# **Producing Project**

edited by MASSIMO LAURIA ELENA MUSSINELLI FABRIZIO TUCCI

273D0BC7F7

1243

> 88 F1 E3 9F



#### **Massimo Lauria**

Associate Professor of Architectural Technology at dArTe Department, Mediterranea University of Reggio Calabria.

#### **Elena Mussinelli**

Full Professor of Architectural Technology at ABC Department, Politecnico di Milano.

#### **Fabrizio Tucci**

Full Professor of Architectural Technology at PDTA Department, Sapienza University of Rome.

The transformations created about the design activity by the several challenges started by the economic crisis, climate change and environmental emergencies, together with the impact of the Web and ICT on social and productive systems, highlight many critical issues, but also significant prospects for updating concerning places, forms, contents and operating methods of "making architecture", at all levels and scales.

In this context, the cultural tradition and disciplinary identity of Architectural Technology provide visions and effective operating practices characterized by new ways of managing and controlling the process with the definition of roles, skills and contents related to the production chains of the circular economy/green and to real and virtual performance simulations.

The volume collects the results of the remarks and research and experimentation work of members of SIT*d*A - Italian Society of Architectural Technology, outlining scenarios of change useful for orienting the future of research concerning the raising of the quality of the project and of the construction.

# **Producing Project**

edited by

Massimo Lauria Elena Mussinelli Fabrizio Tucci



#### **Book series STUDI E PROGETTI**

directors Fabrizio Schiaffonati, Elena Mussinelli editorial board Chiara Agosti, Giovanni Castaldo, Martino Mocchi, Raffaella Riva scientific committee Marco Biraghi, Luigi Ferrara, Francesco Karrer, Mario Losasso, Maria Teresa Lucarelli, Jan Rosvall, Gianni Verga

edited by Massimo Lauria Elena Mussinelli Fabrizio Tucci

editing, collection and supervision of texts by Maria Azzalin

proofreading by Filedelfja Musteqja Francesca Pandolfi

This e-book has been subjected to blind peer review process.

Cover: adaption of Siemens digitalization tour, Siemens, 1996-2019

ISBN 978-88-916-43087

© Copyright of the Authors. Released in the month of November 2020.

Published by Maggioli Editore in Open Access with Creative Commons License Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).



Maggioli Editore is a trademark of Maggioli SpA Company with certified quality system ISO 9001:2000 47822 Santarcangelo di Romagna (RN) • Via del Carpino, 8 Tel. 0541/628111 • Fax 0541/622595 www.maggiolieditore.it e-mail: clienti.editore@maggioli.it

# INDEX

	W SCENARIOS OF TECHNOLOGICAL DESIGN resa Lucarelli	12
<b>REFLEC</b> Paolo Fe	TIONS ON RESEARCH AND DESIGN IN ARCHITECTURAL PRACTICE lli	16
PRODUC	ZING PROJECT	22
	earch for the quality of the project a Mussinelli	23
	hnical culture and disciplinary statutes	26
tech	uirements, approaches, visions in the prospects for development of nological design izio Tucci	33
Values,	DEMAND FOR SERVICES, OFFER OF COMPETENCES contents and project actors in the new organizational models of ding process	43
1.1	Architects' training and profession: current status, trends and perspectives Ernesto Antonini, Pietromaria Davoli, Massimo Lauria	44
1.2	The Italian design market from the point of view of the supply <i>Aldo Norsa</i>	52
1.3	The profession of architect in the VUCA society <i>Paolo Mezzalama</i>	60
	ovation in the demand for design services: priorities, strategies, tools and tices of the client and their effects on the market	
1.4	The demand for quality in architecture: project competitions <i>Valeria Ciulla, Alberto De Capua</i>	66

