

The plasma membrane-associated Ca²⁺- binding protein PCaP1 is required for oligogalacturonide and flagellin-induced priming and immunity

Moira Giovannoni^{1,5}, Lucia Marti¹, Simone Ferrari¹, Natsuki Tanaka-Takada², Masayoshi

Maeshima², Thomas Ott^{3,4}, Giulia De Lorenzo^{1*} and Benedetta Mattei^{5*}

¹ Department of Biology and Biotechnology “C. Darwin”, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy;

²Laboratory of Cell Dynamics, Graduate School of Bioagricultural Sciences, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8601, JAPAN.

³University of Freiburg, Faculty of Biology, Cell Biology, 79104 Freiburg, Germany;

⁴CIBSS - Centre for Integrative Biological Signalling studies, University of Freiburg, 79104 Freiburg, Germany

⁵Department of Health, Life and Environmental Sciences, University of L’Aquila, L’Aquila, Italy

*corresponding author: email mariabenedetta.mattei@uniroma1.it, tel. 0039-0862-433256

*co-corresponding author: email giulia.delorenzo@uniroma1.it, tel. 0039-06-49912517

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research (PRIN 2017ZBBYNC). TO was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) under Germany’s Excellence Strategy (CIBSS – EXC-2189 – Project ID 39093984).

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as doi: [10.1111/pce.14118](https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.14118)

ABSTRACT

Early signaling events in response to elicitation include reversible protein phosphorylation and re-localization of plasma membrane (PM) proteins. Oligogalacturonides (OGs) are a class of Damage-Associated Molecular Patterns (DAMPs) that act as endogenous signals to activate the plant immune response. Previous data on early phosphoproteome changes in *Arabidopsis thaliana* upon OG perception uncovered the immune-related phospho-regulation of several membrane proteins, among which PCaP1, a PM-anchored protein with actin filament-severing activity, was chosen for its potential involvement in OG- and flagellin-triggered responses. Here we demonstrate that PCaP1 is required for late, but not early, responses induced by OGs and flagellin. Moreover, *pcap1* mutants, unlike the wild type, are impaired in the recovery of full responsiveness to a second treatment with OGs performed 24 h after the first one. Localization studies on PCaP1 upon OG treatment in plants expressing a functional PCaP1-GFP fusion under the control of *PCaP1* promoter revealed fluorescence on the PM, organized in densely packed punctate structures, previously reported as microdomains. Fluorescence was found to be associated also with endocytic vesicles, the number of which rapidly increased after OG treatment, suggesting both an endocytic turnover of PCaP1 for maintaining its homeostasis at the PM and an OG-induced endocytosis.

KEYWORDS (oligogalacturonides, flagellin, elicitor-induced resistance, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Botrytis cinerea*, plant immunity, priming, microdomains)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the staff of the Life Imaging Center (LIC) in the Centre for Integrative Signalling Analysis (CISA) of the Albert-Ludwigs-University of Freiburg for help with their confocal microscopy resources, and the excellent support in image recording. The Zeiss LSM880 was funded by the DFG grant INST 380/109-1 FUGG. We also appreciate the help of Pengbo Liang and Nikolaj Abel (University of Freiburg) for image recording and technical support, and Manuel Benedetti for helpful comments and proofreading.

INTRODUCTION

Plants evolved various mechanisms to counteract pathogen attacks. Some of these provide constitutive physical and chemical barriers to pathogen infections while others are induced only upon pathogen perception (Boller & Felix, 2009). An innate immune system is crucial for plant survival and is characterized by a rapid activation of defense responses triggered by the perception of danger signals (Chisholm et al., 2006) mediated by specific pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) (Albert et al., 2020; Boutrot & Zipfel, 2017). Among the danger signals, pathogen-/microbe-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs/MAMPs) are conserved molecules secreted or present on the surface of microbial pathogens that are capable of activating the so-called pattern-triggered immunity (PTI) against a wide range of pathogens. Plants are also capable of activating the immune system by sensing endogenous molecular patterns present only when the tissue is infected or damaged (damage-associated molecular patterns or DAMPs), thus discriminating between an intact and an altered self (Duran-Flores & Heil, 2018; Hou et al., 2019).

Oligogalacturonides (OGs) are a well-known class of DAMPs (De Lorenzo et al., 2018; De Lorenzo et al., 2019; Ferrari et al., 2013; Pontiggia et al., 2020). Upon infection, phytopathogenic microbes degrade homogalacturonan (HGA), the main component of pectin, by utilizing *endo*-polygalacturonases (PGs) and other pectic enzymes. In the cell wall, the interaction between PGs and polygalacturonase-inhibiting proteins (PGIPs) enhances the formation of OGs (Benedetti et al., 2015; D'Ovidio et al., 2004; Mattei et al., 2005) capable of inducing a variety of plant defences (Ferrari et al., 2013; Galletti et al., 2011). Treatment with OGs protects *Arabidopsis thaliana*, grapevine (*Vitis vinifera*) and tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) against infections by the necrotrophic fungus *Botrytis*

cinerea (Aziz et al., 2007; Ferrari et al., 2007; Gamir et al., 2020). OGs not only act as DAMPs but also as negative regulators of plant growth and development mainly through their antagonism with auxin (Bellincampi et al., 1993; Ferrari et al., 2013; Pontiggia et al., 2020; Savatin et al., 2011).

The surface receptor kinase Wall-Associated Kinase 1 (WAK1) has been shown to mediate perception of OGs (Brutus et al., 2010; Gramegna et al., 2016; Vaahtera et al., 2019). WAKs are considered sensors (De Lorenzo et al., 2011; Kohorn, 2016) of the cell wall integrity (CWI) and part of the system that perceives CW alterations and coordinates the restoration of the CW functional integrity and cell growth (Engelsdorf et al., 2018; Vaahtera et al., 2019).

As shown by transcriptome analysis, early responses induced by OGs largely overlap with those induced by flg22, a MAMP derived from the bacterial flagellin. The production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), changes in ion fluxes and deposition of callose are also common responses to OGs and MAMPs (Ferrari et al., 2013; Gravino et al., 2017).

Most of the mechanisms by which the OG signal is transduced are not yet known. Protein phosphorylation/de-phosphorylation and other post-translational modifications (PTMs) are likely to play a role in the response to OGs (Macho & Zipfel, 2014; Withers & Dong, 2017). Indeed, kinases such as calcium-dependent protein kinases (CDPKs) (Bigeard et al., 2015; Gravino et al., 2015) and mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) are important components of the OG and MAMP-induced immune response. For example, in Arabidopsis, the MAPKs indicated as MPK3 and MPK6 (Asai et al., 2002; Galletti et al., 2011) and the MAP kinase kinase kinases ANPs (Arabidopsis NPK1-related Protein kinases) (Marti et al., 2020; Savatin et al., 2014) play a major role in the response to OGs and flg22.

A large-scale study of early phosphoproteome changes in Arabidopsis upon OG perception allowed to uncover the phospho-regulation of more than 90 membrane proteins and suggested that an interplay occurs between several processes such as intracellular trafficking and vesicle dynamics, cytoskeleton rearrangement, signal transduction and phospholipid signaling (Mattei et al., 2016). In particular, among the OG-dependent phosphorylated proteins, we found the Plasma membrane Cation Binding Protein 1 (PCaP1), also known as microtubule-destabilizing protein 25 (MDP25). PCaP1 is a hydrophilic protein belonging to the plant-specific DREPP (Developmentally-Regulated Plasma Membrane Polypeptide) family, the members of which are characterized by a peripheral interaction with the PM and differential regulation during plant development (Gantet et al., 1996; Logan et al., 1997; Vosolsobe et al., 2017). PCaP1 is anchored to the PM through both N-myristoylation at glycine 2 (Gly 2) and a relatively strong polybasic amino acid cluster in the N-terminal region that has been shown to bind phosphatidylinositol phosphates (PIPs) *in vitro* experiments (Nagasaki et al., 2008; Vosolsobe et al., 2017). In particular, interaction has been observed with phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate [PI(4,5)P₂], a biochemical landmark of PM, and more strongly with PI(3,5)P₂, which however is less abundant in plant cells and localized in late endosomes and tonoplast (Gerth et al., 2017; Xing et al., 2020). These observations suggest that the localization of PCaP1 might be regulated by the presence of the lipids on the PM, vesicles or endomembranes.

PCaP1 also interacts with Ca²⁺ and with Ca²⁺-calmodulin (CaM) complexes in a Ca²⁺-dependent manner (Kato et al., 2010; Li et al., 2011; Nagasaki et al., 2008). CaM weakens the interaction with PIPs but does not interfere with PCaP1 membrane localization (Kato et al., 2010). Very high, non-physiological Ca²⁺ levels have been shown to induce the dissociation of PCaP1 from the PM, its

Accepted Article

release into the cytosol and its binding to filaments of the cortical cytoskeleton, leading to their destabilization (Li et al., 2011). More recently, PCaP1 has been shown to be an actin-binding protein (ABP) that interacts directly with actin, through the 23-amino acid N-terminal region that also binds PIPs (Vosolsobe et al., 2017), and to sever individual actin filaments (Qin et al., 2014). The action on the cytoskeleton is likely responsible for the capability of PCaP1 to negatively regulate hypocotyl elongation (Li et al., 2011), pollen tube growth (Qin et al., 2014) and the root hydrotropic response (Tanaka-Takada et al., 2019).

