



Architectural
European Medium-sized City
Arrangement



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

IO3
2021

Manual of best practices for a blended flexible training activity in architecture for higher education institutions



**UNIVERSITÀ
DI PARMA**

DIA Dipartimento
di Ingegneria e Architettura



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA



Silesian
University
of Technology

**RWTHAACHEN
UNIVERSITY**



Normandie Université

FAMagazine. Research and projects on architecture and the city

ArchéA. Architectural European Medium sized City Arrangement

Editor: Festival Architettura Edizioni, Parma, Italy

ISSN: 2039-0491

DOI: 10.12838/fam/issn2039-0491/n0-2021

Editorial secretariat

c/o Università di Parma
Campus Scienze e Tecnologie
Via G. P. Usberti, 181/a
43124 - Parma (Italia)

Email: redazione@famagazine.it

Email: ArchéA@unibo.it

www.famagazine.it

site.unibo.it/ArchéA/en

Management

Enrico Prandi, (Director) University of Parma

Lamberto Amistadi, (Deputy Director) University of Bologna

This volume returns the results of the Intellectual Output 03 of the research project "ArchéA. Architectural European Medium-sized City Arrangement", with the aim of analyzing and restating the state of the art achieved in the field of flexible mixed training in architecture, strongly encouraged by the emergency period of the Covid-19 pandemic. The result is a collection of good practices carried out internally and externally to the ArchéA partner network, in the context of higher education institutions, made possible by new virtual tools capable of mediating teaching and mixed and flexible learning around the disciplines related to the project.

ArchéA. Architectural European Medium-sized City Arrangement

Project Reference: 2018-1-IT02-KA203-048305

Programme: Erasmus+

Key Action: Cooperation for innovation and the exchange of good practices

Action Type: Strategic Partnerships for higher education

<https://ec.europa.eu>

This manual comes out as a special issue of the "FAMagazine. Researches and projects on architecture and the city", associated partner of the ArchéA research project.

IO3
2021

Manual of best practices for a blended flexible training activity in architecture for higher education institutions

edited by Enrico Prandi and Paolo Strina

Analysys of the Best Practices

Guest professors

Renato Capozzi with Nicola Campanile, Gennaro Di Costanzo, Roberta Esposito, Oreste Lubrano, Claudia Sansò, Francesca Spacagna
Virtual exhibition for design workshops.
Some experiences at DiARC_University of Naples “Federico II”

University of Naples Federico II, Italy




Fig.01 Virtual exhibition of the Architectural and Urban Studio 1, a.a. 2020-21, Prof. Arch. Renato Capozzi with architects Roberta Esposito, Nicola Campanile, Francesca Spacagna.

Fig.02 Interface of the Open Source software Filezilla.

Introduction

In the following we describe some conceptual steps and problematic nodes concerning the theme of new and integrable ways of laboratory teaching aided by advanced computer tools developed at the Architectural and Urban Composition Studio or Final Architectural Studio of the five-year single-cycle degree courses in Architecture and the Master's degree course in Architectural Studio at the DiARC of the University of Naples “Federico II” held – in the academic years 2019-20 and 2020-21 – and coordinated by Renato Capozzi with the collaboration of Nicola Campanile, Gennaro Di Costanzo, Roberta Esposito, Oreste Lubrano, Claudia Sansò and Francesca Spacagna. The contribution, starting from a questioning of the potentialities but also of the limits of a didactics of the project according to the D.a.D. or blended modality according to a wider perspective of heterotopic sense of Foucauldian matrix in the paragraph “Real VS Virtual”, is articulated in three more technical related paragraphs: “The 3D models”; “Elaboration of the sharing interface”; “Experiences of virtual exhibitions”. The essay ends with some provisional “Conclusions” that reflect on the actual potentialities and development prospects of the combined use of the technologies employed. While the text “3D Models” analyses the main techniques for the production of virtual models to define the spheroidal environment in which the exhibition is to be located and the fundamental elements (graphics and models) for the construction of the exhibition's itinerary or multiple itineraries, the following section describes the phases of elaboration of the multimedia product to be shared on the web or through other media, offering the user autonomous navigation in the exhibition spaces and

a strong interactivity of its contents. At the end, the main experiences of virtual exhibitions produced in 2020 and 2021 are reported and exhibited for the first time on 28th November 2020 (only for a part related to the *annus terribilis* 2020) in the Researcher's European night, promoted by MEET me TONIGHT “Faccia a Faccia con la ricerca”, Link city | DiARC UNINA *neaPòlis* Scuola Politecnica e delle scienze di base – Università degli Studi di Napoli “Federico II” through the system: Jitsi Meetings.

