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#### Abstract

We apply the new method for constructing integrable Hamiltonian hierarchies of Lax type equations developed in our previous paper, to show that all $\mathcal{W}$-algebras $\mathcal{W}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, f\right)$ carry such a hierarchy. As an application, we show that all vector constrained KP hierarchies and their matrix generalizations are obtained from these hierarchies by Dirac reduction, which provides the former with a bi-Poisson structure.
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## 1. Introduction

In their seminal paper [DS85] Drinfeld and Sokolov constructed the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ for each reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and its principal nilpotent element $f$ and discovered the associated integrable hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian PDE. Furthermore, they showed that for $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}_{N}$ this $\mathcal{W}$-algebra is isomorphic to the Adler-GelfandDickey algebra [GD78, Adl79], and that the associated integrable hierarchy is the $N$-th KdV hierarchy constructed by Gelfand and Dickey [GD76] using fractional powers of differential operators. In the proof of this isomorphism Drinfeld and Sokolov used quasideterminants, a few years before Gelfand and Retakh began their systematic study in the early 90 's, see [GGRW05] for references.

The classical affine $\mathcal{W}$-algebras $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$, for arbitrary reductive Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and their non-zero nilpotent elements $f$, have been studied both in physics and mathematics literature, see [BFOFW90, DSK06, DSKV13] and references there. In particular, it has been understood that the adequate setup for the theory of $\mathcal{W}$ algebras is the language of $\lambda$-brackets in the framework of Poisson vertex algebras

[^0](PVA). This approach has led to an explicit formula for the $\lambda$-brackets in all classical affine $\mathcal{W}$-algebras [DSKV16].

However, the problem whether any $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ carries an integrable hierarchy of Hamiltonian PDE has been solved so far only under a very restrictive assumption on the nilpotent element $f$ by adopting the Drinfeld-Sokolov method [dGHM92, FHM93, BdGHM93, DF95, FGMS95, FGMS96, DSKV13, DSKV14a].

In fact, one has a pencil of compatible PVA structures on the differential algebra $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$, depending on an element $S$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, and we shall denote by $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S), \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, the corresponding family of PVAs. Then, the related problem is whether the family $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S), \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, carries an integrable bi-Hamiltonian hierarchy satisfying the so called Lenard-Magri scheme of integrability [Mag78].

In the present paper we solve these problems for $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}_{N}$ and its arbitrary nilpotent element $f$, by making use of the scheme of integrability developed in our recent paper [DSKVnew]. The main ingredients of this scheme are the notion of an Adler type matrix pseudodifferential operator with respect to a $\lambda$-bracket on a differential algebra, introduced in [DSKV15], and the notion of a generalized quasideterminant.
1.1. PVA's and Adler type pseudodifferential operators. Recall that a $\lambda$ bracket $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}$ on a differential algebra $(\mathcal{V}, \partial)$ is a bilinear map $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}[\lambda]$, satisfying the following axioms [DSK06, BDSK09] $(a, b, c \in \mathcal{V})$ :
(i) sesquilinearity: $\left\{\partial a_{\lambda} b\right\}=-\lambda\left\{a_{\lambda} b\right\},\left\{a_{\lambda} \partial b\right\}=(\lambda+\partial)\left\{a_{\lambda} b\right\}$;
(ii) Leibniz rules: $\left\{a_{\lambda} b c\right\}=\left\{a_{\lambda} b\right\} c+\left\{a_{\lambda} c\right\} b,\left\{a b_{\lambda} c\right\}=\left\{a_{\lambda+\partial} c\right\}_{\rightarrow} b+\left\{b_{\lambda+\partial} c\right\}_{\rightarrow} a$.

If the $\lambda$-bracket $\left\{\cdot{ }_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}$ satisfies, in addition, skewsymmetry and Jacobi identity (see Section 2.1) then $\mathcal{V}$ is called a Poisson vertex algebra (PVA) and the $\lambda$-bracket is called a PVA $\lambda$-bracket.

In the present paper we shall consider pencils $\lambda$-brackets $\left\{a_{\lambda} b\right\}_{\epsilon}=\left\{a_{\lambda} b\right\}_{0}+$ $\epsilon\left\{a_{\lambda} b\right\}_{1}, \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, on a differential algebra $\mathcal{V}$. In such case, the $\lambda$-bracket $\left\{\cdot{ }_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}_{0}$ (resp. $\left.\left\{\cdot \lambda^{\prime} \cdot\right\}_{1}\right)$ will be called the 0 -th (resp. 1 -st) $\lambda$-bracket. If they are PVA $\lambda$ brackets, they are called the 0 -th and the 1 -st PVA (or Poisson) structures on $\mathcal{V}$. (Unfortunately traditionally they are called the 2-nd and the 1-st Poisson structures respectively.)

An $M \times N$ matrix pseudodifferential operator $A(\partial)=\left(A_{i j}(\partial)\right)$, where $A_{i j}(\partial) \in$ $\mathcal{V}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$, is called an operator of Adler type with respect to a $\lambda$-bracket $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}$ on $\mathcal{V}$ if for every $(i, j),(h, k) \in\{1, \ldots, M\} \times\{1, \ldots, N\}$ we have [DSKV15, DSKVnew]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{A_{i j}(z)_{\lambda} A_{h k}(w)\right\} & =A_{h j}(w+\lambda+\partial)(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1}\left(A_{i k}\right)^{*}(\lambda-z) \\
& -A_{h j}(z)(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1} A_{i k}(w) \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall also say that $A(\partial)$ is of bi-Adler type with respect to a pencil of $\lambda$-brackets $\left\{\cdot \lambda^{\prime}\right\}_{\epsilon}, \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, if $A(\partial)+\epsilon \mathbb{1}_{N}$ is of Adler type with respect to $\left\{\cdot \lambda_{\cdot} \cdot\right\}_{\epsilon}$ for every $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$.

Given an $N \times N$ invertible matrix $A$ over a unital associative (not necessarily commutative) algebra $R$, its ( $i, j$ )-quasideterminant is defined as the inverse (if it exists) of the $(j, i)$ entry of $A^{-1}$ [GGRW05]. This can be generalized by replacing the $(j, i)$ entry of $A^{-1}$ by an $M \times M$ square submatrix. More generally, given $I \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times M} R$ and $J \in \operatorname{Mat}_{M \times N} R$, for some $M \leq N$, the $(I, J)$-quasideterminant of $A$ is defined by [DSKVnew]

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A|_{I J}:=\left(J A^{-1} I\right)^{-1} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{M \times M} R \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $J A^{-1} I$ is an invertible matrix.
The basic family of Adler type $N \times N$ matrix differential operators is constructed as follows [DSKVnew]. Let $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}\right)$ be the algebra of differential polynomials in the indeterminates $q_{i j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq N$, let $Q=\left(q_{j i}\right)_{i, j=1}^{N}$, let $S \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathbb{F}$, and let
$\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$ be a parameter. Then the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\epsilon S}(\partial)=\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+Q+\epsilon S \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is of Adler type with respect to the $\lambda$-bracket

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{a_{\lambda} b\right\}_{\epsilon}=[a, b]+\operatorname{tr}(a b) \lambda+\epsilon \operatorname{tr}(S[a, b]), \quad a, b \in \mathfrak{g l}_{N} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathfrak{g l}_{N}$ is identified with a subspace of $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}\right)$ via $E_{i j} \mapsto q_{i j}$. Formula (1.4) endows $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}\right)$ with a pencil of PVA $\lambda$-brackets and we denote the corresponding family of PVAs by $\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, S\right), \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$.

The basic property of an $N \times N$ matrix pseudodifferential operator $A(\partial)$ of Adler type with respect to a $\lambda$-bracket $\left\{\cdot{ }_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}$ on $\mathcal{V}$, is that any of its generalized quasideterminants is again of Adler type with respect to the same $\lambda$-bracket [DSKVnew]. Moreover, let $S \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathbb{F}$ and assume that, for every $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}, A(\partial)+\epsilon S$ is an operator of Adler type with respect to a member $\left\{\cdot \lambda^{\prime} \cdot\right\}_{\epsilon}$ of a pencil of $\lambda$-brackets. Let also $S=I J$ be a factorization of $S$ with $I \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times r} \mathbb{F}$ and $J \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r \times N} \mathbb{F}$, where $r$ is the rank of $S$. Then, the generalized quasideterminant $|A(\partial)|_{I J}$ is an operator of bi-Adler type with respect to the same pencil of $\lambda$-brackets $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{\epsilon}, \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$.

The importance of a square matrix Adler type pseudodifferential operator $A(\partial)$ comes from the fact that it provides a hierarchy of compatible Lax equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d A(\partial)}{d t_{n, B}}=\left[\left(B(\partial)^{n}\right)_{+}, A(\partial)\right] \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B(\partial)$ is a root of $A(\partial)$, and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. Moreover, this hierarchy admits the following conserved densities in involution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n^{\prime}, B^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Res}_{\partial} \operatorname{tr} B^{\prime}(\partial)^{n^{\prime}}, \quad n^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, B^{\prime} \text { a root of } A, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [DSKV15, DSKVnew]. Moreover, for a bi-Adler type operator $A(\partial)$ with respect to a pencil of PVA structures, the hierarchy (1.5) consists of bi-Hamiltonian equations (over the differential subalgebra of $\mathcal{V}$ generated by the entries of the coefficients of $A(\partial)$ ), and the densities (1.6) satisfy the (generalized) Lenard-Magri recurrence relation.
1.2. Classical affine $\mathcal{W}$-algebras. In order to construct an integrable hierarchy of Hamiltonian equations for the pencil of affine $\mathcal{W}$-algebras $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, f, S\right), \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, we shall construct an appropriate generalized quasideterminant of the $N \times N$ matrix $A_{\epsilon S}(\partial)$ defined by (1.3).

Recall the construction of the classical affine $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S), \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, from [DSKV13], for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}_{N}$, a nilpotent element $f \in \mathfrak{g}$, and a certain element $S \in \mathfrak{g}$ specified below. (The construction for an arbitrary reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is similar.) The element $f$ can be embedded in an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-triple $\{f, 2 x, e\}$, and we have the corresponding ad $x$-eigenspace decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g}=\bigoplus_{k \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_{k}, \quad \text { where } \mathfrak{g}_{k}=\{a \in \mathfrak{g} \mid[x, a]=k a\} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right)$, with $p_{1} \geq p_{2} \geq \cdots \geq p_{r}>0$, be the partition of $N$ corresponding to $f$. Then $d=p_{1}-1$ is the maximal eigenvalue of ad $x$, and $r_{1}$, the multiplicity of $p_{1}$ in $p$, is the dimension of $\mathfrak{g}_{d}$. Let $S$ be a non-zero element of $\mathfrak{g}_{d}$. For a subspace $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, we denote by $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{a})$ the algebra of differential polynomials over $\mathfrak{a}$. Denote by $\rho: \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$ the differential algebra homomorphism defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(a)=\operatorname{tr}(f a)+\pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} a, \quad a \in \mathfrak{g} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}$ denotes the projection on $\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}$ with respect to the decomposition (1.7). The classical affine $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S)$ is the differential algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)=\left\{\left.w \in \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right) \right\rvert\, \rho\left\{a_{\lambda} w\right\}_{\epsilon}=0 \text { for all } a \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq \frac{1}{2}}\right\} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{a_{\lambda} w\right\}_{\epsilon}$ is defined by (1.4), endowed with the $\lambda$-bracket

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{v_{\lambda} w\right\}_{\epsilon}^{\mathcal{W}}=\rho\left\{v_{\lambda} w\right\}_{\epsilon} . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This pencil of $\lambda$-brackets provides the differential algebra $\mathcal{W}$ with a bi-PVA structure.

In order to perform concrete computations, we choose a convenient slice $U$ to the adjoint orbit of $f$ in $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}_{N}$ (different from the Slodowy slice), so that $\mathfrak{g}=$ $[f, \mathfrak{g}] \oplus U=\mathfrak{g}^{f} \oplus U^{\perp}$. Hence, we have the decomposition in a direct sum of subspaces

$$
\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)=\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{f}\right) \oplus\left\langle U^{\perp}\right\rangle,
$$

where $\left\langle U^{\perp}\right\rangle$ is the differential algebra ideal generated by $U^{\perp}$. The corresponding projection of $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$ on $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{f}\right)$ induces a differential algebra homomorphism $\pi$ : $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{f}\right)$, and the key fact is that this is an isomorphism [DSKV13, DSKV16] (see Theorem 3.3). Thus, for each element $q \in \mathfrak{g}^{f}$ we have a unique element $w(q) \in \mathcal{W}$, and these elements are differential generators of $\mathcal{W}$. The explicit construction of a bi-Adler type operator indicated below allows us to construct explicitly these generators and to compute their $\lambda$-brackets.
1.3. Adler type operators for the $\mathcal{W}$-algebras and associated integrable systems. To construct a bi-Adler type operator for the pencil of PVAs $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S)$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, we first construct an $r_{1} \times r_{1}$ matrix pseudodifferential operator $L_{1}(\partial)$ with entries of coefficients in $\mathcal{W}$, which is of bi-Adler type for the bi-PVA structure of the family $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathfrak{g}, f, S_{1}\right), \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, for the matrix $S_{1}:=I_{1} J_{1}$, where $I_{1}: \mathfrak{g}_{d} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is the inclusion map, and $J_{1}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{d}$ is the projection with respect to the decomposition (1.7). It is given by the following generalized quasideterminant

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(\partial)=\left|\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+\rho(Q)\right|_{I_{1} J_{1}}, \quad \text { where } Q=\left(q_{j i}\right)_{i, j=1}^{N} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In our Theorem 4.2 we prove that this generalized quasideterminant exists, and in our most difficult Theorem 4.3 (and its Corollary 4.5) we prove that the entries of the coefficients of $L_{1}(\partial)$ lie in $\mathcal{W}$. Finally, in Theorem 4.6 we show that $L_{1}(\partial)$ is of bi-Adler type with respect to the bi-PVA structure of the family $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathfrak{g}, f, S_{1}\right)$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$. The case of arbitrary non-zero $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$ is easily reduced to $S_{1}$, cf. Theorem 4.2(d), Corollary 4.5(b) and Theorem 4.6(b).

In order to compute the Adler type operator $L_{1}(\partial)$ in terms of a set of generators of the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$, we choose in Section 5 a convenient slice $U$ to the adjoint orbit of $f$, defined by (5.1). With this choice, we define the corresponding set of generators $\left\{w_{j i: k}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$, indexed by indices $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ and $0 \leq k \leq$ $\min \left\{p_{i}, p_{j}\right\}-1$. We are then able to find an explicit general formula for $L_{1}(\partial)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(\partial)=-\mathbb{1}_{r_{1}}(-\partial)^{p_{1}}+W_{1}(\partial)-W_{2}(\partial)\left(-(-\partial)^{q}+W_{4}(\partial)\right)^{-1} W_{3}(\partial) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{1}, W_{2}, W_{3}, W_{4}$ are the four blocks, of sizes $r_{1} \times r_{1}, r_{1} \times\left(r-r_{1}\right),\left(r-r_{1}\right) \times r_{1}$ and $\left(r-r_{1}\right) \times\left(r-r_{1}\right)$ respectively, of the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
W_{1}(\partial) & W_{2}(\partial) \\
W_{3}(\partial) & W_{4}(\partial)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\sum_{k} w_{j i ; k}(-\partial)^{k}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}
$$

and $(-\partial)^{q}$ is the diagonal $\left(r-r_{1}\right) \times\left(r-r_{1}\right)$ matrix with diagonal entries $(-\partial)^{p_{i}}$, $r_{1}<i \leq r$. Formula (1.12) has a two-fold application. When combined with the definition (1.11) of $L_{1}(\partial)$ it provides an explicit formula for all the generators $w_{j i ; k}$ of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$, as elements of the differential algebra $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$. On the other hand,
when combined with the Adler identity (1.1) (resp. the bi-Adler identity (2.4)), it provides explicit formulas for the 0 -th (resp. 1 -st) $\lambda$-brackets between all the generators $w_{j i ; k}$.

In Section 7 we will demonstrate how this is implemented in several examples: the case of a principal nilpotent element (corresponding to the partition $p=N$ ) in Section 7.1, of a rectangular nilpotent element (corresponding to $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{1}\right)$ ) in Section 7.2, of a short nilpotent element (corresponding to $p=(2, \ldots, 2)$ ) in Section 7.3, of a minimal nilpotent element (corresponding to $p=(2,1 \ldots, 1)$ ) in Section 7.4, and of a vector and matrix "constrained" nilpotent element (corresponding to $p=\left(p_{1}, 1, \ldots, 1\right)$ and $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{1}, 1, \ldots, 1\right)$ respectively $)$ in Section 7.5. In all these examples we will use equation (1.12) to find the explicit formulas for the generators $w_{j i ; k}$ of the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra and for their 0 -th and 1 -st $\lambda$-brackets. In each case, we shall compare our results with the analogous formulas which can be found in literature: such as [GD78, DSKV15, MR15] for the principal and rectangular nilpotents, [Che92, DSKV14a] for the minimal and short nilpotents. For the vector and matrix "constrained" nilpotents the explicit formulas the $\lambda$-brackets of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ were, in fact, not known, and our formulas (7.48) and (7.50) constitute a new result obtained by our general method.

Our construction encompasses many well known reductions of the (matrix) KP hierarchy and automatically provides them with a bi-Poisson structure. For example, if $f$ is a principal nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{g l}_{N}$, then $L_{1}(\partial)$ is the "generic" monic scalar differential operator of order $N$, and in this case (1.5) is the Gelfand-Dickey $N$-th KdV hierarchy. If $f$ is a rectangular nilpotent, we similarly obtain the $p_{1}$-th $r_{1} \times r_{1}$ matrix KdV hierarchy. If $f$ is a vector constrained nilpotent, we obtain a biHamiltonian hierarchy whose Dirac reduction is the $\left(N-p_{1}\right)$-vector $p_{1}$-constrained KP hierarchy studied by many authors [YO76, Ma81, KSS91, Che92, KS92, SS93, ZC94]. If $f$ is a matrix constrained nilpotent, we obtain a matrix generalization of the vector constrained KP hierarchy. In fact, for every partition $p$ of $N$, we obtain a reduction of the $r_{1} \times r_{1}$ matrix KP hierarchy, thereby providing all classical affine $\mathcal{W}$-algebras associated to $\mathfrak{g l}_{N}$ with an integrable bi-Hamiltonian hierarchy.

Our method can be extended to the other classical Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s o}_{N}$ and $\mathfrak{s p}_{N}$. Moreover, the Adler type operator approach to $\mathcal{W}$-algebras has a natural quantization, related to the notion of Yangians. We plan to address these questions in forthcoming publications.
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## 2. (Bi)AdLER TYPE MATRIX PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS AND (bi)Hamiltonian hierarchies

In this section we review the main notions and the main results of [DSKVnew], which will be used in the following sections. Throughout the paper the base field $\mathbb{F}$ is a field of characteristic 0 .
2.1. (bi)Poisson vertex algebras and (bi)Hamiltonian equations. By a differential algebra we mean a commutative associative unital algebra $\mathcal{V}$ with a derivation $\partial: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$. A $\lambda$-bracket on $\mathcal{V}$ is a bilinear (over $\mathbb{F}$ ) map $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}: \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}[\lambda]$ satisfying the following axioms $(a, b, c \in \mathcal{V})$ :
(i) sesquilinearity: $\left\{\partial a_{\lambda} b\right\}=-\lambda\left\{a_{\lambda} b\right\},\left\{a_{\lambda} \partial b\right\}=(\lambda+\partial)\left\{a_{\lambda} b\right\}$;
(ii) Leibniz rules: $\left\{a_{\lambda} b c\right\}=\left\{a_{\lambda} b\right\} c+\left\{a_{\lambda} c\right\} b,\left\{a b_{\lambda} c\right\}=\left\{a_{\lambda+\partial} c\right\}_{\rightarrow} b+\left\{b_{\lambda+\partial} c\right\}_{\rightarrow} a$,
where $\rightarrow$ means that $\partial$ is moved to the right. We say that $\mathcal{V}$ is a Poisson vertex algebra (PVA) if it is endowed with a $\lambda$-bracket $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}$ satisfying $(a, b, c \in \mathcal{V})$
(iii) skewsymmetry: $\left\{b_{\lambda} a\right\}=-\left\{a_{-\lambda-\partial} b\right\}$ (with $\partial$ acting on the coefficients);
(iv) Jacobi identity: $\left\{a_{\lambda}\left\{b_{\mu} c\right\}\right\}-\left\{b_{\mu}\left\{a_{\lambda} c\right\}\right\}=\left\{\left\{a_{\lambda} b\right\}_{\lambda+\mu} c\right\}$.

If an $\mathbb{F}[\partial]$-module $R$ is endowed with a sesquilinear map $\left\{\cdot \lambda_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}: R \times R \rightarrow R[\lambda]$ satisfying the skewsymmetry and Jacobi identity axioms, we say that $R$ is a Lie conformal algebra.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a Poisson vertex algebra with $\lambda$-bracket $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}$. We have the corresponding Lie algebra on $\mathcal{V} / \partial \mathcal{V}$ with Lie bracket $\left\{\int f, \int g\right\}=\left.\int\left\{f_{\lambda} g\right\}\right|_{\lambda=0}$, and a representation of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{V} / \partial \mathcal{V}$ on $\mathcal{V}$ given by the action $\left\{\int f, g\right\}=\left.\left\{f_{\lambda} g\right\}\right|_{\lambda=0}$. Recall that the basic problem in the theory of integrability is to construct an infinite sequence of elements $\int h_{n} \in \mathcal{V} / \partial \mathcal{V}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, called Hamiltonian functionals, in involution, i.e. such that

$$
\left\{\int h_{m}, \int h_{n}\right\}=0 \text { for all } m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}
$$

In this case we obtain a hierarchy of compatible Hamiltonian equations

$$
\frac{d u}{d t_{n}}=\left\{\int h_{n}, u\right\}, u \in \mathcal{V}
$$

Let $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}$ and $\left\{\cdot \lambda^{\prime} \cdot\right\}_{1}$ be two $\lambda$-brackets on the same differential algebra $\mathcal{V}$. We can consider the pencil of $\lambda$-brackets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{\epsilon}=\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}+\epsilon\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{1} \quad, \quad \epsilon \in \mathbb{F} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $\mathcal{V}$ is a bi-PVA if $\left\{\cdot{ }_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}_{\epsilon}$ is a PVA $\lambda$-bracket on $\mathcal{V}$ for every $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$. Clearly, for this it suffices that $\left\{\cdot \lambda^{\prime} \cdot\right\}_{0},\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{1}$ and $\left\{\cdot \lambda^{\prime} \cdot\right\}_{0}+\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{1}$ are PVA $\lambda$-brackets.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a bi-Poisson vertex algebra with $\lambda$-brackets $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}$ and $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{1}$. A bi-Hamiltonian equation is an evolution equation which can be written in Hamiltonian form with respect to both PVA $\lambda$-brackets and two Hamiltonian functionals $\int h_{0}, \int h_{1} \in \mathcal{V} / \partial \mathcal{V}:$

$$
\frac{d u}{d t}=\left\{\int h_{0}, u\right\}_{0}=\left\{\int h_{1}, u\right\}_{1}, u \in \mathcal{V}
$$

The usual way to prove integrability for a bi-Hamiltonian equation is to solve the so called Lenard-Magri recurrence relation $(u \in \mathcal{V})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\int h_{n}, u\right\}_{0}=\left\{\int h_{n+1}, u\right\}_{1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this way, we get the corresponding hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian equations

$$
\frac{d u}{d t_{n}}=\left\{\int h_{n}, u\right\}_{0}=\left\{\int h_{n+1}, u\right\}_{1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, u \in \mathcal{V}
$$

### 2.2. Adler type matrix pseudodifferential operators.

Definition 2.1 ([DSKV15]). An $M \times N$ matrix pseudodifferential operator $A(\partial)$ over a differential algebra $\mathcal{V}$ is of Adler type with respect to a $\lambda$-bracket $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}$ on $\mathcal{V}$, if, for every $(i, j),(h, k) \in\{1, \ldots, M\} \times\{1, \ldots, N\}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{A_{i j}(z)_{\lambda} A_{h k}(w)\right\} & =A_{h j}(w+\lambda+\partial) \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1}\left(A_{i k}\right)^{*}(\lambda-z) \\
& -A_{h j}(z) \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1} A_{i k}(w) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

In (2.3) $\left(A_{i k}\right)^{*}(\partial)$ denotes the formal adjoint of the scalar pseudodifferential operator $A_{i k}(\partial)$, and $\left(A_{i k}\right)^{*}(z)$ is its symbol, and $\iota_{z}$ denotes the expansion in geometric series for large $z$. Also, let $S \in \operatorname{Mat}_{M \times N} \mathbb{F}$. We say that $A$ is of $S$-Adler type with respect to two $\lambda$-brackets $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}$ and $\left\{\cdot \lambda^{\prime} \cdot\right\}_{1}$ if, for every $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}, A(\partial)+\epsilon S$ is a matrix of Adler type with respect to the $\lambda$-bracket $\left\{\cdot{ }_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}_{\epsilon}$. In the case $M=N$, we also say that $A$ is of bi-Adler type if it is of $\mathbb{1}_{N}$-Adler type. This is equivalent to
saying that $A(\partial)$ is a matrix of Adler type with respect to the $\lambda$-bracket $\left\{\cdot \lambda^{\prime} \cdot\right\}_{0}$, i.e. (2.3) holds, and that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{A_{i j}(z)_{\lambda} A_{h k}(w)\right\}_{1} & =\delta_{i k} \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda)^{-1}\left(A_{h j}(w+\lambda)-A_{h j}(z)\right) \\
& +\delta_{h j} \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1}\left(\left(A_{i k}\right)^{*}(\lambda-z)-A_{i k}(w)\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 2.2. For a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ and an element $S \in \mathfrak{g}$, we define the corresponding pencil of affine PVAs $\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, S)$ as follows. The underlying differential algebra is the algebra $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g})$ of differential polynomials over $\mathfrak{g}$. The PVA $\lambda$-bracket, depending on a parameter $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, is given on generators by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{a_{\lambda} b\right\}_{\epsilon}=[a, b]+(a \mid b) \lambda+\epsilon(S \mid[a, b]), \quad a, b \in \mathfrak{g} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and extended to $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g})$ by the sesquilinearity axioms and the Leibniz rules. As shown in [DSKVnew, Ex.3.4] we have the following $N \times N$ matrix differential operator of $S$-Adler type with respect to the bi-PVA structure of $\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, S)$, where $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}_{N}$ with the trace form $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\partial)=\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+Q, \text { where } Q=\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} q_{j i} E_{i j} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and further we denote by $E_{i j} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathbb{F}$ the elementary matrix with 1 in position (ij) and 0 everywhere else, and we denote by $q_{i j} \in \mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}_{N}$ the same matrix when viewed as an element of the differential algebra $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g})$. Hence, $Q$ in (2.6) is the $N \times N$ matrix which, in position (ij), has entry $q_{j i} \in \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g})$.

