The prevalence of pancreatic cystic lesions in patients with liver cirrhosis is double that in controls

Giulia Zerboni¹, Gabriele Capurso¹, Marco Di Pietropaolo², Francesco Carbonetti², Elsa Iannicelli², Massimo Marignani¹ and Gianfranco Delle Fave¹

Abstract

Background and aims: Pancreatic cystic lesions (PCLs) are commonly diagnosed incidentally and are often preneoplastic. Their presence may influence the management of patients with chronic diseases such as liver cirrhosis (LC). This study evaluated the prevalence and nature of PCLs in LC patients.

Methods: The images of 192 LC patients and 192 controls who underwent either computed tomography scan or nuclear magnetic resonance were reviewed for the presence and nature of PCLs. The prevalence of PCLs in both groups and differences between LC patients with and without PCLs were analysed. Multiple logistic regression analysis was used to investigate factors associated with PCLs.

Results: Thirty-five of 192 LC patients (18%) and 19/192 controls (10%) had PCLs (p = .027). The prevalence of presumptive intraductal pancreatic mucinous neoplasm (IPMN) was double in LC patients compared with controls (14% vs 7%; p = .065). In multivariate analysis, age, LC and having undergone a magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography were factors associated with PCLs and IPMNs. LC patients with PCLs were older at the time of imaging and had a longer history of liver disease (67 vs. 43 months; p = 0.039) compared with LC patients without PCLs.

Conclusions: PCLs are more common in LC patients than in controls, and most are IPMNs. The occurrence of PCLs in LC patients seems to be related to age and disease duration.

Keywords

Liver cirrhosis, pancreatic cystic lesions, radiological imaging, occasional, prevalence

Received: 2 December 2016; accepted: 26 January 2017

Introduction

The prevalence of incidental pancreatic cystic lesions (PCLs) in the adult population is high, ranging from 2.6% to 19.6%.¹ Moreover, the prevalence, size and number of PCLs increase with age, with an impressive figure in autopsy series, from 8% below 70 years of age to 35% in individuals aged >90 years.^{2–5} In clinical practice, most PCLs are detected incidentally, when cross-sectional abdominal imaging studies are performed for unrelated indications.

The management of patients with PCLs varies depending on their nature, and it is therefore important to characterize cystic pancreatic neoplasms (CPNs) and to distinguish them from pancreatic pseudocysts (PP). Although different histologic types of CPNs have been reported, serous cystadenomas, mucinous cystic neoplasms and intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMNs) represent the vast majority.⁶ Serous cystadenomas are benign neoplasms that do not require surgical excision in asymptomatic patients, whereas most

Corresponding author:

United European Gastroenterology Journal 2017, Vol. 5(7) 1007–1014 © Author(s) 2017 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/2050640617694576 journals.sagepub.com/home/ueg





¹Digestive and Liver Disease Unit, Sant'Andrea Hospital, Faculty of Medicine and Psychology, 'Sapienza' University of Rome, Rome, Italy ²Radiology Unit, Sant'Andrea Hospital, Faculty of Medicine and Psychology,

^{&#}x27;Sapienza' University of Rome, Rome, Italy

Gabriele Capurso, Digestive and Liver Disease Unit, Sant'Andrea Hospital, Faculty of Medicine and Psychology, 'Sapienza' University of Rome, Via di Grottarossa 1035, Rome 00189, Italy. Email: gabriele.capurso@gmail.com

mucin-producing lesions, such as IPMNs and mucinous cystic neoplasms, have malignant potential and warrant surveillance or surgery.^{7–9}

The diagnosis of CPNs in patients with other serious disorders could result in difficult therapeutic choices. Interestingly, the impact of pancreatic comorbidities has been poorly evaluated in patients with chronic liver disease, such as liver cirrhosis (LC). Some studies have focused on IPMNs in liver transplant patients, and reported that in this particular subset of immuno-suppressed patients IPMNs do not have a more aggressive behaviour.^{10,11}

However, as cirrhotic patients may be evaluated for many treatments depending on their prognosis and comorbidities, the presence of CPNs, and the related increased risk of developing pancreatic cancer over the entire gland,¹² might have an impact on their management. Moreover, there is some evidence that aetiological factors for LC, such as hepatitis B and C viruses (HBV, HCV), alcohol abuse and metabolic syndrome, might be associated with an increased risk of pancreatic cancer.^{13–15}

However, whether patients with LC have an increased risk of harbouring preneoplastic pancreatic lesions is unknown. We therefore aimed to evaluate the prevalence and the nature of PCLs in patients affected by LC, and possible features associated with their occurrence.