Real VS Virtual

The current pandemic condition, caused by Covid-19, has triggered reflections on both real and virtual space. It's possible to say that the division of human activity has split into two categories of space, the interior and the exterior, altering the previous balance that held them together. In addition to the canonical *indoor* activities, the interior spaces of the dwellings have also accommodated all those actions that used to be carried out in the city's exterior spaces, thus emptying the outside of all human action. Even work spaces are being rethought and redesigned with a tendency towards the lack of the physical place, and in this respect great challenges arise which, if overcome, can overcome the risk of isolation and a-sociality (other than social distancing), generating a possible denial of the real relationship with the community that finds its moment of encounter, in this tragic condition, only in virtual space. In this sense, the ways of transmitting knowledge and, therefore, of teaching have inevitably changed, leading to the adoption of web platforms capable of not interrupting both communication between people, transforming it from physical and haptic to intangible, and teaching through D.a.D. or

blended teaching. The “real” collective space for communicating and sharing ideas is extended, thus becoming a “virtual” space and encompassing, in this way, a larger pool of users but greatly reducing interactions between teachers and students and among students themselves.

The new technologies are making more and more tools and services available for getting to know each other, exchanging ideas, reducing distances and establishing contacts with different cultures and worlds more and more quickly. This means that the place of dialogue, previously a “real” space, takes on a new form, becoming a “virtual” space and, at the same time, a necessary interface for sharing knowledge. These are tools capable of extending the possibility of dialogue to a vast and potentially infinite public, which becomes an active part of a collective and shared discussion. With the help of this advanced software, it seems possible to achieve a real sharing of intentions and competences that allow individuals in a community, but not only, to carry out collective actions and debates in another, immaterial place: the “virtual”.

Virtual reality, however, in addition to appropriating human experiences and relationships, also tends to transform the places where human community action takes place, making them volatile and unattainable. From the moment that all activities can take place virtually in real spaces, these adapt by becoming incubators of experiences and configuring new spaces corresponding to definitive and pervasive extensions to the domestic of the global network. Real space becomes promiscuous: place of work, place of schooling, place of apparent encounters. As understood by Michel Foucault, real space becomes “heterotopic”¹ corresponding to a real place that is actually realised but which is configured as a place

outside of any place.

3D Models

The project of a virtual exhibition generally includes two phases: the first one concerns the elaboration of the digital model of the exhibition, including the environment that will host the exhibition, the exhibited objects – being specifically a transposition of a university exam in Architectural Composition, it is a matter of exhibiting the virtual correspondents of graphic and plastic works – and the possible illuminating objects that guarantee to the virtual environment a correct lighting for the elaboration of the render images. The objective of the modelling phase, in fact, is to obtain 360° digital images, for example a representation of the 3D environment that frames in a single view all the possible angles that a hypothetical viewer would obtain by rotating on himself. Such digital elaborations are called “spherical renders” or “spheroids” because of the characteristic “photography” of the environment impressed on an ellipsoid, a three-dimensional surface that can be obtained by rotating an ellipse around one of its axes. The “explained” ellipsoids, similar to the types of representation of the globe that can be observed on maps, are functional to the subsequent construction of the “route” of the virtual exhibition. The second phase, in fact, consists in the use of software for acquiring and processing multimedia files with which to concatenate the spherical renderings into a visual sequence representing the virtual tour of the exhibition.

In the first phase the modelling and rendering software ArchiCAD by Graphisoft was used. Once the modelling of the environment had been completed, the environment was integrated with the students’ work, which, as mentioned above, being two




Fig.03 Virtual exhibition of the Final Architectural Composition Studio, a.a. 2019-20, Prof. Arch. Renato Capozzi with architects Gennaro Di Costanzo, Gianmaria Santonicola, Sara Sgueglia, Francesco Vitiello.