One of the main properties of Adler type operator, which will be used later, is the following:

Theorem 2.3 ([DSKVnew, Thm.3.7(c)]). Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a differential algebra with a $\lambda$-bracket $\left\{\cdot \lambda_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}$. Let $A(\partial) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathcal{V}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$ be a matrix pseudodifferential operator of Adler type with respect to the $\lambda$-bracket of $\mathcal{V}$. If $A(\partial)$ is invertible in $\operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathcal{V}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$, then $A^{-1}(\partial)$ is of Adler type with respect to the opposite $\lambda$ bracket $-\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}$.

The relation between operators of $S$-Adler type and Poisson vertex algebras is described by the following result:

Theorem 2.4 ([DSKVnew, thm.6.3],[DSKV15]). Let $A(\partial) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{M \times N} \mathcal{V}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$ be an $M \times N$-matrix pseudodifferential operator of $S$-Adler type, for some $S \in$ $\operatorname{Mat}_{M \times N} \mathbb{F}$, with respect to the $\lambda$-brackets $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}$ and $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{1}$ on $\mathcal{V}$. Assume that the coefficients of the entries of the matrix $A(\partial)$ generate $\mathcal{V}$ as a differential algebra. Then $\mathcal{V}$ is a bi-PVA with the $\lambda$-brackets $\left\{\cdot{ }_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}_{0}$ and $\left\{\cdot{ }_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}_{1}$.
2.3. Integrable hierarchy associated to a matrix pseudodifferential operator of Adler type. The following Theorem, proved in [DSKVnew, Theorems 5.1 and 6.4], shows how (bi)Adler type operators can be used to construct integrable (bi)Hamiltonian hierarchies.
Theorem 2.5. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a differential algebra with a $\lambda$-bracket $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}$. Let $A(\partial) \in$ $\operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathcal{V}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$ be a matrix pseudodifferential operator of Adler type with respect to the $\lambda$-bracket $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}$, and assume that $A(\partial)$ is invertible in $\operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathcal{V}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$. For $B(\partial) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathcal{V}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$ a $K$-th root of $A$ (i.e. $A(\partial)=B(\partial)^{K}$ for $K \in$ $\mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\})$ define the elements $h_{n, B} \in \mathcal{V}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n, B}=\frac{-K}{|n|} \operatorname{Res}_{z} \operatorname{tr}\left(B^{n}(z)\right) \text { for } n \neq 0, h_{0}=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then:
(a) All the elements $\int h_{n, B}$ are Hamiltonian functionals in involution:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\int h_{m, B}, \int h_{n, C}\right\}=0 \text { for all } m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, B, C \text { roots of } A \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) The corresponding compatible hierarchy of Hamiltonian equations is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d A(z)}{d t_{n, B}}=\left\{\int h_{n, B}, A(z)\right\}=\left[\left(B^{n}\right)_{+}, A\right](z), n \in \mathbb{Z}, B \text { root of } A \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(in the RHS we are taking the symbol of the commutator of matrix pseudodifferential operators), and the Hamiltonian functionals $\int h_{n, C}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, C$ root of A, are integrals of motion of all these equations.
(c) If, moreover, $A(\partial)$ is of bi-Adler type with respect to two $\lambda$-brackets $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}$ and $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{1}$, then the elements $h_{n, B} \in \mathcal{V}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, given by (2.7) satisfy the generalized Lenard-Magri recurrence relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\int h_{n, B}, A(z)\right\}_{0}=\left\{\int h_{n+K, B}, A(z)\right\}_{1}=\left[\left(B^{n}\right)_{+}, A\right](z), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, (2.9) is a compatible hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian equations, and all the Hamiltonian functionals $\int h_{n, C}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, C$ root of $A$, are integrals of motion of all the equations of this hierarchy.
2.4. Generalized quasideterminants. Following [DSKVnew] introduce the following generalization of quasideterminants, cf. [GGRW05]. Let $A \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} R$, where $R$ is a unital associative algebra, and let $I \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times M} R, J \in \operatorname{Mat}_{M \times N} R$, for some $M \leq N$.
Definition 2.6. The $(I, J)$-quasideterminant of $A$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A|_{I J}=\left(J A^{-1} I\right)^{-1} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{M \times M} R, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

assuming that the RHS makes sense, i.e. that $A$ is invertible in $\operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} R$ and that $J A^{-1} I$ is invertible in $\operatorname{Mat}_{M \times M} R$.

A special case is when $I$ and $J$ are the following matrices:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N M}=\binom{\mathbb{1}_{M \times M}}{0_{(N-M) \times M}} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times M} \mathbb{F} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
J_{M N}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{1}_{M \times M} & 0_{M \times(N-M)} \tag{2.13}
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{M \times N} \mathbb{F} .
$$

In this case the corresponding quasideterminant has the following explicit formula ([DSKVnew, Prop.4.2])

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A|_{I_{N M} J_{M N}}=a-b d^{-1} c \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ has the block form $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$, where $a, b, c$ and $d$ are matrices of sizes $M \times M, M \times(N-M),(N-M) \times M$, and $(N-M) \times(N-M)$ respectively.

Let $I=I_{1} I_{2}$ and $J=J_{2} J_{1}$, where $I_{1} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times M_{1}} R, J_{1} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{M_{1} \times N} R$, $I_{2} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{M_{1} \times M_{2}} R$ and $J_{2} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{M_{2} \times M_{1}} R$. The following hereditary property of generalized quasideterminants is an obvious consequence of the definition (2.11):

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A|_{I J}=\left||A|_{I_{1} J_{1}}\right|_{I_{2} J_{2}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that all generalized quasideterminants involved exist.
The following result, based on Theorem 2.3, says that identity (2.3) is preserved under taking generalized quasideterminants.

Theorem 2.7 ([DSKVnew, Prop.4.6]). Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a differential algebra with a $\lambda$ bracket $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}$. Let $A(\partial) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathcal{V}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$ be a matrix pseudodifferential operator of Adler type with respect to the $\lambda$-bracket of $\mathcal{V}$. Then, for every $I \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times M} \mathbb{F}$ and $J \in \operatorname{Mat}_{M \times N} \mathbb{F}$ with $M \leq N$, the generalized quasideterminant $|A(\partial)|_{I J}$, provided that it exists, is an $M \times M$ matrix pseudodifferential operator of Adler type.

A simple but important result, which we will use in Section 4, is the following generalization of [DSKVnew, Thm.4.5]:
Theorem 2.8. Let $A \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} R, I \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times M} R, J \in \operatorname{Mat}_{M \times N} R$, and $S_{0} \in$ Mat $_{M \times M} R$,for some $M \leq N$. Let also $S=I S_{0} J \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} R$. Assume that the $(I, J)$-quasideterminant $|A|_{I J}$ exists and that the matrix $A+S \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} R$ is invertible. Then, the $(I, J)$-quasideterminant of $A+S$ exists, and it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A+S|_{I J}=|A|_{I J}+S_{0} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is the same as the proof of [DSKVnew, Thm.4.5]: multiplying the identity $A+S=A+S$ by $J(A+S)^{-1}$ on the left and by $A^{-1} I|A|_{I J}$ on the right, we get that $S_{0}+|A|_{I J}$ is a right inverse of $J(A+S)^{-1} I$, and multiplying the same identity $A+S=A+S$ by $|A|_{I J} J A^{-1}$ on the left and by $(A+S)^{-1} I$ on the right, we get that $S_{0}+|A|_{I J}$ is a left inverse of $J(A+S)^{-1} I$.
2.5. A new scheme of integrability of bi-Hamiltonian PDE. In [DSKVnew, Sec.6.3] we propose the following scheme of integrability, based on Theorems 2.5 and 2.8. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a differential algebra with compatible PVA $\lambda$-brackets $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}$ and $\left\{\cdot \lambda_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}_{1}$. Let $S \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathbb{F}$ and let $A(\partial) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathcal{V}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$ be an operator of $S$-Adler type with respect to the $\lambda$-brackets $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}$ and $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{1}$. Assume (without loss of generality) that the differential algebra $\mathcal{V}$ is generated by the coefficients of $A(\partial)$. Then, we obtain an integrable hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian equations as follows:

1. consider the canonical factorization $S=I J$, where $J: \mathbb{F}^{N} \rightarrow \operatorname{Im}(S)$ and $I$ : $\operatorname{Im}(S) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}^{N}$;
2. assume that the $(I, J)$-quasideterminant $|A|_{I J}(\partial)$ exists; then, by Theorem 2.8 and Proposition $2.7|A|_{I J}$ is an $M \times M$ matrix pseudodifferential operator (where $M=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Im}(S))$ of bi-Adler type with respect to the same $\lambda$-brackets $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}$ and $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{1}$;
3. consider the family of local functionals $\left\{\int h_{n, B} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, B\right.$ a $K$-th root of $\left.|A|_{I J}\right\}$ given by (2.7); then, by Theorem 2.5 they are all Hamiltonian functionals in involution with respect to both PVA $\lambda$-brackets $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}$ and $\left\{\cdot \lambda^{\prime} \cdot\right\}_{1}$, and they satisfy the Lenard-Magri recurrence relation (2.10);
4. we thus get an integrable hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u}{d t_{n, B}}=\left\{\int h_{n, B}, u\right\}_{0}=\left\{\int h_{n+K, B}, u\right\}_{1} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that the $\int h_{n, B}$ span an infinite dimensional space.
In the present paper we implement the above scheme to construct integrable hierarchies associated to the classical affine $\mathcal{W}$-algebras $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}_{N}$ and an arbitrary nilpotent element $f \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Remark 2.9. The canonical factorization $S=I J$, with $I \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times M} \mathbb{F}$ and $J \in$ $\operatorname{Mat}_{M \times N} \mathbb{F}$, is unique up to a choice of basis of $\operatorname{Im} S$. Changing basis leads to a conjugation of the generalized quasideterminant $|A|_{I J}$ by the change of basis matrix. Hence, the functionals $\int h_{n, B}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, defined by (2.7), are independent of the choice of basis.
2.6. Generic matrices and their properties. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a differential algebra. Assume that $\mathcal{V}$ is an integral domain, and let $\mathcal{K}$ be its field of fractions, which is automatically a differential field.

Definition 2.10. A matrix $Q \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times \tilde{N}} \mathcal{V}$ is called generic if its entries are differentially independent, i.e. there is no non-zero differential polynomial over the base field $\mathbb{F}$ satisfied by the entries of the matrix $Q$.

Lemma 2.11. If $Q \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times \tilde{N}} \mathcal{V}$ is a generic matrix then every submatrix obtained by considering some rows and columns of $Q$ is generic.

Proof. Obvious.
Lemma 2.12. Let $P_{1} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathbb{F}$ and $P_{2} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{\tilde{N} \times \tilde{N}} \mathbb{F}$ be invertible matrices with entries in the field of constants $\mathbb{F}$. A matrix $Q \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times \tilde{N}} \mathcal{V}$ is generic if and only if the matrix $P_{1} Q P_{2} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times \tilde{N}} \mathcal{V}$ is generic.

Proof. The change of variable mapping the entries $q_{i j}$ of the matrix $Q$ to the entries $\tilde{q}_{i j}$ of the matrix $P_{1} Q P_{2}$ is invertible. Hence, if there exists a non-zero differential polynomial over $\mathbb{F}$ which is zero when evaluated on the $q_{i j}$ 's, after the change of variable we get a non trivial differential polynomial which is zero when evaluated on the $\tilde{q}_{i j}$ 's, and conversely.

Lemma 2.13. Let $Q \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times \tilde{N}} \mathcal{V}$ be a generic matrix. Let $J \in \operatorname{Mat}_{M \times N} \mathbb{F}$ be a constant matrix of rank $M(\leq N)$, and let $I \in \operatorname{Mat}_{\tilde{N} \times \tilde{M}} \mathbb{F}$ be a constant matrix of rank $\tilde{M}(\leq \tilde{N})$. Then the matrix $J Q I \in \operatorname{Mat}_{M \times \tilde{M}} \mathcal{V}$ is generic.

Proof. By elementary transformations, there exist invertible constant matrices $P_{1} \in$ $\operatorname{Mat}_{M \times M} \mathbb{F}, P_{2} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathbb{F}, P_{3} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{\tilde{N} \times \tilde{N}} \mathbb{F}$ and $P_{4} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{\tilde{M} \times \tilde{M}} \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$
P_{1} J P_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{1}_{M \times M} & 0_{M \times(N-M)}
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{3} I P_{4}=\binom{\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{M} \times \tilde{M}}}{0_{(\tilde{N}-\tilde{M}) \times \tilde{M}}}
$$

Hence, the matrix

$$
P_{1} J Q I P_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{1}_{M \times M} & 0_{M \times(N-M)}
\end{array}\right) P_{2}^{-1} Q P_{3}^{-1}\binom{\mathbb{1}_{\tilde{M} \times \tilde{M}}}{0_{(\tilde{N}-\tilde{M}) \times \tilde{M}}}
$$

coincides with the upper left $M \times \tilde{M}$ block of the matrix $P_{2}^{-1} Q P_{3}^{-1}$, which is generic by Lemmas 2.11 and 2.12. Therefore, by Lemma 2.12 it follows that the matrix $J Q I$ is generic as well.

Lemma 2.14. If $Q \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathcal{K}$ is a generic matrix then it is invertible.
Proof. The determinant of $Q$, being a non-zero polynomial in the entries of $Q$, cannot be zero.

Lemma 2.15. Let $A(\partial) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathcal{K}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$ be a matrix pseudodifferential operator with the block form

$$
A(\partial)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11}(\partial) & A_{12}(\partial) \\
A_{21}(\partial) & A_{22}(\partial)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the submatrices $A_{11}(\partial) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r \times r} \mathcal{K}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right), A_{12}(\partial) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r \times(N-r)} \mathcal{K}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$, $A_{21}(\partial) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{(N-r) \times r} \mathcal{K}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right), A_{22}(\partial) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{(N-r) \times(N-r)} \mathcal{K}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$, are pseudodifferential operators of orders ( $=$ maximal orders of their entries) $n_{11}, n_{12}, n_{21}$ and $n_{22} \in \mathbb{Z}$ respectively, such that $n_{11}+n_{22}>n_{12}+n_{21}$. Assume moreover that the square matrices $A_{11}(\partial)$ and $A_{22}(\partial)$ have invertible leading coefficients. Then the matrix $A(\partial)$ is invertible.
Proof. Under our assumptions, the matrices $A_{11}(\partial)$ and $A_{22}(\partial)$, having invertible leading coefficients, are invertible, and their inverses have order $-n_{11}$ and $-n_{22}$ respectively. Moreover, under the conditions on the orders, the matrices

$$
A_{11}(\partial)-A_{12}(\partial) A_{22}(\partial)^{-1} A_{21}(\partial) \text { and } A_{22}(\partial)-A_{21}(\partial) A_{11}(\partial)^{-1} A_{12}(\partial)
$$

have order $n_{11}$ and $n_{22}$ respectively, and they have the same (invertible) leading coefficients of $A_{11}(\partial)$ and $A_{22}(\partial)$ respectively. Hence, they are invertible as well. But then the inverse matrix of $A(\partial)$ exists since it has the block form

$$
A(\partial)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{11}(\partial) & B_{12}(\partial) \\
B_{21}(\partial) & B_{22}(\partial)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{11}(\partial)=\left(A_{11}(\partial)-A_{12}(\partial) A_{22}(\partial)^{-1} A_{21}(\partial)\right)^{-1} \\
& B_{12}(\partial)=-A_{11}(\partial)^{-1} A_{12}(\partial)\left(A_{22}(\partial)-A_{21}(\partial) A_{11}(\partial)^{-1} A_{12}(\partial)\right)^{-1} \\
& B_{21}(\partial)=-A_{22}(\partial)^{-1} A_{21}(\partial)\left(A_{11}(\partial)-A_{12}(\partial) A_{22}(\partial)^{-1} A_{21}(\partial)\right)^{-1} \\
& B_{22}(\partial)=\left(A_{22}(\partial)-A_{21}(\partial) A_{11}(\partial)^{-1} A_{12}(\partial)\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.16. Let $A(\partial)=A_{n} \partial^{n}+A_{n-1} \partial^{n-1}+\cdots \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathcal{K}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$ be a matrix pseudodifferential operator such that $A_{n} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathbb{F}$ and the matrix $A_{n-1} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathcal{K}$ is generic. Then $A(\partial)$ is invertible in $\operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathcal{K}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$.

Proof. After multiplying $A(\partial)$ on the left and on the right by invertible matrices in $\operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathbb{F}$, we can assume that $A_{n}$ has block form

$$
A_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{1}_{r} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $r$ is the rank of $A_{n}$. In this case, the matrix $A(\partial)$ has the block form

$$
A(\partial)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{1}_{r} \partial^{n}+A_{n-1 ; 11} \partial^{n-1}+\ldots & A_{n-1 ; 12} \partial^{n-1}+\ldots \\
A_{n-1 ; 21} \partial^{n-1}+\ldots & A_{n-1 ; 22} \partial^{n-1}+\ldots
\end{array}\right)
$$

The matrix $A_{n-1 ; 22}$ is generic by Lemma 2.11, and therefore it is invertible by Lemma 2.14. Hence, the above block form of the matrix $A(\partial)$ satisfies all the assumptions of Lemma 2.15.

Example 2.17. Proposition 2.16 is false if we replace the assumption that $A_{n-1}$ is generic by the assumption that $A_{n-1}$ is invertible. For example, the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{rrr}0 & a^{\prime} & -a \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & a & 0\end{array}\right), a \in \mathcal{K}$, is non-degenerate provided that $a^{\prime} \neq 0$, but the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right) \partial+\left(\begin{array}{rrr}0 & a^{\prime} & -a \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & a & 0\end{array}\right)$ is degenerate for every $a$.

## 3. Classical affine $\mathcal{W}$-algebras and associated bi-Poisson structures

3.1. Definition of the classical affine $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S)$. We review here the construction of the classical affine $\mathcal{W}$-algebra following [DSKV13]. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a reductive Lie algebra with a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$, and let $\{f, 2 x, e\} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be an $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$-triple in $\mathfrak{g}$. We have the corresponding ad $x$ eigenspace decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g}=\bigoplus_{k \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_{k} \quad \text { where } \mathfrak{g}_{k}=\{a \in \mathfrak{g} \mid[x, a]=k a\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $f \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, x \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}$ and $e \in \mathfrak{g}_{1}$. We let $d$ be the depth of the grading, i.e. the maximal eigenvalue of ad $x$. For a subspace $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ we denote by $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{a})$ the algebra of differential polynomials over $\mathfrak{a}$, i.e. $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{a})=S(\mathbb{F}[\partial] \mathfrak{a})$.

Consider the pencil of affine PVAs $\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, S)$ defined in Example 2.2. We shall assume that $S$ lies in $\mathfrak{g}_{d}$. In this case the $\mathbb{F}[\partial]$-submodule $\mathbb{F}[\partial] \mathfrak{g}_{\geq \frac{1}{2}} \subset \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a

Lie conformal subalgebra of $\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, S)$ with the $\lambda$-bracket $\left\{a_{\lambda} b\right\}_{\epsilon}=[a, b], a, b \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq \frac{1}{2}}$ (it is independent of $\epsilon$, since $S$ commutes with $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq \frac{1}{2}}$ ). Consider the differential subalgebra $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$ of $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g})$, and denote by $\rho: \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$, the differential algebra homomorphism defined on generators by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(a)=\pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}(a)+(f \mid a), \quad a \in \mathfrak{g} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}$ denotes the projection with kernel $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}$. We have a representation of the Lie conformal algebra $\mathbb{F}[\partial] \mathfrak{g}_{\geq \frac{1}{2}}$ on the differential subalgebra $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right) \subset \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g})$, with the action of $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq \frac{1}{2}}$ on $g \in \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$ given by $\rho\left\{a_{\lambda} g\right\}_{\epsilon}$ (note that the RHS is independent of $\epsilon$ since, by assumption, $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$ ).

The classical $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S)$ is, by definition, the differential algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)=\left\{\left.w \in \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right) \right\rvert\, \rho\left\{a_{\lambda} w\right\}_{\epsilon}=0 \text { for all } a \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq \frac{1}{2}}\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

endowed with the following pencil of PVA $\lambda$-brackets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{v_{\lambda} w\right\}_{\epsilon}^{\mathcal{W}}=\rho\left\{v_{\lambda} w\right\}_{\epsilon}, \quad v, w \in \mathcal{W} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

With a slight abuse of notation, we shall denote by $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ also the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S)$ for $\epsilon=0$ (or, equivalently, $S=0$ ).
Theorem 3.1 ([DSKV13, Lem.3.1, Lem.3.2, Cor.3.3]). (a) $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$ is a dif-
ferential subalgebra and, for every $v, w \in \mathcal{W}$, we have $\rho\left\{v_{\lambda} w\right\}_{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{W}[\lambda]$. Hence, the $\lambda$-bracket $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{\epsilon}^{\mathcal{W}}: \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}[\lambda]$, given by (3.4), defines a pencil of $P V A$ structures on $\mathcal{W}$.
(b) For $g, h \in \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\rho(g), \rho(h) \in \mathcal{W}$, we have $\left\{\rho(g)_{\lambda} \rho(h)\right\}_{\epsilon}^{\mathcal{W}}=\rho\left\{g_{\lambda} h\right\}_{\epsilon}$.

