Book of Short Papers SIS 2018

Editors: Antonino Abbruzzo - Eugenio Brentari Marcello Chiodi - Davide Piacentino



Copyright © 2018

PUBLISHED BY PEARSON

WWW.PEARSON.COM

First printing, November 2018, ISBN-9788891910233



Contents

1	Preface	
2	Plenary Sessions	
2.1	A new paradigm for rating data models. <i>Domenico Piccolo</i> 19	
2.2	Statistical challenges and opportunities in modelling coupled behaviour-disease dynamics of vaccine refusal. <i>Plenary/Chris T. Bauch</i> 32	
3	Specialized Sessions	
3.1	3.1 - Bayesian Nonparametric Learning 45	
3.1.1	Bayesian nonparametric covariate driven clustering. Raffaele Argiento, Ilaria Bianchini, Alessandra Guglielmi and Ettore Lanzarone	
3.1.2	A Comparative overview of Bayesian nonparametric estimation of the size of a population. Luca Tardella and Danilo Alunni Fegatelli	
3.1.3	Logit stick-breaking priors for partially exchangeable count data. <i>Tommaso Rigon</i> 64	
3.2	BDsports - Statistics in Sports 72	
3.2.1	A paired comparison model for the analysis of on-field variables in football matches. Gunther Schauberger and Andreas Groll	
3.2.2	Are the shots predictive for the football results?. Leonardo Egidi, Francesco Pauli, Nicola Torelli	
3.2.3	Zero-inflated ordinal data models with application to sport (in)activity. <i>Maria lannario and Rosaria Simone</i>	
3.3	Being young and becoming adult in the Third Millennium: definition issues and processes analysis 97	
3.3.1	Do Social Media Data predict changes in young adults' employment status? Evidence from Italy. <i>Andrea Bonanomi and Emiliano Sironi</i>	

3.3.2	Parenthood: an advanced step in the transition to adulthood. <i>Cinzia Castagnaro, Antonella Guarneri and Eleonora Meli</i>
3.4	Economic Statistics and Big Data 114
3.4.1	Improvements in Italian CPI/HICP deriving from the use of scanner data. <i>Alessandro Brunetti, Stefania Fatello, Federico Polidoro, Antonella Simone</i> 114
3.4.2	Big data and spatial price comparisons of consumer prices. <i>Tiziana Laureti and Federico Polidoro</i>
3.5	Financial Time Series Analysis 131
3.5.1	Dynamic component models for forecasting trading volumes. Antonio Naimoli and Giuseppe Storti
3.5.2	Conditional Quantile-Located VaR. Giovanni Bonaccolto, Massimiliano Caporin and Sandra Paterlini
3.6	Forensic Statistics 146
3.6.1	Cause of effects: an important evaluation in Forensic Science. Fabio Corradi and Monica Musio
3.6.2	Evaluation and reporting of scientific evidence: the impact of partial probability assignments. <i>Silvia Bozza, Alex Biedermann, Franco Taroni</i> 155
3.7	Missing Data Handling in Complex Models 161
3.7.1	Dependence and sensitivity in regression models for longitudinal responses subject to dropout. <i>Marco Alfo' and Maria Francesca Marino</i> 161
3.7.2	Multilevel analysis of student ratings with missing level-two covariates: a comparison of imputation techniques. <i>Maria Francesca Marino e Carla Rampichini</i> 170
3.7.3	Multilevel Multiple Imputation in presence of interactions, non-linearities and random slopes. <i>Matteo Quartagno and James R. Carpenter</i>
3.8	Monitoring Education Systems. Insights from Large Scale Assessment Surveys
3.8.1	Educational Achievement of Immigrant Students. A Cross-National Comparison Over-Time Using OECD-PISA Data. <i>Mariano Porcu</i>
3.9	New Perspectives in Time Series Analysis 192
3.9.1	Generalized periodic autoregressive models for trend and seasonality varying time series. Francesco Battaglia and Domenico Cucina and Manuel Rizzo 192
3.10	Recent Advances in Model-based Clustering 201
3.10.1	Flexible clustering methods for high-dimensional data sets. <i>Cristina Tortora and Paul D. McNicholas</i>
3.10.2	A Comparison of Model-Based and Fuzzy Clustering Methods. <i>Marco Alfo', Maria Brigida Ferraro, Paolo Giordani, Luca Scrucca, and Alessio Serafini</i> 208
3.10.3	Covariate measurement error in generalized linear models for longitudinal data: a latent Markov approach. <i>Roberto Di Mari, Antonio Punzo, and Antonello Maruotti</i> 216
3.11	Statistical Modelling 224
3.11.1	A regularized estimation approach for the three-parameter logistic model. <i>Michela Battauz and Ruggero Bellio</i>
3.11.2	Statistical modelling and GAMLSS. <i>Mikis D. Stasinopoulos and Robert A. Rigby and Fernanda De Bastiani</i>
3.12	Young Contributions to Statistical Learning 239
3.12.1	

3.12.2	Bayesian inference for hidden Markov models via duality and approximate filtering distributions. <i>Guillaume Kon Kam King, Omiros Papaspiliopoulos and Matteo Ruggiero</i>
3.12.3	K-means seeding via MUS algorithm. Leonardo Egidi, Roberta Pappada`, Francesco Pauli, Nicola Torelli
4	Sollicited Sessions 263
4.1	Advances in Discrete Latent Variable Modelling 263
4.1.1	A joint model for longitudinal and survival data based on a continuous-time latent Markov model. <i>Alessio Farcomeni and Francesco Bartolucci</i>
4.1.3	approach. Brian Francis
4.1.3	Maria Francesca Marino, Silvia Pandolfi
4.1.4	the latent Markov model. Fulvia Pennoni, Leo Paas and Francesco Bartolucci 289
4.2	Complex Spatio-temporal Processes and Functional Data 297
4.2.1	Clustering of spatio-temporal data based on marked variograms. <i>Antonio Balzanella and Rosanna Verde</i>
4.2.2	Space-time earthquake clustering: nearest-neighbor and stochastic declustering methods in comparison. Elisa Varini, Antonella Peresan, Renata Rotondi, and Stefania Gentili
4.2.3	Advanced spatio-temporal point processes for the Sicily seismicity analysis. <i>Marianna Siino and Giada Adelfio</i>
4.2.4	Spatial analysis of the Italian seismic network and seismicity. <i>Antonino D'Alessandro, Marianna Siino, Luca Greco and Giada Adelfio</i>
4.3	Dimensional Reduction Techniques for Big Data Analysis 328
4.3.1	Clustering Data Streams via Functional Data Analysis: a Comparison between Hierarchical Clustering and K-means Approaches. Fabrizio Maturo, Francesca Fortuna, and Tonio Di Battista
4.3.2	Co-clustering algorithms for histogram data. Francisco de A.T. De Carvalho and Antonio Balzanella and Antonio Irpino and Rosanna Verde
4.3.3	A network approach to dimensionality reduction in Text Mining. <i>Michelangelo Misuraca, Germana Scepi and Maria Spano</i>
4.3.4	Self Organizing Maps for distributional data. Rosanna Verde and Antonio Irpino 352
4.4	Environmental Processes, Human Activities and their Interactions 353
4.4.1	Estimation of coral growth parameters via Bayesian hierarchical non-linear models. Crescenza Calculli, Barbara Cafarelli and Daniela Cocchi
4.4.2	A Hierarchical Bayesian Spatio-Temporal Model to Estimate the Short-term Effects of Air Pollution on Human Health. <i>Fontanella Lara, Ippoliti Luigi and Valentini Pasquale</i> 361
4.4.3	A multilevel hidden Markov model for space-time cylindrical data. <i>Francesco Lagona and Monia Ranalli</i>
4.4.4	Estimation of entropy measures for categorical variables with spatial correlation. Linda Altieri, Giulia Roli
4.5	Innovations in Census and in Social Surveys 381
4.5.1	A micro-based approach to ensure consistency among administrative sources and to improve population statistics. <i>Gianni Corsetti, Sabrina Prati, Valeria Tomeo, Enrico Tucci</i>
4.5.2	Demographic changes, research questions and data needs: issues about migrations. Salvatore Strozza and Giuseppe Gabrielli