Fig.04 Virtual exhibition of the Architectural and Urban Composition Studio 1, a.a. 2019-20, Prof. Arch. Renato Capozzi with architects Claudia Sansò, Nicola Campanile.

types of work, required two different procedures for insertion into the virtual environment. The graphic works and the models were converted, respectively, into .jpeg files and into *.gsm objects, in order to obtain file types compatible with the applications allowed by the modelling software.

For the insertion of .jpeg files, the software allows images of this format to be loaded into the surface catalogue in the library. The surface catalogue, generally intended for the setting of materials with which to represent the materiality of the various architectural parts of the model, also allows, by forcing the basic logic, the simulation of the application of objects superimposed on the surface of the architectural element, as happens in real life for the application of wallpaper, posters or, in this specific case, printed panels on wall surfaces. The image, set up as a texture, is then applied to a surface within the model, simulating the exposed panel.

For the insertion of the models, however, the procedure differs slightly while maintaining some procedural similarities. In this case, the function of the ArchiCAD software for translating a three-dimensional model into a *.gsm object file was used. The real model, as already mentioned, was “translated” from the real to the virtual through the construction of a three-dimensional model, elaborated in turn in the ArchiCAD software from which it was possible not only to obtain a simulation of the model, but also to extrapolate the 2D drawings that formed the basis for the graphic tables representing the student’s compositional exercise. The three-dimensional model file,

generally with the *.pln extension, can be exported, among others, as a *.gsm object file, and then re-imported, with much smaller dimensions to the detriment of modifiability, into another ArchiCAD file, in this case into the virtual environment hosting the exhibition. At the same way of what happens for the surfaces, such *.gsm files are then loaded in the library of the file containing the environment modelling and then inserted inside the model. The only possibility of post-editing that allows a *.gsm file, exported with basic settings, is the overwriting of its surfaces, which, for the case in question, was sufficient to homologate all the virtual “models” with the “white paint” surface.

Once the virtual environment had been set up, the process of constructing the exhibition involved the elaboration of the aforementioned spherical renderings, guaranteed by the same ArchiCAD software, which for some versions has now been implemented with the CineRender rendering engine. The CineRender engine includes, among other things, the so-called spherical camera, which is necessary and sufficient for the processing of spheroids. The spherical camera, set up in a rectangular equi-format in order to meet the requirements for the subsequent processing phase of the virtual tour, allows the processing of the spherical renders that can be acquired, after the production of the image, in .jpeg format and functional for the subsequent sorting and construction phase of the virtual tour, carried out in this specific case through the use of the open source software Marzipano Tool, with which the sequence of the spherical images was created, sorting them



Fig.05 Virtual exhibition of the Architectural and Urban Composition Studio 1, a.a. 2019-20, Prof. Arch. Renato Capozzi with architects Claudia Sansò, Nicola Campanile.

according to the path established for the exhibition.

Development of the sharing interface

At this point in the work, the spherical images are ready to be “connected” to each other by defining a real virtual path. For the publication and sharing of the spheroids and the subsequent conversion of the format from rectangular equi to *tiles* (small square images, literally “tiles”) we used Marzipano Tool, software through which it was possible to order the images by prefiguring an ideal path. When adding the spherical sequences to the *tool*, it is possible to prefigure the information acquired in different folders, each referring to a specific panorama to be extended and personalised. This action is necessary in order to obtain a smoother display mode on the main browsers. Specifically, the open source software Marzipano Tool has an easy-to-manage interface in which it is possible to customise the various display parameters, as well as modify the panoramas to better orientate oneself within the virtual tour. The sharing interface adopted by the Marzipano Tool software is defined by means of a virtual tour which, as we have seen, is specially structured to receive content, specifically the students’ teaching work. In order to insert this content in the virtual space, it is necessary to use a storage server on which the various files are uploaded. This operation is carried out using another Open Source software such as Filezilla, which allows files to be transferred on the Net via the FTP protocol using the storage space made available by a Host from which clients can download and view

the files present. The Host, in the specific case of the virtual exhibitions already processed by the writer, is associated with an institutional address of the relevant department, making the operation totally free of charge. The various contents uploaded on the Host are then inserted in the virtual space of the exhibition through links that recall the path generated by Filezilla, the same Internet address that hosts the virtual exhibition is generated in the same way, that is, the installation file generated by Marzipano Tool is inserted in the Filezilla storage space, which thus has a network path that can be freely accessed.

