

1 **The influence of autistic symptoms on social and non-social cognition and on real-life**
2 **functioning in people with schizophrenia: evidence from the Italian Network for Research on**
3 **Psychoses multicenter study**

4 Antonio Vita^{1,2}, Stefano Barlati^{1,2,*}, Giacomo Deste², Paola Rocca³, Alessandro Rossi⁴, Alessandro
5 Bertolino⁵, Eugenio Aguglia⁶, Mario Amore⁷, Antonello Bellomo⁸, Massimo Biondi⁹, Bernardo
6 Carpiniello¹⁰, Enrico Collantoni¹¹, Alessandro Cuomo¹², Enrico D'Ambrosio⁵, Liliana dell'Osso¹³,
7 Massimo di Giannantonio¹⁴, Giulia Maria Giordano¹⁵, Carlo Marchesi¹⁶, Palmiero Monteleone¹⁷,
8 Cristiana Montemagni³, Lucio Oldani¹⁸, Maurizio Pompili¹⁹, Rita Roncone²⁰, Rodolfo Rossi⁴, Alberto
9 Siracusano²¹, Patrizia Zeppegno²², Gabriele Nibbio¹, Silvana Galderisi¹⁵, Mario Maj¹⁵; Italian
10 Network for Research on Psychoses†

11

12 ¹Psychiatric Unit, School of Medicine, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy; ²Department of Mental
13 Health, Spedali Civili Hospital, Brescia, Italy; ³Department of Neuroscience, Section of Psychiatry,
14 University of Turin, Turin, Italy; ⁴Section of Psychiatry, Department of Biotechnological and Applied
15 Clinical Sciences, University of L'Aquila, L'Aquila, Italy; ⁵Department of Neurological and Psychiatric
16 Sciences, University of Bari, Bari, Italy; ⁶Department of Clinical and Molecular Biomedicine,
17 Psychiatry Unit, University of Catania, Catania, Italy; ⁷Section of Psychiatry, Department of
18 Neurosciences, Rehabilitation, Ophthalmology, Genetics and Maternal and Child Health, University
19 of Genoa, Genoa, Italy; ⁸Psychiatry Unit, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Foggia,
20 Foggia, Italy; ⁹Department of Neurology and Psychiatry, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy;
21 ¹⁰Section of Psychiatry, Department of Public Health, Clinical and Molecular Medicine, University
22 of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy; ¹¹Psychiatric Clinic, Department of Neurosciences, University of Padua,
23 Padua, Italy; ¹²Department of Molecular Medicine and Clinical Department of Mental Health,
24 University of Siena, Siena, Italy; ¹³Section of Psychiatry, Department of Clinical and Experimental
25 Medicine, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy; ¹⁴Department of Neuroscience and Imaging, G. D'Annunzio
26 University, Chieti, Italy; ¹⁵Department of Psychiatry, University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli",
27 Naples, Italy; ¹⁶Department of Neuroscience, Psychiatry Unit, University of Parma, Parma, Italy;
28 ¹⁷Department of Medicine, Surgery and Dentistry "Scuola Medica Salernitana", Section of
29 Neuroscience, University of Salerno, Salerno, Italy; ¹⁸Department of Psychiatry, University of
30 Milan, Milan, Italy; ¹⁹Department of Neurosciences, Mental Health and Sensory Organs, S. Andrea
31 Hospital, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy; ²⁰Unit of Psychiatry, Department of Life, Health
32 and Environmental Sciences, University of L'Aquila, L'Aquila, Italy; ²¹Department of Systems
33 Medicine, Psychiatry and Clinical Psychology Unit, Tor Vergata University of Rome, Rome, Italy;
34 ²²Department of Translational Medicine, Psychiatric Unit, University of Eastern Piedmont, Novara,
35 Italy

36 †The members of the Italian Network for Research on Psychoses involved in this study are listed in
37 the Appendix

38 * Correspondence: Stefano Barlati. Email: stefano.barlati@unibs.it

39

This peer-reviewed article has been accepted for publication but not yet copyedited or typeset, and so may be subject to change during the production process. The article is considered published and may be cited using its DOI.

10.1192/j.eurpsy.2020.99

40 **Abstract**

41 *Background:* Autism Spectrum Disorders (ASDs) and Schizophrenia Spectrum Disorders (SSDs),
42 although conceptualized as separate entities, may share some clinical and neurobiological
43 features. ASD symptoms may have a relevant role in determining a more severe clinical
44 presentation of schizophrenic disorder, but their relationships with cognitive aspects and
45 functional outcomes of the disease remain to be addressed in large samples of **individuals**.

46 *Aims:* To investigate the clinical, cognitive and functional correlates of ASD symptoms in a large
47 sample of **people** diagnosed with schizophrenia.

48 *Methods:* The severity of ASD symptoms was measured with the PANSS Autism Severity Scale
49 (PAUSS) in 921 **individuals** recruited for the Italian Network for Research on Psychoses multicenter
50 study. Based on the PAUSS scores, three groups of subjects were compared on a wide array of
51 cognitive and functional measures.

52 *Results:* **Subjects** with more severe ASD symptoms showed a poorer performance in the
53 processing speed ($p = 0.010$), attention ($p = 0.011$), verbal memory ($p = 0.035$) and social cognition
54 ($p = 0.001$) domains, and an overall lower global cognitive composite score ($p = 0.010$). **Subjects**
55 with more severe ASD symptoms also showed poorer functional capacity ($p = 0.004$), real-world
56 interpersonal relationships ($p < 0.001$) and participation in community-living activities ($p < 0.001$).

57 *Conclusions:* These findings strengthen the notion that ASD symptoms may have a relevant impact
58 on different aspects of the disease, crucial to the life of people with schizophrenia. Prominent ASD
59 symptoms may characterize a specific sub-population of individuals with SSD.

60

61 **Keywords**

62 *Schizophrenia; autism spectrum disorders; cognition; social cognition; psychosocial functioning*

63

64 **Running title**

65 *The role of autistic traits in schizophrenia*

66 **Abstract**

67 **243** words

68 **Manuscript**

69 **3894** words

70

71 **INTRODUCTION**

72 *Background*

73 Autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) and schizophrenia spectrum disorders (SSDs) are currently
74 conceptualized as separate nosological entities [1]. However, this dichotomic separation has been
75 called into question, as the two spectra show many similarities, and their overlap has recently
76 been the focus of a growing body of literature [2–7]. In fact, one of the earliest conceptualizations
77 of schizophrenia, redacted by Eugen Bleuler over a century ago [8], already described autistic
78 features as a central element of the disorder, and only later was ASD defined as distinct entity.

