

International Journal of Mathematics
© World Scientific Publishing Company

Investigating the cohomological dimensions of \mathcal{M}_g

Gabriele Mondello

*Dipartimento di Matematica, "Sapienza" Università di Roma
Piazzale Aldo Moro 5 - 00185 Roma, Italy
mondello@mat.uniroma1.it*

We discuss the problem of determining the de Rham, Dolbeault and algebraic cohomological dimension of \mathcal{M}_g , focusing on possible strategies of attack and then concentrating on exhaustion functions. In the final section we explain how these techniques can be employed to provide a non-trivial upper bound for the Dolbeault cohomological dimension of \mathcal{M}_g .

Keywords: Riemann surfaces, moduli space, cohomological dimension, translation surfaces

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 32G15, 32F10, 30F30

1. Moduli space of Riemann surfaces

Let $g \geq 2$ and denote by \mathcal{M}_g the moduli space of compact connected Riemann surfaces of genus g up to isomorphism.

The space \mathcal{M}_g can be viewed as an orbifold (see for instance [1]). Indeed, if $x = [S] \in \mathcal{M}_g$ represents the isomorphism class of the Riemann surface S , points in a neighbourhood of x encode deformations of complex structures on S up to isomorphism. It is well-known that such deformations are parametrized by an open subset U of \mathbb{C}^{3g-3} (see [6] or, for instance, the book [5]) and that the finite automorphism group of S acts on U . As a consequence, a neighbourhood of x in \mathcal{M}_g looks like $U/\text{Aut}(S)$ and so \mathcal{M}_g can be given the structure of a complex-analytic orbifold. From the algebraic point of view, \mathcal{M}_g can be endowed with the structure of smooth Deligne-Mumford stack [11]. In fact, it is well-known [19] that \mathcal{M}_g is a global quotient of a smooth complex quasi-projective variety $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g$ by the action of a finite group G . Hence, sheaves and sections of sheaves on \mathcal{M}_g can be defined as G -equivariant sheaves and sections on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g$.

Analogous considerations hold for the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$ of compact connected Riemann surfaces S with k distinct marked points $p_1, \dots, p_k \in S$, provided the hyperbolicity condition $2g - 2 + k > 0$ is satisfied and so all automorphism groups $\text{Aut}(S, p_1, \dots, p_k)$ are finite.

2 *Gabriele Mondello*

1.1. On the cohomology of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces

Many papers are dedicated to the study of the singular cohomology of \mathcal{M}_g .

In low degrees, genus-independent computations are due to Powell [45] and Harer [20] for H_1 , to Harer [20] [23] for H_2 and H_3 , to Edidin [14] and Polito [44] for H_4 and $g \geq 8$.

As for low-genera computations, de Rham cohomology is trivial for $g = 2$. A complete calculation in genus 3 is due to Looijenga [32] and in genus 4 to Tommasi [49], but the full determination of $H_{dR}^*(\mathcal{M}_g)$ seems out of reach for g large. Further computations are available for the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$ of surfaces with marked points.

Still due to Harer are the following two major results, which here we state in a simplified version.

Theorem 1.1 ([22]). *Let $2g - 2 + k > 0$. Then $H^d(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k}; \mathbb{L}) = 0$ for every local system \mathbb{L} and every $d > d(g, k)$, where*

$$d(g, k) = \begin{cases} n - 3 & \text{if } g = 0 \text{ and } n \geq 3 \\ 4g - 5 & \text{if } g \geq 2 \text{ and } n = 0 \\ 4g - 4 + n & \text{if } g \geq 1 \text{ and } n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence, $H_{dR}^d(\mathcal{M}_{g,k}; \mathbb{V}) = 0$ for every \mathbb{C} -local system \mathbb{V} and every $d > d(g, k)$.

Actually, Harer's result is much more powerful. In particular, it implies that there exists a G -invariant local system \mathbb{S} on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k}$ such that $H_{dR}^{d(g,k)}(\mathcal{M}_{g,k}; \mathbb{S}) \neq 0$ and so that the bound is sharp.

Theorem 1.2 (Stability [21]). *Fix a degree $d > 0$. Then there exists an integer $g(d) \approx 3d$ such that $H^d(\mathcal{M}_{g+1}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^d(\mathcal{M}_g; \mathbb{Z})$ for all $g \geq g(d)$.*

The stability threshold was improved by Ivanov [27] to $g(d) \approx 2d$ and then by Boldsen [10] to the approximately optimal $g(d) \approx \frac{3}{2}d$. Moreover, the full rational stable cohomology was determined by Madsen-Weiss [35].

Though very important, de Rham cohomology and even Hodge theory do not always capture subtleties of the holomorphic geometry of a complex manifold, which are encoded by Dolbeault cohomology: for instance, deformations of complex structures, deformations of analytic coherent sheaves, deformation of holomorphic maps or of holomorphic subvarieties and so on. On the other hand, since de Rham cohomology is isomorphic to singular cohomology with rational coefficients, its computation can benefit of a whole array of topological tools which are unavailable if one wishes to work with Dolbeault cohomology.

We emphasize that most genus-independent results in de Rham cohomology of \mathcal{M}_g are obtained via purely transcendental methods. So is, for instance, for the determination of the orbifold Euler characteristic by Harer-Zagier [24], Penner [41] and Kontsevich [30]. Even the most algebro-geometric approaches (for example [4]) seem

to rely on the topological computations of H^1 and H^2 and on Theorem 1.1. Thus, it is not surprising that Dolbeault cohomology of \mathcal{M}_g remains almost completely unknown. In particular, no analogue of Theorem 1.2 exists at present in the Dolbeault setting, whereas Theorem 1.1 is replaced by the following.

Problem 1.3 (Looijenga). Show that, for every holomorphic vector bundle E on \mathcal{M}_g , we have $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,q}(\mathcal{M}_g; E) = 0$ for $q > g - 2$.

Evidence supporting Looijenga's conjecture is basically of four types:

- (1) it is confirmed for $g \leq 5$ (by classical arguments for $g = 2, 3$ and by Fontanari-Pascolutti [15] for $g = 4, 5$);
- (2) it would imply Diaz's result [13]: compact holomorphic subvarieties of \mathcal{M}_g have dimension at most $g - 2$;
- (3) it would imply Looijenga's vanishing [33] of tautological classes on \mathcal{M}_g beyond degree $g - 2$;
- (4) it would imply Harer's Theorem 1.1 through the Dolbeault-to-de Rham spectral sequence $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,q}(\mathcal{M}_g; \Omega^{p,0} \otimes \mathbb{V}) \implies H_{dR}^{p+q}(\mathcal{M}_g; \mathbb{V})$.