In short, this operation generates an interactive and always accessible product through which it is possible to explore the projects on show, providing a virtual environment capable of receiving the collective and transmissible value of the Exhibitions. Virtual navigation makes it possible to find one’s way around the museum space, offering a personalised itinerary that can be continually questioned by the user through the use of menus or connection arrows that facilitate the reading of the scenario. Navigating in the virtual environment, from different points of view, the heterogeneous disciplinary, multimedia and text contents are explored and selected, directly involving the visitor in the museum experience: thanks to the interactive links it is possible to access the numerous multimedia insights, made available to users for a fascinating journey in which the museum space, the layout and the works on display merge into a single communication channel.



Fig.06 Virtual exhibition of the Final Architectural Composition Studio, a.a. 2020-21, Prof. Arch. Renato Capozzi with architects Mario Crisciello, Gennaro Di Costanzo, Oreste Lubrano.



Fig.07 Virtual exhibition of the Final Architectural Composition Studio, a.a. 2020-21, Prof. Arch. Renato Capozzi with architects Mario Crisciello, Gennaro Di Costanzo, Oreste Lubrano.

Experiences of virtual exhibitions

Experiences of virtual elaborations of exhibitions, collecting the results obtained at the end of the laboratory courses, were carried out within the Final Architectural Composition Studio and the Architectural and Urban Composition Studio 1, both for the academic years 2019-20 and 2020-21, at the DiARC_Department of Architecture of the University of Naples “Federico II”, courses held by Professor Renato Capozzi. At the end of the work, the students developed, with the help of the authors, virtual exhibitions² in order to share their reflections with a wider audience and open a debate involving all the actors, direct and indirect, of the process.

Specifically, the preparatory work for the exhibition saw the students involved in the creation of a virtual environment to support the design and analysis work of each individual student. The Final Architectural Composition Studio, for the academic year 2019-20, adopted the space of Ludwig Mies van der Rohe’s *Neue Nationalgalerie* as the exhibition site, and for the academic year 2020-21 the virtual elaboration of Le Corbusier’s *Tower of Shadows*, and finally for the Architectural and Urban Composition Studio 1, academic years 2019-20 and 2020-21, Ludwig Mies van der Rohe’s *Museum for a Small Town*.

These paradigmatic works of modern architecture were chosen because, more than others, they

managed to express the condition of universality of space. The exhibition design was understood as a “project that shows other projects”. In this sense, the experiences of the virtual exhibitions constituted a fundamental phase for the success of the courses, as it was possible to achieve a real sharing of intentions and competences that allowed the students, but not only them, to become aware of the unity of the course and of the need to carry out a collective work, instead of the unrelated condition from which we started in the first months of distance learning.

Conclusions

The virtual exhibition, once designed, as has been shown, can therefore be used as a digital support for the display of educational works, in a similar way to what happened previously, and can take on the function of an additional immaterial place, alongside the unavoidable one. In presentia, in which a fertile and necessary dialectical confrontation can

take place between students, scholars and teachers. The final exhibition of the works constitutes, in our opinion, a consolidated practice and has assumed, in the present time, an unprecedented form becoming a virtual space but at the same time a necessary interface for the sharing of knowledge, a certain surrogate but also a tool that extends the possibility of the debate on the choices made to a wider, potentially infinite audience, which is placed in front of and can contribute to a shared collective work and its necessary “falsifiability”.

Notes

¹ The term “heterotopia”, coined by Michel Foucault, indicates «those spaces which have the particular characteristic of being connected to all other spaces, but in such a way as to suspend, neutralise or invert the set of relationships which they designate, reflect or mirror» (translated by authors).

² http://wpage.unina.it/gennaro.dicostanzo/LSF/RC_EA_mercatosangiovannididio/; <https://mostralab1.wixsite.com/2021>; <https://lsfcapozziattaiana.wixsite.com/mostradidattica>; <https://nicolacampanile4.wixsite.com/lab1-mostraoonline>.

Bibliography

Foucault M. (2006) – Utopie Eterotopie. Cronopio, Napoli; Foucault M. (2014) – “Eterotopie”. In: Id., Archivio Foucault. Interventi, colloqui, interviste. Feltrinelli, Milano.