4.5.3	Towards more timely census statistics: the new Italian multiannual dissemination programme. Simona Mastroluca and Mariangela Verrascina 400
4.6	Living Conditions and Consumption Expenditure in Time of Crises 409
4.6.1	Household consumption expenditure and material deprivation in Italy during last economic crises. <i>Ilaria Arigoni and Isabella Siciliani</i> 409
4.7	Network Data Analysis and Mining 418
4.7.1	Support provided by elderly Italian people: a multilevel analysis. <i>Elvira Pelle, Giulia Rivellini and Susanna Zaccarini</i>
4.7.2	Data mining and analysis of comorbidity networks from practitioner prescriptions. Giancarlo Ragozini, Giuseppe Giordano, Sergio Pagano, Mario De Santis, Pierpaolo Cavallo
4.7.3	Overlapping mixture models for network data (manet) with covariates adjustment. Saverio Ranciati and Giuliano Galimberti and Ernst C. Wit and Veronica Vinciotti 434
4.8	New Challenges in the Measurement of Economic Insecurity, Inequality and Poverty 440
4.8.1	Social protection in mitigating economic insecurity. <i>Alessandra Coli</i> 440
4.8.2	Changes in poverty concentration in U.S. urban areas. Francesco Andreoli and Mauro Mussini
4.8.3	Evaluating sustainability through an input-stateoutput framework: the case of the Italian provinces. <i>Achille Lemmi, Laura Neri, Federico M. Pulselli</i> 458
4.9	New Methods and Models for Ordinal Data 466
4.9.1	Weighted and unweighted distances based decision tree for ranking data. <i>Antonella Plaia, Simona Buscemi, Mariangela Sciandra</i> 466
4.9.2	A dissimilarity-based splitting criterion for CUBREMOT. Carmela Cappelli, Rosaria Simone and Francesca Di Iorio
4.9.3	Constrained Extended Plackett-Luce model for the analysis of preference rankings. Cristina Mollica and Luca Tardella
4.9.4	A prototype for the analysis of time use in Italy. Stefania Capecchi and Manuela Michelini
4.10	New Perspectives in Supervised and Unsupervised Classification 493
4.10.1	Robust Updating Classification Rule with applications in Food Authenticity Studies. Andrea Cappozzo, Francesca Greselin and Thomas Brendan Murphy 493
4.10.2	A robust clustering procedure with unknown number of clusters. <i>Francesco Dotto and Alessio Farcomeni</i>
4.10.3	Issues in joint dimension reduction and clustering methods. <i>Michel van de Velden, Alfonso Iodice D'Enza and Angelos Markos</i>
4.11	New Sources, Data Integration and Measurement Challenges for Estimates on Labour Market Dynamics 514
4.11.1	The development of the Italian Labour register: principles, issues and perspectives . C. Baldi, C. Ceccarelli, S. Gigante, S. Pacini
4.11.2	Digging into labour market dynamics: toward a reconciliation of stock and flows short term indicators. <i>F. Rapiti, C. Baldi, D. Ichim, F. Pintaldi, M. E. Pontecorvo, R. Rizzi</i>
4.11.3	How effective are the regional policies in Europe? The role of European Funds. Gennaro Punzo, Mariateresa Ciommi, and Gaetano Musella 531
4.11.4	Labour market condition in Italy during and after the financial crises: a segmented regression analysis approach of interrupted time series. <i>Lucio Masserini and Matilde Bini</i>

4.12	Quantile and Generalized Quantile Methods 547
4.12.1	Multiple quantile regression for risk assessment. <i>Lea Petrella and Valentina Raponi</i> 547
4.12.2	Parametric Modeling of Quantile Regression Coefficient Functions. <i>Paolo Frumento and Matteo Bottai</i>
4.12.3	Modelling the effect of Traffic and Meteorology on Air Pollution with Finite Mixtures of M-quantile Regression Models. Simone Del Sarto, Maria Francesca Marino, Maria Giovanna Ranalli and Nicola Salvati
4.12.4	Three-level M-quantile model for small area poverty mapping. Stefano Marchetti and Nicola Salvati
4.13	Recent Advances on Extreme Value Theory 560
4.13.1	Extremes of high-order IGARCH processes. Fabrizio Laurini 560
4.14	Spatial Economic Data Analysis 569
4.14.1	Spatial heterogeneity in principal component analysis: a study of deprivation index on Italian provinces. <i>Paolo Postiglione, M. Simona Andreano, Roberto Benedetti, Alfredo Cartone</i>
4.15	Spatial Functional Data Analysis 578
4.15.1	Object oriented spatial statistics for georeferenced tensor data. <i>Alessandra Menafoglio and Davide Pigoli and Piercesare Secchi</i> 578
4.15.2	A Spatio-Temporal Mixture Model for Urban Crimes. Ferretti Angela, Ippoliti Luigi and Valentini Pasquale
4.16	Statistical Methods for Service Quality 591
4.16.1	Cumulative chi-squared statistics for the service quality improvement: new properties and tools for the evaluation. <i>Antonello D'Ambra, Antonio Lucadamo, Pietro Amenta, Luigi D'Ambra</i>
4.16.2	A robust multinomial logit model for evaluating judges' performances. <i>Ida Camminatiello and Antonio Lucadamo</i> 600
4.16.3	Complex Contingency Tables and Partitioning of Three-way Association Indices for Assessing Justice CourtWorkload. <i>Rosaria Lombardo, Yoshio Takane and Eric J Beh</i> 607
4.16.4	Finding the best paths in university curricula of graduates to improve academic guidance services. <i>Silvia Bacci and Bruno Bertaccini</i>
4.17	Statistical Modelling for Business Intelligence Problems 623
4.17.1	A nonlinear state-space model for the forecasting of field failures. <i>Antonio Pievatolo</i> 623
	Does Airbnb affect the real estate market? A spatial dependence analysis. <i>Mariangela Guidolin and Mauro Bernardi</i>
4.17.3	Bayesian Quantile Trees for Sales Management. <i>Mauro Bernardi and Paola Stolfi</i> 640
4.17.4	Discrimination in machine learning algorithms. Roberta Pappadá and Francesco Pauli
4.18	Statistical models for sports data 656
4.18.1	The study of relationship between financial performance and points achieved by Italian football championship clubs via GEE and diagnostic measures. <i>Anna Crisci, Sarnacchiaro Pasquale e Luigi D?Ambra</i>
	Exploring the Kaggle European Soccer database with Bayesian Networks: the case of the Italian League Serie A. <i>Maurizio Carpita and Silvia Golia</i> 665
4.18.3	A data-mining approach to the Parkour discipline. <i>Paola Pasca, Enrico Ciavolino and Ryan L. Boyd</i> 673
4.18.4	Players Movements and Team Shooting Performance: a Data Mining approach for Basketball. <i>Rodolfo Metulini</i>