For the (partially) compactified moduli space of Riemann surfaces, the analogous of Looijenga's vanishing is proven by Ionel [26] and Graber-Vakil [18], whereas an analogous bound as in Theorem 1.1 is derived in [37]. A more detailed discussion about the above results, the employed techniques, Looijenga's problem and stratification techniques can be found in [39].

Considerations analogous to those expressed about Dolbeault cohomology hold for the cohomology of algebraic coherent sheaves on \mathcal{M}_g .

2. Investigating the cohomological dimension

2.1. Basic properties

Let \mathfrak{F} be one of the following three categories:

- (dR) $\mathfrak{F} = dR$ the category of \mathbb{C} -local systems of coefficients (i.e. flat complex vector bundles) over the category \mathfrak{C} of smooth manifolds with smooth maps;
- (Dol) $\mathfrak{F} = Dol$ the category of holomorphic vector bundles^a over the category \mathfrak{C} of complex manifolds with holomorphic maps;
- (alg) $\mathfrak{F} = alg$ the category of algebraic vector bundles^a over the category \mathfrak{C} of complex algebraic varieties with algebraic maps.

For every object X of \mathfrak{C} , denote by \mathfrak{F}_X the subcategory of \mathfrak{F} of sheaves on X .

^aActually, more appropriate and functorial definitions require the category of analytic coherent sheaves (Dol) and of algebraic coherent sheaves (alg).

4 *Gabriele Mondello*

Definition 2.1. The \mathfrak{F} -cohomological dimension of X is the greatest integer $d \geq 0$ for which there exists a sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{F}_X$ such that $H^d(X; \mathcal{F}) \neq 0$. We denote it by $\text{coh-dim}_{\mathfrak{F}}(X)$.

Since we will mostly focus on de Rham (dR) and Dolbeault (Dol) cohomological dimensions, we leave the algebraic (alg) cohomological dimension aside for a moment. In the following table a few immediate properties of these invariants are displayed.

DE RHAM COHOMOLOGY X connected manifold	DOLBEAULT COHOMOLOGY X connected complex manifold
X compact $\iff \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(X) = \text{coh-dim}_{dR}(X)$ X contractible $\implies X$ acyclic	X compact $\iff \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X) = \text{coh-dim}_{Dol}(X)$ X Stein $\implies X$ acyclic
$F \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X$ proper smooth submersion $\text{coh-dim}_{dR}(Y) = \text{coh-dim}_{dR}(X) + \text{coh-dim}_{dR}(F)$	$F \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X$ proper holomorphic submersion $\text{coh-dim}_{Dol}(Y) = \text{coh-dim}_{Dol}(X) + \text{coh-dim}_{Dol}(F)$
$Y \rightarrow X$ unramified finite cover $\implies \text{coh-dim}_{dR}(Y) = \text{coh-dim}_{dR}(X)$	$Y \rightarrow X$ (unramified) finite cover $\implies \text{coh-dim}_{Dol}(Y) = \text{coh-dim}_{Dol}(X)$

As an example, we prove one such property which will have a special meaning.

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathfrak{C} be one of the three above categories and let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism in \mathfrak{C} , which is a finite surjective unramified cover. Then:*

- (a) *for every $\mathcal{G} \in \mathfrak{F}_Y$ the push-forward $\pi_*\mathcal{G}$ belongs to \mathfrak{F}_X ;*
- (b) *for every $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{F}_X$ the pull-back $\pi^*\mathcal{F}$ belongs to \mathfrak{F}_Y ;*
- (c) $\text{coh-dim}_{\mathfrak{F}}(Y) = \text{coh-dim}_{\mathfrak{F}}(X)$.

Proof. Assertions (a) and (b) are clear, so we focus on property (c). Since π is finite unramified and surjective, \mathcal{O}_X is a direct summand of $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y$. Thus, $H^q(X; \mathcal{F})$ is a direct summand of $H^q(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y) = H^q(Y, \pi^*\mathcal{F})$ and so $\text{coh-dim}_{\mathfrak{F}}(X) \leq \text{coh-dim}_{\mathfrak{F}}(Y)$. On the other hand, $H^q(Y, \mathcal{G}) = H^q(X, \pi_*\mathcal{G})$ implies that $\text{coh-dim}_{\mathfrak{F}}(Y) \leq \text{coh-dim}_{\mathfrak{F}}(X)$. \square

We remark that de Rham (resp. Dolbeault, or algebraic) cohomology can be defined also for the category \mathfrak{F} of complex local systems on orbifolds (resp. of holomorphic vector bundles on complex-analytic orbifolds, or of algebraically coherent locally free sheaves on Deligne-Mumford stacks over \mathbb{C}).

Remark 2.1. The above lemma shows that, if $X = [Y/G]$ is a global quotient of Y by the action of a finite group, then $\text{coh-dim}_{\mathfrak{F}}(X) = \text{coh-dim}_{\mathfrak{F}}(Y)$.

Notice that, if $M = B\Gamma$ for a virtually torsion-free discrete group Γ , then $\text{coh-dim}_{dR}(M)$ coincides with the *virtual cohomological dimension* of Γ (see [7]). In this language, Theorem 1.1 can be rephrased as follows.

Theorem 2.1 (Harer [22]). *$\text{Coh-dim}_{dR}(\mathcal{M}_{g,k}) = d(g, k)$.*

Also Problem 1.3 has the following rephrasing.

Problem 2.2 (Looijenga). Show that $\text{coh-dim}_{Dol}(\mathcal{M}_g) \leq g - 2$.

We remark that the Dolbeault-to-de Rham spectral sequence together with Harer's result $\text{coh-dim}_{dR}(\mathcal{M}_g) = 4g - 5$ already implies that $\text{coh-dim}_{Dol}(\mathcal{M}_g) \geq g - 2$. Thus, Looijenga's problem is equivalent to exactly determining the Dolbeault cohomological dimension of \mathcal{M}_g .

2.2. Strategies of attack

Suppose that we want to bound the de Rham cohomological dimension of a non-compact manifold M . We review four basic ideas to attack this problem.

- (1_{dR}) Let $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ of M be a locally finite open cover such that
- for every nonempty finite subset $I = \{i_0, \dots, i_p\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ of cardinality $p + 1$, the de Rham cohomological dimension of $U_I := U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_p}$ is at most $d - p$ (and so in particular $U_I = \emptyset$ for $|I| > d + 1$).