79 Deficits in social cognition and social interactions are key features of both ASDs and SSDs [9,10],
80 and different brain imaging and genetic studies suggest that the two spectra might share similar
81 aspects not only at a clinical level, but also at a neurobiological, pathophysiological and
82 etiopathogenetic levels [11–15].

83 ASDs symptoms are more frequent in people diagnosed with schizophrenia than in healthy
84 subjects [16,17], and, in people with SSDs, more severe ASD symptoms emerged as predictors of
85 poorer performance on different measures of social cognitive abilities, both in the emotion
86 processing and in the mental state attribution/theory of mind domains [18]. Prominent ASD
87 symptoms have also been linked to poorer real-world functioning and greater impairments in the
88 ability to judge the quality of everyday functioning [19].

89 Furthermore, a recent study investigating cognitive and clinical correlates of ASD symptoms in
90 schizophrenia has found that people with a clear diagnosis of schizophrenia and prominent ASD
91 symptoms showed a lower IQ and a poorer performance in a number of cognitive domains,
92 including processing speed, working memory, and executive functions, leading to the interesting
93 hypothesis that these subjects diagnosed with schizophrenia may represent a sub-population, with
94 specific clinical characteristics [20]. In addition, another study demonstrated a poorer response to
95 antipsychotic treatment in first-episode early-onset psychosis patients with ASD, compared to
96 those without ASD [21].

97 Thus, the investigation of the presence of ASD symptoms in people diagnosed with schizophrenia
98 represents an interesting and important issue for the study of the illness itself and for the
99 development of more tailored interventions. However, the most used diagnostic instruments
100 available for the assessment of ASD, namely the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS)
101 [22] and the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R)[23] may not represent a viable solution
102 for the assessment of ASD symptoms in people with schizophrenia, due to the complexity and

103 time required for their application. Recently, the PANSS Autism Severity Score (PAUSS) [24], a
104 scale derived from the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) [25], has been developed
105 and demonstrated to be an easy and reliable instrument for the assessment of ASD symptoms in
106 people diagnosed with schizophrenia in the clinical practice.

107 A recent study [26] confirmed, in a small sample of patients recruited for a cognitive remediation
108 study, that the PAUSS represents a valid and practical instrument for the assessment of ASD
109 symptoms in people diagnosed with schizophrenia, comparable to more established but more
110 complex and time-consuming tools as the ADOS and the ADI-R. Moreover, using the PAUSS cut-off
111 score for “autistic schizophrenia” [24], it was possible to identify a sub-group of patients with
112 schizophrenia and ASD symptoms, characterized by a lower IQ, poorer neuro- and socio-cognitive
113 performance, and poorer real-world functioning. Although being of both theoretical and clinical
114 interest, these findings have to be replicated in larger samples, better representing the population
115 of people diagnosed with schizophrenia.

116

117 *Aims*

118 The aim of the present study was to further investigate the clinical, cognitive and functional
119 correlates of ASD symptoms, as assessed with the PAUSS, in a large sample of people diagnosed
120 with schizophrenia, recruited in the real-world multicenter study of the Italian Network for
121 Research on Psychoses. In particular, the study compared subjects with low, moderate and
122 prominent ASD symptoms, as defined by the PAUSS cut-off scores for non-autistic and autistic
123 schizophrenia, on demographic and clinical variables and on neurocognitive, socio-cognitive and
124 real-world functional measures.

125

126 **Methods**

127 *Sample*

128 For this study, the database of the Italian Network for Research in Psychoses was used. It includes
129 921 individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia (280 females, mean age 40.17 ± 10.71).

130 The Italian Network for Research in Psychoses is a large research network including 26 Italian
131 University Psychiatric Clinics and Mental Health Departments, providing data on a large number of
132 people diagnosed with schizophrenia living in the community that have been assessed with a wide
133 array of clinical, cognitive and functional measures [27,28].

134 Participants were recruited from March 1, 2012 to September 30, 2013.

135 Inclusion criteria were: (a) diagnosis of schizophrenia according to DSM-IV TR criteria [29]
136 confirmed with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-Patient version (SCID-I-P) [30], and (b)
137 age between 18 and 66 years. Exclusion criteria were: (a) history of head trauma with loss of
138 consciousness; (b) history of moderate to severe mental retardation or of neurological diseases;
139 (c) history of alcohol and/or substance abuse in the last six months; (d) current pregnancy or
140 lactation; (e) inability to provide informed consent for participation in the study; (f) treatment
141 modifications and/or hospitalization due to symptom exacerbation in the last three months.

142 According to the same procedure in all centers, enrolled patients completed the assessments in
143 three days with the following schedule: collection of socio-demographic information,
144 psychopathological evaluation and neurological assessment on day 1, in the morning; assessment
145 of neurocognitive functions, social cognition and functional capacity on day 2, in the morning;
146 assessment of personal resources and perceived stigma either on day 3 (morning or afternoon) or
147 in the afternoon of day 1 or 2, according to the patient's preference. For real-life functioning
148 assessment, patient's key caregiver was invited to join one of the scheduled sessions.

149 Out of 1691 screened patients, 1180 were eligible; of these, 202 refused to participate, 57
150 dropped out before completing the procedures and 921 were included in the analyses.

151 All included subjects provided written informed consent to participate after receiving a
152 comprehensive explanation of study procedures and goals. The study protocol was approved by
153 the Ethical Committee of the coordinating center and of the other participating centers (approval
154 number 73/2012).

155 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the sample are reported in Table 1.

156

157 *Measures*

158 *Clinical assessment*

159 Demographic and clinical data for each subject were collected from different sources, such as
160 family members, medical records and mental health worker reports.

161 The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) [25] was used for the assessment of symptoms
162 severity. The PANSS is a semi-structured interview composed by 30 items divided in three
163 subscales, namely positive symptoms, negative symptoms and general psychopathology. Each
164 item is accompanied by a specific definition and by detailed anchoring criteria for each rating
165 point, ranging from 'absent' (1) to 'severe' (7).

