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#### Abstract

We first study the so-called Heat equation with two families of elliptic operators which are fully nonlinear, and depend on some eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix. The equation with operators including the "large" eigenvalues has strong similarities with a Heat equation in lower dimension whereas, surprisingly, for operators including "small" eigenvalues it shares some properties with some transport equations. In particular, for these operators, the Heat equation (which is nonlinear) not only does not have the property that "disturbances propagate with infinite speed" but may lead to quenching in finite time. Last, based on our analysis of the Heat equations (for which we provide a large variety


[^0]of special solutions) for these operators, we inquire on the associated Fujita blow-up phenomena.
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AMS Subject Classifications: 35K05 (Heat equation), 35K65 (Degenerate parabolic equations), 35L02 (First-order hyperbolic equations), 35C06 (Self similar solutions), 35D40 (Viscosity solutions).

## 1 Introduction

Let $N \geq 2$ be given. For $u: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, say of the class $C^{2}$, we denote by

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(D^{2} u\right) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{N}\left(D^{2} u\right)
$$

the eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix $D^{2} u$. For $1 \leq k<N$ we consider the fully nonlinear elliptic operators given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-} u:=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}\left(D^{2} u\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{+} u:=\sum_{i=N-k+1}^{N} \lambda_{i}\left(D^{2} u\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the case $k=N$ leads to the linear situation $\mathcal{P}_{N}^{-} u=\mathcal{P}_{N}^{+} u=\Delta u$, hence we will always suppose that $k<N$.

Our first main goal is to understand the Heat equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u=\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-} u \quad \text { in }(0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u=\mathcal{P}_{k}^{+} u \quad \text { in }(0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with the associated Cauchy problems. As revealed below by our analysis, naming (3) a Heat equation is controversial but, for the moment, we adopt this denomination.

Our second main goal is to analyze the Fujita blow-up phenomena [13], [26], [21], [2], for the Cauchy problems associated with equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u=\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-} u+u^{1+p} \quad \text { in }(0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u=\mathcal{P}_{k}^{+} u+u^{1+p} \quad \text { in }(0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p>0$.
Let us mention that these highly degenerate elliptic operators have been introduced in the context of differential geometry, by Wu [27] and Sha [24, in order to solve problems related to manifolds with partial positive curvature. In a related fashion, they appear in the analysis of mean curvature flow in arbitrary codimension performed by Ambrosio and Soner [3].

In the context of elliptic PDE they are already considered as an example of degenerate fully non linear operators in the User's guide [10], but more recently both Harvey and Lawson in [18, 19] and Caffarelli, Li and Nirenberg [9] have studied them in a completely new light.

Finally, in the very last years, some new results have been obtained on Dirichlet problems in bounded domains in relationship with the convexity of the domain, through the study of the maximum principle and the so called "principal eigenvalue", see [23] and [4, 5].

Notice also that, due to the links between the behavior of solutions to evolution equations (Fujita blow up phenomenon) and the existence of steady states (nonlinear Liouville theorems), see [16] e.g., the works of Birindelli, Galise and Leoni [6] and Galise [14] can be seen as a starting point for the present paper focused on evolution problems.

We also wish to mention the very recent works of Blanc and Rossi that study degenerate elliptic operators defined by $\mathcal{P}_{j} u:=\lambda_{j}\left(D^{2} u\right)$ for some $1 \leq j \leq N$. In other words, instead of considering the sum of the $k$ smallest or $k$ largest eigenvalues, they consider only the $j$-th eigenvalue of the Hessian matrix. Even though they are different operators they share some analogies both in the definitions and in the difficulties that arise in studying them. These authors have considered both the steady state equation [8] and the evolution equation [7] in a bounded domain. They mainly focus on the well-posedness of such problems (in the viscosity sense), and their approximation by a two-player zero-sum game.

As far as we know, this work is the first analysis of evolution equations in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ involving the aforementioned nonlinear truncated Laplacian operators. Since we explore many directions, and collect results that we believe to be of equal importance, we take the liberty not to present a section with some so-called main results. Instead, we give below a rather detailed overview of the paper.

In Section 2 we compute naive explicit solutions to the Heat equations (3) and (4). This can be seen as a warm-up, already revealing the importance of convexity/concavity of solutions.

In Section 3 we inquire on the existence of self similar solutions to (3) and (4). A key observation is that when a solution $u$ is "one dimensional", its Hessian has an eigenvalue of multiplicity (at least) $N-1$ and therefore, computing $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{ \pm} u$ reduces to localize the last eigenvalue, see assumption (8). The outcomes are the following: for equation (3) involving $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}$, self similar solutions have algebraic decay as $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$; for equation (4) involving $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{+}$ self similar solutions are the Heat kernels in lower dimension $k<N$ which, in particular, have a $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ norm which is increasing in time like $t^{\frac{N-k}{2}}$.

In Section 4, we quote a result of Crandall and Lions [11] to obtain the global wellposedness, in the viscosity sense, of the Cauchy problems (3) and (4), and the local wellposedness, of the Cauchy problems (5) and (6), which we plan to study in the end of the paper.

In Section 5 we inquire on radial solutions to the Cauchy problems (3) and (4). It turns out that the Heat equation (3) involving $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}$may not diffuse but transports. In other words, the operator $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}$shares some similarities with some first order operators. As a by product, we can construct a very surprising example of an initial data driving the solution to zero everywhere in finite time, see Example 5.5, which is referred as a quenching phenomena. On the other hand, and as already suspected since Section 3, the Heat equation (4) in dimension $N$ involving $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{+}$behaves like the Heat equation in lower dimension $k<N$.

Finally, Section 6 is devoted to the analysis of the Cauchy problems (5) and (6). We aim at determining the Fujita exponent $p_{F}$ separating "sytematic blow-up when $0<p<p_{F}$ " from
"existence of global solutions when $p>p_{F}$ " (see Section 6 for a more precise statement). The proofs rely on the variety of special solutions to the Heat equations (3) and (4) collected in the previous sections. We prove that $p_{F}=0$ for (5) involving $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}$, whereas $p_{F}=\frac{2}{k}$ for (6) involving $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{+}$. These facts were highly suspected from the previous sections but the proofs for (6) are far from trivial: the proof of Theorem (6.4 in particular requires the combination of the comparison principle, the subtle solutions of Example 5.9 and a comparison between $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{+} u$ and $\Delta u$ which is available for radial and smooth solutions.