The observations that *PCaP1* expression is induced following treatment with Cu^{2+} (Nagata et al., 2016) and flg22 (Ide et al., 2007), and that PCaP1 is phosphorylated in response to flg22 (Rayapuram et al., 2014) and OGs suggest a role in immunity. In this work, we demonstrate that PCaP1 plays a role in PTI and is required for a full response to OGs. Moreover, we show that the protein is organized in PM microdomains and is internalized in endocytic vesicles in response to OGs.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant materials

Wild-type seeds of *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype Columbia-0 (Col-0) were purchased from Lehle Seeds (Round Rock, TX, USA). Seeds of the T-DNA insertional mutant *pcap1-1* (SALK_022955 line) and *pcap1-3* (GABI_872_G04) were obtained from The Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Centre (NASC) (School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, United Kingdom). Homozygous mutants were isolated by PCR-based genotyping using the gene-specific PCR primers listed in **Table S1** and primers for the T-DNA sequence (Lba1 for Arabidopsis SALK mutant line and 8474 for Arabidopsis

GABI Kat mutant line). Transgenic proPCaP1:PCaP1-GFP seedlings were generated in a previous work (Nagata et al., 2016). Complemented lines proPCaP1-GFP/*pcap1-1* and proPCaP1-GFP/*pcap1-3* were obtained crossing the proPCaP1:PCaP1-GFP line with *pcap1-1* and *pcap1-3* null mutants.

Double-homozygous were confirmed by PCR-based genotyping and confocal microscopy for the fusion protein. F4 progeny was used for complementation assay.

Growth conditions and plant treatments

Arabidopsis plants were grown on soil (Compo Sana) at 22 °C, 70% relative humidity under 12/12 h light/dark cycle (approximately 120 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$). For seedling assays, seeds were surface sterilized using a solution composed of 0.01% w/v sodium dodecyl sulfate, 1.6% v/v NaClO) for 10 min, washed and germinated in multi-well plates (approximately 10 seeds well⁻¹) containing 0.5X MS (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) medium supplemented with 0.5% sucrose (2 mL well⁻¹).

For gene expression and immunoblotting analysis, seedlings were grown at 22 °C and 70% relative humidity under a 12/12 h light/dark cycle (approximately 120 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$). After 9 days, the medium was adjusted to 1 mL and treatments with OGs (50 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) and flg22 (10 nM) were performed after 24 h.

For desensitization in seedlings, after 9 days, the medium was adjusted to 1 ml and pre-treatments with OGs (50 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) and water, as a control, were performed. 24 h later, seedlings were treated again with OGs (50 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) and water.

For the protection assay, four-week-old plants were sprayed with water, OGs ($200 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) and flg22 ($1 \mu\text{M}$). For ROS production analysis, leaf discs were obtained from four-week-old plants.

OGs with an average DP of 10 to 15 were obtained as previously described (Benedetti et al., 2017; Pontiggia et al., 2015).

Genomic DNA extraction

Leaves were frozen in liquid nitrogen and homogenized with a MM301 Ball Mill (Retsch, Basel, Switzerland) for about 1 minute at 24 Hz. Homogenate was solubilized with 200 μl of extraction buffer (200mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 25mM EDTA, 150mM NaCl, 0.5% v/v SDS) and 5 μl of RNase (10 mg mL^{-1}) (Ribonuclease A, Sigma-Aldrich®) and mixed. The mixture was incubated at 56°C for 30 min and then centrifuged at $13000 \times g$ for 5 min at RT to allow phase separation. The supernatant was recovered, incubated with an equal volume of absolute isopropanol, and then centrifuged. Pellet was re-dissolved in an appropriate volume of nuclease-free water.

Gene expression analysis

Treated seedlings or four-week-old leaves were frozen in liquid nitrogen, homogenized with a MM301 Ball Mill (Retsch, Basel, Switzerland) for about 1 minute at 24 Hz and total RNA was extracted using the universal reagent for RNA isolation NucleoZol (Macherey-Nagel) according to manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA ($2 \mu\text{g}$) was treated with RQ1 DNase (Promega) and cDNA was synthesized with the ImProm-II™ Reverse Transcription System (Promega). qPCR was performed with a CFX96 Real-Time PCR System (Bio-Rad <http://www.bio-rad.com>). cDNA (25 ng of total RNA) was

amplified in a 10 μL reaction mix containing 1X iTaq™ Universal SYBR® Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) and 0.5 μM of each primer. Three technical replicates were analyzed for each sample.

For each reaction, PCR efficiency (E) and Ct were calculated using the LinRegPCR software.

Average expression level of each sample, relative to *UBQ5*, was determined using a modification of the Pfaffl method (Ruijter et al., 2013). Primer sequences used in this work are shown in **Table S1**.

Gene expression analysis was performed from at least 3 independent biological replicates, each composed by 20 seedlings or at least four adult leaves from different plants.

***Botrytis cinerea* growth and protection assay**

Protection assays against *B. cinerea* were performed as previously described (Ferrari et al., 2007) with slight modifications. *Botrytis cinerea* (a kind gift of J. Plotnikova, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA) was grown for 10 to 15 d at 22°C under constant light on MEP media (malt-agar 2% (w/v), peptone 1% (w/v) and micro-agar 1.5% (w/v) until sporulation. Conidia were collected by flooding the plates with sterile water and filtered through Miracloth filter paper. Before plant inoculation, spores were suspended in 24 g L⁻¹ potato dextrose broth (PDB) and incubated for 3 h at room temperature (RT) to allow uniform germination. Four-week-old Arabidopsis rosette were sprayed with water, OGs (200 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) or flg22 (1 μM) 24 h before inoculation.

Inoculation was performed placing drops of spore suspension (5 μL of 5×10^5 conidiospores mL⁻¹ per drop) on each side of the middle vein of leaves on plants. Lesion areas were determined by measuring necrotic tissues using ImageJ software 48 h after inoculation. The protection assays were repeated three times with consistent results.

Protein extraction and immunoblot analyses

MAPKs phosphorylation. Seedlings were frozen in liquid nitrogen and crude extracts were prepared in phosphatase-inhibiting buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM NaF, 1 mM Na₃VO₄, 1mM Na₂MoO₄, 25 mM NaF, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.1% (v/v) Tween20, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and 1X protease inhibitor cocktail P9599 (Sigma-Aldrich). Equal amounts of proteins (40 µg) were resolved on 8% polyacrylamide gels and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes using a Trans-Blot Turbo apparatus (Bio-Rad). Primary antibodies against phospho-p44/42 MAP kinase (1:2000) and against MPK3 (1:2500) and MPK6 (1:10000), in TBS-T (Tris-buffered saline, 0.1% Tween 20) containing 0.5% (w/v) BSA (Bovine Serum Albumin) were used with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antirabbit as secondary antibody (1:6000). Signal detection was performed using Clarity™ Western ECL substrate detection kit (Bio-Rad) in the ChemiDoc MP imaging system (Bio-Rad).

Detection of Reactive Oxygen Species

Hydrogen peroxide produced by leaf discs was measured by a luminol-based assay. Leaf-discs (0.125 cm²) from four-week-old plants were washed with sterile water every 30 min, for four times. One leaf disc per well was incubated overnight in sterile water in a 96-well titer plate (Thermo Scientific NUNC). For elicitation with flg22, water was replaced with luminol solution (Sigma-Aldrich; 30 µg mL⁻¹) containing horseradish peroxidase (Sigma-Aldrich; 20 µg mL⁻¹) and flg22 (100 nM), or water as a control. For elicitation with OGs, discs were vacuum infiltrated with the OG solution (200 µg

mL⁻¹), or water as a control, for 2 min before addition of the luminol/peroxidase solution. ROS production was analyzed for 40 min using a GloMax® Multi⁺ Detection System (Promega) and a signal integration time of 1 s. Luminescence was expressed in Relative Light Units (RLU). At least twelve leaf discs from four different plants were used for each biological replicate.

Determination of callose accumulation

Accumulation of callose was determined in four-week-old rosette leaves 24 h after infiltration with OGs (50 µg mL⁻¹) or flg22 (100 nM) and water as a control. After 24 h, ten leaves from at least four independent plants for each treatment were cleared and dehydrated by boiling in 100% ethanol.

Leaves were fixed in an acetic acid: ethanol (1:3) solution for 2 h, incubated for 15 min in 75% (v/v) ethanol, 15 min in 50% (v/v) ethanol and 15 min in 150 mM phosphate buffer (pH 8.0), and stained in 150 mM phosphate buffer (pH 8.0) containing 0.01% (w/v) aniline blue for 16 h at 4°C. After staining, leaves were mounted in 50% (v/v) glycerol and examined by UV epifluorescence microscopy (330-385 nm) (Nikon, Eclipse E200) using 10X magnification objective. The excitation was detected using a cooled charge-coupled device CCD camera (DS-Fi1C). Acquisition software is NIS-Elements Advanced Research (Nikon). The number of callose deposits and the relative callose units were calculated using ImageJ.

FM4-64 staining and brefeldin A treatment

Five-day-old proPCaP1:PCaP1-GFP seedlings were treated with OGs (50 µg mL⁻¹), flg22 (1 µM) or water for 30 min, then immediately stained with FM4-64 (2 µM, Invitrogen, Molecular Probes) in

0.5X MS medium supplemented with 0.5% (w/v) sucrose for 5 min on ice, and finally rinsed twice with cold medium. Imaging was performed within 10 min.

For brefeldin A (BFA) treatment, seedlings were first incubated for 60 min at RT in 1 mL of 0.5X MS liquid medium containing 50 μ M BFA, then treated with OGs (50 μ g mL⁻¹), flg22 (1 μ M) or water for 30 min, and finally stained with FM4-64 as described above.

Confocal Laser-Scanning Microscopy

An inverted spinning-disk confocal microscope (CarvX, CrEST) was used for analyses. Imaging was performed using CFI Planfluor 40x (1.4 NA) oil immersion objective (NIKON) through a 70 μ m pinhole disk set at 6000 rpm. GFP was excited using 473 nm laser light. Detection was performed using a cooled charge-coupled device CCD camera (CoolSNAP HQ2, Photometrics) and omega band-pass filters XF100-2. The CCD camera, Z-motor and confocal head were controlled by the Metamorph software (Molecular Devices).

