29th European Conference on Object-Oriented Programming

ECOOP'15, July 5-10, 2015, Prague, Czech Republic

Edited by

John Tang Boyland



Editor

John Tang Boyland
Department of EE & Computer Science
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, USA
boyland@uwm.edu

ACM Classification 1998
D.1.5 Object-oriented Programming

ISBN 978-3-939897-86-6

Published online and open access by

Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik GmbH, Dagstuhl Publishing, Saarbrücken/Wadern, Germany. Online available at http://www.dagstuhl.de/dagpub/978-3-939897-86-6.

Publication date
July 2015

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de.

License

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported license (CC-BY 3.0): http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/legalcode.



In brief, this license authorizes each and everybody to share (to copy, distribute and transmit) the work under the following conditions, without impairing or restricting the authors' moral rights:

Attribution: The work must be attributed to its authors.

The copyright is retained by the corresponding authors.

Digital Object Identifier: 10.4230/LIPIcs.ECOOP.2015.i

LIPIcs - Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics

LIPIcs is a series of high-quality conference proceedings across all fields in informatics. LIPIcs volumes are published according to the principle of Open Access, i.e., they are available online and free of charge.

Editorial Board

- Susanne Albers (TU München)
- Chris Hankin (Imperial College London)
- Deepak Kapur (University of New Mexico)
- Michael Mitzenmacher (Harvard University)
- Madhavan Mukund (Chennai Mathematical Institute)
- Catuscia Palamidessi (INRIA)
- Wolfgang Thomas (Chair, RWTH Aachen)
- Pascal Weil (CNRS and University Bordeaux)
- Reinhard Wilhelm (Saarland University)

ISSN 1868-8969

http://www.dagstuhl.de/lipics

Contents

John Tang Boyland	ix
Artifacts Camil Demetrescu and Matthew Flatt	xi
Organization	xiii
External Reviewers	xv
List of Authors	xvii
Abstracts of Invited Talks	
Object-Oriented Programming without Inheritance Bjarne Stroustrup	1
Programming in the Large for the Internet of Things Jong-Deok Choi	2
Software Verification "Across the Stack" Alexander J. Summers	3
Gradual Typing Towards Practical Gradual Typing	
Asumu Takikawa, Daniel Feltey, Earl Dean, Matthew Flatt, Robert Bruce Findler, Sam Tobin-Hochstadt, and Matthias Felleisen	4
TreatJS: Higher-Order Contracts for JavaScript Matthias Keil and Peter Thiemann	28
Trust, but Verify: Two-Phase Typing for Dynamic Languages Panagiotis Vekris, Benjamin Cosman, and Ranjit Jhala	52
Implementation	
Concrete Types for TypeScript Gregor Richards, Francesco Zappa Nardelli, and Jan Vitek	76
Simple and Effective Type Check Removal through Lazy Basic Block Versioning Maxime Chevalier-Boisvert and Marc Feeley	101
Loop Tiling in the Presence of Exceptions Abhilash Bhandari and V. Krishna Nandivada	124
29th European Conference on Object-Oriented Programming. Editor: John Tang Boyland Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics LIPICS Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany	

vi Contents

Objects

Transparent Object Proxies for JavaScript Matthias Keil, Sankha Narayan Guria, Andreas Schlegel, Manuel Geffken, and Peter Thiemann	149
A Theory of Tagged Objects Joseph Lee, Jonathan Aldrich, Troy Shaw, and Alex Potanin	174
Brand Objects for Nominal Typing Timothy Jones, Michael Homer, and James Noble	198
Analysis I	
Access-rights Analysis in the Presence of Subjects Paolina Centonze, Marco Pistoia, and Omer Tripp	222
Variability Abstractions: Trading Precision for Speed in Family-Based Analyses Aleksandar S. Dimovski, Claus Brabrand, and Andrzej Wąsowski	247
Developer Assistance	
Optimization Coaching for JavaScript Vincent St-Amour and Shu-yu Guo	271
PERFBLOWER: Quickly Detecting Memory-Related Performance Problems via Amplification $Lu\ Fang,\ Liang\ Dou^1,\ and\ Guoging\ Xu \$	296
Hybrid DOM-Sensitive Change Impact Analysis for JavaScript Saba Alimadadi, Ali Mesbah, and Karthik Pattabiraman	
Types	
Intensional Effect Polymorphism Yuheng Long, Yu David Liu, and Hridesh Rajan	346
Type Inference for Place-Oblivious Objects Riyaz Haque and Jens Palsberg	371
Asynchronous Liquid Separation Types Johannes Kloos, Rupak Majumdar, and Viktor Vafeiadis	396
Parallelism	
The Eureka Programming Model for Speculative Task Parallelism Shams Imam and Vivek Sarkar	421

 $^{^{1}\,}$ Work was done while the author visited UC Irvine during 2013–2014.