Let us mention that, from places to places, we have indicated some directions and presented some preliminary computations that lead to partial conclusions or observations, and therefore raise some open problems. We have also tried to underline the variety of possible behaviors of the evolution equations under consideration by providing many examples of very different solutions.

## 2 Explicit solutions to the Heat equations

### 2.1 Convex/concave functions of one variable

If, for some $1 \leq i \leq N$,

$$
u(t, x)=\varphi\left(x_{i}\right), \quad \text { with } \varphi \text { a } C^{2} \text { convex function, }
$$

then $u$ solves (3). Indeed $D^{2} u=\operatorname{Diag}(0,0, \ldots, \underbrace{\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)}_{\text {ith position }}, \ldots, 0,0)$. Since $\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \geq 0$ the $N-1$ smallest eigenvalues are 0 , hence $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-} u=\lambda_{1}\left(D^{2} u\right)+\cdots+\lambda_{k}\left(D^{2} u\right)=0=\partial_{t} u$.

Similarly if, for some $1 \leq i \leq N$,

$$
u(t, x)=\varphi\left(x_{i}\right), \quad \text { with } \varphi \text { a } C^{2} \text { concave function, }
$$

then $u$ solves (4).

### 2.2 One variable travelling waves

For some $1 \leq i \leq N$, let

$$
u(t, x)=\varphi\left(x_{i}-c t\right), \quad \text { with } c \neq 0
$$

If $\varphi$ is convex then, again, $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-} u=0$ and thus we need $-c \varphi^{\prime}=0$ and $\varphi=c s t$ already found above. On the other hand, if $\varphi$ is concave then $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-} u(t, x)=\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}-c t\right)$ and thus we need $-c \varphi^{\prime}=\varphi^{\prime \prime}$ that is $\varphi(z)=\alpha-\beta e^{-c z}$ with $\beta>0$ to get the concavity. Hence we are equipped with

$$
u(t, x)=\alpha-\beta e^{-c\left(x_{i}-c t\right)}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \beta>0, c \neq 0,
$$

solutions to (3) that are planar travelling waves connecting $\alpha$ to $-\infty$.
Similarly, we are equipped with

$$
u(t, x)=\alpha+\beta e^{-c\left(x_{i}-c t\right)}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \beta>0, c \neq 0,
$$

solutions to (4) that are planar travelling waves connecting $\alpha$ to $+\infty$.

### 2.3 Polynomial solutions

For any $A \in \mathcal{S}_{N}(\mathbb{R})$, any $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, any $y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, any $C \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
u(t, x)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}(A)\right) t+\frac{1}{2} A\left(x-x_{0}\right) \cdot\left(x-x_{0}\right)+\left(x-x_{0}\right) \cdot y+C
$$

solves (3), whereas

$$
u(t, x)=\left(\sum_{i=N-k+1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(A)\right) t+\frac{1}{2} A\left(x-x_{0}\right) \cdot\left(x-x_{0}\right)+\left(x-x_{0}\right) \cdot y+C
$$

solves (4), since in the two above cases $D^{2} u=A$. Those solutions provide the sub and supersolutions used in the proof of [11, Theorem 2.7].

## 3 The Heat equations: self similar solutions

If $u(t, x)$ solves (3) or (4) so does $C u\left(\lambda^{2} t, \lambda x\right), C>0, \lambda>0$. We thus look after a nonnegative self similar solution in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x):=\frac{1}{t^{\beta}} \varphi\left(\frac{|x|}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\varphi=\varphi(r), \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and where $|x|=\left(x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{N}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. We also require $\varphi(0)=1$, $\varphi^{\prime}(0)=0$.

We immediately get

$$
\partial_{t} u=-\frac{\beta}{t^{\beta+1}} \varphi-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|x|}{t^{\beta+\frac{3}{2}}} \varphi^{\prime} .
$$

Next, after straightforward computations, we obtain the Hessian matrix

$$
D^{2} u=\frac{1}{t^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\frac{1}{|x|} \varphi^{\prime} I d_{N}-\left(\frac{1}{|x|} \varphi^{\prime}-\frac{1}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}} \varphi^{\prime \prime}\right) \frac{x}{|x|} \otimes \frac{x}{|x|}\right) .
$$

Since $\frac{x}{|x|} \otimes \frac{x}{|x|}$ is a matrix of rank $1, \frac{1}{t^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}}|x|} \varphi^{\prime}$ is an eigenvalue of $D^{2} u$ with multiplicity (at least) $N-1$. By considering the traces of the matrices we see that the remaining eigenvalue has to be $\frac{1}{t^{\beta+1}} \varphi^{\prime \prime}$. From now on, we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime \prime}(r) \geq \frac{1}{r} \varphi^{\prime}(r), \quad \text { for all } r>0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which enables to compute $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{ \pm} u$. Notice that other assumptions than (8) will be discussed in subsection 3.3 and will reveal much less natural.

### 3.1 Operator $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}$

Under assumption (8), we have

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-} u=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}\left(D^{2} u\right)=\frac{k}{t^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}}|x|} \varphi^{\prime},
$$

and thus the Heat equation (3) is transferred into the linear first order ODE Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}=-\beta \frac{2 r}{r^{2}+2 k} \varphi, \quad \varphi(0)=1, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is solved as

$$
\varphi(r)=\left(\frac{2 k}{r^{2}+2 k}\right)^{\beta}
$$

which in turn does satisfy (8) if $\beta \leq-1$ or $\beta \geq 0$. In order to keep nonconstant and bounded solutions, we now restrict to $\beta>0$ : going back to (7), we are equipped, for any $\beta>0, \mu>0$, with solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=\mu\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{2}+2 k t}\right)^{\beta} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also, for any $\beta>0, \mu>0, \varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon}(t, x)=\mu\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{2}+2 k t+\varepsilon}\right)^{\beta} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.1. Let $1 \leq p \leq+\infty$. For any $t>0, u(t, \cdot)$ belongs to $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ as soon as $\beta>\frac{N}{2 p}$ and we have

$$
\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=\frac{\mu C}{t^{\beta-\frac{N}{2 p}}},
$$

with $C=C(\beta, p, N, k)>0$. In particular the $L^{\infty}$ norm decreases like $\frac{1}{t^{\beta}}$.