4.19	Supporting Regional Policies through Small Area Statistical Methods	689
4.19.1	Survey-weighted Unit-Level Small Area Estimation. <i>Jan Pablo Burgard and Pat Dörr</i>	ricia 689
4.20	The Second Generation at School	689
4.20.1	Resilient students with migratory background. <i>Anna Di Bartolomeo and Giuse Gabrielli</i>	eppe 689
4.20.2	Residential Proximity to Attended Schools among Immigrant-Origin Youths in Bol- Federica Santangelo, Debora Mantovani and Giancarlo Gasperoni	ogna. 698
4.20.3	From school to future: strategies, paths and perspectives of immigrant immed descendants in Naples . Giustina Orientale Caputo and Giuseppe Gargiulo	diate 706
4.21	Tourism Destinations, Household, Firms	714
4.21.1	The Pricing Behaviour of Firms in the On-line Accommodation Market: Evide from a Metropolitan City. <i>Andrea Guizzardi and Flavio Maria Emanuele Pons</i>	714
4.21.2	The Migration-Led-Tourism Hypothesis for Italy: A Survey. <i>Carla Massidda, Rom Piras and Ivan Etzo</i>	724
	Tourism Statistics: development and potential uses. Fabrizio Antolini	
4.21.4	Tourism attractiveness in Italy. Some empirical evidence comparing origin-destir domestic tourism flows. <i>Francesca Giambona, Emanuela Dreassi, and Alessa.</i> Magrini	
4.22	What's Happening in Africa	748
4.22.1	Environmental shocks and internal migration in Tanzania. <i>Maria Francesca Ma Alessandra Petrucci, and Elena Pirani</i>	
4.22.2		sure-
5	Contributed Sessions	765
5.1	Advanced Algorithms and Computation	765
5.1.1	Brexit in Italy. Francesca Greco, Livia Celardo, Leonardo Salvatore Alaimo .	
5.1.2	Distance based Depth-Depth classifier for directional data. <i>Giuseppe Pana and Giovanni C. Porzio</i>	dolfo 773
5.1.3	Approximate Bayesian Computation for Forecasting in Hydrological models. <i>Jo. Romero-Cuéllar, Antonino Abbruzzo, Giada Adelfio and Félix Francés</i>	777
5.1.4	Customer Churn prediction based on extreme Gradient Boosting classifier. hammed Hassan Elbedawi Omar and Matteo Borrotti	783
5.1.5	HPC-accelerated Approximate Bayesian Computation for Biological Science. brata Dutta	789
5.1.6	PC Algorithm for Gaussian Copula Data. Vincenzina Vitale and Paola Vicara	797
5.2	Advances in Clustering Techniques	803
5.2.1	On the choice of an appropriate bandwidth for modal clustering. <i>Alessal Casa, José E. Chacón and Giovanna Menardi</i>	803
5.2.2	Unsupervised clustering of Italian schools via non-parametric multilevel mo Chiara Masci, Francesca leva and Anna Maria Paganoni	
5.2.3	Chiara Masci, Francesca leva and Anna Maria Paganoni. <i>Laura Bocci and natella Vicari</i>	816
5.2.4	Robust Reduced k-Means and Factorial k-Means by trimming. <i>Luca Greco Antonio Lucadamo and Pietro Amenta</i>	821
5.2.5	Dirichlet processes, posterior similarity and graph clustering. Stefano Tonellato	
5.2.6	Bootstrap ClustGeo with spatial constraints. Veronica Distefano, Valentina Ma Fabio Della Marra	

5.3	Advances in Statistical Models 839
5.3.1	Regression modeling via latent predictors. Francesca Martella and Donatella Vicari
5.3.2	Analysis of dropout in engineering BSc using logistic mixed-effect models. Luca Fontana and Anna Maria Paganoni
5.3.3	dgLARS method for relative risk regression models. <i>Luigi Augugliaro and Angelo M. Mineo</i>
5.3.4	A Latent Class Conjoint Analysis for analysing graduates profiles. <i>Paolo Mariani, Andrea Marletta, Lucio Masserini and Mariangela Zenga</i> 858
5.3.5	A longitudinal analysis of the degree of accomplishment of anti-corruption measures by Italian municipalities: a latent Markov approach. Simone Del Sarto, Michela Gnaldi, Francesco Bartolucci
5.3.6	Modelling the effect of covariates for unbiased estimates in ecological inference methods. <i>Venera Tomaselli, Antonio Forcina and Michela Gnaldi</i> 870
5.4	Advances in Time Series 876
5.4.1	Filtering outliers in time series of electricity prices. <i>Ilaria Lucrezia Amerise</i> 876
5.4.2	Time-varying long-memory processes. Luisa Bisaglia and Matteo Grigoletto 883
5.4.3	Statistical Analysis of Markov Switching DSGE Models. Maddalena Cavicchioli 889
5.4.4	Forecasting energy price volatilities and comovements with fractionally integrated MGARCH models. <i>Malvina Marchese and Francesca Di Iorio</i> 894
5.4.5	Improved bootstrap simultaneous prediction limits. Paolo Vidoni 900
5.5	Data Management 906
5.5.1	Using web scraping techniques to derive co-authorship data: insights from a case study. Domenico De Stefano, Vittorio Fuccella, Maria Prosperina Vitale, Susanna Zaccarin
5.5.2	Dealing with Data Evolution and Data Integration: An approach using Rarefaction. Luca Del Core, Eugenio Montini, Clelia Di Serio, Andrea Calabria 913
5.5.3	Monitoring event attendance using a combination of traditional and advanced surveying tools. <i>Mauro Ferrante, Amit Birenboim, Anna Maria Milito, Stefano De Cantis</i>
5.5.4	Indefinite Topological Kernels. <i>Tullia Padellini and Pierpaolo Brutti</i> 925
5.5.5	Data Integration in Social Sciences: the earnings intergenerational mobility prob- lem. <i>Veronica Ballerini, Francesco Bloise, Dario Briscolini and Michele Raitano</i> 931
5.5.6	An innovative approach for the GDPR compliance in Big DAta era. <i>M. Giacalone, C. Cusatelli, F. Fanari, V. Santarcangelo, D.C. Sinitó</i> 937
5.6	Developments in Graphical Models 943
5.6.1	An extension of the glasso estimator to multivariate censored data. <i>Antonino Abbruzzo and Luigi Augugliaro and Angelo M. Mineo</i>
5.6.2	Bayesian Estimation of Graphical Log-Linear Marginal Models. <i>Claudia Tarantola, Ioannis Ntzoufras and Monia Lupparelli</i>
5.6.3	Statistical matching by Bayesian Networks. <i>Daniela Marella and Paola Vicard and Vincenzina Vitale</i>
5.6.4	Sparse Nonparametric Dynamic Graphical Models. <i>Fabrizio Poggioni, Mauro Bernardi, Lea Petrella</i>
5.6.5	Non-communicable diseases, socio-economic status, lifestyle and well-being in Italy: An additive Bayesian network model. <i>Laura Maniscalco and Domenica Matranga</i>
5.6.6	Using Almost-Dynamic Bayesian Networks to Represent Uncertainty in Complex Epidemiological Models: a Proposal. <i>Sabina Marchetti</i> 974

5.7	Educational World 980
5.7.1	How to improve the Quality Assurance System of the Universities: a study based on compositional analysis . <i>Bertaccini B., Gallo M., Simonacci V., and Menini T.</i> . 980
5.7.2	Evaluation of students' performance at lower secondary education. An empirical analysis using TIMSS and PISA data <i>G. Graziosi, T. Agasisti, K. De Witte and F. Pauli</i> 985
5.7.3	Testing for the Presence of Scale Drift: An Example. <i>Michela Battauz</i> 991
5.7.4	The evaluation of Formative Tutoring at the University of Padova. Renata Clerici, Lorenza Da Re, Anna Giraldo, Silvia Meggiolaro
5.7.5	Benefits of the Erasmus mobility experience: a discrete latent variable analysis. Silvia Bacci, Valeria Caviezel and Anna Maria Falzoni
5.7.6	University choice and the attractiveness of the study area. Insights from an analysis based on generalized mixed-effect models. <i>Silvia Columbu, Mariano Porcu and Isabella Sulis</i>
5.8	Environment 1013
5.8.1	The climate funds for energy sustainability: a counterfactual analysis. Alfonso Carfora and Giuseppe Scandurra
5.8.2	Exploratory GIS Analysis via Spatially Weighted Regression Trees. Carmela Iorio, Giuseppe Pandolfo, Michele Staiano, and Roberta Siciliano 1020
5.8.3	A functional regression control chart for profile monitoring. Fabio Centofanti, Antonio Lepore, Alessandra Menafoglio, Biagio Palumbo and Simone Vantini 1026
5.8.4	Understanding pro-environmental travel behaviours in Western Europe. Gennaro Punzo, Rosalia Castellano, and Demetrio Panarello
5.9	Family & Economic issues 1037
5.9.1	Measuring Economic Uncertainty: Longitudinal Evidence Using a Latent Transition Model. Francesca Giambona, Laura Grassini and Daniele Vignoli 1037
5.9.2	Intentions to leave Italy or to stay among foreigners: some determinants of migration projects. <i>Ginevra Di Giorgio, Francesca Dota, Paola Muccitelli and Daniele Spizzichino</i>
5.9.3	Wages differentials in association with individuals, enterprises and territorial characteristics. S. De Santis, C. Freguja, A. Masi, N. Pannuzi, F. G. Truglia 1050
5.9.4	The Transition to Motherhood among British Young Women: Does housing tenure play a role?. Valentina Tocchioni, Ann Berrington, Daniele Vignoli and Agnese Vitali
5.10	Finance & Insurance 1062
5.10.1	Robust statistical methods for credit risk. A. Corbellini, A. Ghiretti, G. Morelli and A. Talignani
	Depth-based portfolio selection. Giuseppe Pandolfo, Carmela Iorio and Antonio D'Ambrosio
5.10.3	Estimating large-scale multivariate local level models with application to stochastic volatility. <i>Matteo Pelagatti and Giacomo Sbrana</i> 1075
5.11	Health and Clinical Data 1081
5.11.1	Is retirement bad for health? A matching approach. Elena Pirani, Marina Ballerini, Alessandra Mattei, Gustavo De Santis
5.11.2	The emergency department utilisation among the immigrant population resident in Rome from 2005 to 2015. Eleonora Trappolini, Laura Cacciani, Claudia Marino, Cristina Giudici, Nera Agabiti, Marina Davoli
5.11.3	Multi-State model with nonparametric discrete frailty. Francesca Gasperoni, Francesca leva, Anna Maria Paganoni, Chris Jackson and Linda Sharples 1095
5.11.4	A Functional Urn Model for CARA Designs. <i>Giacomo Aletti, Andrea Ghiglietti, and William F. Rosenberger</i>

5.11.5	Assessment of the INLA approach on gerarchic bayesian models for the spatial disease distribution: a real data application. Paolo Girardi, Emanuela Bovo, Carmen Stocco, Susanna Baracco, Alberto Rosano, Daniele Monetti, Silvia Rizzato, Sara Zamberlan, Enrico Chinellato, Ugo Fedeli, Massimo Rugge
5.12	Medicine 1113
5.12.1	Hidden Markov Models for disease progression. <i>Andrea Martino, Andrea Ghiglietti, Giuseppina Guatteri, Anna Maria Paganoni</i>
5.12.2	A simulation study on the use of response-adaptive randomized designs. Anna Maria Paganoni, Andrea Ghiglietti, Maria Giovanna Scarale, Rosalba Miceli, Francesca leva, Luigi Mariani, Cecilia Gavazzi and Valeria Edefonti
5.12.3	The relationship between health care expenditures and time to death: focus on myocardial infarction patients. <i>Luca Grassetti and Laura Rizzi</i> 1126
5.12.4	A multivariate extension of the joint models. <i>Marcella Mazzoleni and Mariangela Zenga</i>
5.12.5	Multipurpose optimal designs for hypothesis testing in normal response trials. <i>Marco Novelli and Maroussa Zagoraiou</i>
5.12.6	Additive Bayesian networks for an epidemiological analysis of swine diseases. Marta Pittavino and Reinhard Furrer
5.13	Population Dynamics 1150
5.13.1	Employment Uncertainty and Fertility: a Meta-Analysis of European Research Findings. Giammarco Alderotti, Daniele Vignoli and Michela Baccini 1150
5.13.2	What Shapes Population Age Structures in the Long Run. <i>Gustavo De Santis and Giambattista Salinari</i>
5.13.3	The impact of economic development on fertility: a complexity approach in a cross-country analysis. <i>Niccolólnnocenti, Daniele Vignoli and Luciana Lazzeretti</i> 1162
5.13.4	A Probabilistic Cohort-Component Model for Population Fore-casting - The Case of Germany. Patrizio Vanella and Philipp Deschermeier
5.13.5	Mortality trends in Sardinia 1992-2015: an ecological study. <i>Vanessa Santos Sanchez,</i> Gabriele Ruiu Marco Breschi, Lucia Pozzi
5.14	Recent Developments in Bayesian Inference 1177
5.14.1	Posterior distributions with non explicit objective priors. Erlis Ruli, Nicola Sartori and Laura Ventura
5.14.2	A predictive measure of the additional loss of a non-optimal action under multiple priors. Fulvio De Santis and Stefania Gubbiotti
5.14.3	Bayesian estimation of number and position of knots in regression splines. <i>Gioia Di Credico, Francesco Pauli and Nicola Torelli</i>
5.14.4	The importance of historical linkages in shaping population density across space. Ilenia Epifani and Rosella Nicolini
5.15	Recent Developments in Sampling 1202
5.15.1	Species richness estimation exploiting purposive lists: A proposal. A. Chiarucci, R.M. Di Biase, L. Fattorini, M. Marcheselli and C. Pisani
5.15.2	Design-based exploitation of big data by a doubly calibrated estimator. <i>Maria Michela Dickson, Giuseppe Espa and Lorenzo Fattorini</i> 1209
5.15.3	Design-based mapping in environmental surveys. <i>L. Fattorini, M. Marcheselli and C. Pisani</i>
5.15.4	Testing for independence in analytic inference. <i>Pier Luigi Conti and Alberto Di Iorio</i> 1221
5.15.5	On the aberrations of two-level Orthogonal Arrays with removed runs. Roberto Fontana and Fabio Rapallo