Then the spectral sequence $\bigoplus_{|I|=p} H_{dR}^q(U_I; \mathbb{V}) \implies H_{dR}^{p+q}(M; \mathbb{V})$ for every \mathbb{C} -local system \mathbb{V} on M allows to conclude that $\text{coh-dim}_{dR}(M) \leq d$.

- (2_{dR}) Let $M^0 \cup M_1 \cup \dots \cup M^r$ be a stratification of M such that
- (a) the closure of M^q is $\bigcup_{i \geq 0} M^{q+i}$ and each M^{q+i} has a neighbourhood in $\overline{M^q}$ that retracts by deformation onto M^{q+i} ;
 - (b) M^q is a locally closed smooth submanifold of M of codimension q ;
 - (c) the de Rham cohomological dimension of M^q is at most $d - q$.

Then (a) allows to thicken each M^q to produce an open cover $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_0, \dots, U_r\}$ of M in such a way that

- (i) U_q contains M^q and it deformation retracts onto M^q ;
- (ii) for every q and $0 = i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_p$, the open subset $U_q \cap U_{q+i_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{q+i_p}$ is homotopy equivalent to a fibration over M^{q+i_p} with compact fibers of dimension $(i_1 - 1) + (i_2 - i_1 - 1) + \dots + (i_p - i_{p-1} - 1) = i_p - p$, and so they have de Rham cohomological dimension at most $[d - (q + i_p)] + (i_p - p) \leq d - p$.

By (1_{dR}), it follows that $\text{coh-dim}_{dR}(M) \leq d$.

- (3_{dR}) Assume that M retracts by deformation onto a CW complex K of dimension d . Using the isomorphism between de Rham and singular homology, one can conclude that the de Rham cohomological dimension of M is at most d .
- (4_{dR}) Suppose that one can exhibit a C^2 proper function $\xi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded below (also known as *exhaustion function*) with isolated critical points and such that the Hessian at its critical points is nondegenerate and of index at most d . Then Morse theory tells us that M is homotopy equivalent to a CW complex of dimension at most d and so $\text{coh-dim}_{dR}(M) \leq d$.

Suppose now that we have a complex manifold X and we would like to bound its Dolbeault cohomological dimension. While strategy (1) can be translated word by word in this setting, variant (2) needs much more care. We do not have a handy analogue of (3) but approach (4) can be adapted as follows.

6 *Gabriele Mondello*

- (4_{Dol}) Exhibit an exhaustion function $\xi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that at every point $x \in X$ the complex Hessian $i\partial\bar{\partial}\xi$ restricts to a positive-definite Hermitian form on a subspace $W_x \subset T_x X$ of codimension d (at least in a suitable distributional sense). By Andreotti-Grauert [3], it follows that $\text{coh-dim}_{Dol}(X) \leq d$.

We stress that, despite the formal analogies between (4_{dR}) and (4_{Dol}), the proof by Andreotti-Grauert is not Morse-theoretic: it passes through producing a complete Kähler metric on X with respect to which all but d eigenvalues of $i\partial\bar{\partial}\xi$ are 1 and the remaining d eigenvalues of $i\partial\bar{\partial}\xi$ are greater than $-\varepsilon$, and then applying Bochner technique [8]-[9] as in Kodaira-Akizuki-Nakano's proof [29] [2]. See also the book [12].

Similar considerations on strategies (1) and (2) hold for a complex algebraic variety Y and its algebraic cohomological dimension, provided the cover \mathfrak{U} is open in the Zariski topology. More specifically, a popular way to pursue these strategies in the algebraic setting is the following (see also [46]).

- (1_{alg}) Let \bar{Y} be a smooth compactification of Y in which $\partial Y := \bar{Y} \setminus Y$ is a divisor. Suppose that $D_0, \dots, D_d \subset \bar{Y}$ are effective ample divisors such that $\bigcap_{i=0}^d D_i \subseteq \partial Y$. Then Y is union of $d+1$ affine subsets $Y \setminus D_0, \dots, Y \setminus D_d$ and so $\text{coh-dim}_{alg}(Y) \leq d$.
- (2_{alg}) Let $Y^0 \supset \dots \supset Y^d$ be a stratification of Y such that $\bar{Y}^q = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} Y^{q+i}$ and Y_q has codimension q in Y . Suppose that each Y^q is affine. Then $\text{coh-dim}_{alg}(Y) \leq d$.

Since affines are Stein, (1_{alg}) implies (1_{Dol}).

Finally, strategy (4) has the following incarnation in the algebraic setting.

- (4_{alg}) Exhibit a smooth compactification \bar{Y} of Y and a holomorphic line bundle $L \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ with a Hermitian metric h such that
- (i) the added points at infinity $\partial Y := \bar{Y} \setminus Y$ form a Cartier divisor;
 - (ii) there exists a holomorphic section σ of L that vanishes exactly on ∂Y ;
 - (iii) at every point $y \in \bar{Y}$ the complex Hessian $-i\partial\bar{\partial} \log \|s\|_h^2$ restricts to a positive-definite Hermitian form on a subspace $W_y \subset T_y \bar{Y}$ of codimension d , where s is any non-vanishing holomorphic section of L defined on a neighbourhood of y .

A suitable modification of the argument by Andreotti-Grauert [3] similar to Girbau's [16]-[17] (see Demailly [12]) implies that $\text{coh-dim}_{alg}(Y) \leq d$.

By letting $\xi := -\log \|\sigma\|_h^2$, we easily see that (4_{alg}) implies (4_{Dol}).

3. Dolbeault cohomological dimension of \mathcal{M}_g via exhaustion functions

3.1. Hyperbolic surfaces

Suppose we want to bound the Dolbeault cohomological dimension of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g of compact connected Riemann surfaces of genus $g \geq 2$ by taking approach (4_{Dol}) described in Section 2.2. We have then to look for natural, and possibly geometric, exhaustion functions on \mathcal{M}_g .

Remark 3.1. Using the uniformization theorem [43], one can endow every compact connected Riemann surface S of genus $g \geq 2$ with its Poincaré hyperbolic metric. Moreover, such a hyperbolic metric depends real-analytically on the complex structure on S .

Definition 3.1. For every non-trivial simple closed curve γ on S , we define $\ell_\gamma^{hyp}(S)$ as the *hyperbolic length* of the unique geodesic on S freely homotopic to γ and the *systole* $\ell_{sys}^{hyp}(S)$ as the hyperbolic length of the shortest non-trivial closed curve on S .

Almost by definition, the variation of the hyperbolic length functions (which are well-defined locally on \mathcal{M}_g) real-analytically depends on the variation of complex structure on the Riemann surface. Moreover, the following is rather easy to check.