### 3.2 Operator $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{+}$

Under assumption (8), we have

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{+} u=\sum_{i=N-k+1}^{N} \lambda_{i}\left(D^{2} u\right)=\frac{1}{t^{\beta+1}} \varphi^{\prime \prime}+\frac{k-1}{t^{\beta+\frac{1}{2}}|x|} \varphi^{\prime},
$$

and thus the Heat equation (4) is transferred into the linear problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime \prime}+\frac{r^{2}+2(k-1)}{2 r} \varphi^{\prime}+\beta \varphi=0, \quad \varphi(0)=1, \quad \varphi^{\prime}(0)=0 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

One recognizes the ODE arising when looking after self-similar solutions to the Heat equation in dimension $k<N$. Hence, $\varphi(r):=e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{4}}$ solves the above problem provided that $\beta=\frac{k}{2}$, and does satisfy (8). Hence, going back to (77), we are equipped for any $\mu>0$, with solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=\frac{\mu}{t^{\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{4 t}}, \quad t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular notice that, for $\mu=(4 \pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}}$, we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u(t, x) d x=t^{\frac{N-k}{2}} \rightarrow+\infty$, as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.
Remark 3.2. For the self-containedness of the argument, we briefly discuss the problem (12) when $\beta \neq \frac{k}{2}$. Using a Sturm-Liouville approach, one can recast the ODE problem (12) into an integral equation and prove the existence and uniqueness of a local solution which moreover always satisfies $\varphi^{\prime \prime}(0)=-\frac{\beta}{k}$, and is global when $\beta>0$, see [17, Proposition 3.1].

If $\beta=0$, the solution is $\varphi \equiv 1$.
If $\beta<0$, we claim that $\varphi \geq 1$ : if not, from $\varphi(0)=1, \varphi^{\prime}(0)=0, \varphi^{\prime \prime}(0)>0$, there must be a point $r_{0}>0$ where $\varphi$ reaches a local maximum larger than 1 ; testing the equation at $r_{0}$ yields a contradiction. We get rid of these solutions which are larger than one.

Now, for $\beta>0, \beta \neq \frac{k}{2}$, writing $\varphi(r)=e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{4}} \psi\left(\frac{r^{2}}{4}\right)$, we see that $\psi$ has to solve

$$
z \psi^{\prime \prime}(z)+\left(\frac{k}{2}-z\right) \psi^{\prime}(z)+\left(\beta-\frac{k}{2}\right) \psi(z)=0, \quad \psi(0)=1, \quad \psi^{\prime}(0)=1-\frac{2 \beta}{k}
$$

and thus $\psi(z)={ }_{1} F_{1}\left(\frac{k-2 \beta}{2}, \frac{k}{2}, z\right)$, where ${ }_{1} F_{1}(a, b, z)$ is the confluent hypergeometric function of first kind, or Kummer's function, see [1]. It is known that, when a is not a nonpositive integer,

$$
{ }_{1} F_{1}(a, b, z) \sim \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a)} \frac{e^{z}}{z^{b-a}}, \quad \text { as } z \rightarrow+\infty
$$

This transfers, when $0<\beta<\frac{k}{2}$, into $\varphi(r) \sim \frac{C}{r^{2 \beta}}$, for some $C>0$, as $r \rightarrow+\infty$, and thus $\int_{0}^{+\infty} r^{N-1} \varphi(r) d r=+\infty$, so that these solutions are not "admissible". When $\beta>\frac{k}{2}$ and $\frac{k-2 \beta}{2}$ is not a negative integer, the conclusion is again $\varphi(r) \sim \frac{C}{r^{2 \beta}}$, for some $C>0$ or $C<0$, as $r \rightarrow+\infty$, and these solutions are not "admissible". Last, when $\frac{k-2 \beta}{2}$ is a negative integer, say $-p, \psi(z)$ is the p-th generalized Laguerre polynomial, which is known [25, Section 6.31] to change sign on $(0,+\infty)$, and thus these solutions are not "admissible".

### 3.3 On assumption (8)

The goal of this short subsection is to show that assumption (8) is the one to be retained, as claimed above.

First, assuming the reverse inequality, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime \prime}(r) \leq \frac{1}{r} \varphi^{\prime}(r), \quad \text { for all } r>0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can still compute $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{ \pm} u$, where $u(t, x)$ is given by the self-similar ansatz (7). But, when dealing with operator $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}$, we now reach the second order ODE problem (12), whose solution $\varphi(r)=e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{4}}$ does not satisfy (14). Similarly, when dealing with operator $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{+}$, we now reach the first order ODE problem (9), whose solutions $\varphi(r)=\left(\frac{2 k}{r^{2}+2 k}\right)^{\beta}$ do not satisfy (14).

Next, we may only assume the existence of $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime \prime}(r) \geq \frac{1}{r} \varphi^{\prime}(r), \quad \text { for all } 0<r<\varepsilon \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, dealing with $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}$, we reach $\varphi(r)=\left(\frac{2 k}{r^{2}+2 k}\right)^{\beta}$, say for $\beta>0$, for which $\varphi^{\prime \prime}(r)>\frac{1}{r} \varphi^{\prime}(r)$ holds all along $(0,+\infty)$. In other words, we are back to assumption (8). The same argument applies when dealing with $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{+}$.

Last, assuming (14) only a small bounded interval $(0, \varepsilon)$, we reach a contradiction as in the case of assumption (14).

## 4 Well-posedness of the different Cauchy problems

For $A \in \mathcal{S}_{N}(\mathbb{R})$, we define $F_{k}^{-}(A):=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}(A)$ and $F_{k}^{+}(A):=\sum_{i=N-k+1}^{N} \lambda_{i}(A)$. From the min-max theorem for eigenvalues of real symmetric matrices, we have that, for any $A, B \in$ $\mathcal{S}_{N}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$
A \geq B \Longrightarrow \lambda_{i}(A) \geq \lambda_{i}(B), \forall 1 \leq i \leq N \Longrightarrow F_{k}^{ \pm}(A) \geq F_{k}^{ \pm}(B)
$$

and that, for any $A \in \mathcal{S}_{N}(\mathbb{R})$, any $c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
F_{k}^{ \pm}\left(A+c I d_{N}\right)-F_{k}^{ \pm}(A) \geq k c .
$$

This enables to quote [11, Theorem 2.7]: for a initial data $u_{0} \in U C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, the Cauchy problems associated with the Heat equations (3) and (4) admit a comparison principle and are globally well-posed, solutions being understood in the viscosity sense, [11, [10], 12, [22]. The proof follows the three main steps: first prove a comparison principle using a dedoubling variable method, next construct polynomial sub and supersolutions in the spirit of subsection 2.3. last conclude by the Perron's method.

