

# REPRESENTATION CHALLENGES


## Augmented Reality and Artificial Intelligence in Cultural Heritage and Innovative Design Domain

edited by

Andrea Giordano

Michele Russo

Roberta Spallone



director Francesca Fatta

The Series contains volumes of the proceedings of the annual conferences of the Scientific Society UID – Unione Italiana per il Disegno and the results of international meetings, research and symposia organised as part of the activities promoted or patronised by UID. The topics concern the Scientific Disciplinary Sector ICAR/17 Drawing with interdisciplinary research areas. The texts are in Italian or in the author's mother tongue (French, English, Portuguese, Spanish, German) and/or in English. The international Scientific Committee includes members of the UID Scientific Technical Committee and numerous other foreign scholars who are experts in the field of Representation.

The volumes of the series can be published either in print or in open access and all the authors' contributions are subject to double blind peer review according to the currently standard scientific evaluation criteria.

## Scientific Committee

Giuseppe Amoruso *Politecnico di Milano*  
Paolo Belardi *Università degli Studi di Perugia*  
Stefano Bertocci *Università degli Studi di Firenze*  
Mario Centofanti *Università degli Studi dell'Aquila*  
Enrico Cicalò *Università degli Studi di Sassari*  
Antonio Conte *Università degli Studi della Basilicata*  
Mario Docci *Sapienza Università di Roma*  
Edoardo Dotto *Università degli Studi di Catania*  
Maria Linda Falcidieno *Università degli Studi di Genova*  
Francesca Fatta *Università degli Studi Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria*  
Fabrizio Gay *Università IUAV di Venezia*  
Andrea Giordano *Università degli Studi di Padova*  
Elena Ippoliti *Sapienza Università di Roma*  
Francesco Maggio *Università degli Studi di Palermo*  
Anna Osello *Politecnico di Torino*  
Caterina Palestini *Università degli Studi "G. d'Annunzio" di Chieti-Pescara*  
Lia Maria Papa *Università degli Studi di Napoli "Federico II"*  
Rossella Salerno *Politecnico di Milano*  
Alberto Sdegno *Università degli Studi di Udine*  
Chiara Vernizzi *Università degli Studi di Parma*  
Ornella Zerlenga *Università degli Studi della Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli"*

## Members of foreign structures

Caroline Astrid Bruzelius *Duke University - USA*  
Pilar Chfás *Universidad de Alcalá - Spagna*  
Frank Ching *University of Washington - USA*  
Livio De Luca *UMR CNRS/MCC MAP Marseille - Francia*  
Roberto Ferraris *Universidad Nacional de Córdoba - Argentina*  
Glaucia Augusto Fonseca *Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro - Brasile*  
Pedro Antonio Janeiro *Universidade de Lisboa - Portogallo*  
Jacques Laubscher *Tshwane University of Technology - Sudafrica*  
Cornelie Leopold *Technische Universität Kaiserslautern - Germania*  
Juan José Fernández Martín *Universidad de Valladolid - Spagna*  
Carlos Montes Serrano *Universidad de Valladolid - Spagna*  
César Otero *Universidad de Cantabria - Spagna*  
Guillermo Peris Fajarnes *Universitat Politècnica de València - Spagna*  
José Antonio Franco Taboada *Universidade da Coruña - Spagna*  
Michael John Kirk Walsh *Nanyang Technological University - Singapore*



This volume is published in open access format, i.e. the file of the entire work can be freely downloaded from the FrancoAngeli Open Access platform (<http://bit.ly/francoangeli-oa>). On the FrancoAngeli Open Access platform, it is possible to publish articles and monographs, according to ethical and quality standards while ensuring open access to the content itself. It guarantees the preservation in the major international OA archives and repositories. Through the integration with its entire catalog of publications and series, FrancoAngeli also maximizes visibility, user accessibility and impact for the author.

Read more:

[http://www.francoangeli.it/come\\_publicare/publicare\\_19.asp](http://www.francoangeli.it/come_publicare/publicare_19.asp)

Readers who wish to find out about the books and periodicals published by us can visit our website [www.francoangeli.it](http://www.francoangeli.it) and subscribe to our "Informatemi" (notify me) service to receive e-mail notifications.