1.5	The impact of social demand on the project: the inclusive living for vulnerable people <i>Genny Cia, Marzia Morena, Ilaria Oberti, Angela Silvia Pavesi</i>	73
1.6	Circular and Collaborative: two terms of the project culture in the era of Industry 4.0	
	Mariangela Bellomo, Antonella Falotico	83
1.7	Project and crowdsourcing: phenomenon mapping and future perspectives	00
	Timothy Daniel Brownlee, Valeria Melappioni	90
prod	evolution in the organization of the offer and in the project uction: dimensions, structure, skills of the design structures, een multidisciplinarity and specialization	
1.8	The digital transformation of the AEC sector: innovation of processes and organizational models	
	Marcella Bonanomi, Cinzia Talamo, Giancarlo Paganin	97
1.9	The digital challenge for the innovation of the design processes Alessandro Claudi de Saint Mihiel	104
1.10	New management models for design and construction: the Solar Decathlon ME 2018 experience Antonio Basti, Michele Di Sivo, Adriano Remigio	111
1.11	Towards a Maintenance 4.0. Chance versus need <i>Maria Azzalin</i>	119
1.12	The environmental-oriented complexity of design process Anna Dalla Valle	126
1.13	The innovation within building design and management processes <i>Valentina Frighi</i>	134
1.14	Rating system as design tool to manage complexity Lia Marchi	141
	professional skills: definition, organization and education of knowledge, and competences	
	Green Procurement and Architecture. New horizons and skills for professionals	
	Riccardo Pollo, Corrado Carbonaro	147
1.16	Tendencies and new players for participatory design Giovanni Castaldo, Martino Mocchi	154
1.17	Training to research. Strategies to bring closer universities and firms towards joint research	161
1 10	Massimo Rossetti	101
1.18	Project production and University. Values, contradictions and opportunities Oscar Eugenio Bellini, Andrea Tartaglia	167
1 10	A new profession for the architect. The Project Manager	107
1.17	Mariateresa Mandaglio, Caterina Claudia Musarella	175

1	.20	Digital technologies, construction 4.0 and human factors <i>Erminia Attaianese</i>	182
1	.21	Automation geography. Redefine the prefabrication <i>Margherita Ferrari</i>	188
		QUALITY OF THE PROJECT, QUALITY OF CONSTRUCTION. ogical innovation and ICT for the building process	195
2	2.1	Digital innovation and design complexity Eliana Cangelli, Valeria D'Ambrosio	196
2	2.2	Project production and digital culture Mario Losasso	202
2	2.3	Is BIM an Innovation? Daniel Hurtubise	208
	0	rmation and Big Data for advanced management and decision-making esses	
2	2.4	Technical innovation and GIS to qualify renovation processes Giovanna Franco, Simonetta Acacia	212
2	2.5	Which invisible technology? Metadates for the retrofit of historic buildings Marta Calzolari	219
2	2.6	Identity cards for multi-layered districts. BIM/GIS instruments for the design of smart cities <i>Saveria Olga Murielle Boulanger, Rossella Roversi</i>	226
2	2.7	Multi-criteria analysis method for the preliminary design of a hospital structure Salvatore Viscuso, Milan Dragoljevic, Alessandra Zanelli	234
2	2.8	Trasparency in management and circularity. Blockchain and the production of the project <i>Cristina Fiore, Daniele Iori, Giuseppina Vespa</i>	234
2	2.9	Natural ventilation and CFD in the space of the historic city: the quality of urban design <i>Gaia Turchetti</i>	241
2	2.10	Decision-making in the design of circular buildings. Information on materials in BIM tools <i>Paola Altamura</i>	240
		boration, integration and coordination of skills for sharing and aging data for project production	-00
		Transdisciplinary and shared methodologies for the design: input data identification	
		Lucia Martincigh, Gabriele Bellingeri, Chiara Tonelli, Lucia Fontana, Marina Di Guida	263