Contents

Cooking the Books: Formalizing JMM Implementation Recipes Gustavo Petri, Jan Vitek, and Suresh Jagannathan	445
Defining Correctness Conditions for Concurrent Objects in Multicore Architectures Brijesh Dongol, John Derrick, Lindsay Groves, and Graeme Smith	470
Empirical Studies	
The Love/Hate Relationship with the C Preprocessor: An Interview Study Flávio Medeiros, Christian Kästner, Márcio Ribeiro, Sarah Nadi, and Rohit Gheyi	495
The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly: An Empirical Study of Implicit Type Conversions i JavaScript Michael Pradel and Koushik Sen	
Abstraction	
A Pattern Calculus for Rule Languages: Expressiveness, Compilation, and Mechanizat Avraham Shinnar, Jérôme Siméon, and Martin Hirzel	
Global Sequence Protocol: A Robust Abstraction for Replicated Shared State Sebastian Burckhardt, Daan Leijen, Jonathan Protzenko, and Manuel Fähndrich .	568
Streams à la carte: Extensible Pipelines with Object Algebras Aggelos Biboudis, Nick Palladinos, George Fourtounis, and Yannis Smaragdakis	591
Verification	
Lightweight Support for Magic Wands in an Automatic Verifier Malte Schwerhoff and Alexander J. Summers	614
Modular Verification of Finite Blocking in Non-terminating Programs Pontus Boström and Peter Müller	639
Modular Termination Verification Bart Jacobs, Dragan Bosnacki, and Ruurd Kuiper	664
Analysis II	
Framework for Static Analysis of PHP Applications David Hauzar and Jan Kofroň	689
Adaptive Context-sensitive Analysis for JavaScript Shiyi Wei and Barbara G. Ryder	712
Scalable and Precise Static Analysis of JavaScript Applications via Loop-Sensitivity Changhee Park and Sukyoung Ryu	735

Preface

This volume contains 31 accepted papers (of 136 submitted) of the 29th ECOOP, ECOOP'15 which will take place in Prague in July of 2015. Each submitted paper was assigned at least three program committee (PC) reviewers. If a PC member was an author, then the paper was assigned a minimum of five PC reviewers. Over twenty additional external reviewers wrote reviews of papers where we needed more expertise. External reviewers had to be approved by the program chair. Altogether 450 reviews were produced.

Papers authored by PC members were given extra scrutiny. Along with the extra reviews, the papers were decided upon before the PC meeting. Each paper had to be clearly acceptable with at least one champion and no objections to inclusion. Of the fifteen PC papers, only three were accepted. Several of the rejected papers would probably have been accepted in normal circumstances.

The program committee met in at ETH in Zürich to decide on the non-PC papers that had at least one positive review. We discussed these 80 papers in eight groups of ten papers each. After the discussion, each paper had a PC member tasked with writing up a summary of the discussion for the authors.

This proceedings is the first one to be published by the Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs). We appreciate the long partnership with Springer Verlag, who not only published the proceedings for most of the previous instances of ECOOP, but also provided in-kind funds to the authors of the best paper. Moving to LIPIcs allows ECOOP to provide "Gold Open Access" to accepted papers, which not only raises visibility, but also meets the requirements of increasingly many funding providers for open access. We look forward to a positive partnership with the Schloss Dagstuhl Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank Malte Schwerhoff and Marlies Weissert of ETH who were invaluable in handling the logistics of the meeting and to Peter Müller whose office provided funding and hosting of the event. I thank my own institution (University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee) for a reduced teaching schedule in the Spring semester of 2015, as well as providing a lunch for PC members. I also want to thank all the PC members for their hard work and thoughtful reviews. Last I would like to thank the ECOOP 2015 "Comfy Chair" Jan Vitek for his constant availability for questions and assistance.

John Tang Boyland

May, 2015

Artifacts

The ECOOP Artifact Evaluation (AE) process considers artifacts – software, data, proofs, videos, etc. – that are associated with published papers and that are independently submitted, reviewed, and accepted or rejected by an Artifact Evaluation Committee (AEC). The long-term goal of this process is to foster a culture of reproducibility of experimental results by considering software artifacts as first-class citizens, as well as enhancing the information provided to the community about research results. Artifacts are reviewed and accepted even if they cannot be made available to the public (e.g., because of confidentiality requirements or intellectual property difficulties), but the intent is that artifacts should be made available if possible. This year's ECOOP is the third edition to include AE, and similar processes continue to be adopted at other top conferences.