# **REPRESENTATION CHALLENGES**

Augmented Reality and Artificial Intelligence in  
Cultural Heritage and Innovative Design Domain

edited by

Andrea Giordano

Michele Russo

Roberta Spallone

1222-2022  
80 ANNI



UNIVERSITÀ  
DEGLI STUDI  
DI PADOVA

ICEA

DIPARTIMENTO DI STORIA  
DISEGNO E RESTAURO  
DELL'ARCHITETTURA



SAPIENZA  
UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA



Politecnico  
di Torino

Dipartimento  
di Architettura e Design

#### Scientific Committee

Salvatore Barba  
*Università di Salerno*

Marco Giorgio Bevilacqua  
*Università di Pisa*

Stefano Brusaporci  
*Università dell'Aquila*

Francesca Fatta  
*Università Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria*

Andrea Giordano  
*Università di Padova*

Alessandro Luigini  
*Libera Università di Bolzano*

Michele Russo  
*Sapienza Università di Roma*

Cettina Santagati  
*Università di Catania*

Alberto Sdegno  
*Università di Udine*

Roberta Spallone  
*Politecnico di Torino*

#### Scientific Coordination

Andrea Giordano  
*Università di Padova*

Michele Russo  
*Sapienza Università di Roma*

Roberta Spallone  
*Politecnico di Torino*

#### Editorial Committee

Isabella Friso  
*Università IUAV di Venezia*

Fabrizio Natta  
*Politecnico di Torino*

Michele Russo  
*Sapienza Università di Roma*

*The texts as well as all published images have been provided by the authors for publication with copyright and scientific responsibility towards third parties. The revision and editing is by the editors of the book.*

ISBN printed edition: 9788835116875  
ISBN digital edition: 9788835125280

#### Peer Reviewers

Marinella Arena  
*Università Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria*

Salvatore Barba  
*Università di Salerno*

Marco Giorgio Bevilacqua  
*Università di Pisa*

Cecilia Bolognesi  
*Politecnico di Milano*

Stefano Brusaporci  
*Università dell'Aquila*

Francesca Fatta  
*Università Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria*

Andrea Giordano  
*Università di Padova*

Massimo Leserri  
*Università di Napoli "Federico II"*

Stefania Landi  
*Università di Pisa*

Massimiliano Lo Turco  
*Politecnico di Torino*

Alessandro Luigini  
*Libera Università di Bolzano*

Pamela Maiezza  
*Università dell'Aquila*

Domenico Mediatì  
*Università Mediterranea di Reggio Calabria*

Cosimo Monteleone  
*Università di Padova*

Michele Russo  
*Sapienza Università di Roma*

Cettina Santagati  
*Università di Catania*

Alberto Sdegno  
*Università di Udine*

Roberta Spallone  
*Politecnico di Torino*

Marco Vitali  
*Politecnico di Torino*

#### Patronage



Cover image: Michele Russo

Copyright © 2021 by FrancoAngeli s.r.l., Milano, Italy.

This work, and each part thereof, is protected by copyright law and is published in this digital version under the license *Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International* (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)

*By downloading this work, the User accepts all the conditions of the license agreement for the work as stated and set out on the website*

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0>

7

*Francesca Fatta*  
Preface

9

*Andrea Giordano, Michele Russo, Roberta Spallone*  
Representation Challenges: The Reasons of the Research

## AR&AI theoretical concepts

23

*Francesco Bergamo*  
The Role of Drawing in Data Analysis and Data Representation

29

*Giorgio Buratti, Sara Conte, Michela Rossi*  
Artificial Intelligency, Big Data and Cultural Heritage

35

*Marco Ferrari, Lodovica Valetti*  
Virtual Tours and Representations of Cultural Heritage: Ethical Issues

41

*Claudio Marchese, Antonino Nastasi*  
The Magnificent AI & AR Combinations: Limits? Gorgeous Imperfections!