5.16	Recent Developments in Statistical Modelling 1233	
5.16.1	Quantile Regression Coefficients Modeling: a Penalized Approach. <i>Gianluca Sottile, Paolo Frumento and Matteo Bottai</i>	
5.16.2	Simultaneous calibrated prediction intervals for time series. Giovanni Fonseca, Federica Giummolé and Paolo Vidoni	
5.16.3	Reversibility and (non)linearity in time series. Luisa Bisaglia and Margherita Gerolimet 1246	to
5.16.45.16.5	Heterogeneous effects of subsidies on farms' performance: a spatial quantile regression analysis. <i>Marusca De Castris and Daniele Di Gennaro</i> 1252 On the estimation of high-dimensional regression models with binary covariates.	
0.10.0	Valentina Mameli, Debora Slanzi and Irene Poli	
5.17	Social Indicators 1265	
5.17.1	Can a neighbour region influence poverty? A fuzzy and longitudinal approach. Gianni Betti, Federico Crescenzi and Francesca Gagliardi	
5.17.2	Weight-based discrimination in the Italian Labor Market: how do ethnicity and gender interact? Giovanni Busetta, Maria Gabriella Campolo, and Demetrio Panarello)
5.17.3	The Total Factor Productivity Index as a Ratio of Price Indexes. <i>Lisa Crosato and Biancamaria Zavanella</i>	
5.17.4	Monetary poverty indicators at local level: evaluating the impact of different poverty thresholds. <i>Luigi Biggeri, Caterina Giusti and Stefano Marchetti</i> 1284	
5.17.5	A gender inequality assessment by means of the Gini index decomposition. <i>Michele Costa</i>	
5.18	Socio-Economic Statistics 1296	
5.18.1	The NEETs during the economic crisis in Italy, Young NEETs in Italy, Spain and Greece during the economic crisis. Giovanni De Luca, Paolo Mazzocchi, Claudio Quintano, Antonella Rocca	,
5.18.2	Camel or dromedary? A study of the equilibrium distribution of income in the EU countries. <i>Crosato L., Ferretti C., Ganugi P.</i>	
5.18.3	Small Area Estimation of Inequality Measures. <i>Maria Rosaria Ferrante and Silvia Pacei</i>	
5.18.4	Testing the Learning-by-Exporting at Micro-Level in light of influence of "Statistical Issues" and Macroeconomic Factors. <i>Maria Rosaria Ferrante and Marzia Freo</i> 1314	
5.18.5	The mobility and the job success of the Sicilian graduates <i>Ornella Giambalvo and Antonella Plaia and Sara Binassi</i>	
5.19	Statistical Analysis of Energy Markets 1326	
5.19.1	Forecasting Value-at-Risk for Model Risk Analysis in Energy Markets. <i>Angelica Gianfreda and Giacomo Scandolo</i>	
5.19.2	Prediction interval of electricity prices by robust nonlinear models. <i>Lisa Crosato, Luigi Grossi and Fany Nan</i>	
5.19.3	Bias Reduction in a Matching Estimation of Treatment Effect. Maria Gabriella Campolo, Antonino Di Pino and Edoardo Otranto	
5.20	Statistical Inference and Testing Procedures 1344	1
5.20.1	Comparison of exact and approximate simultaneous confidence regions in nonlinear regression models. <i>Claudia Furlan and Cinzia Mortarino</i> 1344	
5.20.2	Tail analysis of a distribution by means of an inequality curve. E. Taufer, F. Santi, G. Espa and M. M. Dickson	
5.20.3	Nonparametric penalized likelihood for density estimation. Federico Ferraccioli, Laura M. Sangalli and Livio Finos	
5.20.4	Rethinking the Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test of Goodness of Fit in a Compositional Way. G.S. Monti, G. Mateu-Figueras, M. I. Ortego, V. Pawlowsky-Glahn and J. J. Egozcue 1363	

5.20.5	Lucio Bertoli-Barsotti
5.21	Statistical Models for Ordinal Data 1374
5.21.1	A comparative study of benchmarking procedures for interrater and intrarater agreement studies. <i>Amalia Vanacore and Maria Sole Pellegrino</i> 1374
5.21.2	Measuring the multiple facets of tolerance using survey data. Caterina Liberati and Riccarda Longaretti and Alessandra Michelangeli
5.21.3	Modified profile likelihood in models for clustered data with missing values. <i>Claudia Di Caterina and Nicola Sartori</i>
5.21.4	Worthiness Based Social Scaling. Giulio D'Epifanio
5.21.5	Direct Individual Differences Scaling for Evaluation of Research Quality. <i>Gallo M., Trendafilov N., and Simonacci V.</i>
5.21.6	A test for variable importance. Rosaria Simone 1400
5.22	Statistical Models New Proposals 1406
5.22.1	Decomposing Large Networks: An Approach Based on the MCA based Community Detection. Carlo Drago
5.22.2	On Bayesian high-dimensional regression with binary predictors: a simulation study. Debora Slanzi, Valentina Mameli and Irene Poli
5.22.3	On the estimation of epidemiological parameters from serological survey data using Bayesian mixture modelling. <i>Emanuele Del Fava, Piero Manfredi, and Ziv Shkedy</i>
5.22.4	An evaluation of KL-optimum designs to discriminate between rival copula models. Laura Deldossi, Silvia Angela Osmetti, Chiara Tommasi
5.22.5	Variational Approximations for Frequentist and Bayesian Inference. <i>Luca Maestrini</i> and Matt P. Wand
5.22.6	Node-specific effects in latent space modelling of multidimensional networks. <i>Silvia D'Angelo and Marco Alfó and Thomas Brendan Murphy</i>
5.23	Statistics for Consumer Research 1443
5.23.1	A panel data analysis of Italian hotels. <i>Antonio Giusti, Laura Grassini, Alessandro Viviani</i>
5.23.2	A Bayesian Mixed Multinomial Logit Model for Partially Microsimulated Data on Labor Supply. <i>Cinzia Carota and Consuelo R. Nava</i>
5.23.3	Comparison between Experience-based Food Insecurity scales. <i>Federica Onori, Sara Viviani and Pierpaolo Brutti</i>
5.23.4	Sovereign co-risk measures in the Euro Area. Giuseppe Arbia, Riccardo Bramante, Silvia Facchinetti, Diego Zappa
5.23.5	Simultaneous unsupervised and supervised classification modeling for clustering, model selection and dimensionality reduction. <i>Mario Fordellone and Maurizio Vichi</i>
5.23.6	Consumers' preference for coffee consumption: a choice experiment including organoleptic characteristics and chemical analysis <i>Rossella Berni, Nedka D. Nikiforova and Patrizia Pinelli</i>
5.24	Statistics for Earthquakes 1482
5.24.1	How robust is the skill score of probabilistic earthquake forecasts? Alessia Caponera and Maximilian J. Werner
5.24.2	Functional linear models for the analysis of similarity of waveforms. Francesca Di Salvo, Renata Rotondi and Giovanni Lanzano
5.24.3	Detection of damage in civil engineering structure by PCA on environmental vibration data. G. Agró, V. Carlisi, R. Mantione

5.25	Statistics for Financial Risks 1501
5.25.1	Conditional Value-at-Risk: a comparison between quantile regression and copula functions. <i>Giovanni De Luca and Giorgia Rivieccio</i>
5.25.2	Systemic events and diffusion of jumps. <i>Giovanni Bonaccolto, Nancy Zambon and Massimiliano Caporin</i>
5.25.3	Traffic Lights for Systemic Risk Detectio. <i>Massimiliano Caporin, Laura Garcia-Jorcano, Juan-Angel Jiménez-Martin</i>
5.25.4 5.25.5	Bayesian Quantile Regression Treed. <i>Mauro Bernardi and Paola Stolfi</i> 1520 Model Selection in Weighted Stochastic Block models. <i>Roberto Casarin, Michele Costola, Erdem Yenerdag</i>
5.26	Tourism & Cultural Participation 1529
5.26.1	The determinants of tourism destination competitiveness in 2006-2016: a partial least square path modelling approach. <i>Alessandro Magrini, Laura Grassini</i> 1529
5.26.2	Participation in tourism of Italian residents in the years of the economic recession. Chiara Bocci, Laura Grassini, Emilia Rocco
5.26.3	Cultural Participation in the digital Age in Europe: a multilevel cross-national analysis. Laura Bocci and Isabella Mingo
5.26.4	Tourist flows and museum admissions in Italy: an integrated analysis. <i>Lorenzo Cavallo, Francesca Petrei, Maria Teresa Santoro</i> 1549
5.26.5	Posterior Predictive Assessment for Item Response Theory Models: A Proposal Based on the Hellinger Distance. <i>Mariagiulia Matteucci and Stefania Mignani</i> 1555
5.27	Well-being & Quality of Life 1561
5.27.1	Is Structural Equation Modelling Able to Predict Well-being? Daniele Toninelli and Michela Cameletti
5.27.2	The well-being in the Italian urban areas: a local geographic variation analysis. Eugenia Nissi and Annalina Sarra
5.27.3	Comparing Composite Indicators to measure Quality of Life: the Italian "Sole 24 Ore" case. <i>Gianna Agró, Mariantonietta Ruggieri and Erasmo Vassallo</i> 1574
5.27.4	Quality of working life in Italy: findings from Inapp survey. <i>Paolo Emilio Cardone</i> 1580
5.27.5	Well-being indices: what about Italian scenario? <i>Silvia Facchinetti and Elena Siletti</i> 1587
5.27.6	How can we compare rankings that are expected to be similar? An example based on composite well being indicators. Silvia Terzi e Luca Moroni 1593
6	Poster Sessions 1601
6.0.1	A distribution curves comparison approach to analyze the university moving students performance. <i>Giovanni Boscaino, Giada Adelfio, Gianluca Sottile</i> 1601
6.0.2	A Partial Ordering Application in Aggregating Dimensions of Subjective Well-being. Paola Conigliaro
6.0.3	A note on objective Bayes analysis for graphical vector autoregressive models. Lucia Paci and Guido Consonni
6.0.4	Bayesian Population Size Estimation with A Single Sample. <i>Pierfrancesco Alaimo Di Loro and Luca Tardella</i>
6.0.5	Classification of the Aneurisk65 dataset using PCA for partially observed functional data. <i>Marco Stefanucci, Laura Sangalli and Pierpaolo Brutti</i> 1626
6.0.6	Deep Learning to the Test: an Application to Traffic Data Streams. <i>Nina Deliu and Pierpaolo Brutti</i>
6.0.7	Estimating the number of unseen species under heavy tails. <i>Marco Battiston, Federico Camerlenghi, Emanuele Dolera and Stefano Favaro</i> 1637
6.0.8	How to measure cybersecurity risk. Silvia Facchinetti, Paolo Giudici and Silvia Angela Osmetti

6.0.9	Implementation of an innovative technique to improve Sauvignon Blanc wine quality. Filippa Bono, Pietro Catanaia and Mariangela Vallone 1647
6.0.10	Investigating the effect of drugs consumption on survival outcome of Heart Failure
	patients using joint models: a case study based on regional administrative data. Marta Spreafico, Francesca Gasperoni, Francesca leva
6.0.11	Mapping the relation between University access test and student's university performance. Vincenzo Giuseppe Genova, Antonella Plaia 1659
6.0.12	Multivariate analysis of marine litter abundance through Bayesian space-time models. C. Calculli, A. Pollice, L. Sion, and P. Maiorano 1665
6.0.13	Power Priors for Bayesian Analysis of Graphical Models of Conditional Independence in Three Way Contingency Tables. <i>Katerina Mantzouni, Claudia Tarantola and Ioannis Ntzoufras</i>
6.0.14	Random Garden: a Supervised Learning Algorithm. <i>Ivan Luciano Danesi, Valeria Danese, Nicolo' Russo and Enrico Tonini</i>
6.0.15	Spatiotemporal Prevision for Emergency Medical System Events in Milan. <i>Andrea Gilardi, Riccardo Borgoni, Andrea Pagliosa, Rodolfo Bonora</i> 1681
6.0.16	Spatial segregation immigrant households in Messina. <i>Angelo Mazza and Massimo Mucciardi</i>
6.0.17	Supervised Learning for Link Prediction in Social Networks. <i>Riccardo Giubilei, Pierpaolo Brutti</i>
6.0.18	Women's empowerment and child mortality: the case of Bangladesh. <i>Chiara Puglisi, Annalisa Busetta</i>

Comparison between Experience-based Food Insecurity scales

Confronto tra scale di insicurezza alimentare basate sull'esperienza

Federica Onori, Sara Viviani and Pierpaolo Brutti

Abstract In order to face food insecurity as a global phenomenon, it is essential to rely on measurement tools that guarantee comparability across countries. Although the official indicator adopted by the United Nations in the context of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and based on the Food Insecurity Experience Scale (FIES) already embeds cross-country comparability, other experience-based scales currently employ national thresholds. In this paper we address the issue of comparability by presenting two different studies. The first one between FIES and three national scales (ELCSA, EMSA and EBIA) included in national surveys in Guatemala, Ecuador, Mexico and Brazil. The second one between the adult and children versions of these national scales. Different methods from the equating practice of educational testing are explored: parametric, nonparametric, classical and based on the Item Response Theory (IRT).

Abstract Al fine di affrontare il problema dell'insicurezza alimentare come un fenomeno globale, è essenziale poter contare su strumenti di misurazione che garantiscano comparabilità tra Paesi. Nonostante l'indicatore ufficialmente adottato dalle Nazioni Unite nel contesto dei Sustainable Development Goals e basato sulla scala FIES, formalmente assicuri questa possibilità, altre scale di insicurezza alimentare utilizzano soglie nazionali. Questo lavoro propone due studi di comparabilità. Il primo riguarda la scala FIES e le scale nazionali ELCSA, EMSA ed EBIA, mentre il secondo confronta le scale nazionali riferite a famiglie con e senza minori. Vengono implementati diversi metodi di confronto utilizzati nell'educational testing: parametrici, nonparametrici, classici e basati sull'Item Response Theory (IRT).

Federica Onori

Sapienza University of Rome,e-mail: federica.onori@uniromal.it

Sara Viviani

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, e-mail: sara.viviani@fao.org

Pierpaolo Brutti

Sapienza University of Rome, e-mail: pierpaolo.brutti@uniromal.it

Key words: Food insecurity, Test equating, Item Response Theory, SDGs

1 Introduction

Food insecurity is formally defined as the state of being without reliable access to a sufficient quantity of affordable and nutritious food and food security is one of the target of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) of the 2030 Agenda adopted by the United Nations. A number of indicators have been proposed to measure food insecurity and among all, experience-based food insecurity scales have proved to be valid and reliable tools to this aim [1]. These scales address the access dimension of food insecurity from the point of view of individual behaviours by directly asking people about the four aspects of psychological concern, food quality, food quantity and hunger. The first experience-based food insecurity scale was formulated in the United States where since 1995, the Household Food Security Survey Module (HF-SSM) has been applied annually to monitor the phenomenon. Countries in Latin America, inspired by the HFSSM, developed their own national scales and, in this study we consider the Brazilian Scale of Food Insecurity (EBIA), the Latin American and Caribbean Food Security Scale (ELCSA) and the Mexican Food Security Scale (EMSA) [8], all included in national surveys for periodical monitoring. In order to provide a global measurement tool for food insecurity, in 2013 FAO launched the Voices of the Hungry project and introduced the Food Insecurity Experience Scale (FIES) [3]. Conceived as a global adaptation of the previous experience-based scales, the FIES was designed to produce formally comparable prevalences of food insecurity across countries and an index based on FIES was adopted as one of the official indicators for tracking progresses toward target 2.1 of the SDGs. Despite a common evolution, each national scale use specific thresholds to measure prevalences of food insecurity for *nominally* the same level of severity, and the problem of comparability arises. This paper aims at addressing this issue by proposing two comparability studies employing both classical and IRT-based methods from the educational testing field. A first study is proposed that compares FIES and the national scales ELCSA, EMSA and EBIA, while the second study computes, for each national scale, the corresponding raw scores between the adult and the childrenreferenced versions of the scale. In section 2 we present our data and the methods applied. In section 3 we focus on the main results and conclusions.

2 Materials and Methods

Equating is a statistical method that is used to adjust for differences in difficulty between tests' forms built to be similar in content and difficulty, so that scores can be used interchangeably [5]. The common evolution of EBIA, EMSA, ELCSA and FIES makes their Survey Modules similar to each other, allowing for statistical

equating procedure. Nonetheless, the way they "build" measures of food insecurity from observations differ under three main aspects: methodology, reference period and reporting unit. In fact, survey Modules used for ELCSA, EMSA and EBIA measure food insecurity at the *household* level and with a reference period of 3 months, while in this paper we consider for FIES a recalling period of 12 months referring to the adult individual (people age 15 or more). As far as for the methodology, national statistical offices using either ELCSA, EMSA or EBIA adopt a **deterministic** approach: a raw score is computed for each household by counting the number of items affirmed by that household. Prevalences of food insecurity at different levels of severity are then calculated as percentages of households scoring within a certain range expressed in terms of raw scores (Table 1). Conversely, FIES methodology is **probabilistic** in nature relying on the Item Response Theory (IRT) and, more specifically, on the Rasch model as the main tool for data validation and scale building [4]. Following this methodology a common metric called Global Standard is used as the reference metric to adjust model parameters estimates at each application and each respondent is assigned a distribution of his/her food insecurity along the latent trait used to compute percentages of the population whose severity is beyond global thresholds. Two indicators are then computed: the Prevalence of Food Insecurity at moderate or severe levels ($FI_{Mod+Sev}$, threshold -0.25 on the Global Standard) and the Prevalence of Food Insecurity at severe level (FI_{Sev} , threshold 1.81 on the Global Standard) [3]. Finally, FIES consists of one single scale based on 8 items referred to the adults, while EBIA, EMSA and ELCSA consist of two distinct scales, one for households without children and one for households with children, each one with specific thresholds for the different levels of food insecurity (Table 1).

Scale	Food insecurity Level	Households without children	
ELCSA	mild	1 to 3	1 to 5
	moderate	4 to 6	6 to 10
	severe	7 to 8	11 to 15
EMSA	mild	1 to 2	1 to 3
	moderate	3 to 4	4 to 7
	severe	5 to 6	8 to 12
EBIA	mild	1 to 3	1 to 5
	moderate	4 to 6	6 to 10
	severe	7 to 8	11 to 15

Table 1 National classification of food insecurity using ELCSA, EMSA and EBIA.

2.1 First Study: Equating FIES and National Scales

The aim of this comparability study is to find raw scores on the national scales EBIA, EMSA and ELCSA that are *equivalent* to the continuous FIES global thresh-

olds used to compute the two indicators $FI_{Mod+Sev}$ and FI_{Sev} (i.e. -0.25 and 1.81). Data come from the administration of EBIA in Brazil in 2013, EMSA in Mexico in 2014 and ELCSA in Ecuador and Guatemala in 2016 and 2014 respectively and, in order to perform equating with FIES, only adult questions of the national scales have been considered. Equating between FIES and national scales was carried out by means of three equating methods for investigation purposes:

- 1. **IRT True Score** (IRT-TS) equating
- 2. **Linking** via a linear transformation applied to ability parameters
- 3. **Minimization** of the difference between prevalences of food insecurity

The *IRT-True Score equating* (IRT-TS) method is an IRT-based technique that consists of three steps [2]: at first, an IRT-model is fitted to the data (Estimation), then the parameters' estimates are put on a common metric through a linear transformation based on a set *A* of common items (Linking) and finally, equivalent expected Raw Scores are computed through the Test Characteristic Curves (TCC) of the two tests (Equating). In this study, two IRT models have been fitted to the data, namely the Rasch model and the nonparametric Mokken Scale [7] with a Kernel smoothing estimation of the Item Characteristic Curves (ICCs) [6]. When the nonparametric IRT model is considered, a Kernel smoothing estimation of the ICCs is computed and the points on the latent trait for which the corresponding estimated ICCs equals 0.5 are taken as the item severities used to estimate the linear transformation. The Standard Error of Equating (SEE) [5] for the IRT-TS method was estimated using 1000 bootstrap replications and, due to the computational costs of the procedure, is only provided together with the fitting of the Rasch model.

The second method (Linking) consists in considering the linear transformation obtained at the second step of the IRT-TS method and applying it to the estimated ability parameters of the Rasch model. Once ability parameters are adjusted to the Global standard metric, raw scores corresponding to the ability parameters that are the closest to the two global thresholds are considered as the *equivalent* raw score.

Finally, the third method (Minimizing) consists in computing prevalences of food insecurity at the household level applying the FIES methodology to the data used for the national scales and comparing the prevalences so obtained with the percentages of population scoring from a certain raw score on. The two raw scores that realize the minimum distance with the two global thresholds are considered the *corresponding* raw scores in accordance to this method.

2.2 Second Study: Comparing Adult- and Children-referenced item scales

This second analysis aims at comparing the Adult and the Children scales within each national scale. To this aim, we consider the scores obtained by the households with children on the two Module Surveys following the approach also known as the Single Group (SG) data collection design [5]. Equating of the Adult and Children

scales in the four countries was carried out through implementation of five equating methods for investigation purpose: IRT True Score equating with the Rasch model, Mean, Linear, Equipercentile and Kernel Equipercentile equating methods [5].

3 Results

Outcomes from the first comparability study are summarized in Table 2, reporting the raw scores equivalent to the global thresholds used for $FI_{Mod+Sev}$ and FI_{Sev} . Results show that the global threshold used for $FI_{Mod+Sev}$ sometimes reflects a *less severe* condition of food insecurity compared to the one measured by national scales for the moderate category of food insecurity, all equated raw scores being either equal to or around one point less than the thresholds currently used by ELCSA, EMSA and EBIA (compare Table 1). On the contrary, the global threshold used for FI_{Sev} generally reflects a *more severe* condition of the food insecurity than the one captured by the national scales for the severe level of food insecurity, equated raw scores being either equal to or one point higher than the national thresholds currently in use.

FIES	Food Insecurity Scales	Internal Monitoring	IRT-TS Rasch (SEE)		Linking	Min. Diff.
$FI_{Mod+Sev}$	ELCSA (Guatemala)	4	3.3 (0.19)	3.4	3	4
	ELCSA (Ecuador)	4	4.2 (0.14)	4.1	4	4
	EMSA (Mexico)	3	2.0 (0.23)	2.0	2	2
	EBIA (Brazil)	4	4.0 (0.09)	4.0	4	5
FI_{Sev}	ELCSA (Guatemala)	7	7.8 (0.18)	8.0	8	8
	ELCSA (Ecuador)	7	7.1 (0.18)	7.7	7	8
	EMSA (Mexico)	5	6.0 (0.26)	6.0	6	6
	EBIA (Brazil)	6	7.9 (0.07)	8.0	8	8

Table 2 Raw Scores on the national scales equivalent to the thresholds for $FI_{Mod+Sev}$ and FI_{Sev} .

Regarding the second comparability study, the equated raw scores on the Children scale shown in Table 3 seem to suggest that sometimes the current thresholds reflect a different severity of the condition measured by the two scales referred to households with and without children, respectively. This is mainly evident for the most severe category of food insecurity, for which the corresponding raw scores on the Children scale for ELCSA in Guatemala and EMSA in Mexico are generally around one point *higher* than the thresholds currently in use and between one and two points *lower* for EBIA (column "Severe"). On the other hand, we see that the corresponding raw scores for the moderate food insecurity substantially align with the thresholds currently in use for this category (column "Moderate"). Moreover, minor differences emerge between the behaviour of ELCSA in Guatemala and Ecuador (analysis not shown), possibly due to the specific features of the phe-

nomenon in the two countries, confirming the importance of an equating analysis even between applications of the same scale. Finally, among all methods implemented, the Equipercentile equating method is the one whose results generally resemble the current thresholds the most.

We believe that these comparability studies can contribute in creating a more homogeneous and consistent picture of the phenomenon of food insecurity by allowing the utilization of results from application of different scales. As a consequence, this would enable a more reliable monitoring of the progress toward the goal of a global food security, as expressed in target 2.1 of the Sustainable Development Goals.

Scale	Equating Method	Moderate Raw score	(SEE)	Severe Raw score	(SEE)
ELCSA	IRT-TS	6.2	(0.09)	12.1	(0.1)
Guatemala	Mean	6.6	(0.07)	12.2	(0.07)
	Linear	6.5	(0.07)	11.7	(0.11)
	Equip	6.3	(0.09)	11.3	(0.15)
	Kernel Equip	6.1	(0.02)	12.0	(0.04)
EMSA	IRT-TS	4.8	(0.12)	8.7	(0.13)
Mexico	Mean	5.5	(0.05)	9.5	(0.05)
	Linear	5.1	(0.07)	8.6	(0.10)
	Equip	4.8	(0.13)	8.1	(0.14)
	Kernel Equip	4.5	(0.03)	8.8	(0.04)
EBIA	IRT-TS	4.8	(0.12)	8.7	(0.13)
Brazil	Mean	5.5	(0.05)	9.5	(0.05)
	Linear	5.1	(0.07)	8.6	(0.10)
	Equip	4.8	(0.13)	8.1	(0.14)
	Kernel Equip	4.5	(0.03)	8.8	(0.04)

Table 3 Raw scores on the Children scale respectively corresponding to 4 and 7 on the Adult scale (Guatemala), 3 and 5 on the Adult scale (Mexico) and 4 and 7 on the Adult scale (Brazil).

References

- Cafiero, C., Melgar-Quiñonez, H.R., Ballard, T.J., Kepple, A.W.: Validity and reliability of food security measures. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 1331(1)
- 2. Cook, L.L., Eignor, D.R.: Irt equating methods. Educational measurement: Issues and practice
- FAO.2016: Methods for estimating comparable rates of food insecurity experienced by adults throughout the world. Rome, Italy. FAO
- Fischer, G.H., Molenaar, I.W.: Rasch models: Foundations, recent developments, and applications. Springer Science & Business Media (2012)
- Kolen, M.J., Brennan, R.L.: Test equating, scaling, and linking: Methods and practices. Springer Science & Business Media (2014)
- Ramsay, J.O.: Kernel smoothing approaches to nonparametric item characteristic curve estimation. Psychometrika 56(4), 611–630 (1991)
- 7. Sijtsma, K., Molenaar, I.W.: Introduction to nonparametric item response theory. Sage (2002)
- 8. Urquía-Fernández, N.: La seguridad alimentaria en méxico. Salud Pública de México 56 (2014)