Remark 3.2. The definition of the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra can be generalized to the case of an arbitrary good grading $\mathfrak{g}=\oplus_{j} \mathfrak{g}_{j}$ such that $f \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ (not necessarily the Dynkin grading) [EK05], and to arbitrary isotropic subspace $\ell \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ (not necessarily $\ell=0$, as above) cf. [DSKV13]. In fact, it can be proved that the "second" Poisson structure $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}$ is independent of the choice of good grading and isotropic subspace [BG07]. On the other hand, the "first" Poisson structure $\left\{\cdot \lambda_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}_{1}$ may vary with these choices, and so the corresponding bi-Hamiltonian integrable hierarchies as described in Section 4 may be different. In this paper, for simplicity, we stick to the traditional choice of Dynkin grading and isotropic subspace $\ell=0$. However, it should be interesting to investigate how the choices of good grading and isotropic subspaces affect the corresponding bi-Hamiltonian hierarchies.
3.2. Structure Theorem for classical affine $\mathcal{W}$-algebras. Fix a subspace $U \subset$ $\mathfrak{g}$ complementary to $[f, \mathfrak{g}]$, which is compatible with the grading (3.1). For example, we could take $U=\mathfrak{g}^{e}$, the Slodowy slice, as we did in [DSKV13] and [DSKV16], however, in Section 5.1 we will make a different, more convenient, choice for $U$. Since ad $f: \mathfrak{g}_{j} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{j-1}$ is surjective for $j \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have $\mathfrak{g}_{\leq-\frac{1}{2}} \subset[f, \mathfrak{g}]$. In particular, we have the direct sum decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g}_{\geq-\frac{1}{2}}=\left[f, \mathfrak{g}_{\geq \frac{1}{2}}\right] \oplus U \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, by the non-degeneracy of $(\cdot \mid \cdot)$, the orthocomplement to $[f, \mathfrak{g}]$ is $\mathfrak{g}^{f}$, the centralizer of $f$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. Hence, the direct sum decomposition dual to (3.5) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}=U^{\perp} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{f} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence of (3.6) we have the decomposition in a direct sum of subspaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)=\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{f}\right) \oplus\left\langle U^{\perp}\right\rangle, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\langle U^{\perp}\right\rangle$ is the differential algebra ideal of $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$ generated by $U^{\perp}$. Let $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}^{f}}$ : $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{f}\right)$ be the canonical quotient map, with kernel $\left\langle U^{\perp}\right\rangle$.

As an immediate consequence of [DSKV13, Thm.3.14(c)] and [DSKV16, Cor.4.1], we get the following:
Theorem 3.3. The map $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}^{f}}$ restricts to a differential algebra isomorphism

$$
\pi:=\left.\pi_{\mathfrak{g}^{f}}\right|_{\mathcal{W}}: \mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{f}\right),
$$

hence we have the inverse differential algebra isomorphism

$$
w=: \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{f}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{W},
$$

which associates to every element $q \in \mathfrak{g}^{f}$ the (unique) element $w(q) \in \mathcal{W}$ of the form $w(q)=q+r$, with $r \in\left\langle U^{\perp}\right\rangle$.

Remark 3.4. In [DSKV16, Cor.4.1] the analogue of Theorem 3.3 is stated with the choice $U=\mathfrak{g}^{e}$. However the proof there works verbatim for every choice of a subspace $U \subset \mathfrak{g}$ complementary to $[f, \mathfrak{g}]$ and compatible with the grading (3.1).
3.3. $\mathcal{W}$-algebras as limit of Dirac reductions. Let us briefly recall the definition of the Dirac reduction of a PVA, following [DSKV14b]. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a Poisson vertex algebra with PVA $\lambda$-bracket $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}$. Assume that $\mathcal{V}$ is a domain with differential field of fractions $\mathcal{K}$. Let $\left\langle\theta_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} \subset \mathcal{V}$ be the differential algebra ideal generated by elements $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{\ell} \in \mathcal{V}$. Assume that the $\ell \times \ell$ matrix differential operator $C(\partial)=\left(C_{\alpha \beta}(\partial)\right)_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{\ell}$ with symbol

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\beta \alpha}(\lambda)=\left\{\theta_{\alpha \lambda} \theta_{\beta}\right\} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is non-degenerate, i.e. it is invertible in the ring $\operatorname{Mat}_{\ell \times \ell} \mathcal{K}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$. Then, the Dirac reduction of the PVA $\mathcal{V}$ by the constraints $\left\{\theta_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\ell}$ is the quotient differential algebra $\mathcal{V}^{D}=\mathcal{V} /\left\langle\theta_{\alpha}\right\rangle_{\alpha=1}^{\ell}$, endowed with the following Dirac reduced (non-local) PVA $\lambda$-bracket,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\pi(a)_{\lambda} \pi(b)\right\}^{D}=\pi\left(\left\{a_{\lambda} b\right\}-\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{\ell}\left\{\theta_{\beta_{\lambda+\partial}} b\right\}_{\rightarrow}\left(C^{-1}\right)_{\beta \alpha}(\lambda+\partial)\left\{a_{\lambda} \theta_{\alpha}\right\}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^{D}$ is the canonical quotient map. For a definition of non-local $\lambda$-brackets and non-local Poisson vertex algebra, see [DSK13].

In this section we show that the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S)$ can be obtained as a limit of Dirac reductions of the affine vertex algebra $\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, S)$. Let $\left\{u_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\ell}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}$ and let $\left\{u^{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\ell}$ be the dual basis of $\mathfrak{g}_{\leq-1}$. Consider the elements, depending on the parameter $t \in \mathbb{F}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\alpha}(t)=u_{\alpha}-\left(f \mid u_{\alpha}\right)+\frac{1}{2} t u^{\alpha}, \quad \alpha=1, \ldots, \ell \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\pi_{t}: \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}) /\left\langle\theta_{\alpha}(t)\right\rangle_{\alpha=1}^{\ell}$ the canonical quotient map. Note that, for $t=0$, it coincides with the map $\rho: \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}) /\left\langle\theta_{\alpha}(0)\right\rangle_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} \simeq \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$ given by (3.2).

By the definition (2.5) of the $\lambda$-bracket on $\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, S)$, the matrix $C(\partial)$ defined by (3.8) has entries

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\beta \alpha}(\partial)=t \delta_{\alpha \beta} \partial+\left[\theta_{\alpha}(t), \theta_{\beta}(t)\right]+\epsilon\left(S \mid\left[\theta_{\alpha}(t), \theta_{\beta}(t)\right]\right) . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for every $t \neq 0$, it is a matrix differential operator of order 1 with leading coefficient $t \mathbb{1}_{\ell}$, and so it is invertible in $\operatorname{Mat}_{\ell \times \ell} \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then, we can consider the Dirac reduction of the PVA $\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, S)$ by the constraints $\left\{\theta_{\alpha}(t)\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{\ell}$.

Note that the quotient differential algebra $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}) /\left\langle\theta_{\alpha}(t)\right\rangle_{\alpha=1}^{\ell}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$. Hence, the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S) \subset \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$, as a differential algebra, is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, S)^{D}=\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}) /\left\langle\theta_{\alpha}(t)\right\rangle_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} \simeq \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$. We claim that the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\lambda$-bracket on $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S)$ can be obtained as the limit for $t \rightarrow 0$ of
the Dirac reduced $\lambda$-bracket on $\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, S)^{D}$, restricted to $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S)$. Indeed, for $v, w \in \mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S)$ we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{v_{\lambda} w\right\}_{\epsilon}^{D}=\pi_{t}\left\{v_{\lambda} w\right\}_{\epsilon}-\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{\ell} \pi_{t}\left\{\theta_{\beta}(t)_{\lambda+\partial} w\right\}_{\epsilon, \rightarrow} \pi_{t}\left(C_{\beta \alpha}(t ; \lambda+\partial)\right) \pi_{t}\left\{v_{\lambda} \theta_{\alpha}(t)\right\}_{\epsilon} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, for $t \rightarrow 0$, we have $\theta_{\alpha}(t)=u_{\alpha}-\left(f \mid u_{\alpha}\right)+O(t)$, and therefore, by the definition (3.3) of the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra, we have $\pi_{t}\left\{\theta_{\beta}(t)_{\lambda} w\right\}_{\epsilon}=\rho\left\{u_{\beta_{\lambda}} w\right\}_{\epsilon}+O(t)=O(t)$, and similarly, $\pi_{t}\left\{v_{\lambda} \theta_{\alpha}(t)\right\}_{\epsilon}=O(t)$. On the other hand, $\pi_{t}\left(C_{\beta \alpha}(t ; \lambda+\partial)\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$. Therefore, the summation in the RHS of (3.12) vanishes in the limit $t \rightarrow 0$. In conclusion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{v_{\lambda} w\right\}_{\epsilon}^{D} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} \rho\left\{v_{\lambda} w\right\}_{\epsilon}=\left\{v_{\lambda} w\right\}_{\epsilon}^{\mathcal{W}} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Operator of bi-Adler type for $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, f, S\right)$ and the corresponding integrable bi-Hamiltonian hierarchies

4.1. Setup and notation. We fix a convenient basis of $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}_{N}$, associated to a nilpotent element $f \in \mathfrak{g}$ and the corresponding Dynkin grading.

Let $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right)$, with $p_{1} \geq \cdots \geq p_{r}>0$, be a partition of $N$. We associate to it a symmetric (with respect to the $y$-axis) pyramid, with boxes indexed by $(i, h)$ in the set (of cardinality $N$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}=\left\{(i, h) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{2} \mid 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq h \leq p_{i}\right\}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $i$ and $h$ being respectively the row index (starting from the bottom) and the column index (starting from the right). For example, for the partition (9, 7, 4, 4) of 24, we have the pyramid in Figure 1.


Figure 1.
We also let $r_{1}$ be the number or rows of maximal length $p_{1}$ (i.e. the multiplicity of $p_{1}$ in the partition $p$ ). For example in Figure 1 we have $r_{1}=1$.

Let $V$ be the $N$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}$ with basis $\left\{e_{i h}\right\}_{(i, h) \in \mathcal{J}}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}(V)$ has a basis consisting of the elementary matrices $E_{(i h),(j k)}$, $(i h),(j k) \in \mathcal{J}$. The nilpotent element $f \in \mathfrak{g}$ associated to the partition $p$ is the "shift" operator: $f\left(e_{i h}\right)=e_{i, h+1}$, for $h<p_{i}$, and $f\left(e_{i, p_{i}}\right)=0$. In terms of elementary matrices,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{(i h) \in \mathcal{J} \mid h<p_{i}} E_{(i, h+1),(i h)} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we order the indices (ih) lexicographically, $f$ has Jordan form with nilpotent Jordan blocks of sizes $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}$. The elementary matrix $E_{(j k),(i h)}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ can be depicted by an arrow going from the center of the box $(i h)$ to the center of the box
$(j k)$. In particular, $f$ is depicted as the sum of all the arrows pointing from each box to the next one on the left.

We also let $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ be the diagonal endomorphism of $V$ whose eigenvalue on $e_{i h}$ is $\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{i}+1-2 h\right)$, i.e. the 1 -st coordinate of the center of the corresponding box (see Figure 1). It follows that the elementary matrices $E_{(i h),(j k)}$ are eigenvectors with respect to the adjoint action of $x$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\operatorname{ad} x) E_{(i h),(j k)}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{i}-p_{j}\right)-(h-k)\right) E_{(i h),(j k)} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This defines a $\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}$-gradation of $\mathfrak{g}$, given by the ad $x$-eigenvalues as in (3.1). The depth of this gradation is $d=p_{1}-1$.
4.2. Canonical factorization in $\mathfrak{g}_{d}$. Note that the ad $x$-eigenspace of maximal degree is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g}_{d}=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{F}}\left\{E_{(i 1),\left(j p_{1}\right)} \mid i, j=1, \ldots, r_{1}\right\} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have a natural bijection $\mathfrak{g}_{d} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\sum_{i, j=1}^{r_{1}} s_{i j} E_{(i 1),\left(j p_{1}\right)} \mapsto \bar{S}=\left(s_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{r_{1}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, the element of $\mathfrak{g}_{d}$, corresponding to $\mathbb{1}_{r_{1}} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F}$, is the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{r_{1}} E_{(i 1),\left(i p_{1}\right)} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$, and let $\bar{r} \leq r_{1}$ be its rank. The following proposition gives an explicit description of its canonical factorization $S=I J$, where $I \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times \bar{r}} \mathbb{F}$ and $J \in \operatorname{Mat}_{\bar{r} \times N} \mathbb{F}$ are the matrices associated (in some basis of $\operatorname{Im}(S)$ ) to the maps $I: \operatorname{Im}(S) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}^{N}, X \mapsto X$, and $J: \mathbb{F}^{N} \rightarrow \operatorname{Im}(S), X \mapsto S(X)$.

Proposition 4.1. (a) The canonical factorization of the matrix $S_{1}$ in (4.6) is $S_{1}=$ $I_{1} J_{1}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{r_{1}} E_{(i 1), i} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F}, \quad J_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{r_{1}} E_{i,\left(i p_{1}\right)} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times N} \mathbb{F} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) If $\bar{S}=\bar{I} \bar{J}$, with $\bar{I} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times \bar{r}} \mathbb{F}$ and $\bar{J} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{\bar{r} \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F}$, is the canonical factorization of $\bar{S} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F}$ (where $\bar{r} \leq r_{1}$ is the rank of $\bar{S}$ ), then the canonical factorization of the element $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$ corresponding to $\bar{S}$ via (4.5) is $S=I J$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=I_{1} \bar{I} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times \bar{r}} \mathbb{F}, J=\bar{J} J_{1} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{\bar{r} \times N} \mathbb{F} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Clearly, $I_{1}$ and $J_{1}$ in (4.7) are rectangular matrices of maximal rank $r_{1}$, and it is immediate to check that $I_{1} J_{1}=S_{1}$. This proves part (a). Moreover, if $I$ and $J$ are as in (4.8), then

$$
I J=I_{1} \bar{I} \bar{J} J_{1}=I_{1} \bar{S} J_{1}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{r_{1}} E_{(i 1), i} \bar{S} E_{j,\left(j p_{1}\right)}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{r_{1}} s_{i j} E_{(i 1),\left(j p_{1}\right)}=S,
$$

proving (b).
4.3. Operator of bi-Adler type for $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S), \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, and corresponding biHamiltonian integrable hierarchy. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}_{N}$. Consider the pencil of affine Poisson vertex algebras $\mathcal{V}=\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, S), \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, defined in Example 2.2, depending on the matrix $S \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathbb{F}$. Recall that the matrix differential operator $A(\partial) \in$ $\operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathcal{V}[\partial]$ in (2.6), is of $S$-Adler type with respect to the bi-PVA structure of $\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, S)$, for every $S \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathbb{F}$.

We want to find an analogous operator for the affine $\mathcal{W}$-algebras. Fix a non-zero nilpotent element $f \in \mathfrak{g}$, associated to the partition $p_{1} \geq p_{2} \geq \cdots \geq p_{r}>0$ of $N$, and consider the corresponding pencil of $\mathcal{W}$-algebras $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S), \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, depending on $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$, where $d=p_{1}-1$ is the depth of the gradation (3.1). We will construct a matrix pseudodifferential operator $L_{1}(\partial) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathcal{W}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$, where $r_{1}$ is the multiplicity of $p_{1}$ in the partition, which is of $\bar{S}$-Adler type with respect to the bi-PVA structure of $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S)$, where $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$ and $\bar{S} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F}$ are related by (4.5).

The operator $L_{1}(\partial)$ is constructed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(\partial)=|\rho(A(\partial))|_{I_{1} J_{1}}=\left|\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+\rho(Q)\right|_{I_{1} J_{1}} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{1}$ and $J_{1}$ are the matrices (4.7), given by the canonical factorization of $S_{1} \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$, and $\rho: \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$ is the map defined by (3.2).

In Section 4.4 we prove that the generalized quasideterminant (4.9) exists. In fact, we show that, for every $\bar{S} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F}$ and its canonical factorization $\bar{S}=$ $\bar{I} \bar{J}$, the generalized quasideterminant $\left|L_{1}(\partial)\right|_{\bar{I} \bar{J}}$ exists over the field of fractions of $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$. In Section 4.5 we show that the entries of the coefficients of $L_{1}(\partial)$ actually lie in the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S)$. Finally, in Section 4.6 we prove that, if $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$ and $\bar{S} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F}$ are related by (4.5), then $L_{1}(\partial)$ is a matrix pseudodifferential operator of $\bar{S}$-Adler type with respect to the bi-PVA structure of $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S), \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$.

Using the above stated results and following the scheme described in Section 2.5 , we will be able to construct a bi-Hamiltonian integrable hierarchy for the biPoisson structure of $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, f, S\right)$, for every nilpotent element $f \in \mathfrak{g l}_{N}$ and every non-zero element $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$. Such a hierarchy was constructed by Drinfeld and Sokolov [DS85] for a principal nilpotent element $f$ of an arbitrary simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and their argument was generalized in different directions by many authors, all under restrictive assumptions on the nilpotent element $f$ [dGHM92, FHM93, BdGHM93, DF95, FGMS95, FGMS96, DSKV13, DSKV14a].

Our idea is very simple. Take the matrix $\bar{S} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F}$ corresponding to $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$ via (4.5), take its canonical factorization $\bar{S}=\bar{I} \bar{J}$, with $\bar{I} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times \bar{r}} \mathbb{F}$ and $\bar{J} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{\bar{r} \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F}$ ( $\bar{r}$ is the rank of $S$ or, equivalently, of $\bar{S}$ ), and consider the generalized quasideterminant

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\partial)=\left|L_{1}(\partial)\right|_{\bar{I} \bar{J}}=|\rho(A(\partial))|_{I J} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second equality holds, for $I=I_{1} \bar{I}$ and $J=\bar{J} J_{1}$, by the hereditary property (2.15) of generalized quasideterminants and by Proposition 4.1(b). By the results of Section 4.4, the generalized quasideterminant (4.10) exists and, by the results of Sections 4.5 and 4.6, $L(\partial)$ is a matrix pseudodifferential operator with coefficients in the field of fractions $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{W}(=\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f))$, of bi-Adler type with respect to the bi-Poisson structure of $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S), \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$. Hence, following the scheme described in Section 2.5, we get that the Hamiltonian densities (cf. (2.7))

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n}=-\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{Res}_{\partial} L(\partial)^{n}, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

are in involution with respect to both $\lambda$-brackets $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}$ of the bi-PVA $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S), \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, they satisfy the Lenard-Magri recurrence relation $\left\{\int h_{n}, w\right\}_{0}=$ $\left\{\int h_{n+1}, w\right\}_{1}$ in the bi-PVA subalgebra $\mathcal{W}_{1} \subset \mathcal{K}$ generated by the coefficients of
$L(\partial)$, and they thus define an integrable hierarchy of (bi)Hamiltonian equations $(w \in \mathcal{W})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d w}{d t_{n}}=\left\{\int h_{n}, w\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}\left(=\left\{\int h_{n+1}, w\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}} \text { if } w \in \mathcal{W}_{1}\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

More generally, by Theorem 2.5, we have a bigger family of integrals of motion in involution $\left\{\int h_{n, B}\right\}$, parametrized by $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and all possible roots $B \in$ $\operatorname{Mat}_{\bar{r} \times \bar{r}} \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g}, f)\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$ of $L(\partial)$.

In Section 6 we will compute explicitly the matrix pseudodifferential operator $L_{1}(\partial)$. This will be used in two ways: to find an explicit formula for the generators of the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$, and to compute explicitly, in Section 7 , the hierarchies of bi-Hamiltonian equations for the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S)$, for various choices of the nilpotent element $f \in \mathfrak{g l}_{N}$ and the element $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$.

## 4.4. $L(\partial)$ exists.

Theorem 4.2. Let, as before, $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$ be the algebra of differential polynomials over $\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}$, and let $\mathcal{K}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$ be its field of fractions.
(a) The matrix differential operator $\rho(A(\partial))=\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+\rho(Q)$ in (2.6) is invertible in $\operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$.
(b) Let $S_{1}$ be as in (4.6), with its canonical factorization $S_{1}=I_{1} J_{1}$ defined by (4.7). The matrix $J_{1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+\rho(Q)\right)^{-1} I_{1} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$ has an expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+\rho(Q)\right)^{-1} I_{1}=(-1)^{p_{1}-1} \mathbb{1}_{r_{1}} \partial^{-p_{1}}+(-1)^{p_{1}} \bar{Q} \partial^{-p_{1}-1}+\ldots \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{Q}$ is a generic $r_{1} \times r_{1}$ matrix with entries in $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$.
(c) The generalized quasideterminant $L_{1}(\partial)=\left|\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+\rho(Q)\right|_{I_{1} J_{1}}$ exists, and it lies in the algebra $\operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$.
(d) Let $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$ be a non-zero element of $\operatorname{rank} \bar{r} \leq r_{1}$, and let $S=I J$ be its canonical factorization, with $I \in \operatorname{Mat}_{N \times \bar{r}} \mathbb{F}$ and $J \in \operatorname{Mat}_{\bar{r} \times N} \mathbb{F}$. Then $J\left(\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+\rho(Q)\right)^{-1} I$ is invertible in $\operatorname{Mat}_{\bar{r} \times \bar{r}} \mathcal{K}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$. In particular, the generalized quasideterminant $L(\partial)=\left|\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+\rho(Q)\right|_{I J}$ exists for every non-zero $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$, and it lies in $\operatorname{Mat}_{\bar{r} \times \bar{r}} \mathcal{K}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$.
Proof. The matrix differential operator $\rho(A(\partial))$ is of order one with leading coefficient $\mathbb{1}_{N}$. Hence it is invertible in the algebra $\operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$, and its inverse can be computed as geometric series expansion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(A(\partial))^{-1}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{\ell}\left(\partial^{-1} \circ \rho(Q)\right)^{\ell} \partial^{-1} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves part (a). Next, we prove part (b). By the definition (4.7) of the matrices $I_{1}$ and $J_{1}, J_{1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+\rho(Q)\right)^{-1} I_{1}$ is an $r_{1} \times r_{1}$-matrix with entry in row $i$ and column $j$ (with $i, j \in\left\{1, \ldots, r_{1}\right\}$ ) given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(J_{1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+\rho(Q)\right)^{-1} I_{1}\right)_{i j}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{\ell}\left(\partial^{-1} \circ \rho(Q) \ldots \partial^{-1} \circ \rho(Q) \partial^{-1}\right)_{\left(i p_{1}\right),(j 1)} \\
& =\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{\ell} \sum_{\substack{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right),\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right) \in \mathcal{J} \\
\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right)=\left(i p_{1}\right),\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right)=(j 1)}}^{\infty}, \\
& \quad \partial^{-1} \circ \rho\left(q_{\left.\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right),\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right)\right)} \partial^{-1} \circ \rho\left(q_{\left(i_{2} h_{2}\right),\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right)}\right) \ldots \partial^{-1} \circ \rho\left(q_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right),\left(i_{\ell-1} h_{\ell-1}\right)}\right) \partial^{-1} .\right. \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $x_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{i_{\alpha}}+1-2 h_{\alpha}\right) \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}, \alpha=0,1, \ldots, \ell$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1}-1\right)=-\frac{1}{2} d, \quad x_{\ell}=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1}-1\right)=\frac{1}{2} d . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition (3.2) of the map $\rho$, the summand in the RHS of (4.15) vanishes unless the indices $\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right),\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right) \in \mathcal{J}$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}-x_{0} \leq 1, \quad x_{2}-x_{1} \leq 1, \ldots, x_{\ell}-x_{\ell-1} \leq 1 \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by the definition (4.2) of $f$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { if } x_{\alpha}=x_{\alpha-1}+1, \quad \text { then } i_{\alpha}=i_{\alpha-1} \text { and } h_{\alpha}=h_{\alpha-1}-1 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, from (4.16) and (4.17) we get that necessarily $\ell \geq p_{1}-1$. Moreover, by (4.18) we also have that if $\ell=p_{1}-1$ then necessarily

$$
i_{0}=i_{1}=\cdots=i_{p_{1}-1} \text { and } h_{0}=p_{1}, h_{1}=p_{1}-1, \ldots, h_{p_{1}-1}=1
$$

and, in this case,

$$
\rho\left(q_{\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right),\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right)}\right)=\rho\left(q_{\left(i_{2} h_{2}\right),\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right)}\right)=\cdots=\rho\left(q_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right),\left(i_{\ell-1} h_{\ell-1}\right)}\right)=1
$$