47

*Valerio Palma*  
Data, Models and Computer Vision: Three Hands—on Projects

53

*Alberto Sdegno*  
Drawing Automata

59

*Marco Vitali, Giulia Bertola, Fabrizio Natta, Francesca Ronco*  
AI+AR: Cultural Heritage, Museum Institutions, Plastic Models and Prototyping. A State of Art

## AR&AI virtual reconstruction

67

*Alessio Bortot*  
Physical and Digital Pop-Ups. An AR Application in the Treatises on Stereotomy

73

*Maurizio Marco Bocconcino, Mariapaola Vozzola*  
The Value of a Dynamic Memory: from Heritage Conservation in Turin

79

*Antonio Calandriello*  
Augmented Reality and the Enhancement of Cultural Heritage: the Case of Palazzo Mocenigo in Padua

85

*Cristina Cãndita, Andrea Quartara, Alessandro Meloni*  
The Appearance of Keplerian Polyhedra in an Illusory Architecture

91

*Maria Grazia Cianci, Daniele Calisi, Sara Colaceci, Francesca Paola Mondelli*  
Digital Tools at the Service of Public Administrations

97

*Riccardo Florio, Raffaele Catuogno, Teresa Della Corte, Veronica Marino*  
Studies for the Virtual Reconstruction of the Terme del Foro of Cumae

103

*Maurizio Peticarini, Chiara Callegaro*  
Making the Invisible Visible: Virtual/Interactive Itineraries in Roman Padua

## AR&AI heritage routes

111

*Marinella Arena, Gianluca Lax*  
Saint Nicholas of Myra. Cataloguing, Identification, and Recognition Through AI

117

*Stefano Brusaporci, Pamela Maiezza, Alessandra Tata, Fabio Graziosi, Fabio Franchi*  
Prosthetic Visualizations for a Smart Heritage

123

*Gerardo Maria Cennamo*  
Advanced Practices of Augmented Reality: the Open Air Museum Systems for the Valorisation and Dissemination of Cultural Heritage

129

*Serena Fumero, Benedetta Frezzotti*  
The Use of AR Illustration in the Promotion of Heritage Sites

135

*Alessandro Luigini, Stefano Brusaporci, Alessandro Basso, Pamela Maiezza*  
The Sanctuary BVMA in Pescara: AR Fruition of the Pre-Conciliar Layout

141

*Alessandra Pagliano, Greta Attadema, Anna Lisa Pecora*  
Phyigitalarcheology for the Phlegraean Fields

147

*Andrea Rolando, Domenico D'Uva, Alessandro Scandiffio*  
A Technique to Measure the Spatial Quality of Slow Routes in Fragile Territories Using Image Segmentation

153

*Giorgio Verdiani, Ylenia Ricci, Andrea Pasquali, Stéphane Giraudeau*  
When the Real Really Means: VR and AR Experiences in Real Environments

159

*Ornella Zerlenga, Vincenzo Cirilla, Massimiliano Masulla, Aniello Pascale, Luigi Maffei*  
Drawing, Visualization and Augmented Reality of the 1791 Celebration in Naples

## AR&AI classification and 3D analysis

167

*Marco Giorgio Bevilacqua, Anthony Fedeli, Federico Capriuli, Antonella Gioli, Cosimo Monteleone, Andrea Piemonte*  
Immersive Technologies for the Museum of the Charterhouse of Calci

173

*Massimiliano Campi, Valeria Cera, Francesco Cutugno, Antonella di Luggo, Domenico Iovane, Antonio Origlia*  
CHROME Project: Representation and Survey for AI Development

179

*Paolo Cini, Roberto Pierdicca, Ramona Quattrini, Emanuele Frontoni, Romina Nespeca*  
Deep Learning for Point Clouds Classification in the Ducal Palace at Urbino

185

*Pierpaolo D'Agostino, Federico Minelli*  
Automated Modelling of Masonry Walls: a ML and AR Approach

191

*Elisabetta Caterina Giovannini*  
Data Modelling in Architecture: Digital Architectural Representations

197  
Marco Limongiello, Lucas Matias Gujski  
Image-Based Modelling Restitution: Pipeline for Accuracy Optimisation

203  
Federica Maietti, Marco Medici, Ernesto Iadanza  
From AI to H-BIM: New Interpretative Scenarios in Data Processing

209  
Michele Russo, Eleonora Grilli, Fabio Remondino, Simone Teruggi, Francesco Fassi  
Machine Learning for Cultural Heritage Classification

215  
Andrea Tomalini, Edoardo Pristeri, Letizia Bergamasco  
Photogrammetric Survey for a Fast Construction of Synthetic Dataset

## AR&AI urban enhancement

223  
Giuseppe Amoruso, Polina Mironenko, Valentina Demarchi  
Rebuilding Amatrice. Representation, Experience and Digital Artifice

229  
Paolo Belardi, Valeria Menchetelli, Giovanna Ramaccini, Margherita Maria Ristori, Camilla Sorignani  
AR+AI = Augmented (Retail + Identity) for Historical Retail Heritage