Images of microdomain patterns in proPCaP1:PCaP1-GFP seedlings were acquired using a ZEISS Spinning Disk Inverted microscope (Axio Observer with laser ablation unit) with 40X water immersion lens. The GFP was excited with a 488nm argon laser and detected using a 525/50 bandwidth filter.

Endocytosis in the presence of FM4-64 and BFA was imaged using a Zeiss LSM880 equipped with an Airyscan detector. PCaP1-GFP and FM4-64 imaging were performed using the 488 nm line of the argon laser (25 mW) and the 543 nm line of a He/Ne laser line (5 mW), respectively.

Image Processing and Data Analysis

Microdomain quantification was performed on 5-day-old proPCaP1:PCaP1-GFP seedlings by using ImageJ software, according to (Jarsch & Ott, 2015).

The amount of vesicles was expressed as the number of vesicles per image according to (Beck et al., 2012). Degree of colocalization was statistically analyzed using Pearson's and Mander's coefficients by JACoP plugin embedded in the visualization and analysis software ImageJ version 1.45s (Cordelières & Bolte, 2014; Schneider et al., 2012).

RESULTS

PCaP1 is required for OG-induced priming

The identification of PCaP1 among the membrane proteins differentially phosphorylated within 10 min after treatment with OGs suggested its possible involvement in OG-triggered immunity. In order to elucidate this, the effect of the loss of *PCaP1* in basal and induced resistance against the necrotrophic fungus *B. cinerea* was investigated in mutant lines defective for this gene. Two homozygous allelic T-DNA insertion mutants (*pcap1-1* and *pcap1-3*) were identified (**Fig. 1a**). Both mutants showed no expression of full length *PCaP1* transcripts (**Fig. 1b**), indicating that they are null mutants, and no obvious morphological and developmental defects were observed (**Fig. S1**).

Protection of Arabidopsis plants against *B. cinerea* is known to be induced by pre-treatment with OGs or flg22 (Ferrari et al., 2007). This is a consequence of a 'primed' state induced by the elicitors that make plants prone to respond more quickly and strongly to biotic and abiotic stresses (Conrath, 2011; Martinez-Medina et al., 2016). To analyse the priming response in *pcap1* mutants,

adult plants were sprayed with OGs, flg22 or water and leaves were inoculated with *B. cinerea* spores 24 h after the treatment. While wild-type plants pre-treated with OGs or flg22 showed a reduced susceptibility compared to the water-sprayed plants, elicitor pre-treatment did not promote the same effect in both *pcap1* mutants, suggesting that PCaP1 is required for the elicitor-induced priming (**Fig. 1c**).

To further investigate the basis of the lack of the elicitor-induced protection in *pcap1* mutant plants upon infection with *B. cinerea*, we evaluated the expression of the defence-related gene *PHYTOALEXIN DEFICIENT 3* (*PAD3*, *AT3G26830*), which encodes the enzyme involved in the last step of camalexin biosynthesis (Zhou et al., 1999). *PAD3* is required for OG-induced protection against *B. cinerea* (Ferrari et al., 2007) and its expression during infection with *B. cinerea* is primed by pre-treatment with OGs (Gravino et al., 2015). Levels of *PAD3* transcripts showed a moderate and similar increase at 24 h post-infection (hpi) in both water-sprayed (control) wild-type and *pcap1* mutant plants (**Fig. 2**). In wild-type plants pre-treated with OGs, *PAD3* transcripts significantly increased already at 14 hpi, and at 24 hpi reached levels that were more than two-fold higher compared to the water-sprayed plants. In contrast, in *pcap1* mutants pre-treated with OGs, not only priming of *PAD3* expression did not occur, but accumulation of *PAD3* transcripts was even reduced compared to the water-pretreated plants (**Fig. 2**). This result corroborates the conclusion that priming is impaired in *pcap1* mutants and further implies that the lack of protection against *B. cinerea* in the OG-pre-treated mutant plants could be at least in part ascribed to a defective priming of the expression of *PAD3*.

PCaP1 is required for OG-induced late response and for restoration of the responsiveness to a second treatment with OGs.

Next, we investigated the involvement of *PCaP1* in the response to elicitors. First, we analyzed early responses such as OG-induced phosphorylation of MPK3 and MPK6, and OG- and flg22-induced accumulation of extracellular ROS in the *pcap1* mutants. Western blot analysis using an anti-pTpY antibody that cross-reacts with the double phosphorylated activation loop of MAPKs [TEY motif, (Ichimura et al., 2002)] revealed that levels of phosphorylated MPK3 and MPK6 were nearly comparable in the elicited mutants and wild-type seedlings (**Fig. S2a**). Also, elicitor-induced apoplastic ROS production was not altered in the null mutant plants (**Fig. S2b**).

We further investigated whether *pcap1* mutants were affected in the response to OGs by analysing the induced expression of defense-related genes *RET-OX* (*At1g26380*), *FRK1* (*AT2G19190*) and *CYP81F2* (*AT5G57220*). *RET-OX*, renamed as *FOX1* (Boudsocq et al., 2010), encodes a berberine bridge enzyme-like protein involved in the conversion of indole-cyanohydrin into the defense-related metabolite indole-3-carbonyl nitrile (Rajniak et al., 2015). *FRK1* and *CYP81F2* encode an OG- and flg22-induced receptor-like kinase and a cytochrome P450 monooxygenase involved in the biosynthesis of indole glucosinolate, respectively (Asai et al., 2002; Nafisi et al., 2007). These three genes are all considered as early-MAMP- and DAMP-induced genes (i.e., genes showing a maximal expression at 1 h after elicitation) (Denoux et al., 2008; Galletti et al., 2008; Gravino et al., 2017). In addition, we examined two late-induced genes, typically showing maximal expression at 3 h or later after elicitation, i.e. *PAD3* and *POLYGALACTURONASE-INHIBITING PROTEIN 1* (*PGIP1*, *AT5G06860*), an OG- and *B. cinerea*-induced gene involved in

Accepted Article

basal resistance to this fungus (Ferrari et al., 2003). Transcript levels for these genes were examined upon an additional treatment with OGs for 1 h and 3 h performed 24 h after a pre-treatment with either OGs (OG-OG treatment; double treatment) or water (H₂O-OG treatment, single treatment). In seedlings subjected to H₂O-OG, no difference between wild-type and mutant seedlings was observed at 1 h for *FRK1*, *CYP81F2* and *RETOX*; at 3 h however, transcript levels for *FRK1*, *PAD3* and *PGIP1* were significantly reduced in both mutants (**Fig. 3**). These results indicate that PCaP1 is required for full expression of the defense genes at a later stage, but not at the early stage of the response to OGs. In seedlings subjected to the double OG treatment (OG-OG), expression of all defense genes in the wild-type at both 1 h and 3 h was not significantly different compared to the H₂O-OG treatment (**Fig. 3**), suggesting that after 24 h, seedlings have totally recovered from the desensitization known to occur upon two consecutive treatments with the same elicitor (refractory period < 24 h) (Denoux et al., 2008). In the mutants, however, the expression of all analyzed genes was reduced at both time points in the OG-OG-treated seedlings compared to H₂O-OG-treated ones (**Fig. 3**), a behaviour that may be interpreted as a slower recovery from desensitization in the mutants.

Given the observed patterns, we decided to additionally study late responses in the mutants. The expression of *PDF1.2* (*Plant Defensin 1.2*, *AT5G44420*) and *PR1* (*Pathogenesis-related 1 protein*, *AT2G14610*), two marker genes that are induced 8 h after elicitation (Gravino et al., 2017), were analyzed in seedlings upon treatment with OGs and flg22, showing a significant reduction in the mutants (**Fig. 4b**). To test whether these different gene expression patterns correlate to later defense responses, we investigated whether the deposition of callose, a well-known late response to MAMPs and DAMPs, and a cellular marker for immunity (Denoux et al., 2008; Gomez-Gomez et

Accepted Article

al., 1999), is altered in the mutants. For this, callose accumulation upon infiltration with OGs or flg22 was analyzed by aniline blue staining (**Fig. 5a**). Indeed, leaves of both *pcap1* mutants displayed strongly reduced callose accumulations compared to wild-type leaves in response to both elicitors, indicating that *PCaP1* is required for this response (**Fig. 5b**). Since *GSL5/PMR4* (*AT4G03550*) encodes the callose synthase (CalS) responsible for pathogen-induced callose deposition, we analysed the expression of this gene in the leaves of both wild-type and *pcap1* mutant plants 24 hours after OG infiltration. In line with the findings above, a significant reduction of *PMR4* gene expression was observed in *pcap1* plants compared to the wild type (**Fig. S3**).

Taken together, our observations indicate that *PCaP1* is required for late defense responses to elicitors and for the recovery of full responsiveness to OGs after an elicitor treatment, therefore showing a role of the protein in PTI.

PCaP1 localizes into PM microdomains and is rapidly internalized in response to OGs

PCaP1 has been identified, after subcellular fractionation, as a protein stably associated with the PM (Ide et al., 2007; Mattei et al., 2016). Thus, we decided to further investigate the distribution of *PCaP1* on the PM by using transgenic plants expressing a GFP-tagged *PCaP1* under control of its native promoter (*proPCaP1:PCaP1-GFP*) (Nagata et al., 2016). The *PCaP1*-GFP fusion protein localized at the PM (**Fig. S4**), in accordance with previous reports (Nagata et al., 2016). We assessed the capability of the *PCaP1*-GFP fusion protein of restoring a normal elicitor-induced protection against *B. cinerea* in the two allelic *pcap1* mutants. Both *pcap1* mutants homozygous for the *proPCaP1:PCaP1-GFP* transgene showed levels of *PCaP1* transcripts comparable to wild-type plants

(**Fig. 6a**) and restored wild-type elicitor-induced protection against *B. cinerea* (**Fig. 6bc**), demonstrating that the PCaP1-GFP fusion is functional.

