

# A CONSEQUENCE OF DJAIRO'S LECTURES ON THE EKELAND VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLE

LUCIO BOCCARDO, LUIGI ORSINA

*(dedicated to Djairo for his 40th birthday, twice)*

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE RESULT

In [2] we considered some properties of the minimizing sequences for integral functionals  $J$ . Thanks to the Ekeland Lemma, the subject of the lectures given by Djairo in Bangalore (see [3]), we proved the existence of a minimizing sequence compact in  $L^s(\Omega)$  or in  $C^{0,\alpha}$  for functionals which do not need to have a minimum, without using the integral representation of the relaxed functional  $J^*$ .

In this paper, we improve the study done in the paper [2], under the assumption that the functional  $J$  has a minimum belonging to  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Using again Ekeland's  $\varepsilon$ -variational principle, we prove that there exists a minimizing sequence  $u_n$  for  $J$  which uniformly converges to a minimum  $u$ .

Let us now make the precise assumptions on the functional  $J$ . Let  $\Omega$  be an open, bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , and let  $p$  be a real number, with  $2 \leq p < N$ . We will denote by  $p^*$  the Sobolev exponent of  $p$ , i.e.,  $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ .

Let  $j : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Carathéodory function (i.e., measurable with respect to  $x$  for every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , and continuous with respect to  $\xi$  for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ ) convex with respect to  $\xi$ , and such that

$$(1) \quad \alpha |\xi|^p \leq j(x, \xi) \leq \beta |\xi|^p,$$

for almost every  $x \in \Omega$ , for every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , where  $\alpha, \beta$  are positive real numbers.

Let  $f$  in  $L^m(\Omega)$ , with  $m \geq (p^*)'$ , and let  $J : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$J(v) = \int_{\Omega} j(x, \nabla v) dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x) v dx, \quad v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Under the assumptions on  $f$  and  $p$ ,  $J$  is well defined on  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

We will further assume that there exists  $a(x, \xi) = j_\xi(x, \xi)$  which satisfies the classical Leray-Lions assumptions (see [8]) and the standard strong monotonicity assumption

$$(2) \quad [a(x, \xi) - a(x, \eta)][\xi - \eta] \geq \alpha |\xi - \eta|^p \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

---

Dipartimento di Matematica, "Sapienza" Università di Roma, P.le A. Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy.  
E-mail: boccardo@mat.uniroma1.it, orsina@mat.uniroma1.it.

Examples of functions  $j$  such that (2) holds true are  $j(x, \xi) = a(x) |\xi|^p$ , with  $a$  a measurable function such that  $\alpha \leq a(x) \leq \beta$ . Since the strong monotonicity condition is simpler to handle if  $p \geq 2$  (the above assumption (2)), and is a little bit more involved if  $1 < p < 2$ , we confine ourselves to the former case.

Since  $J$  is both weakly lower semicontinuous and coercive on  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , there exists a minimum  $u$  of  $J$ ; we have the following results on the summability of such minima.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $u$  be a minimum of  $J$  on  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Then*

- (i) if  $1 < m < \frac{N}{p}$ , then  $u$  belongs to  $L^\sigma(\Omega)$ ,  $\sigma = \frac{(pm)^*}{p'}$  (see [1]);
- (ii) If  $m > \frac{N}{p}$ , then  $u$  belongs to  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  (see [9], [7]).

Let us now recall Ekeland's  $\varepsilon$ -variational principle (see [4], [5]).

**LEMMA 1.2.** *Let  $(V, d)$  be a complete metric space, and let  $\mathcal{F} : V \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  be a lower semicontinuous function such that  $\inf_V \mathcal{F}$  is finite. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $u \in V$  be such that*

$$\mathcal{F}(u) \leq \inf_{v \in V} \mathcal{F}(v) + \varepsilon.$$

*Then there exists  $v \in V$  such that*

- (i)  $d(u, v) \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{F}(v) \leq \mathcal{F}(u)$ ;
- (iii)  $v$  minimizes the functional  $\mathcal{G}(w) = \mathcal{F}(w) + \sqrt{\varepsilon} d(v, w)$ .

Our main result is the following.

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let  $J$  be defined as above, with  $j$  satisfying (1). Let*

$$(3) \quad f \in L^m(\Omega) \quad m > \frac{N}{p},$$

*and let  $q$  be such that  $q^* = m'$ ; we also suppose that  $J'$  satisfies (2). Let  $u$  be a minimum of  $J$  on  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , and let  $\{\bar{u}_n\}$  be any minimizing sequence for  $J$ . Then the minimizing sequence  $\{u_n\}$  built after  $\{\bar{u}_n\}$  using the  $\varepsilon$ -variational principle satisfies*

$$(4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n - \bar{u}_n\|_{W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)} = 0,$$