Lemma 3.1. *The function $\ell_{sys}^{hyp} : \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is locally the minimum of finitely many hyperbolic length functions. Hence, ℓ_{sys}^{hyp} is locally Lipschitz.*

The following result is well-known.

Theorem 3.1 (Mumford [40]). *For every $\varepsilon > 0$, the locus $\mathcal{M}_g^\varepsilon$ of \mathcal{M}_g consisting of Riemann surfaces S such that their shortest non-trivial closed geodesic has length $\ell_{sys}^{hyp}(S) \geq \varepsilon$ is compact.*

Thus, we are given a very natural and geometric exhaustion function.

Corollary 3.1. *$(\ell_{sys}^{hyp})^{-2} : \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an exhaustion function and it locally agrees with the maximum of finitely many real-analytic functions of type $(\ell_\gamma^{hyp})^{-2}$.*

In order to pursue strategy (4_{Dol}), we need to compute the complex Hessian of $(\ell_{sys}^{hyp})^{-2}$. Certainly, the fact that such a function is not C^2 might require some smoothing argument, but a big part of the calculation should boil down to compute $i\partial\bar{\partial}(\ell_\gamma^{hyp})^{-2}$ for each fixed γ . This calculation has already been performed but the upshot is not what we hoped for.

Theorem 3.2 (Wolpert [53]). *The complex Hessian of the locally defined function $(\ell_\gamma^{hyp})^{-1}$ is strictly negative-definite.*

Hence, $i\partial\bar{\partial}(\ell_\gamma^{hyp})^{-2}$ has positive index at most 1 and so using the hyperbolic systole to pursue strategy (4_{Dol}) is not possible. On the other hand, (4_{dR}) turns out to be not so easy to implement either (see [47], for instance): the attempt proved to be successful in the case of $\mathcal{M}_{2,1}$ (see [48]).

8 *Gabriele Mondello*

3.2. Flat surfaces

Being plurisuperharmonic (i.e. having negative-definite complex Hessian), hyperbolic length functions proved not so useful for (4_{Dol}) but one can hope that flat length functions work better.

By a result by Troyanov [50], we can endow every Riemann surface (S, p) with one marked point with a *canonical flat metric* h that forms a conical singularity of angle $(2g - 1)2\pi$ at p . Moreover, such h is unique up to rescaling.

Thus, if $A(h)$ is the area and $\ell_{sys}(h)$ is the systole of h , then the quantity $A(h) \cdot \ell_{sys}(h)^{-2}$ is invariant under rescaling and work [28] by Kerckhoff-Masur-Smillie yields the following.

Corollary 3.2. $(A \cdot \ell_{sys}^{-2}) : \mathcal{M}_{g,1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an exhaustion function and it locally agrees with the maximum of finitely many real-analytic functions of type $(A \cdot \ell_{\gamma}^{-2})$.

Since $i\partial\bar{\partial}(e^F) = i e^F [\partial\bar{\partial}F + \partial F \wedge \bar{\partial}F]$, the index of positivity of $i\partial\bar{\partial}F$ is either the same as that of $i\partial\bar{\partial}(e^F)$ or one less. Thus, analyzing the complex Hessian of $A \cdot \ell_{sys}^{-2}$ is almost the same as treating $i\partial\bar{\partial}\log(A) - i\partial\bar{\partial}\log(\ell_{sys}^2)$.

A natural way to locally study such functions is the following.

Consider a surface $(S, p) \in \mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ and fix a universal cover $\pi : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ and a point $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{P} := \pi^{-1}(p)$. The canonical flat metric h lifts to a flat metric \tilde{h} on \tilde{S} , with conical singularities at all points in \tilde{P} . Thus, there exists a holomorphic differential $\tilde{\varphi}$ on \tilde{S} with zeroes of order $2g - 2$ at \tilde{P} such that $|\tilde{\varphi}|^2 = \tilde{h}$. Moreover, there exists a holonomy representation $\rho : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \text{U}(1)$ such that an element $\alpha \in \pi_1(S)$ acts on \tilde{S} via a biholomorphism $\tau_{\alpha} : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ that satisfies $\tau_{\alpha}^*(\tilde{\varphi}) = \rho(\alpha)^{-1}\tilde{\varphi}$.

Given a 1-parameter holomorphic family $\varepsilon \mapsto (S_{\varepsilon}, p_{\varepsilon}) \in \mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ of 1-pointed Riemann surfaces with $(S_0, p_0) = (S, p)$, we can biholomorphically identify all universal covers of S_{ε} to the same \tilde{S} . Thus, there is a family of flat canonical metrics h_{ε} that lifts to \tilde{h}_{ε} on \tilde{S} and so there are induced 1-parameter families of points \tilde{P}_{ε} and of holomorphic differentials $\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}$ on \tilde{S} , such that $|\tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon}|^2 = \tilde{h}_{\varepsilon}$. Whereas the position of the points \tilde{P}_{ε} varies holomorphically with ε , we stress that \tilde{h}_{ε} need not depend holomorphically on ε , if ρ_{ε} is not constantly equal to ρ .

Let γ be a shortest closed curve on S , which we may assume that starts and ends at p but does not meet p elsewhere (otherwise it would not be shortest). It can be lifted to a curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ between two distinct points $\tilde{p}, \tilde{p}' \in \tilde{P}$. Hence,

$$\ell_{\gamma}(h_{\varepsilon})^2 = \left| \int_{\tilde{p}_{\varepsilon}}^{\tilde{p}'_{\varepsilon}} \tilde{\varphi}_{\varepsilon} \right|^2$$

and so $\varepsilon \mapsto \ell_{\gamma}(h_{\varepsilon})^2$ is the squared norm of a holomorphic function, if the holonomy representation ρ_{ε} is independent of ε . In this latter case, $\varepsilon \mapsto \log(\ell_{\gamma}^{-2}(h_{\varepsilon}))$ is harmonic and so $\varepsilon \mapsto \log(\ell_{sys}^{-2}(h_{\varepsilon}))$ is weakly subharmonic, because the maximum of subharmonic functions is subharmonic.

In order to obtain more positivity from length functions, we can consider more

curves instead of just the shortest one. The problem is that a flat geodesic γ for h may not be smooth, that is it may pass through p , thus breaking into a union of finitely many segments $\gamma^{(k)}$: in this case, the length function associated to the path γ locally agrees with the sum of the length functions $\ell_{\gamma^{(k)}}$. Thus, even assuming that ρ_ε does not vary and so $\varepsilon \mapsto \ell_{\gamma^{(k)}}(h_\varepsilon)$ is locally the absolute value of a holomorphic function, $\varepsilon \mapsto \ell_\gamma^{-2}(h_\varepsilon)$ is weakly subharmonic near $\varepsilon = 0$ if γ is smooth, but it need not be if γ is not smooth.