The precise distribution of PCaP1 in the PM was examined *in vivo* in young proPCaP1:PCaP1-GFP seedlings by confocal laser scanning microscopy. PCaP1 fluorescence was found to be heterogeneously distributed on the PM in both cotyledons and hypocotyls (**Fig. 7a**) and organized in densely packed and punctate structures (0.26 ± 0.016 punctate structures per μm^2 of cell surface) (**Fig. 7b**), with a diameter ranging from 0.2 to 1.3 μm (**Fig. 7c**). The distribution pattern and the size of these structures were similar to other microdomain-localized proteins as described in *Arabidopsis* and *Nicotiana benthamiana* (Jarsch et al., 2014), suggesting that PCaP1 is organized in membrane microdomains. It should be noted that this accumulation dynamically changed as PCaP1-positive putative microdomains nearly disappeared after a 30 min treatment with OGs in both organs, and fluorescence appeared to be spread uniformly over the cell surface (**Fig. 7a**).

Moreover, cell cortex optical sections of epidermal root cells revealed a fluorescence associated with numerous vesicles (**Fig. S5a,b**), the abundance of which significantly increased after a 30 min treatment with OGs or flg22 (**Fig. S5c,d**) and returned to basal levels already after 1 h. The nature of PCaP1-containing vesicles was investigated by co-localization analyses using the tracer FM4-64, commonly used to study the dynamic process of endocytosis (Rigal et al., 2015). In proPCaP1:PCaP1-GFP seedlings treated with OGs, a high degree of co-localization between the FM4-64 signal and the PCaP1-GFP fluorescence-positive vesicles was detected (**Fig. 8a**), indicating that PCaP1-GFP is rapidly internalized in endocytic vesicles in response to OGs. Endocytosis induced by OGs, however,

is not altered in *pcap1* mutant seedlings, since the number of FM4-64 positive vesicles was comparable between the wild type and the mutants (**Fig. S6**).

To further analyze the observed patterns, PCaP1-GFP/FM4-64 colocalization analysis was additionally performed after treatment with OGs in the presence of brefeldin A (BFA). This fungal inhibitor causes aggregation of Trans-Golgi Network (TGN), endosomal and Golgi material in large intracellular bodies, named BFA compartments (Langhans et al., 2011). These compartments are known to accumulate material of endocytic origin (Rosquete et al., 2018; Viotti et al., 2010). OG treatment in the presence of BFA resulted in PCaP1-GFP accumulation in BFA compartments, where it co-localized with the membrane marker dye FM4-64 (**Fig. 8b**). Taken together these results indicate that PCaP1-GFP re-localizes to the TGN by endocytosis upon OG treatment.

DISCUSSION

In this work, we investigated the importance of PCaP1 during OG-triggered immune responses by analyzing two Arabidopsis homozygous loss-of-function *pcap1* allelic mutants (**Fig. 1 a,b**). We uncovered that PCaP1, which is phosphorylated 10 min after treatment with OGs (Mattei et al., 2016), indeed plays a role in *A. thaliana* immunity and in the response to a DAMP (OGs) and a MAMP (flg22). Protection against *B. cinerea* induced by both elicitors is defective in both allelic mutants, although basal resistance against this fungus is not affected (**Fig. 1c**). Consistent with this result, the expression of *PAD3* during infection with *B. cinerea* is not primed in the mutants upon pre-treatment with elicitors; in fact, it was even lower than in control water-pre-treated plants (**Fig. 2**). Moreover, a more rapid decrease of the induced expression of the early marker gene *FRK1* occurs in mutant

Accepted Article

seedlings treated with OGs or flg22 compared to wild-type (**Fig. 3**). A similar reduction was also observed in the expression of late genes, such as *PAD3*, *PGIP1*, *PDF1.2* and *PR1* (**Fig. 4**). Intriguingly, only the OG-induced but not the flg22-induced expression of *PGIP1* was affected in the *pcap1* mutants, suggesting that distinct pathways lead to *PGIP1* upregulation in response to OGs or flg22 (**Fig. 4**). The lack of PCaP1 also leads to a defective recovery of full responsiveness to a second treatment with OGs in the mutants, pointing towards an involvement of the protein in the restoration of responsiveness to OGs upon consecutive treatments (**Fig. 3**). In adult plants, callose deposition, another late elicitor-induced response, is also impaired in *pcap1* mutants (**Fig. 5**), due to a reduction of the induced expression of the callose synthase-encoding gene *PMR4* (**Fig. S3**). Early responses, such as activation of MPKs, expression at 1 h of *RETOX* and accumulation of apoplastic H₂O₂, known to be mediated by the NADPH oxidase RBOHD (Galletti et al., 2008), instead were not affected (**Fig. S2**). The observation of a normal response of the MAPK cascade in spite of an altered expression of defense genes is not unprecedented (Gravino et al., 2015; Savatin et al., 2014) and may indicate an action of PCaP1 either downstream of MAPK cascade or in an independent parallel pathway; however, taken together, our data point to a role of PCaP1 in the late but not in the early responses to OGs and flg22.

The increase of the intracellular Ca²⁺ levels is a primary event in immunity induced by OGs and MAMPs (Chandra & Low, 1997; Messiaen & Van Cutsem, 1994; Navazio et al., 2002; Ranf et al., 2012; Tena et al., 2011) and likely modulates the function of PCaP1, through mechanisms that need to be elucidated. Intracellular Ca²⁺ levels affect the response to OGs and flg22 also through the action of CDPKs, since the simultaneous loss of *CPK5*, *CPK6* and *CPK11* affects both basal and elicitor-

induced resistance to *B. cinerea* (Gravino et al., 2015). Their loss does not affect the induced ROS production mediated by RBOHD, although the complex regulation of the enzyme has been shown to involve also CDPKs for activation (Kadota et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2018). Instead it does affect the duration, but not the onset, of the OG- and flg22-induced expression of the early induced genes *CYP81F2*, *FRK1* and *PHI-1* (Gravino et al., 2015). Unlike the *pcap1* mutants, however, callose deposition is not affected by the loss of *CPK5/CPK6/CPK11* (Gravino et al., 2015). These results show that these CDPKs, like PCaP1, play a role in a secondary phase of the response to elicitors but, at least in part, in independent pathways.

In this work, we not only confirmed the localization of PCaP1 at the PM but demonstrate that the protein is organized in punctate structures on the cell surface under basal conditions, while this pattern disappears upon OG treatment (**Fig. 7a**). Interestingly, nanodomain association has also been demonstrated for a symbiosis-related DREPP protein in *Medicago truncatula*, although nanodomain association of DREPP was induced in the presence of a rhizobial signal (Su et al., 2020). These data are in accordance with the observation that PCaP1 is recovered from sterol-rich membrane fractions, the so-called ‘Detergent-Resistant Membranes’ (DRMs) (Kierszniowska et al., 2009). Indeed, membranes are dynamically organized as a heterogeneous mosaic of small regions, termed nano- and microdomains (Ott, 2017), with varying lipid composition and properties and a defined protein content (Gronnier et al., 2018; Hemsley, 2015; Konrad & Ott, 2015). The association of PCaP1 to the PM and its specific localization have been shown to depend on the N-terminal myristoylation site (Maurer-Stroh et al., 2002; Nagasaki et al., 2008; Su et al., 2020) which may confer the capability of clustering in PM microdomains. N-myristoylation can increase the membrane affinity of polypeptides

and assist their targeting to membrane domains, as in the case of animal flotillins (Neumann-Giesen et al., 2004). The functional significance of PCaP1 localization in microdomains, however, remains still unknown: it may reflect the sites of cytoskeleton-PM interaction as suggested for the *Medicago* DREPP protein, that is recruited into functional membrane nanodomains and triggers microtubule fragmentation during symbiotic infection (Su et al., 2020). In *Arabidopsis* PCaP1, the same region of the protein is responsible for both membrane and microtubule interaction (Li et al., 2011), suggesting that the protein could not bind to the plasma membrane and microtubule simultaneously.

Notably, the localization of PCaP1 in membrane microdomains nearly disappears 30 minutes after OG treatment (**Fig. 7a**), while it appears in endocytic vesicles of heterogeneous size, some of which may represent endosomes (**Fig. 8a**). Endocytosis is known as a mechanism for cellular desensitization by removing ligand-bound receptors from the PM; it remains to be tested whether the vesicles observed in our analyses also harbor components of the elicitor perception machinery and whether recruitment of PCaP1 in endosomes plays a role in turning off OG signalling. Since the loss of *PCaP1* does not affect endocytosis *per se*, our results, together with the reduced response of *pcap1* mutants to a second OG treatment, point to a more specific role of PCaP1 and likely its turn-over in the sensing/transduction response to elicitors.