235  
Fabio Bianconi, Marco Filippucci, Marco Seccaroni  
New Interpretative Models for the Study of Urban Space

241  
Marco Canciani, Giovanna Spadafora, Mauro Saccone, Antonio Camassa  
Augmented Reality as a Research Tool, for the Knowledge and Enhancement of Cultural Heritage

247  
Alessandra Pagliano  
Augmenting Anghi: Murals in AR for Urban Regeneration and Historical Memory

253  
Caterina Palestini, Alessandra Basso  
Evolutionary Time Lines, Hypothesis of an AI+AR-Based Virtual Museum

259  
Daniele Rossi, Federico O. Oppedisano  
Marche in Tavola. Augmented Board Game for Enogastronomic Promotion

## AR&AI museum heritage

267  
Massimo Barilla, Daniele Colistra  
An Immersive Room Between Scylla and Charybdis

273  
Francesco Borella, Isabella Friso, Ludovica Galeazza, Cosimo Monteleone, Elena Svaldruz  
New Cultural Interfaces on the Gallerie dell'Accademia in Venice

279  
Laura Carlevaris, Marco Fasolo, Flavia Camagni  
Wood Inlays and AR: Considerations Regarding Perspective

285  
Giuseppe D'Acunto  
Augmented Reality and Museum Exhibition. The Case of the Tribuna of Palazzo Grimani in Venice

291  
Giuseppe Di Gregorio  
The Rock Church of San Micidario of the Pantalica Site and 3DLAB VR/AR-Project

297  
Elena Ippoliti  
Understanding to Enhance. Between the Technical and Humanist Approaches

303  
Gabiella Liva, Massimiliano Ciammaichella  
Illusory Scene and Immersive Space in Tintoretto's Theatre

309  
Franco Prampolini, Dina Porpiglia, Antonio Gambino  
Medma Touch, Feel, Think: Survey, Catalog and Sensory Limitations

315  
Paola Puma, Giuseppe Nicastro  
The Emotion Detection Tools in the Museum Education EmoDeM Project

321  
Leopoldo Repola, Nicola Scotta di Carlo, Andrea Maioli, Matteo Martignoni  
MareXperience. AI/AR for the Recognition and Enhancement of Reality

## AR&AI building information modeling and monitoring

329  
Vincenzo Bagnolo, Raffaele Argiolas, Nicola Paba  
Communicating Architecture. An AR Application in Scan-to-BIM Processes

335  
Marcello Balzani, Fabiana Raco, Manlio Montuori  
Integrated Technologies for Smart Buildings and PREdictive Maintenance

341  
Fabrizio Banfi  
Extended Reality (XR) and Cloud-Based BIM Platform Development

347  
Carlo Biagini, Ylenia Ricci, Irene Villaresi  
H-Bim to Virtual Reality: a New Tool for Historical Heritage

353  
Fabio Bianconi, Marco Filippucci, Giulia Pelliccia  
Experimental Value of Representative Models in Wooden Constructions

359  
David Campagnolo, Paolo Borin  
Automatic Recognition Through Deep Learning of Standard Forms in Executive Projects

365  
Matteo Del Giudice, Daniela De Luca, Anna Osello  
Interactive Information Models and Augmented Reality in the Digital Age

371  
Marco Filippucci, Fabio Bianconi, Michela Meschini  
Survey and BIM for Energy Upgrading. Two Case Study

377  
Raissa Garozzo  
A Proposal for Masonry Bridge Health Assessment Using AI and Semantics

383  
Federico Mario La Russa  
AI for AEC: Open Data and VPL Approach for Urban Seismic Vulnerability

389  
Assunta Pelliccio, Marco Saccucci  
V.A.I. Reality. A Holistic Approach for Industrial Heritage Enhancement

## AR&AI education and shape representation

397  
Maria Linda Falcidieno, Maria Elisabetta Ruggiero, Ruggero Torti  
Visual Languages: On-Board Communication as a Perception of Customer-caring

403  
Emanuela Lanzara, Mara Capone  
Genetic Algorithms for Polycentric Curves Interpretation

409  
Anna Lisa Pecora  
The Drawn Space for Inclusion and Communicating Space

415  
Marta Salvatore, Leonardo Baglioni, Graziano Mario Valenti, Alessandro Martinelli  
Forms in Space. AR Experiences for Geometries of Architectural Form