2.12	GIS a tool for 20 <sup>th</sup> century architecture. From the territory to the building scale	071
2.13	Marta Casanova, Elena Macchioni, Camilla Repetti, Francesca Segantin Heritage-BIM. The integrated management of the historical centres:	271
	the case study of Artena Filippo Calcerano, Elena Gigliarelli, Raffaele Pontrandolfi	279
2.14	Light resource building approaches for eco-innovation of building processes	0.07
	Martino Milardi	287
2.15	New technologies and design: innovative co-design tools Grazia Giulia Cocina, Gabriella Peretti, Riccardo Pollo, Francesca Thiebat	294
2.16	Improving buildings quality through the reduction of the energy performance gap	
	Emanuele Piaia	301
	gration of innovative methodologies, tools and technologies for off-site a ite production, in relation to all phases of the building process	and
2.17	Industrial production, new tools and technologies for design of custom prefab housing	
	Spartaco Paris, Roberto Bianchi, Beatrice Jlenia Pesce	309
2.18	Hybridization between BIM and VPL. Software development for embodied energy calculation of buildings	21.6
• • •	Roberto Giordano, Massimiliano Lo Turco, Yoseph Bausola Pagliero	316
2.19	Concrete innovation between dematerialization and Industry 4.0 <i>Jenine Principe</i>	323
2.20	New tools for environmental design. A parametric model for the envelope	220
	Paola De Joanna, Antonio Passaro, Rossella Siani	329
2.21	Possible integration approaches of Life Cycle Assessment in BIM Elisabetta Palumbo, Stefano Politi	336
	DESIGNING THE PROJECT, INVENTING THE FUTURE. ion of knowledge forms and cognitive statutes of the project	343
3.1	Design research: from the technological culture of design for social	545
5.1	innovation to the anticipatory and creative function of design <i>Fabrizio Tucci, Laura Daglio</i>	344
3.2	For a new centrality of the figure of the architect Fabrizio Schiaffonati	353
3.3	Innovating projects in the Wisdom Economy Luigi Ferrara, Caitlin Plewes, Graeme Kondruss	359
Proi	ect culture and social innovation	
3.4	Technological design and social innovation	
3.4	Tiziana Ferrante	368

3.5	The contemporary condition of design. A report on Digital Mathema <i>Giuseppe Ridolfi</i>	374
3.6	The culture of planning and participation Alessandra Battisti	382
3.7	Social, environmental and functional re-connection of reception spaces at Castel Volturno <i>Claudia de Biase, Rossella Franchino, Caterina Frettoloso</i>	391
3.8	City and need of city Francesco Bagnato, Daniela Giusto	398
3.9	Designing knowledge for recovery: between collaborative approaches and adaptability scenarios <i>Katia Fabbricatti, Serena Viola</i>	405
3.10	An inclusive approach for recovery strategies Martina Bosone, Francesca Ciampa	413
Rese	arch and the predictive and anticipatory function of the project	
3.11	Technologies for urban liminal systems between legacies and disciplinary evolution	
	Filippo Angelucci	419
3.12	Valorisation design: from plot to vector of architecture Elisabetta Ginelli, Gianluca Pozzi	427
3.13	Disciplinary contamination. " <i>Recherche Patiente</i> " in design technological culture <i>Serena Baiani</i>	435
3.14	The technological design as cognitive process. Theories, models, inventions	
	Marilisa Cellurale, Carola Clemente	444
3.15	New cognitive models in the pre-design phase of complex envelope systems Paola Gallo, Rosa Romano	452
3.16	Building performance simulation, BIM and Parametric design:	752
	potentiality for the design processes Valeria Cecafosso	459
3.17	Shaping the city of tomorrow through "Network Urbanism"	
	Irina Rotaru	466
What	t creativity for the architectural project	
3.18	Responsibility and the three roles of technology toward the "collaborative city" design	
2.10	Rossella Maspoli	473
3.19	Digital technologies and production of inhabited space in the athropocene Marina Rigillo	481
	munu Kigulo	401

3.20	Enabling technologies for continuous and interdependent design	
	Flaviano Celaschi, Daniele Fanzini, Elena Maria Formia	487
3.21	Designing complexity: from uncertainty to knowledge exchange	
	Daniele Bucci, Ottavia Starace	494
3.22	Towards an epistemology of practice: research and design activism	
	Renata Valente	499
3.23	Technological Regenerative Design to improve future urban	
	scenarios	
	Antonella Violano	506
3.24	Principles of the Green Economy and design strategies for climate	
	adaptation	
	Marina Block	515
PERSPEC	TIVES. REFLECTIONS ABOUT DESIGN	
Elena Mu	ssinelli	522

