# UNIQUENESS AND INTRINSIC PROPERTIES OF NON-COMMUTATIVE KOSZUL BRACKETS

#### MARCO MANETTI

ABSTRACT. There exists a unique natural extension of higher Koszul brackets to every unitary associative algebra in a way that every square zero operator of degree 1 gives a curved  $L_{\infty}$  structure.

#### INTRODUCTION

The name (higher, commutative) Koszul brackets is usually referred to the sequence of graded symmetric maps  $\Psi_f^n \colon A^{\odot n} \to A, n \ge 0$ , defined in [14] for every graded commutative unitary algebra A and every linear endomorphism  $f \colon A \to A$  by the formula:

(0.1)  

$$\Psi_{f}^{0} = f(1), \\
\Psi_{f}^{1}(a) = f(a) - f(1)a, \\
\Psi_{f}^{2}(a,b) = f(ab) - f(a)b - (-1)^{|a||b|}f(b)a + f(1)ab$$

$$\Psi_f^n(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-k}}{k!(n-k)!} \sum_{\pi \in \Sigma_n} \epsilon(\pi) f(1 \cdot a_{\pi(1)} \cdots a_{\pi(k)}) a_{\pi(k+1)} \cdots a_{\pi(n)},$$

where  $\epsilon(\pi)$  is the Koszul sign of the permutation  $\pi$  with respect to the sequence of homogeneous elements  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ . As proved in [6, 15, 22] they have the remarkable property of satisfying the generalized Jacobi identities of Lada and Stasheff [16], and therefore they are applied in the study of  $L_{\infty}$ -algebras, of (commutative) Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras and their deformations.

The question of extending their definition to every unitary graded associative algebra, preserving generalized Jacobi identities, is a nontrivial task and has been first answered by Bering [7] about ten years ago. Very recently, other solutions, quite different in their origin and presentation, are proposed by Bandiera [3, 4] and by Manetti and Ricciardi [17].

Apart from the natural question whether the above mentioned non-commutative extension formulas coincide or not, the main goal of this paper is to determine a minimal set of conditions which implies existence and unicity of non-commutative Koszul brackets.

A similar goal has been recently achieved by Markl in the paper [18], where it is proved that both hierarchies of Börjeson brackets and commutative Koszul brackets are the unique natural hierarchies of brackets satisfying the technical conditions called hereditarity, recursivity and with fixed initial terms. Strictly speaking, this viewpoint does not apply to our goal since it is easy to see that a non-commutative hereditary extension of Koszul brackets cannot satisfy generalized Jacobi identities; however Markl's work has certainly inspired this paper.

Date: May 6, 2016.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 17B60,17B70.

Key words and phrases. Graded Lie algebras, Koszul brackets.

The point of view which we adopt in this paper is based on the slogan that "the most important properties for a hierarchy of brackets are naturality, base change and generalized Jacobi formulas". Precise definitions will be given in Theorem 2.1; here we only mention that Markl's notion of naturality is essentially equivalent to the join of our notions of naturality and base change.

Whereas in the previous literature on the subject the starting point is Koszul's definition in the commutative case, the middle point is the proposal of a non-commutative extension and the conclusive point is the proof of generalized Jacobi identities, in this paper we reverse the logical path: we start with a generic hierarchy satisfying naturality, base change and generalized Jacobi, and then we add assumptions on the initial terms until we reach the unicity. Quite surprisingly, this approach goes very smoothly and provides, in our opinion, a simplification of the theory also when restricted to the classical commutative case.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 1 we fix notation and we recall the definition of the Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket, in terms of which the generalized Jacobi identities can be expressed in their simplest form. Section 2 is completely devoted to the proof of the uniqueness theorem of Koszul brackets, whose first properties, including their restriction to the commutative case, are studied in Section 3. In Section 4 we shall prove that the non-commutative Koszul brackets may be also explicitly described by the formulas given in [3, 7]. The last section is devoted to a discussion about the reduction of Koszul brackets to non-unitary graded associative algebras.

Acknowledgments. The author thanks the referee for several useful comments and acknowledges partial support by Italian MIUR under PRIN project 2012KNL88Y "Spazi di moduli e teoria di Lie".

# 1. General setup

The symmetric group of permutations of n elements is denoted by  $\Sigma_n$ . Every graded vector space, every graded algebra and every tensor product is intended  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded and over a fixed field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic 0. For every graded vector space V we shall denote by  $V^{\odot n}$  its *n*th symmetric power: for simplicity of notation we always identify a linear map  $f: V^{\odot n} \to W$  with the corresponding graded symmetric operator

$$f: \underbrace{V \times \cdots \times V}_{n \text{ factors}} \to W, \qquad f(v_1, \dots, v_n) = f(v_1 \odot \cdots \odot v_n).$$

To every graded vector space V we shall consider the following graded Lie algebras:

(1) the algebra of linear endomorphisms:

$$\operatorname{End}^{*}(V) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}^{*}(V, V) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}^{n}(V, V),$$

equipped with the graded commutator bracket;

(2) the space of affine endomorphisms:

$$\operatorname{Aff}^{*}(V) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Aff}^{n}(V) = \{ f \in \operatorname{End}^{*}(V \oplus \mathbb{K}) \mid f(V \oplus \mathbb{K}) \subseteq V \},\$$

considered as a graded Lie subalgebra of  $\operatorname{End}^*(V \oplus \mathbb{K})$ .

Thus, giving an element  $f \in \operatorname{Aff}^n(V) = \{f \in \operatorname{End}^n(V \oplus \mathbb{K}) \mid f(V \oplus \mathbb{K}) \subseteq V\}$  is the same as giving a linear map  $g_i \colon V^i \to V^{i+n}$ ,  $g_i(v) = f(v)$ , for every  $i \neq 0$  and an affine map  $g_0 \colon V^0 \to V^n$ ,  $g_0(v) = f(v+1)$ . It is useful to consider both  $\operatorname{End}^*(V)$  and  $\operatorname{Aff}^*(V)$  as graded Lie subalgebras of

$$D(V) = \prod_{n \ge -1} D_n(V), \qquad D_{-1}(V) = V, \quad D_n(V) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}^*(V^{\odot n+1}, V),$$

where the Lie structure on D(V) is given by the Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket, induced by the right pre-Lie product  $\overline{\wedge}$  defined in the following way [20]: given  $f \in D_n(V)$  and  $g \in D_m(V)$  the operator

$$f \bar{\wedge} g \in D_{n+m}(V)$$

is equal to:

- (1)  $f \overline{\wedge} g = 0$  whenever  $f \in D_{-1}(V) = V$ ;
- (2)  $f \bar{\wedge} g(v_1, \dots, v_n) = f(g, v_1, \dots, v_n)$  whenever  $g \in D_{-1}(V) = V$ ;
- (3) when  $n, m \ge 0$  we have

$$f \bar{\wedge} g(v_0, \dots, v_{n+m}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S(m+1,n)} \epsilon(\sigma) f(g(v_{\sigma(0)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(m)}), v_{\sigma(m+1)}, \dots, v_{\sigma(m+n)})$$

Here  $S(m + 1, n) \subset \Sigma_{n+m+1}$  is the set of shuffles of type (m + 1, n), i.e., the set of permutations  $\sigma$  of  $0, \ldots, n+m$  such that  $\sigma(0) < \cdots < \sigma(m)$  and  $\sigma(m+1) < \cdots < \sigma(m+n)$ . The Koszul sign  $\epsilon(\sigma)$  is equal to  $(-1)^{\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha$  is the number of pairs (i, j) such that i < j,  $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$  and  $|v_i||v_j|$  is odd. The Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket is defined as the graded commutator of  $\overline{\wedge}$ :

$$[f,g] = f \bar{\wedge} g - (-1)^{|f||g|} g \bar{\wedge} f$$

Notice that, since  $[D_i(V), D_j(V)] \subseteq D_{i+j}(V)$  we have that  $D_0(V), D_{-1}(V) \times D_0(V)$  and  $D_{\geq 0}(V) = \prod_{n\geq 0} D_n(V)$  are graded Lie subalgebras of D(V); notice also that  $[f, Id_V] = nf$  for every  $f \in D_n(V)$ , where  $Id_V$  is the identity on V.