The AE process is similar to a paper-review process; artifacts are submitted by paper authors and evaluated by a committee based on individual assessments followed by a discussion among the reviewers. As is traditional, the ECOOP 2015 AEC members are all outstanding junior researchers. Each of the 17 AEC members reviewed 2-3 artifacts, and each artifact was evaluated by 3 members.

In the first phase, reviewers were asked to "kick the tires" of each artifact to check that it could be reviewed effectively. An author-response period followed immediately afterward. This phase ruled out corrupt artifact archive files and similar low-level problems that could easily be resolved with help from the authors.

In the second phase, the reviewers read the accepted papers, evaluated the associated artifacts with respect to the content and claims of the paper, and wrote evaluation summaries. In their artifact evaluations, reviewers focused on four key questions: (1) Is the artifact consistent with the paper? (2) Is the artifact complete? (3) Is the artifact well documented? and (4) Is the artifact easy to reuse? Each reviewer assigned an overall rating of "does not live up to expectations [raised by the paper]," "lives up to the expectations," or "exceeds expectations" for each artifact. In a virtual AEC meeting, the committee discussed those ratings and reviews and decided on acceptance or rejection for each artifact. During the discussion, all AEC members could see all reviews and discussions (except as proscribed by a conflict of interests), which allowed a calibration of the reviews across artifacts and reviewers.

Among the 31 papers accepted for ECOOP 2015, we received 15 artifacts for evaluation.² Of the submitted artifacts, the committee accepted 12 and rejected 3. A high acceptance rate seems natural for the AE process, since it covers only artifacts for papers that have already been accepted for publication. Currently, the AE process is not intended to influence paper submission, and independence is ensured by opening artifact submission only after paper notifications. As the AE process evolves, it is possible that the intent and application of AE influence will change.

Papers with accepted artifacts in this proceedings are marked with a rosette representing the seal of approval by the AEC. We are glad to note that all accepted artifacts are collected on the Dagstuhl Research Online Publication Server (DROPS) alongside the papers, and for the first time each artifact has its own DOI separate from its paper's DOI.

This year's AE process benefited greatly from the experience and advice of previous AEC organizers. We relied on the guidelines by Shriram Krishnamurthi, Matthias Hauswirth, Steve Blackburn, and Jan Vitek published in the foundational on-line article *Artifact Evaluation for*

One accepted paper's artifact was ineligible for review, due to having an AEC co-chair as an author.

xii Artifacts

Software Conferences available at http://www.artifact-eval.org. The Artifact Evaluation Artifact effort by Steve Blackburn and Matthias Hauswirth, available at the address http://evaluate.inf.usi.ch/artifacts/aea, was also of inspiration. We thank the Program Chair John Boyland for his help and cooperation, and we particularly thank Jan Vitek for his continued involvement and advice. Thanks also to Eddie Kohler for his help with the HotCRP conference management software. Most significantly, we enthusiastically commend the AEC members for their diligent efforts. Finally, we thank all authors for packaging and documenting their artifacts for ECOOP 2015 and for making them publicly available; we believe that this extra step of publication is an invaluable service for the community.

Camil Demetrescu Matthew Flatt May, 2015

Organization

Comfy Chair

Jan Vitek (Northeastern University)

General Chairs

Tomas Kalibera Pavel Kordik (Czech Technical University)

Program Chair

John Tang Boyland (University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee)

Program Committee

Stephanie Balzer (Carnegie Mellon University)

Walter Binder (University of Lugano)

Eric Bodden (Fraunhofer SIT and TU Darmstadt)

Viviana Bono (University of Torino)

Einar Broch Johnsen (University of Oslo)

Dave Clarke (Uppsala University and KU Leuvern)

Werner Dietl (University of Waterloo)

Danny Dig (Oregon State University)

Irene Finocchi (Sapienza University, Rome)

Christian Hammer (Saarland University)

Martin Hirzel (IBM Research)

Marieke Huisman (University of Twente)

Xuandong Li (Nanjing University)

Francesco Logozzo (Facebook)

Yi Lu (Oracle Labs)

Todd Millstein (University of California, Los Angeles)

Peter Müller (ETH Zurich)

Bruno Oliveira (University of Hong Kong)

Tamiya Onodera (IBM Research - Tokyo)

