$\mathbf{7}$

 $\mathbf{28}$

 $\mathbf{47}$

 $\mathbf{48}$

Walter Colangeli, Valerio Facchini, Aleksandr Kapitonov, Fabrizio Bozza, Roberto Becelli

NESMOS Department, Maxillofacial Surgery Unit, Faculty of Medicine and Psychology, S. Andrea Hospital, University of Rome "La Sapienza," Rome, Italy

Abstract

Introduction: Parotid pleomorphic adenoma (PPA) is the most common benign salivary gland neoplasm. Extracapsular dissection (ED) arose as a conservative surgical technique alternative to superficial parotidectomy to reduce complications. **Materials and Methods:** Patients who underwent ED for superficial, <3 cm, mobile parotid lumps (Quer I) between 2004 and 2008 were retrospectively analysed focusing on those with histological diagnosis of pleomorphic adenoma. A retrospective cohort study on 50 patients who accepted to undergo ultrasonography and clinical evaluation for at least 10 years since surgery was performed. Clinical data, surgical reports, and validated questionnaires for the assessment of complications, quality of life (QoL) and aesthetic satisfaction were analysed. Recurrence rate and complications after ED, with their QoL and aesthetic impact, were evaluated. Statistical analysis was performed setting α =0.05 as the level of significance. **Results:** Low occurrence of complications related to ED was seen. Overall QoL after ED was very good (range 1–7, mean 6,86), due to low complications incidence of complications and their low severity, as assessed by patients through Parotidectomy Outcome Inventory-8 questionnaire. Overall aesthetic satisfaction and QoL was statistically related to onset of complications (*P* = 0.02504 and *P* = 0.001859). Tumour localization and dimension was not statistically related to onset of complications (*P* = 0.8207 and 0.7586). After a mean follow-up of 12.5 years, no recurrences were detected. **Discussion:** There is a lack of studies with a long-term follow-up after ED for the evaluation of recurrences and complications. Considering our results, the ED technique should be adopted as the first surgical approach for Quer I PPA without suspicion of malignancy.

Keywords: Extracapsular dissection, long-term follow-up, pleomorphic adenoma, quality of life, recurrences

INTRODUCTION

Pleomorphic adenoma (PA) is a benign tumour and is considered the most common salivary gland neoplasm. It accounts for about 85% of all salivary glands neoplasms and involves major salivary glands in about 80% of cases. It mostly occurs in the superficial lobe of the parotid gland.^[1]

Proper surgical management of benign tumours of the parotid gland is still debated due to the necessity of minimizing recurrences, complications, and aesthetic outcomes.^[2]

Described techniques are enucleation, extracapsular dissection (ED), superficial and total parotidectomy (SP and TP).

First, enucleation was adopted, but over the years, it led to high rates of recurrence due to capsular rupture (205–45%).
Therefore, SP was adopted as the treatment of choice for benign lesions at most medical centres^[3,4] leading to a drastic

Access this article online			
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.amsjournal.com		
	DOI: 10.4103/ams.ams_362_20		

decline in local recurrences. Otherwise, complications such as temporary injuries of the facial nerve, Frey's syndrome, and cosmetic deformities did arise.^[5]

Over the decades, a conservative surgical approach arose. ED proved useful in reducing complications and maintaining a similar recurrence rate to SP.^[6,7]

ED technique concerns the removal of the parotid mass within a thin parenchyma layer, preserving the uninvolved parotid parenchyma and reducing the need for a wide dissection along the facial nerve.^[6] Among literature, there is a lack of long-term

	Address for correspondence: Dr. Valerio Facchini, Via San Godenzo 16, 00189 Rome, Italy. E-mail: valerio.facchini1@gmail.com	
Received: 19-09-2020	Last Revised: 13-11-2021	
Accepted: 23-11-2021	Published: ***	

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Colangeli W, Facchini V, Kapitonov A, Bozza F, Becelli R. Long-term follow-up after extracapsular dissection of parotid pleomorphic adenomas - A retrospective study. Ann Maxillofac Surg 2021;XX:XX-XX.

 $\mathbf{48}$

follow-up for the evaluation of recurrences. Moreover, when
 a long-term follow-up is carried out, it is often only clinical
 and not radiological.

In this article, a long-term follow-up experience in the surgery of parotid PA (PPA) with ED technique is presented.