By a straightforward and classical modification of the above procedure, one can prove the well-posedness of the Cauchy problems associated with equations (5) and (6), at least locally in time. The main issue is then to determine if the local solution is global or blows up in finite time, which will be discussed in Section 6 .

## 5 The Heat equations: radial solutions of the Cauchy problems

We consider the Cauchy problem (3) or (4) starting from a radial initial data $u_{0}(x)=g(|x|)$, where $g:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We suspect that $u(t, \cdot)$ remains radial for $t>0$ and therefore use the ansatz

$$
u(t, x)=\psi(t,|x|),
$$

for some $\psi=\psi(t, r)$. We compute the Hessian matrix and get

$$
D^{2} u=\frac{1}{|x|}\left(\partial_{r} \psi\right) I d_{N}-\left(\frac{1}{|x|} \partial_{r} \psi-\partial_{r r} \psi\right) \frac{x}{|x|} \otimes \frac{x}{|x|} .
$$

whose eigenvalues are $\frac{1}{|x|} \partial_{r} \psi$ with multiplicity (at least) $N-1$ and $\partial_{r r} \psi$ (see Section (3). From now on, guided by (8) and subsection 3.3, we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{r r} \psi(t, r) \geq \frac{1}{r} \partial_{r} \psi(t, r), \text { for all } t>0, r>0, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which enables to compute $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{ \pm} u$.

### 5.1 Operator $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}$

Under assumption (16), we have

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-} u=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}\left(D^{2} u\right)=\frac{k}{|x|} \partial_{r} \psi,
$$

and thus the Heat equation (3) is transferred into the linear transport equation

$$
\partial_{t} \psi=\frac{k}{r} \partial_{r} \psi
$$

that can be solved via the method of characteristics. Indeed, for $r_{0}>0$, we have

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\psi\left(t,\left(r_{0}^{2}-2 k t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right]=0
$$

and thus $\psi\left(t,\left(r_{0}^{2}-2 k t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)=\psi\left(0, r_{0}\right)=g\left(r_{0}\right)$ which is recast

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t, r)=g\left(\left(2 k t+r^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, we need to check that assumption (16) is satisfied. From (17) we compute, assuming further regularity for $g$,

$$
\partial_{r r} \psi(t, r)-\frac{1}{r} \partial_{r} \psi(t, r)=\frac{r^{2}}{2 k t+r^{2}}\left(g^{\prime \prime}(s)-\frac{1}{s} g^{\prime}(s)\right)
$$

which we want to be nonnegative, and where we have let $s=\left(2 k t+r^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
As a conclusion, we have proved the following.
Theorem 5.1 (Radial solutions of the Cauchy problem (3)). If $g:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is twice differentiable on $(0,+\infty)$ and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime \prime}(s)-\frac{1}{s} g^{\prime}(s) \geq 0, \forall s>0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the solution of the Cauchy problem (3) starting from $u_{0}(x)=g(|x|)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=g\left(\sqrt{2 k t+|x|^{2}}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, in the above situation, the so-called Heat equation (3) does not diffuse but transports. Let us investigate a few examples, for which we always assume $\mu>0$ and $\beta>0$.
Example 5.2. Function $g(s):=\mu e^{-\frac{s^{2}}{2 k}}$ satisfies (18). From (19) we get the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=\mu e^{-t} e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{2 k}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $u(t, x)=e^{-t} v(x)$ where $v(x):=\mu e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{2 k}}$ is an eigenelement for operator $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}$: as noticed in [6], $v$ solves $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-} v+v=0$.
Example 5.3. Function $g(s):=\frac{\mu}{\left(\varepsilon+s^{2}\right)^{\beta}}, \varepsilon>0$, satisfies (18). From (19) we recover the solution (11).

Example 5.4. Any function $g:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, twice differentiable on $(0,+\infty)$, which is nonincreasing and convex satisfies (18). In this framework $g(s)=\mu e^{-s}$ provides the solution $u(t, x)=\mu e^{-\sqrt{2 k t+|x|^{2}}}$ whereas $g(s)=\frac{\mu}{(\varepsilon+s)^{\beta}}, \varepsilon>0$, provides the solution $u(t, x)=$ $\frac{\mu}{\left(\varepsilon+\sqrt{2 k t+|x|^{2}}\right)^{\beta}}$.

The appearance of a transport equation implies very striking phenomena for a so-called Heat equation: as shown by the following example, global extinction in finite time, or quenching, may occur.

Example 5.5 (Quenching). Straightforward computations show that the smooth function

$$
g(s):= \begin{cases}e^{\frac{1}{s-1}} & \text { if } 0 \leq s<1 \\ 0 & \text { if } s \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

does satisfy (18). Since $u_{0}(x)=g(|x|)$ is compactly supported in the ball of radius 1, the associated solution (19) of the Cauchy problem vanishes everywhere as soon as $t \geq \frac{1}{2 k}$, that is a quenching phenomena in finite time occurs.

### 5.2 Operator $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{+}$

Under assumption (16), we have

$$
\mathcal{P}_{k}^{+} u=\sum_{i=N-k+1}^{N} \lambda_{i}\left(D^{2} u\right)=\partial_{r r} \psi+\frac{k-1}{|x|} \partial_{r} \psi
$$

and thus the Heat equation (4) is transferred into the linear convection diffusion equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \psi=\partial_{r r} \psi+\frac{k-1}{r} \partial_{r} \psi . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $g$ is bounded on $[0,+\infty)$. We denote by $\widetilde{g}$ its radial extension to $\mathbb{R}^{k}$, namely $\widetilde{g}(x):=g(|x|)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$. We thus select

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t, r)=\frac{1}{(4 \pi t)^{\frac{k}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{k}} e^{-\frac{|r \omega-y|^{2}}{4 t}} \widetilde{g}(y) d y=\left(G_{k}(t, \cdot) * \widetilde{g}\right)(r \omega), \quad t>0, r \in \mathbb{R} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is any unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$. Since (21) corresponds to solving the radial Heat equation in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$, the restriction of $\psi(t, r)$ to the $t>0, r>0$, solves (21) and starts from $g(r)$.

As a conclusion, we have proved the following.
Theorem 5.6 (Radial solutions of the Cauchy problem (4)). If $g:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and such that $\psi(t, r)$ given by (22) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{r r} \psi(t, r) \geq \frac{1}{r} \partial_{r} \psi(t, r), \text { for all } t>0, r>0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the solution of the Cauchy problem (4) starting from $u_{0}(x)=g(|x|)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=\psi(t,|x|)=\frac{1}{(4 \pi t)^{\frac{k}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{k}} e^{-\frac{\left.||x| \omega-y)\right|^{2}}{4 t}} g(|y|) d y, \quad t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is any unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$.
Let us make a few comments. First, notice that $x$ lives in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ but we integrate over $y \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$. Next, observe that (24) does not provide a convolution formula for any radial solution, which would be in contrast with the fact that the equation is fully nonlinear. Actually, (24) provides a convolution formula under condition (23) on the initial data, which is more consistent. Nonetheless, notice that (23) is stable by linear combination with nonnegative coefficients.

Example 5.7. For the Gaussian initial data $g(s)=\frac{1}{(4 \pi a)^{\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-\frac{s^{2}}{4 a}}, a>0$, the convolution (22) is straightforwardly computed as $\psi(t, r)=\frac{1}{(4 \pi(a+t))^{\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{4(a+t)}}$ which satisfies (23). Hence we get the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=\frac{1}{(4 \pi(a+t))^{\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{4(a+t)}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Notice that, for any $1 \leq p \leq+\infty$,

$$
\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \sim \frac{C}{t^{\frac{1}{2}\left(k-\frac{N}{p}\right)}}, \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow+\infty
$$

where $C=C(a, p, N, k)>0$. In particular the $L^{\infty}$ norm decreases like $\frac{1}{t^{\frac{k}{2}}}$.
Example 5.8. For the step function $g(s):=\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(s)$ and $k=1$ (for simplicity), we use (22) to compute $\left(\partial_{r r} \psi-\frac{1}{r} \partial_{r} \psi\right)(t, r)$ for $t>0, r>0$, and observe that it has the sign of

$$
B(t, r):=\int_{-1}^{1}\left(r(r-y)^{2}-2 t y\right) e^{-\frac{(r-y)^{2}}{4 t}} d y
$$

Using a formal calculation software, we get

$$
B(t, r)=2 t e^{-\frac{1+r^{2}}{4 t}}\left(e^{\frac{r}{2 t}}\left(-r+r^{2}+2 t\right)-e^{-\frac{r}{2 t}}\left(r+r^{2}+2 t\right)\right)
$$

which fails to be nonnegative as soon as $0<r<1,0<t<\frac{r-r^{2}}{2}$. Hence (23) is not satisfied. Notice however that $g \notin U C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ so that the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem is not obvious.

Now, we intend to provide examples of compactly supported initial data that satisfy (23). This is more complicated than checking condition (18), which is the counterpart of condition (23) for the Heat equation involving $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}$, since the solution $\psi(t, r)$ is now given by a convolution, namely (22). With additional assumptions on the initial data, we now try to find a "local" sufficient condition for (18) to hold. Assuming that there is $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \text { is of the class } C^{2} \text { on }[0, \varepsilon] \text {, nonnegative, } g^{\prime}(0)=0, \text { and } g \equiv 0 \text { on }[\varepsilon,+\infty) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

the following computations are licit. Formula (22) yields

$$
(4 \pi t)^{\frac{k}{2}} \psi(t, r)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k}} e^{-\frac{\left|r e_{1}-y\right|^{2}}{4 t}} g(|y|) d y=\int_{|y|<\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{\left|r e_{1}-y\right|^{2}}{4 t}} g(|y|) d y
$$

where $e_{1}$ denotes the first vector of the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$. In the sequel a generic $y \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$ is recast $y=\left(y_{1}, y^{\prime}\right)$ with $y_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, y^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$. We differentiate with respect to $r$ and get, using the shortcut $z=z(y):=r e_{1}-y$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4 \pi t)^{\frac{k}{2}} \psi_{r}(t, r) & =\int_{|y|<\varepsilon} \frac{-2\left(r-y_{1}\right)}{4 t} e^{-\frac{|z|^{2}}{4 t}} g(|y|) d y=-\int_{|y|<\varepsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}\left[e^{-\frac{|z|^{2}}{4 t}}\right] g(|y|) d y \\
& =\int_{|y|<\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{|z|^{2}}{4 t}} \frac{y_{1}}{|y|} g^{\prime}(|y|) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

using integration by part over $y_{1}$, noticing that the boundary terms vanishes since $g(\varepsilon)=$ 0. Again we differentiate with respect to $r$, write $\int_{|y|<\varepsilon} f d y=\int_{\left|y^{\prime}\right|<\varepsilon} \int_{-\alpha}^{\alpha} f d y_{1} d y^{\prime}$ with the shortcut $\alpha=\alpha\left(y^{\prime}\right):=\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}-\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{2}}$, use integration by part over $y_{1}$ and reach

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(4 \pi t)^{\frac{k}{2}} \psi_{r r}(t, r)=\int_{\left|y^{\prime}\right|<\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{4 t}} \frac{-\alpha g^{\prime}(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\left(e^{-\frac{(r-\alpha)^{2}}{4 t}}+e^{-\frac{(r+\alpha)^{2}}{4 t}}\right) d y^{\prime} \\
& \quad+\int_{|y|<\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{|z|^{2}}{4 t}}\left(\frac{1}{|y|} g^{\prime}(|y|)-\frac{y_{1}^{2}}{|y|^{3}} g^{\prime}(|y|)+\frac{y_{1}^{2}}{|y|^{2}} g^{\prime \prime}(|y|)\right) d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that the first integral term, over $\left|y^{\prime}\right|<\varepsilon$, is the boundary term. Putting all together we see that the sign of $\psi_{r r}(t, r)-\frac{1}{r} \psi_{r}(t, r)$ is that of

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(t, r): & :=\int_{|y|<\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{|z|^{2}}{4 t}} \frac{y_{1}^{2}}{|y|^{2}}\left(g^{\prime \prime}(|y|)-\frac{1}{|y|} g^{\prime}(|y|)\right) d y+\int_{|y|<\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{|z|^{2}}{4 t}} \frac{r-y_{1}}{r|y|} g^{\prime}(|y|) d y \\
& +\int_{\left|y^{\prime}\right|<\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{4 t}} \frac{-\alpha g^{\prime}(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\left(e^{-\frac{(r-\alpha)^{2}}{4 t}}+e^{-\frac{(r+\alpha)^{2}}{4 t}}\right) d y^{\prime}=:\left(I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}\right)(t, r) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using again integration by part over $y_{1}$ we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
I_{2}(t, r)=\int_{\left|y^{\prime}\right|<\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{\prime}}{4 t}} \frac{2 t}{r}\left(e^{-\frac{(r-\alpha)^{2}}{4 t}} \frac{g^{\prime}(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}-e^{-\frac{(r+\alpha)^{2}}{4 t}} \frac{g^{\prime}(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\right) d y^{\prime} \\
\quad-\frac{2 t}{r} \int_{|y|<\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{|z|^{2}}{4 t}} \frac{y_{1}}{|y|^{2}}\left(g^{\prime \prime}(|y|)-\frac{1}{|y|} g^{\prime}(|y|)\right) d y .
\end{array}
$$

Putting all together we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(t, r)= & \int_{|y|<\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{|z|^{2}}{4 t}} \frac{y_{1}\left(y_{1}-\frac{2 t}{r}\right)}{|y|^{2}}\left(g^{\prime \prime}(|y|)-\frac{1}{|y|} g^{\prime}(|y|)\right) d y \\
& +\int_{\left|y^{\prime}\right|<\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{4 t}} \frac{t}{r} \frac{-g^{\prime}(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{(r+\alpha)^{2}}{4 t}}\left(e^{\alpha r t^{-1}}\left(\alpha r t^{-1}-2\right)+\alpha r t^{-1}+2\right) d y^{\prime} \\
= & \left(J_{1}+J_{2}\right)(t, r) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We easily see that $e^{\lambda}(\lambda-2)+\lambda+2 \geq 0$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$ and, since $g^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \leq 0$, we have $J_{2}(t, r) \geq 0$ for all $t>0, r>0$. Nonetheless even if we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime \prime}(s)-\frac{1}{s} g^{\prime}(s) \geq 0, \text { for all } 0<s<\varepsilon \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

we cannot hope the term $J_{1}(t, r)$ to remain nonegative for all $t>0, r>0$ - unless it vanishes - because of the term $y_{1}\left(y_{1}-\frac{2 t}{r}\right)$. This is a strong indication that the nonnegative initial data for which (23) holds are rather "rare" or, in other words and roughly speaking, condition (23) seems to be very "unstable". In particular for $k=1$ or $g^{\prime}(\varepsilon)=0$, the nonnegative favorable term $J_{2}(t, r)$ vanishes.

Nevertheless, assuming equality in (27) obviously saves the day and provides the following example, which is an important tool for the proof of Theorem 6.4 on the Fujta blow-up phenomena.
Example 5.9. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Function $g(s):=\left(\varepsilon^{2}-s^{2}\right)_{+}$clearly satisfies (26) and the equality in (27), so that the solution of the Cauchy problem (4) starting from $u_{0}(x)=g(|x|)$ is given by the convolution formula (24).

Remark 5.10. From Example 5.7, Example 5.9 and the comparison principle we deduce that, for any nonnegative and nontrivial initial data $u_{0}$ (not necessarily radial) having tails that can be dominated by a Gaussian tail, the solution $u(t, x)$ of the Heat equation (4) starting from $u_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\frac{C_{1}}{(1+t)^{\frac{k}{2}}} \leq\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq \frac{C_{2}}{(1+t)^{\frac{k}{2}}}, \text { for all } t \geq 0
$$

for some positive constants $C_{1}=C_{1}\left(u_{0}\right), C_{2}=C_{2}\left(u_{0}\right)$.
Remark 5.11. Assume that the initial data $u_{0}(x)=g(|x|)$ is such that the conclusion of Theorem 5.6 holds, and that $g_{i}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k}}|x|^{i} g(|x|) d x<+\infty$ for $i=0,1$. Then the solution becomes asymptotically self-similar in the sense that,

$$
\left\|u(t, \cdot)-g_{0} \frac{1}{(4 \pi t)^{\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-\frac{-\left.\cdot\right|^{2}}{4 t}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=o\left(t^{-\frac{k}{2}}\right) \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

This can be proved from the convolution formula (24) by reproducing the standard argument for the (classical) Heat equation, see for instance the monograph of Giga, Giga and Saal [15, subsection 1.1.5].

## 6 Global vs blow-up solutions for the doubly nonlinear Cauchy problems

In this section, as explained in Section (4) we wonder if the local solution to the Cauchy problem associated with equations (5) or (6) is global or not.

Let us recall that, in his seminal work [13], Fujita considered solutions $u(t, x)$ to the nonlinear $(p>0)$ Heat equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u=\Delta u+u^{1+p} \quad \text { in }(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{N}, \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

supplemented with a nonnegative and nontrivial initial data and proved the following: when $0<p<\frac{2}{N}$, any solution blows up in finite time whereas, when $p>\frac{2}{N}$ some solutions with small initial data are global in time. Hence, for equation (28), $p_{F}:=\frac{2}{N}$ is the so-called Fujita exponent. Let us observe that, as well-known, solutions to the Heat equation $\partial_{t} u=\Delta u$ tend to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ like $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-\frac{N}{2}}\right)$, which is a formal argument to guess $p_{F}=\frac{2}{N}$.

In the sequel we prove that $p_{F}=0$ for equation (5) involving $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}$, whereas $p_{F}=\frac{2}{k}$ for equation (6) involving $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{+}$.

### 6.1 Operator $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-}$

As seen in Example 5.2, the $L^{\infty}$ norm of some solutions to the Heat equation (3) decrease exponentially fast to zero at large times. This is a strong indication that the Fujita exponent is $p_{F}=0$.