Materials and methods

Study design and population

This was a retrospective case–control study. Cases of LC were selected among those prospectively enrolled in a dedicated database. The inclusion criteria for the case group were a diagnosis of LC and having undergone at least one of the following abdominal imaging procedures: contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT), contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) at our Radiology Department, between 2006 and 2015, for any indication.

LC was diagnosed either by histology or by biochemical and radiological findings.¹⁶

A previous diagnosis of pancreatic disorders leading to the radiological investigation was an exclusion criterion for the case group.

The control group consisted of sex- and age (± 5 years)-matched inpatients admitted to the hospital for different acute conditions, who underwent one of the abovementioned radiological procedures for liver- and pancreas-unrelated indications. Exclusion criteria for the control group were a previous diagnosis of liver or pancreatic disorders or of gastrointestinal

neoplasms. While data on the aetiology of LC were available for all patients, data on alcohol and tobacco use were not available for controls, who were not matched for such variables.

All patients gave written informed consent. The study received approval from the local Hospital Review Board.

Radiological procedures

Computed tomography (CT). CT scans were performed with a multidetector CT (MDCT) machine (16 slices) (General Electrics (GE) LightSpeed). The following technical parameters were used: 120 kV, mAs 120–180, slice thickness 3 mm and pitch 1. Examinations were performed before and after intravenous administration (through the placement of needle 16-18 G into a vein of the right arm) of 120–150 ml (2 ml/kg) of noniodinated contrast medium at a speed of 3–3.5 ml/s. Dynamic study after contrast medium consisted of an arterial (30 s later) and a portal phase (70 s later). Once the images were obtained, multi-planar reconstructions (MPR) and 3D volume rendering (VR) were performed when necessary.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (MR). MR examination was carried out with 1.5 Tesla equipment with a foursingle phased array coil positioned on the patient's upper abdomen. The MR protocol involved the use of T1-weighted gradient echo (GRE) sequences with in-phase and out-of-phase echotime, T1-weighted fatsuppressed GRE sequences, and T2- weighted half-Fourier single-shot turbo spin-echo (HASTETSE). The dynamic study was performed during administration of 0.1 mmol/kg body weight of gadolinium chelates with a four-phase technique: precontrast, pancreatic phase (30-40 s), portal venous phase (80 s) and delayed phase (180s). The dynamic study used a T1-weighted 3D GRE volumetric interpolated breath-hold examination (VIBE) sequence with chemically selective fat saturation in the axial plane.

Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP). MRCP was performed using a 2D single-slab rapid acquisition in coronal planes and a 3D HASTE sequence with respiratory triggering in a coronal oblique plane depending on the course of the main pancreatic duct as visualized on a 2D sequence acquired in the axial plane. The 3D HASTE source images were analysed and subsequently processed with thin Maximumintensity projection (MIP) algorithm and MPR.

The CT or MRI images were reviewed independently by two radiologists (M.D.P. and F.C.) on a picture archiving and communication system workstation. The radiologists were blinded to the clinical features (either LC or controls) and to the results of other possible examinations, such as ultrasonography or endoscopic ultrasound, if performed. A template was designed to record the cyst morphology details according to standard radiological criteria.¹⁷ Each reader recorded the number, size and location of each cyst, 'worrisome features' (such as cyst of 3 cm, thickened enhanced cyst walls, non-enhanced mural nodules, main pancreatic duct size of 5-9 mm, abrupt change in the main pancreatic duct with distal pancreatic atrophy and lymphadenopathy) and 'high risk stigmata' (such as enhanced solid component and MPD size of 10 mm),^{7,18} if present. In patients with multiple cysts, data were recorded separately for each cyst. For every diagnosed PCL, the more likely diagnosis was attributed according to the radiological characteristics. In case of disagreement, the radiological features were discussed with a third senior radiologist (E.I.), and a final diagnosis was made for each case.