A way to get around this latter problem is to consider the set \mathcal{B} of $2g$ -ples $B = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2g}\}$ of simple closed curves on S based at p that generate $H_1(S, p; \mathbb{R})$ and to define

$$\ell_{\mathcal{B}}^{-2} = \max_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \ell_B^{-2}, \quad \ell_B^{-2} = \sum_{\gamma \in B} \ell_\gamma^{-2}$$

For small ε , the value of $\ell_{\mathcal{B}}^{-2}(h_\varepsilon)$ is attained at finitely many bases B made of smooth h -geodesics. Thus, $\varepsilon \mapsto \ell_{\mathcal{B}}^{-2}(h_\varepsilon)$ is again subharmonic, if ρ_ε does not vary.

A harder problem is to study the Laplacian of $\varepsilon \mapsto A(h_\varepsilon)$. Again, the situation is simpler if the holonomy ρ_ε does not vary: this computation was performed by Veech [51]. The calculation for deformations that truly vary the holonomy is still missing. A simple way to ensure that the holonomy is constant in ε is to require that ρ_ε takes values at torsion points of $U(1)$ for every ε .

Remark 3.2. Notice that a flat metric with r -torsion linear holonomy is of type $|\psi|^{\frac{2}{r}} = (\psi\bar{\psi})^{\frac{1}{r}}$, where ψ is a non-zero holomorphic section of $K_S^{\otimes r}$. In particular, flat surfaces with trivial linear holonomy, namely couples (S, φ) with $0 \neq \varphi \in H^{1,0}(S)$, are also called “translation surfaces”.

It will be clear in the next section that the locus of pointed surfaces $(S, p) \in \mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ such that the canonical flat metric h has trivial holonomy is a closed complex algebraic subvariety of codimension $g - 1$.

One can study flat surfaces with more than one singularity in a similar fashion, again using Troyanov’s result, which here we state more formally.

Theorem 3.3 (Troyanov [50]). *Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_k)$ be a positive vector such that $m_1 + \dots + m_k = 2g - 2$. Every $(S, p_1, \dots, p_k) \in \mathcal{M}_{g,k}$ can be endowed with a conformal flat metric h with conical singularity of angle $2\pi(m_i + 1)$ at p_i and such a metric is unique up to rescaling. Moreover, the metric h with unit area depends real-analytically on the complex structure of (S, p_1, \dots, p_k) .*

Analogously, the locus of flat surfaces with torsion or trivial holonomy plays a special role.

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_k)$ be a positive integral vector such that $m_1 + \dots + m_k = 2g - 2$. The moduli space of translation surfaces of genus g with marked singularities of type \mathbf{m} is the space $\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\mathbf{m})$ that parametrizes triples (S, P, φ) ,

10 *Gabriele Mondello*

where $(S, P = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}) \in \mathcal{M}_{g,k}$ and φ is a non-zero Abelian differential on S that vanishes to order m_i at p_i .

If we consider non-zero Abelian differentials φ up to rescaling, we obtain the moduli space of projective translation surfaces $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\mathbf{m})$. We remark that $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\mathbf{m})$ agrees with the locus inside $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$ of pointed surfaces whose associated flat metric h has trivial holonomy. Indeed, for $(S, P, \varphi) \in \Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\mathbf{m})$ the flat metric is recovered as $h = |\varphi|^2$ and so its area is simply

$$A(h) = \frac{i}{2} \int_S \varphi \wedge \bar{\varphi}$$

It might then be a bit more clear why the computation of the complex Hessian of A is easier in this case.

3.3. Translation surfaces with prescribed singularities

Fix a string $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_k)$ of positive integers such that $\sum_i m_i = 2g - 2$. The moduli spaces $\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\mathbf{m})$ are not connected in general.

Theorem 3.4 (Kontsevich-Zorich [31]). *Let $g \geq 2$. The moduli space $\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\mathbf{m})$ is the disjoint union of $\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{hyp}(\mathbf{m})$ and $\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{non-hyp}(\mathbf{m})$.*

- (a) *The only non-empty hyperelliptic components are $\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^{hyp}(2g - 2)$ and $\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,2}^{hyp}(g - 1, g - 1)$ and they consist entirely of hyperelliptic curves. The locus $\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{non-hyp}(\mathbf{m})$ is made of components which are generally made of non-hyperelliptic curves. Clearly, there are no non-hyperelliptic components in genus 2.*
- (b) *Let $g \geq 3$ and suppose that the integral vector \mathbf{m} is not divisible by 2. Then $\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{non-hyp}(\mathbf{m})$ is non-empty and connected.*
- (c) *Let $\mathbf{m} = 2\mathbf{n}$, where $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_k)$ is integral. For every $(S, P, \varphi) \in \Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{non-hyp}(\mathbf{m})$, let $L = \mathcal{O}_S(n_1 p_1 + \dots + n_k p_k)$ be the holomorphic line bundle on S such that $L^{\otimes 2} \cong K_S$.*
 - (c1) *For $g = 3$ the space $\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{non-hyp}(\mathbf{m}) = \Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{odd}(\mathbf{m})$ is non-empty and connected.*
 - (c2) *For $g \geq 4$ the space $\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{non-hyp}(\mathbf{m})$ has two connected components: $\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{odd}(\mathbf{m})$ and $\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{even}(\mathbf{m})$. The former consists of (S, P, φ) such that $h^0(S, L)$ is odd; the latter consists of (S, P, φ) such that $h^0(S, L)$ is even.*

Really, if we want to apply strategy (4_{Dol}) to estimate the Dolbeault cohomological dimension of $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\mathbf{m})$, connectedness is not so important (equivalently, we can work with each connected component separately, if we prefer).

Certainly, smoothness of the space is important. The following well-known result was proven in different ways by Hubbard-Masur [25], Veech [51] and Möller [36].

Theorem 3.5 ([25], [51], [36]). *The moduli space $\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\mathbf{m})$ is smooth of dimension $2g - 1 + k$.*

The above result can be made more precise by describing special holomorphic charts, known as *period coordinates*. These will be very useful in the computation of the complex Hessian of $\log(A \cdot \ell_{\mathcal{B}}^{-2})$.

Let U be an open subset of $\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\mathbf{m})$. By the universal property of $\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\mathbf{m})$, there is an induced holomorphic family $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow U$ of Riemann surfaces of genus g together with disjoint sections $\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_k : U \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$.