By definition  $\operatorname{End}^*(V) = D_0(V)$  and there exists a natural isomorphism of graded Lie algebras  $\operatorname{Aff}^*(V) \cong D_{-1}(V) \times D_0(V)$ , where every pair  $(x, f) \in D_{-1}(V) \times D_0(V)$  corresponds to the linear map

$$(x,f)\colon V\oplus\mathbb{K}\to V,\qquad (x,f)(v+t)=f(v)+tx,\qquad v\in V,\;t\in\mathbb{K}\;.$$

*Remark* 1.1. It is well known, and in any case easy to prove, that the Nijenhuis-Richardson product  $\overline{\wedge}$  is the symmetrization of the Gerstenhaber product

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}^{*}(V^{\otimes p-n+1}, V) \times \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}^{*}(V^{\otimes n+1}, V) \xrightarrow{\circ} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}^{*}(V^{\otimes p+1}, V),$$

$$f \circ g(v_0, \dots, v_p) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-n} (-1)^{|g|(|v_0|+\dots+|v_{i-1}|)} f(v_0, \dots, v_{i-1}, g(v_i, \dots, v_{i+n}), v_{i+n+1}, \dots, v_p).$$

More precisely, denoting by  $N: V^{\odot n+1} \to V^{\otimes n+1}$  the map

$$N(v_0 \odot \cdots \odot v_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{n+1}} \epsilon(\sigma) v_{\sigma(0)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma(n)},$$

we have  $(f \circ g)N = fN \overline{\wedge} gN$ .

Remark 1.2. Although not relevant for this paper, it is useful to point out that the graded Lie algebra D(V) is naturally isomorphic to the graded Lie algebra of coderivations of the symmetric coalgebra  $S^c(V) = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} V^{\odot n}$ . The isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Coder}^*(S^c(V), S^c(V)) \to D(V) \cong \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathbb{K}}(S^c(V), V)$$

is induced by taking composition with the projection map  $S^{c}(V) \rightarrow V$ , see e.g., [12, 16, 21].

**Definition 1.3.** For a unitary graded associative algebra A we consider the sequence of maps  $\mu_n \in D_n(A), n \ge -1$ :

$$\mu_{-1} = 1, \quad \mu_0 = Id_A, \qquad \mu_n(a_0, \dots, a_n) = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{n+1}} \epsilon(\sigma) a_{\sigma(0)} a_{\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)}$$

When A is graded commutative we recover the multiplication maps  $\mu_n(a_0, \ldots, a_n) = a_0 \cdots a_n$ . When the algebra A is clear from the context, we shall simply denote by Id the identity map  $Id_A \colon A \to A$ . In order to avoid possible confusion with the Nijenhuis-Richardson bracket we shall denote the graded commutator of  $a, b \in A$  by  $\{a, b\} = ab - (-1)^{|a||b|}ba \in A$ .

The following lemma is a straightforward consequence of Remark 1.1.

**Lemma 1.4.** In the above setup, for every  $n, m \ge -1$  we have

$$\mu_n \bar{\wedge} \mu_m = \binom{n+m+1}{m+1} \mu_{n+m}, \qquad [\mu_n, \mu_m] = (n-m) \frac{(n+m+1)!}{(n+1)!(m+1)!} \mu_{n+m}.$$

### 2. The uniqueness theorem

**Theorem 2.1.** There exists a unique way to assign to every unitary graded associative algebra A a morphism of graded vector spaces

$$\Psi \colon \operatorname{Aff}^*(A) \to D(A), \qquad x \mapsto \Psi_x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Psi_x^n, \quad \Psi_x^n \in D_{n-1}(A),$$

such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) generalized Jacobi:  $\Psi$  is a morphism of graded Lie algebras;
- (2) naturality: for every morphism  $\alpha \colon A \to B$  of unitary graded algebras, for every  $x \in A$  and every pair of linear maps  $f \colon A \to A$ ,  $g \colon B \to B$  such that  $g\alpha = \alpha f$ , we have

$$\alpha \Psi_x^n = \Psi_{\alpha(x)}^n \alpha^{\odot n}, \quad \alpha \Psi_f^n = \Psi_g^n \alpha^{\odot n} \quad : A^{\odot n} \to B$$

(3) base change: the operators  $\Psi_1^n, \Psi_{Id}^n$  are multilinear over the centre of A. More precisely, if  $c \in A$  is homogeneous and  $ac = (-1)^{|a||c|}ca$  for every homogeneous  $a \in A$ , then

$$\Psi_1^n(a_1,\ldots,a_nc) = \Psi_1^n(a_1,\ldots,a_n)c, \qquad \Psi_{Id}^n(a_1,\ldots,a_nc) = \Psi_{Id}^n(a_1,\ldots,a_n)c,$$

for every  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ .

(4) initial terms: for every  $x \in A$ ,  $f \in \text{End}^*(A)$ , we have

$$\Psi_x^0 = x, \qquad \Psi_f^0 = f(1) \; .$$

(5) gauge fixing: for  $A = \mathbb{K}$  we have  $\Psi_{Id}^n = 0$  for every n > 0.

*Proof.* We identify  $\operatorname{Aff}^*(A)$  with the graded Lie subalgebra  $D_{-1}(A) \times D_0(A) \subset D(A)$ ; for our goals it is convenient to prove the existence following the ideas of [4, 17]. Notice first that every operator  $\mu_n$  is multilinear over the centre of A and commutes with morphisms of unitary graded associative algebras. Next, for every sequence  $K_1, K_2, \ldots$  of rational numbers, the map

$$\widehat{\Psi}: D(A) \to D(A), \qquad \widehat{\Psi}_u = \exp\left(\left[-, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \mu_n\right]\right) \exp([-, \mu_{-1}])u,$$

is an isomorphism of graded Lie algebras which is compatible with morphisms of unitary graded algebras and gives the required initial terms. A simple recursive argument shows that the gauge fixing condition

$$A = \mathbb{K}, \qquad \widehat{\Psi}_{\mu_0} = \exp\left(\left[-, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \mu_n\right]\right) (\mu_0 + \mu_{-1}) = \mu_{-1},$$

can be written as

$$\exp\left(\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \mu_n, -\right]\right) \mu_{-1} = \mu_{-1} + \mu_0,$$

and determines uniquely the coefficients  $K_n$ . The first terms are:

$$K_1 = 1$$
,  $K_2 = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $K_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $K_4 = \frac{2}{3}$ ,  $K_5 = \frac{11}{12}$ ,  $K_6 = -\frac{3}{4}$ ,  $K_7 = -\frac{11}{6}$ , ...

According to [17], the formal power series

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} K_n \frac{t^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]]$$

is the iterative logarithm of  $e^t - 1$ , cf. [2], and the sequence  $K_n$  may be also computed recursively by the linear equations

$$K_1 = 1, \qquad K_n = \frac{-2}{(n+2)(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} {n+1 \choose i} K_i,$$

where  ${n+1 \atop i}$  are the Stirling numbers of the second kind. It is now sufficient to define  $\Psi$  as the restriction  $\widehat{\Psi}$  to the graded Lie subalgebra  $D_{-1}(A) \times D_0(A)$ .