Clinical features of patients with liver cirrhosis

All demographic and clinical characteristics of LC patients were recorded in a database, including the aetiology, the severity of liver dysfunction expressed through Child–Pugh score,¹⁹ the possible diagnosis of hepatocarcinoma (HCC), the age at LC diagnosis, the age at time of radiological imaging, and the time interval between the diagnosis of LC and the radiological imaging.

Statistics

Differences between LC and controls in terms of prevalence of PCLs, and between LC patients with and without PCLs, were analysed. The Fisher test was used for comparison of proportions for categorical variables and Student's *t*-test for continuous variables. Multiple logistic regression analysis was employed to investigate factors associated with the diagnosis of PCLs. Tests of statistical significance and confidence intervals were two-sided; a *p* value <.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Dedicated software (MedCalc, Mariakerke, Belgium) was used throughout the study.

Results

General features of liver cirrhosis patients and controls

One hundred and ninety-two LC patients fulfilled the defined inclusion criteria from an initial database of 368 patients. These LC patients were compared with 192 matched controls. Cases and controls did not differ in terms of sex distribution and age (in both groups 118 were male, p = 1; mean age 68 years). In particular, the most common causes of LC were HCV infection and alcohol abuse (39% and 34%, respectively); most patients had preserved hepatic function (Child A5 to B7 in 86% of patients), and fewer than half were affected by HCC (see Table 1).

 Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of liver cirrhosis patients and controls.

Characteristics	Liver cirrhosis patients (n = 192) Number (%)	Controls (n = 192) Number (%)	p value
Sex (male)	118 (61%)	118 (61%)	1
	68 years (66-69.4)	68 years (66.3-69.9)	.75
Mean age (95% CI)		· · · ·	.75
Mean length of liver disease (95% CI)	47 months (38.7–56.1)	Na	-
Aetiology			
Alcoholic	66 (34%)	Na	-
HBV infection	25 (12%)	Na	-
HCV infection	74 (39%)	Na	-
Cryptogenic	35 (18%)	Na	-
Others	16 (8%)	Na	-
Liver function (Child-Pugh score)			
Child-Pugh A5-A6	88 (46%)	Na	-
Child-Pugh B7-B9	76 (40%)	Na	-
$Child-Pugh \ge C10$	28 (15%)	Na	-
Hepatocarcinoma	78 (41%)	Na	-

HBV: hepatitis B virus; HCV: hepatitis C virus; Na: not applicable.

Prevalence of PCL in LC patients and controls

The overall prevalence of PCLs was about two times higher in LC than in controls (see Table 2). In particular, 35 of 192 cirrhotics (18%) and 19 of 192 controls (10%) had a diagnosis of PCL (p = .027). The prevalence of cystic lesions diagnosed as presumptive IPMNs was also double in LC patients (26 of 192, 14%) as compared with controls (14 of 192, 7%); however, this difference just missed statistical significance (p = .065). The rate of PPs diagnosed in LC cases compared with controls was higher but not statistically significant (4% vs.1%, p = .17).

As a significant portion (78/192, 41%) of the LC patients also had a diagnosis of HCC, and as it has been reported that IPMNs are often associated with other tumours, we compared the rate of diagnosed IPMNs in LC patients with or without HCC with that of controls. A diagnosis of presumptive IPMN was made in 11/78 (14%) HCC patients, 15/114 (13%) LC patients without HCC and 14/192 (7%) of controls. Thus, the diagnosis of HCC did not seem to be associated with a higher risk of IPMN.

Many of these PCLs were not reported in the original radiological report and were described after reevaluation for this study. The finding was described in the initial report for only 21 of the 35 (60%) LC patients and 9 of the 19 (47%) controls with a final diagnosis of PCLs.

Table 2. Prevalence and type of pancreatic cystic lesions in livercirrhosis patients (cases) and controls.