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} = 0,$$

*and*

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 0.$$

The plan of the paper is as follows: we will prove Theorem 1.3 in Section 2, and in Section 3 we will show that adding a lower order term to  $J$  will allow us to prove the same result under the assumption that  $f$  belongs to  $L^2(\Omega)$ , and not to the possibly larger space  $L^m(\Omega)$ ,  $m > \frac{N}{p}$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

For  $k > 0$  let us define

$$T_k(s) = \max(-k, \min(k, s)), \quad G_k(s) = s - T_k(s).$$

Before proving Theorem 1.3, let us note that since we know (see Theorem 1.1) that any minimum  $u$  belongs to  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ , there exists  $M$  such that  $|u| \leq M$ . Since the sequence  $\{u_n\}$ , with  $u_n = T_M(\bar{u}_n)$ , satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} j(x, \nabla T_M(\bar{u}_n)) dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x) T_M(\bar{u}_n) dx \leq \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} J(v) + \varepsilon_n + \int_{\Omega} f(x) G_M(\bar{u}_n) dx,$$

and since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x) G_M(\bar{u}_n) dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x) G_M(u) dx = 0,$$

we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} j(x, \nabla u_n) dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x) u_n dx \leq \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} J(v) + \bar{\varepsilon}_n.$$

That is, the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  is a minimizing sequence for  $J$ , and it is bounded in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

Theorem 1.3 says more than that: thanks to the  $\varepsilon$ -variational principle, it is possible to build a minimizing sequence not only bounded in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  but also strongly convergent to  $u$  in the same space.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3.** Note that if  $q$  is as in the statement, the assumption  $m > \frac{N}{p}$  implies that

$$(7) \quad 1 < q < \frac{N}{N - p + 1} < p.$$

Let  $\varepsilon_n$  be a sequence of positive real numbers, converging to zero, and let  $\bar{u}_n$  be such that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$J(\bar{u}_n) \leq \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} J(v) + \varepsilon_n.$$

Let us now consider the complete metric space  $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ , endowed with the distance

$$d_n(w, v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} \left[ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w - \nabla v|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Thanks to Fatou Lemma, to the fact that  $j(x, \xi) \geq 0$ , and to the fact that  $f$  belongs to  $W^{-1,q'}(\Omega)$  being  $q^* = m'$ , we have that  $J$  is strongly lower semicontinuous on  $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ .

Thus, in view of Lemma 1.2, there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  in  $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$  such that

$$\left[ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n - \nabla \bar{u}_n|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_n},$$

which proves (4), and

$$(8) \quad J(u_n) \leq J(\bar{u}_n) \leq \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} J(v) + \varepsilon_n,$$

$$(9) \quad J(u_n) \leq J(w) + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \left[ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n - \nabla w|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \forall w \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega).$$

Using the growth properties of  $J$  we have that  $u_n$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ; indeed, by (1), we have

$$\alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dx \leq \int_{\Omega} j(x, \nabla u_n) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} f(x) u_n dx + \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} J(v) + \varepsilon_n,$$

which implies that the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  since  $f$  belongs to  $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ . Thus, up to subsequences, still denoted by  $\{u_n\}$ , there exists a function  $u$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that

$$(10) \quad u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{weakly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ and almost everywhere in } \Omega.$$

By the weak lower semicontinuity of  $J$  on  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , and by (8),  $u$  is a minimum of  $J$  on this space.

Moreover, choosing  $w = u_n - t\psi$  in (9), where  $t$  is a positive real number and  $\psi$  is a function in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , we obtain

$$J(u_n - t\psi) - J(u_n) + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} t \left[ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq 0.$$

Dividing by  $t$ , and letting  $t$  tend to zero, we get, since  $J$  is differentiable,

$$-\langle J'(u_n), \psi \rangle + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \left[ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq 0,$$

so that

$$(11) \quad \langle J'(u_n), \psi \rangle \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \left[ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Recalling that  $J'(u) = 0$  since  $u$  is a minimum, we have

$$\langle J'(u_n) - J'(u), \psi \rangle \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \left[ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

for every  $\psi$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Observe that

$$(12) \quad \langle J'(u_n), \psi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u_n) \nabla \psi dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x) \psi dx.$$

Choosing  $\psi = u_n - u$ , it is easy to prove (5) using (2). In order to prove (6), let  $k > 0$ , define  $A_{k,n} = \{|u_n - u| \geq k\}$ , and choose  $\psi = G_k(u_n - u)$ ; we obtain, by (2), and by Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla G_k(u_n - u)|^p dx &\leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \left[ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla G_k(u_n - u)|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \left[ \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla G_k(u_n - u)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \text{meas}(A_{k,n})^{1 - \frac{q}{p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \left[ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla G_k(u_n - u)|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{meas}(A_{k,n})^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

which in turn yields

$$\alpha \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla G_k(u_n - u)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \text{meas}(A_{k,n})^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}}.$$

Using Sobolev inequality, and choosing  $h > k$  we arrive after straightforward passages, to

$$(h - k)^p \text{meas}(A_{h,n})^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \leq C_1 \varepsilon_n^{\frac{p'}{2}} \text{meas}(A_{k,n})^{(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})p'},$$

which implies

$$\text{meas}(A_{h,n}) \leq C_2 \frac{\varepsilon_n^{\frac{p^* p'}{2}}}{(h - k)^{p^*}} \text{meas}(A_{k,n})^{\frac{p^*}{p} (\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})p'}.$$

Note that (7) implies that

$$\frac{p^*}{p} \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \right) p' > 1,$$

so that, by Lemme 4.1 of [9],

$$\|u_n - u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_3 \varepsilon_n^A,$$

for some positive constant  $A$  depending only on  $p$  and  $N$ . Recalling that  $\varepsilon_n$  converges to zero, we have the result.  $\square$

**REMARK 2.1.** Assumption (3) was used only to ensure that the functional  $J$  is lower semicontinuous on  $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ . Since the terms with  $f$  “cancel out” when calculating  $J'(u_n) - J'(u)$ , the summability of  $f$  is not necessary to prove that  $u_n - u$  belongs to  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

**REMARK 2.2.** We remark that from (11), choosing  $\psi$  and  $-\psi$  it follows that  $u_n$  satisfies

$$(13) \quad -\sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \left[ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \langle J'(u_n), \psi \rangle \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \left[ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Thus,

$$\langle J'(u_n) - J'(u_m), \psi \rangle \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \left[ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} + \sqrt{\varepsilon_m} \left[ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^q dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

The choice of  $\psi = G_k(u_n - u_m)$ , and the same steps in the proof of Theorem 1.3, yield

$$(14) \quad \|u_n - u_m\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq c(\varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_m)^A.$$

Note that we cannot say that  $\{u_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ , since the functions  $u_n$  may not belong to  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ , even if the difference of two of them is bounded. However, passing to the limit in (14) as  $m$  tends to infinity, the almost everywhere convergence (10) implies that

$$(15) \quad \|u_n - u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq c\varepsilon_n^A,$$

which implies that the functions  $u_n$  belong to  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ , since  $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , and that the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  uniformly converges to  $u$ . In other words, Theorem 1.3 can also be proved starting from (14).

### 3. THE IMPACT OF A LOWER ORDER TERM

Let the integral functional  $J$  be defined now by

$$(16) \quad J(v) = \int_{\Omega} j(x, \nabla v) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} [f(x) - v]^2 dx, \quad v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega),$$

where

$$(17) \quad f \in L^2(\Omega).$$

Note that  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega) = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  if  $p \geq \frac{2N}{N+2}$ . Since both  $j(x, \nabla v)$  and  $[f(x) - v]^2$  are positive,  $J$  is lower semicontinuous on  $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ , for every  $q \geq 1$ .

Note that any minimum  $u$  of  $J$  does not belong to  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ , if  $2 < \frac{N}{p}$ , i.e., if  $p < \frac{N}{2}$ .

Then the minimizing sequence  $\{u_n\}$  built after  $\{\bar{u}_n\}$  using the  $\varepsilon$ -variational principle satisfies (11) with  $q = 1$ :

$$\langle J'(u_n), \psi \rangle \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi| dx, \quad \forall \psi \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega).$$

Observe that now

$$(18) \quad \langle J'(u_n), \psi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u_n) \nabla \psi dx + \int_{\Omega} u_n(x) \psi dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x) \psi dx.$$

We can follow the same steps as in Remark 2.2 in order to prove inequalities (14) and (15), but now the assumption on  $f$  does not imply that  $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Therefore, in (15) the function  $u_n$  and its limit  $u$  may not belong to  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ ; nevertheless their difference belongs to  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  and tends to zero in that space.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. Boccardo, D. Giachetti: A nonlinear interpolation result with application to the summability of minima of some integral functionals; *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, Series B*, **11** (2009), 31–42.
- [2] L. Boccardo, V. Ferone, N. Fusco, L. Orsina: Regularity of minimizing sequences for functionals of the calculus of variations via the Ekeland principle; *Differential Integral Equations* **12** (1999), 119–135.
- [3] D.G. de Figueiredo: Lectures on the Ekeland variational principle with applications and detours; *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics and Physics*, **81**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [4] I. Ekeland: Nonconvex minimization problems; *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.*, **1** (1979), 443–474.
- [5] I. Ekeland: On the variational principle; *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **47** (1974) 324–353.
- [6] I. Ekeland, R. Temam: *Analyse convexe et problèmes variationnels*, Dunod & Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1974.
- [7] O.A. Ladyzenskaya, N.N. Uralceva: *Equations aux dérivées partielles de type elliptique*; Dunod, Paris, 1968.
- [8] J. Leray, J. L. Lions: *Quelques résultats de Visik sur les problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod & Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [9] G. Stampacchia: Le problème de Dirichlet pour les équations elliptiques du second ordre à coefficients discontinus; *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, **15** (1965), 189–258.