166

167 *ASD symptoms assessment*

168 In order to assess the severity of ASD symptoms, the PANSS Autism Severity Scale (PAUSS) [24]
169 was derived from the PANSS, and calculated by performing the sum of the following PANSS items:
170 N1 ("blunted affect"), N3 ("poor rapport"), N4 ("social withdrawal"), N5 ("difficulties in abstract
171 thinking"), N6 ("lack of spontaneity and flow of conversation"), N7 ("stereotyped thinking"), G5
172 ("mannerism"), G15 ("preoccupation"). The PAUSS validity in identifying ASD symptoms in **people**
173 **diagnosed** with schizophrenia has been already demonstrated, and found to be satisfying, with
174 the PAUSS strongly correlating with other more established diagnostic tools for the assessment of
175 ASD and showing even better sensitivity than such scales in detecting ASD symptoms in **people**
176 with schizophrenia [26].

177 According to the results of the original validation study of the PAUSS [24], **subjects** were divided
178 into three different groups, based on the PAUSS total score: **subjects** with "autistic schizophrenia"
179 (PAUSS \geq 30), **subjects** with "non-autistic schizophrenia" (PAUSS \leq 10), and **subjects** with
180 "moderate ASD symptoms" (PAUSS between 11 and 29). **These cut-off scores have been identified**
181 **and validated by the scale authors in a large sample of individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia**
182 **and have been reported in the scale validation study [24].**

183

184 *Cognitive assessment*

185 Cognitive performance was assessed using the MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery (MCCB) [31].
186 The MCCB is composed by specific tasks assessing the following cognitive domains: speed of
187 processing (Trail Making Test Part A; Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia: Symbol
188 Coding; Category Fluency Test: Animal Naming), verbal and spatial learning (Hopkins Verbal
189 Learning Test-Revised, immediate recall; Brief Visuospatial Memory Test-Revised), reasoning and
190 problem solving (Neuropsychological Assessment Battery, Mazes subtest), attention (Continuous
191 Performance Test: Identical Pairs), working memory (Wechsler Memory Scale, Spatial Span subset;
192 Letter Number Span Test) and social cognition (Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test:
193 Managing Emotion task). A t-score was computed for each cognitive domain, corrected by gender,
194 age and education, and a global cognitive composite score was finally calculated following the
195 recommendation of the battery developers [32].

196

197

198

199 *Functional outcomes measures*

200 Functional capacity was assessed with the UCSD Performance-Based Skills Assessment, Brief
201 (UPSA-B) [33]. The UPSA-B is a brief and widely used performance-based instrument that assesses
202 skills involved in community tasks: “financial skills” (e.g., counting money and paying bills) and
203 “communication skills” (e.g., to dial a telephone number for emergency or reschedule an
204 appointment by telephone), with a total score ranging from 0 to 100.

205 Real-world functioning was assessed using the Specific Level of Functioning Scale (SLOF), an
206 informant-rated measure that explores different aspects of functioning and is based on the key
207 caregiver's judgment on behavior and functioning of patients [34]. It consists of 43 items, divided
208 into six different scales, including the following domains: physical efficiency, skills in self-care,
209 interpersonal relationships, social acceptability, participation in community activities (e.g.,
210 shopping, using public transportation), and working abilities. Each item is rated from 1 to 5, with
211 higher scores indicating better functioning. The SLOF has been found to be a reliable and valid
212 instrument to assess real-world functioning in **people diagnosed** with schizophrenia, with good
213 construct validity and internal consistency, and has been recently validated in Italian [35].

214

215 *Data collection and handling*

216 **Comparability of data collection procedures was assured by a centralized training of all the**
217 **researchers, before starting recruitment and assessments. For each category of variables**
218 **(psychopathology, including diagnosis, illness-related factors, cognition, real-life functioning,**
219 **personal resources and context-related factors), at least one researcher per site was trained. In**
220 **order to avoid halo effects, the same researcher could not be trained for more than one category.**
221 **The inter-rater reliability was formally evaluated by Cohen’s kappa for categorical variables, and**
222 **intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) or percentage agreement for continuous variables. An**
223 **excellent inter-rater agreement was found for the SCID-I-P (Cohen’s kappa 0.98). Good to**
224 **excellent agreement among raters was observed for SLOF (ICC 0.55- 0.99, percentage agreement**
225 **70.1-100%); PANSS (ICC 0.61-0.96, percentage agreement 67.7- 93.5%); and MCCB (ICC 0.87).**

226 **Assessment was conducted within two weeks after subjects’ recruitment.**

227 **In the database of the study, fields for all variables were exactly corresponding to those of paper**
228 **forms on which data were collected. For variables with finite domain and low cardinality, raw data**
229 **were inputted to the database by means of drop-down menu showing the possible relevant**
230 **options, while for a minority of variables, with finite domain and high cardinality or with bounded**

231 domain, digits were typed in the database. In both cases, the system verified that the input was
232 admissible against extreme values. For cases in which admissibility was not verifiable (e.g., a
233 variable for which high values are still possible although improbable), the quality control
234 periodically performed for all variables allowed to identify outlier values that were then checked
235 against data on paper.

236 Data of subjects were associated to a pseudonym (ID code) and the correspondence between ID
237 code and the subject was on an off-system paper form located at the site that recruited the
238 subject. Data in transit between the remote location and the server were encrypted with the end-
239 to-end coding. A full backup of the database was performed every day and signed off.

240 All participants signing the informed consent to participate in the study gave their authorization to
241 publication of results in scientific journals. No deadline for data analysis or publication was
242 specified in the informed consent.

243

244 *Statistical analyses*

245 The three groups of subjects identified using the PAUSS cutoff scores were compared on
246 demographic, clinical, cognitive and functional measures. The distribution of scores of each
247 considered variable was inspected for normality and for homogeneity of variance in order to allow
248 the use of parametric statistics.

249 Dichotomous variables were analyzed using Pearson's χ^2 tests, with results reported as
250 percentages. Continuous variables were analyzed with general linear model analyses of co-
251 variance (ANCOVAs). A construct calculated by subtracting the PAUSS total score from the PANSS
252 total score (PANSS minus PAUSS) was included as a covariate in the analyses of cognitive
253 performance and functioning, in order to rule out the possibility that the PAUSS could represent
254 and indirect proxy of global symptoms severity. This construct was introduced in the analyses
255 instead of the total PANSS score in order to avoid collinearity with the PAUSS, as detailed in a
256 previous study on the role of ASD symptoms in people with schizophrenia [18]. Age and education
257 were also included as covariates in the analyses on functioning, but not in the analyses regarding
258 cognitive performance, since cognitive performance variables were already corrected by gender,
259 age and education. Post-hoc, between-groups analyses were performed accounting for multiple
260 comparisons using Bonferroni correction.