PCaP1 is rapidly phosphorylated upon treatment with both OGs and flg22 (Mattei et al., 2016; Rayapuram et al., 2014); however, the features and role of the phosphorylation state of PCaP1 on the induction of defence responses and on its localization are not yet known. The impact of phosphorylation/dephosphorylation on the affinity of proteins to membrane environments has been shown for microdomain markers belonging to the REMORIN (REM) protein family. Remorins

harbor the majority of phosphorylation sites in the N-terminal intrinsically disordered region (Marin & Ott, 2012). The phosphorylation of Remorin 1.3 (REM1.3) at its N-terminal domain, mediated by CPK3 in a calcium-dependent manner, modulates its dynamic localization in PM nanodomains and plasmodesmata (PD) and is crucial for the regulation of callose deposition at PD pit fields and the restriction of viral cell-to-cell movement (Perraki et al., 2018). Of note, also REM1.3 has been found among the early phosphorylated proteins upon treatment with OGs (Farmer et al., 1990; Mattei et al., 2016); however, whether phosphorylation of PCaP1 involves CDPKs is not yet known. Moreover, it has to be elucidated whether elicitor-induced phosphorylation of PCaP1 changes its affinity to PI(3,5)P₂ and PI(4,5)P₂, which, although representing the smallest fraction of total PI pool of the PM, are known to recruit membrane proteins involved in several essential cellular processes, including the regulation of cellular trafficking and actin polymerization (Boss & Im, 2012; Noack & Jaillais, 2017; Shisheva, 2008; Tan et al., 2015). PI(3,5)P₂ and PI(4,5)P₂ are also dynamically up-regulated during plant infection and in response to stress, respectively (Dove et al., 1997; Meijer et al., 1999; Qin et al., 2020), indicating a role in cellular homeostasis and in adaptation. It is therefore plausible that PI(4,5)P₂ and PI(3,5)P₂ might orchestrate the endocytotic turnover to modulate and maintain the presence of proteins on the PM during the immune response, also through the interaction with membrane proteins, including PCaP1.

We propose a model for the action of PCaP1 (**Fig. 9**), depicting the possible links between PCaP1 phosphorylation, calcium signaling, the regulation of cytoskeleton organization and defense priming. Although it is not known yet if PCaP1 is phosphorylated by CDPKs in a calcium-dependent manner, the protein may link calcium signaling to the dynamic rearrangement and disruption of the

Accepted Article

cytoskeleton during pathogen attack. Plant cells often respond to diverse microbes and elicitors by increasing abundance or bundling of actin filaments (Henty-Ridilla et al., 2013; Takemoto & Hardham, 2004; Thomas, 2012). The involvement of the actin-myosin system in the internalization and trafficking of some PM receptors, e.g. FLS2, has been demonstrated (Beck et al., 2012), and treatment with actin-depolymerizing drugs triggered resistance to pathogens in Arabidopsis plants by inducing an increase in salicylic acid levels (Leontovyčová et al., 2019); however, the molecular machinery that senses and transduces immune signalling to actin cytoskeleton remodelling and vesicle dynamics is not fully known. Actin rearrangements conferred by actin depolymerizing factors/cofilins (AC) regulated through cycles of phosphorylation and dephosphorylation, also facilitate effector-mediated internalization of bacterial pathogens into host mammalian cells (Dai et al., 2004). PCaP1 dynamics might be also regulated by the presence of PI(3,5)P₂ and PI(4,5)P₂, known to interact with membrane proteins in response to stress during plant infection, suggesting a modulation of PCaP1 endocytotic turnover for maintaining it on the PM during the immune response.

Further studies are still required to elucidate how phosphorylation induced by OGs or flg22 may redirect PCaP1 from membranes to cytosol or other compartments to regulate actin filament turnover and trafficking, and more downstream, gene expression and priming independently from MAPK activation and ROS production.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

MG, GDL and BM designed the experiments and analyzed data. MG performed the experiments and wrote the manuscript draft. SF, LM and TO contributed to design and to perform the experiments.

NT and MM provided PCaP1-GFP plants. BM and GDL supervised the research. BM and GDL wrote the manuscript in its final version. All authors have approved the final manuscript.

Accepted Article

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. *pcap1* plants fail to display a reduction of lesion development after pre-treatment with elicitors.

(a) Position of the T-DNA insertion in the allelic *pcap1-1* and *pcap1-3* mutants. The coding exons and introns are represented in grey and in white, respectively. **(b)** Analysis of PCaP1 transcripts in seedlings of the wild type (Col-0) and the two allelic *pcap1* mutants by RT-PCR. UBQ5 was used as internal reference. **(c)** Induction of resistance to *B. cinerea* in wild-type and *pcap1* mutants. Leaves were sprayed with OGs, flg22 or water 24 hours before *B. cinerea* inoculation. Lesion areas were measured at 48 h after the inoculation. Values are means \pm SE of at least 20 lesions. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between mutant and wild type lines according to Student's *t* test (*, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$).

Fig. 2. OG-induced priming of the expression of the defense gene *PAD3* is abolished in *pcap1*

mutant plants inoculated with *B. cinerea*. Upper panel, experimental design: leaves from mature plants were pre-treated with water or OGs by spraying, and 24 h later were inoculated with *B. cinerea*. Leaves were collected at 0, 14 and 24 hours post infection (hpi). Bottom panel, *PAD3* expression in the infected leaves. Wild type (WT; white bars), *pcap1-1* (light-grey bars), and *pcap1-3* (dark-red bars) rosette leaves were inoculated with *B. cinerea* after pre-treatment with OGs and total RNA was extracted at the indicated times. Expression of *PAD3* was analyzed by qRT-PCR using *UBQ5* as reference. Data are a mean \pm SD of three independent experiments. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between mutant and wild-type lines according to Student's *t* test (*, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$).

Fig. 3. OG-induced defense genes expression is decreased in *pcap1* mutants after pretreatment

with OGs. Top, experimental design: seedlings were pretreated with either water or OGs on day 0, then after 24 h again treated with water or OGs. Gene expression was measured 1 or 3 h after the second treatment. Bottom, *FRK1*, *CYP81F2*, *PAD3*, *RET-OX*, *PGIP1* expression analysis in treated

seedlings according to the experimental design shown in the upper panel. Transcript levels are expressed as the gene/*UBQ5* ratio (normalized expression). Data are a mean \pm SD of three independent experiments. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between mutant and wild-type lines according to Student's *t* test (*, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$).

Fig. 4. Expression of late defense-response genes after elicitor treatment is reduced in *pcap1* mutants. Seedlings were treated with OGs or flg22 or water, as a control, and accumulation of *PAD3* and *PGIP1* transcripts was analysed after 3 h from the treatment (a), whereas *PDF1.2* and *PR1* transcripts after 8 h from the treatment (b). Transcript levels are expressed as the gene/*UBQ5* ratio (normalized expression). Data are a mean \pm SD of three independent experiments. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between mutant and wild-type lines according to Student's *t* test (*, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$).

Fig. 5. Callose deposition induced by elicitor infiltration is strongly reduced in *pcap1* mutants. (a) Leaves from Arabidopsis wild type and *pcap1* plants were infiltrated with water, OGs or flg22 and the excised leaves were stained 24 h later with aniline blue for callose visualization. Images show representative leaves for each treatment. Scale bar = 0.1 mm (10X magnification). (b) Number of callose depositions quantified as the number of individual depositions per unit of leaf surface infiltrated with elicitors or water. Data are means \pm SE (n=6) of four microscopic fields (0.1 mm² for each). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between mutant and wild-type lines according to Student's *t* test (*, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$).

Fig. 6. Complementation of *pcap1* mutants in Arabidopsis. (a) Transcript levels of PCaP1 in Wt, *pcap1-1* and *pcap1-3* null mutants and two independent complemented lines (proPCaP1:PCaP1-GFP/*pcap1-1* and proPCaP1:PCaP1-GFP/*pcap1-3*) expressed as the gene/*UBQ5* ratio (normalized expression). (b) Induction of resistance to *B. cinerea* infection in Arabidopsis wild type, null mutants

and complemented lines subjected to spray pre-treatment with water, OGs or flg22 24 h before inoculation; pictures were taken at 48 hpi. (c) Lesion area analysis (mm^2) of the same plants shown in (b). Results are average \pm SE ($n = 20$ lesions). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences between lines according to Student's t test (*, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$).

Fig. 7. PCaP1 localizes in plasma membrane microdomains. Confocal laser scanning microscopy at the cell surface of cotyledons and hypocotyls in water-treated and OG-treated proPCaP1:PCaP1-GFP seedlings (a); scale bar = 20 μm . (b) Average density of the PCaP1 punctate structures, also referred to as microdomains, observed in (a) and expressed as the number of punctate structures per μm^2 cell surface. No microdomains were observed upon OG treatment. (c) Frequency of microdomain diameter size (μm) measured in seven independent cells. Data are mean \pm SD.

Fig. 8. PCaP1-GFP is rapidly internalized in endocytic vesicles in response to OGs. Confocal laser scanning microscopy on roots of proPCaP1:PCaP1-GFP seedlings, stained with the endocytic tracer FM4-64 upon treatment with (a) OGs and (b) BFA + OGs. In (a) colocalization of PCaP1-GFP and FM4-64 was observed at the vesicle membranes, whereas in (b) colocalization of PCaP1-GFP and FM4-64 was observed in the BFA compartments. [FM4-64 labelling: red, PCaP1-GFP: green, merged channels: orange]. Insets: magnification of areas of interest. Scale bar = 10 μm . In both (a) and (b), the degree of colocalization between the green and red signals was statistically analyzed and expressed with Pearson's correlation coefficient (PC) and the Mander's colocalization coefficients M1 and M2 (in each panel, graph on the right). M1 represents the fraction of PCaP1-GFP (green signal) overlapping with FM4-64 (red signal). M2 represents the fraction of FM4-64 (red signal) overlapping with the PCaP1-GFP (green signal) [PC=-1, complete anti-colocalization; PC=0, non-colocalization; PC=1, complete colocalization].

Fig. 9. Model of the role of PCaP1 in *Arabidopsis thaliana* immunity and response to elicitors.

PAMPs (e.g. flg22) and DAMPs (e.g. OGs) induce a “primed” state in the plant and PCaP1 is required for this response, in particular for the expression of the late defence-related genes, elicitor-induced protection against *B. cinerea* and callose accumulation. PCaP1 is unlikely to be directly involved in the transduction of the Ca²⁺ signal that leads to early responses, but may participate in the dynamic rearrangement and disruption of the cytoskeleton during pathogen attack. PI(3,5)P₂ and PI(4,5)P₂, may modulate PCaP1 endocytotic turnover for maintaining it on the PM during the immune response.

FLS2: FLAGELLIN-SENSITIVE 2 protein specific receptor of flagellin (flg22) [WAK1: CELL WALL-ASSOCIATED KINASE 1 protein (*mediates the perception of OGs*), RBOHD: NADPH/respiratory burst oxidase protein D, PAD3: PHYTOALEXIN DEFICIENT 3 (*required for camalexin production*), PMR4: POWDERY MILDEW RESISTANT 4 (*required for wound and papillary callose formation*)].

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Fig. S1. Phenotype of wild type and *pcap1* null mutant plants.

Fig. S2. *PCaP1* is not required for elicitor-induced early defence responses.

Fig. S3. The induction of *PMR4* in response to OGs is reduced in *pcap1* mutant plants.

Fig. S4. Plasma membrane localization of PCaP1–GFP.

Fig. S5. Quantitative imaging analysis of OG- and flg22-induced endocytosis in proPCaP1:PCaP1-GFP seedlings.

Fig. S6. Confocal microscopy micrographs of root epidermal cells in response to OGs in null mutants.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files].

REFERENCES

- Albert, I., Hua, C., Nurnberger, T., Pruitt, R. N., & Zhang, L. (2020). Surface sensor systems in plant immunity. *Plant Physiology*, 182(4), 1582-1596. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.19.01299>
- Asai, T., Tena, G., Plotnikova, J., Willmann, M. R., Chiu, W. L., Gomez-Gomez, L., Boller, T., Ausubel, F. M., & Sheen, J. (2002). MAP kinase signalling cascade in Arabidopsis innate immunity. *Nature*, 415(6875), 977-983.
- Aziz, A., Gauthier, A., Bézier, A., Poinssot, B., Joubert, J. M., Pugin, A., Heyraud, A., & Baillieul, F. (2007). Elicitor and resistance-inducing activities of beta-1,4 cellodextrins in grapevine, comparison with beta-1,3 glucans and alpha-1,4 oligogalacturonides. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 58(6), 1463-1472. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erm008>
- Beck, M., Zhou, J., Faulkner, C., MacLean, D., & Robatzek, S. (2012). Spatio-Temporal Cellular Dynamics of the Arabidopsis Flagellin Receptor Reveal Activation Status-Dependent Endosomal Sorting. *Plant Cell*, 24(10), 4205-4219.
- Bellincampi, D., Salvi, G., Delorenzo, G., Cervone, F., Marfa, V., Eberhard, S., Darvill, A., & Albersheim, P. (1993). Oligogalacturonides inhibit the formation of roots on tobacco explants. *Plant Journal*, 4(1), 207-213. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313X.1993.04010207.x>
- Benedetti, M., Mattei, B., Pontiggia, D., Salvi, G., Savatin, D. V., & Ferrari, S. (2017). Methods of isolation and characterization of oligogalacturonide elicitors. *Methods Mol. Biol.*, 1578, 25-38.
- Benedetti, M., Pontiggia, D., Raggi, S., Cheng, Z., Scaloni, F., Ferrari, S., Ausubel, F. M., Cervone, F., & De Lorenzo, G. (2015). Plant immunity triggered by engineered in vivo release of oligogalacturonides, damage-associated molecular patterns. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 112(17), 5533-5538. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1504154112>
- Bigeard, J., Colcombet, J., & Hirt, H. (2015). Signaling mechanisms in pattern-triggered immunity (PTI). *Molecular Plant*, 8(4), 521-539.
- Boller, T., & Felix, G. (2009). A renaissance of elicitors: perception of microbe-associated molecular patterns and danger signals by pattern-recognition receptors. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 60, 379-406.
- Boss, W. F., & Im, Y. J. (2012). Phosphoinositide Signaling. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 63 : 1, 409-429. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042110-103840>
- Boudsocq, M., Willmann, M. R., McCormack, M., Lee, H., Shan, L., He, P., Bush, J., Cheng, S. H., & Sheen, J. (2010). Differential innate immune signalling via Ca²⁺ sensor protein kinases. *Nature*, 464(7287), 418-422.
- Boutrot, F., & Zipfel, C. (2017). Function, discovery, and exploitation of plant pattern recognition receptors for broad-spectrum disease resistance. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 55, 257-286. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-080614-120106>
- Brutus, A., Sicilia, F., Macone, A., Cervone, F., & De Lorenzo, G. (2010). A domain swap approach reveals a role of the plant wall-associated kinase 1 (WAK1) as a receptor of oligogalacturonides. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA*, 107(20), 9452-9457. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000675107>
- Chandra, S., & Low, P. S. (1997). Measurement of Ca²⁺ fluxes during elicitation of the oxidative burst in aequorin-transformed tobacco cells. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 272(45), 28274-28280.
- Chisholm, S. T., Coaker, G., Day, B., & Staskawicz, B. J. (2006). Host-microbe interactions: shaping the evolution of the plant immune response. *Cell*, 124(4), 803-814. [https://doi.org/S0092-8674\(06\)00183-8](https://doi.org/S0092-8674(06)00183-8) [pii] 10.1016/j.cell.2006.02.008
- Conrath, U. (2011). Molecular aspects of defence priming. *Trends in Plant Science*, 16(10), 524-531. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2011.06.004>

- Cordelières, F. P., & Bolte, S. (2014). Experimenters' guide to colocalization studies: finding a way through indicators and quantifiers, in practice. *Methods in cell biology*, 123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-420138-5.00021-5>
- D'Ovidio, R., Mattei, B., Roberti, S., & Bellincampi, D. (2004). Polygalacturonases, polygalacturonase-inhibiting proteins and pectic oligomers in plant-pathogen interactions [Review]. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Proteins and Proteomics*, 1696(2), 237-244. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2003.08.012>
- Dai, S., Sarmiere, P. D., Wiggan, O., Bamburg, J. R., & Zhou, D. (2004). Efficient Salmonella entry requires activity cycles of host ADF and cofilin. *Cell Microbiol.*, 6(5), 459-471.
- De Lorenzo, G., Brutus, A., Savatin, D. V., Sicilia, F., & Cervone, F. (2011). Engineering plant resistance by constructing chimeric receptors that recognize damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs). *FEBS Letters*, 585(11), 1521-1528. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2011.04.043>
- De Lorenzo, G., Ferrari, S., Cervone, F., & Okun, E. (2018). Extracellular DAMPs in plants and mammals: immunity, tissue damage and repair. *Trends in Immunology*, 39(11), 937-950. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2018.09.006>
- De Lorenzo, G., Ferrari, S., Giovannoni, M., Mattei, B., & Cervone, F. (2019). Cell wall traits that influence plant development, immunity, and bioconversion. *The Plant Journal*, 97(1), 134-147. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tbj.14196>
- Denoux, C., Galletti, R., Mammarella, N., Gopalan, S., Werck, D., De Lorenzo, G., Ferrari, S., Ausubel, F. M., & Dewdney, J. (2008). Activation of defense response pathways by OGs and Flg22 elicitors in Arabidopsis seedlings. *Molecular Plant*, 1(3), 423-445. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mp/ssn019>
- Dove, S., Cooke, F., Douglas, M., Sayers, L., Parker, P., & Michell, R. (1997). Osmotic stress activates phosphatidylinositol-3,5-bisphosphate synthesis. *Nature*, 390(6656). <https://doi.org/10.1038/36613>
- Duran-Flores, D., & Heil, M. (2018). Extracellular self-DNA as a damage-associated molecular pattern (DAMP) that triggers self-specific immunity induction in plants. *Brain, Behavior and Immunity*, 72, 78-88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2017.10.010>
- Engelsdorf, T., Gigli-Bisceglia, N., Veerabagu, M., McKenna, J. F., Vaahtera, L., Augstein, F., Van der Does, D., Zipfel, C., & Hamann, T. (2018). The plant cell wall integrity maintenance and immune signaling systems cooperate to control stress responses in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Sci. Signal.*, 11(536), eaao3070. <https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.aao3070>
- Farmer, E. E., Moloshok, T. D., & Ryan, C. A. (1990). In vitro phosphorylation in response to oligouronide elicitors: Structural and biological relationships. *Current Topics in Plant Biochemistry and Physiology*, 9, 249-258.
- Ferrari, S., Galletti, R., Denoux, C., De Lorenzo, G., Ausubel, F. M., & Dewdney, J. (2007). Resistance to *Botrytis cinerea* induced in Arabidopsis by elicitors is independent of salicylic acid, ethylene, or jasmonate signaling but requires PHYTOALEXIN DEFICIENT3. *Plant Physiology*, 144(1), 367-379. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.107.095596>
- Ferrari, S., Savatin, D. V., Sicilia, F., Gramegna, G., Cervone, F., & Lorenzo, G. D. (2013). Oligogalacturonides: plant damage-associated molecular patterns and regulators of growth and development. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 4, 49. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00049>
- Ferrari, S., Vairo, D., Ausubel, F. M., Cervone, F., & De Lorenzo, G. (2003). Tandemly duplicated arabidopsis genes that encode polygalacturonase-inhibiting proteins are regulated coordinately by different signal transduction pathways in response to fungal infection. *Plant Cell*, 15(1), 93-106. <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.005165>
- Galletti, R., Denoux, C., Gambetta, S., Dewdney, J., Ausubel, F. M., De Lorenzo, G., & Ferrari, S. (2008). The AtrbohD-mediated oxidative burst elicited by oligogalacturonides in Arabidopsis is dispensable for the activation of defense responses effective against *Botrytis cinerea*. *Plant Physiology*, 148(3), 1695-1706. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.127845>