This proves that the pseudodifferential operator $\left(J_{1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+\rho(Q)\right)^{-1} I_{1}\right)_{i j}$ has order $\leq-p_{1}$, and the coefficient of $\partial^{-p_{1}}$ is $(-1)^{p_{1}-1} \delta_{i j}$. In order to prove (b), we are left to prove that the coefficients $\bar{Q}_{i j}$ of $(-1)^{p_{1}} \partial^{-p_{1}-1}$ in $\left(J_{1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+\rho(Q)\right)^{-1} I_{1}\right)_{i j}$ form a generic matrix $\bar{Q}$ (according to Definition 2.10). By the above observations, the only contributions to $\bar{Q}_{i j}$ come from the term with $\ell=p_{1}$ in the RHS of (4.15):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{Q}_{i j}=\sum_{\substack{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{p_{1}} h_{p_{1}}\right) \in \mathcal{J} \\\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right)=\left(i p_{1}\right),\left(i_{p_{1}} h_{p_{1}}\right)=(j 1)}} \rho\left(q_{\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right),\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right)}\right) \rho\left(q_{\left(i_{2} h_{2}\right),\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right)}\right) \ldots \rho\left(q_{\left(i_{p_{1}} h_{p_{1}}\right),\left(i_{p_{1}-1} h_{p_{1}-1}\right)}\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are only two types of contributions to the RHS of (4.19):
Type 1. The terms with

$$
x_{\alpha}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\frac{1}{2} d+\alpha, \text { for } \alpha=0, \ldots, s \\
-\frac{1}{2} d+\alpha-1, \text { for } \alpha=s+1, \ldots, p_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some $s=0, \ldots, p_{1}-1$. In this case we have, by (4.16), (4.17) and (4.18),

$$
\left(i_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(i, p_{1}-\alpha\right), \text { for } \alpha=0, \ldots, s \\
\left(j, p_{1}+1-\alpha\right), \text { for } \alpha=s+1, \ldots, p_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

so that, by the definition (3.2) of the map $\rho$,

$$
\rho\left(q_{\left(i_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}\right),\left(i_{\alpha-1} h_{\alpha-1}\right)}\right)=1 \text { for } \alpha \neq s+1
$$

and

$$
\rho\left(q_{\left(i_{s+1} h_{s+1}\right),\left(i_{s} h_{s}\right)}\right)=q_{\left(j, p_{1}-s\right),\left(i, p_{1}-s\right)} \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}
$$

Type 2. The terms with

$$
x_{\alpha}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\frac{1}{2} d+\alpha, \text { for } \alpha=0, \ldots, s \\
-\frac{1}{2} d+\alpha-\frac{1}{2}, \text { for } \alpha=s+1, \ldots, t \\
-\frac{1}{2} d+\alpha-1, \text { for } \alpha=t+1, \ldots, p_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some $0 \leq s<t<p_{1}$. In this case we have, by (4.16), (4.17) and (4.18),

$$
\left(i_{\alpha}, h_{\alpha}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(i, p_{1}-\alpha\right), \text { for } \alpha=0, \ldots, s \\
(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h}+1+s-\alpha), \text { for } \alpha=s+1, \ldots, t \\
\left(j, p_{1}+1-\alpha\right), \text { for } \alpha=t+1, \ldots, p_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some $(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h}) \in \mathcal{J}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\tilde{i}}-2 \tilde{h}=-p_{1}+1+2 s \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by the definition (3.2) of the map $\rho$, we have

$$
\rho\left(q_{\left(i_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}\right),\left(i_{\alpha-1} h_{\alpha-1}\right)}\right)=1 \text { for } \alpha \notin\{s+1, t+1\},
$$

and

$$
\rho\left(q_{\left(i_{s+1} h_{s+1}\right),\left(i_{s} h_{s}\right)}\right)=q_{\left(i, p_{1}-s\right),(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h})}, \quad \rho\left(q_{\left(i_{t+1} h_{t+1}\right),\left(i_{t} h_{t}\right)}\right)=q_{\left(j, p_{1}-t\right),(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h}+s+1-t)} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{Q}_{i j}=\sum_{s=0}^{p_{1}-1} q_{\left(j, p_{1}-s\right),\left(i, p_{1}-s\right)}+\sum_{\substack{0 \leq s<t \leq p_{1} \\ \text { s.t. }}} \sum_{\substack{(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h}) \in \mathcal{J} \\(4.20) \text { holds }}} q_{\left(i, p_{1}-s\right),(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h})} q_{\left.\left(j, p_{1}-t\right), \tilde{i}, \tilde{h}+s+1-t\right)} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then observe that the matrix $\bar{Q}$ in (4.21) is generic since, for example, by letting all the variables in $\mathfrak{g}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ equal to 0 and all the variables $q_{(j k),(i h)}$ with $h \neq 1$ equal to 0 , we are left with the matrix $\left(q_{(j 1),(i 1)}\right)_{i, j=1}^{r_{1}}$, which is clearly generic.

Part (c) follows from part (b) by taking geometric series expansion of $L_{1}(\partial)=$ $\left(J_{1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+\rho(Q)\right)^{-1} I_{1}\right)^{-1}$ using (4.13), and part (d) is an immediate consequence of part (b) and Propositions 2.16 and 4.1(b).
4.5. $L_{1}(\partial)$ has coefficients with entries in $\mathcal{W}$. The following key result is the only one which requires quite involved computations.

Theorem 4.3. Consider the matrix pseudodifferential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}^{-1}(\partial)=J_{1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+\rho(Q)\right)^{-1} I_{1} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right) \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{1}, J_{1}$ are as in (4.7) and $\rho$ is defined by (3.2). Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left\{a_{\lambda} L_{1}^{-1}(z)_{i j}\right\}_{\epsilon}=0 \text { for every } i, j \in\left\{1, \ldots, r_{1}\right\} \text { and } a \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq \frac{1}{2}} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, the entries of the coefficients of $L_{1}^{-1}(\partial)$ lie in the differential algebra $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f) \subset \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$ (defined in (3.3)).

Proof. As in (4.15), we can expand $L_{1}^{-1}(\partial)$ in geometric series. Recalling that $f_{(j k),(i h)}=\delta_{(j k),(i, h+1)}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{1}^{-1}(\partial)_{i j}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{\ell}\left(\left(\partial^{-1} \circ\left(f+\pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} Q\right)\right)^{\ell} \partial^{-1}\right)_{\left(i p_{1}\right),(j 1)} \\
& =\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{\ell} \sum_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right),\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right) \in \mathcal{J}} \delta_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right)\left(i p_{1}\right)} \delta_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right)(j 1)}  \tag{4.24}\\
& \quad \partial^{-1} \circ\left(\delta_{\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right),\left(i_{0}, h_{0}-1\right)}+\pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right),\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right)}\right) \\
& \quad \partial^{-1} \circ\left(\delta_{\left(i_{2} h_{2}\right),\left(i_{1}, h_{1}-1\right)}+\pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{2} h_{2}\right),\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right)}\right) \ldots \\
& \quad \ldots \partial^{-1} \circ\left(\delta_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right),\left(i_{\ell-1}, h_{\ell-1}-1\right)}+\pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right),\left(i_{\ell-1} h_{\ell-1}\right)}\right) \partial^{-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

By grouping the terms with the same number of factors $\pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q$, we can rewrite equation (4.24) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}^{-1}(\partial)_{i j}=\delta_{i j}(-1)^{p_{1}-1} \partial^{-p_{1}}+\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} X_{s ; i j}(\partial), \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
X_{s ; i j}(\partial)=\sum_{n_{0}, n_{1}, \ldots, n_{s}=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n_{0}+n_{1}+\cdots+n_{s}+s} \sum_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right),\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{s} h_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{J}} \delta_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right)\left(i p_{1}\right)} \delta_{\left(i_{s}, h_{s}-n_{s}\right)(j 1)} \\
\partial^{-n_{0}-1} \circ \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right),\left(i_{0}, h_{0}-n_{0}\right)} \partial^{-n_{1}-1} \circ \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{2} h_{2}\right),\left(i_{1}, h_{1}-n_{1}\right)} \cdots \\
\ldots \partial^{-n_{s-1}-1} \circ \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{s} h_{s}\right),\left(i_{s-1}, h_{s-1}-n_{s-1}\right)} \partial^{-n_{s}-1} \\
=\sum_{n_{0}, n_{1}, \ldots, n_{s}=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n_{0}+n_{1}+\cdots+n_{s}+s} \sum_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right),\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{s} h_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{J}}^{s} \delta_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right)\left(i p_{1}\right)} \delta_{\left(i_{s}, h_{s}-n_{s}\right)(j 1)} \\
\prod_{r=1}^{s}\left(\partial^{-n_{r-1}-1} \circ \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}\right) \partial^{-n_{s}-1} \tag{4.26}
\end{gather*}
$$

In order to prove (4.23), we need to compute $\rho\left\{a_{\lambda} X_{s ; i j}(z)\right\}_{\epsilon}$ for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq \frac{1}{2}}$. Recall that, for $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq \frac{1}{2}}$ and $q \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$
\rho\left\{a_{\lambda} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q\right\}_{\epsilon}=\rho\left\{a_{\lambda} q\right\}_{\epsilon}=\pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}[a, q]+(a \mid q) \lambda+(f \mid[a, q]) .
$$

Hence, by (4.26), the sesquilinearity axioms and the Leibniz rules, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left\{a_{\lambda} X_{s ; i j}(z)\right\}_{\epsilon}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{s}\left(Y_{s \ell ; i j}(z)+Z_{s, \ell ; i j}(z)\right) \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{s \ell ; i j}(z)=\sum_{n_{0}, \ldots, n_{s}=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n_{0}+\cdots+n_{s}+s} \sum_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right),\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{s} h_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{J}} \delta_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right)\left(i p_{1}\right)} \delta_{\left(i_{s}, h_{s}-n_{s}\right)(j 1)} \\
& \quad \prod_{r=1}^{\ell-1}\left((z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{r-1}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}\right)  \tag{4.28}\\
& \quad(z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{\ell-1}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\left[a, q_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right),\left(i_{\ell-1}, h_{\ell-1}-n_{\ell-1}\right)}\right] \\
& \quad \prod_{r=\ell+1}^{s}\left((z+\partial)^{-n_{r-1}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}\right)(z+\partial)^{-n_{s}-1}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{s \ell ; i j}(z)=\sum_{n_{0}, \ldots, n_{s}=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n_{0}+\cdots+n_{s}+s} \sum_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right),\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{s} h_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{J}} \delta_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right)\left(i p_{1}\right)} \delta_{\left(i_{s}, h_{s}-n_{s}\right)(j 1)} \\
& \quad \prod_{r=1}^{\ell-1}\left((z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{r-1}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}\right) \\
& \quad(z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{\ell-1}-1}\left(\left(a \mid q_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right),\left(i_{\ell-1}, h_{\ell-1}-n_{\ell-1}\right)}\right) \lambda+\left(f \mid\left[a, q_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right),\left(i_{\ell-1}, h_{\ell-1}-n_{\ell-1}\right)}\right]\right)\right) \\
& \quad(z+\partial)^{-n_{\ell}-1} \prod_{r=\ell+1}^{s}\left(\pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}(z+\partial)^{-n_{r}-1}\right) . \tag{4.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $a=q_{(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k}),(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h})} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq \frac{1}{2}}$. Then we have

$$
\left(a \mid q_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right),\left(i_{\ell-1}, h_{\ell-1}-n_{\ell-1}\right)}\right)=\delta_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right),(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h})} \delta_{\left(i_{\ell-1} h_{\ell-1}\right),\left(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k}+n_{\ell-1}\right)}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(f \mid\left[a, q_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right),\left(i_{\ell-1}, h_{\ell-1}-n_{\ell-1}\right)}\right]\right) & =\delta_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right),(\tilde{i} \tilde{h})} \delta_{\left(i_{\ell-1} h_{\ell-1}\right),\left(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k}+n_{\ell-1}+1\right)} \\
& -\delta_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right),(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h}-1)} \delta_{\left(i_{\ell-1} h_{\ell-1}\right),\left(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k}+n_{\ell-1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, (4.29) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{s \ell ; i j}(z)=\sum_{n_{0}, \ldots, n_{s}=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n_{0}+\cdots+n_{s}+s} \sum_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right),\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{s} h_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{J}} \delta_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right)\left(i p_{1}\right)} \delta_{\left(i_{s}, h_{s}-n_{s}\right)(j 1)} \\
& \quad \prod_{r=1}^{\ell-1}\left((z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{r-1}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}\right)(z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{\ell-1}-1} \\
& \left(\delta_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right),(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h})} \delta_{\left(i_{\ell-1} h_{\ell-1}\right),\left(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k}+n_{\ell-1}\right)} \lambda+\delta_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right),(\tilde{i} \tilde{h}) \delta_{\left(i_{\ell-1} h_{\ell-1}\right),\left(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k}+n_{\ell-1}+1\right)}} \quad-\delta_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right),(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h}-1)} \delta_{\left(i_{\ell-1} h_{\ell-1}\right),\left(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k}+n_{\ell-1}\right)}\right)(z+\partial)^{-n_{\ell}-1}  \tag{4.30}\\
& \quad \prod_{r=\ell+1}^{s}\left(\pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}(z+\partial)^{-n_{r}-1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The RHS of (4.30) is sum of three terms, according to the three terms in the middle parenthesis. We then make the following change of variables: we replace $n_{\ell-1}+1$ by $n_{\ell-1}$ in the second summand, and $h_{\ell}+1$ by $h_{\ell}$ and $n_{\ell}+1$ by $n_{\ell}$ in the third summand. As a result we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z_{s \ell ; i j}(z)=\sum_{n_{0}, \ldots, n_{s}=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n_{0}+\cdots+n_{s}+s} \sum_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right),\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{s} h_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{J}} \delta_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right)\left(i p_{1}\right)} \delta_{\left(i_{s}, h_{s}-n_{s}\right)(j 1)} \delta_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right),(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h})} \\
\delta_{\left(i_{\ell-1} h_{\ell-1}\right),\left(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k}+n_{\ell-1}\right)} \prod_{r=1}^{\ell-1}\left((z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{r-1}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}\right) \\
(z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{\ell-1}-1}\left(\lambda-\left(1-\delta_{n_{\ell-1}, 0}\right)(z+\lambda+\partial)+\left(1-\delta_{n_{\ell}, 0}\right)(z+\partial)\right) \\
(z+\partial)^{-n_{\ell}-1} \prod_{r=\ell+1}^{s}\left(\pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}(z+\partial)^{-n_{r}-1}\right) \tag{4.31}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\lambda-(z+\lambda+\partial)-(z+\partial)=0$, the RHS of (4.31) is the sum of the following two contributions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{\left(i_{\ell-1} h_{\ell-1}\right),(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k})} \prod_{r=1}^{\ell-1}\left((z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{r-1}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}\right) \\
& (z+\partial)^{-n_{\ell}-1} \prod_{r=\ell+1}^{s}\left(\pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}(z+\partial)^{-n_{r}-1}\right) \tag{4.32}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sum_{n_{0}, \cdots, n_{s}=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n_{0}+\cdots+\cdots+n_{s}+s} \sum_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right),\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{s} h_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{J}} \delta_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right)\left(i p_{1}\right)} \delta_{\left(i_{s}, h_{s}-n_{s}\right)(j 1)} \delta_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right),(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h})} \\
& \delta_{\left(i_{\ell-1} h_{\ell-1}\right),\left(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k}+n_{\ell-1}\right)} \prod_{r=1}^{\ell-1}\left((z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{r-1}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}\right) \\
& (z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{\ell-1}-1} \prod_{r=\ell+1}^{s}\left(\pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}(z+\partial)^{-n_{r}-1}\right) . \tag{4.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that, for $\ell=1$, (4.32) becomes 0 , since we get a factor $\delta_{(\tilde{j} \tilde{k}),\left(i p_{1}\right)}$, and $q_{\left(i p_{1}\right),(\tilde{i} \tilde{h})} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}$ for every $(\tilde{i} \tilde{h}) \in \mathcal{J}$, contrary to the assumption that $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq \frac{1}{2}}$. Similarly, for $\ell=s$, (4.33) becomes 0 , since we get a factor $\delta_{(\tilde{i n}),(j 1)}$, and $q_{(\tilde{j} \tilde{k}),(j 1)} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\leq 0}$ for every $(\tilde{i} \tilde{h}) \in \mathcal{J}$, contrary to the assumption that $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq \frac{1}{2}}$. For $2 \leq \ell \leq s$, we can rewrite (4.32) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\
n_{0}, \ldots, n_{s}=0}}^{\infty}(-1)^{n_{0}+\stackrel{\ell-1}{\cdots}+n_{s}+s} \sum_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right), \underset{\sim}{\ell+1},\left(i_{s} h_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{J}} \delta_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right)\left(i p_{1}\right)} \delta_{\left(i_{s}, h_{s}-n_{s}\right)(j 1)} \\
& \prod_{r=1}^{\ell-2}\left((z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{r-1}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}\right)  \tag{4.34}\\
& (z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{\ell-2}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k}),\left(i_{\ell-2}, h_{\ell-2}-n_{\ell-2}\right)} \delta_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right),(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h})} \\
& \prod_{r=\ell+1}^{s}\left((z+\partial)^{-n_{r-1}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}\right)(z+\partial)^{-n_{s}-1}
\end{align*}
$$

while, for $1 \leq \ell \leq s-1$, we can rewrite (4.33) as

$$
\begin{align*}
&- \sum_{\substack{\ell \\
n_{0}, \cdots, n_{s}=0}}^{\infty}(-1)^{n_{0}+\cdots+n_{s}+s} \sum_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{s} h_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{J}} \delta_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right)\left(i p_{1}\right)} \delta_{\left(i_{s}, h_{s}-n_{s}\right)(j 1)} \\
& \quad \prod_{r=1}^{\ell-1}\left((z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{r-1}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}\right)  \tag{4.35}\\
&(z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{\ell-1}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{\ell+1} h_{\ell+1}\right),(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h})^{\prime}\left(i_{\ell-1} h_{\ell-1}-n_{\ell-1}\right),(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k})} \\
& \prod_{r=\ell+2}^{s}\left((z+\partial)^{-n_{r-1}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}\right)(z+\partial)^{-n_{s}-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Summing (4.34) over all values of $\ell=2, \ldots, s$ we get, after a shift of indices

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\ell=2}^{s} \sum_{n_{0}, \ldots, n_{s-1}=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n_{0}+\cdots+n_{s-1}+s} \sum_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{s-1} h_{s-1}\right) \in \mathcal{J}} \delta_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right)\left(i p_{1}\right)} \delta_{\left(i_{s-1}, h_{s-1}-n_{s-1}\right)(j 1)} \\
\quad \prod_{r=1}^{\ell-2}\left((z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{r-1}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}\right) \\
\quad(z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{\ell-2}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k}),\left(i_{\ell-2}, h_{\ell-2}-n_{\ell-2}\right)} \delta_{\left(i_{\ell-1} h_{\ell-1}\right),(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h})} \\
\quad \prod_{r=\ell}^{s-1}\left((z+\partial)^{-n_{r-1}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}\right)(z+\partial)^{-n_{s-1}-1}, \tag{4.36}
\end{align*}
$$

and similarly, summing (4.35) over all values of $\ell=1, \ldots, s-1$ we get,

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sum_{\ell=1}^{s-1} \sum_{n_{0}, \ldots, n_{s-1}=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n_{0}+\cdots+n_{s-1}+s} \sum_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{s-1} h_{s-1}\right) \in \mathcal{J}}^{\ell-1} \sum_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right)\left(i p_{1}\right)} \delta_{\left(i_{s-1}, h_{s-1}-n_{s-1}\right)(j 1)}\left((z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{r-1}-1_{1}} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left.\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)\right)}\right. \\
& \quad(z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{\ell-1}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right),(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h})^{\prime} \delta_{\left(i_{\ell-1} h_{\ell-1}-n_{\ell-1}\right),(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k})}}^{\quad \prod_{r=\ell+1}^{s-1}\left((z+\partial)^{-n_{r-1}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}\right)(z+\partial)^{-n_{s-1}-1}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (4.36) and (4.37) we finally get, recalling (4.28),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\ell=1}^{s} Z_{s \ell ; i j}(z) \\
& =\sum_{\ell=1}^{s-1} \sum_{n_{0}, \ldots, n_{s-1}=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n_{0}+\cdots+n_{s-1}+s} \sum_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{s-1} h_{s-1}\right) \in \mathcal{J}} \delta_{\left(i_{0} h_{0}\right)\left(i p_{1}\right)} \delta_{\left(i_{s-1}, h_{s-1}-n_{s-1}\right)(j 1)} \\
& \prod_{r=1}^{\ell-1}\left((z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{r-1}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}\right) \\
& (z+\lambda+\partial)^{-n_{\ell-1}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\left[q_{(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k}),(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h})}, q_{\left(i_{\ell} h_{\ell}\right),\left(i_{\ell-1}, h_{\ell-1}-n_{\ell-1}\right)}\right] \\
& \prod_{r=\ell+1}^{s-1}\left((z+\partial)^{-n_{r-1}-1} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}\right)(z+\partial)^{-n_{s-1}-1} \\
& =-\sum_{\ell=1}^{s-1} Y_{s-1, \ell ; i j}(z) \text {. } \tag{4.38}
\end{align*}
$$

In conclusion, recalling (4.27), we get $\rho\left\{a_{\lambda} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} X_{s ; i j}(z)\right\}_{\epsilon}=0$, as claimed.
Remark 4.4. After submitting the paper, in trying to quantize the result of the present paper, we discovered a simpler, more conceptual proof of Theorem 4.3, which we present in Appendix A.
Corollary 4.5. (a) The matrix pseudodifferential operator $L_{1}(\partial)$ defined by (4.9) has coefficients with entries in the differential algebra $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$.
(b) The matrix pseudodifferential operator $L(\partial)$ defined by (4.10) has coefficients with entries in the field of fractions $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ of the differential algebra $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$.
Proof. By Theorem 4.2(b), $L_{1}^{-1}(\partial)$ has an expansion as in (4.13), and by Theorem 4.3 its coefficients have entries in the differential algebra $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$. Then $L_{1}(\partial)$ can be obtained by the geometric series expansion of the inverse of (4.13), and therefore its coefficients will still have entries in $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$. This proves part (a). By Proposition 2.16, $\bar{J} L_{1}^{-1}(\partial) \bar{I}$ is invertible and its inverse has coefficients with entries in the field of fractions of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$. On the other hand, by Proposition 4.1(b), $L(\partial)$ coincides with the inverse of $\bar{J} L_{1}^{-1}(\partial) \bar{I}$, proving (b).
4.6. $L_{1}(\partial)$ is of $\bar{S}$-Adler type for $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S)$.