261 Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 15.0. P-values <0.05 (2 tailed) were considered
262 significant.

263 **Results**

264 *Prevalence of ASD symptoms*

265 The mean PAUSS total score was 22.89 (SD \pm 8.26). One hundred and eighty-five subjects (20.11%
266 of the total sample) had a PAUSS \geq 30, and thus were included in the “autistic schizophrenia”
267 group; 56 subjects (6.09%) had a PAUSS \leq 10 and thus were included in the “non-autistic
268 schizophrenia” group; 679 (73.80%) had a PAUSS between 11 and 29 and thus were included into
269 the “moderate ASD symptoms” group.

270

271 *Between-groups comparisons of demographic variables*

272 For demographic variables (Table 2), significant between-groups differences emerged for age ($p <$
273 0.001), with “non-autistic schizophrenia” subjects being younger than “autistic schizophrenia” ($p <$
274 0.001) and than “moderate ASD symptoms” patients ($p = 0.003$), education ($p=0.001$), with
275 “autistic schizophrenia” subjects showing fewer years of education compared to “moderate ASD
276 symptoms” ($p = 0.010$) and to “non-autistic schizophrenia” subjects ($p = 0.004$), and employment
277 ($p = 0.004$), with a larger proportion of unemployed subjects in the “autistic schizophrenia” group,
278 compared to “moderate ASD symptoms” ($p = 0.009$) and to “non-autistic schizophrenia” ($p =$
279 0.009)
280 groups. No differences emerged for gender distribution among groups.

281

282 *Between groups comparisons of clinical variables*

283 For clinical variables (Table 2), significant between-groups differences emerged in the rate of
284 individuals having previous hospitalizations ($p = 0.022$), which was lower in the group of “non-
285 autistic schizophrenia” compared with the “moderate ASD symptoms” subjects ($p = 0.018$). A
286 complete remission at first episode was also different between-groups ($p < 0.001$), and was
287 achieved more frequently in “non-autistic schizophrenia” subjects, compared to the “autistic
288 schizophrenia” ($p < 0.001$) and to the “moderate ASD symptoms” group ($p < 0.001$); the latter
289 showing still significantly higher remission rate than that of the “autistic schizophrenia” group ($p =$
290 0.024). No between-groups differences emerged for age at first psychotic episode, number of
291 previous hospitalizations, previous suicide attempts and previous alcohol and substance abuse.

292

293 *Between groups comparisons of cognitive performance*

294 Between groups comparisons of cognitive measures (Table 3) were covaried by non-autistic
295 symptoms severity (PANSS minus PAUSS). Significant between-groups differences at the ANCOVAs
296 were observed on different cognitive domains, in particular on processing speed ($p = 0.010$),
297 attention ($p = 0.011$), verbal memory ($p = 0.035$) and social cognition ($p = 0.001$). A significant
298 between-group difference was also observed on the global cognition composite score ($p = 0.010$).
299 When performing post-hoc comparisons, a poorer cognitive performance in the “autistic
300 schizophrenia” group, compared to “non-autistic schizophrenia” subjects emerged for verbal
301 memory ($p=0.037$), social cognition ($p=0.002$), and global cognition ($p=0.028$). A poorer cognitive
302 performance in the “autistic schizophrenia” group, compared to subjects with “moderate ASD
303 symptoms” emerged for processing speed ($p=0.010$), attention ($p=0.012$), and global cognition
304 ($p=0.017$). A poorer cognitive performance in subjects with “moderate ASD symptoms” compared
305 with “non-autistic schizophrenia” subjects emerged in the social cognition domain ($p=0.001$).
306 No between-groups differences emerged for working memory, visual memory, and problem
307 solving.

308

309 *Between groups comparisons of psychosocial functioning*

310 Between groups comparisons of psychosocial functioning measures (Table 4) were covaried by
311 age, education, and non-autistic symptoms severity (PANSS minus PAUSS).
312 Significant between-groups differences were observed on functional capacity, as measured by the
313 UPSA-B ($p = 0.004$), on real-world interpersonal skills, as measured by the SLOF-interpersonal
314 relationships sub-scale ($p < 0.001$), on social acceptability, as measured by the SLOF-social
315 acceptability sub-scale ($p = 0.019$), and on participation in community activities, as measured by
316 the SLOF-activities sub-scale ($p < 0.001$).
317 Looking at the post-hoc comparisons, a poorer psychosocial performance of the “autistic
318 schizophrenia” group compared to “non-autistic schizophrenia” subjects emerged in different
319 areas, as measured with the UPSA-B ($p = 0.021$), the SLOF-interpersonal relationships ($p < 0.001$),
320 and the SLOF-activities ($p = 0.013$). Also, a poorer psychosocial performance of the “autistic
321 schizophrenia” group compared to the “moderate ASD symptoms” group emerged for the UPSA-B
322 ($p = 0.006$), the SLOF-interpersonal relationships ($p < 0.001$), and the SLOF-activities ($p < 0.001$).
323 Finally, “moderate ASD symptoms” subjects showed a poorer psychosocial performance than
324 “non-autistic schizophrenia” subjects in the SLOF-interpersonal relationships ($p < 0.001$). A better
325 psychosocial performance of the “autistic schizophrenia” group compared to the “non-autistic

326 schizophrenia" group emerged for the SLOF-social acceptability ($p = 0.028$). No between-groups
327 differences emerged in the SLOF-physical functioning, the SLOF- personal care, and the SLOF-work.

328

329 Discussion

330 The study demonstrated that the cut-off scores of the PAUSS allowed to identify, among a large
331 and representative sample of subjects with schizophrenia, three groups of patients with different
332 clinical, cognitive and functional characteristics.

333 The between-groups differences emerged in the neuro- and social-cognitive measures, as a whole,
334 corroborated the hypothesis of greater cognitive impairment in **people diagnosed** with
335 schizophrenia and increasingly prominent ASD symptoms [20,26]. They are in line with previous
336 findings correlating ASD features and deficits in neuro- and social cognition [36–38] and suggest a
337 direct relationship between impairment in social cognitive performance and ASD symptoms
338 severity in **people** diagnosed with schizophrenia [18].

339 As for functional outcomes, functional capacity and personal and social functioning impairments
340 were found to progressively increase with the severity of ASD symptoms. These results confirm
341 those of previous studies conducted in much smaller samples [20,26], that hypothesized the
342 existence of a gradient of increasingly higher impairment in **people** with schizophrenia with
343 greater levels of ASD symptoms severity.

344 Considering real-world functioning, the greater impairment in interpersonal relationships and
345 participation in community activities in **individuals** with more severe ASD symptoms was an
346 expected result, as deficits in social interactions are one of the key features of ASD, and is in line
347 with previous findings [19].

348 The use of age, education and non-autistic symptoms severity as covariates in the analyses
349 allowed **subjects** with more prominent ASD features to emerge as the group showing better social
350 acceptability. This result might at first appear counterintuitive also considering the raw scores
351 obtained with the scale. In fact, the between-groups differences, even when corrected by age,
352 education and non-autistic symptoms, were small in size and clinically negligible, and probably
353 sensitive to the statistic procedure applied. Anyway, it may be well that the usual social interaction
354 style of ASD **individuals**, more prone to social retirement, could be perceived to some extent as
355 more socially acceptable than that of other groups of **people diagnosed** with schizophrenia.

356 No difference between PAUSS subgroups was observed in the SLOF Work subscale; however,
357 **individuals** with more prominent ASD symptoms were more frequently unemployed. This may

358 reflect both the overall low level of working skills of the entire sample, as indicated by the SLOF
359 Work subscale, and the fact that other factors, not directly related to work skills, may interfere
360 with the possibility to maintain a job in subjects with prominent ASD symptoms. This is an
361 intriguing perspective that should be better investigated with specific studies.

362 In general, our results showed a high prevalence of ASD symptoms in people diagnosed with
363 schizophrenia, confirming the existence of significant areas of overlap between SSDs and ASDs.
364 They also confirmed the possibility to use the PAUSS, a simple, fast, and practical tool for the
365 assessment of ASD symptoms in people diagnosed with schizophrenia, for identifying subgroups of
366 subjects diagnosed with schizophrenia with increasing ASD symptoms severity and a parallel
367 gradient of severity of cognitive and psychosocial functioning impairments. These results
368 corroborate, in a clinical perspective, those of studies focused on neurobiological aspects of ASD
369 features in schizophrenia, as the PAUSS has been recently used in genetic studies for the
370 investigation of the association between the autistic genotype and phenotype [39,40], and in
371 neuroimaging studies, which reported an association between autistic symptoms and structural
372 and functional imaging features [41,42].

373 To our knowledge, this is the first study in which a gradient of increasingly higher cognitive and
374 functional impairment among different levels of ASD symptoms severity has been demonstrated in
375 people diagnosed with schizophrenia.

376 Among the strengths of the study are the characteristics of the sample analyzed, composed of a
377 very large group of well diagnosed subjects diagnosed with schizophrenia, representative of the
378 heterogeneous demographic and clinical characteristics of the Italian population of people
379 diagnosed with schizophrenia, in the real-world. Moreover, the cognitive and functioning
380 assessment was conducted using a wide array of well-validated instruments, allowing a reliable
381 investigation of specific cognitive and functioning areas.

382 It is possible that the correlation between ASD symptoms severity and cognitive and functional
383 deficits might be partially explained by a longer duration of illness, as individuals in the “non-
384 autistic schizophrenia” group were younger, and possibly in an earlier stage of the disorder:
385 therefore, they could have not yet developed the negative cognitive and functional sequelae, as
386 well as a more severe clinical condition, which are usually associated with longer term psychosis.
387 However, by introducing covariates such as age, education and non-autistic symptoms severity in
388 the analyses we were able to rule out the influence of one or more of such covariates, thus
389 increasing the specificity of the results.

390 The study has also some limitations. First, it was not specifically designed to test the validity of the
391 PAUSS, nor to apply it as a measure of ASD symptoms. Second, some domains of social cognition
392 that are typically impaired in **people diagnosed** with schizophrenia, such as attributional style bias
393 and social perception, were not included in the assessment of social cognition. Third, **given the**
394 **cross-sectional design of the present study**, no prospective observation was performed, therefore
395 no longitudinal evaluation of the course and trajectory of ASD symptoms in **people** diagnosed with
396 schizophrenia could be made. This did not allow to further contribute to the debate regarding the
397 nature of ASD symptoms in schizophrenia as a state or trait variable. Finally, no evaluation of the
398 effect of treatment on ASD symptoms was performed.

399 Beyond these limitations, the results of this study strengthen the notion of the relevant impact of
400 ASD symptoms on different aspects of the disease, crucial to the life of people **diagnosed** with
401 schizophrenia, and **suggest** that prominent ASD symptoms **could** characterize a sub-population of
402 individuals with SSD.

403 Future studies should focus on observing the course of ASD symptoms in **people** diagnosed with
404 schizophrenia in a longitudinal perspective, on evaluating the effects of different treatments on
405 ASD symptoms, and on assessing the presence of ASD symptoms in relatives of **people** diagnosed
406 with schizophrenia in order to estimate the familial component of a possible autistic phenotype of
407 schizophrenia. Even more important from a clinical point of view could be to analyze whether
408 **individuals** identified on the basis of different severity of PAUSS could have different response to
409 pharmacologic treatment or to specific psychosocial interventions.

410

411 **Conflicts of interest**

412 None.

413

414 **Financial support**

415 This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit
416 sectors.

417

418 **Ethics Statement**

419 The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards
420 of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the
421 Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008. All patients provided written informed consent to
422 participate after receiving a comprehensive explanation of study procedures and goals. The study
423 protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee of the coordinating center and of the other
424 participating centers (approval number 73/2012).

425

426 **Data Availability Statement**

427 Data that support the findings of this study are not available.

428 **References**

- 429 [1] American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5®).
430 American Psychiatric Association, Arlington, VA; 2013.
- 431 [2] Barlati S, Deste G, Ariu C, Vita A. Autism Spectrum Disorder and Schizophrenia: Do They Overlap? *Int J*
432 *Emerg Ment Health Hum Resil* 2016;18:760–3. <https://doi.org/10.4172/1522-4821.1000318>.
- 433 [3] Dell’Osso L, Luche RD, Maj M. Adult autism spectrum as a transnosographic dimension. *CNS Spectr*
434 2016;21:131–3. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1092852915000450>.
- 435 [4] Hommer RE, Swedo SE. Schizophrenia and Autism—Related Disorders. *Schizophr Bull* 2015;41:313–4.
436 <https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbu188>.
- 437 [5] King BH, Lord C. Is schizophrenia on the autism spectrum? *Brain Res* 2011;1380:34–41.
438 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2010.11.031>.
- 439 [6] Vannucchi G, Masi G, Toni C, Dell’Osso L, Marazziti D, Perugi G. Clinical features, developmental
440 course, and psychiatric comorbidity of adult autism spectrum disorders. *CNS Spectr* 2014;19:157–64.
441 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1092852913000941>.
- 442 [7] Barlati S, Minelli A, Ceraso A, Nibbio G, Silva RC, Deste G, et al. Social cognition in a Research Domain
443 Criteria perspective: a bridge between schizophrenia and autism spectra disorders. *Front Psychiatry*
444 2020;11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2020.00806>.
- 445 [8] Bleuler E. *Dementia praecox or the group of schizophrenias*. Oxford, England: International
446 Universities Press; 1911.
- 447 [9] Lai M-C, Lombardo MV, Baron-Cohen S. Autism. *Lancet Lond Engl* 2014;383:896–910.
448 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(13\)61539-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61539-1).
- 449 [10] Pinkham AE, Morrison KE, Penn DL, Harvey PD, Kelsven S, Ludwig K, et al. Comprehensive comparison
450 of social cognitive performance in autism spectrum disorder and schizophrenia. *Psychol Med* 2019:1–
451 9. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291719002708>.
- 452 [11] Carroll LS, Owen MJ. Genetic overlap between autism, schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Genome*
453 *Med* 2009;1:102. <https://doi.org/10.1186/gm102>.
- 454 [12] de Lacy N, King BH. Revisiting the relationship between autism and schizophrenia: toward an
455 integrated neurobiology. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol* 2013;9:555–87. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050212-185627>.
- 457 [13] Eack SM, Bahorik AL, McKnight SAF, Hogarty SS, Greenwald DP, Newhill CE, et al. Commonalities in
458 social and non-social cognitive impairments in adults with autism spectrum disorder and
459 schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 2013;148:24–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2013.05.013>.
- 460 [14] Kushima I, Aleksic B, Nakatochi M, Shimamura T, Okada T, Uno Y, et al. Comparative Analyses of Copy-
461 Number Variation in Autism Spectrum Disorder and Schizophrenia Reveal Etiological Overlap and
462 Biological Insights. *Cell Rep* 2018;24:2838–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.08.022>.
- 463 [15] Rapoport J, Chavez A, Greenstein D, Addington A, Gogtay N. Autism-Spectrum Disorders and
464 Childhood Onset Schizophrenia: Clinical and Biological Contributions to a Relationship Revisited. *J Am*
465 *Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2009;48:10–8. <https://doi.org/10.1097/CHI.0b013e31818b1c63>.
- 466 [16] Crescenzo FD, Postorino V, Siracusano M, Riccioni A, Armando M, Curatolo P, et al. Autistic Symptoms
467 in Schizophrenia Spectrum Disorders: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Front Psychiatry*
468 2019;10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2019.00078>.
- 469 [17] Kincaid DL, Doris M, Shannon C, Mulholland C. What is the prevalence of autism spectrum disorder
470 and ASD traits in psychosis? A systematic review. *Psychiatry Res* 2017;250:99–105.
471 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2017.01.017>.
- 472 [18] Deste G, Vita A, Penn DL, Pinkham AE, Nibbio G, Harvey PD. Autistic symptoms predict social cognitive
473 performance in patients with schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 2019.
474 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2019.11.008>.
- 475 [19] Harvey PD, Deckler E, Jones MT, Jarskog LF, Penn DL, Pinkham AE. Autism symptoms, depression, and
476 active social avoidance in schizophrenia: Association with self-reports and informant assessments of
477 everyday functioning. *J Psychiatr Res* 2019;115:36–42.
478 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2019.05.010>.

- 479 [20] Barlati S, Deste G, Gregorelli M, Vita A. Autistic traits in a sample of adult patients with schizophrenia:
480 prevalence and correlates. *Psychol Med* 2019;49:140–8.
481 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291718000600>.
- 482 [21] Downs JM, Lechler S, Dean H, Sears N, Patel R, Shetty H, et al. The association between co-morbid
483 autism spectrum disorders and antipsychotic treatment failure in early-onset psychosis: a historical
484 cohort study using electronic health records. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2017;78:e1233–41.
485 <https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.16m11422>.
- 486 [22] Lord C, Rutter M, Goode S, Heemsbergen J, Jordan H, Mawhood L, et al. Autism diagnostic
487 observation schedule: a standardized observation of communicative and social behavior. *J Autism Dev*
488 *Disord* 1989;19:185–212. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02211841>.
- 489 [23] Lord C, Rutter M, Le Couteur A. Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised: a revised version of a diagnostic
490 interview for caregivers of individuals with possible pervasive developmental disorders. *J Autism Dev*
491 *Disord* 1994;24:659–85. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02172145>.
- 492 [24] Kästner A, Begemann M, Michel TM, Everts S, Stepniak B, Bach C, et al. Autism beyond diagnostic
493 categories: characterization of autistic phenotypes in schizophrenia. *BMC Psychiatry* 2015;15:115.
494 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-015-0494-x>.
- 495 [25] Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA. The positive and negative syndrome scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia.
496 *Schizophr Bull* 1987;13:261–76.
- 497 [26] Deste G, Barlati S, Gregorelli M, Lisoni J, Turrina C, Valsecchi P, et al. Looking through autistic features
498 in schizophrenia using the PANSS Autism Severity Score (PAUSS). *Psychiatry Res* 2018;270:764–8.
499 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2018.10.074>.
- 500 [27] Galderisi S, Rucci P, Kirkpatrick B, Mucci A, Gibertoni D, Rocca P, et al. Interplay Among
501 Psychopathologic Variables, Personal Resources, Context-Related Factors, and Real-life Functioning in
502 Individuals With Schizophrenia: A Network Analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2018;75:396–404.
503 <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.4607>.
- 504 [28] Galderisi S, Rossi A, Rocca P, Bertolino A, Mucci A, Bucci P, et al. The influence of illness-related
505 variables, personal resources and context-related factors on real-life functioning of people with
506 schizophrenia. *World Psychiatry Off J World Psychiatr Assoc WPA* 2014;13:275–87.
507 <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20167>.
- 508 [29] American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. Fourth
509 edition. Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR). American Psychiatric Association, Washington, DC.; 2000.
- 510 [30] First MB, Spitzer RL, Gibbon M, Williams JBW. *Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV® Axis I*
511 *Disorders (SCID-I)*, Research Version, Patient edition (SCID-I/P W). New York: Biometrics Research,
512 New York State Psychiatric Institute.; 2002.
- 513 [31] Nuechterlein KH, Green MF, Kern RS, Baade LE, Barch DM, Cohen JD, et al. The MATRICS Consensus
514 Cognitive Battery, part 1: test selection, reliability, and validity. *Am J Psychiatry* 2008;165:203–13.
515 <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2007.07010042>.
- 516 [32] Nuechterlein KH, Green MF. *MATRICES Consensus Cognitive Battery Manual*. National Institute of Mental
517 Health and University of California, Los Angeles, California; 2006.
- 518 [33] Mausbach BT, Harvey PD, Goldman SR, Jeste DV, Patterson TL. Development of a brief scale of
519 everyday functioning in persons with serious mental illness. *Schizophr Bull* 2007;33:1364–72.
520 <https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbm014>.
- 521 [34] Schneider LC, Struening EL. SLOF: a behavioral rating scale for assessing the mentally ill. *Soc Work Res*
522 *Abstr* 1983;19:9–21.
- 523 [35] Mucci A, Rucci P, Rocca P, Bucci P, Gibertoni D, Merlotti E, et al. The Specific Level of Functioning
524 Scale: construct validity, internal consistency and factor structure in a large Italian sample of people
525 with schizophrenia living in the community. *Schizophr Res* 2014;159:144–50.
526 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2014.07.044>.
- 527 [36] Chung YS, Barch D, Strube M. A meta-analysis of mentalizing impairments in adults with schizophrenia
528 and autism spectrum disorder. *Schizophr Bull* 2014;40:602–16.
529 <https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbt048>.

- 530 [37] Eack SM, Wojtalik JA, Keshavan MS, Minschew NJ. Social-cognitive brain function and connectivity
531 during visual perspective-taking in autism and schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res* 2017;183:102–9.
532 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2017.03.009>.
- 533 [38] Martinez G, Alexandre C, Mam-Lam-Fook C, Bendjemaa N, Gaillard R, Garel P, et al. Phenotypic
534 continuum between autism and schizophrenia: Evidence from the Movie for the Assessment of Social
535 Cognition (MASC). *Schizophr Res* 2017;185:161–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2017.01.012>.
- 536 [39] Ehrenreich H, Mitjans M, Van der Auwera S, Centeno TP, Begemann M, Grabe HJ, et al. OTTO: a new
537 strategy to extract mental disease-relevant combinations of GWAS hits from individuals. *Mol*
538 *Psychiatry* 2018;23:476–86. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2016.208>.
- 539 [40] Stepniak B, Kästner A, Poggi G, Mitjans M, Begemann M, Hartmann A, et al. Accumulated common
540 variants in the broader fragile X gene family modulate autistic phenotypes. *EMBO Mol Med*
541 2015;7:1565–79. <https://doi.org/10.15252/emmm.201505696>.
- 542 [41] Oliveira B, Mitjans M, Nitsche MA, Kuo M-F, Ehrenreich H. Excitation-inhibition dysbalance as
543 predictor of autistic phenotypes. *J Psychiatr Res* 2018;104:96–9.
544 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.06.004>.
- 545 [42] Parellada M, Pina-Camacho L, Moreno C, Aleman Y, Krebs M-O, Desco M, et al. Insular pathology in
546 young people with high-functioning autism and first-episode psychosis. *Psychol Med* 2017;47:2472–
547 82. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291717000988>.

548

549

550 †Appendix

551 Members of the Italian Network for Research on Psychoses involved in this study include: Anna Ceraso,
552 Alessandro Galluzzo, Jacopo Lisoni (University of Brescia); Piergiuseppe Di Palo, Marco Papalino, Raffaella
553 Romano (University of Bari); Federica Pinna, Alice Lai, Silvia Lostia di Santa Sofia (University of Cagliari);
554 Paola Bucci, Giuseppe Piegari, Francesco Brando, Luigi Giuliani (University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli",
555 Naples); Maria Salvina Signorelli, Laura Fusar Poli (University of Catania); Giovanni Martinotti, Mauro
556 Pettoruso, Chiara Montemitro (University of Chieti); Mario Altamura, Stefania Malerba, Flavia Padalino
557 (University of Foggia); Andrea Amerio, Pietro Calcagno, Domenico Zampogna (University of Genoa); Laura
558 Giusti, Anna Salza, Silvia Mammarella, Francesca Pacitti, Valentina Socci, Dalila Talevi (University of
559 L'Aquila); Carla Gramaglia, Alessandro Feggi, Amalia Jona (University of Eastern Piedmont, Novara); Angela
560 Favaro, Elena Tenconi, Paolo Meneguzzo (University of Padua); Paolo Ossola, Matteo Tonna, Maria Lidia
561 Gerra (University of Parma); Claudia Carmassi, Camilla Gesi, Barbara Carpita (University of Pisa); Giulio
562 Corrivetti, Giammarco Cascino, Gianfranco del Buono (Department of Mental Health, Salerno); Fabio Di
563 Fabio, Antonio Buzzanca, Nicoletta Girardi, Roberto Brugnoli, Anna Comparelli, Valentina Corigliano
564 (Sapienza University of Rome); Andrea Fagiolini, Simone Bolognesi, Arianna Goracci (University of Siena);
565 Giorgio Di Lorenzo, Cinzia Niolu, Michele Ribolsi (Tor Vergata University of Rome); Claudio Brasso, Cecilia
566 Riccardi, Elisa Del Favero (University of Turin).

567

568 **Table 1 – Characteristics of the Sample (N = 921)**

569

Variable	%, Mean±SD
Gender (% females)	30.40 571 572
Age (Years, mean ± SD)	40.17±10.71 573
Education (Years, mean ± SD)	11.61±3.43 574 575
Work (% employed)	29.23 576
Age at first psychotic episode (Years, mean ± SD)	24.02±7.19 577
Previous hospitalization (% yes)	68.39 578 579
Number of previous hospitalizations (Mean ± SD)	3.77± 4.33 580
Complete remission at first episode (% yes)	38.26 581
Suicide attempt, lifetime (% yes)	17.12 582 583
Previous alcohol abuse (% yes)	16.41 584
Previous substance abuse (% yes)	25.95 585 586

587

588 Table 2 – Group comparison for demographic and clinical variables

Variable	Non-Aut-S Mean±SD / %(n)	Moderate Mean±SD / %(n)	Aut-S Mean±SD / %(n)	ANOVA / Pearson χ^2 (p-value)	Non-Aut-S Vs Moderate (p-value)	Moderate Vs Aut-S (p-value)	Non-Aut-S Vs Aut-S (p-value)
Gender Male Female	62.50 (35) 37.50 (21)	69.26 (471) 30.74 (209)	72.97 (135) 27.03 (50)	0.307	0.891	0.969	0.396
Age (Years)	35.30±1.4 3	40.16±0.4 1	41.46±0.7 8	<0.001* *	0.003**	0.265	<0.001* *
Education (Years)	12.55±3.0 6	11.73±3.4 6	10.90±3.2 7	0.001**	0.249	0.010*	0.004**
Work Employed Unemployed	39.29 (22) 60.71 (34)	30.96 (203) 69.04 (453)	19.89 (36) 80.11 (145)	0.004**	0.600	0.009**	0.009**
Age at first psychotic episode (Years)	25.08±0.9 6	24.14±0.2 7	23.20±0.5 3	0.149	1.000	0.346	0.260
Previous hospitalization Yes No	51.78 (29) 48.22 (27)	69.59 (467) 30.41 (204)	69.06 (125) 30.94 (56)	0.022*	0.018*	1.000	0.054
Previous hospitalizations (Number)	2.75±3.63	3.85±4.40	3.75±4.21	0.381	0.497	1.000	0.726
Complete remission at first episode Yes No	65.45(36) 34.55(19)	38.66 (254) 61.34 (403)	27.71(46) 72.29 (120)	<0.001* *	<0.001**	0.024*	<0.001* *
Suicide attempt, lifetime Yes No	16.36 (9) 83.44 (46)	17.95 (121) 82.05 (553)	14.28 (26) 85.72 (156)	0.501	1.000	0.723	1.000
Previous alcohol abuse	19.64 (11)	17.01	13.18 (24)	0.371	1.000	0.684	0.699

Accepted manuscript: Authors' Copy

Yes	80.36 (45)	(115)	86.82				
No		82.99 (561)	(158)				
Previous substance abuse	28.57 (16)	27.21 (185)	20.54 (38)	0.167			
Yes	71.43 (40)	72.79	79.46 (147)		1.000	0.222	0.810
No		(495)					

589

590 Non-Aut-S: Non-autistic schizophrenia; Moderate: Moderate ASD symptoms; Aut-S: Autistic
591 schizophrenia.

592 Post-hoc comparisons include Bonferroni correction. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$

593

594 **Table 3 – Group comparison for cognitive measures**

Variable	Non-Aut-S Mean±SD	Moderate Mean±SD	Aut-S Mean±SD	ANCOV A (p- value)	Non-Aut- S Vs Moderat e (p-value)	Moderat e Vs Aut-S (p-value)	Non- Aut-S Vs Aut-S (p- value)
Processing Speed (t-score)	35.00±10.9 1	31.95±11.0 7	26.96±12.2 0	0.010*	1.000	0.010*	0.071
Attention (t-score)	40.70±13.9 0	37.67±11.0 4	33.06±10.7 6	0.011*	0.975	0.012*	0.057
Working Memory (t-score)	38.61±12.0 1	35.62±11.4 5	30.55±12.7 3	0.087	1.000	0.083	0.442
Verbal Memory (t-score)	39.70±11.4 2	35.44±11.7 4	31.94±12.8 8	0.035*	0.234	0.140	0.037*
Visual Memory (t-score)	35.54±14.7 8	32.83±14.4 2	28.69±15.0 4	0.590	1.000	0.914	1.000
Problem Solving (t-score)	39.91±9.29	38.26±10.2 5	34.95±9.18	0.088	1.000	0.086	0.409
Social Cognition (t-score)	36.69±7.17	32.47±6.98	31.50±7.36	0.001**	0.001**	1.000	0.002* *
Global Cognition (composite score)	31.47±13.1 6	26.93±11.4 3	21.46±12.3 1	0.010*	0.517	0.017*	0.028*

595

596 Non-Aut-S: “Non-autistic schizophrenia”; Moderate: “Moderate ASD symptoms”; Aut-S: “Autistic
597 schizophrenia”.

598 Raw scores for each variable are reported; all cognitive measures are corrected for gender, age, education;
599 all the analyses were covaried by non-autistic symptoms severity (PANSS-PAUSS).

600 Post-hoc comparisons include Bonferroni correction. * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01

601

602 Table 4 – Group comparison for functional measures

Variable	Non-Aut-S Mean±SD	Moderate Mean±SD	Aut-S Mean±SD	ANCOV A (p- value)	Non-Aut- S Vs Moderat e (p-value)	Moderat e Vs Aut-S (p-value)	Non- Aut-S Vs Aut-S (p- value)
UPSA-B (Functional capacity)	80.12±14.7 7	69.52±21.2 2	57.49±22.2 2	0.004*	0.577	0.006**	0.021*
SLOF: Physical Functioning (Real-world physical efficiency)	24.61±0.80	24.28±1.23	23.99±1.77	0.938	1.000	1.000	1.000
SLOF: Personal Care (Real-world self-care skills)	33.86±2.19	32.08±3.56	29.58±5.20	0.056	1.000	0.049	0.517
SLOF: Interpersonal Relationships (Real-world interpersonal skills)	27.60±6.24	22.83±5.59	18.85±5.93	< 0.001**	< 0.001**	< 0.001**	< 0.001* *
SLOF: Social Acceptability (Real-world social acceptability)	33.16±3.06	32.57±3.21	31.86±3.45	0.019*	0.312	0.050	0.028*
SLOF: Activities (Participation in community activities)	51.45±5.00	46.93±7.48	40.48±10.3 8	<0.001*	1.000	<0.001**	0.013*
SLOF: Work (Real-world working skills)	23.98±5.59	20.39±6.05	17.33±5.87	0.776	1.000	1.000	1.000

603

604 UPSA-B: UCSD Performance-Based Skills Assessment-Brief version; SLOF: Specific Level Of
605 Functioning scale.

606 Non-Aut-S: "Non-autistic schizophrenia"; Moderate: "Moderate ASD symptoms"; Aut-S: "Autistic
607 schizophrenia".

608 Raw scores for each variable are reported; all the analyses were covaried by age, education and non-
609 autistic symptoms severity (PANSS-PAUSS).

610 Post-hoc comparisons include Bonferroni correction. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$

611