- Galletti, R., Ferrari, S., & De Lorenzo, G. (2011). Arabidopsis MPK3 and MPK6 play different roles in basal and oligogalacturonide- or flagellin-induced resistance against *Botrytis cinerea*. *Plant Physiology*, *157*(2), 804-814. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.174003>
- Gamir, J., Minchev, Z., Berrio, E., Garcia, J. M., De Lorenzo, G., & Pozo, M. J. (2020). Roots drive oligogalacturonide-induced systemic immunity in tomato. *Plant, Cell & Environment*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13917>
- Gantet, P., Masson, F., Domergue, O., Marquis-Mention, M., Bauw, G., Inze, D., Rossignol, M., & De la Serve, B. T. (1996). Cloning of a cDNA encoding a developmentally regulated 22 kDa polypeptide from tobacco leaf plasma membrane. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology International*, *40*(3), 469-477
- Gerth, K., Lin, F., Menzel, W., Krishnamoorthy, P., Stenzel, I., Heilmann, M., & Heilmann, I. (2017). Guilt by association: a phenotype-based view of the plant phosphoinositide network. *Annu Rev Plant Biol*, *68*, 349-374. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042916-041022>
- Gomez-Gomez, L., Felix, G., & Boller, T. (1999). A single locus determines sensitivity to bacterial flagellin in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant Journal*, *18*(3), 277-284.
- Gramegna, G., Modesti, V., Savatin, D. V., Sicilia, F., Cervone, F., & De Lorenzo, G. (2016). GRP-3 and KAPP, encoding interactors of WAK1, negatively affect defense responses induced by oligogalacturonides and local response to wounding. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *67*(6), 1715-1729. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv563>
- Gravino, M., Locci, F., Tundo, S., Cervone, F., Savatin, D. V., & De Lorenzo, G. (2017). Immune responses induced by oligogalacturonides are differentially affected by AvrPto and loss of BAK1/BKK1 and PEPR1/PEPR2. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, *18*(4), 582-595. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12419>
- Gravino, M., Savatin, D. V., Macone, A., & De Lorenzo, G. (2015). Ethylene production in *Botrytis cinerea*- and oligogalacturonide-induced immunity requires calcium-dependent protein kinases. *The Plant Journal*, *84*(6), 1073-1086. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13057>
- Gronnier, J., Gerbeau-Pissot, P., Germain, V., Mongrand, S., & Simon-Plas, F. (2018). Divide and rule: plant plasma membrane organization. *Trends in Plant Science*, *23*(10), 899-917. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2018.07.007>
- Hemsley, P. A. (2015). The importance of lipid modified proteins in plants. *New Phytologist*, *205*(2), 476-489. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13085>
- Henty-Ridilla, J. L., Shimono, M., Li, J., Chang, J. H., Day, B., & Staiger, C. J. (2013). The plant actin cytoskeleton responds to signals from microbe-associated molecular patterns. *PLoS Pathogen*, *9*(4), e1003290.
- Hou, S., Liu, Z., Shen, H., & Wu, D. (2019). Damage-associated molecular pattern-triggered immunity in plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *10*, 646. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00646>
- Ichimura, K., Shinozaki, K., Tena, G., Sheen, J., Henry, Y., Champion, A., Kreis, M., Zhang, S., Hirt, H., Wilson, C., Heberle-Bors, E., Ellis, B. E., Morris, P. C., Innes, R. W., Ecker, J. R., Scheel, D., Klessig, D. F., Machida, Y., Mundy, J., Ohashi, Y., & Walker, J. C. (2002). Mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades in plants: a new nomenclature. *Trends in Plant Science*, *7*(7), 301-308.
- Ide, Y., Nagasaki, N., Tomioka, R., Suito, M., Kamiya, T., & Maeshima, M. (2007). Molecular properties of a novel, hydrophilic cation-binding protein associated with the plasma membrane. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *58*(5), 1173-1183. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erl284>
- Jarsch, I. K., Konrad, S. S., Stratil, T. F., Urbanus, S. L., Szymanski, W., Braun, P., Braun, K. H., & Ott, T. (2014). Plasma membranes are subcompartmentalized into a plethora of coexisting and diverse microdomains in *Arabidopsis* and *Nicotiana benthamiana*. *The Plant Cell*, *26*(4), 1698-1711. <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.114.124446>


- Jarsch, I. K., & Ott, T. (2015). Quantitative Image Analysis of Membrane Microdomains Labelled by Fluorescently Tagged Proteins in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Nicotiana benthamiana*. *Bio-protocol*, 5(11). <https://doi.org/10.21769/BioProtoc.1497>
- Kadota, Y., Shirasu, K., & Zipfel, C. (2015). Regulation of the NADPH oxidase RBOHD during plant immunity. *Plant & Cell Physiology*, 56(8), 1472-1480. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcv063>
- Kato, M., Nagasaki-Takeuchi, N., Ide, Y., & Maeshima, M. (2010). An *Arabidopsis* hydrophilic Ca²⁺ -binding protein with a PEVK-rich domain, PCaP2, is associated with the plasma membrane and interacts with calmodulin and phosphatidylinositol phosphates. *Plant Cell Physiol*, 51(3), 366-379. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcq003>
- Kierszniowska, S., Seiwert, B., & Schulze, W. X. (2009). Definition of *Arabidopsis* sterol-rich membrane microdomains by differential treatment with methyl-beta-cyclodextrin and quantitative proteomics. *Molecular and Cellular Proteomics*, 8(4), 612-623. <https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M800346-MCP200>
- Kohorn, B. D. (2016). Cell wall-associated kinases and pectin perception. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 67(2), 489-494. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv467>
- Konrad, S. S., & Ott, T. (2015). Molecular principles of membrane microdomain targeting in plants. *Trends in Plant Science*, 20(6), 351-361. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2015.03.016>
- Langhans, M., Forster, S., Helmchen, G., & Robinson, D. G. (2011). Differential effects of the brefeldin A analogue (6R)-hydroxy-BFA in tobacco and *Arabidopsis*. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 62(8), 2949-2957. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/err007>
- Leontovyčová, H., Kalachova, T., Trdá, L., Pospíchalová, R., Lamparová, L., Dobrev, P., Malínská, K., Burketová, L., Valentová, O., & Janda, M. (2019). Actin depolymerization is able to increase plant resistance against pathogens via activation of salicylic acid signalling pathway. *Scientific reports*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-46465-5>
- Li, J., Wang, X., Qin, T., Zhang, Y., Liu, X., Sun, J., Zhou, Y., Zhu, L., Zhang, Z., Yuan, M., & Mao, T. (2011). MDP25, a novel calcium regulatory protein, mediates hypocotyl cell elongation by destabilizing cortical microtubules in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell*, 23(12), 4411-4427.
- Logan, D. C., Domergue, O., De la Serve, B. T., & Rossignol, M. (1997). A new family of plasma membrane polypeptides differentially regulated during plant development. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology International*, 43(5), 1051-1062.
- Macho, A. P., & Zipfel, C. (2014). Plant PRRs and the activation of innate immune signaling. *Molecular Cell*, 54(2), 263-272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2014.03.028>
- Marin, M., & Ott, T. (2012). Phosphorylation of intrinsically disordered regions in remorin proteins. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 3, 86. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2012.00086>
- Marti, L., Savatin, D. V., Gigli-Bisceglia, N., de Turrís, V., Cervone, F., & De Lorenzo, G. (2020). The intracellular ROS accumulation in elicitor-induced immunity requires the multiple organelle-targeted *Arabidopsis* NPK1-related protein kinases. *Plant Cell Environ*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13978>
- Martinez-Medina, A., Flors, V., Heil, M., Mauch-Mani, B., Pieterse, C. M., Pozo, M. J., Ton, J., van Dam, N. M., & Conrath, U. (2016). Recognizing Plant Defense Priming. *Trends in Plant Science*, 21(10), 818-822.
- Mattei, B., Galletti, R., Manfredini, C., Pontiggia, D., Salvi, G., Spadoni, S., Caprari, C., Ferrari, S., Bellincampi, D., Cervone, F., & De Lorenzo, G. (2005). Recognition and signalling in the cell wall: The case of endopolygalacturonase, PGIP and oligogalacturonides. *Plant Biosystems*, 139(1), 24-27. <https://doi.org/10.1080/112635000500059793>
- Mattei, B., Spinelli, F., Pontiggia, D., & De Lorenzo, G. (2016). Comprehensive analysis of the membrane phosphoproteome regulated by oligogalacturonides in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Front Plant Sci*, 7, 1107. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01107>


- Maurer-Stroh, S., Eisenhaber, B., & Eisenhaber, F. (2002). N-terminal N-myristoylation of proteins: refinement of the sequence motif and its taxon-specific differences. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 317(4), 523-540. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2002.5425>
- Meijer, H. J. G., Divecha, N., van den Ende, H., A., M., & T., M. (1999). Hyperosmotic stress induces rapid synthesis of phosphatidyl- D -inositol 3,5-bisphosphate in plant cells [OriginalPaper]. *Planta*, 208(2), 294-298. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1007/s004250050561>
- Messiaen, J., & Van Cutsem, P. (1994). Pectic signal transduction in carrot cells: Membrane, cytosolic and nuclear responses induced by oligogalacturonides. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, 35, 677-689.
- Nafisi, M., Goregaoker, S., Botanga, C., Glawischnig, E., Olsen, C., Halkier, B., & Glazebrook, J. (2007). Arabidopsis cytochrome P450 monooxygenase 71A13 catalyzes the conversion of indole-3-acetaldoxime in camalexin synthesis. *The Plant cell*, 19(6). <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.107.051383>
- Nagasaki, N., Tomioka, R., & Maeshima, M. (2008). A hydrophilic cation-binding protein of Arabidopsis thaliana, AtPCaP1, is localized to plasma membrane via N-myristoylation and interacts with calmodulin and the phosphatidylinositol phosphates PtdIns(3,4,5)P(3) and PtdIns(3,5)P(2). *FEBS Journal*, 275(9), 2267-2282. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2008.06379.x>
- Nagata, C., Miwa, C., Tanaka, N., Kato, M., Suito, M., Tsuchihira, A., Sato, Y., Segami, S., & Maeshima, M. (2016). A novel-type phosphatidylinositol phosphate-interactive, Ca-binding protein PCaP1 in Arabidopsis thaliana: stable association with plasma membrane and partial involvement in stomata closure. *J Plant Res*, 129(3), 539-550. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10265-016-0787-2>
- Navazio, L., Moscatiello, R., Bellincampi, D., Baldan, B., Meggio, F., Brini, M., Bowler, C., & Mariani, P. (2002). The role of calcium in oligogalacturonide-activated signalling in soybean cells. *Planta*, 215(4), 596-605.
- Neumann-Giesen, C., Falkenbach, B., Beicht, P., Claasen, S., Luers, G., Stuermer, C. A., Herzog, V., & Tikkanen, R. (2004). Membrane and raft association of reggie-1/flotillin-2: role of myristoylation, palmitoylation and oligomerization and induction of filopodia by overexpression. *Biochemical Journal*, 378(Pt 2), 509-518. <https://doi.org/10.1042/bj20031100>
- Noack, L., & Jaillais, Y. (2017). Precision targeting by phosphoinositides: how PIs direct endomembrane trafficking in plants. *Current opinion in plant biology*, 40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2017.06.017>
- Ott, T. (2017). Membrane nanodomains and microdomains in plant-microbe interactions. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 40, 82-88. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2017.08.008>
- Perraki, A., Gronnier, J., Gouguet, P., Boudsocq, M., Deroubaix, A., Simon, V., German-Retana, S., Legrand, A., Habenstein, B., Zipfel, C., Bayer, E., Mongrand, S., & Germain, V. (2018). REM1.3's phospho-status defines its plasma membrane nanodomain organization and activity in restricting PVX cell-to-cell movement. *PLoS pathogens*, 14(11). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007378>
- Pontiggia, D., Benedetti, M., Costantini, S., De Lorenzo, G., & Cervone, F. (2020). Dampening the DAMPs: how plants maintain the homeostasis of cell wall molecular patterns and avoid hyper-immunity. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 11, 613259. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.613259>
- Pontiggia, D., Ciarcianelli, J., Salvi, G., Cervone, F., De Lorenzo, G., & Mattei, B. (2015). Sensitive detection and measurement of oligogalacturonides in Arabidopsis. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 6, 258. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00258>
- Qin, L., Zhou, Z., Li, Q., Zhai, C., Liu, L., Quilichini, T. D., Gao, P., Kessler, S. A., Jaillais, Y., Datla, R., Peng, G., Xiang, D., & Wei, Y. (2020). Specific Recruitment of Phosphoinositide


- Species to the Plant-Pathogen Interfacial Membrane Underlies Arabidopsis Susceptibility to Fungal Infection. *The Plant cell*, 32(5). <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.19.00970>
- Qin, T., Liu, X., Li, J., Sun, J., Song, L., & Mao, T. (2014). Arabidopsis microtubule-destabilizing protein 25 functions in pollen tube growth by severing actin filaments. *The Plant Cell*, 26(1), 325-339.
- Rajniak, J., Barco, B., Clay, N. K., & Sattely, E. S. (2015). A new cyanogenic metabolite in Arabidopsis required for inducible pathogen defence. *Nature*, 525(7569), 376-379. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14907>
- Ranf, S., Grimmer, J., Poschl, Y., Pecher, P., Chinchilla, D., Scheel, D., & Lee, J. (2012). Defense-related calcium signaling mutants uncovered via a quantitative high-throughput screen in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Molecular Plant*, 5(1), 115-130. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mp/ssr064>
- Rayapuram, N., Bonhomme, L., Bigeard, J., Haddadou, K., Przybylski, C., Hirt, H., & Pflieger, D. (2014). Identification of novel PAMP-triggered phosphorylation and dephosphorylation events in Arabidopsis thaliana by quantitative phosphoproteomic analysis. *J Proteome Res*, 13(4), 2137-2151. <https://doi.org/10.1021/pr401268v>
- Rigal, A., Doyle, S. M., & Robert, S. (2015). Live cell imaging of FM4-64, a tool for tracing the endocytic pathways in Arabidopsis root cells. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, 1242, 93-103. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-1902-4_9
- Rosquete, M. R., Davis, D. J., & Drakakaki, G. (2018). The plant Trans-Golgi network: not just a matter of distinction. *Plant Physiology*, 176(1), 187-198. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.17.01239>
- Ruijter, J. M., Pfaffl, M. W., Zhao, S., Spiess, A. N., Boggy, G., Blom, J., Rutledge, R. G., Sisti, D., Lievens, A., De Preter, K., Derveaux, S., Hellemans, J., & Vandesompele, J. (2013). Evaluation of qPCR curve analysis methods for reliable biomarker discovery: bias, resolution, precision, and implications. *Methods*, 59(1), 32-46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2012.08.011>
- Savatin, D. V., Ferrari, S., Sicilia, F., & De Lorenzo, G. (2011). Oligogalacturonide-Auxin antagonism does not require posttranscriptional gene silencing or stabilization of auxin response repressors in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology*, 157(3), 1163-1174. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.184663>
- Savatin, D. V., Gigli-Bisceglia, N., Marti, L., Fabbri, C., Cervone, F., & De Lorenzo, G. (2014). The Arabidopsis NUCLEUS- AND PHRAGMOPLAST-LOCALIZED KINASE1-related protein kinases are required for elicitor-induced oxidative burst and immunity. *Plant Physiology*, 165(3), 1188-1202. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.236901>
- Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S., & Eliceiri, K. W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nat Methods*, 9(7), 671-675. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089>
- Shisheva, A. (2008). PIKfyve: Partners, significance, debates and paradoxes. *Cell biology international*, 32(6). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellbi.2008.01.006>
- Su, C., Klein, M., Hernández-Reyes, C., Batzenschlager, M., Ditengou, F., Lace, B., Keller, J., Delaux, P., & Ott, T. (2020). The Medicago truncatula DREPP Protein Triggers Microtubule Fragmentation in Membrane Nanodomains during Symbiotic Infections. *The Plant cell*, 32(5). <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.19.00777>
- Takemoto, D., & Hardham, A. R. (2004). The cytoskeleton as a regulator and target of biotic interactions in plants. *Plant Physiology*, 136(4), 3864-3876.
- Tan, X., Thapa, N., Choi, S., & Anderson, R. (2015). Emerging roles of PtdIns(4,5)P2--beyond the plasma membrane. *Journal of Cell Science*, 128(22). <https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.175208>
- Tanaka-Takada, N., Kobayashi, A., H., T., Kamiya, T., Kinoshita, T., & Maeshima, M. (2019). Plasma Membrane-Associated Ca²⁺-Binding Protein PCaP1 is Involved in Root Hydrotropism of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant & cell physiology*, 60(6). <https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcz042>


- Tena, G., Boudsocq, M., & Sheen, J. (2011). Protein kinase signaling networks in plant innate immunity. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 14(5), 519-529. (NOT IN FILE)
- Thomas, C. (2012). Bundling actin filaments from membranes: some novel players. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 3, 188.
- Vaahtera, L., Schulz, J., & Hamann, T. (2019). Cell wall integrity maintenance during plant development and interaction with the environment. *Nature Plants*, 5(9), 924-932. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-019-0502-0>
- Viotti, C., Bubeck, J., Stierhof, Y. D., Krebs, M., Langhans, M., van den Berg, W., van Dongen, W., Richter, S., Geldner, N., Takano, J., Jurgens, G., de Vries, S. C., Robinson, D. G., & Schumacher, K. (2010). Endocytic and secretory traffic in Arabidopsis merge in the trans-Golgi network/early endosome, an independent and highly dynamic organelle. *The Plant Cell*, 22(4), 1344-1357. <https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.109.072637>
- Vosolsobe, S., Petrasek, J., & Schwarzerova, K. (2017). Evolutionary plasticity of plasma membrane interaction in DREPP family proteins. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Reviews on Cancer*, 1859(5), 686-697. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2017.01.017>
- Wang, W., Chen, D., Zhang, X., Liu, D., Cheng, Y., & Shen, F. (2018). Role of plant respiratory burst oxidase homologs in stress responses. *Free Radic Res*, 52(8), 826-839. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10715762.2018.1473572>
- Withers, J., & Dong, X. (2017). Post-translational regulation of plant immunity. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 38, 124-132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2017.05.004>
- Xing, J., Zhang, L., Duan, Z., & Lin, J. (2020). Coordination of phospholipid-based signaling and membrane trafficking in plant immunity. *Trends Plant Sci*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2020.11.010>
- Zhou, N., Tootle, T. L., & Glazebrook, J. (1999). Arabidopsis PAD3, a gene required for camalexin biosynthesis, encodes a putative cytochrome P450 monooxygenase. *Plant Cell*, 11(12), 2419-2428.























SUMMARY STATEMENT

The *A. thaliana* plasma membrane (PM) anchored protein PCaP1 is involved in elicitor-induced protection against the necrotrophic fungus *B. cinerea*.

(GFP)-PCaP1 organization into PM microdomains and its oligogalacturonide-induced endocytosis point to a role of PCaP1 and its turn-over in the sensing/transduction response to elicitors.

Accepted Article