# 2.1 DIGITAL INNOVATION AND DESIGN COMPLEXITY

Eliana Cangelli\*, Valeria D'Ambrosio\*

#### Abstract

Industrial production, from its origins, has allowed the acceleration of activities and processes in numerous fields, speeding not only the movement of capital, goods and information, but also the creation of value. In the face of the great potential for transformation due to industrial revolutions, the value of the processes has not affected only the economic component but has invested the spheres of ethics and society, modifying, through the incidence and effects of innovations, uses, consumption and lifestyles. The developments of contemporary production methods schematically identify continuity models in modernisation or, on the contrary, transition scenarios towards other models (from time to time defined as post-industrial, post-modern, etc.). Innovation is associated with a gradual transition to dematerialised and destandardised processes, as well as differentiated from conventional industrialisation due to continuous innovation strategies in relation to the creation of new products, markets, needs, design concepts.

Keywords: Technological design, Building process, Digital tools, Technological innovation, Design complexity

## New design dynamics

Current modalities for the production of the project, of the elements of innovation and of the interactions with the great contemporary themes have changed, in recent years, the horizons of its conception and its development.

The widening of the field of action and the increase in the complexity of the project are due, on the one hand, to requests in the areas of sustainability, the reduction of energy needs and energy efficiency in the integrated system of open space buildings, on the other hand to the evolution of the concept of urban systems and parts of the city, both for new projects and in the redevelopment and regeneration of buildings (Perriccioli, 2016).

<sup>\*</sup> Eliana Cangelli is an Associate Professor at the Department of Architecture and Design of Sapienza University of Rome, Italy, eliana.cangelli@uniroma1.it.

<sup>\*</sup> Valeria D'Ambrosio is an Associate Professor at the Department of Architecture of the University of Naples Federico II, Italy, valeria.dambrosio@unina.it.

The reference to the evolution of new technologies completes the meaning of a design tool that is increasingly interacting with other skills, with wider contexts and with the challenges of modernity.

The production of the project also tends to change on the client's front: the conventional one is almost folded back on itself, and public entities are not always able to effectively and consistently set the strategy for the future of cities; more and more often the convergence between public and private and between research institutions and local administrations presents a component of greater dynamism. Within this evolving scenario, the transformations of the construction and labour market determine structural changes in the conventional relationships and contents of the project, which takes advantage of new job prospects, focusing on the regualification and regeneration of territories and urban areas. The project is addressed with attention to the most advanced contexts and business realities, implementing a transition from a scenario in which the concreteness of architecture was generally connected to construction companies, a central subject in the construction process, towards a new reference made up of the manufacturing companies of systems and components that carry out deep research and updating activities. The influence of the work due to industrial producers directs the project towards new scenarios for innovation, inevitably less marked in the case of construction companies. The manufacturers of industrialised components and systems are in a certain sense the new engine of innovation, contributing to the evolution of the project in terms of performance, therefore more measurable, in the effects as in the fallout, compared to artisanal and empirical procedures (Torricelli, 2011).

Placing the project in an area of greater awareness with respect to the performance that it must offer, opens up to a field in which the project produced to offer operating instructions responds to the panorama of certifications that are now increasingly indispensable in the Italian and above all European regulatory framework. From this point of view, many companies that work in the field of energy efficiency of buildings as well as in the system component, to give concrete examples, are fundamental for the technological transfer of know-how that has a deep impact on the quality of the project (Arthur, 2011).

Today, the development of new design dynamics cannot remain entirely within self-referential mechanisms, but it is necessary to balance the intuitions and design concepts with the inputs that come from the construction industry, and to work in the field of technologies that become an intellectual resource for the project (Losasso, 2017).