Let us now prove the unicity, the first step is to prove, for every algebra A, the formulas:

(2.1) 
$$\Psi_1 = \sum_{n \ge 0} (-1)^n \mu_{n-1}, \qquad \Psi_{Id} = \mu_{-1}.$$

Assume first  $A = \mathbb{K}$ , then  $\mu_n$  is a generator of  $D_n(\mathbb{K})$  and therefore there exists a sequence  $s_0, s_1, \ldots$  in  $\mathbb{K}$  such that

$$\Psi_1 = \sum_{n \ge 0} s_n \mu_{n-1} \,,$$

where  $s_0 = 1$  by the initial terms condition. Using the relation  $[\Psi_{Id}, \Psi_1] = \Psi_{[Id,1]} = \Psi_1$  we obtain  $\Psi_1^n = [\mu_{-1}, \Psi_1^{n+1}]$  for every  $n \ge -1$  and then

$$s_n \mu_{n-1} = [\mu_{-1}, s_{n+1}\mu_n] = -s_{n+1}\mu_{n-1}, \qquad s_{n+1} = -s_n = (-1)^{n+1}.$$

Consider now the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{K}[t]$ , with t a central element of degree 0: by the base change property

$$\Psi_1^n(t^{i_1},\ldots,t^{i_n}) = \Psi_1^n(1,\ldots,1)t^{i_1+\cdots+i_n} = (-1)^n \mu_n(t^{i_1},\ldots,t^{i_n}),$$
  
$$\Psi_{Id}^n(t^{i_1},\ldots,t^{i_n}) = \Psi_{Id}^n(1,\ldots,1)t^{i_1+\cdots+i_n},$$

and then (2.1) holds for  $\mathbb{K}[t]$ . The passage from  $\mathbb{K}[t]$  to any A is done by using the standard polarization trick: given a finite sequence of homogeneous elements  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$  we consider the algebra

$$B = A[t_1, \ldots, t_n],$$

where every  $t_i$  is a central indeterminate of degree  $|t_i| = -|a_i|$ . We have a morphism of unitary associative algebras

$$\alpha \colon \mathbb{K}[t] \to B, \qquad \alpha(t) = a_1 t_1 + \dots + a_n t_n,$$

which by naturality gives

$$\Psi_1^n(\alpha(t),\ldots,\alpha(t)) = \alpha \Psi_1^n(t,\ldots,t) = \alpha((-1)^n t^n) = (-1)^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i t_i\right)^n,$$

while by symmetry

 $\Psi_1^n(\alpha(t),\ldots,\alpha(t)) = n! \Psi_1^n(a_1t_1,\ldots,a_nt_n).$ 

Looking at the coefficients of  $t_1 \cdots t_n$ , in the first case we get

$$n!(-1)^n \mu_{n-1}(a_1 t_1, \dots, a_n t_n) = n!(-1)^n (-1)^{\sum_{i < j} |e_i| |a_j|} \mu_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_n) t_1 \cdots t_n,$$

whereas in the second case, by base change property, we get

$$u! (-1)^{\sum_{i < j} |e_i| |a_j|} \Psi_1^n(a_1, \dots, a_n) t_1 \cdots t_n,$$

and this concludes the proof of the first part of (2.1); the equality  $\Psi_{Id} = \mu_{-1}$  is proved in the same way. The map

$$\Phi \colon \operatorname{Aff}^*(A) \to D(A), \qquad \Phi_u = \Psi_u - \Psi_{[u,1]}.$$

is a morphism of graded Lie algebras, since it is the composition of  $\Psi$  with the Lie isomorphism

$$\exp([\mu_{-1}, -]): D_{-1}(A) \times D_0(A) \to D_{-1}(A) \times D_0(A)$$

In other words, for  $a \in A$  and  $f \colon A \to A$  we have

r

$$\Phi_a = \Psi_a, \qquad \Phi_f = \Psi_f - \Psi_{f(1)}, \qquad \Psi_f = \Phi_f + \Phi_{f(1)},$$

and in particular

(2.2) 
$$\Phi_{Id} = \Psi_{Id} - \Psi_1 = \sum_{n \ge 0} (-1)^n \mu_n$$

For every  $a \in A$ , the relation [Id, a] = a gives

$$\Phi_a = [\Phi_{Id}, \Phi_a], \qquad \Phi_a^n = \sum_{h \ge 0} (-1)^h [\mu_h, \Phi_a^{n-h}]$$

which, together the condition  $\Phi^0_a = \Psi^0_a = a$  implies

(2.3) 
$$\Phi_a^0 = a, \qquad \Phi_a^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=1}^n (-1)^{h+1} [\Phi_a^{n-h}, \mu_h].$$

For every  $f: A \to A$ , we have  $\Phi_f^0 = \Psi_f^0 - \Psi_{f(1)}^0 = 0$  and then, for every  $a \in A$ , the relation  $\Phi_{[f,a]} = [\Phi_f, \Phi_a]$  gives

$$f(a) = \Phi^0_{[f,a]} = [\Phi^1_f, a] = \Phi^1_f(a)$$

proving that  $\Phi_f^1 = f$ . Moreover, the relation [f, Id] = 0 gives

$$\left[\Phi_f, \sum_{h\geq 0} (-1)^h \mu_h\right] = 0$$

and then the recursive formula

(2.4) 
$$\Phi_f^0 = 0, \quad \Phi_f^1 = f, \qquad \Phi_f^{n+1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=1}^n (-1)^{h+1} [\Phi_f^{n-h+1}, \mu_h] .$$

The proof of the unicity is complete.

For reference purposes it is convenient to collect as a separate result the recursive formulas obtained in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.2.** The higher brackets  $\Psi^n$ ,  $\Phi^n$  are determined by the following recursive formulas: for every graded unitary associative algebra A, every  $x \in A$  and every  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}^*(A, A)$ we have

$$\Psi_x^n = \Phi_x^n, \qquad \Psi_f^n = \Phi_f^n + \Phi_{f(1)}^n,$$

where

$$\Phi_x^0 = x, \qquad \Phi_x^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=1}^n (-1)^{h+1} [\Phi_x^{n-h}, \mu_h],$$
  
$$\Phi_f^0 = 0, \qquad \Phi_f^1 = f, \qquad \Phi_f^{n+1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=1}^n (-1)^{h+1} [\Phi_f^{n-h+1}, \mu_h].$$

We shall prove in Proposition 3.5 that if A is graded commutative then the operators  $\Psi_f^n$  reduce to the usual Koszul brackets as defined in [14]. Similarly the operators  $\Phi_f^n$  are the higher brackets defined in [1, 6] and called Koszul braces in [18, 19]. We shall refer to the operators  $\Psi^n$  as Koszul brackets and to the operators  $\Phi^n$  as reduced Koszul brackets.

# 3. Examples and first properties of Koszul brackets

The brackets  $\Phi^n, \Psi^n$  for low values of n can be easily computed by using the recursive formulas of Theorem 2.2. For every  $x \in A$  we have:

$$\begin{split} \Psi^0_x &= \Phi^0_x = x, \\ \Psi^1_x &= \Phi^1_x = [x, \mu_1], \\ \Psi^2_x &= \Phi^2_x = \frac{1}{2}[[x, \mu_1], \mu_1] - \frac{1}{2}[x, \mu_2], \\ \Psi^3_x &= \Phi^3_x = \frac{1}{6}[[[x, \mu_1], \mu_1], \mu_1] - \frac{1}{6}[[x, \mu_2], \mu_1] - \frac{1}{3}[[x, \mu_1], \mu_2] + \frac{1}{3}[x, \mu_3]. \end{split}$$

For every  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}^{*}(A, A)$  we have:

$$\begin{split} \Phi_f^1 &= f, \\ \Phi_f^2 &= [f, \mu_1], \\ \Phi_f^3 &= \frac{1}{2}[[f, \mu_1], \mu_1] - \frac{1}{2}[f, \mu_2], \\ \Phi_f^4 &= \frac{1}{6}[[[f, \mu_1], \mu_1], \mu_1] - \frac{1}{6}[[f, \mu_2], \mu_1] - \frac{1}{3}[[f, \mu_1], \mu_2] + \frac{1}{3}[f, \mu_3] \end{split}$$

In the commutative case, the above formulas for  $\Phi_f^2$  and  $\Phi_f^3$  were already observed in [10]. In a more explicit way, for  $a \in A$  we have:

$$\begin{split} \Phi^1_x(a) &= \Phi^1_x(a) = -\frac{1}{2}(xa + (-1)^{|x||a|}ax), \\ \Phi^1_f(a) &= f(a), \qquad \Psi^1_f(a) = f(a) - \frac{1}{2}(f(1)a + (-1)^{|f||a|}af(1)). \end{split}$$

For  $x, a, b \in A$  we have:

$$\Phi_x^2(a,b) = \frac{h(a,b) + (-1)^{|a||b|}h(b,a)}{2}, \quad h(a,b) = \frac{xab + (-1)^{|a||x|}4axb + (-1)^{|x||ab|}abx}{6}$$

which can be written in the form:

$$\Phi_x^2(a,b) = \frac{1}{12}(xab + (-1)^{|a||x|} 4axb + (-1)^{|x||ab|} abx) + (-1)^{|a||b|}(a \rightleftharpoons b).$$

In a similar way, for every  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}^{*}(A, A)$  and every  $a, b \in A$  we get:

$$\begin{split} \Phi_f^2(a,b) &= \frac{f(ab) - f(a)b - (-1)^{|f| |a|} a f(b)}{2} + (-1)^{|a||b|} (a \rightleftharpoons b) \,, \\ \Psi_f^2(a,b) &= \frac{f(ab) - f(a)b - (-1)^{|f| |a|} a f(b)}{2} + \frac{f(1)ab + (-1)^{|a||f|} 4a f(1)b + (-1)^{|f||ab|} a b f(1)}{12} \\ &+ (-1)^{|a||b|} (a \rightleftharpoons b) \,. \end{split}$$

**Lemma 3.1.** For every  $x, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in A$ , every  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}^*_{\mathbb{K}}(A, A)$  and every n > 0 we have:

- (1)  $\Phi_x^n(1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = -\Phi_x^{n-1}(a_2, \dots, a_n),$ (2)  $\Phi_f^n(1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \Phi_{f(1)}^{n-1}(a_2, \dots, a_n),$
- (3)  $\Psi_f^n(1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 0.$

In particular  $\Phi_f^n(1,\ldots,1) = (-1)^{n-1}f(1)$  and then  $\Phi_f^n = 0$  if and only if  $\Psi_f^0 = f(1) = 0$ and  $\Psi_f^n = 0$ .

*Proof.* We have seen that  $\Psi_{Id} = \Phi_{Id} + \Phi_1 = \mu_{-1}$  and then,

$$\Phi_x(1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = [\Phi_x, \mu_{-1}](a_2, \dots, a_n) = \Phi_{[x, Id+1]}(a_2, \dots, a_n)$$
  
=  $-\Phi_x(a_2, \dots, a_n)$ ,  
$$\Psi_f(1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = [\Phi_f, \mu_{-1}](a_2, \dots, a_n) = \Psi_{[f, Id]}(a_2, \dots, a_n) = 0$$
,  
$$\Phi_f(1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \Psi_f(1, a_2, \dots, a_n) - \Phi_{f(1)}(1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$$
  
=  $-\Phi_{f(1)}(1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \Phi_{f(1)}(a_2, \dots, a_n)$ .

**Example 3.2** (Derivations). Let  $f: A \to A$  be a derivation, then  $[f, \mu_n] = \Psi_f^{n+1} = \Phi_f^{n+1} = \Phi_f^{n+1}$ 0 for every n > 0.

In fact, assuming  $f(ab) = f(a)b + (-1)^{|a||f|}af(b)$  for every  $a, b \in A$ , a completely straightforward computation gives  $[f, \mu_1] = [f, \mu_2] = 0$ . According to Lemma 1.4 and Jacobi identity we have then  $[f, \mu_n] = 0$  for every n > 2. The vanishing of  $\Phi_f^n$  for  $n \ge 2$  it is now an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2, while the vanishing of  $\Psi_f^n$  follows from the fact that f(1) = 0.

The converse of the above implication is generally false when A is not graded commutative. Consider for instance the algebra A = T(V)/I, where V is a vector space of dimension  $\geq 2, T(V) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^{\otimes n}$  is the tensor algebra generated by V and I is the ideal generated by  $V^{\otimes 3}$ . Consider now a map  $f: A \to A$  such that  $f(1) = f(v) = f(u \otimes v + v \otimes u) = 0$  for every  $u, v \in V$ . Since f(1) = 0 we have  $\Phi_f = \Psi_f$  and it is easy to see that  $[f, \mu_n] = \Psi_f^{n+1} =$  $\Phi_f^{n+1} = 0$  for every n > 0.

**Example 3.3** (Left and right multiplication maps). For a graded associative algebra A and every  $x \in A$  we shall denote by  $L_x$  and  $R_x$  the operators of left and right multiplication by x:

 $L_x, R_x: A \to A, \qquad L_x(a) = xa, \quad R_x(a) = (-1)^{|a||x|} ax.$ 

Denoting by  $\{a, b\} = ab - (-1)^{|a||b|} ba$  the graded commutator in A, we have:

$$L_x(1) = R_x(1) = x, \qquad \Psi_{L_x}^1(a) = \{x, a\}, \quad \Psi_{R_x}^1(a) = \{a, x\},$$
  
$$\Phi_{L_x}^2(a, b) = \Phi_{R_x}^2(a, b) = \frac{-1}{2}((-1)^{|a||x|}axb + (-1)^{(|a|+|x|)|b|}bxa).$$

$$\Psi_{L_x}^2(a,b) = \Psi_{R_x}^2(a,b) = \frac{1}{12}(\{\{x,a\},b\} + (-1)^{|a||b|}\{\{x,b\},a\}).$$

and then

$$\Psi^1_{L_x+R_x} = 0, \qquad \Psi^2_{L_x+R_x}(a,b) = \frac{1}{6} (\{\{x,a\},b\} + (-1)^{|a||b|} \{\{x,b\},a\}).$$

Notice that  $L_x - R_x = \{x, -\}$  is a derivation and then

$$\Phi_{L_x}^n = \Phi_{R_x}^n, \qquad \Psi_{L_x}^n = \Psi_{R_x}^n,$$

for every  $n \geq 2$ .

**Example 3.4.** As a partial converse of Example 3.2 we have that if A = T(V) is a tensor algebra and  $f: A \to A$  is linear, then f is a derivation if and only if  $\Phi_f^2 = 0$ .

In fact, if  $\Phi_f^2 = 0$ , according to the formula  $\Phi_f^2(1,1) = -f(1) = 0$  we have f(1) = 0; replacing f with  $f - \delta$ , where  $\delta \colon A \to A$  is the (unique) derivation such that  $\delta(v) = f(v)$ for every  $v \in V$ , it is not restrictive to assume f(V) = 0. For every  $a \in V$ , since f(a) = 0, we have  $0 = \Phi_f^2(a, a) = f(a^2)$ ; by the same argument  $0 = \Phi_f^2(a^2, a) = f(a^3)$  and more generally  $f(a^n) = 0$  for every n.

Next we prove by induction on n that  $f(V^{\otimes n+1}) = 0$ ; assuming  $f(V^{\otimes i}) = 0$  for every  $i \leq n$ , we need to prove f(ab) = 0 for every  $a \in V$  and  $b = v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \in V^{\otimes n}$ . If ab-ba = 0 then every  $v_i$  is a scalar multiple of a, and therefore  $f(ab) = cf(a^{n+1}) = 0$ . If  $ab \neq ba$ , then by the inductive assumption

$$0 = 2\Phi_f^2(a,b) = f(ab) + f(ba), \qquad 0 = 2\Phi_f^2(a^2,b) = f(a^2b) + f(ba^2)$$

Moreover, the vanishing of  $\Phi_f^2(ab, a)$  and  $\Phi_f^2(a, ba)$  gives the equalities

$$f(aba) + f(a^2b) = f(ab)a + af(ab),$$
  $f(aba) + f(ba^2) = f(ba)a + af(ba),$ 

whose sum gives f(aba) = 0 and therefore

$$f((ab)^2) + f((ba)^2) = f((aba)b) + f(b(aba)) = 0.$$

$$abf(ab) + f(ab)ab = f((ab)^2) = -f((ba)^2) = -baf(ba) - f(ba)ba = baf(ab) + f(ab)ba,$$
$$(ab - ba)f(ab) + f(ab)(ab - ba) = 0.$$

Since  $ab - ba \neq 0$  the last equality implies f(ab) = 0.

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $x \in A$  be a central element. Then

$$\Psi_{xy}^n = L_x \Psi_y^n, \qquad \Psi_{L_x f}^n = L_x \Psi_f^n, \qquad \Psi_f^{n+1}(x, a_1, \dots, a_n) = \Psi_{[f, L_x]}^n(a_1, \dots, a_n),$$

for every  $y \in A$ ,  $f: A \to A$ . For every sequence of central elements  $x, c_1, \ldots, c_n \in A$  we have

$$\Psi_x^n(c_1,\ldots,c_n) = (-1)^n x c_1 \cdots c_n, \qquad \Psi_f^n(c_1,\ldots,c_n) = [\ldots[[f,L_{c_1}],L_{c_2}]\ldots,L_{c_n}](1),$$

and therefore, when A is graded commutative the Koszul brackets  $\Psi_f^n$  are the same of the ones defined in [14].