421  
Roberta Spallone, Valerio Palma  
AR&AI in the Didactics of the Representation Disciplines

427  
Alberto Tono, Meher Shashwat Nigam, Stasya Fedorova, Amirhossein Ahmadian, Cecilia Bolognesi  
Limitations and Review of Geometric Deep Learning Algorithms for Monocular 3D Reconstruction in Architecture

# Wood Inlays and AR: Considerations Regarding Perspective

Laura Carlevaris  
Marco Fasolo  
Flavia Camagni

## *Abstract*


The contribution reflects on the options provided by recent Augmented Reality (AR) applications to the knowledge and enhancement of a nationally and internationally renowned cultural asset: perspective wood inlays.

The methodology envisages two closely-connected stages initially involving perspective decoding and ensuing reconstruction of the illusory model, followed by the creation of a set-up for the AR pursuant to the digitalization of the three-dimensional model.

In AR, perspective is the ideal tool to virtually experience the space represented in the decoration; at the same time it provides the most suitable solutions required to optimise the AR project.

## *Keywords*

wood inlays, perspective, augmented reality, immersivity, dynamic perception.





## Introduction

This contribution [1] focuses in particular on wood inlays that primarily use a perspective approach to create the image, i.e., early Renaissance and sixteenth-century intarsia that exploit an elegant perspective technique to produce wood decorations.

There have been many recent examples of AR/VR applications used in environments embellished by wood inlay decorations. In particular the ones in Federico da Monfelftro's studiolo in Gubbio and Urbino which were developed to virtually recreate the rooms' artistic quality [2]. Said studies focused more on communicating the cultural asset rather than speculating on the perspective–geometry used to create the illusory space, achieved due to the sfondamento of the plane of the wooden cladding.

This contribution will instead concentrate on the common projective origin of the two representative models: on the one hand the perspective model, on the other the digital model behind the AR experience that can be visualised on an ad hoc display.

Our goal regarding the projective and perspective features linking the perspective sfondato with its AR version is to verify whether these features are the key elements required to successfully recreate the spatial complexity of this particular artistic genre.

To test the methodology we selected an intarsia made by Brother Damiano Zambelli around the year 1530 and inserted in the backrest of the choir stalls in the presbytery of the Basilica of San Domenico in Bologna (opening image, left). When an onlooker looks at this intarsia, or at any other perspective inlays, he perceives an illusory space beyond the wooden frame, in this case a quadrangular hall with a Lombard-style coffered ceiling resting on two rows of three pilasters, the remains of a building in the middle ground, and a temple in the background [3].

## Considerations Regarding Perspective and AR

Two features betray the similarities between perspective and AR: one involves the fundamental elements of projection, the other concerns the elements participating in the creation of the perceptive experience of amplifying space.

The first step is to verify parallelism between the centre of projection, the picture plane, and the model to be represented as crucial elements of the perspective, and, respectively, the virtual camera, the target, and the three-dimensional digital content in the AR.

The effect of amplifying real space is created by skillfully using the perspective technique; it is effectively similar to the AR experience after accurate correlation is established between perspective construction and the design of the virtual fruition application.

The illusory space conjured up in the wooden intarsia is possible thanks to the observer's sensitivity and visual and artistic knowledge, while the perceptive functioning of the AR is generated by superimposing suitably designed digital contents on real space; these contents are activated by establishing a specific target.

In this respect, we must carefully consider the element to be used as a target to recognise the virtual model that we can presume to be either the inlay itself or an orthorectified image of the inlay itself.

As we all know, perspective theory allows for endless positions of the model to be represented compared to the picture plane (in fact the object can be between the centre of projection and the plane, beyond the plane, or even astride the plane). Nevertheless,




Fig. 1. Schematic drawing reflecting on the possible relationship between objects and the picture plane in perspective construction (left) and the model appearing in front of the target in AR applications (right).

when we look at a wooden intarsia the perceptive effect sought after and recreated by the artist is to place this space "beyond" the physical limit of real space, i.e., beyond the inlay, which, in turn, can be equated to the perspective picture plane (fig. 1). Instead in AR, after activating the application the digital model appears in front of the target (fig. 2). In perspective intarsia applications this disorients the onlooker who no longer easily perceives the continuity between the two-dimensional perspective image and the reconstructed space in the digital model (fig. 2).