	Case	Cases (<i>n</i> = 192)			Controls ($n = 192$)		
	Yes	No	%	Yes	No	%	p value
Overall PCLs	35	157	18	19	173	10	.027
IPMNs	26	166	14	14	178	7	.065
Pseudocysts	7	185	4	2	190	1	.17
Other or doubtful	2	190	1	3	189	2	1

IPMNs: intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms; PCLs: pancreatic cystic lesions. Bold indicates statistical significance

PCLs were diagnosed by CT scan in 11% of cases and 7% of controls, respectively, by MR in 10% of cases and 3% of controls, and by MRCP in 36% of cases and 23% of controls. There was no significant difference in the percentage of patients receiving MRCP in the two groups (12% in LC cases vs. 18% in controls; p = .08).

All the 40 patients (26 LC and 14 controls) with presumptive IPMN were diagnosed incidentally, without any specific symptoms, and all the lesions were of the branch-duct type (BD-IPMN), without high risk stigmata or worrisome features.^{7,18} The main diameter of BD-IPMNs was 10 mm in LC patients and 8.2 mm in controls. However, 38% of the LC patients and 7% of controls had BD-IPMN with cystic diameter between 10 and 20 mm (p=.06). The only two lesions with a diameter >20 mm were diagnosed in the control group. There were also no differences among IPMNs diagnosed in both groups in terms of focality (rate of multifocality 46% vs. 57%; p=.74), main duct diameter and localization (data not shown).

All LC patients with pancreatic lesions suspected of being PP (7 of 192 patients, 4%) were asymptomatic and without a history of acute pancreatitis. Interestingly, the diagnosis of PP was not associated with alcoholic cirrhosis (only two of seven LC patients with PP had an alcohol-related liver disease). Similarly, the two patients in the control group with radiological finding of PP did not have a history of previous acute pancreatitis or known pancreatic disorders.

Factors associated with the diagnosis of CPLs in cases and controls

In order to investigate the factors associated with the incidental diagnosis of PCLs, we performed a logistic regression analysis on all the 384 patients for whom the diagnosis of PCL was the outcome, and the diagnosis of LC, demographic features and the imaging procedure performed were considered as explanatory variables (see Table 3). In the multivariate analysis, the diagnosis of LC (odds ratio (OR) = 2.46, 95% confidence interval

Table 3.	Factors associated	with the diag	nosis of	pancreatic	cvstic le	sions at the	logistic r	regression	analvsis.

	Univariate	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis		
	OR	95% CI	p value	OR	95% CI	p value	
Gender (male)	0.58	0.32-1.03	.064	-	-	-	
Age at imaging	1.04	1.01-1.07	.003	1.05	1.02-1.08	.0019	
Liver cirrhosis	2.03	1.12-3.69	.02	2.46	1.31-4.63	.0053	
MRCP	3.33	1.72-6.46	.0004	4.01	1.98-8.09	.0001	

MRCP: magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography. Bold indicates statistical significance.

	Univariate	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis			
	OR	95% CI	p value	OR	95% CI	p value		
Gender (male)	0.66	0.34-1.28	.22	-	-	-		
Age at imaging	1.02	0.99-1.05	.11	-	-	-		
Liver cirrhosis	1.99	1.01-3.94	.048	2.52	1.22-5.20	0.13		
MRCP	4.93	2.42-10.03	<.0001	5.83	2.78-12.25	<.0001		

Table 4. Factors associated with the diagnosis of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms in the logistic regression analysis.

MRCP = magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography. Bold indicates statistical significance.

 Table 5. Comparison of demographic and clinical characteristics between liver cirrhosis patients with and without pancreatic cystic lesions.

	LC patients with PCLs (35)			LC patient	LC patients without PCLs (157)		
	Yes	No	%	Yes	No	%	p value
Gender (male %)	18	17	51%	100	57	64%	.18
Mean age at LC diagnosis (95% CI)		67 years (63-70.4)			63 years (61.2-64.9		.09
Mean age at imaging (95% CI)		72 years (69.1-75.5			67 years (64.7-68.6		.012
Disease duration (95% CI)		67 month (38.6-94.9			43 month (34.4-51.8	-	.039
Aetiology							
Alcohol related	7	28	20%	59	98	38%	.05
HCV infection	17	18	49%	57	100	36%	.18
HBV infection	4	31	11%	19	138	12%	1
Cryptogenic	6	29	17%	29	128	18%	1
Liver function							
Child-Pugh A	16	19	46%	72	85	46%	1
Child-Pugh B	13	22	37%	63	94	40%	.85
Child-Pugh C	6	29	17%	22	135	14%	.60
Hepatocarcinoma	16	19	46%	62	95	39%	.57

HBV: hepatitis B virus; HCV: hepatitis C virus; LC: liver cirrhosis; PCLs: pancreatic cystic lesions. Bold indicates statistical significance.

(CI) 1.31–4.63, p = .0053), age at the time of the imaging procedure (OR = 1.05 per increasing year, 95% CI 1.02–1.08, p = .0019) and having undergone an MRCP (OR = 4.01, 95% CI 1.98–8.09, p = .0001) were all factors associated with the diagnosis of PCLs. Similarly, a diagnosis of LC (OR 2.52, 95% CI 1.22–5.20, p = .013) and having performed an MRCP procedure (OR 5.83, 95% CI 2.78–12.25, p < .0001) were independent factors significantly associated with the diagnosis of IPMN (see Table 4). On the other hand, the diagnosis of PP was not associated with any of the considered variables.

Differences between patients with LC with and without associated PCLs

We then compared the main clinical features of the 35 LC patients with a diagnosis of PCLs and of the 157

without (see Table 5), and of those with or without diagnosis of IPMN. There were no differences regarding sex distribution, the aetiology of cirrhosis, the rate of HCC or Child-Pugh score between LC patients with and without PCLs. The age at diagnosis of LC was slightly higher in patients with PCLs (67 vs. 63 years old), albeit this was not statistically significant (p = .09). However, the mean age at the time of imaging procedure was significantly higher in LC patients with PCLs compared with those without (72 years old vs. 67 years old; p = .012), and the mean time between the diagnosis of LC and the time of imaging was significantly longer in patients with a PCL (67 months vs. 43 months; p = .039), suggesting that patients with a longer history of chronic liver disease were more likely to harbour PCLs. As regards the aetiology of LC, an alcoholrelated LC was more frequent in patients without PCLs (20% vs 38%; p = .05), while there were no significant differences for the other aetiologies (HBV, HCV infection and cryptogenic).

No characteristics of LC patients were associated with the diagnosis of IPMN.

Discussion

Patients with LC have a relatively long disease history, and during this course of time they might undergo multiple treatments, such as those for viral hepatitis and HCC, and eventually liver transplantation. The diagnosis of a preneoplastic pancreatic lesion might thus modify their treatment plan. Furthermore, some risk factors for LC, such as HBV and HCV infection and alcohol abuse, might also be associated with the occurrence of pancreatic cancer and of IPMNs.^{13,14,20} However, there have been no previous studies investigating the prevalence and characteristics of PCLs in LC patients.

In the present study, 18% of patients with LC had PCLs, and in 74.3% of these cases the lesions were categorized as presumptive IPMN, a lesion that has a potential malignant behaviour and requires treatment or follow-up.^{7,8,9,18} This rate was higher than that observed in a matched control group (p = .027), which instead showed a prevalence in keeping with those recently reported in the general population.²¹

A number of population studies have investigated the rate of PCLs both in asymptomatic subjects and in liver transplanted patients. The former^{3,4,22,23} are generally represented by retrospective database studies, showing a high heterogeneity in the prevalence of PCLs due to different radiological examinations and study design. In those studies, the prevalence of PCLs ranged from 4.2% to 44.7%. An interesting study analysed the entire population of a small geographical area (San Marino) and detected the true prevalence of PCLs in the general population, which was 5.4% and increased to 13% in individuals older than 80 years.²³ However, that study employed only CT scan, which is known to be less sensitive than MRI in diagnosing PCL.²⁴ A few studies investigated the prevalence of PCLs in transplanted patients^{10,11,25,26} with a rate ranging from 6.7% to 60%.