Proposition 6.1 (Some global solutions with light tails). Let $p>0$ be given. Assume $0 \leq u_{0}(x) \leq C e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{2 k}}$ for some $0<C \leq 1$. Then the solution to (5) starting from $u_{0}$ is global
in time and satisfies

$$
\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq \begin{cases}\frac{C}{\left(1-C^{p}\right)^{1 / p}} e^{-t} & \text { if } 0<C<1 \\ C & \text { if } C=1\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We define $v(t, x):=C e^{-t} e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{2 k}}$ which is the solution of the Heat equation (3) starting from $v_{0}(x)=C e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{2 k}}$. We look after a supersolution to (5) in the form

$$
\bar{u}(t, x)=f(t) v(t, x)
$$

with $f$ to be chosen and starting from $f(0)=1$. We compute

$$
\left(\partial_{t} \bar{u}-\mathcal{P}_{k}^{-} \bar{u}-\bar{u}^{1+p}\right)(t, x)=f^{\prime}(t) v(t, x)-f^{1+p}(t) v^{1+p}(t, x)
$$

which is nonnegative provided

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}(t)}{f^{1+p}(t)} \geq\|v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}}^{p}=C^{p} e^{-p t}
$$

Since $C \leq 1$ the Cauchy problem $\frac{f^{\prime}(t)}{f^{1+p}(t)}=C^{p} e^{-p t}, f(0)=1$ is globally solved as

$$
f(t)=\frac{1}{\left(1+C^{p}\left(e^{-p t}-1\right)\right)^{1 / p}} \leq \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\left(1-C^{p}\right)^{1 / p}} & \text { if } 0<C<1 \\ e^{t} & \text { if } C=1\end{cases}
$$

From the comparison principle, we deduce $0 \leq u(t, x) \leq \bar{u}(t, x)$ for all $t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, which provides the result.

The solutions (11) to the Heat equation (3) provide examples of global solutions to (5) with initial heavy tails, provided $p$ is large enough.
Proposition 6.2 (Some global solutions with heavy tails). Let $\beta>0$ be given. Let $p>\frac{1}{\beta}$ be given. Assume $0 \leq u_{0}(x) \leq \frac{C}{\left(|x|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{\beta}}$ for some $C>0, \varepsilon>0$ satisfying

$$
\frac{C^{p}}{\varepsilon^{p \beta-1}} \leq 2 k \frac{p \beta-1}{p}
$$

Then the solution to (5) starting from $u_{0}$ is global in time and satisfies

$$
\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq \begin{cases}\frac{C^{\prime}}{t^{\beta}} & \text { if } \frac{C^{p}}{\varepsilon^{p \beta-1}}<2 k \frac{p \beta-1}{p} \\ \frac{C^{\prime}}{t^{1 / p}} & \text { if } \frac{C^{p}}{\varepsilon^{p \beta-1}}=2 k \frac{p \beta-1}{p}\end{cases}
$$

for some $C^{\prime}=C^{\prime}(\beta, p, k, \varepsilon, C)>0$.
Proof. We define $v(t, x):=\frac{C}{\left(|x|^{2}+2 k t+\varepsilon\right)^{\beta}}$ which is the solution of the Heat equation starting from $v_{0}(x)=\frac{C}{\left(|x|^{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{\beta}}$. Next, the proof is similar as the previous one.

From any of the two above propositions, we thus conclude that we do have $p_{F}=0$. Notice also that $p_{F}=0$ also follows from the following observation from [6]: for any $p>0$, equation (5) admits the stationary solutions

$$
\left(\frac{2 k}{p\left(\mu+|x|^{2}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

which corresponds to the critical case $p=\frac{1}{\beta}$ of the above proposition.

### 6.2 Operator $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{+}$

As seen in Example 5.7, the $L^{\infty}$ norm of some solutions to the Heat equation (4) decrease like $t^{-\frac{k}{2}}$ at large times. This is an indication that the Fujita exponent $p_{F}$ is smaller than $\frac{2}{k}$. This is confirmed by the following construction of global solutions when $p>\frac{2}{k}$.
Proposition 6.3 (Some global solutions when $p>\frac{2}{k}$ ). Assume $p>\frac{2}{k}$. Let $a>0$ be given. Assume $0 \leq u_{0}(x) \leq \frac{C}{(4 \pi a)^{\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{4 a}}$ for some $C>0$. Then, if $C>0$ is small enough, the solution to (6) starting from $u_{0}$ is global in time and satisfies

$$
\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq \frac{C^{\prime}}{(a+t)^{\frac{k}{2}}}
$$

for some $C^{\prime}=C^{\prime}(p, k, a, C)>0$.
Proof. We define $v(t, x):=\frac{C}{(4 \pi(a+t))^{\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{4(a+t)}}$ which is the solution of the Heat equation (4) starting from $v_{0}(x)=\frac{C}{(4 \pi a)^{\frac{k}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^{2}}{4 a}}$. We look after a supersolution to (6) in the form

$$
\bar{u}(t, x)=f(t) v(t, x),
$$

with $f$ to be chosen and starting from $f(0)=1$. We compute

$$
\left(\partial_{t} \bar{u}-\mathcal{P}_{k}^{+} \bar{u}-\bar{u}^{1+p}\right)(t, x)=f^{\prime}(t) v(t, x)-f^{1+p}(t) v^{1+p}(t, x)
$$

which is nonnegative provided

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}(t)}{f^{1+p}(t)} \geq\|v(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}}^{p}=\frac{C^{p}}{(4 \pi(a+t))^{\frac{p k}{2}}} .
$$

If $C>0$ is sufficiently small, the Cauchy problem $\frac{f^{\prime}(t)}{f^{1+p}(t)}=\frac{C^{p}}{(4 \pi(a+t))^{\frac{\nu E}{2}}}, f(0)=1$ is globally solved as

$$
f(t)=\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{p C^{p}}{(4 \pi)^{\frac{p k}{2}}\left(\frac{p k}{2}-1\right)}\left(\frac{1}{(a+t)^{\frac{p k}{2}-1}}-\frac{1}{a^{\frac{p k}{2}-1}}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \leq \frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{p C^{p}}{(4 \pi)^{\frac{p k}{2}}\left(\frac{p k}{2}-1\right) a \frac{p k}{2}-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} .
$$

From the comparison principle, we deduce $0 \leq u(t, x) \leq \bar{u}(t, x)$ for all $t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, which provides the result.

Our last main result shows that $p_{F}=\frac{2}{k}$.
Theorem 6.4 (Systematic blow-up when $p<\frac{2}{k}$ ). Assume $0<p<\frac{2}{k}$. Then for any $u_{0} \in$ $U C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ nonnegative and nontrivial, the solution to (6) starting from $u_{0}$ blows up in finite time.