Renato Capozzi - architect, PhD at IUAV of Venice in Architectural, Associate Professor of Architectural and Urban Composition at the DiARC of the University of Naples “Federico II”, he is a member of the Scientific Board of the PhD program in Architecture and Construction in the Sapienza University of Rome and of the Governing Council of the Scientific Society Pro-Arch. His research topics are: the theoretical dimension of the architectural design; the lesson of the Masters; the relationship between architecture and reality. His publications include: *Le architetture ad Aula: il paradigma Mies van der Rohe* (Clean, Napoli 2011), *L'idea di riparo* (Clean, Napoli 2012), *L'architettura dell'Ipostilo* (Aión, Firenze 2016), *L'esattezza di Jacobsen* (LetteraVentidue, Siracusa 2017), *Lo spazio universale di Mies* (LetteraVentidue, Siracusa 2020).

Nicola Campanile - graduated in architecture with honours and recommendation for publication in the course Arc5UE at the University of Naples “Federico II” with Professor Renato Capozzi, he is currently PhD candidate at the DICAR-Department of Civil Engineering and Architecture of the Polytechnic of Bari. He carries out research and teaching assistance in the course of Theory of Architectural Design and in the Architectural and Urban Composition Studio I held respectively by Professors Federica Visconti and Renato Capozzi.

Gennaro Di Costanzo - graduated in Architecture with honours and recommendation for publication in the course Arc5UE at the University of Naples “Federico II”, he is currently a PhD candidate at DiARC-Department of Architecture; he has collaborated in the drafting of numerous projects with Professors Federica Visconti and Renato Capozzi, and he has been curator of several architecture exhibitions including: “Carlo Moccia. Tra le torri”; “Renato Rizzi. Lampedusa. La Cattedrale di Solomon” and “Paolo Zermani. Architettura e Tempo. La ricostruzione del Castello di Novara”.

Roberta Esposito - is a PhD candidate at DRACo-Doctorate in Architecture and Construction in the Sapienza University of Rome. She has been curator, at DiARC, of the International Seminar “Lo spazio del soggiorno”, of Architecture Exhibitions such as “Adecuación del Castillo del Cerrillo de los Moros. Linazasoro & Sánchez”; “Pompeji. Città Moderna/Moderne Stadt”; “Rapp+Rapp. The European Skyscraper”; “Paolo Zermani. Architettura e Tempo. La ricostruzione del castello di Novara”, and other exhibitions at the Italian Cultural Institute in Bucharest, such as “Agostino Bossi. Disegni di viaggio and Italian design fra gli anni '50 e '90 del Novecento”. She is an expert in the subject ICAR/14-Architectural and Urban Composition, and she carries out research on the theme of the urban grid as a system of order for the construction of the city.

Oreste Lubrano - graduated in Architecture with honours at the University of Naples “Federico II” in 2020. He currently collaborates with the research group coordinated by Professors Federica Visconti and Renato Capozzi at the DiARC- Department of Architecture. He is an expert in the subject ICAR/14-Architectural and Urban Composition. He has carried out teaching assistance in the course of Final Architectural Composition Studio held by Professor Renato Capozzi.

Claudia Sansò - is a PhD candidate in Architectural and Urban Composition at the DiARC of the University of Naples “Federico II”. She has been a visiting researcher at the Instituto Universitario de Arquitectura y Ciencias de la Construcción IUACC of the ETSA Escuela Técnica Superior de Arquitectura de Sevilla. She has participated in international conferences and edited several books including *Ventuno domande a Renato Rizzi* (Clean, Napoli 2020); *Fernand Pouillon. Costruzione, Città, Paesaggio. Viaggio in Italia* (Aión, Firenze 2019); *Adecuación del Castillo del Cerrillo de los Moros. Architettura tra traccia e memoria*. Linazasoro & Sanchez (Clean, Napoli 2017). She is the author of the volume *La moschea e l'Occidente. Tipi architettonici e forme urbane* (Accademia University Press, Torino 2020).

Francesca Spacagna -, graduated in Architecture with honours and recommendation for publication in the course Arc5UE at the University of Naples “Federico II” in 2021. She currently collaborates with the research group coordinated by Professors Federica Visconti and Renato Capozzi at the DiARC of the University of Naples “Federico II”. She is an expert in the subject ICAR/14-Architectural and Urban Composition. She has provided teaching assistance in the Architectural and Urban Composition Studio I held by Professor Renato Capozzi.