022;13:923194.
- Carvalho RF, do Canto LM, Abildgaard C, Aagaard MM, Tronhjem MS, Waldstrom M, et al. Single-cell and bulk RNA sequencing reveal ligands and receptors associated with worse overall survival in serous ovarian cancer. Cell Commun Signal. 2022;20:176.
- Zhao Y, Mei S, Huang Y, Chen J, Zhang X, Zhang P. Integrative analysis deciphers the heterogeneity of cancer-associated fibroblast and implications on clinical outcomes in ovarian cancers. Comput Struct Biotechnol J. 2022;20:6403–11.
- Gertych A, Walts AE, Cheng K, Liu M, John J, Lester J, et al. Dynamic changes in the extracellular matrix in primary, metastatic, and recurrent ovarian cancers. Cells. 2022;11:3769.
- Gao Q, Yang Z, Xu S, Li X, Yang X, Jin P, et al. Heterotypic CAF-tumor spheroids promote early peritoneal metastatis of ovarian cancer. J Exp Med. 2019;216:688–703.
- Chen X, Song E. Turning foes to friends: targeting cancer-associated fibroblasts. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2019;18:99–115.
- Chen Y, McAndrews KM, Kalluri R. Clinical and therapeutic relevance of cancerassociated fibroblasts. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2021;18:792–804.
- Lebel M, Cliche DO, Charbonneau M, Adam D, Brochiero E, Dubois CM, et al. Invadosome formation by lung fibroblasts in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;24:499.

12

- Gulvady AC, Forsythe IJ, Turner CE. Hic-5 regulates Src-induced invadopodia rosette formation and organization. Mol Biol Cell. 2019;30:1298–313.
- Chen YW, Lai CS, Chen YF, Chiu WT, Chen HC, Shen MR. STIM1-dependent Ca(2+) signaling regulates podosome formation to facilitate cancer cell invasion. Sci Rep. 2017;7:11523.
- Rafiq NB, Lieu ZZ, Jiang T, Yu CH, Matsudaira P, Jones GE, et al. Podosome assembly is controlled by the GTPase ARF1 and its nucleotide exchange factor ARNO. J Cell Biol. 2017;216:181–97.
- Goicoechea SM, Garcia-Mata R, Staub J, Valdivia A, Sharek L, McCulloch CG, et al. Palladin promotes invasion of pancreatic cancer cells by enhancing invadopodia formation in cancer-associated fibroblasts. Oncogene. 2014;33:1265–73.
- Maharana J, Banerjee R, Yadav MK, Sarma P, Shukla AK. Emerging structural insights into GPCR-beta-arrestin interaction and functional outcomes. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2022;75:102406.
- 23. Bagnato A, Rosano L. New routes in GPCR/beta-arrestin-driven signaling in cancer progression and metastasis. Front Pharmacol. 2019;10:114.
- Del Rio D, Masi I, Caprara V, Spadaro F, Ottavi F, Strippoli R, et al. Ovarian cancerdriven mesothelial-to-mesenchymal transition is triggered by the endothelin-1/ beta-arr1 axis. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2021;9:764375.
- Rosano L, Spinella F, Bagnato A. Endothelin 1 in cancer: biological implications and therapeutic opportunities. Nat Rev Cancer. 2013;13:637–51.
- Weigert A, Zheng X, Nenzel A, Turkowski K, Gunther S, Strack E, et al. Fibrocytes boost tumor-supportive phenotypic switches in the lung cancer niche via the endothelin system. Nat Commun. 2022;13:6078.
- Son S, Shin JM, Shin S, Kim CH, Lee JA, Ko H, et al. Repurposing macitentan with nanoparticle modulates tumor microenvironment to potentiate immune checkpoint blockade. Biomaterials. 2021;276:121058.
- Haque SU, Dashwood MR, Heetun M, Shiwen X, Farooqui N, Ramesh B, et al. Efficacy of the specific endothelin a receptor antagonist zibotentan (ZD4054) in colorectal cancer: a preclinical study. Mol Cancer Ther. 2013;12:1556–67.
- Masi I, Caprara V, Spadaro F, Chellini L, Sestito R, Zancla A, et al. Endothelin-1 drives invadopodia and interaction with mesothelial cells through ILK. Cell Rep. 2021;34:108800.
- 30. Masi I, Ottavi F, Del Rio D, Caprara V, Vastarelli C, Giannitelli SM, et al. The interaction of beta-arrestin1 with talin1 driven by endothelin A receptor as a feature of alpha5beta1 integrin activation in high-grade serous ovarian cancer. Cell Death Dis. 2023;14:73.
- Suvarna K, Honda K, Kondoh Y, Osada H, Watanabe N. Identification of a smallmolecule ligand of beta-arrestin1 as an inhibitor of stromal fibroblast cell migration accelerated by cancer cells. Cancer Med. 2018;7:883–93.
- 32. Tocci P, Roman C, Sestito R, Di Castro V, Sacconi A, Molineris I, et al. Targeting tumor-stroma communication by blocking endothelin-1 receptors sensitizes high-grade serous ovarian cancer to PARP inhibition. Cell Death Dis. 2023;14:5.
- Weber K, Hey S, Cervero P, Linder S. The circle of life: phases of podosome formation, turnover and reemergence. Eur J Cell Biol. 2022;101:151218.
- 34. Di Modugno F, Caprara V, Chellini L, Tocci P, Spadaro F, Ferrandina G, et al. hMENA is a key regulator in endothelin-1/beta-arrestin1-induced invadopodial function and metastatic process. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2018;115:3132–7.
- Chellini L, Caprara V, Spadaro F, Sestito R, Bagnato A, Rosano L. Regulation of extracellular matrix degradation and metastatic spread by IQGAP1 through endothelin-1 receptor signalling in ovarian cancer. Matrix Biol. 2019;81:17–33.
- 36. Semprucci E, Tocci P, Cianfrocca R, Sestito R, Caprara V, Veglione M, et al. Endothelin A receptor drives invadopodia function and cell motility through the beta-arrestin/ PDZ-RhoGEF pathway in ovarian carcinoma. Oncogene. 2016;35:3432–42.
- Han Q, Huang B, Huang Z, Cai J, Gong L, Zhang Y, et al. Tumor cell-fibroblast heterotypic aggregates in malignant ascites of patients with ovarian cancer. Int J Mol Med. 2019;44:2245–55.
- Caligiuri G, Tuveson DA. Activated fibroblasts in cancer: perspectives and challenges. Cancer Cell. 2023;41:434–49.
- Simon T, Salhia B. Cancer-associated fibroblast subpopulations with diverse and dynamic roles in the tumor microenvironment. Mol Cancer Res. 2022;20:183–92.
- Knowles JP, Shi-Wen X, Haque SU, Bhalla A, Dashwood MR, Yang S, et al. Endothelin-1 stimulates colon cancer adjacent fibroblasts. Int J Cancer. 2012;130:1264–72.
- 41. Lavie D, Ben-Shmuel A, Erez N, Scherz-Shouval R. Cancer-associated fibroblasts in the single-cell era. Nat Cancer. 2022;3:793–807.
- Givel AM, Kieffer Y, Scholer-Dahirel A, Sirven P, Cardon M, Pelon F, et al. miR200regulated CXCL12beta promotes fibroblast heterogeneity and immunosuppression in ovarian cancers. Nat Commun. 2018;9:1056.
- 43. Zou R, Jiang Q, Jin T, Chen M, Yao L, Ding H. Pan-cancer analyses and molecular subtypes based on the cancer-associated fibroblast landscape and tumor microenvironment infiltration characterization reveal clinical outcome and immunotherapy response in epithelial ovarian cancer. Front Immunol. 2022;13:956224.
- 44. Cao H, Eppinga RD, Razidlo GL, Krueger EW, Chen J, Qiang L, et al. Stromal fibroblasts facilitate cancer cell invasion by a novel invadopodia-independent matrix degradation process. Oncogene. 2016;35:1099–110.