*Proof.* Since x is central we have  $[L_x\phi, \mu_n] = L_x[\phi, \mu_n]$  for every n and every  $\phi \in D(A)$ ; the first two formulas follow from this and Theorem 2.2. In particular, for  $f = Id = L_1$  we get  $\Psi_{L_x} = L_x \Psi_{Id}$ , viz.  $\Psi_{L_x}^0 = x\mu_{-1}$  and  $\Psi_{L_x}^n = 0$  for every n > 0. This gives

$$\Psi_{[f,L_x]} = [\Psi_f, \Psi_{L_x}] = [\Psi_f, x\mu_{-1}] \,.$$

Since  $[f, L_1] = 0$  we get  $\Psi_f^n(1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) = \Psi_{[f, L_1]}^{n-1}(a_2, \ldots, a_n) = 0$  and, if  $c_1, \ldots, c_n \in A$  are central elements, by induction on n we have

$$\Psi_f^n(c_1,\ldots,c_n) = \Psi_{[\ldots[[f,L_{c_1}],L_{c_2}]\ldots,L_{c_n}]}^0 = [\ldots[[f,L_{c_1}],L_{c_2}]\ldots,L_{c_n}](1) .$$

Remark 3.6. A well known consequence of Proposition 3.5 is that, in the commutative case, the Koszul brackets are hereditary: this means that if  $\Psi_f^n = 0$  for some n > 0, then  $\Psi_f^k = 0$  for every  $k \ge n$ . This is clear if n = 1 since  $\Psi_f^1 = 0$  if and only if  $f = L_{f(1)}$ . If  $\Psi_f^n = 0$  for some n > 1, then  $\Psi_{[f,L_x]}^{n-1} = 0$  for every x and by induction  $\Psi_{[f,L_x]}^{k-1} = 0$  for every x and every  $k \ge n$ . According to Lemma 3.1 also the reduced Koszul brackets  $\Phi_f^n$  are hereditary.

This is generally false in the non-commutative case. Consider for instance an element  $x \in A$  of degree 0 and the operator  $f = L_x + R_x \colon A \to A$ , f(a) = ax + xa, for which we have already seen in Example 3.3 that

$$\Psi_f^1 = 0, \qquad \Psi_f^2(a, b) = \frac{1}{6} (\{\{x, a\}, b\} + (-1)^{|a||b|} \{\{x, b\}, a\}).$$

Remark 3.7. If A is not commutative and  $d: A \to A$  is a derivation, then in general  $\Phi_{d^2}^3 \neq 0$ . Therefore the attempt to use Koszul higher brackets to define differential operators remains unsatisfactory, although slightly better than the trivial extension of Grothendieck's definition, cf. [11, Rem. 2.3.5].

# 4. The formulas of Bering and Bandiera

For a given linear endomorphism  $f: A \to A$ , the brackets  $\Psi_f^n$  were defined by Koszul [14] in the commutative case by the formula

$$\Psi_f^n(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = [\dots[[f,L_{a_1}],L_{a_2}]\ldots,L_{a_n}](1)$$
  
=  $\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-k}}{k!(n-k)!} \sum_{\pi \in \Sigma_n} \epsilon(\pi) f(1 \cdot a_{\pi(1)} \cdots a_{\pi(k)}) a_{\pi(k+1)} \cdots a_{\pi(n)},$ 

where the 1 inside the argument of f is the unit of A. The generalized Jacobi identities

(4.1) 
$$\Psi_{[f,g]}^{n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} [\Psi_{f}^{i}, \Psi_{g}^{n-i+1}]$$

were first proved independently in [6, 15]. Extensions of the brackets  $\Psi_f^n$  to the noncommutative case satisfying (4.1) were first proposed by Bering [7] and later, with a completely different approach, by Bandiera [3] as a consequence of a more general formula about derived brackets. Both approaches involve the sequence  $B_n$  of Bernoulli numbers:

$$B(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} B_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{x}{e^x - 1}$$
$$= 1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{x}{1!} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{x^2}{2!} - \frac{1}{30} \frac{x^4}{4!} + \frac{1}{42} \frac{x^6}{6!} - \frac{1}{30} \frac{x^8}{8!} + \frac{5}{66} \frac{x^{10}}{10!} + \cdots$$

In order to simplify the notation it is useful to introduce the rational numbers

$$B_{i,j} = (-1)^j \sum_{k=0}^j {j \choose k} B_{i+k}, \qquad i,j \ge 0,$$

together their exponential generating function

$$B(x,y) = \sum_{i,j\geq 0} B_{i,j} \frac{x^i y^j}{i! j!} \in \mathbb{Q}[[x,y]].$$

Since

$$B(x,y) = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^j \sum_{k=0}^j B_{i+k} {j \choose k} \frac{x^i y^j}{i!j!} = \sum_{i,j} \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{(-y)^{j-k}}{(j-k)!} B_{i+k} \frac{x^i (-y)^k}{i!k!}$$
$$= e^{-y} B(x-y) = \frac{x-y}{e^x - e^y}$$
$$= 1 - \left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{y}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{x^2}{6} + \frac{2xy}{3} + \frac{y^2}{6}\right) - \frac{1}{3!} \left(\frac{x^2y}{2} + \frac{xy^2}{2}\right)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{4!} \left(-\frac{x^4}{30} + \frac{2x^3y}{15} + \frac{4x^2y^2}{5} + \frac{2xy^3}{15} - \frac{y^4}{30}\right) + \cdots,$$

we have B(x, y) = B(y, x) and therefore  $B_{i,j} = B_{j,i}$  for every i, j; as a byproduct we have just proved the following (well known) formulas about Bernoulli numbers:

(4.2) 
$$(-1)^{j} \sum_{k=0}^{j} {j \choose k} B_{i+k} = (-1)^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{i} {i \choose k} B_{j+k}, \qquad i, j \ge 0.$$

(4.3) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} B_{k} = (-1)^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{0} \binom{0}{k} B_{n+k} = (-1)^{n} B_{n}, \qquad n \ge 0.$$

For x = y we get

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} B_{i,j} \frac{x^i}{i!} \frac{x^j}{j!} = e^{-x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n!} (x-x)^n = e^{-x}$$

and then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} B_{i,n-i} \frac{x^n}{i!} \frac{x^j}{j!} = (-1)^n \frac{x^n}{n!}, \qquad \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} B_{i,n-i} = (-1)^n.$$

**Lemma 4.1.** The numbers  $B_{i,j}$  are uniquely determined by the following properties:

- (1)  $B_{0,n} = B_n;$
- (2)  $B_{i,j} + B_{i+1,j} + B_{i,j+1} = 0$  for every  $i, j \ge 0$ .

*Proof.* The only nontrivial part is the proof that  $B_{i,j} + B_{i+1,j} + B_{i,j+1} = 0$ . This can be done either by applying binomial identities to the formulas  $B_{i,j} = (-1)^j \sum_{k=0}^j {j \choose k} B_{i+k}$ , or by applying the differential operator  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$  to the equality  $e^y B(x, y) = B(x - y)$ .  $\Box$ 

We are now ready to prove that both Bering and Bandiera's brackets coincide with the brackets defined in Section 2; the key technical points of the proof will be the two lemmas 3.1 and 4.1.

**Theorem 4.2** (Bering's formulas). In the above notation, for every  $x \in A$  and every  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}^{*}(A, A)$  we have

$$\Psi_x^n(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = \sum_{i,j\ge 0}^{i+j=n} \frac{B_{i,j}}{i!\,j!} \sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_n} \epsilon(\pi,i,x) a_{\pi(1)}\cdots a_{\pi(i)} x a_{\pi(i+1)}\cdots a_{\pi(n)},$$
  
$$\Psi_f^n(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = \sum_{i,j,k\ge 0}^{i+j+k=n} \frac{B_{i,j}}{i!\,j!\,k!} \sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_n} \epsilon(\pi,i,f) a_{\pi(1)}\cdots a_{\pi(i)} \cdots a_{\pi(i)} \cdots a_{\pi(i+k+1)} \cdots a_{\pi(n)},$$

where

$$\epsilon(\pi, i, x) = \epsilon(\pi) \cdot (-1)^{|x|(|a_{\pi(1)}| + \dots + |a_{\pi(i)}|)}, \qquad \epsilon(\pi, i, f) = \epsilon(\pi) \cdot (-1)^{|f|(|a_{\pi(1)}| + \dots + |a_{\pi(i)}|)},$$

are the usual Koszul signs.