Theorem 4.6. Let $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$, and let $\bar{S} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F}$ be the corresponding matrix via (4.5).
(a) The matrix pseudodifferential operator $L_{1}(\partial) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$ defined by (4.9) (cf. Corollary 4.5(a)) is of $\bar{S}$-Adler type with respect to the compatible $\lambda$-brackets $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\left\{\cdot \lambda_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}$ of the family of $P V A$ 's $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S)$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$.
(b) The matrix pseudodifferential operator $L(\partial) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{\bar{r} \times \bar{r}} \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{g}, f)\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$ defined by (4.10) (cf. Corollary 4.5(b)) is of bi-Adler type with respect to the compatible $\lambda$-brackets $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}$ of the family of PVA's $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S), \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$.
Proof. Since the matrix $S$ has constant entries, it follows by Theorem 4.3 (and the geometric series expansion) that the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1, \epsilon}^{-1}(\partial)=J_{1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+\rho(Q)+\epsilon S\right)^{-1} I_{1} \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

lies in $\operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$ for every $\epsilon$. Since the map $\rho: \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$ is a homomorphism of differential algebras, we can rewrite $L_{1, \epsilon}^{-1}(\partial)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1, \epsilon}^{-1}(\partial)=\rho\left(J_{1} A_{\epsilon S}^{-1}(\partial) I_{1}\right) \text { where } A_{\epsilon S}(\partial)=\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+Q+\epsilon S \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall from Example 2.2 that $A_{\epsilon S}(\partial)$ is of Adler type with respect to the $\lambda$-bracket $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{\epsilon}$ defined by (2.5). Hence, by Theorem 2.3, $A_{\epsilon S}^{-1}(\partial)$ is of Adler type with respect to the opposite $\lambda$-bracket $-\left\{\cdot \lambda^{\prime}\right\}_{\epsilon}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\left\{L_{1, \epsilon}^{-1}(z)_{i j_{\lambda}} L_{1, \epsilon}^{-1}(w)_{h k}\right\}_{\epsilon}^{\mathcal{W}}=-\left\{\rho\left(J_{1} A_{\epsilon S}^{-1}(z) I_{1}\right)_{i j_{\lambda}} \rho\left(J_{1} A_{\epsilon S}^{-1}(w) I_{1}\right)_{h k}\right\}_{\epsilon}^{\mathcal{W}} \\
&=-\rho\left\{\left(J_{1} A_{\epsilon S}^{-1}(z) I_{1}\right)_{i j_{\lambda}}\left(J_{1} A_{\epsilon S}^{-1}(w) I_{1}\right)_{h k}\right\}_{\epsilon} \\
&=-\rho\left\{A_{\epsilon S}^{-1}(z)_{\left(i p_{1}\right),(j 1)_{\lambda}} A_{\epsilon S}^{-1}(w)_{\left(h p_{1}\right),(k 1)}\right\}_{\epsilon} \\
&= \rho A_{\epsilon S}^{-1}(w+\lambda+\partial)_{\left(h p_{1}\right),(j 1) \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1}\left(\left(A_{\epsilon S}^{-1}\right)_{\left(i p_{1}\right),(k 1)}\right)^{*}(\lambda-z)} \quad-\rho A_{\epsilon S}^{-1}(z)_{\left(h p_{1}\right),(j 1) \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1} A_{\epsilon S}^{-1}(w)_{\left(i p_{1}\right),(k 1)}}^{=}  \tag{4.41}\\
&=\left(L_{1, \epsilon}^{-1}\right)_{h j}\left(w+\lambda+\partial \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1}\left(\left(L_{1, \epsilon}^{-1}\right)_{i k}\right)^{*}(\lambda-z)\right. \\
&-\left(L_{1, \epsilon}^{-1}\right)_{h j}(z) \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1}\left(L_{1, \epsilon}^{-1}\right)_{i k}(w) .
\end{align*}
$$

In the second equality we used Theorem 3.1(b) (and the above observation that $L_{1, \epsilon}^{-1}(\partial)$ has coefficients with entries in $\left.\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)\right)$, while in the third and the fifth equality we used the definition (4.7) of the matrices $I_{1}$ and $J_{1}$. It follows by (4.41) that $L_{1, \epsilon}^{-1}$ is of Adler type with respect to the negative of the $\lambda$-bracket of the PVA $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S)$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.3, its inverse

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1, \epsilon}(\partial)=\left(J_{1} \rho\left(A_{\epsilon S}\right)^{-1}(\partial) I_{1}\right)^{-1}=\left|\rho A_{\epsilon S}\right|_{I_{1} J_{1}}(\partial), \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

is of Adler type with respect to the $\lambda$-bracket $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{\epsilon}^{\mathcal{W}}$. Note that in (4.42) we can take map $\rho$ out of the generalized quasideterminant, since it is a differential algebra
homomorphism. If $S=I J \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$ and $\bar{S}=\bar{I} \bar{J} \in$ Mat $_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F}$ are as in Proposition 4.1, we then get, by Theorem 2.8, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1, \epsilon}(\partial)=\rho|A(\partial)+\epsilon S|_{I_{1} J_{1}}=\rho|A(\partial)|_{I_{1} J_{1}}+\epsilon \bar{S}=|\rho A(\partial)|_{I_{1} J_{1}}+\epsilon \bar{S}=L_{1}(\partial)+\epsilon \bar{S} \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $L_{1}(\partial)$ is of $\bar{S}$-Adler type with respect to the pencil of $\lambda$-brackets $\left\{\cdot{ }_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}_{\epsilon}^{\mathcal{W}}$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, proving (a). Furthermore, by the hereditary property (2.15) of generalized quasideterminants and Theorem 2.8 again, we also have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|L_{1, \epsilon}(\partial)\right|_{\bar{I} \bar{J}}=\left||\rho A(\partial)|_{I_{1} J_{1}}+\epsilon \bar{S}\right|_{\bar{I} \bar{J}}=\left||\rho A(\partial)|_{I_{1} J_{1}}\right|_{\bar{I} \bar{J}}+\epsilon \mathbb{1}_{r} \\
& \quad=|\rho A(\partial)|_{I J}+\epsilon \mathbb{1}_{\bar{r}}=L(\partial)+\epsilon \mathbb{1}_{\bar{r}} . \tag{4.44}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by (4.44) and Theorem 2.7, we conclude that $L(\partial)$ is of bi-Adler type with respect to the pencil of $\lambda$-brackets $\left\{\cdot \lambda_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}_{\epsilon}^{\mathcal{W}}, \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, proving the claim.

## 5. Explicit form of $L$

5.1. A choice of a cross section to a nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{g l}_{N}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}_{N}$ and let $f \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a non-zero nilpotent element, corresponding to a partition $p$. In terms of the basis and notation introduced in Section 4.1 we have the following result:

Proposition 5.1. For any partition $p$ we have $\mathfrak{g}=[f, \mathfrak{g}] \oplus U$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\operatorname{Span}\left\{E_{(j 1),\left(i, p_{i}-k\right)}, \text { where } 1 \leq i, j \leq r \text { and } 0 \leq k \leq \min \left\{p_{i}, p_{j}\right\}-1\right\} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Given an elementary matrix $E_{(j k),(i h)}$, we have $\left[f, E_{(j k),(i h)}\right]=E_{(j, k+1),(i h)}-$ $E_{(j k),(i, h-1)}$, which is depicted as


Hence, in the quotient space $\mathfrak{g} /[f, \mathfrak{g}]$, two arrows are equivalent if one is obtained from the other by a horizontal shift to the left or to the right. Moreover, we have $E_{(j k),(i 1)}=\left[f, E_{(j k-1),(i 1)}\right] \in[f, \mathfrak{g}]$, for $k>1$, and $E_{\left(j p_{j}\right),(i h)}=-\left[f, E_{\left(j p_{j}\right),(i, h+1)}\right] \in$ [ $f, \mathfrak{g}$ ], for $h<p_{i}$, namely, if an arrow has the tail at the center of the foremost right box, then it lies in $[f, \mathfrak{g}]$, and similarly, if an arrow has the head at the center of the foremost left box, then it lies in $[f, \mathfrak{g}]$ :


Hence, for the quotient space $\mathfrak{g} /[f, \mathfrak{g}]$, we can take as representatives the arrows with the head in the foremost right box (of the corresponding row), with the property that, when shifted to the left, they have the tail in the foremost left box:


These are the matrices $E_{(j 1),\left(i, p_{i}-\ell\right)}$ with $\ell$ satisfying $0 \leq \ell \leq \min \left\{p_{i}, p_{j}\right\}-1$. By definition, $U \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is the linear span of all these matrices. We have proved
that $U+[f, \mathfrak{g}]=\mathfrak{g}$. We are left to prove that this sum is a direct sum. Indeed, $\operatorname{dim} U \geq \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}-\operatorname{dim}[f, \mathfrak{g}]=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}^{f}$. Let $\widetilde{e} \in \mathfrak{g}$ be the operator of "shift" to the right:

$$
\widetilde{e}=\sum_{(i h) \in \mathcal{J} \mid h<p_{i}} E_{(i h),(i, h+1)}
$$

By an obvious symmetry argument, we have $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}^{\widetilde{e}}=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}^{f}$. On the other hand, we have the injective linear map $U \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\widetilde{e}}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{(j 1),\left(i, p_{i}-\ell\right)} \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} E_{(j, k+1),\left(i, p_{i}+k-\ell\right)}, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq \min \left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)-1 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $\operatorname{dim} U \leq \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}^{\widetilde{e}}=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}^{f}$. This proves that $\operatorname{dim} U=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}^{f}$, and therefore that $\mathfrak{g}=U \oplus[f, \mathfrak{g}]$.
5.2. Description of $\mathfrak{g}^{f}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}$. Recall from Section 3.2 that any subspace $U \subset \mathfrak{g}$ complementary to $[f, \mathfrak{g}]$ is dual to $\mathfrak{g}^{f}$. In particular, consider the space $U$ defined in Proposition 5.1 and its basis defined in (5.1). We can find the corresponding dual basis of $\mathfrak{g}^{f}$.

Proposition 5.2. The basis of $\mathfrak{g}^{f}$, dual to the basis $\left\{E_{(j 1),\left(i, p_{i}-k\right)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq r, 0 \leq\right.$ $\left.k \leq \min \left\{p_{i}, p_{j}\right\}-1\right\}$ of $U$, is (cf. (5.2)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i j ; k}:=\sum_{h=0}^{k} E_{\left(i, p_{i}+h-k\right),(j, h+1)}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq r, 0 \leq k \leq \min \left\{p_{i}, p_{j}\right\}-1 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is immediate to check that $\left[f, f_{i j ; k}\right]=0$ for every $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ and $0 \leq k \leq \min \left\{p_{1}, p_{j}\right\}-1$, and that $\operatorname{tr}\left(E_{(j 1),\left(i, p_{i}-k\right)} f_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime} ; k^{\prime}}\right)=\delta_{i i^{\prime}} \delta_{j j^{\prime}} \bar{\delta}_{k k^{\prime}}$.

It is useful to have an explicit description of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}$ :
Corollary 5.3. The space $\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}$ is spanned by the elements $f_{i j ; p_{i}-1}$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ such that $p_{i}=p_{j}$.
Proof. By equation (4.3), the ad $x$-eigenvalue of $f_{i j ; k}$ is $\delta=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{i}-p_{j}\right)-\left(p_{i}-k-1\right)$. Recalling that $k \leq \min \left\{p_{i}, p_{j}\right\}-1$, we get that $\delta=0$ if and only if $p_{i}=p_{j}$ and $k=p_{i}-1$.
Corollary 5.4. The element $S_{1}$ in (4.6) commutes with $\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}$.
Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[S_{1}, f_{i j ; k}\right]=\sum_{a=1}^{r_{1}} \sum_{h=0}^{k}\left[E_{(a 1),\left(a p_{1}\right)}, E_{\left(i, p_{i}+h-k\right),(j, h+1)}\right]} \\
& =\delta_{p_{i}, p_{1}} E_{(i 1),(j, k+1)}-\delta_{p_{j}, p_{1}} E_{\left(i, p_{i}-k\right),\left(j, p_{1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

To conclude, we observe that the RHS of the above equation is zero for $p_{i}=p_{j}$ and $k=p_{i}-1$.

By Theorem 3.3, the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ is, as a differential algebra, the algebra of differential polynomials in the corresponding set of generators,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i j ; k}:=w\left(f_{i j ; k}\right), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq r, 0 \leq k \leq \min \left\{p_{i}, p_{j}\right\}-1 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence of Corollary 5.4, we have
Corollary 5.5. For $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ such that $p_{i}=p_{j}$, the elements $w_{i j ; p_{i}-1}$ are central for the 1-st $\lambda$-bracket $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}$ of the family of $\mathcal{W}$-algebras $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, f, S_{1}\right), \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$.
Proof. It follows from [DSKV16, Eq.6.2].
5.3. Explicit form of $L(\partial)$. For $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i j}(\partial)=\sum_{k=0}^{\min \left\{p_{i}, p_{j}\right\}-1} w_{i j ; k}(-\partial)^{k} \in \mathcal{W}[\partial] . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $W(\partial)$ the $r \times r$ matrix differential operator with entries (5.5) (transposed):

$$
W(\partial)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{r} W_{i j}(\partial) E_{j i}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
W_{11}(\partial) & W_{21}(\partial) & \ldots & W_{r 1}(\partial)  \tag{5.6}\\
W_{12}(\partial) & W_{22}(\partial) & \ldots & W_{r 2}(\partial) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
W_{1 r}(\partial) & W_{2 r}(\partial) & \ldots & W_{r r}(\partial)
\end{array}\right)
$$

and by $(-\partial)^{p}$ the diagonal $r \times r$ matrix with diagonal entries $(-\partial)^{p_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, r$ :

$$
(-\partial)^{p}=\sum_{i=1}^{r}(-\partial)^{p_{i}} E_{i i}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
(-\partial)^{p_{1}} & & 0  \tag{5.7}\\
& \ddots & \\
0 & & (-\partial)^{p_{r}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Theorem 5.6. The matrix pseudodifferential operator $L_{1}(\partial) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathcal{W}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$ defined by (4.9) is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(\partial):=\left|\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+\rho(Q)\right|_{I_{1} J_{1}}=\left|-(-\partial)^{p}+W(\partial)\right|_{I_{r r_{1}} J_{r_{1} r}}, \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{r r_{1}} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F}$ and $J_{r_{1} r} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r} \mathbb{F}$ are as in (2.12) and (2.13) respectively.

Proof. According to Corollary 4.5, the matrix pseudodifferential $L_{1}(\partial)$ has coefficients with entries in $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$. Hence, by Theorem 3.3, $L_{1}(\partial)$ is unchanged if we apply first the map $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}^{f}}: \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{f}\right)$ and then the map $w: \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{f}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ to the entries of its coefficients:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(\partial)=w\left(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}^{f}} L_{1}(\partial)\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}^{f}}$ and $w$ are homomorphisms of differential algebras, they commute with taking generalized quasideterminants. Hence, (5.9) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(\partial)=\left|\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+f+w\left(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}^{f}} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} Q\right)\right|_{I_{1} J_{1}} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we used the definition (3.2) of the map $\rho: \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$. By the definition (5.4) of the generators $w_{i j ; k} \in \mathcal{W}$, and since the bases (5.3) of $\mathfrak{g}^{f}$ and (5.1) of $U$ are dual to each other, we immediately get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(\pi_{\mathfrak{g} f} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} Q\right)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\min \left\{p_{1}, p_{j}\right\}-1} w_{i j ; k} E_{(j 1),\left(i, p_{i}-k\right)} . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5.10) and (5.11), and recalling (4.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(\partial)=\left|\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{h=1}^{p_{1}-1} E_{(i, h+1),(i h)}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\min \left\{p_{1}, p_{j}\right\}-1} w_{i j ; k} E_{(j 1),\left(i, p_{i}-k\right)}\right|_{I_{1} J_{1}} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the proof of Theorem 4.3 we computed the entries of the inverse of the matrix $L_{1}(\partial)$, which are given by equations (4.25) and (4.26). According to equation (5.12), the same formulas hold if we replace, in the definition of $L_{1}(\partial)$, the matrix $\pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} Q$ by the matrix $w\left(\pi_{\mathfrak{g}^{f}} \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} Q\right)$, given by (5.11). This means replacing in the RHS of (4.26) the expression $\pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} q_{\left(i_{r} h_{r}\right),\left(i_{r-1}, h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}\right)}$ by $\delta_{h_{r-1}-n_{r-1}, 1} w_{i_{r} i_{r-1} ; p_{i_{r}}-h_{r}}$ if
$p_{i_{r}}-h_{r} \leq \min \left\{p_{i_{r}}, p_{i_{r-1}}\right\}-1$, and by 0 otherwise. Hence, we get, after some algebraic manipulations

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}^{-1}(\partial)_{i j}=-\delta_{i j}(-\partial)^{-p_{1}}+\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \bar{X}_{s ; i j}(\partial) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{X}_{s ; i j}(\partial)=-\sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s-1}=1}^{r} \sum_{k_{1}=0}^{\min \left\{p_{i_{1}}, p_{i}\right\}-1 \min \left\{p_{i_{2}}, p_{i_{1}}\right\}-1} \sum_{k_{2}=0}^{\min \left\{p_{j}, p_{i_{s-1}}\right\}-1} \cdots \sum_{k_{s}=0} \\
& (-\partial)^{-p_{1}} w_{i_{1} i ; k_{1}}(-\partial)^{k_{1}-p_{i_{1}}} w_{i_{2} i_{1} ; k_{2}}(-\partial)^{k_{2}-p_{i_{2}}} \ldots \\
& \ldots w_{i_{s-1} i_{s-2} ; k_{s-1}}(-\partial)^{k_{s-1}-p_{i_{s-1}}} w_{j i_{s-1} ; k_{s}}(-\partial)^{k_{s}-p_{1}}  \tag{5.14}\\
& =-\sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s-1}=1}^{r}(-\partial)^{-p_{1}} W_{i_{1} i}(\partial)(-\partial)^{-p_{i_{1}}} W_{i_{2} i_{1}}(\partial)(-\partial)^{-p_{i_{2}}} \ldots \\
& \ldots W_{i_{s-1} i_{s-2}}(\partial)(-\partial)^{-p_{i_{s-1}}} W_{j i_{s-1}}(\partial)(-\partial)^{-p_{1}} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, the RHS of (5.13), combined with (5.14), is exactly the (ij)entry of the matrix $J_{1}\left(-(-\partial)^{p}+W(\partial)\right)^{-1} I_{1}$, computed using the geometric series expansion. The claim follows.

We can write the matrix $W(\partial)$ in block form as $W(\partial)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}W_{1}(\partial) & W_{2}(\partial) \\ W_{3}(\partial) & W_{4}(\partial)\end{array}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{1}(\partial)=\left(W_{j i}(\partial)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r_{1}}, \quad W_{2}(\partial)=\left(W_{j i}(\partial)\right)_{1 \leq i \leq r_{1}<j \leq r} \\
& W_{3}(\partial)=\left(W_{j i}(\partial)\right)_{1 \leq j \leq r_{1}<i \leq r}, \quad W_{4}(\partial)=\left(W_{j i}(\partial)\right)_{r_{1}<i, j \leq r} \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by [DSKVnew, Prop.4.2] (cf. formula (2.14)), we can rewrite equation (5.8) as the following explicit formula for the operator $L_{1}(\partial)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(\partial)=-\mathbb{1}_{r_{1}}(-\partial)^{p_{1}}+W_{1}(\partial)-W_{2}(\partial)\left(-(-\partial)^{q}+W_{4}(\partial)\right)^{-1} W_{3}(\partial), \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q=\left(p_{r_{1}+1} \geq \cdots \geq p_{r}>0\right)$ is the partition of $N-r_{1} p_{1}$, obtained by removing from the partition $p$ all the maximal parts.

## 6. Summary: explicit generators and $\lambda$-brackets for the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra, and Explicit algorithm for the associated bi-Hamiltonian HIERARCHY

Let $f \in \mathfrak{g l}_{N}$ be a non-zero nilpotent element, and let $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$ be a non-zero element of maximal degree with respect to the Dynkin grading (3.1) of $\mathfrak{g l}_{N}$, associated to $f$. We have the corresponding pencil of Poisson vertex algebras $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, f, S\right), \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, defined in Section 3.1. We summarize below the main results of the paper.

First, as a differential algebra, the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, f\right) \subset \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$ is the algebra of differential polynomials on the generators $\left\{w_{i j ; k}\right\}$, parametrized by $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, $0 \leq k \leq \min \left\{p_{i}, p_{j}\right\}-1$. This set of generators is related to the choice of the subspace $U \subset \mathfrak{g}$ complementary to $[f, \mathfrak{g}]$ made in Section 5.1. Theorem 5.6 provides a method of computing explicitly all these generators $w_{i j ; k}$, which is obtained by combining equations (4.9) and (5.8) for the operator $L_{1}(\partial)$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{1}(\partial)=\left|-(-\partial)^{p} \mathbb{1}_{r}+W(\partial)\right|_{I_{r r_{1}} J_{r_{1} r}}  \tag{6.1}\\
& =\left|\partial \mathbb{1}_{N}+f+\pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} Q\right|_{I_{1} J_{1}} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $W(\partial)$ is the matrix (cf. (5.5) and (5.6))

$$
W(\partial)=\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\min \left\{p_{i}, p_{j}\right\}-1} w_{j i ; k}(-\partial)^{k}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}
$$

$(-\partial)^{p}$ is the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $(-\partial)^{p_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, r$, the matrices $I_{r r_{1}}$ and $J_{r_{1} r}$ are as in (2.12) and (2.13), and the matrices $I_{1}, J_{1}$ are as in (4.7). Equation (6.1) defines uniquely the generators $w_{i j ; k}$ as elements of the differential algebra $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$ if it is combined with the additional information that $w_{i j ; k}-f_{i j ; k}$ lies in the differential ideal $\left\langle U^{\perp}\right\rangle \subset \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$ generated by $U^{\perp}$ (cf. Theorem 3.3 and equations (5.3) and (5.4)).

Furthermore, we have a method for computing explicitly the 0 -th $\lambda$-bracket $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}$ between all the generators $w_{i j ; k}$ 's of the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra. This is provided by the Adler identity (2.3) for the operator $L_{1}(\partial) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathcal{W}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$ defined by the first equation in (6.1), namely

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{\left(L_{1}\right)_{i j}(z)_{\lambda}\left(L_{1}\right)_{h k}(w)\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}} & =\left(L_{1}\right)_{h j}(w+\lambda+\partial)_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1}\left(\left(L_{1}\right)_{i k}\right)^{*}(\lambda-z) \\
& -\left(L_{1}\right)_{h j}(z) \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1}\left(L_{1}\right)_{i k}(w) . \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (6.2) is an implicit formula for all the $\lambda$-brackets between the generators $w_{i j ; k}$. Similarly, we have an implicit formula for the 1 -st $\lambda$-bracket $\left\{\cdot \lambda^{\prime}\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}$, depending on the choice of the element $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$, obtained by the condition that the operator $L_{1}(\partial)+\epsilon \bar{S}$ is of Adler type with respect to the $\lambda$-bracket $\left\{\cdot \lambda_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}_{\epsilon}^{\mathcal{W}}=$ $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}+\epsilon\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}$, for every $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, where $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$ and $\bar{S} \in$ Mat $_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F}$ are related by (4.5):

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{\left(L_{1}\right)_{i j}(z)_{\lambda}\left(L_{1}\right)_{h k}(w)\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}} & =\bar{S}_{i k} \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda)^{-1}\left(\left(L_{1}\right)_{h j}(w+\lambda)-\left(L_{1}\right)_{h j}(z)\right) \\
& +\bar{S}_{h j} \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1}\left(\left(\left(L_{1}\right)_{i k}\right)^{*}(\lambda-z)-\left(L_{1}\right)_{i k}(w)\right) . \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we have the following algorithm to construct an integrable hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian equations for the bi-Poisson structure of the family $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, f, S\right)$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$ :

1. Let $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right)$, with $p_{1} \geq \cdots \geq p_{r} \geq 1$, be the partition of $N$ associated to the Jordan form of $f$. Let $r_{1} \leq r$ be the multiplicity of the largest part $p_{1}$. As a differential algebra, the affine $\mathcal{W}$-algebra is

$$
\mathcal{W}:=\mathcal{W}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, f\right) \simeq \mathbb{F}\left[w_{i j ; k}^{(n)} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq r, 0 \leq k \leq \min \left\{p_{i}, p_{j}\right\}-1, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}\right]
$$

the algebra of differential polynomials in the variables $w_{i j ; k}$.
2. Let $W_{j i}(\partial)=\sum_{k=0}^{\min \left\{p_{i}, p_{j}\right\}-1} w_{j i ; k}(-\partial)^{k} \in \mathcal{W}[\partial]$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, and let $W_{1}(\partial)=$ $\left(W_{j i}(\partial)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r_{1}}, W_{2}(\partial)=\left(W_{j i}(\partial)\right)_{1 \leq i \leq r_{1}<j \leq r}, W_{3}(\partial)=\left(W_{j i}(\partial)\right)_{1 \leq j \leq r_{1}<i \leq r}$, $\left.W_{4}(\partial)=\overline{( } W_{j i}(\partial)\right)_{r_{1}<i, j \leq r}$. Denote by $(-\partial)^{q}$ the $\left(r-r_{1}\right) \times\left(r-r_{1}\right)$ diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $(-\partial)^{p_{i}}, r_{1}<i \leq r$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(\partial)=-\mathbb{1}_{r_{1}}(-\partial)^{p_{1}}+W_{1}(\partial)-W_{2}(\partial)\left(-(-\partial)^{q}+W_{4}(\partial)\right)^{-1} W_{3}(\partial) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an $r_{1} \times r_{1}$-matrix pseudodifferential operator of $\bar{S}$-Adler type for every $\bar{S} \in$ Mat $_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F}$. More precisely, if $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$ and $\bar{S} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F}$ are related by (4.5), then $L_{1}(\partial)$ is of $\bar{S}$-Adler type with respect to the compatible $\lambda$-brackets of the family of PVAs $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, f, S\right), \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$.
3. Let $\bar{r} \leq r_{1}$ be the rank of $\bar{S}$, and let $\bar{S}=\bar{I} \bar{J}$ be a factorization of $\bar{S}$, with $\bar{I} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times \bar{r}} \mathbb{F}$ and $\bar{J} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{\bar{r} \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F}$. Then the generalized quasideterminant

$$
L(\partial)=\left|L_{1}(\partial)\right|_{\bar{I} \bar{J}}
$$

is an $r \times r$ matrix pseudodifferential operator (with entries of coefficients in the field of fractions $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{W}$ ) of bi-Adler type with respect to the bi-Poisson structure of the family $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, f, S\right), \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$.
4. The Hamiltonian densities

$$
h_{0, B}=0, \quad h_{n, B}=-\frac{K}{n} \operatorname{Res}_{\partial} \operatorname{tr} B(\partial)^{n}, \quad n \neq 0
$$

indexed by $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $B(\partial) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r \times r} \mathcal{K}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$ such that $B(\partial)^{K}=L(\partial), K \geq$ 1 , are in involution with respect to the $\lambda$-brackets of the family $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, f, S\right)$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$ :
$\left\{\int h_{m, B}, \int h_{n, C}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=\left\{\int h_{m, B}, \int h_{n, C}\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}=0, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, B, C$ roots of $L(\partial)$,
and they satisfy the generalized Lenard-Magri scheme on the bi-PVA subalgebra $\mathcal{W}_{1} \subset \mathcal{K}$ generated by the coefficients of $L(\partial)$ :
$\left\{\int h_{n, B}, w\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=\left\{\int h_{n+K, B}, w\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}, \quad$ for $w \in \mathcal{W}_{1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $B(\partial)^{K}=L(\partial)$.
Hence, we get the corresponding hierarchy of Hamiltonian equations

$$
\frac{d w}{d t_{n, B}}=\left\{\int h_{n, B}, w\right\}_{0}, \quad w \in \mathcal{W}
$$

(bi-Hamiltonian on $\mathcal{W}_{1}$ ), which is integrable, provided that the Hamiltonian functionals $\int h_{n, B}$ span an infinite-dimensional space.

## 7. Examples

In this section we show how to apply the methods described in the present paper by working out in all detail a few examples: the case of the principal nilpotent element (cf. Section 7.1), of a rectangular nilpotent element (cf. Section 7.2), of a short nilpotent element (cf. Section 7.3), of a minimal nilpotent element (cf. Section 7.4), and of a vector and matrix constrained nilpotent element (cf. Section 7.5). We find explicit expressions for the generators $w_{i j ; k}$ of the $\mathcal{W}$-algebras and their $\lambda$-brackets, and we describe the associated bi-Hamiltonian integrable hierarchy for some choice of the element $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$. In all cases we compare our methods and results with the the previous known formulas which appeared in literature.
7.1. Example 1: principal nilpotent $f$ and $N$-th KdV hierarchy. Consider the trivial partition $p=N$, corresponding to the principal nilpotent element $f^{\mathrm{pr}}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} E_{i+1, i} \in \mathfrak{g l}_{N}$. For this partition $r=r_{1}=1$. Hence, formula (6.4) gives (denoting $w_{11 ; k}=w_{k}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(\partial)=-(-\partial)^{N}+\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} w_{k}(-\partial)^{k} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the "generic" differential operator of order $N$ (which in [DSKVnew] we denoted, up to a sign, by $\left.L_{(N)}(\partial)\right)$, over the algebra of differential polynomials $\mathcal{W}=\mathbb{F}\left[w_{k}^{(n)} \mid k=\right.$ $\left.0, \ldots, N-1, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}\right]$.

The generators $w_{k}, k=0, \ldots, N-1$, can be computed explicitly, as elements of $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$, by equation (6.1). We get that

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{1}(\partial) & =\left|\mathbb{1}_{N} \partial+f+\pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} Q\right|_{1 N}=q_{N 1}-\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\partial+q_{11} & q_{21} & \ldots & q_{N-1,1}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \circ\left(\begin{array}{ccccl}
1 & \partial+q_{22} & q_{32} & \ldots & q_{N-1,2} \\
0 & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & q_{N-1, N-2} \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \partial+q_{N-1, N-1} \\
0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad-\left(\begin{array}{l}
q_{N 2} \\
\vdots \\
q_{N, N-1} \\
\partial+q_{N N}
\end{array}\right) \tag{7.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we used the usual formula for the quasideterminant of a matrix, cf. [DSKVnew, Prop.4.2]. We can expand the inverse matrix in the RHS of (7.2) in geometric series, to get the following more explicit equation for all the generators $w_{k}$ of the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, f^{\mathrm{pr}}\right) \subset \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right):$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -(-z)^{N}+\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} w_{k}(-z)^{k}=q_{N 1}+\sum_{s=1}^{N-1}(-1)^{s} \\
& \sum_{2 \leq h_{1}<\cdots<h_{s} \leq N}\left(\delta_{h_{1}-1,1}(z+\partial)+q_{h_{1}-1,1}\right)\left(\delta_{h_{2}-1, h_{1}}(z+\partial)+q_{h_{2}-1, h_{1}}\right) \ldots  \tag{7.3}\\
& \quad \ldots\left(\delta_{h_{s}-1, h_{s-1}}(z+\partial)+q_{h_{s}-1, h_{s-1}}\right)\left(\delta_{N, h_{s}} z+q_{N, h_{s}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For example, $w_{N-1}=\operatorname{tr} Q=q_{11}+\cdots+q_{N N}$. This formula for the generators of $\mathcal{W}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, f^{\mathrm{pr}}\right)$ agrees with the results in [MR15].

Furthermore, the compatible $\lambda$-brackets among the generators $w_{k}, k=0, \ldots, N-$ 1 , of the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, f^{\mathrm{pr}}\right)$ are obtained by the Adler identities (6.2) and (6.3). They are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{w_{i \lambda} w_{j}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=\sum_{n=0}^{N-i-1} \sum_{a=\max \{0, n-j\}}^{N+n-j}\left(\binom{n}{a}(-1)^{a} w_{n+i+1}(\lambda+\partial)^{a} w_{j+a-n}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{b=0}^{N-n-i-1}\binom{j+a}{a}\binom{i+n+b+1}{b}(-1)^{a+1} w_{j+a-n}(\lambda+\partial)^{a+b} w_{i+n+b+1}\right) \tag{7.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\left\{w_{i \lambda} w_{j}\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}=\sum_{n=0}^{N-i-j-1}\left(\binom{n+j}{j}(-\lambda)^{n}-\binom{n+i}{i}(\lambda+\partial)^{n}\right) w_{i+j+n+1}
$$

where, in the RHS of both formulas, we let $w_{N}=-1$. These formulas agree with the corresponding formulas in [DSKV15, Sec.2.4], after the change of variable $w_{i}=(-1)^{i} u_{-i-1}$, and up to the overall sign related to the different choice of sign in the definition of Adler type operators.

The corresponding integrable hierarchy is the $N$-th Gelfand-Dickey hierarchy [GD76]

$$
\frac{d L_{1}(\partial)}{d t_{k}}=\left[L_{1}(\partial)_{+}^{\frac{k}{N}}, L_{1}(\partial)\right], k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}
$$

It is easy to show that these equations with $k \notin N \mathbb{Z}_{+}$are linearly independent, see [DSKV15, Sec.3.2].
7.2. Example 2: rectangular nilpotent and matrix $n$-th KdV hierarchy. Consider the partition $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{1}\right)$ of $N$, consisting of $r_{1}$ equal parts of size $p_{1}$. It corresponds to the so called rectangular nilpotent element $f$. For this choice (5.16) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(\partial)=-\mathbb{1}_{r_{1}}(-\partial)^{p_{1}}+\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}-1} W_{k}(-\partial)^{k}, \quad W_{k}=\left(w_{j i ; k}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r_{1}} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathcal{W} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the "generic" $r_{1} \times r_{1}$ matrix differential operator of order $p_{1}$ (which, up to a sign, in [DSKVnew] we denoted by $L_{\left(p_{1} r_{1}\right)}(\partial)$, cf. [DSKVnew, Ex.3.5]).

The generators $w_{j i ; k}, 1 \leq i, j \leq r_{1}, 0 \leq k \leq p_{1}-1$, can be computed explicitly, as elements of $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$, by equation (6.1). We identify

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathbb{F} \simeq \operatorname{Mat}_{p_{1} \times p_{1}} \mathbb{F} \otimes \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F} \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by mapping $E_{(i h),(j k)} \mapsto E_{h k} \otimes E_{i j}$. Under this identification, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{1}_{N} \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{p_{1}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{r_{1}}, \quad f \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{p_{1}-1} E_{k+1, k} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{r_{1}}, \\
& \pi_{\leq \frac{1}{2}} Q \mapsto \sum_{i, j=1}^{r_{1}} \sum_{1 \leq h \leq k \leq p_{1}} q_{(j k),(i h)} E_{h k} \otimes E_{i j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, according to (6.1), we have, by the usual formulas for quasideterminants (cf. [DSKVnew, Prop.4.2]),

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{1}(\partial)=\left|\left(\mathbb{1}_{p_{1}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{r_{1}}\right) \partial+\sum_{k=1}^{p_{1}-1} E_{k+1, k} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{r_{1}}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{r_{1}} \sum_{1 \leq h \leq k \leq p_{1}} q_{(j k),(i h)} E_{h k} \otimes E_{i j}\right|_{I_{1} J_{1}} \\
& =\sum_{i, j=1}^{r_{1}} q_{\left(j p_{1}\right),(i 1)} E_{i j}-\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\sum_{i, j=1}^{r_{1}}\left(\delta_{i j} \partial+q_{(j 1),(i 1)}\right. & q_{(j 2),(i 1)} & \ldots & \left.q_{\left(j, p_{1}-1\right),(i 1)}\right) \otimes E_{i j}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \circ\left(\mathbb{1}_{p_{1}-1} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{r_{1}}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{r_{1}}\left(\begin{array}{cccl}
0 & \delta_{i j} \partial+q_{(j 2),(i 2)} & \ldots & q_{\left(j, p_{1}-1\right),(i 2)} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \delta_{i j} \partial+q_{\left(j, p_{1}-1\right),\left(i, p_{1}-1\right)} \\
0 & \ldots & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right) \otimes E_{i j}\right)^{-1} \\
& \circ\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{r_{1}}\left(\begin{array}{l}
q_{\left(j p_{1}\right),(i 2)} \\
\vdots \\
q_{\left(j p_{1}\right),\left(i, p_{1}-1\right)} \\
\delta_{i j} \partial+q_{\left(j p_{1}\right),\left(i p_{1}\right)}
\end{array}\right) \otimes E_{i j}\right) . \tag{7.7}
\end{align*}
$$

As we did in Section 7.1, we expand the inverse matrix in the RHS of (7.7) in geometric series, to get a more explicit equation for all the generators $w_{j i ; k}, 1 \leq$ $i, j \leq r_{1}, 0 \leq k \leq p_{1}-1$, of the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, f^{\text {rect }}\right) \subset \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$. Equating the (ij)-entry of the RHS's of equations (7.5) and (7.7), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& -(-z)^{p_{1}} \delta_{i j}+\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}-1} w_{j i ; k}(-z)^{k}=q_{\left(j p_{1}\right),(i 1)}+\sum_{s=1}^{p_{1}-1}(-1)^{s} \sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}=1}^{r_{1}} \sum_{2 \leq h_{1}<\cdots<h_{s} \leq p_{1}} \\
& \left(\delta_{i_{1}, i} \delta_{h_{1}-1,1}(z+\partial)+q_{\left(i_{1}, h_{1}-1\right),(i 1)}\right)\left(\delta_{i_{2}, i_{1}} \delta_{h_{2}-1, h_{1}}(z+\partial)+q_{\left(i_{2}, h_{2}-1\right),\left(i_{1} h_{1}\right)}\right) \ldots \\
& \ldots\left(\delta_{i_{s}, i_{s-1}} \delta_{h_{s}-1, h_{s-1}}(z+\partial)+q_{\left(i_{s}, h_{s}-1\right),\left(i_{s-1}, h_{s-1}\right)}\right)\left(\delta_{i_{s}, j} \delta_{p_{1}, h_{s}} z+q_{\left(j p_{1}\right),\left(i_{s} h_{s}\right)}\right) . \tag{7.8}
\end{align*}
$$

For example, $w_{j i ; p_{1}-1}=q_{(j 1),(i 1)}+\cdots+q_{\left(j p_{1}\right),\left(i p_{1}\right)}$.
Furthermore, we can compute the compatible $\lambda$-brackets between the generators $w_{j i ; k}, 1 \leq i, j \leq r_{1}, k=0, \ldots, p_{1}-1$, of the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, f^{\mathrm{pr}}\right)$. By (7.5), we have

$$
\left\{w_{\beta \alpha ; h_{\lambda}} w_{\delta \gamma ; k}\right\}_{\epsilon}^{\mathcal{W}}=\operatorname{Res}_{z} \operatorname{Res}_{w}(-1)^{h+k} z^{-h-1} w^{-k-1}\left\{\left(L_{1}\right)_{\alpha \beta}(z)_{\lambda}\left(L_{1}\right)_{\gamma \delta}(w)\right\}_{\epsilon}^{\mathcal{W}} .
$$

Hence, by the Adler identities (6.2) we get the 0 -th $\lambda$-bracket $\left(1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \leq r_{1}\right.$, $\left.0 \leq h, k \leq p_{1}-1\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{w_{\beta \alpha ; h_{\lambda}} w_{\delta \gamma ; k}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=\sum_{n=0}^{p_{1}-h-1} \sum_{a=\max \{0, n-k\}}^{p_{1}+n-k}\left(\binom{n}{a}(-1)^{a} w_{\beta \gamma ; h+n+1}(\lambda+\partial)^{a} w_{\delta \alpha ; k+a-n}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{b=0}^{p_{1}-n-h-1}\binom{h+n+b+1}{b}\binom{k+a}{a}(-1)^{a+1} w_{\beta \gamma ; k+a-n}(\lambda+\partial)^{a+b} w_{\delta \alpha ; h+n+b+1}\right), \tag{7.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where, in the RHS, we let $w_{j i ; p_{1}}=-\delta_{i, j}$. To compute the 1 -st Poisson structure of the family of PVAs $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, f, S\right), \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, we fix an element $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$ or, equivalently, a matrix $\bar{S} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F}$. The corresponding 1 -st $\lambda$-bracket is obtained by (6.3):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{w_{\beta \alpha ; h} w_{\delta \gamma ; k}\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}=\sum_{n=0}^{p_{1}-h-k-1}\binom{n+k}{k} \bar{S}_{\alpha \delta} w_{\beta \gamma ; h+k+n+1}(-\lambda)^{n} \\
& -\sum_{n=0}^{p_{1}-h-k-1}\binom{n+h}{h}(\lambda+\partial)^{n} \bar{S}_{\gamma \beta} w_{\delta \alpha ; h+k+n+1} \tag{7.10}
\end{align*}
$$

These formulas agree with the corresponding formulas in [DSKV15, Sec.4.2] (but there we only considered the case $\bar{S}=\mathbb{1}_{r_{1}}$ ).

The integrable hierarchy corresponding to the Adler type operator (7.5) is the $r_{1} \times r_{1}$ matrix $p_{1}$-th KdV hierarchy. This is also a well known hierarchy, see e.g. [DSKV15] for the bi-Poisson structure and the bi-Hamiltonian equations, similar to the scalar case $r_{1}=1$, discussed in the previous section.

However, for $r_{1}>1$ there are more possibilities for constructing bi-Adler type operators, choosing different $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$ : if $\bar{S} \in$ Mat $_{r_{1} \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F}$ is the matrix corresponding to $S$ via (4.5) and $\bar{S}=\bar{I} \bar{J}$ is its canonical factorization, with $\bar{I} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r_{1} \times \bar{r}} \mathbb{F}$ and $\bar{J} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{\bar{r} \times r_{1}} \mathbb{F}(\bar{r}$ is the rank of $\bar{S})$, then $L(\partial)=\left|L_{1}(\partial)\right|_{\bar{I} \bar{J}}$ is the operator of bi-Adler type with respect to the compatible $\lambda$-brackets of the family of PVAs $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, f, S\right), \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$. If $\bar{S}$ is non-degenerate (i.e. $\left.\bar{r}=r_{1}\right)$, then $L(\partial)=S^{-1} L_{1}(\partial)$ (up to conjugation by a constant non-degenerate matrix), while if $\bar{S}$ is degenerate, then $L(\partial)$ is a non-trivial generalized quasideterminant.
7.3. Example 3: short nilpotent $f$. The short nilpotent element $f$ in $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}_{N}$, with even $N$, is associated to the partition $p=(2, \ldots, 2)$, consisting of $r=\frac{N}{2}$ parts equal to 2 . So, it is the special case of Example 2 from Section 7.2 when $p_{1}=2$. In this case, the Adler type operator $L_{1}(\partial) \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r \times r} \mathcal{W}[\partial]$ in (5.16) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(\partial)=-\mathbb{1}_{r} \partial^{2}-W_{1} \partial+W_{0}, \quad W_{k}=\left(w_{j i ; k}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r \times r} \mathcal{W} \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (7.8) for the generators $w_{j i ; k}$ of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f), i, j=1, \ldots, r, k=0,1$, as elements of the differential algebra $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$ gives, in this case,

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{j i ; 1}=q_{(j 1),(i 1)}+q_{(j 2),(i 2)}, \\
& w_{j i ; 0}=q_{(j 2),(i 1)}-q_{(j 2),(i 2)}^{\prime}-\sum_{k=1}^{r} q_{(k 1),(i 1)} q_{(j 2),(k 2)} . \tag{7.12}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to compare these generators with the formulas for the generators of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ found in [DSKV14a, Th.4.2], we first introduce some notation. For $h, k=$ 1,2 , we define the maps $\bar{q}_{k h}: \mathfrak{g l}_{r} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g l}_{N}$ given by

$$
\bar{q}_{k h}\left(q_{j i}\right)=q_{(j k),(i h)}, \quad i, j=1, \ldots, r .
$$

We also denote $\overline{\mathbb{1}}=\bar{q}_{11}+\bar{q}_{22}$ and $\bar{h}=\bar{q}_{11}-\bar{q}_{22}$. With this notation, we have

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{-1}=\bar{q}_{21}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{r}\right), \quad \mathfrak{g}_{1}=\bar{q}_{12}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{r}\right), \quad \mathfrak{g}_{0}^{f}=\overline{\mathbb{1}}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{r}\right), \quad\left[e, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\right]=\left[f, \mathfrak{g}_{1}\right]=\bar{h}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{r}\right) .
$$

Recall that, in the present paper, we consider the subspace $U \subset \mathfrak{g}$ complementary to $[f, \mathfrak{g}]$ as in (5.1). We have the corresponding direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=$ $\mathfrak{g}^{f} \oplus U^{\perp}$, where

$$
\mathfrak{g}^{f}=\bar{q}_{21}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{r}\right) \oplus \overline{\mathbb{1}}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{r}\right) \text { and } U^{\perp}=\bar{q}_{12}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{r}\right) \oplus \bar{q}_{22}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{r}\right) .
$$

The corresponding quotient map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{f}$ induces the differential algebra homomorphism $\pi: \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{f}\right)$ which, by Theorem 3.3, restricts to an isomorphism $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{f}\right)$, and we denote by $w: \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{f}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ the inverse map. It will be convenient to denote $w_{1}=w \circ \overline{\mathbb{1}}$ and $w_{0}=w \circ \bar{q}_{21}: \mathfrak{g l}_{r} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$, so that

$$
w_{k}\left(q_{j i}\right)=w_{j i ; k} \text { for every } i, j=1, \ldots, r, \quad k=0,1
$$

On the other hand, in [DSKV14a], as a complementary subspace to $[f, \mathfrak{g}]$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ we chose $\mathfrak{g}^{e}$, its orthocomplement being $[e, \mathfrak{g}]$. We have the corresponding vector space decompositions

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}^{f} \oplus[e, \mathfrak{g}] \text { and }[e, \mathfrak{g}]=\mathfrak{g}_{1} \oplus\left[e, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\right]=\bar{q}_{12}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{r}\right) \oplus \bar{h}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{r}\right) .
$$

We denote by $\sharp$ the corresponding quotient map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{f}$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp \circ \bar{q}_{21}=\bar{q}_{21}, \quad \sharp \circ \bar{q}_{11}=\sharp \circ \bar{q}_{22}=\frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbb{1}}, \quad \sharp \circ \bar{q}_{12}=0 . \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again by Theorem 3.3, the corresponding homomorphism of differential algebras $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{f}\right)$ restricts to an isomorphism $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{f}\right)$, and we denote by $\psi: \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{f}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$ the inverse map.

In the present paper we consider the generators $w_{1}(a)=w \overline{\mathbb{1}}(a)$ and $w_{0}(a)=$ $w \bar{q}_{21}(a), a \in \mathfrak{g l}_{r}$, while in [DSKV14a] we considered the alternative set of generators $\psi_{1}(a)=\psi(\overline{\mathbb{1}}(a))$ and $\psi_{0}=\psi\left(\bar{q}_{21}(a)\right), a \in \mathfrak{g l}_{r}$. Formulas (7.12) for the generators $w_{j i ; k}$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}(a)=\overline{\mathbb{1}}(a), \quad w_{0}(a)=\bar{q}_{21}(a)-\bar{q}_{22}(a)^{\prime}-\sum_{h, k=1}^{r} \bar{q}_{11}\left(E_{k h} a\right) \bar{q}_{22}\left(E_{h k}\right) \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the maps $\sharp$ and $\psi$ to both equations in (7.14), and using (7.13), we find the relation between these generators and those in [DSKV14a]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{1}(a)=\psi_{1}(a)=\overline{\mathbb{1}}(a), \\
& w_{0}(a)=\psi_{0}(a)-\frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbb{1}}(a)^{\prime}-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{h, k=1}^{r} \overline{\mathbb{1}}\left(E_{k h} a\right) \overline{\mathbb{1}}\left(E_{h k}\right) . \tag{7.15}
\end{align*}
$$

It is now straightforward to check that equations (7.14) and (7.15) agree with [DSKV14a, Eq.(4.4)].

We can also compute the $\lambda$-brackets between the generators (7.14). Equation (7.9) gives $\left(a, b \in \mathfrak{g l}_{r}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{w_{1}(a)_{\lambda} w_{1}(b)\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=w_{1}([a, b])+2 \operatorname{tr}(a b) \lambda, \\
& \left\{w_{1}(a)_{\lambda} w_{0}(b)\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=w_{0}([a, b])-w_{1}(a b) \lambda-\operatorname{tr}(a b) \lambda^{2}, \\
& \left\{w_{0}(a)_{\lambda} w_{0}(b)\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{r}\left(w_{1}\left(a E_{i j}\right) w_{0}\left(b E_{j i}\right)-w_{0}\left(a E_{i j}\right) w_{1}\left(b E_{j i}\right)\right.  \tag{7.16}\\
& \left.\quad+w_{1}\left(a E_{i j}\right)(\lambda+\partial) w_{1}\left(b E_{j i}\right)\right)+(\lambda+\partial) w_{0}(b a)+w_{0}(a b) \lambda \\
& \quad+(\lambda+\partial)^{2} w_{1}(b a)-w_{1}(a b) \lambda^{2}-\operatorname{tr}(a b) \lambda^{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

It is straightforward to check that equations (7.16) agree with the analogous equations in [DSKV14a, Thm.4.4], if we take into account formula (7.15) for the change of generators. Note that the coefficient 2 in first equation of (7.16) comes from the fact that $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathfrak{g l}_{N}}(\overline{\mathbb{1}}(a) \overline{\mathbb{1}}(b))=2 \operatorname{tr}_{\mathfrak{g l}_{r}}(a b)$. Similarly, equation (7.10) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{w_{1}(a)_{\lambda} w_{1}(b)\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}=0 \\
& \left\{w_{1}(a)_{\lambda} w_{0}(b)\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}=\operatorname{tr}(\bar{S}[a, b])  \tag{7.17}\\
& \left\{w_{0}(a)_{\lambda} w_{0}(b)\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}=w_{1}(a \bar{S} b-b \bar{S} a)+\operatorname{tr}(\bar{S}\{a, b\}) \lambda
\end{align*}
$$

where $\{a, b\}=a b+b a$ is the anticommutator in $\mathfrak{g l}_{r}$. Again, one can check that equations (7.17) agree with the analogous equations in [DSKV14a, Thm.4.4].
7.4. Example 4: minimal nilpotent $f$. The minimal nilpotent element $f$ in $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g l}_{N}$ is associated to the partition $p=(2,1, \ldots, 1)$. In this case, $L_{1}(\partial)$ is the following scalar pseudodifferential operator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(\partial)=-\partial^{2}-w_{11 ; 1} \partial+w_{11 ; 0}-w_{+1}\left(\mathbb{1}_{N-2} \partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}, \tag{7.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{+1} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
w_{21 ; 0} & \ldots & w_{r 1 ; 0}
\end{array}\right) \\
W_{++} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
w_{22 ; 0} & \ldots & w_{r 2 ; 0} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
w_{2 r ; 0} & \ldots & w_{r r ; 0}
\end{array}\right), \quad w_{1+}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
w_{12 ; 0} \\
\vdots \\
w_{1 r ; 0}
\end{array}\right) . \tag{7.19}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to find a formula for the generators of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f)$, we need to compute $L_{1}(\partial)$ using the definition (4.9) and equate the result to (7.18). For our choice of $f,(4.9)$ becomes

$$
L_{1}(\partial)=\left|\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial+q_{(11),(11)} & q_{(12),(11)} & q_{+(11)}  \tag{7.20}\\
1 & \partial+q_{(12),(12)} & q_{+(12)} \\
q_{(11)+} & q_{(12)+} & \mathbb{1}_{N-2} \partial+Q_{++}
\end{array}\right)\right|_{12}
$$

where $(k=1,2)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{+(1 k)}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
q_{(21),(1 k)} & \cdots & q_{(r 1),(1 k)}
\end{array}\right), \\
& Q_{++}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
q_{(21),(21)} & \cdots & q_{(r 1),(21)} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
q_{(21),(r 1)} & \cdots & q_{(r 1),(r 1)}
\end{array}\right), \quad q_{(1 k)+}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
q_{(1 k),(21)} \\
\vdots \\
q_{(1 k),(r 1)}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We can compute the quasideterminant (7.20) by the usual formula, see [DSKVnew, Prop.4.2]. As a result we get, after a straightforward computation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{1}(\partial)=q_{(12),(11)}-q_{+(11)}\left(\partial+Q_{++}\right)^{-1} \circ q_{(12)+}-\left(\partial+q_{(11),(11)}\right. \\
& \left.-q_{+(11)}\left(\partial+Q_{++}\right)^{-1} \circ q_{(11)+}\right) \circ\left(1-q_{+(12)}\left(\partial+Q_{++}\right)^{-1} \circ q_{(11)+}\right)^{-1} \circ  \tag{7.21}\\
& \left(\partial+q_{(12),(12)}-q_{+(12)}\left(\partial+Q_{++}\right)^{-1} \circ q_{(12)+}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In order to find the explicit formula for the generators, we need to equate (7.18) and (7.21). In fact, to find generators, it suffices to find the first few terms in the expansions of the pseudodifferential operators (7.18) and (7.21) and equate them. From (7.18) we get
$L_{1}(\partial)=-\partial^{2}-w_{11 ; 1} \partial+w_{11 ; 0}-w_{+1} w_{1+} \partial^{-1}+\left(w_{+1} w_{1+}^{\prime}+w_{+1} W_{++} w_{1+}\right) \partial^{-2}+\ldots$.
Finding the expansion of (7.21) up to order $\partial^{-2}$, we find the expression of the generators. This is a rather long computation of which we omit the details. The answer is

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{11 ; 1} & =q_{(11),(11)}+q_{(12),(12)}+q_{+(12)} q_{(11)+} \\
w_{11 ; 0} & =q_{(12),(11)}+q_{+(11)} q_{(11)+}+q_{+(12)} q_{(12)+}-q_{(12),(12)}^{\prime}-q_{(11),(11)} q_{(12),(12)} \\
& -w_{11 ; 1} q_{+(12)} q_{(11)+}+q_{+(12)} Q_{++} q_{(11)+}-q_{+(12)}^{\prime} q_{(11)+} \\
w_{+1} & =q_{+(11)}+q_{+(12)} Q_{++}-q_{+(12)}^{\prime}-q_{(11),(11)} q_{+(12)}-q_{+(12)} q_{(11)+} q_{+(12)} \\
w_{1+} & =q_{(12)+}+Q_{++} q_{(11)+}+q_{(11)+}^{\prime}-q_{(12),(12)} q_{(11)+}-q_{(11)+} q_{+(12)} q_{(11)+} \\
W_{++} & =Q_{++}-q_{(11)+} q_{+(12)} \tag{7.23}
\end{align*}
$$

As we did in the case of a short nilpotent in Section 7.3, we want to compare these generators with the analogous formulas in [DSKV14a], The ad $x$-eigenspace decomposition (3.1) is, in this case,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{g}_{-1}=\mathbb{F} q_{(12),(11)}, \mathfrak{g}_{-\frac{1}{2}}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{q_{(12),(j 1)}, q_{(j 1),(11)}\right\}_{j=2}^{N-2}, \\
& \mathfrak{g}_{0}=\mathbb{F} q_{(11),(11)} \oplus \mathbb{F} q_{(12),(12)} \oplus \operatorname{Span}\left\{q_{(j 1),(i 1)}\right\}_{i, j=1}^{N-2}, \\
& \mathfrak{g}_{+\frac{1}{2}}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{q_{(11),(j 1)}, q_{(j 1),(12)}\right\}_{j=2}^{N-2}, \mathfrak{g}_{+1}=\mathbb{F} q_{(11),(12)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\mathfrak{g}^{f}=\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathbb{F} \overline{\mathbb{1}} \oplus \operatorname{Span}\left\{q_{(j 1),(i 1)}\right\}_{i, j=1}^{N-2}
$$

where $\overline{\mathbb{1}}=q_{(11),(11)}+q_{(12),(12)}$. As complementary subspaces to $\mathfrak{g}^{f}$ we have two different choices:

$$
U^{\perp}=\mathbb{F} q_{(12),(12)} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{+\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{+1}
$$

that we used in the present paper, and

$$
[e, \mathfrak{g}]=\mathbb{F} q_{(12),(12)} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{+\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{+1}
$$

that we used in [DSKV14a]. Let us denote, as in Section 7.3, by $\pi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{f}$ the quotient map with kernel $U^{\perp}$, which induces a differential algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f) \simeq \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{f}\right)$, whose inverse is the map $w$, and by $\sharp: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{f}$ the quotient map with kernel $[e, \mathfrak{g}]$, which induces a different isomorphism $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}, f) \simeq \mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{f}\right)$, whose inverse we denote by $\psi$. Applying the maps $\sharp$ and $\psi$ to all formulas (7.23),
we express all the generators $w_{j i ; k}=w\left(f_{j i ; k}\right)$ (cf. (5.3)-(5.4)) in terms of the alternative generators $\psi_{j i ; k}:=\psi\left(f_{j i ; k}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{11 ; 1}=\psi(\overline{\mathbb{1}}), w_{11 ; 0}=\psi(f)-\frac{1}{2} \psi(\overline{\mathbb{1}})^{\prime}-\frac{1}{4} \psi(\overline{\mathbb{1}})^{2}  \tag{7.24}\\
& w_{+1}=\psi\left(q_{+(11)}\right), w_{1+}=\psi\left(q_{(12)+}\right), W_{++}=\psi\left(Q_{++}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

It is now straightforward to check that equations (7.23) and (7.24) agree with [DSKV14a, Thm.3.2].

We can also compute the 0 -th and 1 -st $\lambda$-brackets $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\left\{\cdot{ }_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}$ between generators, either by using the explifit formulas (7.23) of the generators, or by combining the expression (7.18) of $L_{1}(\partial)$ with the Adler identity (6.2) and the bi-Adler identity (6.3) respectively. This is a long computation that we are going to make, in all detail, in the next Section in the more general case of a "vector constrained" nilpotent element $f$. We here report only the resulting formulas for the two PVA structures:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{w_{11 ; 1_{\lambda}} w_{11 ; 1}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=2 \lambda, \\
& \left\{w_{11 ; 1_{\lambda}} w_{11 ; 0}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=-w_{11 ; 1} \lambda-\lambda^{2},\left\{w_{11 ; 0} w_{11 ; 1}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=-(\lambda+\partial) w_{11 ; 1}+\lambda^{2}, \\
& \left\{w_{11 ; 0_{\lambda}} w_{11 ; 0}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=(\partial+2 \lambda) w_{11 ; 0}+w_{11 ; 1}(\lambda+\partial) w_{11 ; 1}+(\partial+2 \lambda) \partial w_{11 ; 1}-\lambda^{3}, \\
& \left\{w_{11 ; \lambda_{\lambda}} w_{+1}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=-\left\{w_{+1} w_{11 ; 1}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=-w_{+1}, \\
& \left\{w_{11 ; 1_{\lambda}} w_{1+}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=-\left\{w_{1+\lambda} w_{11 ; 1}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=w_{1+}, \\
& \left\{w_{11 ; 0_{\lambda}} w_{+1}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=(\partial+\lambda) w_{+1}-w_{+1} W_{++}+w_{11 ; 1} w_{+1}, \\
& \left\{w_{+1} w_{11 ; 0}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=w_{+1} \lambda+w_{+1} W_{++}-w_{11 ; 1} w_{+1}, \\
& \left\{w_{11 ; 0} w_{1+}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=(\partial+2 \lambda) w_{1+}+W_{++} w_{1+}-w_{11 ; 1} w_{1+}, \\
& \left\{w_{1+\lambda} w_{11 ; 0}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=(\partial+2 \lambda) w_{1+}-W_{++} w_{1+}+w_{11 ; 1} w_{1+}, \\
& \left\{w_{+1} w_{+1}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=\left\{w_{1+\lambda} w_{1+}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=0 \\
& \left\{w_{1+\lambda} w_{+1}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=-\left(\lambda+\partial+w_{11 ; 1}-W_{++}\right)\left(\lambda-W_{++}\right)+w_{11 ; 0} \mathbb{1}_{N-2}, \\
& \left\{w_{+1 \lambda_{\lambda}}^{T} w_{1+}^{T}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=\left(\lambda+\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)\left(\lambda-w_{11 ; 1}+W_{++}^{T}\right)-w_{11 ; 0} \mathbb{1}_{N-2}, \\
& \left\{w_{11 ; k_{\lambda}} W_{++}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=\left\{W_{++\lambda} w_{11 ; k}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=0, k=0,1, \\
& \left\{\left(w_{+1}\right)_{k_{\lambda}}\left(W_{++}\right)_{i j}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=-\delta_{i k}\left(w_{+1}\right)_{j}=-\left\{\left(W_{++}\right)_{i j_{\lambda}}\left(w_{+1}\right)_{k}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}, \\
& \left\{\left(w_{1+}\right)_{k_{\lambda}}\left(W_{++}\right)_{i j}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=\delta_{k j}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{i}=-\left\{\left(W_{++}\right)_{i j_{\lambda}}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{k}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}, \\
& \left\{\left(W_{++}\right)_{i j_{\lambda}}\left(W_{++}\right)_{h k}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=\delta_{k i}\left(W_{++}\right)_{h j}-\delta_{j h}\left(W_{++}\right)_{i k}+\delta_{j h} \delta_{i k} \lambda . \tag{7.25}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{w_{11 ; 0} w_{11 ; 0}\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}=2 \lambda \\
& \left\{\left(w_{+1}\right)_{i_{\lambda}}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{j}\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}=-\left\{\left(w_{1+}\right)_{j_{\lambda}}\left(w_{+1}\right)_{i}\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}=-\delta_{i j}  \tag{7.26}\\
& \text { all other } \lambda-\text { brackets of generators }=0
\end{align*}
$$

It is straightforward to check that equations (7.25) and (7.26) agree with the analogous equations in [DSKV14a, Thm.3.4], if we take into account formula (7.24) for the change of generators and the opposite sign in the definition of Adler type operators.
7.5. Example 5: vector and matrix constrained KP hierarchies. Consider the partition $p=p_{1}+1+\cdots+1$, where the multiplicity of 1 is $s=N-p_{1}$. In this
case (5.16) becomes the following scalar pseudodifferential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(\partial)=-(-\partial)^{p_{1}}+\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}-1} w_{11 ; k}(-\partial)^{k}-w_{+1}\left(\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} \circ w_{1+} \tag{7.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{+1}, w_{1+}$ and $W_{++}$are as in (7.19). In the last term of the RHS of (7.27), $\partial$ stands for $\mathbb{1}_{s} \partial$.

It is possible, as we did in (7.23) for the special case $p_{1}=2$, to use the definition (4.9) of $L_{1}(\partial)$ to find explicitly all the generators $w_{j i ; k}$ of the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra as elements of $\mathcal{V}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\leq \frac{1}{2}}\right)$. This, however, seems computationally too involved to be solved explicitly for every $p_{1}$.

Now we shall demonstrate how to use the Adler and bi-Adler identities (6.2) and (6.3) to find explicit formulas for the 0 -th and 1 -st Poisson structure of $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, f, S)$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$, respectively.

First, we use the sesquilinearity and Leibniz rule axioms to compute the LHS $\left\{L_{1}(z)_{\lambda} L_{1}(w)\right\}$ of both (6.2) and (6.3), where $\left\{\cdot \lambda^{\prime} \cdot\right\}$ is either $\left\{\cdot{ }_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}$ or $\left\{\cdot \lambda^{\mathcal{L}}\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}$. By (7.27) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{L_{1}(z)_{\lambda} L_{1}(w)\right\}=\sum_{h, k=0}^{p_{1}-1}(-z)^{h}(-w)^{k}\left\{w_{11 ; h_{\lambda}} w_{11 ; k}\right\} \\
& -\sum_{h=0}^{p_{1}-1}(-z)^{h}\left\{w_{11 ; h_{\lambda}} w_{+1}\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right\}  \tag{7.28}\\
& -\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}-1}(-w)^{k}\left\{w_{+1}\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+\lambda} w_{11 ; k}\right\} \\
& +\left\{w_{+1}\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+\lambda} w_{+1}\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to compute the $\lambda$-brackets in the RHS of (7.28) we use standard $\lambda$-bracket techniques. In particular, applying [DSKVnew, Lem.2.3-2.5], we compute the second term in the RHS of (7.28):

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sum_{h=0}^{p_{1}-1}(-z)^{h}\left\{w_{11 ; h_{\lambda}} w_{+1}\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right\}=-\sum_{h=0}^{p_{1}-1}(-z)^{h} \\
& \left(\left\{w_{11 ; h_{\lambda}} w_{+1}\right\}\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}+w_{+1}\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1}\left\{w_{11 ; h_{\lambda}} w_{1+}\right\}\right. \\
& \left.-w_{+1}\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1}\left\{w_{11 ; h_{\lambda}} W_{++}\right\}\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right) \tag{7.29}
\end{align*}
$$

where all products are row by column multiplications, and similarly the third term in the RHS of (7.28) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}-1}(-w)^{k}\left\{w_{+1}\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+\lambda} w_{11 ; k}\right\}=-\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}-1}(-w)^{k} \\
& \left(\left\{w_{+1 \lambda+\partial} w_{11 ; k}\right\}_{\rightarrow}\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}+\left\{w_{1+\lambda+\partial}^{T} w_{11 ; k}\right\}_{\rightarrow}\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{-1} w_{+1}^{T}\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{i, j=1}^{s}\left\{\left(W_{++}\right)_{i j_{\lambda+\partial}} w_{11 ; k}\right\}_{\rightarrow}\left(\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{-1} w_{+1}^{T}\right)_{i}\left(\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right)_{j}\right) \tag{7.30}
\end{align*}
$$

where the superscript $T$ denotes taking the transpose of a vector or a matrix. In writing these formulas we use parenthesis in order to indicate where $\partial$ acts. For example, if we write an expression such as $a(\partial b c) d$, we mean $a b^{\prime} c d+a b c^{\prime} d$. To derive (7.30) we used the fact that, if $S_{i j}(z)=z \delta_{j i}+\left(W_{++}\right)_{i j}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S^{*}\right)_{i j}^{-1}(\lambda-z)=\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)_{i j}^{-1} 1 \tag{7.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (7.29) and (7.30), we get the fourth term in the RHS of (7.28):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{w_{+1}\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+\lambda} w_{+1}\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right\} \\
& =\left(\left\{\left(w_{+1}\right)_{i_{\lambda+\partial}}\left(w_{+1}\right)_{h}\right\}_{\rightarrow}\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)_{i j}^{-1}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{j}\right)\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)_{h k}^{-1}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{k} \\
& +\left(w_{+1}\right)_{h}\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)_{h k}^{-1}\left\{\left(w_{+1}\right)_{i \lambda+\partial}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{k}\right\}_{\rightarrow}\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)_{i j}^{-1}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{j} \\
& -\left(w_{+1}\right)_{h}\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)_{h p}^{-1}\left(\left\{\left(w_{+1}\right)_{i_{\lambda+\partial}}\left(W_{++}\right)_{p q}\right\}_{\rightarrow}\right. \\
& \left.\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)_{i j}^{-1}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{j}\right)\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)_{q k}^{-1}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{k} \\
& +\left(\left\{\left(w_{1+}^{T}\right)_{j_{\lambda+\partial}}\left(w_{+1}\right)_{h}\right\}_{\rightarrow}\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)_{j i}^{-1}\left(w_{+1}^{T}\right)_{i}\right)\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)_{h k}^{-1}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{k} \\
& +\left(w_{+1}\right)_{h}\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)_{h k}^{-1}\left\{\left(w_{1+}^{T}\right)_{j_{\lambda+\partial}}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{k}\right\}_{\rightarrow}\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)_{j i}^{-1}\left(w_{+1}^{T}\right)_{i} \\
& -\left(w_{+1}\right)_{h}\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)_{h p}^{-1}\left(\left\{\left(w_{1+}^{T}\right)_{j_{\lambda+\partial}}\left(W_{++}\right)_{p q}\right\}_{\rightarrow}\right. \\
& \left.\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)_{j i}^{-1}\left(w_{+1}^{T}\right)_{i}\right)\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)_{q k}^{-1}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{k}  \tag{7.32}\\
& -\left(\left\{\left(W_{++}\right)_{l r_{\lambda+\partial}}\left(w_{+1}\right)_{h}\right\}_{\rightarrow}\left(\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)_{l i}^{-1}\left(w_{+1}^{T}\right)_{i}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left(\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)_{r j}^{-1}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{j}\right)\right)\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)_{h k}^{-1}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{k} \\
& -\left(w_{+1}\right)_{h}\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)_{h k}^{-1}\left\{\left(W_{++}\right)_{l r_{\lambda+\partial}}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{k}\right\}_{\rightarrow} \\
& \left(\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)_{l i}^{-1}\left(w_{+1}^{T}\right)_{i}\right)\left(\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)_{r j}^{-1}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{j}\right) \\
& +\left(w_{+1}\right)_{h}\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)_{h p}^{-1}\left(\{ ( W _ { + + } ) _ { l r } \lambda + \partial ( W _ { + + } ) _ { p q } \} _ { \rightarrow } \left(\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)_{l i}^{-1}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left(w_{+1}^{T}\right)_{i}\right)\left(\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)_{r j}^{-1}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{j}\right)\right)\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)_{q k}^{-1}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{k},
\end{align*}
$$

where we use the Einstein convention of summing over repeated indices.
Next, we compute the RHS of (6.2). Note that if $L_{1}(\partial)$ is given by (7.27), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}} w_{11 ; k}(-z)^{k}-w_{+1}\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+} \tag{7.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{11 ; p}=-1$, and (cf. (7.31))

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}^{*}(\lambda-z)=\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}}(-z+\lambda+\partial)^{k} w_{11 ; k}-w_{1+}^{T}\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{-1} w_{+1}^{T} \tag{7.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the RHS of (6.2) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{1}(w+\lambda+\partial) \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1} L_{1}^{*}(\lambda-z)-L_{1}(z) \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1} L_{1}(w) \\
& \begin{array}{r}
=\sum_{h, k=0}^{p_{1}} w_{11 ; h}\left((-w-\lambda-\partial)^{h}(-z+\lambda+\partial)^{k}-(-z)^{h}(-w)^{k}\right) \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1} w_{11 ; k} \\
-\sum_{h=0}^{p_{1}} w_{11 ; h} \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1}\left((-w-\lambda-\partial)^{h} w_{1+}^{T}\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{-1} w_{+1}^{T}\right. \\
\left.\quad-(-z)^{h} w_{+1}\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right)
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{r}
-\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}}\left(w_{+1}\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} \circ w_{1+}(-z+\lambda+\partial)^{k}\right. \\
\left.\quad-\left(w_{+1}\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right)(-w)^{k}\right) \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1} w_{11 ; k} \\
+w_{+1}\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+} \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1} w_{1+}^{T}\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{-1} w_{+1}^{T} \\
-\left(w_{+1}\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right) \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1} w_{+1}\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

We expand the first term in the RHS of (7.35) in powers of $-z$ and $-w$. As a result we get (cf. (7.4))

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{h, k=0}^{p_{1}} w_{11 ; h}\left((-w-\lambda-\partial)^{h}(-z+\lambda+\partial)^{k}-(-z)^{h}(-w)^{k}\right) \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1} w_{11 ; k} \\
& =\sum_{h, k=0}^{p_{1}}(-z)^{h}(-w)^{k} \sum_{n=0}^{p_{1}-h-1} \sum_{a=\max \{0, n-k\}}^{p_{1}+n-k}\left(\binom{n}{a}(-1)^{a} w_{11 ; n+h+1}(\lambda+\partial)^{a} w_{11 ; k+a-n}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{b=0}^{p_{1}-n-h-1}\binom{k+a}{a}\binom{h+n+b+1}{b}(-1)^{a+1} w_{11 ; k+a-n}(\lambda+\partial)^{a+b} w_{11 ; h+n+b+1}\right) . \tag{7.36}
\end{align*}
$$