# Performative design and local contexts

In this new phase of project production, the inputs of construction industry and other sectors ensure that the creative process can be better focused and open to new horizons. The needs of the inhabitants can receive a concrete response through the use of performance-qualified industrial production.

A further qualification factor is the new design scenarios according to a technical evolution linked to the relationship with territorial contexts (Anderson, 2012).

If on the one hand, in fact, a great ability to dialogue with the international and European design experience is needed, it is also necessary to have the ability to understand that each project must have a relationship with the context in which it operates. The context is not only environmental based but also focused on local resources and production conditions.

It is necessary to be able to conceive and produce the project from a broad perspective, in which relationships are not rigidly localistic but capable of bringing back to the local scale the experiences and examples carried out in larger areas: only in this way a real project transition feed new scenarios for its innovative production. The evolution of the design processes towards those digital processes in which the so-called enabling technologies take a leading role, leads to activate numerous innovative strategies for the purpose of space/time compression. The effects of this action can constitute a value for the overall development of the company in the transition from a standardised production to an on-demand and personalised production based on an open and flexible design. This basic tendency does not have linear trends and introduces, with respect to conditions of greater stability and certainty, performances and new values such as instantaneousness and transience, as well as the request for flexibility and rapidity in responding to changes.

## The potentials of innovation

Innovation in the field of production of the project is thus inserted into a moment of socioeconomic and cultural transformation, in which technology prompts revisions and new solutions with respect to pre-existing situations, through the adoption of new systems and criteria. In the field of the technological design of architecture, innovation today requires grappling with the new scenarios imposed by the digital revolution and by the themes of industry 4.0. The complexity of the themes relating to the production of the project is in fact to be set against the aspects of the impacts of the so-called fourth industrial revolution. Microelectronics and ICT have effectively modified the physical and social context we live in, but above all have changed the relational and behavioural models, and therefore our interaction with the environment.

The fourth industrial revolution has broadened the ability to acquire information, to implement simulations, and to verify and assess complex questions, thus stimulating the creative process. While the first industrial revolution had introduced a fundamental aid for reducing the physical force employed in production processes, the fourth industrial revolution directly supports our cognitive sphere, thus augmenting the ability to acquire and process information.

The issues at play are many, as are the innovations involving both the field of the project, and that of the construction of architecture.

These innovations include artificial intelligence, augmented reality, the internet of things, open source platforms from which to draw and at the same time enter information, digital traceability, robotics, and 3D printing, as well as new products and new materials. These are elements that modify both the cognitive process and the design and construction process, but also that of control and management in the use phase, by being able to re-enter the information relating to monitoring in the project development phase.

The practical applications show, for example, how the use of a tool like BIM permits a real time interaction among the various skills within the project, and a no longer empirical systematisation through the onsite verification of the different systems that allow the buildings to be made and to function, and how the mode of transmission of the project's operating instructions changes, as it transitions from static, two-dimensional representation "on paper" to a dynamic representation of a virtual 3D model. BIM, GIS, parametric software, and virtual simulations are some of the tools that now contribute towards the production and representation of the project. However, while not limiting them to the sole dimension of production of the project, the innovations impacting the field of carrying out the project, of the construction of architecture and therefore of the work site must not be neglected either. A new mode of prefabrication, "industrial mass customisation", prototypical industrialisation, onsite production, offsite manufacturing, and 3D printing is outlined, and it seems clear today that the adjective "industrial" effectively has a new connotation (Butt, 2012).

The term "industrial" in fact, is no longer automatically associated with mass production and with the homologation of architecture, but rather with extreme destandardisation, with prototyping, and with the possibility of industrially producing unique and customisable products. Lastly, the final phase of the process, impacted by the new technologies, is the use phase, in which the tools of predictive simulation broaden the detailed monitoring possibilities and allow their outcomes to be inserted as early as the design phase.

#### Complex design vs artisanal design

All these innovations come up against the environment and socioeconomic arrangements (just consider the green economy and the circular economy), grappling in the end with society, both in terms of direct involvement and participation, and in terms of spreading the outcomes of this revolution.

This, then, is a complex picture, in which it seems difficult to put the various tiles in order, in which everything is fleeting, even if placed in relation to the others. It is in fact impossible to build an organic, logical, deductive picture. The concept of a network of complex relationships, of interactions that skip the knots, is absolutely in line with the framework of knowledge and innovations in the field.

When dealing with scenarios in profound evolution, the themes of technological research record underlying conceptual and operative permanencies: the spread of technical information, knowledge transfer and skills in developing or in building the project, the development and monitoring of the performance of the architecture project, the innovation of the technology transfer, and the hybridisation and modification of construction techniques. As things currently stand, although there is a broad debate in terms of research and experimentation, widespread building production in Italy continues to be of the traditional type, and of very low quality. The task of the technological area consists, perhaps, precisely of transferring the information and new potentials of construction to the building industry (Menges, 2011).

Today, in fact, we are on the one hand witnessing an experimentation taking place through the performance of complex projects, in which there is a strong economic investment. This involves the structure and form of the organisations that produce the project, able to offer increasingly innovative services in support of architecture, albeit developed mainly for types that do not belong to the Italian context. On the other hand, the experimentation, more wide-spread and more detailed, of certain vanguards is highlighted, attempting in effect to make these innovations available to society and proposing constructions in which the relationship between costs and design creativity, between costs and knowledge, is inversely proportional: on the one hand, costs are reduced, and on the other the development of an ability to design and to identify new solutions emerges.

#### The contribution of technological research

In such a complex framework, what can the contribution of research be? What can the innovations on building production be? The risk academia runs is that research will not be incisive, and will be limited, de facto, to describing a process in progress without seeking to govern it, in which innovation risks being confused with the instruments that serve to produce it. The risk is being unable to provide incremental and significant results that can change the scenario of the relationship between production and design in the contemporary world.

The research contributions presented at the session of the conference "La produzione del progetto – Qualità del progetto e qualità della costruzione. Innovazione tecnologica e ICT per Il processo edilizio" ("The production of the project – Quality of the project and quality of construction. Technological innovation and ICT for the building process") are reassuring testimony for that which research into the technology of architecture is called upon to develop. In the contemporary world, technique stops being an object chosen by society, but is the setting in which it acts and in which behaviours are determined that transform human action. From being a means, technique tends to become an end, which is to say a complex system interacting at a number of levels with the society that guides its purposes through factors of mediation. The aspect outlined by numerous authors, relating to the social consequences of technology, requires being articulated differently within socio-technical systems, in which human and technological components operate symbiotically towards a generative purpose. In the era of the gradual prevalence of digital technologies, the purposes of the technology of architecture are to be sought within the ability to guide complex systems towards horizons of meanings and towards purposes that supplement new prospects of responsibility and of awareness for the built environment.

# References

Anderson, C. (2012), Makers: The new industrial revolution, Crown Business, New York, USA.

- Butt, N.T. (2012), "Mass Customization in Home Industry", in *Proceedings of the CIB IAARC W119 CIC 2012*, Workshop: Advanced Construction and Building Technology for Society, Laboratory of Building Realization and Robotics, Technische Universität, München, Munich, DE, pp. 27-32.
- Losasso, M. (2017), "Between theories and practices: culture, technology, design", in *Techne*, n. 13, Firenze University Press, Firenze, pp. 9-13.
- Menges, A. (2011), "Sistemi semplici Capacità complesse. Processi integrativi di morfogenesi computazionale in architettura", in *Techne*, n. 2, Firenze University Press, Firenze, pp. 68-77.
- Perriccioli, M. (ed), (2016), Pensiero tecnico e cultura del progetto. Riflessioni sulla ricerca tecnologica in architettura, Franco Angeli, Milano

Torricelli, M.C., (2011), "Technological design – research and practice in the architectural project", in *Techne*, n. 2, Firenze University Press, Firenze, pp. 16-23.