Suppose now that U is contractible. Then the family $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow U$ can be smoothly (but not holomorphically!) trivialized so that $f : \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\cong} S \times U$ and the section $\mathbf{p}_i : U \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \cong S \times U$ is constantly equal to a fixed point $p_i \in S$. Thus, every point u in U corresponds to a couple (J_u, φ_u) , where J_u is a complex structure on S and φ_u is a J_u -holomorphic differential 1-form on S that vanishes to order m_i at p_i . In particular, φ_u is closed and so it defines a relative cohomology class $(\varphi_u) \in H^1(S, P; \mathbb{C})$, where $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$. Hence, there is an induced *local period map*

$$\mathcal{P} : U \longrightarrow H^1(S, P; \mathbb{C})$$

defined as $\mathcal{P}(u) := (\varphi_u)$. Theorem 3.5 can be then made more precise as follows.

Theorem 3.6 ([25], [51], [36]). *The map \mathcal{P} is a local biholomorphism. A different choice of the trivialization f corresponds to a post-composition of \mathcal{P} with an integral automorphism of $H^1(S, P; \mathbb{C})$.*

By the analysis done in the previous section, the function $\ell_{\mathcal{B}}^{-2} : \Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\mathbf{m}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is strongly plurisubharmonic, since the maximum is ranging over the set \mathcal{B} of certain \mathbb{R} -bases of $H_1(S, P; \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, $i\partial\bar{\partial}\log(\ell_{\mathcal{B}}^{-2})$ is strictly positive in any direction different from the ray along which the differential φ is rescaled.

On the other hand, the area function $A : \Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\mathbf{m}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is now easy to study. Indeed, in local period coordinates it can be expressed as

$$A(u) = \frac{i}{2} \int_S \varphi_u \wedge \bar{\varphi}_u$$

and so it is a Hermitian quadratic form on $H^1(S, P; \mathbb{C})$. Hence, by identifying $T_{(\varphi_u)}H^1(S, P; \mathbb{C})$ to $H^1(S, P; \mathbb{C})$, the complex Hessian $i\partial\bar{\partial}A$ can be identified to A itself. Now notice that

$$\frac{i}{2} \int_S \psi \wedge \bar{\psi} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } \psi \text{ closed 1-form such that } \psi^{0,1} \text{ is exact}$$

where $\psi^{0,1}$ is the $(0, 1)$ -component of ψ with respect to the J_u -holomorphic structure.

We conclude that the Hermitian form $A : H^1(S, P; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has positivity g , negativity g and nullity $k - 1$, and in particular we obtain the following.

Lemma 3.2. *The function A (resp. $\ell_{\mathcal{B}}^{-2}$) satisfies the following weak (resp. strong) (\star) -property:*

12 *Gabriele Mondello*

- (\star) *its complex Hessian is non-negative (resp. strictly positive) along deformations $\varepsilon \mapsto (J_\varepsilon, \varphi + \varepsilon\dot{\varphi})$ such that the $(0, 1)$ -component (with respect to J_0) of $\dot{\varphi}$ is exact.*

Deformations involved in property (\star) describe a complex subspace of codimension g of the tangent space.

Hence, strategy (4_{Dol}) applied to $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\mathbf{m})$ and the function $\xi_{\mathbf{m}} := A \cdot \ell_{\mathcal{B}}^{-2}$ gives the following estimate.

Corollary 3.3 ([38]). *The complex Hessian of the exhaustion function $\xi_{\mathbf{m}} : \mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\mathbf{m}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is strictly positive (in the distributional sense) along a smooth distribution of complex codimension g of the tangent bundle. Hence, $\text{coh-dim}_{Dol}(\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\mathbf{m})) \leq g$.*

A more careful computation shows that there exist points $(S, P, [\varphi]) \in \mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\mathbf{m})$ at which the complex Hessian of $\xi_{\mathbf{m}}$ has indeed index of negativity exactly g , and so this function can provide no better bound for the cohomological dimension. On the other hand, we know that such an estimate is not optimal.

Proposition 3.1. *The following components of the moduli spaces of projective translation surfaces are affine:*

- (a) $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^{hyp}(2g-2)$ and $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,2}^{hyp}(g-1, g-1)$;
- (b) $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_{3,1}^{odd}(4)$ and $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_{3,2}(3, 1)$;
- (c) $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_{4,1}^{odd}(6)$, $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_{4,1}^{even}(6)$ and $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_{5,1}^{even}(8)$.

Hence, both their algebraic and Dolbeault cohomological dimensions are zero.

As hyperelliptic curves are branched double covers of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$, part (a) can be considered classical. Almost as classical is part (b), which relies on the explicit description of non-hyperelliptic smooth Riemann surfaces of genus 3 as plane quartics (see, for instance, [32] and [34]). During conversations on this topic with Eduard Looijenga, he pointed out that works of Pinkham [42] and Vitulli [52] on deformations of affine monomial curves imply that certain components of type $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^{odd}(2g-2)$, $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,1}^{even}(2g-2)$ are the complement of a discriminant hypersurface inside a complete intersection in a weighted projective space, which implies part (c) of the above proposition.

Question 3.1. Are the spaces $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbf{m})$ affine?

We will see below that a positive answer would have consequences on the cohomological dimension of \mathcal{M}_g .

3.4. Hodge bundle

Translation surfaces of genus g without marked points and with no fixed pattern of zeroes are determined by couples (S, φ) , where $S \in \mathcal{M}_g$ and $0 \neq \varphi \in H^{1,0}(S)$.

Hence, they are naturally parametrized by the space $\Omega\mathcal{M}_g$, an algebraic $(\mathbb{C}^g \setminus \{0\})$ -bundle over \mathcal{M}_g whose fiber over S is exactly $H^{1,0}(S) \setminus \{0\}$. Thus, projective translation surfaces without marked points and with no fixed pattern of zeroes are parametrized by the projectivization $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g$, which is an algebraic $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ -bundle over \mathcal{M}_g , and so $\text{coh-dim}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathcal{M}_g) = \text{coh-dim}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g) - (g-1)$ for $\mathfrak{F} = \text{Dol}, \text{alg}$.

For every $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_k)$ string of positive integers with $m_1 + \dots + m_k = 2g - 2$, the map $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\mathbf{m}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g$ that forgets the marked points is finite over its image, which we denote by $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbf{m})$.

We want to estimate the Dolbeault cohomological dimension of $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g$, which is stratified by subvarieties $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbf{m})$ of codimension $2g - 2 - k$.

Remark 3.3. The stratum $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbf{m})$ is affine if and only if $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_{g,k}(\mathbf{m})$ is. If Question 3.1 has a positive answer, then (2_{alg}) implies that $\text{coh-dim}_{\text{alg}}(\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g) \leq 2g - 3$ and so $\text{coh-dim}_{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{M}_g) \leq (2g - 3) - (g - 1) = g - 2$.

Unfortunately, dealing with Dolbeault cohomology, we cannot invoke the line of proof (2_{alg}) but we need to use strategy (1_{Dol}) . Having already an inspiring stratification of $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g$, the rough idea is to proceed as follows:

- (i) thicken each stratum $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbf{m})$ to an open subset $U_{\mathbf{m}}$ in such a way that $U_{\mathbf{m}}$ and $U_{\mathbf{m}'}$ intersect if and only if one stratum is contained in the closure of the other;
- (ii) for every \mathbf{m} , extend $\xi_{\mathbf{m}}$ to $U_{\mathbf{m}}$ so that $i\partial\bar{\partial}\xi_{\mathbf{m}}$ is non-negative along a $(2g - 2 - k)$ -codimensional distribution that complements $T\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbf{m})$;
- (iii) define $\zeta_{\mathbf{m}} : U_{\mathbf{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the following properties:
 - (a) $\zeta_{\mathbf{m}}$ is non-negative and it vanishes on $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbf{m})$,
 - (b) there exists a constant $c > 0$ independent of \mathbf{m} such that $\liminf_{(C,P,[\varphi]) \in \overline{U_{\mathbf{m}}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbf{m})}} \zeta_{\mathbf{m}} \geq c > 0$ for every $(C, P, [\varphi]) \in \overline{U_{\mathbf{m}}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbf{m})}$,
 - (c) the complex Hessian of $\zeta_{\mathbf{m}}$ is strictly positive in the directions transverse to $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbf{m})$;
- (iv) define a smaller open neighbourhood $V_{\mathbf{m}} = \{\zeta_{\mathbf{m}} < c'\} \subset U_{\mathbf{m}}$ of $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbf{m})$ for a suitable $c' \in (0, c)$;
- (v) consider the function $\hat{\xi}_{\mathbf{m}} := \xi_{\mathbf{m}} + \chi \circ \zeta_{\mathbf{m}}$, where $\chi > 0$ satisfies $\chi(0) = 1$, $\chi' > 0$ and $\chi'' > 0$.

Suppose that the above steps are accomplished. It follows that $\hat{\xi}_{\mathbf{m}} : V_{\mathbf{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an exhaustion function and a quick computation shows that the $i\partial\bar{\partial}\hat{\xi}_{\mathbf{m}}$ satisfies a suitable phrasing of the strong (\star) property.

Consider now the open cover $\mathfrak{V} = \{V_{\mathbf{m}}\}$ of $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g$. Every intersection of open sets in \mathfrak{V} is of type $V_{\mathbf{m}^0} \cap \dots \cap V_{\mathbf{m}^l}$, where $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbf{m}^h)$ is in the closure of $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g(\mathbf{m}^{h-1})$ for $h = 1, \dots, l$. Observe finally that:

- (a) $\hat{\xi}_{\mathbf{m}^0} + \dots + \hat{\xi}_{\mathbf{m}^l}$ is an exhaustion function on $V_{\mathbf{m}^0} \cap \dots \cap V_{\mathbf{m}^l}$ that satisfies strong (\star) and so $\text{coh-dim}_{\text{Dol}}(V_{\mathbf{m}^0} \cap \dots \cap V_{\mathbf{m}^l}) \leq g$;
- (b) a non-empty intersection of open sets in \mathfrak{V} involves at most $2g - 2$ elements.

14 *Gabriele Mondello*

Hence, (1_{Dol}) allows to conclude as follows.

Theorem 3.7 ([38]). $\text{coh-dim}_{Dol}(\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g) \leq 3g - 3$.

Recalling that $\mathbb{P}\Omega\mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ is a holomorphic $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ -fibration, we have the following estimate.

Corollary 3.4 ([38]). $\text{coh-dim}_{Dol}(\mathcal{M}_g) \leq 2g - 2$.

We underline that, analogously to the case of those affine strata (see Proposition 3.1) for which Corollary 3.3 provided a non-optimal upper bound to the Dolbeault cohomological dimension, for \mathcal{M}_g too the above result remains g steps off a positive solution to Looijenga's problem.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks the organizers Dirk Kreimer, Holger Reich and Alexander Schmitt of VBAC 2014 workshop at the Freie Universität Berlin for the invitation and for the warm and fruitful atmosphere. The author's research was partially supported by grant FIRB 2010 (RBFR10GHHH.003) "Low-dimensional geometry and topology".

References

- [1] William Abikoff, *The real analytic theory of Teichmüller space*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 820, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [2] Yasuo Akizuki and Shigeo Nakano, *Note on Kodaira-Spencer's proof of Lefschetz theorems*, Proc. Japan Acad. **30** (1954), 266–272.
- [3] Aldo Andreotti and Hans Grauert, *Théorème de finitude pour la cohomologie des espaces complexes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **90** (1962), 193–259.
- [4] Enrico Arbarello and Maurizio Cornalba, *Calculating cohomology groups of moduli spaces of curves via algebraic geometry*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1998), no. 88, 97–127 (1999).
- [5] Enrico Arbarello, Maurizio Cornalba, and Phillip A. Griffiths, *Geometry of algebraic curves. Volume II*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 268, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011, With a contribution by Joseph Daniel Harris.
- [6] Lipman Bers, *A non-standard integral equation with applications to quasiconformal mappings*, Acta Math. **116** (1966), 113–134.
- [7] Robert Bieri and Beno Eckmann, *Groups with homological duality generalizing Poincaré duality*, Invent. Math. **20** (1973), 103–124.
- [8] Salomon Bochner, *Curvature and Betti numbers*, Ann. of Math. (2) **49** (1948), 379–390.
- [9] Salomon Bochner, *Curvature and Betti numbers. II*, Ann. of Math. (2) **50** (1949), 77–93.
- [10] Søren Boldsen, *Improved homological stability for the mapping class group with integral or twisted coefficients*, Math. Z. **270** (2012), no. 1-2, 297–329.
- [11] Pierre Deligne and David Mumford, *The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1969), no. 36, 75–109.