The second and third terms in the RHS of (7.35) can be expanded in view of the following identity, which can be easily proved for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(x^{n}(y+T)^{-1}-y^{n}(x+T)^{-1}\right)(x-y)^{-1}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-2-i} x^{i} y^{j}(-T)^{n-2-i-j} \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x^{i}(-T)^{n-1-i}(y+T)^{-1}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y^{i}(-T)^{n-1-i}(x+T)^{-1}+(-T)^{n}(x+T)^{-1}(y+T)^{-1} \tag{7.37}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x, y, T$ are commuting variables. For example, the second term in the RHS of (7.35) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sum_{h=0}^{p_{1}} w_{11 ; h} \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1}\left((-w-\lambda-\partial)^{h} w_{1+}^{T}\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{-1} w_{+1}^{T}\right. \\
& \left.-(-z)^{h} w_{+1}\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right) \\
& =-\left.\sum_{h=0}^{p_{1}}(-1)^{h} w_{11 ; h}\right|_{\mu=\partial} w_{+1}\left((w+\lambda+\mu)^{h}\left(z-\lambda-\mu+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-z^{h}\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1}\right)(z-w-\lambda-\mu)^{-1} w_{1+}, \tag{7.38}
\end{align*}
$$

and, therefore, applying identity (7.37) with $x=z, y=w+\lambda+\mu$ and $T=$ $-\lambda-\mu+\partial+W_{++}$, it is equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{h=0}^{p_{1}} \sum_{i=0}^{h-2} \sum_{j=0}^{h-2-i} w_{11 ; h}(-z)^{i}(-w-\lambda-\partial)^{j} w_{1+}^{T}\left(-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{h-2-i-j} w_{+1}^{T} \\
& -\sum_{h=0}^{p_{1}} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} w_{11 ; h}(-z)^{i}\left(\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right)^{T}\left(-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{h-1-i} w_{+1}^{T} \\
& -\sum_{h=0}^{p_{1}} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} w_{11 ; h}(-w-\lambda-\partial)^{i} w_{1+}^{T}\left(-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{h-1-i}\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{-1} w_{+1}^{T} \\
& +\sum_{h=0}^{p_{1}} w_{11 ; h}\left(\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right)^{T}\left(-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{h}\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{-1} w_{+1}^{T} \tag{7.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, the third term in the RHS of (7.35) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}}\left(w_{+1}\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}(-z+\lambda+\partial)^{k} \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1} w_{11 ; k}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\left(w_{+1}\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right)(-w)^{k} \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1} w_{11 ; k}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}}(-1)^{k}\left(\left.\right|_{\mu=\partial} w_{11 ; k}\right) w_{+1}\left((z-\lambda-\mu)^{k}\left(w+\lambda+\mu+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-w^{k}\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1}\right)(z-w-\lambda-\mu)^{-1} w_{1+}, \tag{7.40}
\end{align*}
$$

and, therefore, applying identity (7.37) with $x=z-\lambda-\mu, y=w$ and $T=$ $\lambda+\mu+\partial+W_{++}$, it is equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-2-i} w_{+1}\left(\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{k-2-i-j} w_{1+}(-z+\lambda+\partial)^{i}(-w)^{j} w_{11 ; k} \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w_{+1}\left(\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{k-1-i}\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}(-z+\lambda+\partial)^{i} w_{11 ; k} \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w_{+1}\left(\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{k-1-i}\left(\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right)(-w)^{i} w_{11 ; k} \\
& -\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}} w_{+1}\left(\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{k}\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1}\left(\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right) w_{11 ; k} \tag{7.41}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, the last two terms in the RHS of (7.35) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{+1}\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+} \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1} w_{1+}^{T}\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{-1} w_{+1}^{T} \\
& -\left(w_{+1}\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right) \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1} w_{+1}\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+} \\
& =\left.\left(w_{+1}\left(w+\mu+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right)(z-w-\mu)^{-1}\right|_{\mu=\lambda+\partial}\left(w_{+1}\left(z-\mu+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right) \\
& -\left.\left(w_{+1}\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right)(z-w-\mu)^{-1}\right|_{\mu=\lambda+\partial}\left(w_{+1}\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right) . \tag{7.42}
\end{align*}
$$

We next use the following identity of rational functions, which can be easily checked:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left((x+S)^{-1}(y+T)^{-1}-(x+T)^{-1}(y+S)^{-1}\right)(x-y)^{-1} \\
& =(x+S)^{-1}(x+T)^{-1}(y+S)^{-1}(y+T)^{-1}(S-T) \tag{7.43}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x, y, S, T$ are commuting variables. Using (7.43) with $x=z, y=w+\mu$, $S=\left(\partial+W_{++}\right) \otimes 1$ and $T=-\mu+1 \otimes\left(\partial+W_{++}\right)$, we can rewrite the RHS of (7.42) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{+1}\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1}\left(\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right) \\
& \quad \times\left(\left(\partial+W_{++}\right)\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right)^{T}\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{-1} w_{+1}^{T} \\
& -w_{+1}\left(\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1}\left(\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right)  \tag{7.44}\\
& \quad \times\left(\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right)^{T}\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{-1} w_{+1}^{T}
\end{align*}
$$

We now combine, on one hand, equations (7.28), (7.29), (7.30) and (7.32), and, on the other hand, equations (7.35), (7.36), (7.39), (7.41), and (7.44). Comparing the results, we get the desired formulas for all the $\lambda$-brackets. For example, comparing the coefficient of $(-z)^{h}(-w)^{k}$, for $h, k \geq 0$, in (7.28) and in (7.36), (7.39), and
(7.41), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \{ w _ { 1 1 ; h } w _ { 1 1 ; k } \} _ { 0 } ^ { \mathcal { W } } } \\
{ = } \\
{ = \sum _ { n = 0 } ^ { p _ { 1 } - h - 1 } \sum _ { a = \operatorname { m a x } \{ 0 , n - k \} } ^ { p _ { 1 } + n - k } }
\end{array} \left(\binom{n}{a}(-1)^{a} w_{11 ; n+h+1}(\lambda+\partial)^{a} w_{11 ; k+a-n}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{b=0}^{p_{1}-n-h-1}\binom{k+a}{a}\binom{h+n+b+1}{b}(-1)^{a+1} w_{11 ; k+a-n}(\lambda+\partial)^{a+b} w_{11 ; h+n+b+1}\right) \\
& +\sum_{a=0}^{p_{1}-h-k-2 p_{1}-h-k-2-a} \sum_{b=0}^{p_{1}}\binom{k+a}{a} w_{11 ; a+b+h+k+2}(-\lambda-\partial)^{a}\left(\left(-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{b} w_{+1}^{T}\right)^{T} w_{1+} \\
& -\sum_{a=0}^{p_{1}-h-k-2} \sum_{b=0}^{p_{1}-h-k-2-a}\binom{h+a}{a} w_{+1}\left(\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{b} w_{1+}(\lambda+\partial)^{a} w_{11 ; a+b+h+k+2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that for $p_{1} \leq 2$ the last two terms in the RHS of (7.45) vanish, in accordance with the first four lines in (7.25). Next, comparing the terms with non-negative powers of $z$ in (7.29) and in (7.39)-(7.41), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\sum_{h=0}^{p_{1}-1}(-z)^{h}\left\{w_{11 ; h_{\lambda}} w_{+1}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+} \\
& -\sum_{h=0}^{p_{1}-1}(-z)^{h} w_{+1}\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1}\left\{w_{11 ; h} w_{1+}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}} \\
& +\sum_{h=0}^{p_{1}-1}(-z)^{h} w_{+1}\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1}\left\{w_{11 ; h_{\lambda}} W_{++}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+} \\
& =-\sum_{h=0}^{p_{1}} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1}(-z)^{i} w_{11 ; h}\left(\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right)^{T}\left(-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{h-1-i} w_{+1}^{T} \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w_{+1}\left(\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{k-1-i}\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}(-z+\lambda+\partial)^{i} w_{11 ; k}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{w_{11 ; h_{\lambda}} w_{+1}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=\sum_{a=0}^{p_{1}-h-1} w_{11 ; a+h+1}\left(\left(-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{a} w_{+1}^{T}\right)^{T} \\
& \left\{w_{11 ; h_{\lambda}} w_{1+}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=-\sum_{a=0}^{p_{1}-h-1 p_{1}-h-1-a} \sum_{b=0}^{\mathcal{W}}\binom{a+h}{a}\left(\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{b} w_{1+}(\lambda+\partial)^{a} w_{11 ; a+b+h+1} \\
& \left\{w_{11 ; h_{\lambda}} W_{++}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=0 . \tag{7.46}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, looking at the terms with non-negative powers of $w$ in (7.30) and in (7.39)-(7.41), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}-1}(-w)^{k}\left\{w_{+1}{ }_{\lambda+\partial} w_{11 ; k}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+} \\
& -\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}-1}(-w)^{k}\left\{w_{1+\lambda+\partial}^{T} w_{11 ; k}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{-1} w_{+1}^{T} \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}-1}(-w)^{k} \sum_{i, j=1}^{s}\left\{\left(W_{++}\right)_{i j_{\lambda+\partial}} w_{11 ; k}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}\left(\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{-1} w_{+1}^{T}\right)_{i} \\
& \quad \times\left(\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right)_{j} \\
& =-\sum_{h=0}^{p_{1}} w_{11 ; h} \sum_{i=0}^{h-1}(-w-\lambda-\partial)^{i} w_{1+}^{T}\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{-1}\left(-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{h-1-i} w_{+1}^{T} \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w_{+1}\left(\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{k-1-i}\left(\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+}\right)(-w)^{i} w_{11 ; k}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{w_{+1} w_{11 ; k}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=-\sum_{a=0}^{p_{1}-k-1} w_{+1}\left(\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{a} w_{11 ; a+k+1}, \\
& \left\{w_{1+\lambda}^{T} w_{11 ; k}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=\sum_{a=0}^{p_{1}-k-1} \sum_{b=0}^{p_{1}-k-1-a}\binom{a+k}{a} w_{11 ; a+b+k+1}(-\lambda-\partial)^{a} w_{1+}^{T}\left(-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{b} 1, \\
& \left\{\left(W_{++}\right)_{i j_{\lambda}} w_{11 ; k}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=0 . \tag{7.47}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, taking all the remaining terms, with negative powers in both $z$ and $w$, in (7.32), and in (7.39), (7.41) and (7.44), we get the remaining $\lambda$-brackets:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\left(w_{+1}\right)_{i_{\lambda}}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{j}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=-\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}}\left(\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)_{j i}^{k} w_{11 ; k}, \\
& \left\{\left(w_{1+}\right)_{j_{\lambda}}\left(w_{+1}\right)_{i}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=\sum_{h=0}^{p_{1}} w_{11 ; h}\left(-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)_{i j}^{h} 1,  \tag{7.48}\\
& \left\{\left(W_{++}\right)_{i j_{\lambda}}\left(W_{++}\right)_{h k}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=\delta_{i k}\left(W_{++}\right)_{h j}-\delta_{h j}\left(W_{++}\right)_{i k}+\delta_{i k} \delta_{h j} \lambda, \\
& \left\{\left(w_{+1}\right)_{k_{\lambda}}\left(W_{++}\right)_{i j}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=-\delta_{i k}\left(w_{+1}\right)_{j}=-\left\{\left(W_{++}\right)_{i j_{\lambda}}\left(w_{+1}\right)_{k}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}, \\
& \left\{\left(w_{1+}\right)_{k_{\lambda}}\left(W_{++}\right)_{i j}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}=\delta_{k j}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{i}=-\left\{\left(W_{++}\right)_{i j_{\lambda}}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{k}\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}} .
\end{align*}
$$

It is immediate to check that, in the special case $p_{1}=2$, the $\lambda$-brackets (7.45), (7.46), (7.47), and (7.48), reduce to (7.25).

Next, we derive in a similar way the 1-st Poisson structure $\left\{\cdot \lambda_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}$, by computing the RHS of (6.3):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda)^{-1}\left(L_{1}(w+\lambda)-L_{1}(z)\right)+\iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1}\left(\left(L_{1}\right)^{*}(\lambda-z)-L_{1}(w)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}} w_{11 ; k}\left((-w-\lambda)^{k}-(-z)^{k}\right)(z-w-\lambda)^{-1} \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}}\left((-z+\lambda+\partial)^{k}-(-w)^{k}\right)(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1} w_{11 ; k} \\
& \quad-w_{+1}(z-w-\lambda)^{-1}\left(\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1}-\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1}\right) w_{1+} \\
& \quad-\left.\right|_{\mu=\lambda+\partial} w_{+1}(z-w-\mu)^{-1}\left(\left(z-\mu+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1}-\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1}\right) w_{1+} \\
& =\sum_{h=0}^{p_{1}-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}-1-h p_{1}-h-k-1} \sum_{\ell=0}(-z)^{h}(-w)^{k}\left(\binom{\ell+k}{k}(-\lambda)^{\ell}-\binom{\ell+h}{h}(\lambda+\partial)^{\ell}\right) w_{11 ; \ell+h+k+1} \\
& \quad-w_{+1}\left(w+\lambda+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1}\left(z+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+} \\
& \quad+\left(\left(z-\lambda-\partial+W_{++}^{T}\right)^{-1} w_{+1}^{T}\right)^{T}\left(w+\partial+W_{++}\right)^{-1} w_{1+} . \tag{7.49}
\end{align*}
$$

For the first equality we used (7.33)-(7.34), while the second equality is obtained by a straightforward computation. Comparing equation (7.49) with equations (7.28), (7.29), (7.30) and (7.32), we get, in view of (6.3), the 1 -st Poisson structure:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{w_{11 ; h} w_{11 ; k}\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{p_{1}-h-k-1}\left(\binom{\ell+k}{k}(-\lambda)^{\ell}-\binom{\ell+h}{h}(\lambda+\partial)^{\ell}\right) w_{11 ; \ell+h+k+1} \\
& \left\{\left(w_{+1}\right)_{i_{\lambda}}\left(w_{1+}\right)_{j}\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}=-\left\{\left(w_{1+}\right)_{j_{\lambda}}\left(w_{+1}\right)_{i}\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}=-\delta_{i j} \\
& \text { all other } \lambda-\text { brackets of generators }=0 \tag{7.50}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, in the special case $p_{1}=2$, the $\lambda$-brackets (7.50) reduce to (7.26).
Note that, in agreement with Corollary 5.5, all entries of the matrix $W_{++}$are central with respect to the $\lambda$-bracket $\left\{\cdot \lambda_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}$. Therefore we can consider the differential ideal $\mathcal{J}$ of $\mathcal{W}$ generated by these elements, which will be a PVA ideal with respect to the $\lambda$-bracket $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}$. We may then apply a Dirac reduction with respect to the $\lambda$-bracket $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}$, to get a bi-PVA structure on the quotient $\mathcal{W} / \mathcal{J}$, with compatible $\lambda$-brackets induced by the 1 -st $\lambda$-bracket $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}$, which remains local, and by the Dirac modification $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}, D}$ of the 0 -th $\lambda$-bracket, which becomes non-local.

The image of the operator $L_{1}(\partial)$ in the quotient space $\mathcal{W} / \mathcal{J}$ is

$$
\bar{L}_{1}(\partial)=-(-\partial)^{p_{1}}+\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}-1} w_{11 ; k}(-\partial)^{k}-w_{+1} \partial^{-1} \circ w_{1+} \in(\mathcal{W} / \mathcal{J})\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)
$$

and therefore the corresponding integrable hierarchy of Lax equations

$$
\frac{d}{d t_{n}} \bar{L}_{1}(\partial)=\left[\left(\bar{L}_{1}(\partial)^{\frac{n}{p_{1}}}\right)_{+}, \bar{L}_{1}(\partial)\right]
$$

is the $\left(N-p_{1}\right)$-vector $p_{1}$-constrained KP hierarchy, [YO76, Ma81, KSS91, Che92, KS92, SS93, ZC94]. This isomorphism was originally stated in [DSKV15-cor].
Remark 7.1. The case $p_{1}=1$ corresponds to $f=0$ and $S=\operatorname{diag}(1,0, \ldots, 0)$, namely the family of affine PVAs $\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, S\right), \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}$ (cf. Example 2.2). The corresponding bi-Adler type operator for this bi-PVA structure is $|A(\partial)|_{11}$, which,
after the Dirac reduction by the elements $q_{i j}$ for $i=j=1$ and $i, j \geq 2$, becomes $\partial+\sum_{j=2}^{N} q_{j 1} \partial^{-1} \circ q_{1 j}$. The corresponding hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian equations for $N=2$ is the NLS hierarchy.

We can consider, more generally, the partition $p=p_{1}+\cdots+p_{1}+1+\cdots+1$, with $r_{1}$ parts of size $p_{1}$ and $q_{1}$ parts of size 1 , so that $r=r_{1}+q_{1}$, and $N=r_{1} p_{1}+q_{1}$. In this case (5.16) becomes the following $r_{1} \times r_{1}$ matrix pseudodifferential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(\partial)=-\mathbb{1}_{r_{1}}(-\partial)^{p_{1}}+\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}-1} W_{1 ; k}(-\partial)^{k}-W_{2}\left(\mathbb{1}_{q_{1}} \partial+W_{4}\right)^{-1} \circ W_{3} \tag{7.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{1 ; k}=\left(w_{j i ; k}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r_{1}}, W_{2}=\left(w_{j i ; 0}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq r_{1}<j \leq r} \\
& W_{3}=\left(w_{j i ; 0}\right)_{r_{1}<i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq r_{1}}, W_{4}=\left(w_{j i ; 0}\right)_{r_{1}<i, j \leq r}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is possible to compute (but we will not do it) the corresponding compatible PVA structures $\left\{\cdot \lambda_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\left\{\cdot{ }_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}$ for the family of PVAs $\mathcal{W}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathfrak{g l}_{N}, f, S_{1}\right)$, generalizing the formulas obtained for $r_{1}=1$.

As before, all entries $w_{i j ; 0}, r_{1}<i, j \leq r$ of the matrix $W_{4}$ are central with respect to the 1 -st PVA $\lambda$-bracket $\left\{\cdot{ }_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}$. Therefore we can consider the differential ideal $\mathcal{J}=\left\langle w_{i j ; 0}\right\rangle_{r_{1}<i, j \leq r}$ of $\mathcal{W}$ generated by these elements, which will be a PVA ideal with respect to the $\lambda$-bracket $\left\{\cdot \lambda_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}$, and we may then apply a Dirac reduction with respect to the $\lambda$-bracket $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}}$. As a result, we get a bi-PVA structure on the quotient $\mathcal{W} / \mathcal{J}$, with compatible $\lambda$-brackets induced by the 1 -st $\lambda$-bracket $\left\{\cdot \lambda_{\lambda} \cdot\right\}_{1}^{\mathcal{W}}$, which remains local, and by the Dirac modification $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}_{0}^{\mathcal{W}, D}$ of the 0 -th $\lambda$-bracket, which becomes non-local. The image of the operator $L_{1}(\partial)$ in the quotient space $\mathcal{W} / \mathcal{J}$ is

$$
\bar{L}_{1}(\partial)=-(-\partial)^{p_{1}}+\sum_{k=0}^{p_{1}-1} W_{1 ; k}(-\partial)^{k}-W_{2} \partial^{-1} \circ W_{3} \in(\mathcal{W} / \mathcal{J})\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)
$$

The corresponding integrable hierarchy of Lax equations

$$
\frac{d}{d t_{n}} \bar{L}_{1}(\partial)=\left[\left(\bar{L}_{1}(\partial)^{\frac{n}{p_{1}}}\right)_{+}, \bar{L}_{1}(\partial)\right]
$$

is a matrix analogue of the $q_{1}$-vector $p_{1}$-constrained KP hierarchy.

## Appendix A. Simpler proof of Theorem 4.3

Lemma A.1. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a differential algebra with a $\lambda$-bracket $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}$. Let $A(\partial) \in$ $\operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathcal{V}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$ be a matrix pseudodifferential operator of Adler type with respect to the $\lambda$-bracket $\{\cdot \lambda \cdot\}$, and assume that $A(\partial)$ is invertible in $\operatorname{Mat}_{N \times N} \mathcal{V}\left(\left(\partial^{-1}\right)\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{A_{i j}(z)_{\lambda}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{h k}(w)\right\} & =-\delta_{h j} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1}\left(A_{i t}\right)^{*}(\lambda-z)\left(A^{-1}\right)_{t k}(w) \\
& +\delta_{i k} \sum_{t=1}^{N}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{h t}(w+\lambda+\partial) A_{t j}(z) \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda)^{-1} \tag{A.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By the identity $\sum_{\ell} A_{r \ell}(w+\partial)\left(A^{-1}\right)_{\ell k}(w)=\delta_{r, k}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\left\{A_{i j}(z)_{\lambda} \delta_{r, k}\right\}=\left.\sum_{\ell=1}^{N}\left\{A_{i j}(z)_{\lambda} A_{r \ell}(w+x)\right\}\right|_{x=\lambda}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{\ell k}(w) \\
& +\sum_{\ell=1}^{N} A_{r \ell}(w+\lambda+\partial)\left\{A_{i j}(z)_{\lambda}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{\ell k}(w)\right\} \tag{A.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying $\left(A^{-1}\right)_{h r}(w+\lambda+\partial)$ to both sides of (A.2) and summing over $r$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{A_{i j}(z)_{\lambda}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{h k}(w)\right\} \\
& =-\left.\sum_{\ell, r=1}^{N}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{h r}(w+\lambda+\partial)\left\{A_{i j}(z)_{\lambda} A_{r \ell}(w+x)\right\}\right|_{x=\partial}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{\ell k}(w) \tag{A.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We finally use the Adler identity (2.3) to rewrite the RHS of (A.4) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sum_{\ell, r=1}^{N}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{h r}(w+\lambda+\partial)\left(A_{r j}(w+\lambda+\partial+x) \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial-x)^{-1}\left(A_{i \ell}\right)^{*}(\lambda-z)\right. \\
& \left.-A_{r j}(z) \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial-x)^{-1} A_{i \ell}(w+x)\right)\left.\right|_{x=\partial}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{\ell k}(w) \\
& =-\sum_{\ell, r=1}^{N} \delta_{h, j} \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1}\left(A_{i \ell}\right)^{*}(\lambda-z)\left(A^{-1}\right)_{\ell k}(w) \\
& +\sum_{\ell, r=1}^{N}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{h r}(w+\lambda+\partial) A_{r j}(z) \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1} \delta_{i, k}, \tag{A.4}
\end{align*}
$$

proving equation (A.1).
Lemma A. 2 ([DSKV13, Lem.3.1(b)]). Consider the pencil of affine Poisson vertex algebras $\mathcal{V}=\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{g}, S)$ from Example 2.2, with $S \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}$. For $a \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq \frac{1}{2}}$ and $g \in \mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{g})$, we have $\rho\left\{a_{\lambda} \rho(g)\right\}_{\epsilon}=\rho\left\{a_{\lambda} g\right\}_{\epsilon}$.

Proof of Theorem 4.3. By the definition (4.9) of the matrix $L_{1}(\partial)$ and by Lemma A.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left\{a_{\lambda} L_{1}^{-1}(w)_{i j}\right\}_{\epsilon}=\rho\left\{a_{\lambda} \rho\left(J_{1} A^{-1}(w) I_{1}\right)_{i j}\right\}_{\epsilon}=\rho\left\{a_{\lambda} A^{-1}(w)_{\left(i p_{1}\right),(j 1)}\right\}_{\epsilon} \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $a=q_{(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k}),(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h})} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq \frac{1}{2}}$. Note that, by the definition (2.6) of the matrix $A(\partial)$, we have $a=A(z)_{(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h}),(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k})}$. Hence, we can apply Lemma A. 1 to get, from (A.5),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho\left\{a_{\lambda} L_{1}^{-1}(w)_{i j}\right\}_{\epsilon}=\rho\left\{A(z)_{(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h}),(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k})} \lambda A^{-1}(w)_{\left(i p_{1}\right),(j 1)}\right\}_{\epsilon} \\
& =-\delta_{\left(i p_{1}\right)(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k})} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{J}} \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda-\partial)^{-1}\left(A_{(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h}) \tau}\right)^{*}(\lambda-z)\left(A^{-1}\right)_{\tau(j 1)}(w)  \tag{A.6}\\
& +\delta_{(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h})(j 1)} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{J}}\left(A^{-1}\right)_{\left(i p_{1}\right) \tau}(w+\lambda+\partial) A_{\tau(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k})}(z) \iota_{z}(z-w-\lambda)^{-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

To conclude we observe that the RHS of (A.6) is zero. Indeed, since by assumption $a=q_{(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k}),(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h})} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\geq \frac{1}{2}}$, we have $\delta_{\left(i p_{1}\right)(\tilde{j}, \tilde{k})}=\delta_{(\tilde{i}, \tilde{h})(j 1)}=0$ for every $(\tilde{i} \tilde{h}),(\tilde{j} \tilde{k}) \in \mathcal{J}$.
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