The focus of the study is local recurrences rate and complications with their impact on quality of life (QoL) and aesthetic satisfaction.

Materials and Methods

 $\mathbf{7}$

 $\mathbf{48}$

In this retrospective cohort study, we selected 132 patients
who underwent ED for benign parotid tumours between
January 2004 and December 2008 in our university hospital of
Rome. In our practice, ED is performed routinely for mobile
neoplasms, located in the superficial lobe, <3 cm in size without
preoperative facial nerve dysfunction and with fine-needle

aspiration cytology (FNAC) negative for malignancy.

In this study, PPA histological diagnosis was adopted as aninclusion criterion.

From histopathological reports analysis, PPA resulted in 95
of 132 cases.

Of these, we collected data about complications from
clinical reports and from questionnaires submitted 1 year
after surgery.

For the assessment of symptom-specific outcomes, overall QoL
and overall aesthetic satisfaction, two validated questionnaires

and a scale ranging from 1 to 10 were routinely submitted to each patient 1 year after surgery [Figure 1]. The first questionnaire is the Parotidectomy Outcome Inventory-8 (POI-8) used for the evaluation of symptom-specific outcomes.^[8] Complications analysed by POI-8 are earlobe hypaesthesia, transient facial nerve palsy, Frey's syndrome, depression of surgical site (loss of substance), hypertrophic scar, pain, xerostomia, and fear of reintervention. For each complication, patients assign a value of severity ranging 1–5.

The second one is the QLQ-C30 questionnaire (specifically question 30, referred to the first year after surgery) validated by the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC), used for the evaluation of the QoL.^[9]

A satisfaction scale ranging from 1 to 10 is used to analyse postoperative aesthetic satisfaction.

In our department, standard follow-up for benign lesions of the parotid gland is performed by ultrasonography (US) (twice a year for 3 years and then once a year for 2 years) and magnetic resonance imaging with contrast (1st, 3rd, and 5th year).

In 2018–2019, we successfully contacted 73/95 patients proposing them the US followed by a clinical evaluation.

50/73 (~68%) patients accepted to undergo the US and the clinical evaluation and were then successfully ruled in for our study. Therefore, a minimum follow-up of 10 years was obtained for every patient (mean follow-up time = 12.5 years; range = 10. 2–14.8 years), to analyse the recurrence rate of the ED procedure.

Questionnaires	
POI-8	
If you have had any of these complications, how much would you rate the severity	in a range 0-5?
1. Hypoesthesia	012345
2. Facial nerve palsy	012345
Hypertrophic scar or keloid	012345
Change in facial appearance due to glandular tissue loss	012345
5. Frey's syndrome	012345
6. Pain	012345
7. Xerostomia	012345
Anxiety for a new intervention	012345
0 = no problem 5 = very much	
EORTC QLQ-C30	
30. How would you rate your overall quality of life during the past year?	1 2 3 4 5 6
1 = very poor 7 = excellent	
AESTHETIC SATISFACTION	
How good was the aesthetic outcome?	123456789
1 = very bad 10 = excellent	

Figure 1: Questionnaires used for the assessment of symptom-specific outcomes, overall quality of life and aesthetic satisfaction

Data were recorded in an Excel file to perform descriptive 1 and inferential statistical analysis. Qualitative variables were 2 defined by absolute frequencies. Quantitative variables were 3 defined by mean and standard deviation. Age, gender, and 4 lesion localization were analysed in correlation to post-surgical 5 complications. The student's t-test was used to evaluate 6 QoL, health state, and aesthetic satisfaction in relation to $\mathbf{7}$ postoperative complications. A P < 0.05 was considered 8 statistically significant. 9

In conclusion, our study evaluated the presence and the severity
 of complications 1 year after surgery and the onset of long-term
 recurrences.

13 Ethics

Written informed consent has been obtained from patientsbefore the study.

The Ethics Committee of AOU Sant'Andrea of Rome waived the
need for ethics approval and the need to obtain consent for the
collection, analysis, and publication of the retrospectively obtained
and anonymized data for this study. All procedures performed in
the study were conducted in accordance with the ethical standards
given in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki, as revised in 2013.