- [12] Jean-Pierre Demailly, *Complex analytic and differential geometry*, OpenContent Book, <http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/manuscripts/agbook.pdf>.
- [13] Steven Diaz, *A bound on the dimensions of complete subvarieties of \mathcal{M}_g* , *Duke Math. J.* **51** (1984), no. 2, 405–408.
- [14] Dan Edidin, *The codimension-two homology of the moduli space of stable curves is algebraic*, *Duke Math. J.* **67** (1992), no. 2, 241–272.
- [15] Claudio Fontanari and Stefano Pascolutti, *An affine open covering of \mathcal{M}_g for $g \leq 5$* , *Geom. Dedicata* **158** (2012), 61–68.
- [16] Juan Girbau, *Sur les théorèmes d’annulation de Kodaira*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **272** (1971), A740–A742.
- [17] Juan Girbau, *Sur les théorèmes d’annulation de Kodaira*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **273** (1971), A461–A462.
- [18] Tom Graber and Ravi Vakil, *Relative virtual localization and vanishing of tautological classes on moduli spaces of curves*, *Duke Math. J.* **130** (2005), no. 1, 1–37.
- [19] Alexander Grothendieck, *Techniques de construction en géométrie analytique X: construction de l’espace de Teichmüller*, vol. 13, Séminaire Henri Cartan, no. 2, ch. 17, pp. 1–20, Secrétariat Mathématique, Paris, 1960–1961.
- [20] John Harer, *The second homology group of the mapping class group of an orientable surface*, *Invent. Math.* **72** (1983), no. 2, 221–239.
- [21] John Harer, *Stability of the homology of the mapping class groups of orientable surfaces*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **121** (1985), no. 2, 215–249.
- [22] John Harer, *The virtual cohomological dimension of the mapping class group of an orientable surface*, *Invent. Math.* **84** (1986), no. 1, 157–176.
- [23] John Harer, *The third homology group of the moduli space of curves*, *Duke Math. J.* **63** (1991), no. 1, 25–55.
- [24] John Harer and Don Zagier, *The Euler characteristic of the moduli space of curves*, *Invent. Math.* **85** (1986), no. 3, 457–485.
- [25] John Hubbard and Howard Masur, *Quadratic differentials and foliations*, *Acta Math.* **142** (1979), no. 3–4, 221–274.
- [26] Eleny-Nicoleta Ionel, *Topological recursive relations in $H^{2g}(\mathcal{M}_{g,n})$* , *Invent. Math.* **148** (2002), no. 3, 627–658.
- [27] Nikolai Ivanov, *On the homology stability for Teichmüller modular groups: closed surfaces and twisted coefficients*, Mapping class groups and moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces (Göttingen, 1991/Seattle, WA, 1991), *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 150, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993, pp. 149–194.
- [28] Steven Kerckhoff, Howard Masur, and John Smillie, *Ergodicity of billiard flows and quadratic differentials*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **124** (1986), no. 2, 293–311.
- [29] Kunihiko Kodaira, *On Kähler varieties of restricted type (an intrinsic characterization of algebraic varieties)*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **60** (1954), 28–48.
- [30] Maxim Kontsevich, *Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **147** (1992), no. 1, 1–23.
- [31] Maxim Kontsevich and Anton Zorich, *Connected components of the moduli spaces of Abelian differentials with prescribed singularities*, *Invent. Math.* **153** (2003), no. 3, 631–678.
- [32] Eduard Looijenga, *Cohomology of \mathcal{M}_3 and $\mathcal{M}_{3,1}$* , Mapping class groups and moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces (Göttingen, 1991/Seattle, WA, 1991), *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 150, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993, pp. 205–228.
- [33] Eduard Looijenga, *On the tautological ring of \mathcal{M}_g* , *Invent. Math.* **121** (1995), no. 2, 411–419.

- [34] Eduard Looijenga and Gabriele Mondello, *The fine structure of the moduli space of abelian differentials in genus 3*, *Geom. Dedicata* **169** (2014), 109–128.
- [35] Ib Madsen and Michael Weiss, *The stable moduli space of Riemann surfaces: Mumford’s conjecture*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **165** (2007), no. 3, 843–941.
- [36] Martin Möller, *Linear manifolds in the moduli space of one-forms*, *Duke Math. J.* **144** (2008), no. 3, 447–487.
- [37] Gabriele Mondello, *A remark on the homotopical dimension of some moduli spaces of stable Riemann surfaces*, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **10** (2008), no. 1, 231–241.
- [38] Gabriele Mondello, *On the cohomological dimension of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces*, e-print arXiv:1405.2608, to appear on *Duke Math J.*
- [39] Gabriele Mondello, *Stratifications of the moduli space of curves and related questions*, *Rend. Mat. Appl. (7)* **36** (2014), 1–26.
- [40] David Mumford, *A remark on Mahler’s compactness theorem*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **28** (1971), 289–294.
- [41] R. C. Penner, *Perturbative series and the moduli space of Riemann surfaces*, *J. Differential Geom.* **27** (1988), no. 1, 35–53.
- [42] Henry Pinkham, *Deformations of algebraic varieties with \mathbb{G}_m -action*, *Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1974, Astérisque, No. 20*.
- [43] Henri Poincaré, *Les fonctions fuchsienues et l’équation $\Delta u = e^u$* , *J. Math. Pures Appl. (5e série)* **4** (1898), 137–230.
- [44] Marzia Polito, *The fourth tautological group of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ and relations with the cohomology*, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl.* **14** (2003), no. 2, 137–168.
- [45] Jerome Powell, *Homeomorphisms of S^3 leaving a Heegaard surface invariant*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **257** (1980), no. 1, 193–216.
- [46] Mike Roth and Ravi Vakil, *The affine stratification number and the moduli space of curves*, *Algebraic structures and moduli spaces, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, vol. 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 213–227*.
- [47] Paul Schmutz Schaller, *Systoles and topological Morse functions for Riemann surfaces*, *J. Differential Geom.* **52** (1999), no. 3, 407–452.
- [48] Paul Schmutz Schaller, *A systolic geometric cell decomposition for the space of once-holed Riemann surfaces of genus 2*, *Topology* **40** (2001), no. 5, 1017–1049.
- [49] Orsola Tommasi, *Rational cohomology of the moduli space of genus 4 curves*, *Compos. Math.* **141** (2005), no. 2, 359–384.
- [50] Marc Troyanov, *Les surfaces euclidiennes à singularités coniques*, *Enseign. Math. (2)* **32** (1986), no. 1-2, 79–94.
- [51] William Veech, *Flat surfaces*, *Amer. J. Math.* **115** (1993), no. 3, 589–689.
- [52] Marie Vitulli, *The obstruction of the formal moduli space in the negatively graded case*, *Pacific J. Math.* **82** (1979), no. 1, 281–294.
- [53] Scott Wolpert, *Convexity of geodesic-length functions: a reprise*, *Spaces of Kleinian groups, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 329, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2006, pp. 233–245*.