Proof. Since the equation is invariant by translation in space and in view of the comparison principle, it is enough to consider the case of the compactly supported initial data

$$
u_{0}(x)=g(|x|):=\left(\varepsilon^{2}-|x|^{2}\right)_{+}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

for a arbitrary small $\varepsilon>0$. We assume that the solution $u(t, x)\left(t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ to (6) starting from $u_{0}$ is global in time and look after a contradiction. To start with, we make the additional assumption (to be removed in the end of the proof) that the viscosity solution is radial and smooth, in the sense that $u(t, x)=\varphi(t,|x|)$ for some $\varphi=\varphi(t, r)$ smooth on $(0,+\infty) \times[0,+\infty)$.

In some related proofs of blow-up phenomena, see [20], [13], [2], the fundamental solution of the underlying linear Heat equation is used. We are not equipped with such a tool but it turns out that the solution of Example 5.9 has enough good properties for a modification of the argument to apply. Hence, we denote by $v(t, x)\left(t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ the solution to (4) starting from $u_{0}$, as provided by Theorem 5.6 and Example 5.9, In particular we have $v(t, x)=\psi(t,|x|)$ for $\psi=\psi(t, r)$ provided by the convolution formula (22) and smooth on $(0,+\infty) \times[0,+\infty)$.

We define the quantity (notice that we integrate over $z \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$ )

$$
f(t):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k}} v\left(t,|z| e_{1}\right) u_{0}\left(|z| e_{1}\right) d z=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k}} \psi(t,|z|) g(|z|) d z
$$

where $e_{1}$ is the first unit vector of the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. We aim at finding estimates of $f(t)$ from below and above which are incompatible as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.

From the expression of the initial data, we have

$$
f(t) \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} \int_{|z|<\varepsilon / \sqrt{2}} v\left(t,|z| e_{1}\right) d z
$$

Since $v(t, x)$ is given by the convolution formula (24) we see, from the expression of the initial data, that, for any $|x|<\varepsilon / \sqrt{2}$ and $t \geq 1, v(t, x) \geq \frac{C}{t^{\frac{k}{2}}}$ for some $C=C(\varepsilon)>0$. As a result, we reach the estimate from below

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t) \geq \frac{C_{1}}{t^{\frac{k}{2}}}, \quad \forall t \geq 1 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C_{1}=C_{1}(\varepsilon)>0$.
Next, for a given $t>0$ and any small $\alpha>0$, we let

$$
g(s):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k}} v\left(t-s+\alpha,|z| e_{1}\right) u\left(s,|z| e_{1}\right) d z, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t
$$

We differentiate with respect to $s$ and use the equations satisfied by $v$ and $u$ to reach

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\prime}(s)= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{k}}\left(-\mathcal{P}_{k}^{+} v\left(t-s+\alpha,|z| e_{1}\right) u\left(s,|z| e_{1}\right)+v\left(t-s+\alpha,|z| e_{1}\right) \mathcal{P}_{k}^{+} u\left(s,|z| e_{1}\right)\right) d z \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k}} v\left(t-s+\alpha,|z| e_{1}\right) u^{1+p}\left(s,|z| e_{1}\right) d z=: h_{1}(s)+h_{2}(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

A first key point is that, as understood in Section 5 and roughly speaking, $\mathcal{P}_{k}^{+} v$ corresponds to the Laplacian in dimension $k<N$. Another crucial point is that, for a radial fonction $u, \mathcal{P}_{k}^{+} u$
is always larger than the Laplacian in dimension $k<N$, this following from the beginning of Section 5. Precisely, denoting $\left|S_{k-1}\right|$ the area of the unit hypersphere of $\mathbb{R}^{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{1}(s) \geq\left|S_{k-1}\right| \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(\left(-\psi_{r r}-\frac{k-1}{r} \psi_{r}\right)(t-s+\alpha, r) \varphi(s, r)\right. \\
\left.\quad+\psi(s, r)\left(\varphi_{r r}+\frac{k-1}{r} \varphi_{r}\right)(t-s+\alpha, r)\right) r^{k-1} d r
\end{gathered}
$$

which is nonnegative as seen by integrating by parts. Next, from the convolution formula (24) and Fubini-Tonelli theorem, we see that, for all $\tau>0$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k}} v\left(\tau,|z| e_{1}\right) d z=\|g(|\cdot|)\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{k}\right)}=: C(\varepsilon, k)^{\frac{1}{p}}=C^{\frac{1}{p}}>0
$$

Therefore we have, from Jensen inequality,

$$
g^{\prime}(s) \geq h_{2}(s) \geq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k}} v\left(t-s+\alpha,|z| e_{1}\right) u\left(s,|z| e_{1}\right) d z\right)^{1+p}=C g^{1+p}(s)
$$

Integrating this differential inequality from 0 to $t$, we get $C t \leq \frac{-1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{g^{p}(t)}-\frac{1}{g^{p}(0)}\right) \leq \frac{1}{p g^{p}(0)}$. Now letting $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, this is recast

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t) \leq \frac{C_{2}}{t^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad \forall t \geq 1 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C_{2}=C_{2}(p, k, \varepsilon)>0$. As announced, letting $t \rightarrow+\infty$ into (29) and (30) contradicts $0<p<\frac{2}{k}$.

It remains to remove the assumption that $u$ is radial and smooth, which can be done thanks to the comparison principle and the crucial point mentioned above concerning radial solutions. Indeed, let us denote by $w(t, x)$ the solution to

$$
\partial_{t} w=\Delta w+w^{1+p} \quad \text { in }(0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{k}
$$

starting from $w_{0}(x)=u_{0}(x)=g(|x|)$, for which we know that $w(t, x)=\theta(t,|x|)$ for some $\theta=\theta(t, r)$ smooth on $(0,+\infty) \times[0,+\infty)$. We switch to $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ by letting

$$
\underline{w}(t, x):=\theta\left(t,|x| e_{1}\right), \quad t>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} .
$$

Since $\Delta \underline{w} \leq \mathcal{P}_{k}^{+} \underline{w}$, we deduce from the comparison principle that $\underline{w} \leq u$, and it suffices to prove the blow-up of $\underline{w}$. Since $\underline{w}$ possesses all the necessary properties, we can reproduce the above argument with $\underline{w}$ playing the role of $u$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 6.4,
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