- 45. Cannone S, Greco MR, Carvalho TMA, Guizouarn H, Soriani O, Di Molfetta D, et al. Cancer associated fibroblast (CAF) regulation of PDAC parenchymal (CPC) and CSC phenotypes is modulated by ECM composition. Cancers. 2022;14:3737.
- Ezzoukhry Z, Henriet E, Cordelieres FP, Dupuy JW, Maitre M, Gay N, et al. Combining laser capture microdissection and proteomics reveals an active translation machinery controlling invadosome formation. Nat Commun. 2018;9:2031.
- Kato K, Miyazawa H, Kawashiri S, Lambert DW. Tumour: fibroblast interactions promote invadopodia-mediated migration and invasion in oral squamous cell carcinoma. J Oncol. 2022;2022:5277440.
- Yang D, Liu J, Qian H, Zhuang Q. Cancer-associated fibroblasts: from basic science to anticancer therapy. Exp Mol Med. 2023;55:1322–32.
- 49. Diaz B. Invadopodia detection and gelatin degradation assay. Bio Protoc. 2013;3:e997.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded by Associazione Italiana Ricerca sul Cancro (AIRC) [grant number AIRC 21372 to LR] and by Regione Lazio POR FESR Lazio 2014–2020 "Gruppi di Ricerca 2020" [grant ID A0375-2020-36596 "ORGANOVA" to LR]. D.D.R. was supported by Fondazione Umberto Veronesi. I.M. was supported by Regione Lazio (PROGETTI DI GRUPPI DI RICERCA 2020-2022 grant ID A0375-2020-36596 "ORGANOVA"). We thank Alberto Rainer for the helpful discussion and B. Amadio for assistance in animal experiments. We also acknowledge MUR (Ministry of University and Research) PON project "IMPARA, Imaging from molecules to the preclinics" for supporting the development of the IBPM imaging platform.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Danila Del Rio: Writing – original draft, Validation, Data curation, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis. Ilenia Masi: Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Formal analysis, Visualization. Valentina Caprara: Methodology, Investigation. Flavia Ottavi: Investigation, Visualization. Gabriele Albertini Petroni: Investigation. Erica Salvati: Investigation. Daniela Trisciuoglio: Investigation, Formal analysis. Sara Maria Giannitelli: Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis. Anna Bagnato: Writing – review & editing. Emanuele Mauri: Formal analysis. Francesca Spadaro: Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis; Methodology; Project administration; Resources; Supervision; Validation; Roles/ Writing – original draft; and Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-024-06730-6.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Laura Rosanò.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024