RESULTS

22

 $\mathbf{23}$

24Data from 50 patients were collected: 21 males and 29 females, $\mathbf{25}$ with an average age of ~46 years (range 27-68). Of these 26 patients with PA, 36% of cases were right-sided tumours and $\mathbf{27}$ 64% were left-sided tumours. In 34% of patients, lesions $\mathbf{28}$ were located in the upper pole, and in the remaining 66% were located in the lower pole. The average size of neoplasms 29 was 2.1 cm (ranged 0.8-3 cm). All patients underwent ED 30 performed by experienced surgeons. 31

The average duration of surgery was 1 h and 25 min (range
47 min - 2 h and 18 min). Statistical analysis was performed
through Excel.

The first analysis analysed clinical reports highlighting the
low occurrence of complications related to ED intervention
as shown in Graph 1.

- Analysing POI-8 questionnaire results, we obtained the
 average perception of the severity of each complication by
 patients [Graph 2].
- Finally, we evaluated both overall QoL during the first year
 after surgery [Graph 3] and overall aesthetic satisfaction at
 one year after surgery [Graph 4].
- Facial nerve transient palsy onset in only 3 patients after
 one year was observed with complete clinical recovery in all
 patients. The average severity of this complication was 366 by
 POI-8 questionnaire (values assigned by patients 3, 4, and 4).
- Frey's syndrome and greater auricular nerve (GAN)
 deficit (earlobe hypaesthesia) arose respectively in 1 and
 6 patients with middle impact on health-related QoL in patients
 as demonstrated by POI-8 data.

Loss of glandular parenchyma with skin depression (loss of substance, 2 patients), hypertrophic scar (3 patients), and postoperative pain (2 patients) slightly affected QoL. Fear of a new intervention was found in a high percentage of patients (26% of patients) but did not influence QoL. Xerostomia was not observed in any patient of our study.

Another data that was detected from clinical reports is the onset of 3 sialoceles.

Statistical analysis revealed that the localization of the lesion did not influence aesthetic satisfaction, health status, and QoL (P > 0.05). However, aesthetic satisfaction and QoL were statistically related to onset of complications. Patients with postoperative complications recorded lower satisfaction (P = 0.02504) and a worse QoL (P = 0.001859). Furthermore, statistically relevant data revealed significant correlation between gender and postsurgical aesthetic perception: Male patients showed a lower satisfaction than female ones (P = 0.02537). Evaluating onset of complications, patients' age and the size of the mass did not reveal any statistical differences (P > 0.05).

After a minimum of 10 years' follow-up, no recurrences were detected on US and clinical evaluation.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

PA is a benign tumour and is considered the most common salivary gland neoplasm, although in some recent papers, the incidence of Warthin's tumour surpassed the incidence of PA.^[10] It accounts for about 60% of all salivary gland neoplasms and involves major salivary glands in 85% of cases and minor salivary glands in 15%.^[1] It is known as a mixed tumour, because of its dual origin from epithelial and myoepithelial elements.^[11] In contrast to myoepithelioma (firstly described as a variant of the PA), a PA can present as a chondroid or an osteoid formation.^[12] It mostly occurs in the superficial lobe of parotid glands.^[13] Submandibular and sublingual glands are rarely involved.^[14,15] Fourth to fifth decades of life are mostly affected, but it can occur over a wide age range.



Graph 1: Number of extracapsular dissection-related complications detected after surgery

Colangeli, et al.: Long-term follow-up after pleomorphic adenoma extracapsular dissection



1

2

3

4

5

6

 $\mathbf{7}$

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16



PA may be associated with malignant transformation and then an early complete excision of the mass is recommended.^[16]

17 In our practice, ED for tumours up to 3 cm in size, which are 18 mobile and located in the superficial lobe (Quer's category I)^[17] 19 was performed by experienced surgeons. It has been observed 20 that capsular invasion is more commonly associated with 21PA larger than 4 cm.^[18] Considering this, tumour size could be considered as a limitation of ED technique application. 22Selecting patients, we also considered clinical data (lump 23mobility and facial nerve function) [Figure 2] and FNAC 24reports, to reduce incongruous treatment for malignant lesions. 25Even if malignancy was considered as a deterrent for the 26 adoption of ED, McGurk et al.^[19] observed that ED is a valid 27 and safe approach to the management of clinically benign $\mathbf{28}$ parotid tumours. 29

30 ED surgical techniques are defined as the removal of the neoplasm with a small part of healthy tissue around it, without 31 exposing the main trunk of the facial nerve (a retrograde 32dissection of peripheral nerve branches is possible) and 33 without performing the long dissection along the nerve 34 itself^[20] [Figure 3]. In ED, the incision can be made shorter 35than in other techniques due to the lower level exposure of 36 the parotid. This could have a good impact on postoperative 37 aesthetic satisfaction. 38

According to literature,^[1,2,7,19] performing ED a low rate 39 of overall complications was observed in our study, 40 including the transient facial palsy associated with a wide 41 and prolonged nerve exposure as reported with other 42techniques.^[6] In SP, preliminary identification and exposure 43 of the facial nerve trunk are mandatory, and then a dissection 44along the various branches of the nerve removing the 45superficial portion of the parotid gland with the tumour 46 included is performed.^[21] However, a nerve branch could be 47close to the tumour and could groove it. In this way, the SP $\mathbf{48}$ technique may involve a step of the ED technique. This close 49 tumour-nerve interfacing is reported in 50% of cases.^[22] In 50 our study, transient facial nerve palsy was observed in only 51three patients after surgery with complete recovery at 1 year. 52Low rate of transient facial nerve palsy must be considered



Graph 3: Average quality of life during the 1st year after surgery (quality of life range 1–7)

an adjunctive ED technique advantage, considering that in our study it has been the more severe complication in patients' perception [Graph 2].

In our series, we did not use any nerve monitoring or loupe magnifications.

With this technique, GAN could be also easily preserved in a great number of patients (in our series we spared GAN in 88% of cases).^[23]

Superficial Muscular Aponeurotic System (SMAS) flap has been routinely used in SP. Some advantages such as the prevention of both Frey syndrome and surgical site depression have been described.^[24] In our experience with ED, SMAS flap has not been applied in masses smaller than 2 cm. This is because in these cases, it is often possible to primarily close the parotid gland capsule avoiding depression of the surgical site and at the same time ensuring coverage and protection of the facial nerve. Outcomes related to surgical site depression and Frey's syndrome in our patients supported these data, but larger studies are needed on this aspect.

Sialoceles occurred in three patients in our series and were immediately treated with aspiration, compression dressing, and scopolamine patches.^[25] All sialoceles resolved in about 3 weeks after surgery.

One of the key points of ED versus SP debate is the long-term recurrence rate after conservative surgery. Recurrence has been associated with several problems including the increased rate of postoperative complications and malignant degeneration of recurrences itself. Regarding patients treated with ED, there is a lack of studies evaluating recurrence with an instrumental long-term follow-up. Previously, articles with long-term follow-up for PPA treated with SP reported a time interval between primary surgery and the first recurrence ranged from 7 to 24.5 years.^[26,27]

Tumour recurrence has been related to histopathological variables such as capsular integrity and thickness, pseudopodia,

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51





 $\mathbf{5}$

 $\frac{14}{15}$

 $\frac{27}{28}$

Graph 4: Average aesthetic satisfaction 1 year after surgery (aesthetic satisfaction range 1–10)



Figure 3: Intraoperative view of the extracapsular dissection. (a) Surgical field after the preparation of the skin and superficial muscular aponeurotic system flaps; (b) Identification of the mass and execution of the extracapsular dissection; (c) Surgical field after the removal of the mass;
 (d) Surgical specimen with a lining of glandular tissue

satellite nodules, and tumour size; other variables could be
related to surgery, such as resection margins and tumour
puncture and spillage; also younger age at initial presentation
may influence tumour recurrence rate.^[3,28]

PPA>3 cm are associated with more numerous satellite nodules
raising the risk of recurrences. In addition, positive margins
and tumour spillage are linked to recurrences.^[29] In our study,
the capsule rupture was histologically reported in only two
cases. Among our patients, we observed no recurrences after
a mean follow-up time of 12.5 years.

In conclusion, the application of the ED technique for PPA
treatment highlighted a low complication rate and the absence
of recurrences after a long-term follow-up performed through
US and clinical visit [Figure 4]. Otherwise, literature data
showed that ED has similar effectiveness and fewer side effects
of SP minimizing the incidence of facial nerve palsy and



Figure 2: Preoperative clinical evaluation of the patient. (a) Parotid region view; (b-d) VII cranial nerve clinical evaluation



Figure 4: One-year postoperative clinical evaluation of the patient. (a) Parotid region view; (b-d) VII cranial nerve clinical evaluation

improving aesthetic results in a short follow-up period.^[7,18,30-34] In our opinion, more long-term follow-up comparative studies between SP and ED are needed to evaluate the recurrence rate. Therefore, ED performed by experienced surgeons is a safe option as a first surgical approach for superficial parotid lumps, classified as Quer I, with no suspicion of malignancy.

Declaration of patient consent

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form, the patient(s) has/have given his/her/their consent for his/her/their images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patients understand that their names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal their identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Financia	l support	and	sponsors	nip

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

1 **R**EFERENCES

6

 $\mathbf{7}$

11

12

17

18

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

50

51

52

- Bonavolontà P, Dell'Aversana Orabona G, Maglitto F, Abbate V, Committeri U, Salzano G, *et al.* Postoperative complications after removal of pleomorphic adenoma from the parotid gland: A long-term follow up of 297 patients from 2002 to 2016 and a review of publications. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2019;57:998-1002.
 - Colella G, Cannavale R, Chiodini P. Meta-analysis of surgical approaches to the treatment of parotid pleomorphic adenomas and recurrence rates. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2015;43:738-45.
- Ungari C, Paparo F, Colangeli W, Iannetti G. Parotid glands tumours:
 Overview of a 10-year experience with 282 patients, focusing on 231
 benign epithelial neoplasms. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2008;12:321-5.
 - Li C, Matthies L, Hou X, Knipfer C, Gosau M, Friedrich RE. A meta-analysis of the pros and cons of partial superficial parotidectomy versus superficial parotidectomy for the treatment of benign parotid neoplasms. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2020;48:590-8.
- 13 heoptastis. J Cranona Anorac Surg 2020,46,390-6.
 5. Xie S, Wang K, Xu H, Hua RX, Li TZ, Shan XF, *et al.* PRISMA-Extracapsular dissection versus superficial parotidectomy in treatment of benign parotid tumors: Evidence from 3194 patients. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015;94:e1237.
 16 Or an extra constraint of the patient of th
 - Papadogeorgakis N, Skouteris CA, Mylonas AI, Angelopoulos AP. Superficial parotidectomy: Technical modifications based on tumour characteristics. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2004;32:350-3.
- Cristofaro MG, Allegra E, Giudice A, Colangeli W, Caruso D, Barca I, *et al.* Pleomorphic adenoma of the parotid: Extracapsular dissection compared with superficial parotidectomy – A 10-year retrospective cohort study. ScientificWorldJournal 2014;2014:564053.
- 8. Baumann I, Cerman Z, Sertel S, Skevas T, Klingmann C, Plinkert PK. Entwicklung und Validierung des Parotidectomy Outcome Inventory
 8 (POI-8): Messung der Lebensqualität nach Parotidektomie
 bei benignen Erkrankungen [Development and validation of the Parotidectomy Outcome Inventory 8 (POI-8). Measurement of quality of life after parotidectomy in benign diseases]. HNO 2009;57:884-8.
- 9. Phillips R, Gandhi M, Cheung YB, Findlay MP, Win KM, Hai HH, *et al.* Summary scores captured changes in subjects' QoL as measured by the multiple scales of the EORTC QLQ-C30. J Clin Epidemiol 2015;68:895-902.
- 10. Franzen AM, Kaup Franzen C, Guenzel T, Lieder A. Correction to: Increased incidence of Warthin tumours of the parotid gland: A 42-year evaluation. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2018;275:2599.
 11. Polcheri MP, Graene L, Plaemerphia adonema, Ju: StatPaarla, Traasura
 - Bokhari MR, Greene J. Pleomorphic adenoma. In: StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2020.
 - Barca I, Novembre D, Cordaro R, Lo Faro C, Colangeli W, Boschetti CE, et al. Myoepithelioma of the parotid gland: A case report with review of the literature. Oral Maxillofac Surg Cases 2020;6:100131.
 - Erickson LA. Pleomorphic adenoma in the parotid gland. Mayo Clin Proc 2017;92:e55-6.
 - Colangeli W, Kapitonov A, Facchini V, Zappalà M, Belli E. Synchronous ipsilateral pleomorphic adenomas of parotid and submandibular glands: An unusual finding. Case Rep Otolaryngol 2020;2020:8887867.
 - 15. Valstar MH, de Ridder M, van den Broek EC, Stuiver MM, van Dijk BA, van Velthuysen ML, *et al.* Salivary gland pleomorphic adenoma in the Netherlands: A nationwide observational study of primary tumor incidence, malignant transformation, recurrence, and risk factors for recurrence. Oral Oncol 2017;66:93-9.
 - Antony J, Gopalan V, Smith RA, Lam AK. Carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma: A comprehensive review of clinical, pathological and

molecular data. Head Neck Pathol 2012;6:1-9.

17. Quer M, Vander Poorten V, Takes RP, Silver CE, Boedeker CC, de Bree R, *et al.* Surgical options in benign parotid tumors: A proposal for classification. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2017;274:3825-36.

1

2

3

4

5

6

 $\overline{7}$

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

 $\mathbf{27}$

 $\mathbf{28}$

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

 $\frac{46}{47}$

48

49

50

51

52

- Maruyama S, Cheng J, Yamazaki M, Liu A, Saku T. Keratinocyte growth factor colocalized with perlecan at the site of capsular invasion and vascular involvement in salivary pleomorphic adenomas. J Oral Pathol Med 2009;38:377-85.
- McGurk M, Thomas BL, Renehan AG. Extracapsular dissection for clinically benign parotid lumps: Reduced morbidity without oncological compromise. Br J Cancer 2003;89:1610-3.
- Gupte S, Sorathia R, Shetye A, Shinde S. Extracapsular dissection of pleomorphic adenoma in the parotid gland: A case report and review of the literature. Contemp Clin Dent 2014;5:99-101.
- Infante-Cossio P, Gonzalez-Cardero E, Garcia-Perla-Garcia A, Montes-Latorre E, Gutierrez-Perez JL, Prats-Golczer VE. Complications after superficial parotidectomy for pleomorphic adenoma. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2018;23:e485-92.
- 22. Aird DW, Puttasiddaiah P, Berry S, Spyridakou C, Kumar M. Spatial orientation of the facial nerve in relation to parotid tumours. J Laryngol Otol 2006;120:371-4.
- Becelli R, Morello R, Renzi G, Matarazzo G. Great auricular nerve preservation during parotidectomy for benign tumors. J Craniofac Surg 2014;25:422-4.
- Dell'Aversana Orabona G, Salzano G, Abbate V, Piombino P, Astarita F, Iaconetta G, *et al.* Use of the SMAS flap for reconstruction of the parotid lodge. Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital 2015;35:406-11.
- Becelli R, Morello R, Renzi G, Matarazzo G. Use of scopolamine patches in patients treated with parotidectomy. J Craniofac Surg 2014;25:e88-9.
- Silvoniemi A, Pulkkinen J, Grénman R. Parotidectomy in the treatment of pleomorphic adenoma – Analysis of long-term results. Acta Otolaryngol 2010;130:1300-5.
- Abu-Ghanem Y, Mizrachi A, Popovtzer A, Abu-Ghanem N, Feinmesser R. Recurrent pleomorphic adenoma of the parotid gland: Institutional experience and review of the literature. J Surg Oncol 2016;114:714-8.
- Kanatas A, Ho MW, Mücke T. Current thinking about the management of recurrent pleomorphic adenoma of the parotid: A structured review. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2018;56:243-8.
- 29. Dulguerov P, Todic J, Pusztaszeri M, Alotaibi NH. Why do parotid pleomorphic adenomas recur? A systematic review of pathological and surgical variables. Front Surg 2017;4:26.
- Albergotti WG, Nguyen SA, Zenk J, Gillespie MB. Extracapsular dissection for benign parotid tumors: A meta-analysis. Laryngoscope 2012;122:1954-60.
- Klintworth N, Zenk J, Koch M, Iro H. Postoperative complications after extracapsular dissection of benign parotid lesions with particular reference to facial nerve function. Laryngoscope 2010;120:484-90.
- Iro H, Zenk J, Koch M, Klintworth N. Follow-up of parotid pleomorphic adenomas treated by extracapsular dissection. Head Neck 2013;35:788-93.
- Iro H, Zenk J. Role of extracapsular dissection in surgical management of benign parotid tumors. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2014;140:768-9.
- Mantsopoulos K, Scherl C, Iro H. Investigation of arguments against properly indicated extracapsular dissection in the parotid gland. Head Neck 2017;39:498-502.