In the present study, the prevalence of IPMNs was higher in LC patients compared with controls (14% vs. 7%, respectively), although this difference was only close to statistical significance (p = .065), probably due to the relatively low absolute number of subjects with IPMN in the two groups. Only 20% of the PCLs were instead shown to be PP according to their radiological characteristics.

Additionally, the multivariate analysis showed that LC was an independent factor associated with the

diagnosis of presumptive IPMN (OR 2.4, 95% CI 1.01–5.24, p=.028). These findings suggest for the first time that LC patients might be at increased risk of developing PCLs and thus, potentially, pancreatic cancer.

Notably, while the ages of the LC and the control group did not differ significantly, in the present study, older age was associated with an increased prevalence of PCLs. In the comparative analysis between LC patients with and without PCLs, those with PCL were significantly older at the time of the imaging procedure, but not at the time of LC diagnosis, and had a longer history of cirrhosis.

Although the present results should be considered with caution, as they were obtained in a retrospective setting and are possibly prone to bias, they might suggest that a long-standing liver disease, characterized by a state of chronic systemic inflammation, is related to an increased proliferation of the pancreatic ductal epithelium, leading to the development of IPMNs. Indeed there is evidence that in LC a high concentration of pro-inflammatory cytokines occurs, including interleukin (IL)1 α , IL6 and hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), tumour necrosis factor (TNF) α and transforming growth factor (TGF) β .^{27–29} Notably, in a study investigating the expression of inflammatory proteins in pancreatic cystic fluid during Endoscopic Ultrasonography (EUS), an increased concentration of HGF in PCLs was shown.³⁰

Furthermore, having undergone an MRCP was associated with a higher risk of being diagnosed with a PCL. This latter finding was expected, as MRCP has a high sensitivity to investigate the pancreatic ductal system and to diagnose PCLs, but as the rate of LC patients and controls undergoing MRCP was not different, this does not cause any bias.

In order to investigate the association between LC and both PCLs and IPMNs, we compared the features of LC patients with and without a diagnosis of PCLs or IPMNs. The aetiology of cirrhosis and its severity, or the presence of HCC, were not related to the diagnosis of PCLs or IPMNs. In particular, the rate of HCC in our LC population was relatively high despite a relatively low Child–Pugh score. This could be due to the selection of a population of LC patients for whom a second-level radiological examination (CT scan and/or MRI) was deemed necessary, or possibly to an underestimation of disease duration. However, the rate of IPMN was not higher in patients with LC and HCC.

Our results are in accordance with a previous study conducted on a selected group of LC patients undergoing liver transplantation,¹⁰ in which the presence of PCLs and IPMN was retrospectively investigated. Both clinical characteristics (such as diabetes and insulin use, body mass index (BMI) and smoking) and cirrhosis-related characteristics (aetiology and HCC) were analysed, without finding any significant correlation with the occurrence of PCLs.

Nevertheless, our study population is possibly more representative of LC, as it is not limited to a selected group of subjects screened for liver transplantation.

Whether the presence of PCLs in LC patients could alter their clinical course, especially in those who are candidates for liver transplantation and immunosuppressive therapy, is uncertain. Two recent studies compared the results of follow-up of patients with IPMN who were and who were not treated with immunosuppressive drugs,^{11,26} without reporting a worse outcome in patients on immunosuppression.

In the present study, all the presumptive IPMNs detected were small BD lesions, either unifocal or multifocal, equally distributed in the pancreatic gland, and none of them showed signs suggestive of potential malignant evolution. Therefore, there is no evidence suggesting a more aggressive behaviour of IPMNs in LC patients, and the clinical significance of these lesions seems rather small, although our data are limited to the time of diagnosis and a long-term follow-up study could be of interest.

A surprising finding of the study is the lack of association between the diagnosis of probable pseudocysts and any history of pancreatitis or alcohol abuse. Indeed, only seven of nine patients with LC and pseudocysts had an alcoholic aetiology. This might possibly be due to the classification as viral of some LC patients with a previous exposure to alcohol abuse.

It is interesting to note that PCLs were not described in a significant number of the original radiological reports, and these lesions were often identified only after the review of the images. It is well known that a non-negligible proportion of PCLs, especially those with small diameters, are not described in imaging reports in patients without a past history of pancreatic disease. In a previous study, 69% of cystic lesions with a mean diameter of 6 mm were not reported in the original report.⁴ In our experience, PCLs with a diameter <10 mm were not described in 40% of LC patients and in 53% of controls in the first radiological report.

The strengths of this study include its original hypothesis, the analysis of a well-characterized LC cohort and the inclusion of a control group without pancreatic or liver disorders, both of which underwent at least one radiological examination at the same radiological unit, with review of the findings by two dedicated radiologists.

The main limitation concerns the retrospective design and lack of information about factors, such as smoking, BMI, diabetes and family history of pancreatic cancer, that might also influence the occurrence of PCLs. Furthermore, as the diagnosis of PCL type (i.e. IPMN or other) was only obtained radiologically, a misdiagnosis cannot be excluded, and the diagnoses should be considered presumptive. However, this is the case for the vast majority of PCLs in clinical practice; thus, the present information can be considered useful in the real-life setting.

In conclusion, the present study suggests that PCLs are more common in LC patients than in matched controls, and that most of these lesions are presumptive IPMNs. The occurrence of PCLs in LC patients seems to be related to age and disease duration. The mechanisms for the observed findings are unclear and deserve further study, although a role for a pro-inflammatory milieu or the direct influence of aetiological factors for LC might be hypothesized. Given the higher accuracy of MRCP in diagnosing PCLs, future prospective studies should employ this method in all patients and controls.

Acknowledgements

None.

References

- Bosman FT, Carneiro F, Hruban RH and Theise ND. WHO classification of tumors of the digestive system, 4th ed. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2010.
- Kimura W, Nagai H, Kuroda A, et al. Analysis of small cystic lesions of the pancreas. *Int J Pancreatol* 1995; 18: 197–206.
- Laffan TA, Horton KM, Klein AP, et al. Prevalence of unsuspected pancreatic cysts on MDCT. *Am J Roentgenol* 2008; 191: 802–807.
- Lee KS, Sekhar A, Rofsky NM and Pedrosa I. Prevalence of incidental pancreatic cysts in the adult population on MR imaging. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2010; 105: 2079–2084.
- Zhang XM, Mitchell DG, Dohke M, et al. Pancreatic cysts: depiction on single-shot fast spin-echo MR images. *Radiology* 2002; 223: 547–553.
- Brugge WR, Lauwers GY, Sahani D, Fernandez-del Castillo C and Warshaw AL. Cystic neoplasms of the pancreas. N Engl J Med 2004; 351: 1218–1226.
- Tanaka M, Fernández-del Castillo C, Adsay V, et al. International consensus guidelines 2012 for the management of IPMN and MCN of the pancreas. See comment in PubMed Commons below. *Pancreatology* 2012; 12: 183–197.
- Del Chiaro M, Verbeke C, Salvia R, et al. European Study Group on Cystic Tumours of the Pancreas. European experts consensus statement on cystic tumours of the pancreas. *Dig Liver Dis* 2013; 45: 703–711.
- Vege SS, Ziring B, Jain R and Moayyedi P. American gastroenterological association institute guideline on the diagnosis and management of asymptomatic neoplastic pancreatic cysts. *Gastroenterology* 2015; 148: 819–822.
- 10. Darstein F, König C, Hoppe-Lotichius M, et al. Impact of pancreatic comorbidities in patients with end-stage

liver disease on outcome after liver transplantation. *Eur J Intern Med* 2014; 25: 281–285.

- 11. Lennon AM, Victor D, Zaheer A, et al. Liver transplant patients have a similar risk of progression as sporadic patients with branch duct intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms. *Liver Transpl* 2014; 20: 1462–1467.
- Matsubara S, Tada M, Akahane M, et al. Incidental pancreatic cysts found by magnetic resonance imaging and their relationship with pancreatic cancer. See comment in PubMed Commons below. *Pancreas* 2012; 41: 1241–1246.
- 13. Huang J, Magnusson M, Törner A, Ye W and Duberg AS. Risk of pancreatic cancer among individuals with hepatitis C or hepatitis B virus infection: a nationwide study in Sweden. See comment in PubMed Commons below Br J Cancer 2013; 109: 2917–2923.
- 14. Fiorino S, Chili E, Bacchi-Reggiani L, et al. Association between hepatitis B or hepatitis C virus infection and risk of pancreatic adenocarcinoma development: a systematic review and meta-analysis. See comment in PubMed Commons below. *Pancreatology* 2013; 13: 147–160.
- Ye W, Lagergren J, Weiderpass E, Nyrén O, Adami HO and Ekbom A. Alcohol abuse and the risk of pancreatic cancer. *Gut* 2002; 51: 236–239.
- Kim MY, Jeong WK and Baik SK. Invasive and noninvasive diagnosis of cirrhosis and portal hypertension. *World J Gastroenterol* 2014; 20: 4300–4315.
- Buerke B, Domagk D, Heindel W and Wessling J. Diagnostic and radiological management of cystic pancreatic lesions: important features for radiologists. *Clin Radiol* 2012; 67: 727–737.
- Buscarini E, Pezzilli R, Cannizzaro R, et al. Italian consensus guidelines for the diagnostic work-up and followup of cystic pancreatic neoplasms. *Dig Liver Dis* 2014; 46: 479–493.
- Infante-Rivard C, Esnaola S and Villeneuve JP. Clinical and statistical validity of conventional prognostic factors in predicting short-term survival among cirrhotics. *Hepatology* 1987; 7: 660–664.
- Capurso G, Boccia S, Salvia R, et al. Risk factors for intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm (IPMN) of the pancreas: a multicentre case-control study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2013; 108: 1003–1009.
- 21. Say MS, Teagarden S, Settles D, et al. Prospective crosssectional study of the prevalence of incidental pancreatic

cysts during routine outpatient endoscopic ultrasound. *Pancreas* 2015; 44: 1130–1133.

- Moris M, Bridges MD, Pooley RA, et al. Association between advances in high-resolution cross-section imaging technologies and increase in prevalence of pancreatic cysts from 2005 to 2014. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016; 14: 585–593.
- 23. Zanini N, Giordano M, Smerieri E, et al. Estimation of the prevalence of asymptomatic pancreatic cysts in the population of San Marino. *Pancreatology* 2015; 15: 417–422.
- Pinho DF, Rofsky NM and Pedrosa I. Incidental pancreatic cysts: role of magnetic resonance imaging. *Top Magn Reson Imaging* 2014; 23: 117–128.
- Girometti R, Intini SG, Cereser L, et al. Incidental pancreatic cysts: a frequent finding in liver-transplanted patients as assessed by 3D T2-weighted turbo spin echo magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography. *JOP* 2009; 10: 507–514.
- Dorfman V, Verna EC, Poneros JM, et al. Progression of incidental intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas in liver transplant recipients. *Pancreas* 2016; 45: 620–625.
- Prystupa A, Kicinski P, Sak J, Boguszewska-Czubara A, Torùn-Jurkowska A and Zahuska W. Pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-1α, IL-6) and hepatocyte growth factor in patients with alcoholic liver cirrhosis. *Gastroenterol Res Pract* 2015; 2015: 532615.
- Porowski D, Wirkowska A, Hryniewiecka E, Wyzgal J, Pacholczyk M and Paczek L. Liver failure impairs the intrahepatic elimination of interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor-α, hepatocyte growth factor and transforming growth factor-beta. *Biomed Res Int* 2015; 2015: 934065.
- du Plessis J, van Pelt J, Korf H, et al. Association of adipose tissue inflammation with histologic severity of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology* 2015; 149: 635–648.
- Lee LS, Banks PA, Bellizzi AM, et al. Inflammatory protein profiling of pancreatic cyst fluid using EUS-FNA in tandem with cytokine microarray differentiates between branch duct IPMN and inflammatory cysts. *J Immunol Methods* 2012; 382: 142–149.