*Proof.* A direct inspection shows that the above formulas are true for n = 0, 1, 2. In general, expanding the recursive equations of Theorem 2.2 we get

$$\Phi_x^n(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = \sum_{i,j\ge 0}^{i+j=n} \frac{C_{i,j}}{i!\,j!} \sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_n} \epsilon(\pi,i,x) a_{\pi(1)}\cdots a_{\pi(i)} \, x \, a_{\pi(i+1)}\cdots a_{\pi(n)}$$

for a suitable sequence of rational numbers  $C_{i,j}$ . In order to prove the theorem it is sufficient to prove that  $C_{0,n} = B_n$  for every  $n \ge 2$  and  $C_{i,j} + C_{i+1,j} + C_{i,j+1} = 0$  for every  $i, j \ge 0$ ; to this end it is not restrictive to assume that A is the free unitary associative algebra generated by  $x, a_1, \ldots, a_n, |x| = |a_i| = 0$ . The coefficient of  $a_1 \cdots a_i x a_{i+1} \cdots a_n$  in  $\Phi_x^{n+1}(1, a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ is equal to

$$(i+1)\frac{C_{i+1,j}}{(i+1)!j!} + (j+1)\frac{C_{i,j+1}}{i!(j+1)!} = \frac{C_{i+1,j} + C_{i,j+1}}{i!j!}$$

According to Lemma 3.1,  $\Phi_x^{n+1}(1, a_1, \ldots, a_n) = -\Phi_x^n(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  and then the above coef-

ficient is equal to  $-\frac{C_{i,j}}{i!j}$ . The proof that  $C_{0,n} = B_n$  is done by induction on n. Assuming  $C_{0,i} = B_i$  for every i < n, the coefficient of  $x a_1 \cdots a_n$  in  $[\Phi_x^{n-h}, \mu_h], h > 0$ , is equal to

$$\frac{B_{n-h}}{(n-h)!} \frac{n-h-1}{(h+1)!},$$

and therefore

$$\frac{C_{0,n}}{n!} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=1}^{n} (-1)^{h+1} \frac{B_{n-h}}{(n-h)!} \frac{n-h-1}{(h+1)!}$$

Since  $(-1)^{h+1}B_{n-h}(n-h-1) = (-1)^{n+1}B_{n-h}(n-h-1)$  for every *h* we have

$$(-1)^{n+1}C_{0,n} = (n-1)! \sum_{h=1}^{n} \frac{B_{n-h}}{(n-h)!} \frac{n-h-1}{(h+1)!}$$
$$= n! \sum_{h=1}^{n} \frac{B_{n-h}}{(n-h)!(h+1)!} - (n-1)! \sum_{h=1}^{n} \frac{B_{n-h}}{(n-h)!h!}$$
$$= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{h=1}^{n} \binom{n+1}{n-h} B_{n-h} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=1}^{n} \binom{n}{n-h} B_{n-h}$$
$$= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \binom{n+1}{s} B_s - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{s} B_s.$$

Since  $n \ge 2$  we have

$$\sum_{s=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{s} B_s = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{s} B_s = 0$$

and therefore

$$(-1)^{n+1}C_{0,n} = -\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{n+1}{n}B_n = -B_n, \qquad C_{0,n} = (-1)^n B_n = B_n.$$

12

Again by Theorem 2.2 we have

$$\Phi_f^n(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i,j\ge 0}^{i+j=n-k} \frac{C_{i,j,k}}{i!\,j!\,k!} \sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_n} \epsilon(\pi,i,f) a_{\pi(1)}\cdots a_{\pi(i)} \cdots a_{\pi(i)} \cdots a_{\pi(i+k+1)}\cdots a_{\pi(n)},$$

for a suitable sequence of rational numbers  $C_{i,j,k}$ . Therefore

$$\Psi_f^n(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i,j\ge 0}^{i+j=n-k} \frac{C_{i,j,k}}{i!\,j!\,k!} \sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_n} \epsilon(\pi,i,f) a_{\pi(1)}\cdots a_{\pi(i)} \cdots a_{\pi(i)} \cdots a_{\pi(i+k+1)}\cdots a_{\pi(n)},$$

where  $C_{i,j,0} = B_{i,j}$  for every  $i, j \ge 0$ ; in order to prove the theorem it is therefore sufficient to show by induction on k that  $C_{i,j,k} = B_{i,j}$ . By Lemma 3.1 we have  $\Psi_f^{n+1}(1, a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 0$ , whereas the coefficient of  $a_1 \cdots a_i \cdot f(1 \cdot a_{i+1} \cdots a_{i+k}) \cdot a_{i+k+1} \cdots a_n$  in  $\Psi_f^{n+1}(1, a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ is equal to

$$0 = (i+1)\frac{C_{i+1,j,k}}{(i+1)!\,j!\,k!} + (j+1)\frac{C_{i,j+1,k}}{i!(j+1)!\,k!} + (k+1)\frac{C_{i,j,k+1}}{i!\,j!\,(k+1)!} ,$$

and then

$$C_{i,j,k+1} = -C_{i+1,j,k} - C_{i,j+1,k} = -B_{i+1,j} - B_{i,j+1} = B_{i,j}.$$

As already pointed out in [7, 22], although the expression  $[...[[f, L_{a_1}], L_{a_2}] \ldots, L_{a_n}](1)$  makes sense in every unitary graded associative algebra, its symmetrization does not give the Koszul brackets  $\Psi_f^n$ . For instance, if |a| = |b| = |f| = 0 and f(1) = 0, then

$$\frac{[[f, L_a], L_b] + (-1)^{|a||b|}[[f, L_b], L_a]}{2} - \Psi_f^2(a, b) = \frac{\{f(a), b\} + \{f(b), a\}}{2},$$

where  $\{-, -\}$  denotes the graded commutator in A.

**Theorem 4.3** (Bandiera's formulas). Let A be a graded unitary associative algebra. For every  $x \in A$  and every  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}^{*}(A, A)$  we have:

$$\Psi_x^n(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = \sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_n} \varepsilon(\pi) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^n B_{n-k}}{k!(n-k)!} \{\{\cdots\{x\,a_{\pi(1)}\cdots a_{\pi(k)}, a_{\pi(k+1)}\},\ldots\}, a_{\pi(n)}\},$$
$$\Psi_f^n(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = \sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_n} \varepsilon(\pi) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{B_{n-k}}{k!(n-k)!} \{\{\cdots\{f_k(a_{\pi(1)},\ldots,a_{\pi(k)}), a_{\pi(k+1)}\},\ldots\}, a_{\pi(n)}\},$$

where  $\{a, b\} = ab - (-1)^{|a||b|} ba$ , and  $f_k \colon A^{\otimes k} \to A$  is the sequence of operators

$$f_0 = f(1),$$
  $f_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = [\cdots [[f, L_{a_1}], L_{a_2}], \dots, L_{a_n}](1).$ 

*Proof.* Denoting momentarily by

$$\Theta_x^n(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = \sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_n} \varepsilon(\pi) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^n B_{n-k}}{k!(n-k)!} \{\{\cdots \{x \, a_{\pi(1)}\cdots a_{\pi(k)}, a_{\pi(k+1)}\},\ldots\}, a_{\pi(n)}\},$$

$$\Theta_f^n(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = \sum_{\pi \in \Sigma_n} \varepsilon(\pi) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{B_{n-k}}{k!(n-k)!} \{ \{\cdots \{f_k(a_{\pi(1)},\ldots,a_{\pi(k)}), a_{\pi(k+1)}\},\ldots\}, a_{\pi(n)} \} ,$$

by Bering's formulas it is sufficient to prove that

(4.4) 
$$\Theta_x^n(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = \sum_{i,j\ge 0}^{i+j=n} \frac{B_{i,j}}{i!\,j!} \sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_n} \epsilon(\pi,i,x) a_{\pi(1)}\cdots a_{\pi(i)} x a_{\pi(i+1)}\cdots a_{\pi(n)},$$

(4.5) 
$$\Theta_{f}^{n}(a_{1},\ldots,a_{n}) = \sum_{i,j,k\geq 0}^{i+j+k=n} \frac{B_{i,j}}{i!\,j!\,k!} \sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_{n}} \epsilon(\pi,i,f) a_{\pi(1)}\cdots a_{\pi(i)} \cdots a_{\pi(i)} \cdots e_{\pi(i+k+1)} \cdots e_{\pi(n)} \cdots e_{\pi(n)} e_{\pi(i+k+1)} \cdots e_{\pi(i+k+1)} e_{\pi(i+k+1)} \cdots e_{\pi(i+k+1)} \cdots e_{\pi(i+k+1)} \cdots e_{\pi(i+k+1)} \cdots e_{\pi(i+k+1)} \cdots e_{\pi(i+k+1)} e_{\pi(i+k+1)} \cdots e_{\pi(i+k+1)} \cdots e_{\pi(i+k+1)} \cdots e_{\pi(i+k+1)} \cdots e_{\pi(i+k+1)} \cdots e_{\pi(i+k+1)} e_{\pi(i+k+1)} \cdots e_{\pi(i+k+1)} \cdots e_{\pi(i+k+1)} e_{\pi(i+k+1)} \cdots e_{\pi(i+k+$$

It's easy to see that, for every  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$ , we have:

$$\Theta_f^{n+1}(1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 0, \qquad \Theta_x^{n+1}(1, a_1, \dots, a_n) = -\Theta_x^n(a_1, \dots, a_n),$$

and then we can prove (4.4) and (4.5) in the same way as in Theorem 4.2. In fact, expanding the commutator brackets we can write

$$\Theta_x^n(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = \sum_{i,j\geq 0}^{i+j=n} \frac{C_{i,j}}{i!\,j!} \sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_n} \epsilon(\pi,i,x) a_{\pi(1)}\cdots a_{\pi(i)} \, x \, a_{\pi(i+1)}\cdots a_{\pi(n)} \,,$$

for some rational coefficients  $C_{i,j}$ . Looking at the coefficient of  $xa_1 \cdots a_n$  in  $\Theta_x^n(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  we get

$$\frac{C_{0,n}}{n!} = (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{B_{n-k}}{k!(n-k)!} = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B_k = \frac{B_n}{n!} = \frac{B_{0,n}}{n!} ,$$

and the proof of  $C_{i,j} = B_{i,j}$  follows from the equality  $\Theta_x^{n+1}(1, a_1, \ldots, a_n) = -\Theta_x^n(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  exactly as in Theorem 4.2. Similarly, expanding the operators  $f_k$  and the commutator brackets we can write

$$\Theta_f^n(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i,j \ge 0}^{i+j=n-k} \frac{C_{i,j,k}}{i!\,j!\,k!} \sum_{\pi \in \Sigma_n} \epsilon(\pi, i, f) a_{\pi(1)} \cdots a_{\pi(i)} \cdots a_{\pi(i)} \cdots a_{\pi(i+k-1)} \cdots a_{\pi(n)},$$

for certain rational coefficients  $C_{i,j,k}$ . Comparing the coefficients of  $f(1 \cdot a_1 \cdots a_k) \cdot a_{k+1} \cdots a_n$ we get

$$\frac{C_{0,n-k,k}}{k!\,(n-k)!} = \frac{B_{n-k}}{k!\,(n-k)!}, \qquad C_{0,n-k,k} = B_{n-k} = B_{0,n-k},$$

We now prove by induction on *i* that  $C_{i,j,k} = B_{i,j}$  and therefore the proof of the equality  $\Psi_f = \Theta_f$  follows by Bering's formula; the coefficient of  $a_1 \cdots a_i \cdot f(1 \cdot a_{i+1} \cdots a_{i+k}) \cdot a_{i+k+1} \cdots a_n$  in  $\Theta_f^{n+1}(1, a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  is equal to

$$0 = (i+1)\frac{C_{i+1,j,k}}{(i+1)!\,j!\,k!} + (j+1)\frac{C_{i,j+1,k}}{i!(j+1)!\,k!} + (k+1)\frac{C_{i,j,k+1}}{i!\,j!\,(k+1)!} ,$$

and then

$$C_{i+1,j,k} = -C_{i,j,k+1} - C_{i,j+1,k} = -B_{i,j} - B_{i,j+1} = B_{i+1,j}.$$

|  | _ | _ |  |
|--|---|---|--|
|  |   |   |  |
|  |   |   |  |
|  |   |   |  |
|  |   |   |  |

# 5. Additional remarks

The uniqueness theorem for non-unitary algebras. It is clear from the proof that Theorem 2.1 admits several slight modifications, either changing the underlying categories or the choice of initial terms and gauge fixing conditions. According to Theorem 2.2, the reduced Koszul brackets  $\Phi^n$  also make sense for graded associative algebras without unit. It is therefore natural to expect an uniqueness theorem also for reduced Koszul brackets in the setup of non-unitary associative algebras.

**Theorem 5.1.** There exists a unique way to assign to every graded associative algebra A a morphism of graded Lie algebras

$$\Phi \colon \operatorname{End}^*(A) \to D(A), \qquad \Phi = \sum \Phi^n, \quad \Phi^n \colon \operatorname{End}^*(A) \to D_{n-1}(A),$$

such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) naturality: for every morphism of graded associative algebras  $\alpha \colon A \to B$  and every pair of linear maps  $f \colon A \to A$ ,  $g \colon B \to B$  such that  $g\alpha = \alpha f$ , we have

$$\alpha \Phi_f^n = \Phi_g^n \alpha^{\odot n} \quad : A^{\odot n} \to B$$

(2) base change: the operators  $\Phi_{Id}^n$  are multilinear over the graded centre of A: more precisely, if  $c \in A$  and  $ac = (-1)^{|a||c|}ca$  for every  $a \in A$ , then

$$\Phi_{Id}^n(a_1,\ldots,a_nc) = \Phi_{Id}^n(a_1,\ldots,a_n)c$$

for every  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ .

(3) initial terms: for every  $f: A \to A$  we have

$$\Phi^0_f = 0, \qquad \Phi^1_f = f \; .$$

- (4) gauge fixing: at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:
  - (a) if  $A = \mathbb{K}$  then  $\Phi_{Id} = \sum_{n \ge 0} (-1)^n \mu_n$ ;
  - (b) if  $A = \mathbb{K}[t]$  and  $\partial^2$  is the second derivative operator, then  $\Phi^2_{\partial^2}(a, b) = 2(\partial a)(\partial b)$ and  $\Phi^n_{\partial^2} = 0$  for every  $n \ge 3$ .

*Proof.* Notice first that when the graded associative algebra A is not unitary, then the definition of  $\mu_n \colon A^{\odot n+1} \to A$  makes sense only for  $n \ge 0$  and Lemma 1.4 holds for every  $n, m \ge 0$ .

The existence is clear: it is sufficient to take

$$\Phi_f = \exp\left(\left[-, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \mu_n\right]\right) f$$

where  $K_n$  is the sequence of rational numbers defined in the proof of Theorem 2.1: when A is unitary we recover the reduced Koszul brackets. In particular, for  $A = \mathbb{K}[t]$  we have  $\partial^2(1) = 0$ ,

$$\Phi_{\partial^2}^n(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = \Psi_{\partial^2}^n(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = [\ldots[[\partial^2,L_{a_1}],L_{a_2}]\ldots,L_{a_n}](1)$$

and then  $\Phi_{\partial^2}^n = 0$  for every  $n \ge 3$ . The recursive formulas of Theorem 2.2 give  $[\Phi_{\partial^2}^2, \mu_{n-1}] = [\partial^2, \mu_n]$  for every n.

The proof of the unicity is essentially the same as in the the unitary case and we give only a sketch. Assume that for every graded associative algebra A it is given a morphism of graded Lie algebras  $\phi \colon \operatorname{End}^*(A) \to D(A)$  which satisfies the condition of the theorem: we want to prove that  $\phi = \Phi$ .

For  $A = \mathbb{K}$  we have  $\phi_{Id} = \mu_0 + \sum_{n \ge 1} s_n \mu_n$  for a suitable sequence  $s_1, s_2, \ldots \in \mathbb{K}$ and by base change the same holds for  $A = \mathbb{K}[t]$ . The gauge fixing condition implies that

 $s_n = (-1)^n$  for every *n*: this is clear in the first case, while in the second case we have  $[\phi_{\partial^2}^2, \mu_{n-1}] = [\Phi_{\partial^2}^2, \mu_{n-1}] = [\partial^2, \mu_n]$  for every *n* and from the equality

$$0 = [\phi_{\partial^2}, \phi_{Id}] = [\phi_{\partial^2}^2, s_{n-1}\mu_{n-1}] + [\partial^2, s_n\mu_n]$$

we get  $s_n = -s_{n-1}$  for every n.

Considering the inclusion  $t\mathbb{K}[t] \to \mathbb{K}[t]$ , by naturality the formula  $\phi_{Id} = \sum_{n\geq 0} (-1)^n \mu_n$ holds also for the algebra  $t\mathbb{K}[t]$  and the polarization trick gives  $\phi_{Id} = \sum_{n\geq 0} (-1)^n \mu_n$ for every graded associative algebra A. Finally the formula  $[\phi_f, \phi_{Id}] = \phi_{[f,Id]} = 0$  gives immediately the recursive equations

$$\phi_f^0 = 0, \quad \phi_f^1 = f, \qquad \phi_f^{n+1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=1}^n (-1)^{h+1} [\phi_f^{n-h+1}, \mu_h].$$

The quantum antibracket of Vinogradov, Batalin and Marnelius. Given a graded associative algebra A, the quantum antibracket associated to a homogeneous element  $Q \in A$  of odd degree |Q| = k is defined by the formula

$$(-,-)_Q \colon A \times A \to A, \qquad (a,b)_Q = \frac{1}{2}(\{a,\{Q,b\}\} - (-1)^{(|a|+k)(|b|+k)}\{b,\{Q,a\}\}))$$

This bracket has been introduced by Batalin and Marnelius in [5] as the unique bracket (up to a scalar factor) satisfying certain natural properties arising in the context of quantization of classical dynamic. An essentially equivalent construction was also given by Vinogradov in the algebra of linear endomorphisms of the space of differential forms on a manifold, with Q = d the de Rham differential [9, 13].

The bracket  $(-, -)_Q$  is graded skewsymmetric of degree 0 on the shifted complex A[-k]and corresponds, by standard shifting degrees (décalage) formulas, to the graded symmetric operator  $B_Q: A^{\odot 2} \to A$  of degree k:

$$B_Q(a,b) = (-1)^{k|a|}(a,b)_Q = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \{\{Q,a\},b\} + (-1)^{|a||b|} \{\{Q,b\},a\} \right).$$

Therefore, according to Example 3.3, we have

$$\Psi_{L_Q}^2 = \Psi_{R_Q}^2 = -\frac{1}{6}B_Q \,.$$

**Gauge fixing variation and Börjeson's brackets.** Changing the gauge fixing condition in Theorem 5.1 one can obtain different hierarchies of higher brackets: for instance, setting  $\Phi_{Id} = \mu_0$  we get  $\Phi^n = 0$  for every  $n \ge 2$ , while setting  $\Phi_{Id} = \mu_0 - \mu_1$ , i.e.,  $K_1 = 1$  and  $K_n = 0$  for every n > 1, it is easy to see that the resulting higher brackets are the graded symmetrizations of the Börjeson's brackets [8, 18].

#### References

- F. Akman: On some generalizations of Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 120 (1997), 105-141; arXiv:q-alg/9506027.
- [2] M. Aschenbrenner and W. Bergweiler: Julia's equation and differential transcendence. arXiv:1307.6381 [math.CV]. 5
- [3] R. Bandiera: Nonabelian higher derived brackets. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 219 (2015), 3292-3313; arXiv:1304.4097 [math.QA]. 1, 2, 10
- [4] R. Bandiera: Formality of Kapranov's brackets in Kähler geometry via pre-Lie deformation theory. Int. Math. Res. Notices, published online December 23, 2015 doi:10.1093/imrn/rnv362; arXiv:1307.8066v3 [math.QA]. 1, 4
- [5] I. A. Batalin and R. Marnelius: *Quantum antibrackets*. Phys. Lett. B 434 (1998), 312-320; arXiv:hep-th/9805084. 16

UNIQUENESS AND INTRINSIC PROPERTIES OF NON-COMMUTATIVE KOSZUL BRACKETS 17

- K. Bering, P. H. Damgaard and J. Alfaro: Algebra of higher antibrackets. Nuclear Phys. B 478 (1996), 459-503; arXiv:hep-th/9604027. 1, 7, 10
- [7] K. Bering: Non-commutative Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras, homotopy Lie algebras and the Courant bracket. Comm. Mat. Phys. 274 (2007), 297-341; arXiv:hep-th/0603116. 1, 2, 10, 13
- [8] K. Börjeson: A<sub>∞</sub>-algebras derived from associative algebras with a non-derivation differential. J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. 9, Number 1 (2015), 5 pages; arXiv:1304.6231. 16
- [9] A. Cabras and A.M. Vinogradov: Extensions of the Poisson bracket to differential forms and multivector fields. J. Geom. Phys. 9 (1992), 75-100.
- [10] D. Fiorenza and M. Manetti: Formality of Koszul brackets and deformations of holomorphic Poisson manifolds. Homology, Homotopy and Applications, 14, No. 2, (2012), 63-75; arXiv:1109.4309v3 [math.QA] 7
- [11] V. Ginzburg and T. Schedler: Differential operators and BV structures in noncommutative geometry. Selecta Math. (N.S.) 16 (2010), no. 4, 673-730; arXiv:0710.3392 [math.QA]. 10
- M. Kontsevich: Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, I. Letters in Mathematical Physics 66 (2003) 157-216; arXiv:q-alg/9709040. 3
- [13] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach: Derived brackets. Letters in Mathematical Physics 69 (2004) 61-87; arXiv:math/0312524 [math.DG]. 16
- [14] J.-L. Koszul: Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et cohomologie. Astérisque, (Numero Hors Serie) (1985) 257-271. 1, 7, 9, 10
- [15] O. Kravchenko: Deformations of Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras. In Poisson geometry (Warsaw, 1998), volume 51 of Banach Center Publ., pages 131-139. Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, (2000); arXiv:math/9903191. 1, 10
- [16] T. Lada and J. Stasheff: Introduction to sh Lie algebras for physicists. Int. J. Theor. Phys. 32 (1993) 1087-1104; hep-th/9209099. 1, 3
- [17] M. Manetti and G. Ricciardi: Universal Lie formulas for higher antibrackets. arXiv:1509.09032 [math.QA]. 1, 4, 5
- [18] M. Markl: On the origin of higher braces and higher-order derivations. J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. 10 (2015), no. 3, 637-667; arXiv:1309.7744 [math.KT] 1, 7, 16
- M. Markl: Higher braces via formal (non)commutative geometry. In Geometric Methods in Physics: XXXIII Workshop, Białowieża, Poland, June 29-July 5, 2014, Springer International Publishing (2015), 67-81; arXiv:1411.6964 [math.AT] 7
- [20] A. Nijenhuis and R. W. Richardson: Deformation of Lie algebra structures. J. Math. Mech. 17 (1967), 89-105. 3
- [21] J. Stasheff: The intrinsic bracket on the deformation complex of an associative algebra. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 89 (1993) 231-235. 3
- [22] Th. Voronov: Higher derived brackets and homotopy algebras. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 202 (2005), no. 1-3, 133-153; arXiv:math.QA/0304038. 1, 13

Università degli studi di Roma La Sapienza,

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA "GUIDO CASTELNUOVO",

P.LE ALDO MORO 5, I-00185 ROMA, ITALY.

*E-mail address*: manetti@mat.uniroma1.it *URL*: www.mat.uniroma1.it/people/manetti/