To restore the immersive effect a solution could come from the nature itself of the wood inlays and their dimensional characteristics. In fact, inlays are primarily framed by a scansion of the wood surface so that the dimensions of each *sfondato* corresponds to that of an opening (door or window). The space imagined beyond the wooden plane appears to be divided into as many *sfondamenti* as the number of perspective panels, usually bordered by a compositional element that acts as a frame for the intarsia. This allows us to theorise that the latter is the element tasked with mediating the shift from the perspective image to its digital reconstruction by inserting, in the model, an element present in the target.




Fig. 2. Concept view, inside the choir of the basilica of San Domenico in Bologna. The image shows user perception of the application of AR in the case the necessary changes are not made to obtain the perspective *sfondamento*.

Let's now focus on the case study, i.e., the inlay in the choir stall in the Basilica of San Domenico. We have outlined the aforementioned considerations because in AR the digital model is visualised in front of the target; the chosen target is in fact the intarsia itself, while the one perceived by the onlooker is a model that does not 'pierce' the wooden plane, but instead exits it and is projected forward into real space, nullifying the illusory effect of spatial depth beyond the inlay. To eliminate this undesired effect, a decision was taken to make part of the target (i.e., the frame around the scene) an element of the model produced for the AR application. The outcome was a virtual frame perfectly superimposed on the physical target in front of said urban scene.

Solving the issue of the target is the first step in achieving successful restitution of illusory space. Another inconvenience is the presence of elements in real space that conflict and are visualised with the ones in the digital model – possibly including the target itself.

Once again, the solution was found in the common projective origin of the wooden *sfondato* and AR application. In this case, the applied strategies were instigated by the perspective used in theatrical stage sets and photography.

A box-like environment inspired by photographic box sets was created to isolate the urban scene beyond the frame and stop the real environment from being considered as a background. This involved creating a delimited digital space characterised by neutral textured materials in which the rounded corners did not reveal the change in position of each plane. Inserting the digital model in this box eliminates the presence of real space elements that are thus inserted in virtual space, helping to reinforce the perceived effectiveness of the AR experience (fig. 3, left).

Nevertheless, the digital model and the box set in which it is inserted are both a certain size and can be visualised as an insertion in real space; this is the third element that helps to weaken the illusory *sfondato* of the wooden surface. Once again the solution lies in the perspective-scenographic origin of the two models, the perspective model of the intarsia, and the illusory AR model; it is reminiscent of the proscenium arch in many theatres or mobile stage sets. When a foreground frames the scene it amplifies the perceptive effect of depth; this has been common knowledge ever since antiquity, especially in the theatrical world; the 'trick' is used both in the field of perspective and that of photography.

In a theatre, the proscenium arch in the foreground plays a dual role: apart from framing the stage and interrupting continuity with real space, it makes the space of the stage less immediately 'measurable' and isolates the parts of the scenery and machinery that spectators should not see.




Fig. 3. Box set (top left) and proscenium arch (top right) applied to the virtual model (bottom).

Placing this element in the model elaborated for the AR application involves inserting a sort of screen and assigning it certain characteristics and materials so that it isolates the model, but cannot be seen when the application is used. In our case study, for example, this additional insertion of a screen in the foreground, was appropriately cut to show only the part of the *sfondamento* that the onlooker was allowed to see (fig. 3, right).

The presence of an element of the target in the digital model, coupled with the creation of the box set and insertion of a screen, facilitated seamless exploration of the space of the AR with fruition of the real space where the inlay is situated; it also facilitated a comparison between the digital model and the perspective image.

## Conclusions

The use of AR in perspective applications and, in particular, in wood inlays, raises several questions; a suitable solution lies in the realisation that the two systems share a projective origin. Bearing this in mind, and focusing on the world of theatrical stage sets and photographic technique – two technical–artistic mediums with the same roots and same scientific evolution – provides us with important knowledge and helps solving some of these issues. Obviously this contribution ignores other aspects that are nevertheless crucial and should be considered in a much broader study.

In fact, it will be necessary to tackle the question of the implementation of materials, lights and texture in the model for the AR application; these elements can be identified by analysing the inlays themselves, but will have to be correctly managed.

Another aspect not tackled here, although inevitable, is the relationship between the position of the observer of the perspective (presumed to be stationary in the environment) and the kinetic nature of AR exploration.

Nevertheless, once again, in the case of perspective inlays, AR proves to be the right tool to enrich the experience of all those who wish to enjoy cultural heritage thanks to an approach which, by increasing perceptive options, also includes scientific contents – all too often relegated to the back burner.

## Notes

[1] Although all the authors participated in the whole research, the study of the wooden intarsia, their perspective construction and specific case study was performed by M. Fasolo; the part regarding the relationships between perspective and AR was performed by L. Carlevaris; in–depth operational studies and the creation of the models and contents of the AR application were performed by F. Camagni. The conclusions are part of the joint research project.

[2] Regarding the *studiolo* in Urbino, see: Roberto Mantovani, <<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kShbXlHY17G0>> (consulted on 11th February 2021); the project *Lo studiolo di Gubbio: tour virtuale e ipotesi ricostruttive di un microcosmo umanistico*; Paolo Clini, *Lo Studiolo di Federico da Montefeltro. Fruizione del Cultural Heritage attraverso nuove tecnologie di realtà immersiva*: <<https://www.facebook.com/watch/?v=690533685114053>> (consulted on 11th February 2021). Regarding the decorations in the *studiolo* in Gubbio, currently housed in the Metropolitan Museum in New York, <<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8MTgRIEuHBg>> (consulted on 11th February 2021).

[3] Cfr. Alce 2002.

## References

- Alce Venturino (2002). *Il coro intarsiato di San Domenico in Bologna*. Bologna: ESD.
- Bertocci Stefano, Farneti Fauzia (eds.) (2020). *L'architettura dipinta: storia, conservazione e rappresentazione digitale*. Firenze: Didapress.
- Bianchini Carlo, Fasolo Marco, Camagni Flavia (2020). Dimensioni, scale e rappresentazioni: un'eterna ghirlanda brillante. Dal 3D al 2D e ritorno. In *Paesaggio Urbano*, 2, pp. 149-157.
- Bixio Antonio, Radogna Veronica (2019). La Certosa di Padula "officina" di ricerca: le Tarsie dei cori lignei della chiesa di San Lorenzo. In Belardi Paolo (ed.). *Riflessioni. L'arte del disegno/Il disegno dell'arte*. Roma: Gangemi Editore, pp. 385-394.
- Fasolo Marco, Camagni Flavia (2020). Imagination and Image in Renaissance Wooden Inlays. In Cicalò Enrico (ed.). *Image and Imagination*. Cham: Springer Nature, pp. 759-772.
- Friso Isabella, Bernardello Rachele Angela, Piccinin Giulia, Dalla Longa Mirka, Giordano Andrea, De Rosa, Agostino, Monteleone Cosimo, Faresin Emanuela (2020). L'architettura dipinta della Scoletta del Carmine a Padova. In Bertocci Stefano, Farneti Fauzia (eds.), *L'architettura dipinta: storia, conservazione e rappresentazione digitale*. Firenze: Didapress, pp. 218-227.
- Luigini Alessandro, Panciroli Chiara (eds.) (2018). *Ambienti digitali per l'educazione all'arte e al patrimonio*. Milano: FrancoAngeli.
- Mantovani Roberto, Serafini Francesco (2008). Lo studiolo virtuale di Urbino. In Emmer Michele (ed.). *Matematica e cultura 2008*. Milano: Springer.
- Rossi Michela, Russo Michele (2020). Dipinti di legno. Le tarsie prospettiche del coro di Santa Maria della Scala in San Fedele a Milano. In Bertocci Stefano, Farneti Fauzia (eds.), *L'architettura dipinta: storia, conservazione e rappresentazione digitale*. Firenze: Didapress, pp. 35-46.
- Valenti Graziano M. (ed.) (2014, 2016). *Prospettive architettoniche. Conservazione digitale, divulgazione e studio*. Voll. I, II. Roma: Sapienza Università Editrice.

## Authors

Laura Carlevaris, Dept. of History, Representation and Restoration of Architecture, Sapienza University of Rome, laura.carlevaris@uniroma1.it  
Marco Fasolo, Dept. of History, Representation and Restoration of Architecture, Sapienza University of Rome, marco.fasolo@uniroma1.it  
Flavia Camagni, Dept. of History, Representation and Restoration of Architecture, Sapienza University of Rome, flavia.camagni@uniroma1.it