Pavel Parízek (Charles University in Prague)

Matthew Parkinson (Microsoft Research, UK)

Christoph Reichenbach (Goethe University)

Marco Servetto (Victoria University of Wellington)

Friedrich Steimann (Fernuniversität in Hagen)

T. Stephen Strickland (Brown University)

Mohsen Vakilian (Google)

Tom Van Cutsem (Alcatel-Lucent Bell Labs)

Harry Xu (University of California, Irvine)

Nobuko Yoshida (Imperial College London)

 $29\mathrm{th}$ European Conference on Object-Oriented Programming. Editor: John Tang Boyland

Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics

LIPICS Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

xiv Organization

Artifact Evaluation Co-chairs

Camil Demetrescu (Sapienza University of Rome) Matthew Flatt (University of Utah)

Artifact Evaluation Committee

Karim Ali (Technical University at Darmstadt)

Stefan Blom (University of Twente)

Lubomir Bulej (Charles University in Prague)

Nicolas Cardózo (Trinity College Dublin)

Fernando Chirigati (NYU Polytechnic School of Engineering)

Emilio Coppa (Sapienza University of Rome)

Paolo G. Giarrusso (University of Tübingen)

Raghavendra Kagalavadi (Oracle Labs)

Du Li (Carnegie Mellon University)

Sihan Li (University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

Stefan Marr (Inria, France)

Philip Mayer (Ludwig Maximilians University Munich)

Cyrus Omar (Carnegie Mellon University)

Daniel Perelman (University of Washington)

Cosmin Radoi (University of Illinois)

Christophe Scholliers (Vrije Universiteit Brussel)

Wei Yang (University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign)

External Reviewers

Jonathan Aldrich

Gavin Bierman

Robert Bocchino

Stefan Brunthaler

Satish Chandra

Tiago Cogumbreiro

Lu Fang

Yang Feng

Stephen Fink

Steve Freund

François Gauthier

Andy Gordon

Robert Grimm

Johannes Lerch

Padmanabhan Krishnan

Du Li

Stefan Marr

Ethan Munson

Khanh Nguyen

Kim Nguyen

Tien Nguyen

Dominic Orchard

Tomas Petricek

Andreas Rossberg

Andrew Santosa

Bernhard Scholz

Peter Sewell

Gregor Snelting

Matthew Staats

Tijs van der Storm

Emilio Tuoso

Jules Villard

Gulfem Savrun Yeniceri

Wei Zhang

List of Authors

Jonathan Aldrich Yu David Liu
Saba Alimadadi Yuheng Long
Abhilash Bhandari Rupak Majumdar
Aggelos Biboudis Flávio Medeiros
Dragan Bosnacki Ali Mesbah
Pontus Boström Peter Müller
Claus Brabrand Sarah Nadi

Sebastian Burckhardt V Krishna Nandivada

Paolina Centonze James Noble
Maxime Chevalier-Boisvert Nick Palladinos
Benjamin Cosman Jens Palsberg
Earl Dean Changhee Park

John Derrick Karthik Pattabiraman

Aleksandar S. Dimovski Gustavo Petri Brijesh Dongol Marco Pistoia Liang Dou Alex Potanin Lu Fang Michael Pradel Jonathan Protzenko Marc Feeley Matthias Felleisen Hridesh Rajan Daniel Feltev Márcio Ribeiro Gregor Richards Barbara G. Ryder

Robert Bruce Findler Matthew Flatt George Fourtounis Sukyoung Ryu Manuel Fähndrich Vivek Sarkar Manuel Geffken Andreas Schlegel Malte Schwerhoff Rohit Gheyi Lindsay Groves Koushik Sen Shu-yu Guo Troy Shaw Sankha Narayan Guria Avraham Shinnar

Riyaz Haque Jerome Simeon David Hauzar Yannis Smaragdakis Martin Hirzel Graeme Smith Michael Homer Vincent St-Amour Alexander J. Summers Shams Imam Asumu Takikawa Suresh Jagannathan Bart Jaobs Peter Thiemann Sam Tobin-Hochstadt Ranjit Jhala

Timothy Jones Omer Tripp
Matthias Keil Viktor Vafeiadis
Johannes Kloos Panagiotis Vekris
Jan Kofro Jan Vitek

Ruurd Kuiper Andrzej Wasowski

Christian Kästner Shiyi Wei Joseph Lee Harry Xu

Daan Leijen Francesco Zappa Nardelli

29th European Conference on Object-Oriented Programming. Editor: John Tang Boyland

Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics

LIPICS Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany