



**SAPIENZA**  
UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE DI BASE E APPLICATE PER  
L'INGEGNERIA

---

PHD THESIS IN MATHEMATICAL MODELS FOR  
ENGINEERING, ELECTROMAGNETISM AND NANOSCIENCES

**Ruggero Freddi**

**Morse Index of Multiple Blow-Up  
Solutions to the Two-Dimensional  
Sinh-Poisson Equation**

**Advisors:**

**Prof. Angela Pistoia**  
**Prof. Massimo Grossi**

---

Academic Years 2016-2019

To my beloved husband Gustavo,  
por siempre y para siempre...

## Acknowledgements

First, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my advisors Angela Pistoia and Massimo Grossi for the great support, both academic and human, they gave me over these years as PhD student, for the stimulating conversations we had, and for the many interesting ideas they shared with me.

I would also like to thank Federico Amadio Guidi for being a great friend, as well as for the precious help he gave me, especially in the writing process.

Finally, I would like to thank Alessio Basti and Alice Ramassone for always being available whenever I needed.

# Abstract

In this thesis we consider the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \rho^2(e^u - e^{-u}) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $\rho$  is a small parameter and  $\Omega$  is a  $C^2$  bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . For each  $\rho$  sufficiently small, [1] proves the existence of a  $m$ -point blow-up solution  $u_\rho$  jointly with its asymptotic behaviour. We compute the Morse index of  $u_\rho$  in terms of the Morse index of the associated Hamilton function of this problem. In addition, we give an asymptotic estimate for the first  $4m$  eigenvalues and eigenfunctions.

# Contents

Introduction and Main Results	iii
1 Preliminaries and Notations	1
2 Eigenvalues from 1 to $m$	8
3 Eigenvalues from $m + 1$ to $3m$	24
4 Morse Index Computations	62
Bibliography	76

# Introduction and Main Results

We are concerned with the study of the Morse index of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \rho^2(e^u - e^{-u}) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where  $\rho$  is a small parameter and  $\Omega$  is a  $C^2$  bounded and connected domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or a convex polygon with corner points  $\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n\} \subseteq \partial\Omega$ . This equation has been widely studied as it is strictly related to the vortex-type configuration for 2D turbulent Euler flows (see [6], [7], and [24]). Its importance is due to the fact that, suitably adapted, it describes interesting phenomena in widely different areas like liquid helium, meteorology and oceanography; it highlights effects that are important in all those subjects. Moreover, its dynamics are isomorphic to those of the electrostatic guiding-center plasma, which have been widely extended to describe strongly magnetized plasmas (see for instance [34], [29], and [35]).

It has been known since Kirchhoff [21] that, if we let  $\xi_i \in \Omega$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , be the centres of the vorticity blobs, then the  $\xi_i$ 's obey an approximate Hamiltonian dynamic associated to the Hamiltonian function

$$\mathcal{F}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m R(\xi_k) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq k, j \leq m} \alpha_k \alpha_j G(\xi_k, \xi_j), \quad (3)$$

with  $\alpha_k \in \{1, -1\}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , depending on the sign of the corresponding vorticity blob. Through two different approaches, Joyce [19] and Montgomery [28] proved at heuristic level that, if we let  $\omega$  be the vorticity,  $\psi$  the flow's

stream function,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  the inverse of the temperature, and  $Z > 0$  an appropriate normalization constant, then we have  $\omega(\psi) = \frac{2}{Z} \sinh(-\psi)$  for a flow with total vorticity equal to zero, i.e.  $\int_{\Omega} \omega = 0$ , and  $\omega(\psi) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta\psi}$  for a flow with total vorticity equal to one, i.e.  $\int_{\Omega} \omega = 1$ . Setting  $u = -|\beta|\psi$ , the 2D Euler equation in stationary form

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla \omega(\psi) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ -\Delta \psi = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \mathbf{w} \cdot \nu = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \setminus \{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n\}, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbf{w}$  is the velocity field, reduces to the sinh-Poisson equation (2), where  $\rho = \sqrt{\frac{|\beta|}{Z}}$ . More recently, in [5] and [4] was rigorously proved that for any  $\beta \geq -9\pi$ ,  $\omega(\psi) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta\psi}$  is the mean field-limit vorticity for both the micro-canonical and the canonical equilibrium statistic distributions for the Hamiltonian point-vortex model. The solutions to (4) with  $\beta < 0$  of the type of those suggested in [19] ('negative temperature' states) have shown to represent very well the numerical experiment on the Navier-Stokes equations with high Reynolds number ([25], [26], and [30]). For further details and recent developments in the study of this problem see [4], [22], and [36]. Due to the just mentioned results much effort has been put into finding out explicit solutions for the Euler equations with Joy-Montgomery vorticity. Among the most relevant there are the Mallier-Maslowe [23] counter rotating vortices, and their generalization ([8] and [9]). The Mallier-Maslowe vortices are sign changing solutions to  $-\Delta u = \rho^2 \sinh(u)$ , with 1-periodic boundary conditions, one absolute maxima and minima and two nodal domains in each periodic cell, the resulting Euler flow is composed of symmetric and disjoint regions where the velocity fields are counter directed. This type of solutions are a suitable initial data for numerical computations ([36] and [22]). Moreover, their explicit expression led to recent results which gave some insight of the properties of the non-linear dynamical stabilities of periodic array of vortices ([20], [18], and [10]).

The Morse index theory is of great importance in many fields, as for

instance in Physics, where we can look at the Morse index of a solution to an equation as a measure of the stability of that solution, and in Mathematical Analysis, as it allows us to determine the number of critical points (see [27], [31] and [32]).

Different solutions to the equation (2) have been found. In [13] it has been built a positive solution whose concentration points converges to critical point of (3), as  $\rho$  goes to zero. More recently, in [1] and [3] it has been proved the existence of a sign changing solution whose velocity field converges to a sum of Dirac deltas centred at critical points of (3). Furthermore, in [17] it has been constructed a solution that converges to a sum of  $k$  Dirac deltas with alternate sign all centred at the same critical point of the Robin's function.

In [1] it is proved that, under some assumptions on the points  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$ , for each  $\rho$  sufficiently small there exists a solution  $u_\rho$  to the equation (2), and its profile is given. Let  $\mu_{\rho,j}$  and  $v_{\rho,j}$  be respectively the  $j$ -th eigenvalue and the  $j$ -th eigenfunction of the linearised equation around  $u_\rho$ . Moreover, let

$$\tilde{v}_{\rho,n}^{(i)} = \chi_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_i\rho}}(0)}(x)v_{\rho,n} \left( \frac{\tau_i\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,i} \right)$$

be the rescaled function of the  $n$ -th eigenfunction around  $\xi_{\rho,i}$ . We begin by proving some results about the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenvalues  $\mu_{\rho,j}$ , the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenfunctions  $v_{\rho,j}$  away from the points  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$ , and the asymptotic behaviour of the rescaled eigenfunctions  $\tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(i)}$ . We then conclude by giving an expression in term of the Morse index of the Hamiltonian function for the Morse index of  $u_\rho$ , for  $\rho$  suitably small.

Let us now state the main results of this work. We start by the first  $m$  eigenvalues and eigenfunctions

**Theorem 0.1.** *For every  $j = 1, \dots, m$  there exists an  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and nonzero real constants  $C_j^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

1.  $\mu_{\rho,j} < -\frac{1}{2\log(\rho)}$ , for  $\rho$  small enough;
2.  $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)} = C_j^{(k)}$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ;



$$3. \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{v_{\rho,j}(x)}{\mu_{\rho,j}} = 8\pi \sum_{k=1}^m C_j^{(k)} G(x, \xi_k) \text{ in } C_{\text{loc}}^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}).$$

By the previous result we see that the  $j$ -th eigenfunction also concentrates at the points  $\xi_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . If we consider higher eigenvalues, we again have concentration at  $k$  points but a different behaviour occurs. In order to describe it let us denote by  $\eta_j$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, 2m$ , the eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix of the Hamilton function  $\mathcal{F}$  at the point  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$ . We will always work under the assumption that  $\eta_j \neq 0$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, 2m$ . Now we are in position to state the result about the behaviour of  $\mu_{\rho,j}$  and  $v_{\rho,j}$  for  $j = m + 1, \dots, 3m$ .

**Theorem 0.2.** *For every  $j = m + 1, \dots, 3m$  there exists an  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that*

1.  $\mu_{\rho,j} = 1 - 3\pi\rho^2\eta_j + o(\rho^2)$ , for  $\rho$  small enough;
2.  $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)} = \frac{s_{1,j}^{(k)}x_1 + s_{2,j}^{(k)}x_2}{8+|x|^2}$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for some  $s = (s_{1,j}^{(k)}, s_{2,j}^{(k)}) \neq (0, 0)$ ;
3.  $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{v_{\rho,j}}{\rho} = 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\tau_k}{\sqrt{8}} \left( s_{1,j}^{(k)} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_1}(x, \xi_k) + s_{2,j}^{(k)} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_2}(x, \xi_k) \right)$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\})$ .

Our final results concerns the study of  $\mu_{\rho,j}$  and  $v_{\rho,j}$  for  $j = 3m, \dots, 4m$ . This result, jointly with the previous theorems, is crucial for the computation of the Morse index of the solution.

Finally, we have

**Theorem 0.3.** *For every  $j = 3m + 1, \dots, 4m$  there exists an  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that*

1.  $\mu_{\rho,j} = 1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\log(\rho)} (1 + o(1))$ , for  $\rho$  small enough;
2.  $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) = t_j^{(k)} \frac{8-|x|^2}{8+|x|^2}$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for some  $t_j^{(k)} \neq 0$ ;
3.  $\log \rho v_{\rho,j}(x) \rightarrow 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^m t_j^{(k)} G(x, \xi_k)$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\})$ .

Now let us denote by  $\mathcal{M}(u_\rho)$  the Morse index of the solution  $u_\rho$ . We therefore get our main result.

**Theorem 0.4.** *For a sufficiently small  $\rho$  we have*

$$\mathcal{M}(u_\rho) = 3m - \mathcal{M}(\text{Hess } \mathcal{F}),$$

where by  $\mathcal{M}(\text{Hess } \mathcal{F})$  we denote the number of negative eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix of  $\mathcal{F}$  at the point  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$ .

From this, we also deduce that:

**Corollary 0.5.** *For a sufficiently small  $\rho$ , we have that*

$$m \leq \mathcal{M}(u_\rho) \leq 3m.$$

It's interesting to notice that the above results are very similar to those obtained in [15] where the Morse index for the multiple blow-up solutions to the Gelfand's problem is calculated and asymptotic estimates for the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of its associated linearised equation are found. The biggest difference between this work and [15] is that the solutions to the Gelfand's problem can not have sign changing blow-up solutions, while this type of solutions are possible in our case.

On the other hand, confronting our results with those obtained in [11] we can see how different they are. In our case, the Morse index of a solution depends on the Morse index of the Hamiltonian function, while in [11] is proved that if  $u$  is the least energy sign-changing radial solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u & \text{in } B \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where  $B$  is the ball of radius one and centred at the origin and  $p > 1$ , if  $p$  is large enough, then its Morse index is twelve.

Finally, we want to mention the results obtained in [2] where the equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

is studied. In [2] are given proper hypothesis on the regularity and the growth rate of the non-linear part  $f$  for the existence of sign changing solutions with Morse index at most equal to one and for sign changing solution with Morse index equal to two.

Before concluding this short introduction the author wants to acknowledge that many of the ideas and the techniques in this thesis have been inspired by those of [14], where the Morse index is computed for the Gelfand problem.

# Chapter 1

## Preliminaries and Notations

In the following work, we will denote by  $C$  a constant which may possibly change from step to step, with  $o(1)$  a function of  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\rho > 0$  whose norm in a suitable function space (which we will specify every time) goes to zero as  $\rho$  goes to zero, and with  $\Omega$  a  $C^2$  bounded and connected domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or a convex polygon with corner points  $\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n\} \subseteq \partial\Omega$ . Moreover, we will let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that  $B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,i}) \subseteq \Omega$  and  $B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,i}) \cap B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,j}) = \emptyset$  for any  $i, j = 1, \dots, m$  and  $i \neq j$ , and for  $\rho > 0$  sufficiently small.

We will denote by  $\psi_{\rho,i}$  a function in  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $0 \leq \psi_{\rho,i}(x) \leq 1$  and  $\psi_{\rho,i}(x) = 1$  for each  $x$  in  $B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,i})$ , and  $\psi_{\rho,i}(x) = 0$  for each  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,i})$ .

Furthermore, we will denote by  $U_{\tau,\xi}$  the function

$$U_{\tau,\xi} = \log \left( \frac{8\tau^2}{(\tau^2\rho^2 + |x - \xi|^2)^2} \right),$$

solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta U(x) = \rho^2 e^{U(x)} = \frac{8\tau^2\rho^2}{(\tau^2\rho^2 + |x - \xi|^2)^2} & x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^U < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

From now on,  $G(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $H(\cdot, \cdot)$  will denote respectively the Laplacian Green's function on  $\Omega$  and its regular part.

$$G(x, y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log(|x - y|) + H(x, y).$$

Moreover, we will denote by  $R$  the Robin function associated to  $H$ , i.e.  $R(x) = H(x, x)$ .

We will consider the equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \rho^2(e^u - e^{-u}) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

and the Hamilton function

$$\mathcal{F}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m R(x_k) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq k, j \leq m} \alpha_k \alpha_j G(x_k, x_j),$$

with  $\alpha_k \in \{1, -1\}$ .

We give the following definition.

**Definition 1.1.** For any integer  $k \geq 1$  and any open set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ , we let  $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^1$  function, and  $K \subseteq U$  be a bounded set of critical points for  $F$ . We will say that  $K$  is  $C^1$ -stable for  $F$  if for any  $F_n \rightarrow F$  in  $C^1(U)$ , there exists at least one critical point  $y_n \in U$  for  $F_n$ , and  $y \in K$ , such that  $y_n \rightarrow y$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Remark 1.* It can be verified that a set  $K$  of critical points for  $F$  is  $C^1$ -stable if either one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i)  $K$  is either a strict local maximum or a strict local minimum set for  $F$ ;
- (ii) the Brouwer degree  $\deg(\nabla F, B_\varepsilon(K), 0)$  is non-zero, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough, where  $B_\varepsilon(K) = \{x \in U : |x - K| \leq \varepsilon\}$ .

The following result holds.

**Theorem 1.1** ([1, Theorem 1.1]). *Let  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$  be a  $C^1$ -stable critical point for the function  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then, there exist  $\rho_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\rho \in (0, \rho_0)$ , the equation (1.2) has a solution  $u_\rho$  such that*

$$u_\rho(x) \rightarrow 8\pi \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k G(x, \xi_k) \quad \text{as } \rho \rightarrow 0$$

*in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}) \cap C_{\text{loc}}^{1,\sigma}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\})$ , for some  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  and  $\alpha_k \in \{1, -1\}$ .*

In [1] it is also proved that the solution of the theorem above has the form

$$u_\rho(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k PU_{\tau_{\rho,k}, \xi_{\rho,k}}(x) + \varphi_\rho(x), \quad (1.3)$$

where we denoted by  $PU_{\tau_{\rho,i}, \xi_{\rho,i}}$  the projection of  $U_{\tau_{\rho,i}, \xi_{\rho,i}}$  onto  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . The parameter point  $(\xi_{\rho,1}, \dots, \xi_{\rho,m}) \in \Omega^m$  converges to  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \Omega^m$  and

$$\tau_{\rho,i} = \frac{e^{4\pi[H(\xi_{\rho,i}, \xi_{\rho,i}) + \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^m \alpha_i \alpha_k G(\xi_{\rho,i}, \xi_{\rho,k})]}}{\sqrt{8}} \rightarrow \frac{e^{4\pi[H(\xi_i, \xi_i) + \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^m \alpha_i \alpha_k G(\xi_i, \xi_k)]}}{\sqrt{8}} = \tau_i \quad (1.4)$$

as  $\rho$  goes to zero. For this reason,  $\tau_{\rho,i}$  will be called inappropriately  $\tau_i$ . It's easy to prove that  $H(x, \xi_{\rho,i})$  and its derivatives of every order are bounded in  $\bar{\Omega}$ , uniformly in  $\rho$ . Let's set  $U_i = U_{\tau_i, \xi_i}$ .

We have:

**Theorem 1.2** ([1, Theorem 5.2]). *Let  $\varphi_\rho$  be as in (1.3). Then*

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \varphi_\rho = 0$$

*in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}) \cap C^{1,\sigma}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}) \cap C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ , for a suitable  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ , any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , and any  $q \in [1, 2)$ .*

and

**Theorem 1.3** ([1, Proposition 3.2]). *For any  $p \in (1, \frac{4}{3})$ , there exist  $\rho_0 > 0$  and  $R > 0$  such that, for any  $\rho \in (0, \rho_0)$ , we have  $\|\varphi_\rho\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq R\rho^{\frac{2-p}{p}} |\log(\rho)|$ .*

In the following, we will consider  $\beta$  to be a fixed constant in  $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ . Then, by the previous theorem, for  $\rho \ll 1$  we have  $\|\varphi_\rho\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq R\rho^\beta |\log(\rho)|$ .

We have:

**Theorem 1.4** ([1, Theorem 5.1]). *Let  $PU_k$  be as in (1.3). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} PU_k(x) &= U_k(x) + 8\pi H(x, \xi_{\rho,k}) - \log(8\tau_k^2) + O(\rho^2) \\ &= \log\left(\frac{1}{(\tau_k^2 \rho^2 + |x - \xi_{\rho,k}|^2)^2}\right) + 8\pi H(x, \xi_{\rho,k}) + O(\rho^2) \end{aligned}$$

*in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^{1,\sigma}(\bar{\Omega})$ .*

We will call  $\xi_{\rho,1}, \dots, \xi_{\rho,m}$  the blow-up points.

We are interested in calculating the Morse index of the solutions to (1.2) of the form (1.3). The Morse index of a solution  $u$  to a differential equation is the sum of the dimensions of the eigenspaces relative to the negative eigenvalues of the linearised equation around the solution  $u$ .

Let  $J : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow (H_0^1(\Omega))^*$  be the operator defined as follows

$$J(u)(v) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v - \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^u - e^{-u}) v.$$

Then  $u_{\rho}$ , as defined in (1.3), is a weak solution to (1.2) if  $J(u_{\rho})(v) = 0$  for all  $v \in H_1^0(\Omega)$ .

We will denote by  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$  the space of bounded linear operator between two Banach spaces  $E$  and  $F$ .

The Fréchet derivative  $J'_{u_{\rho}} \in \mathcal{L}(H_0^1(\Omega), (H_0^1(\Omega))^*)$  of  $J$  in  $u_{\rho}$  is defined as the operator that associates to  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  the element  $J'_{u_{\rho}}(v) \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^*$  such that

$$J'_{u_{\rho}}(v)(w) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \nabla w - \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}} + e^{-u_{\rho}}) vw, \quad \forall w \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (1.5)$$

We will denote by  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H_0^1(\Omega)}$  the standard inner product of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

If the couple  $(\bar{\mu}, v)$  is a solution to

$$J'_{u_{\rho}}(v)(\cdot) = \bar{\mu}(v, \cdot)_{H_0^1(\Omega)},$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \nabla w - \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}} + e^{-u_{\rho}}) vw \\ &= J'_{u_{\rho}}(v)(w) \\ &= \bar{\mu}(v, w)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\ &= \bar{\mu} \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \nabla w, \quad \forall w \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

then  $\bar{\mu}$  and  $v$  are respectively the eigenvalue and the eigenfunction of the linearised equation of (1.2) around the solution  $u_{\rho}$ .

The above equation can be written as follows

$$(1 - \bar{\mu}) \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \nabla w - \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_\rho} + e^{-u_\rho}) v w = 0, \quad \forall w \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

which means that for any  $\bar{\mu} \neq 1$ ,  $v$  is a weak solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = \mu \rho^2 (e^{u_\rho} + e^{-u_\rho}) v & \text{in } \Omega \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mu = \frac{1}{1-\bar{\mu}}$ , (note that if  $\bar{\mu} < 0$  then  $\mu < 1$ ).

Therefore, the equation for the  $n$ -th eigenvalue and the  $n$ -th eigenfunction of the linearised equation of (1.2) around  $u_\rho$  of the form (1.3) is

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v_{\rho,n} = \mu_{\rho,n} \rho^2 (e^{u_\rho} + e^{-u_\rho}) v_{\rho,n} & \text{in } \Omega \\ v_{\rho,n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \|v_{\rho,n}(x)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 1. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

Noting that the linearised of (1.2) around  $u_\rho$  is defined by (1.5), which is a positive form, we can conclude that the eigenvalues are all positive and consequently the Morse index of  $u_\rho$  is the sum of the dimensions of the eigenspaces of the solutions to (1.6) with  $\mu \in [0, 1)$ .

Let  $\chi_A$  be the characteristic function of the set  $A$ . We will denote by  $v_{\rho,i}$  and  $\mu_{\rho,i}$  respectively the  $i$ -th eigenfunction such that  $\|v_{\rho,i}\|_{C(\Omega)} = 1$  and the  $i$ -th eigenvalue of the linearised of the equation (1.2), and we will denote by

$$\tilde{v}_{\rho,n}^{(i)} = \chi_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_i\rho}}(0)}(x) v_{\rho,n} \left( \frac{\tau_i \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,i} \right)$$

the rescaled function of the  $n$ -th eigenfunction around  $\xi_{\rho,i}$ .

*Remark 2.* We notice that  $\chi_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_i\rho}}(0)}(x) \rightarrow 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} u_\rho(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \left( -2 \log(\tau_i^2 \rho^2 + |x - \xi_{\rho,i}|^2) + 8\pi H(x, \xi_{\rho,i}) \right) + \varphi_\rho(x) + o(1) \\ &= -2\alpha_k \log(\tau_k^2 \rho^2 + |x - \xi_k|^2) + \ell_{\rho,k}(x) + \varphi_\rho(x) \end{aligned}$$



in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^{1,\sigma}(\bar{\Omega})$ , where

$$\ell_{\rho,k}(x) = -2 \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^m \alpha_i \log(\tau_i^2 \rho^2 + |x - \xi_{\rho,i}|^2) + 8\pi \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i H(x, \xi_{\rho,i}) + o(1)$$

in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^{1,\sigma}(\bar{\Omega})$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)}(x) \ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \\ &= -4 \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^m \alpha_i \log(|\xi_k - \xi_i|) + 8\pi \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i H(\xi_k, \xi_i) + o(1) \\ &= \ell_k + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap C^{1,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_k &= -4 \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^m \alpha_i \log(|\xi_k - \xi_i|) + 8\pi \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i H(\xi_i, \xi_k) \\ &= 8\pi \alpha_k \left( H(\xi_k, \xi_k) + \alpha_k \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^m \alpha_i \left( -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log(|\xi_k - \xi_i|) + H(\xi_i, \xi_k) \right) \right) \\ &= 8\pi \alpha_k \left( H(\xi_k, \xi_k) + \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^m \alpha_k \alpha_i G(\xi_i, \xi_k) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)}(x) u_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \\ &= \chi_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}}(x) \left( -4\alpha_k \log(\tau_k \rho) - 2\alpha_k \log \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right) + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right) \\ &= -4\alpha_k \log(\tau_k \rho) - 2\alpha_k \log \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right) + \ell_k(x) + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

in  $C_{\text{loc}}^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . For the rescaled function of the derivative of  $u_\rho$  we have

$$\chi_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)}(x) \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{4\alpha_k}{\sqrt{8}\tau_k\rho} \frac{x_i}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)} + \frac{\partial \ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \\
&+ \frac{\partial \varphi_\rho}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + o(1)
\end{aligned}$$

in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap C^{0,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , and for the gradient we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k\rho}}(0)}(x) \nabla u_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \\
&= -\frac{4\alpha_k}{\sqrt{8}\tau_k\rho} \frac{x}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)} + \nabla \ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \\
&+ \nabla \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + o(1)
\end{aligned}$$

in  $C_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap C^{0,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k\rho}}(0)}(x) e^{\pm u_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \\
&= \chi_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k\rho}}(0)}(x) (\tau_k\rho)^{\mp 4\alpha_k} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^{\mp 2\alpha_k} e^{\pm \left( \ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right)} \\
&= (\tau_k\rho)^{\mp 4\alpha_k} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^{\mp 2\alpha_k} \left( e^{4\pi \left( H(\xi_k, \xi_k) + \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^m \alpha_k \alpha_i G(\xi_k, \xi_i) \right)} \right)^{\pm 2\alpha_k} e^{o(1)}
\end{aligned}$$

using 1.4

$$= \left( \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^4}{8} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \right)^{\mp \alpha_k} (1 + o(1))$$

in  $C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

In the following work, in order to apply Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we remark that for any  $k = 1, \dots, m$  the following conditions hold:

- $\chi_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k\rho}}(0)}(x) \ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)$  and its derivatives are bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  uniformly in  $\rho$ ;
- $\chi_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k\rho}}(0)}(x) \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  uniformly in  $\rho$  (see Theorem 1.2);
- $\chi_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k\rho}}(0)}(x) e^{\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  uniformly in  $\rho$ .

# Chapter 2

## Eigenvalues from 1 to $m$

In this chapter, we will study the behaviour of the first  $m$  eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. In particular, we will prove that the first  $m$  eigenvalues go to zero as  $\rho$  goes to zero, and hence the Morse index is greater or equal than  $m$ . In addition, we will provide an estimate for the asymptotic behaviour of the first  $m$  eigenfunctions, which will also be of fundamental importance in the next chapter.

We start by studying the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenfunctions rescaled around the blow-up points. We prove the following.

**Proposition 2.1.** *The function  $\tilde{v}_{\rho,n}^{(i)} = \chi_{B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau_i\rho}}(0)}(x)v\left(\frac{\tau_i\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,i}\right)$ , converges in  $C_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  to the function  $\tilde{v}_n^{(i)}$ , solution to the equation*

$$-\Delta\tilde{v}_n^{(i)}(x) = \mu_n \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \tilde{v}_n^{(i)}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\mu_n = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \mu_{\rho,n}$ . Furthermore, there exists at least an  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $\tilde{v}_n^{(i)} \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* For any  $x \in B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau_i \rho}}(0)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\Delta \tilde{v}_{\rho,n}^{(i)}(x) \\
&= -\Delta \left( v_{n,\rho} \left( \frac{\tau_i \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,i} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{\tau_i^2 \rho^2}{8} (-\Delta v_{\rho,n}) \left( \frac{\tau_i \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,i} \right) \\
&= \mu_{\rho,n} \frac{\tau_i^2 \rho^4}{8} \left( e^{u_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_i \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,i} \right)} + e^{-u_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_i \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,i} \right)} \right) v_{\rho,n} \left( \frac{\tau_i \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,i} \right) \\
&= \mu_{\rho,n} e^{o(1)} \left( \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} + \frac{\tau_i^4 \rho^8}{64} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 \right) \tilde{v}_{\rho,n}^{(i)}(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Note that

$$\frac{\tau_i^4 \rho^8}{64} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 \leq C \rho^8 \frac{1}{\rho^4} = o(1), \quad \forall x \in B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau_i \rho}}(0),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\tilde{v}_{\rho,n}^{(i)}\|_{H_0^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \\
&= \int_{B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau_i \rho}}(0)} |\nabla \tilde{v}_{\rho,n}^{(i)}(x)|^2 dx \\
&= - \int_{B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau_i \rho}}(0)} \tilde{v}_{\rho,n}^{(i)}(x) \Delta \tilde{v}_{\rho,n}^{(i)}(x) dx \\
&= \mu_{\rho,n} e^{o(1)} \int_{B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau_i \rho}}(0)} \frac{\left(\tilde{v}_{\rho,n}^{(i)}(x)\right)^2}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} dx + \\
&+ \mu_{\rho,n} e^{o(1)} \frac{\tau_i^4 \rho^8}{64} \int_{B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau_i \rho}}(0)} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 \left(\tilde{v}_{\rho,n}^{(i)}(x)\right)^2 dx \\
&\leq \mu_{\rho,n} e^{o(1)} \int_{B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau_i \rho}}(0)} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} dx + \mu_{\rho,n} e^{o(1)} \frac{\tau_i^4 \rho^8}{64} \int_{B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau_i \rho}}(0)} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 dx \\
&\leq 8\pi \mu_{\rho,n} + o(1) \\
&\leq C,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

since

$$\frac{\tau_i^4 \rho^8}{64} \int_{B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau_i \rho}}(0)} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 dx \leq C \rho^8 \frac{1}{\rho^6}.$$

Since the right hand side (2.2) is bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  uniformly in  $\rho$ , and since  $\{\nabla v_{\rho,n}\}$  is bounded in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , by the standard theory of elliptic equations, we have that  $\tilde{v}_{\rho,n}^{(i)} \rightarrow \tilde{v}_n^{(i)}$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , where  $\tilde{v}_n^{(i)}$  is as in (2.1).

For the proof of the second part of the theorem we refer to [15, Proposition 2.11].  $\square$

We can now give an estimate for the first  $m$  eigenvalues.

**Proposition 2.2.** *We have that*

$$\mu_{\rho,1} < -\frac{1}{2 \log(\rho)}.$$

*Proof.* Using the formula for the Rayleigh quotient we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\rho,1} &= \inf_{v \in H_0^1(\Omega), v \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx}{\rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v^2(x) dx} \\ &\leq \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(U_1(x)\psi_{\rho,1}(x))|^2 dx}{\rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) U_1^2(x)\psi_{\rho,1}^2(x) dx}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

We start by estimating the numerator.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(U_i(x)\psi_{\rho,i}(x))|^2 dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla U_i(x)|^2 \psi_{\rho,i}^2(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} U_i^2(x) |\nabla \psi_{\rho,i}(x)|^2 dx + \\
&+ 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla U_i(x) \nabla \psi_{\rho,i}(x) U_i(x) \psi_{\rho,i} dx \\
&= -2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla U_i(x) \nabla \psi_{\rho,i}(x) U_i(x) \psi_{\rho,i}(x) dx - \int_{\Omega} \Delta U_i(x) U_i(x) \psi_{\rho,i}^2(x) dx + \\
&+ \int_{\Omega} U_i^2(x) |\nabla \psi_{\rho,i}(x)|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla U_i(x) \nabla \psi_{\rho,i}(x) U_i(x) \psi_{\rho,i} dx \\
&= \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} e^{U_i(x)} U_i(x) \psi_{\rho,i}^2(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} U_i^2(x) |\nabla \psi_{\rho,i}(x)|^2 dx \\
&\leq \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,i})} \frac{8\tau_i^2 \rho^2}{(\tau_i^2 \rho^2 + |x - \xi_{\rho,i}|^2)^2} \log \left( \frac{8\tau_i^2}{(\tau_i^2 \rho^2 + |x - \xi_{\rho,i}|^2)^2} \right) \psi_{\rho,i}^2(x) dx + \\
&+ C \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,i}) \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,i})} U_i^2(x) dx \\
&= \frac{8\tau_i^4 \rho^4}{8\tau_i^4 \rho^4} \\
&\int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_i}}(0)} \frac{\left(-4 \log(\rho) - \log\left(\frac{\tau_i^2}{8}\right) - 2 \log\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)\right) \psi_{\rho,i}^2\left(\frac{\tau_i \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,i}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} dx + C
\end{aligned}$$

using Lebesgue theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 8\pi \left(-4 \log(\rho) - \log\left(\frac{\tau_i^2}{8}\right) - 2\right) + C + o(1) \\
&= -32\pi \log(\rho)(1 + o(1)).
\end{aligned}$$

(2.5)

For the denominator we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) U_i^2(x) \psi_{\rho,i}^2(x) dx \\
& \geq \rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{i,\rho})} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) U_i^2(x) dx \\
& = \rho^2 \frac{\tau_i^2 \rho^2}{8} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_i \rho}}(0)} \left( e^{u_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_i \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,i}\right)} + e^{-u_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_i \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,i}\right)} \right) U_i^2\left(\frac{\tau_i \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,i}\right) dx \\
& = \frac{\tau_i^2 \rho^4}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_i^4 \rho^4} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_i \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,i}\left(\frac{\tau_i \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,i}\right) + \varphi_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_i \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,i}\right)}}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \\
& \quad \left(-4 \log(\rho) - \log\left(\frac{\tau_i^2}{8}\right) - 2 \log\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)\right)^2 dx + \\
& + \frac{\tau_i^2 \rho^4}{8} \tau_i^4 \rho^4 \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_i \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,i}\left(\frac{\tau_i \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,i}\right) - \varphi_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_i \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,i}\right)} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 \\
& \quad \left(-4 \log(\rho) - \log\left(\frac{\tau_i^2}{8}\right) - 2 \log\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)\right)^2 dx
\end{aligned}$$

using Lebesgue theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
& = 16 \log^2(\rho) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{dx}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} + o(1) \right) \\
& = 128\pi \log^2(\rho) (1 + o(1)),
\end{aligned}$$

(2.6)

where we used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\tau_i^6 \rho^8}{8} \left| \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_i \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,i}\left(\frac{\tau_i \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,i}\right) - \varphi_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_i \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,i}\right)} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left(-4 \log(\rho) - \log\left(\frac{\tau_i^2}{8}\right) - 2 \log\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)\right)^2 dx \right| \\
& \leq C \rho^8 \frac{1}{\rho^6} (\log(\rho) + 1)^2 \\
& = o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting  $i = 1$  in (2.5) and (2.6), and substituting in (2.4), we get

$$\mu_{\rho,1} \leq \frac{-32\pi \log(\rho)(1+o(1))}{128\pi \log^2(\rho)(1+o(1))} = -\frac{1+o(1)}{4\log(\rho)} < -\frac{1}{2\log(\rho)}.$$

□

Moreover:

**Proposition 2.3.** *We have*

1.  $\mu_{\rho,j} < -\frac{1}{2\log(\rho)}$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, m$ ;
2.  $\tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)} \rightarrow \tilde{v}_j^{(k)} = C_j^{(k)}$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for every  $k, j = 1, \dots, m$ ;
3.  $\mu_{\rho,j} \rightarrow 0$  for every  $j > m$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $m$ . By Proposition 2.2 we have that  $\mu_{\rho,1} < -\frac{1}{2\log(\rho)}$ . Let us assume that  $\mu_{\rho,j} < -\frac{1}{2\log(\rho)}$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, m-1$ . By Proposition 2.1 we have that  $\tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)} \rightarrow \tilde{v}_j^{(k)}$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , and that  $\tilde{v}_j^{(k)}$  is a solution to

$$-\Delta \tilde{v}_j^{(k)} = 0; \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Then  $\tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)} \rightarrow C_j^{(k)}$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, m-1$  and every  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . Furthermore, we know that there exists  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $C_j^{(k)} \neq 0$ . Set

$$\Psi_{\rho}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k U_k(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} a_{\rho,k} v_{\rho,k}(x),$$

where

$$a_{\rho,j} = -\frac{(\sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k U_k \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j})_{H_0^1(\Omega)}}{(v_{\rho,j}, v_{\rho,j})_{H_0^1(\Omega)}}, \quad (2.7)$$

for  $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$ , and for each  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . It follows immediately that  $(\Psi_{\rho}, v_{\rho,j})_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = 0$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, m-1$ .

Let us now show that for a suitable choice of  $\lambda_k$ , we have  $a_{\rho,j} = o(\log(\rho))$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, m-1$ . Let us start by estimating the numerator of (2.7).



$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k U_k \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k \int_{\Omega} \nabla(U_k(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x)) \nabla v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k \rho^2 \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) U_k(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) dx \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \left( e^{u_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)} + e^{-u_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)} \right) \\
& v_{\rho,j} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) U_k \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \psi_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) dx \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) + \varphi_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)}}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \\
& \psi_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \left( -4 \log(\rho) - \log\left(\frac{\tau_k^2}{8}\right) - 2 \log\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right) \right) dx + \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \tau_k^4 \rho^4 \int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) - \varphi_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 \\
& \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \psi_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \left( -4 \log(\rho) - \log\left(\frac{\tau_k^2}{8}\right) - 2 \log\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right) \right) dx
\end{aligned}$$

using Lebesgue theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -4 \log(\rho) \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k C_j^{(k)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} dx + o(1) \right) \\
&= -32\pi \log(\rho) \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k C_j^{(k)} + o(1) \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where we used the fact that

$$\frac{\tau^6 \rho^8}{8} \left| \int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) - \varphi_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \psi_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \left( -4 \log(\rho) - \log\left(\frac{\tau_i^2}{8}\right) - 2 \log \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right) \right) dx \right| \\
& \leq C \frac{\rho^8}{\rho^6} (\log(\rho) + 1) \\
& = o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking  $\lambda_k$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k C_j^{(k)} = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m-1$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k U_k \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = -32\pi \log(\rho) o(1) = o(\log(\rho)). \quad (2.9)$$

For the denominator we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|v_{\rho,j}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \\
&= (v_{\rho,j}, v_{\rho,j})_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\
&= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\rho,j}(x)|^2 dx \\
&= \mu_{\rho,j} \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v_{\rho,j}^2(x) dx \\
&= \mu_{\rho,j} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v_{\rho,j}^2(x) dx + o(1) \right) \\
&= \mu_{\rho,j} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_{\rho} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)}}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \left( \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \right)^2 dx + o(1) \right) + \\
&\quad + \mu_{\rho,j} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \tau_k^4 \rho^4 \right. \\
&\quad \left. \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_{\rho} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 \left( \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \right)^2 dx + o(1) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

using Lebesgue theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mu_j \left( \sum_{k=1}^m (C_j^{(k)})^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{dx}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} + o(1) \right) \\
&= 8\pi \mu_j \left( \sum_{k=1}^m (C_j^{(k)})^2 + o(1) \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where we used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau_k^6 \rho^8 \left| \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_{\rho} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 \left( \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \right)^2 dx \right| \\
&\leq C \rho^8 \frac{1}{\rho^6}
\end{aligned}$$

$= o(1)$ .

Using (2.9) and (2.10) in (2.7) we get

$$a_{\rho,j} = \frac{o(\log(\rho))}{8\pi \sum_{i=1}^m \left(C_j^{(i)}\right)^2 + o(1)} = o(\log(\rho)). \quad (2.11)$$

Using the formula for the Rayleigh quotient, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\rho,m} &= \inf_{\substack{v \in H_0^1(\Omega), v \neq 0, \\ v \perp v_{\rho,1}, \dots, v \perp v_{\rho,m-1}}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx}{\rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v^2(x) dx} \\ &\leq \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Psi_{\rho}(x)|^2 dx}{\rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \Psi_{\rho}^2(x) dx} \\ &= \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k U_k(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} a_{\rho,k} v_{\rho,k}(x) \right)|^2 dx}{\rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k U_k(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} a_{\rho,k} v_{\rho,k}(x) \right)^2 dx} \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Let us start by studying the numerator.

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k U_k(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} a_{\rho,k} v_{\rho,k}(x) \right) \right|^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k U_k(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \right) \right|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} a_{\rho,k} v_{\rho,k}(x) \right) \right|^2 dx + \\ &+ 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_{\rho,j} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k U_k(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \right) \nabla v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (U_k(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x))|^2 dx + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} a_{\rho,k}^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\rho,k}(x)|^2 dx + \\ &+ 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_{\rho,j} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k U_k \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\ &= -32\pi \log(\rho) \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^2 (1 + o(1)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Since by (2.5) we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla (U_k(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x))|^2 dx = -32\pi \log(\rho) (1 + o(1)),$$

by (2.10), (2.11), and since by hypothesis  $\mu_{\rho,j} < -\frac{1}{2\log(\rho)}$ , we have

$$a_{\rho,k}^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\rho,k}(x)|^2 dx = 8\pi\mu_j a_{\rho,k}^2 \left( \sum_{k=1}^m (C_j^{(k)})^2 + o(1) \right) = o(\log(\rho)),$$

and by (2.9), (2.11), and since by hypothesis  $\mu_{\rho,j} < -\frac{1}{2\log(\rho)}$ , we have

$$a_{\rho,k} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k U_k \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = a_{\rho,k} \mu_{\rho,j} \log(\rho) o(1) = o(\log(\rho)).$$

For the denominator we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k U_k(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} a_{\rho,k} v_{\rho,k}(x) \right)^2 dx \\ &= \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k U_k(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \right)^2 dx + \\ &+ \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} a_{\rho,k} v_{\rho,k}(x) \right)^2 dx \\ &+ 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_{\rho,j} \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k U_k(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^2 \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) U_k^2(x) \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m a_{\rho,k}^2 \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx \\ &+ 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} a_{\rho,j} \frac{1}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k U_k \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\ &= 128 \log^2(\rho) \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^2 (1 + o(1)), \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Since by (2.6) we have

$$\rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) U_k(x)^2(x) \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx = 128 \log^2(\rho) (1 + o(1)),$$

by (2.10), (2.11), and since by hypothesis  $\mu_{\rho,j} < -\frac{1}{2\log(\rho)}$ , we have

$$a_{\rho,k}^2 \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&< -8\pi \frac{1}{2\log(\rho)} \log^2(\rho) o(1) \left( \sum_{j=1}^m (C_k^{(j)})^2 + o(1) \right) \\
&= o(\log(\rho)),
\end{aligned}$$

and by (2.9) and (2.11), we have

$$\frac{a_{\rho,j}}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k U_k \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = o(\log^2(\rho)).$$

Using (2.13) and (2.14) in (2.12) we get

$$\mu_{\rho,m} \leq \frac{-32 \log(\rho) \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^2 (1 + o(1))}{128 \log^2(\rho) \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k^2 (1 + o(1))} < -\frac{1}{2 \log(\rho)}.$$

Since  $\mu_{\rho,j} \rightarrow 0$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , proceeding as in the beginning of this proof we have that  $\tilde{v}_j^{(k)} \rightarrow C_j^{(k)}$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  per every  $k, j = 1, \dots, m$ .

Let us finally prove that  $\mu_{\rho,i} \rightarrow 0$  for every  $i > m$ . Let  $i$  and  $j$  be such that  $\mu_{\rho,i} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\mu_{\rho,j} \rightarrow 0$ , and let  $C_j = (C_j^{(1)}, \dots, C_j^{(n)})$ .

By the orthogonality of the eigenfunctions we get

$$0 = (v_{\rho,i}, v_{\rho,j})_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = \mu_{\rho,i} \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v_{\rho,i}(x) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v_{\rho,i}(x) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v_{\rho,i}(x) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx + o(1) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \rho^2 \\
&\quad \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \left( e^{u_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)} + e^{-u_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)} \right) \tilde{v}_{\rho,i}^{(k)}(x) \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dx + o(1) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^4}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) + \varphi_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)}}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,i}^{(k)}(x) \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dx + \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^4}{8} \tau_k^4 \rho^4
\end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k\rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}}+\xi_{\rho,k}\right)-\varphi_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}}+\xi_{\rho,k}\right)} \left(1+\frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,i}^{(k)}(x)\tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x)dx + o(1)$$

using Lebesgue theorem

$$\begin{aligned} &= 8\pi \sum_{k=1}^m C_i^{(k)} C_j^{(k)} + o(1) \\ &= 8\pi(C_i, C_j)_{\mathbb{R}^m} + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{\tau_k^6 \rho^8}{8} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k\rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}}+\xi_{\rho,k}\right)-\varphi_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}}+\xi_{\rho,k}\right)} \left(1+\frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,i}^{(k)}(x)\tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x)dx \right| \\ &\leq C\rho^8 \frac{1}{\rho^6} \\ &= o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(C_i, C_j)_{\mathbb{R}^m} = 0$ . Since in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  there are at most  $m$  orthogonal vectors, then there are at most  $m$  eigenvalues which go to zero. Since in 1 we proved that the first  $m$  eigenvalues go to zero, we get the conclusion.  $\square$

The next theorem gives an estimate for the asymptotic behaviour of the first  $m$  eigenfunctions away from the blow-up points.

**Lemma 2.4.** *For every  $1 \leq j \leq m$  we have*

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{v_{\rho,j}(x)}{\mu_{\rho,j}} = 8\pi \sum_{k=1}^m C_j^{(k)} G(x, \xi_k)$$

in  $C_{\text{loc}}^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\})$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.3 we know that  $\tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)} \rightarrow C_j^{(k)}$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for every  $j, k = 1, \dots, m$ . For each  $x \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}$ , using Green's representation

formula we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{v_{\rho,j}(x)}{\mu_{\rho,j}} &= \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) G(x, y) dy \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) G(x, y) dy + o(1) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m G(x, \xi_k) \rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) dy + \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) (G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)) dy + o(1).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Let us study the integrals in the sums separately. For the first integral we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) dy \\
&= \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)}}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dx + \\
&\quad + \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \tau_k^4 \rho^4 \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dx
\end{aligned}$$

using Lebesgue theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
&= C_j^{(k)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{dx}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} + o(1) \\
&= 8\pi C_j^{(k)} + o(1),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

where we used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{\tau_k^6 \rho^8}{8} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dx \right| \\
&\leq C \rho^8 \frac{1}{\rho^6}
\end{aligned}$$



$$= o(1).$$

Let us now move to the second integral. For every compact subset  $K \subseteq \bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_{\rho,1}, \dots, \xi_{\rho,m}\}$  and for every  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , there exists  $\varepsilon'_k$  such that, for  $\rho$  small enough, we have

$$\text{dist}(K, \xi_{\rho,k}) = \inf_{x \in K} |x - \xi_{\rho,k}| = \varepsilon'_{\rho,k} > \varepsilon'_k.$$

Then, for each  $\lambda < \min(\varepsilon, \varepsilon'_1, \dots, \varepsilon'_m)$ , if  $x \in K$  then  $x \notin B_\lambda(\xi_{\rho,k})$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho^2 \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(y)} + e^{-u_\rho(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) (G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)) dy \\ &= \rho^2 \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k}) \setminus B_\lambda(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(y)} + e^{-u_\rho(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) (G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)) dy + \\ &+ \rho^2 \int_{B_\lambda(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(y)} + e^{-u_\rho(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) (G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)) dy \\ &= \rho^2 \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k}) \setminus B_\lambda(\xi_{\rho,k})} \left( \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k}(y) + \varphi_\rho(y)}}{(\tau_k^2 \rho^2 + |y - \xi_{\rho,k}|^2)^2} + e^{-\ell_{\rho,k}(y) - \varphi_\rho(y)} (\tau_k^2 \rho^2 + |y - \xi_{\rho,k}|^2)^2 \right) \\ &v_{\rho,j}(y) (G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)) dy + \\ &+ \rho^2 \int_{B_\lambda(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(y)} + e^{-u_\rho(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) (G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)) dy. \end{aligned}$$

For the first of these integrals we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho^2 \left| \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k}) \setminus B_\lambda(\xi_{\rho,k})} \left( \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k}(y) + \varphi_\rho(y)}}{(\tau_k^2 \rho^2 + |y - \xi_{\rho,k}|^2)^2} + e^{-\ell_{\rho,k}(y) - \varphi_\rho(y)} (\tau_k^2 \rho^2 + |y - \xi_{\rho,k}|^2)^2 \right) \right. \\ & \left. v_{\rho,j}(y) (G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)) dy \right| \\ & \leq \left( \frac{C \rho^2}{(\rho^2 + \lambda^2)^2} + C \rho^2 \right) \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k}) \setminus B_\lambda(\xi_{\rho,k})} |G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)| dy \\ & \text{since } G(x, \cdot) \in L^1(\Omega) \\ & \leq C \left( \frac{\rho^2}{(\rho^2 + \lambda^2)^2} + \rho^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $\lambda = \rho^\gamma$  with  $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$ , this integral converges to zero, uniformly in  $x \in K$ .

Let us now study the second integral.

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho^2 \left| \int_{B_\lambda(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(y)} + e^{-u_\rho(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) (G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)) dy \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{y \in B_\lambda(\xi_{\rho,k})} |(G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k))| \rho^2 \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(y)} + e^{-u_\rho(y)}) dy \\ & \text{using the uniform continuity of } G \text{ in } K \times B_{\varepsilon'}(\xi_{\rho,k}) \text{ and (2.16)} \\ & = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\rho^2 \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(y)} + e^{-u_\rho(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) (G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)) dy = o(1). \quad (2.17)$$

Using (2.16) and (2.17) in (2.15) we get

$$\frac{v_{\rho,j}(x)}{\mu_{\rho,j}} = 8\pi \sum_{k=1}^m C_j^{(k)} G(x, \xi_{\rho,k}) + o(1)$$

in  $C^0(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\})$ . Using the fact that

$$\frac{\partial v_{\rho,j}}{\partial x_i} = \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_\rho(y)} + e^{-u_\rho(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i}(x, y) dy,$$

and proceeding as above, we get the estimate in  $C^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\})$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 0.1.* Point 1 of Proposition 2.3 proves 1. Point 2 of Proposition 2.3 proves that

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)} = C_j^{(k)},$$

while Proposition 2.1 proves  $C_j^{(k)} \neq 0$  for some  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Finally, Lemma 2.4 proves 3.  $\square$

# Chapter 3

## Eigenvalues from $m + 1$ to $3m$

In this chapter, we will consider the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions from  $m + 1$  to  $4m$ . We will prove that such eigenvalues go to one as  $\rho$  approaches to zero. Being interested in the eigenvalues smaller than one, we will need to determine if they go to one from below or from above. For this purpose, we will study the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenfunctions under different conditions on the behaviour of the eigenfunctions themselves, rescaled around the blow-up points  $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}$ . Finally, we will prove that the eigenvalues from  $3m + 1$  to  $4m$  go to one from above. This gives that the Morse Index is smaller or equal than  $3m$ .

We begin by studying the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenfunctions rescaled around the blow-up points, under the assumption that the relative eigenvalues are smaller than  $1 + o(1)$ . This condition will be proved to hold for every eigenvalue from  $m + 1$  to  $4m$ .

We prove the following:

**Proposition 3.1.** *For every  $j > m$ , if  $\mu_{\rho,j} \leq 1 + o(1)$  then  $\mu_{\rho,j} \rightarrow 1$ , and*

$$\tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \rightarrow \tilde{v}_j^{(k)}(x) = \frac{s_{1,j}^{(k)}x_1 + s_{2,j}^{(k)}x_2}{8 + |x|^2} + t_j^{(k)} \frac{8 - |x|^2}{8 + |x|^2}, \quad (3.1)$$

in  $C_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , and there exists  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $(s_{1,j}^{(k)}, s_{2,j}^{(k)}, t_j^{(k)}) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ .

*Proof.* In Proposition 2.1 we proved that  $\mu_{\rho,j} \rightarrow \mu_j$ , where  $\mu_j$  is an eigenvalue of (2.1). The proof in [12, Lemma 4.3], suitably modified, shows that the first eigenvalues of (2.1) are 0 and 1. By assumption  $\mu_j \leq 1$ , and by Proposition 2.3 we have that  $\mu_j \neq 0$  for every  $j > m$ , so that  $\mu_j = 1$ . In [12, Lemma 4.3] is proved that the eigenfunctions for the eigenvalue 1 of (2.1) are of the form (3.1).  $\square$

Let us now prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** *For  $j$  such that  $\mu_{\rho,j} \rightarrow 1$ , we have that  $v_{\rho,j}(x) \rightarrow 0$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\})$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mu_j \rightarrow 1$ , by Proposition 3.1 we have that

$$\tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \rightarrow \tilde{v}_j^{(k)}(x) = \frac{s_{1,j}^{(k)}x_1 + s_{2,j}^{(k)}x_2}{8 + |x|^2} + t_j^{(k)} \frac{8 - |x|^2}{8 + |x|^2}$$

in  $C_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Let  $K \subseteq \bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}$  be compact. Then, by Green's representation formula, for each  $x \in K$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{v_{\rho,j}}{\mu_{\rho,j}}(x) &= \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) G(x, y) dy \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) G(x, y) dy + o(1) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m G(x, \xi_k) \rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) dy + \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) (G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)) dy + o(1) \\ &= o(1) \end{aligned}$$

in  $C_{\text{loc}}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\})$ .

In fact, for the first integral we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dy \\ &= \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_{\rho} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)}}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dy + \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \tau_k^4 \rho^4 \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_{\rho} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dy$$

using Lebesgue theorem

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} \left( \frac{s_{1,j}^{(k)} x_1 + s_{2,j}^{(k)} x_2}{8 + |x|^2} + t_j^{(k)} \frac{8 - |x|^2}{8 + |x|^2} \right) dx + o(1) \\ &= o(1), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{\tau_k^6 \rho^8}{8} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_{\rho} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dy \right| \\ &\leq C \rho^8 \frac{1}{\rho^6} \\ &= o(1). \end{aligned}$$

For the second integral, proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 2.4, for every  $\lambda < \min\{\inf_{\rho,k} \text{dist}(K, \xi_{\rho,k}), \varepsilon\}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho^2 \left| \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) (G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)) dy \right| \\ &\leq \rho^2 \left| \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k}) \setminus B_{\lambda}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) (G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)) dy \right| + \\ &+ \rho^2 \left| \int_{B_{\lambda}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) (G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)) dy \right| \\ &\leq C \left( \frac{\rho^2}{(\rho^2 + \lambda^2)^2} + \rho^2 \right) \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k}) \setminus B_{\lambda}(\xi_{\rho,k})} |G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)| dy + \\ &+ \sup_{y \in B_{\lambda}(\xi_{\rho,k})} |G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)| \rho^2 \int_{B_{\lambda}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) dy \end{aligned}$$

using the uniform continuity of  $G(x, \cdot)$  in  $K$ , and taking  $\lambda = \rho^{\gamma}$  with  $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$

$$= o(1)$$

in  $C_{\text{loc}}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\})$ . Using the fact that

$$\frac{\partial v_{\rho,j}}{\partial x_i}(x) = \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i}(x, y) dy,$$

and proceeding as above, we get the estimate in  $C_{\text{loc}}^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\})$ . We remark that this also proves that for every  $j$  we have

$$v_{\rho,j}(x) = \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{k=1}^m G(x, \xi_k) \rho^2 \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx + o(1) \quad (3.2)$$

in  $C_{\text{loc}}^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\})$ .  $\square$

Let us now study the behaviour of the eigenfunctions away from the blow-up points, under a condition that will be proved to select the eigenfunctions from  $3m + 1$  to  $4m$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $\mu_{\rho,j} \rightarrow 1$  and  $t_j = (t_j^{(1)}, \dots, t_j^{(m)}) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$  then*

$$\log(\rho) v_{\rho,j}(x) \rightarrow 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^m t_j^{(k)} G(x, \xi_k)$$

in  $C_{\text{loc}}^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\})$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho^2 \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} - e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx + o(1) \\ &= \rho^2 \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} - e^{-u_\rho(x)}) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx + \\ &+ \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k}) \setminus B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} (-\Delta \psi_{\rho,k})(x) u_\rho(x) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx + \\ &+ 2 \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k}) \setminus B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} \nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \nabla u_\rho(x) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u_\rho)(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta \psi_{\rho,k})(x) u_\rho(x) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx + \\ &+ 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \nabla u_\rho(x) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} -\Delta(u_\rho(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x)) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} -\Delta v_{\rho,j}(x) u_\rho(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) dx \\ &= \mu_{\rho,j} \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) u_\rho(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) dx \\ &= \mu_{\rho,j} \rho^2 \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) u_\rho(x) dx + o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where we used the fact that  $\psi$  and its derivatives are bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\|v_{\rho,j}\|_{C(\Omega)} \leq 1$ ,  $\|u_\rho\|_{C^1(B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k}) \setminus B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k}))} \leq C$ , where  $C$  doesn't depend on  $\rho$ , and that by Lemma 3.2 we have  $v_{\rho,j} \rightarrow 0$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\})$ .

Let us start by studying the first integral. By Proposition 3.1 we know that

$$\tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \rightarrow \tilde{v}_j^{(k)}(x) = \frac{s_{1,j}^{(k)}x_1 + s_{2,j}^{(k)}x_2}{8 + |x|^2} + t_j^{(k)} \frac{8 - |x|^2}{8 + |x|^2}$$

in  $C_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho^2 \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} - e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\ &= \alpha_k \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)}}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dx + \\ & - \alpha_k \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \tau_k^4 \rho^4 \int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

using Lebesgue theorem

$$\begin{aligned} &= \alpha_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \left( \frac{s_{1,j}^{(k)}x_1 + s_{2,j}^{(k)}x_2}{8 + |x|^2} + t_j^{(k)} \frac{8 - |x|^2}{8 + |x|^2} \right) dx + o(1) = \\ &= o(1), \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where we used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\tau_k^6 \rho^8}{8} \int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dx \right| \\ & \leq C \rho^8 \frac{1}{\rho^6} \\ & = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Let us now study the second integral.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{\rho,j}\rho^2 \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) u_\rho(x) dx \\
&= \mu_{\rho,j}\rho^2 \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) \\
&\quad \left( -4\alpha_k \log(\tau_k \rho) - 2\alpha_k \log \left( 1 + \frac{|x - \xi_{\rho,k}|^2}{\tau_k^2 \rho^2} \right) + \ell_{\rho,k}(x) + \varphi_\rho(x) \right) dx \\
&= -4\alpha_k \log(\tau_k \rho) \mu_{\rho,j}\rho^2 \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx + \\
&\quad + \mu_{\rho,j}\rho^2 \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) \\
&\quad \left( -2\alpha_k \log \left( 1 + \frac{|x - \xi_{\rho,k}|^2}{\tau_k^2 \rho^2} \right) + \ell_{\rho,k}(x) + \varphi_\rho(x) \right) dx \\
&= -4\alpha_k \log(\tau_k \rho) \mu_{\rho,j}\rho^2 \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx + \\
&\quad + \mu_{\rho,j}\rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k}(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}) + \varphi_\rho(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k})}}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \\
&\quad \left( -2\alpha_k \log \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right) + \ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right) dx \\
&\quad + \mu_{\rho,j}\rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \tau_k^4 \rho^4 \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k}(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}) - \varphi_\rho(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k})} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \\
&\quad \left( -2\alpha_k \log \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right) + \ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right) dx
\end{aligned}$$

using Lebesgue theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -4\alpha_k \log(\rho)(1 + o(1))\rho^2 \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx + \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} \left( \frac{s_{1,j}^{(k)} x_1 + s_{2,j}^{(k)} x_2}{8 + |x|^2} + t_j^{(k)} \frac{8 - |x|^2}{8 + |x|^2} \right) \\
&\quad \left( -2\alpha_k \log \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right) + \ell_k \right) dx + o(1) \\
&= -4\alpha_k \log(\rho)(1 + o(1))\rho^2 \\
&\quad \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx + 8\pi\alpha_k \tau_j^{(k)} + o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

(3.5)



where we used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{\rho,j} \frac{\tau_k^6 \rho^8}{8} \left| \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_{\rho} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \right. \\
& \left. \left( -2\alpha_k \log \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right) + \ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_{\rho} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right) dx \right| \\
& \leq C \rho^8 \frac{1}{\rho^6} (\log(\rho) + 1) \\
& = o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.4) and (3.5) in (3.3) we get

$$\rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx = \frac{2\pi t_j^{(k)} + o(1)}{\log(\rho)(1 + o(1))}. \quad (3.6)$$

Let us now use Green's representation formula to estimate  $v_{\rho,j}$ . Let  $K \subseteq \bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}$  be compact. Then, for each  $x \in K$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \log(\rho) v_{\rho,j}(x) \\
& = \log(\rho) \mu_{\rho,j} \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) G(x, y) dy \\
& = \log(\rho) \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) G(x, y) dy + o(1) \\
& = \log(\rho) \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{k=1}^m G(x, \xi_k) \rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) dy + \\
& + \log(\rho) \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \\
& \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) (G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)) dy + o(1) \\
& \text{using (3.6)} \\
& = 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^m t_j^{(k)} G(x, \xi_k) + o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that, proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 2.4, for

every  $\lambda < \min\{\inf_{\rho,k} \text{dist}(K, \xi_{\rho,k}), \varepsilon\}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \log(\rho) \rho^2 \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(y)} + e^{-u_\rho(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) (G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)) dy \right| \\ &= \left| \log(\rho) \rho^2 \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k}) \setminus B_\lambda(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(y)} + e^{-u_\rho(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) (G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)) dy + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \log(\rho) \rho^2 \int_{B_\lambda(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(y)} + e^{-u_\rho(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) (G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)) dy \right| \\ &\leq C |\log(\rho)| \left( \frac{\rho^2}{(\rho^2 + \lambda^2)^2} + \rho^2 + \sup_{y \in B_\lambda(\xi_k)} |G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)| \right) \end{aligned}$$

using Taylor's expansion in  $y = \xi_k$ ,  $|G(x, y) - G(x, \xi_k)| = C|y - \xi_k| + o(|y - \xi_k|)$

$$= C |\log(\rho)| \left( \frac{\rho^2}{(\rho^2 + \lambda^2)^2} + \rho^2 + C\lambda + o(\lambda) \right).$$

Taking  $\lambda = \rho^\gamma$  with  $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$ , we have that the right hand side converges to zero. Using the fact that

$$\frac{\partial v_{\rho,j}}{\partial x_i} = \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_\rho(y)} + e^{-u_\rho(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i}(x, y) dy,$$

and proceeding as above, we get the estimate in  $C^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\})$ .  $\square$

We have:

**Lemma 3.4** ([33, Proposition 5.5]). *For each  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $R > 0$  e  $f, g \in C^2(\overline{B_R(\xi)})$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R(\xi)} \{[(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla f(x)] \Delta g(x) + [(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla g(x)] \Delta f(x)\} dx \\ &= R \int_{\partial B_R(\xi)} \left( 2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu}(x) \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nu}(x) - \nabla f(x) \cdot \nabla g(x) \right) d\sigma(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

In the following, we will denote by  $o_R(f(R))$  a function such that  $\lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \frac{o_R(f(R))}{f(R)} = 0$ . We prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $R > 0$  be such that  $B_R(\xi_k) \cap B_R(\xi_j) = \emptyset$  e  $B_R(\xi_k) \cap B_R(\xi_i) = \emptyset$ . If  $k \neq j$  e  $k \neq i$  then we have*

$$\int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} \nabla G(x, \xi_i) \cdot \nabla G(x, \xi_j) d\sigma(x) = o_R(1)$$

and

$$\int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu}(x, \xi_i) \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu}(x, \xi_j) d\sigma(x) = o_R(1).$$

If  $k = j \neq i$  or  $k = i \neq j$  then we have

$$R \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} \nabla G(x, \xi_i) \cdot \nabla G(x, \xi_j) d\sigma(x) = o_R(1)$$

and

$$R \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu}(x, \xi_i) \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu}(x, \xi_j) d\sigma(x) = o_R(1).$$

If  $k = j = i$  then we have

$$R \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} \nabla G(x, \xi_i) \cdot \nabla G(x, \xi_j) d\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} + o_R(1)$$

and

$$R \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu}(x, \xi_i) \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu}(x, \xi_j) d\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} + o_R(1).$$

*Proof.* The case  $k \neq i$  and  $k \neq j$  is trivial. Let us study the case  $k = i$ .

$$\nabla G(x, \xi_k) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{(x - \xi_k)}{|x - \xi_k|^2} + \nabla H(x, \xi_k),$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & R \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} \nabla G(x, \xi_k) \cdot \nabla G(x, \xi_j) d\sigma(x) \\ &= R \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} \left( -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{(x - \xi_k)}{|x - \xi_k|^2} + \nabla H(x, \xi_k) \right) \cdot \\ & \cdot \left( -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{(x - \xi_j)}{|x - \xi_j|^2} + \nabla H(x, \xi_j) \right) d\sigma(x) \\ &= -\frac{R}{2\pi} \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} \frac{(x - \xi_k)}{|x - \xi_k|^2} \cdot \nabla H(x, \xi_j) d\sigma(x) + \\ &+ \frac{R}{4\pi^2} \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} \frac{(x - \xi_k) \cdot (x - \xi_j)}{|x - \xi_k|^2 |x - \xi_j|^2} d\sigma(x) + o_R(1). \end{aligned}$$

Where we used the fact that  $|\nabla H(x, \xi_k)| e \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{(x-\xi_j)}{|x-\xi_j|^2} + \nabla H(x, \xi_j) \right|$  are bounded in  $B_R(\xi_k)$  and hence are bounded in  $\partial B_R(\xi_k)$ , uniformly in  $R$ . Let us study the two integrals separately.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{R}{2\pi} \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} \frac{(x - \xi_k)}{|x - \xi_k|^2} \cdot \nabla H(x, \xi_j) d\sigma(x) \\ &= \frac{R^3}{2\pi R^2} \int_0^{2\pi} (\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta)) \cdot \nabla H(x, \xi_j) d\theta \\ &= o_R(1). \end{aligned}$$

Where we used the fact that  $|\nabla H(x, \xi_j)|$  is bounded in  $\partial B_R(\xi_k)$ , uniformly in  $R$ . For the second integral we have.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{R}{4\pi^2} \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} \frac{(x - \xi_k) \cdot (x - \xi_j)}{|x - \xi_k|^2 |x - \xi_j|^2} d\sigma(x) \\ &= \frac{R^3}{4\pi^2 R^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta)) \cdot (R(\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta)) + (\xi_k - \xi_j))}{|R(\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta)) + (\xi_k - \xi_j)|^2} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

If  $j \neq k$  then we have

$$\frac{R^3}{4\pi^2 R^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta)) \cdot (R(\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta)) + (\xi_k - \xi_j))}{|R(\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta)) + (\xi_k - \xi_j)|^2} d\theta = o_R(1),$$

where we used the fact that the function inside the integral is uniformly bounded in  $R$ . If  $j = k$  then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{R^3}{4\pi^2 R^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta)) \cdot (R(\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta)) + (\xi_k - \xi_j))}{|R(\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta)) + (\xi_k - \xi_j)|^2} d\theta \\ &= \frac{R^3}{4\pi^2 R^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta)) \cdot (R(\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta)))}{|R(\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta))|^2} d\theta \\ &= \frac{R^4}{4\pi^2 R^4} 2\pi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogous computations give the other identities.  $\square$

The next theorem shows that the hypotheses of Lemma 3.3 do not hold if the eigenvalues go to one too fast. Thanks to this theorem we will prove that

the estimate provided by Lemma 3.3 does not holds for the eigenfunctions from  $m + 1$  to  $3m$ , but holds only for the eigenfunctions from  $3m + 1$  to  $4m$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *For every  $j > m$  such that  $\mu_{\rho,j} < 1 + C\rho^2$ , in (3.1) we have that  $t_j = (t_j^{(1)}, \dots, t_j^{(m)}) = (0, \dots, 0)$ , that is*

$$\tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)} \rightarrow \frac{s_{1,j}^{(k)}x_1 + s_{2,j}^{(k)}x_2}{8 + |x|^2}$$

in  $C_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for some  $s = (s_{1,j}^{(k)}, s_{2,j}^{(k)}) \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Furthermore, if  $t_j \neq (0, \dots, 0)$  then  $\mu_{\rho,j} > 1$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.1 we have that

$$\tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)} \rightarrow \frac{s_{1,j}^{(k)}x_1 + s_{2,j}^{(k)}x_2}{8 + |x|^2} + t_j^{(k)} \frac{8 - |x|^2}{8 + |x|^2}$$

in  $C_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . If  $t_j = \mathbf{0}$  then  $s \neq \mathbf{0}$ , otherwise we would have that  $v_{\rho,j}^{(k)} \rightarrow 0$ . Let us assume by contradiction that  $t_j \neq \mathbf{0}$ , that is  $t_j^{(k)} \neq 0$  for some  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . Using (3.7) with  $f = u_\rho$  and  $g = v_{\rho,j}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & R \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} \left( 2 \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial \nu}(x) \frac{\partial v_{\rho,j}}{\partial \nu}(x) - \nabla u_\rho(x) \cdot \nabla v_{\rho,j}(x) \right) d\sigma(x) \\ &= \int_{B_R(\xi_k)} \{ [(x - \xi_k) \cdot \nabla u_\rho(x)] \Delta v_{\rho,j}(x) + [(x - \xi_k) \cdot \nabla v_{\rho,j}(x)] \Delta u_\rho(x) \} dx. \end{aligned}$$

For the left hand side, by Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & R \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} \left( 2 \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial \nu}(x) \frac{\partial v_{\rho,j}}{\partial \nu}(x) - \nabla u_\rho(x) \cdot \nabla v_{\rho,j}(x) \right) d\sigma(x) \\ &= 2 \frac{1}{\log(\rho)} R \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left( 8\pi \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i G(x, \xi_i) + o(1) \right) \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left( 2\pi \sum_{n=1}^m t_j^{(n)} G(x, \xi_n) + o(1) \right) d\sigma(x) + \\ & - R \frac{1}{\log(\rho)} \\ & \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} \nabla \left( 8\pi \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i G(x, \xi_i) + o(1) \right) \cdot \nabla \left( 2\pi \sum_{n=1}^m t_j^{(n)} G(x, \xi_n) + o(1) \right) d\sigma(x) \end{aligned}$$

applying Lemma 3.5

$$= \frac{8\pi}{\log(\rho)} \alpha_k t_j^{(k)} + o_R(1).$$

Let us now study the right hand side.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R(\xi_k)} \{[(x - \xi_k) \cdot \nabla u_\rho(x)] \Delta v_{\rho,j}(x) + [(x - \xi_k) \cdot \nabla v_{\rho,j}(x)] \Delta u_\rho(x)\} dx \\ &= -\mu_{\rho,j} \rho^2 \int_{B_R(\xi_k)} [(x - \xi_k) \cdot \nabla u_\rho(x)] (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx + \\ & - \rho^2 \int_{B_R(\xi_k)} [(x - \xi_k) \cdot \nabla v_{\rho,j}(x)] (e^{u_\rho(x)} - e^{-u_\rho(x)}) dx \\ &= -\rho^2 \int_{B_R(\xi_k)} (x - \xi_k) \cdot \nabla ((e^{u_\rho(x)} - e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x)) dx + \\ & - (\mu_{\rho,j} - 1) \rho^2 \int_{B_R(\xi_k)} [(x - \xi_k) \cdot \nabla u_\rho(x)] (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\ &= -\rho^2 \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} (x - \xi_k) \cdot \nu (e^{u_\rho(x)} - e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) d\sigma(x) + \\ & + 2\rho^2 \int_{B_R(\xi_k)} (e^{u_\rho(x)} - e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx + \\ & + (1 - \mu_{\rho,j}) \rho^2 \int_{B_R(\xi_k)} [(x - \xi_k) \cdot \nabla u_\rho(x)] (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let us study these three integrals separately. For the first integral we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\rho^2 \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} (x - \xi_k) \cdot \nu (e^{u_\rho(x)} - e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) d\sigma(x) \\ &= -R\rho^2 \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} (e^{u_\rho(x)} - e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) d\sigma(x) \\ &= o_R(1), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho^2 \left| \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} (e^{u_\rho(x)} - e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) d\sigma(x) \right| \\ & \leq \rho^2 \int_{B_R(\xi_k)} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) dx \\ &= \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \int_{\frac{\sqrt{8}R}{\tau_k \rho}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)}}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} dx + \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \tau_k^4 \rho^4 \int_{\frac{\sqrt{8}R}{\tau_k \rho}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_{\rho} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 dx$$

using Lebesgue theorem

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{dx}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} + o(1)$$

$$= 8\pi + o(1),$$

where we used the fact that

$$\frac{\tau_k^6 \rho^8}{8} \left| \int_{\frac{\sqrt{8}R}{\tau_k \rho}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_{\rho} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 dx \right| \leq C \rho^8 \frac{1}{\rho^6} = o(1).$$

For the second integral we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\rho^2 \int_{B_R(\xi_k)} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} - e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\ &= \alpha_k 2\rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}R}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_{\rho} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)}}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dx + \\ &+ \alpha_k 2\rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \tau_k^4 \rho^4 \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}R}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_{\rho} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

using Lebesgue theorem

$$\begin{aligned} &= 2\alpha_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} \left( \frac{s_{1,j}^{(k)} x_1 + s_{2,j}^{(k)} x_2}{8 + |x|^2} + t_j^{(k)} \frac{8 - |x|^2}{8 + |x|^2} \right) dx + o\left( \frac{1}{\log(\rho)} \right) \\ &= o\left( \frac{1}{\log(\rho)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\tau_k^6 \rho^8}{8} \left| \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}R}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_{\rho} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dx \right| \\ & \leq C \rho^8 \frac{1}{\rho^6} \\ & = o\left( \frac{1}{\log(\rho)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the third integral we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho^2 \int_{B_R(\xi_k)} [(x - \xi_k) \cdot \nabla u_\rho(x)] (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\
&= \rho^2 \int_{B_R(\xi_k)} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) (x - \xi_k) \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot \left( -4\alpha_k \frac{(x - \xi_k)}{\tau_k^2 \rho^2 + |x - \xi_k|^2} + \nabla \ell_{\rho,k}(x) + \nabla \varphi_\rho(x) \right) dx \\
&= \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} (1 + o(\rho^2)) \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}R}{\tau_k \rho}}(\xi_k - \xi_{\rho,k})} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k}(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}) + \varphi_\rho(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k})}}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \\
&\quad \left( -\frac{\alpha_k}{2} \frac{|x|^2}{1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}} + \frac{\tau_k \rho}{\sqrt{8}} x \cdot \nabla \ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \frac{\tau_k \rho}{\sqrt{8}} x \cdot \nabla \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right) dx + \\
&+ \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \tau_k^4 \rho^4 \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}R}{\tau_k \rho}}(\xi_k - \xi_{\rho,k})} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k}(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}) - \varphi_\rho(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k})} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \\
&\quad \left( -\frac{\alpha_k}{2} \frac{|x|^2}{1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}} + \frac{\tau_k \rho}{\sqrt{8}} x \cdot \nabla \ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \frac{\tau_k \rho}{\sqrt{8}} x \cdot \nabla \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right) dx \\
&(1 + o(1))
\end{aligned}$$

using Lebesgue theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{\alpha_k}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|x|^2}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^3} \left( \frac{s_{1,j}^{(k)} x_1 + s_{2,j}^{(k)} x_2}{8 + |x|^2} + t_j^{(k)} \frac{8 - |x|^2}{8 + |x|^2} \right) dx + o(1) \\
&= \frac{16}{3} \pi \alpha_k t_j^{(k)} + o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\tau_k^6 \rho^8}{8} \left| \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}R}{\tau_k \rho}}(\xi_k - \xi_{\rho,k})} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k}(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}) - \varphi_\rho(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k})} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left( -\frac{\alpha_k}{2} \frac{|x|^2}{1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}} + \frac{\tau_k \rho}{\sqrt{8}} x \cdot \nabla \ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right) dx \right| \\
&\leq C \rho^8 \frac{1}{\rho^6} \\
&= o(1),
\end{aligned}$$



and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{8\tau_k^2} \left| \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8R}}{\tau_k\rho}}(\xi_k - \xi_{\rho,k})} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) + \varphi_\rho\left(\frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)}}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \frac{\tau_k\rho}{\sqrt{8}} x \cdot \right. \\
& \quad \left. \cdot \nabla \ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) dx \right| \\
& \leq C\rho \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|x|}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} dx \\
& = o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho^8 \left| \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8R}}{\tau_k\rho}}(\xi_k - \xi_{\rho,k})} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) - \varphi_\rho\left(\frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \frac{\tau_k\rho}{\sqrt{8}} x \cdot \right. \\
& \quad \left. \cdot \nabla \varphi_\rho\left(\frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) dx \right| \\
& \leq C\rho^9 \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8R}}{\tau_k\rho}}(\xi_k - \xi_{\rho,k})} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 |x| \left| \nabla \varphi_\rho\left(\frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) \right| dx
\end{aligned}$$

using Cauchy-Schwarz

$$\begin{aligned}
& = C\rho^9 \left( \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8R}}{\tau_k\rho}}(\xi_k - \xi_{\rho,k})} \left( \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 |x| \right)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad \left( \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8R}}{\tau_k\rho}}(\xi_k - \xi_{\rho,k})} \left| \nabla \varphi_\rho\left(\frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C\rho^9 \rho^{-6} \rho^{-1} \|\varphi_\rho\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\
& \leq C\rho^2 \rho^\beta |\log(\rho)| \\
& = o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\frac{1}{8\tau_k^2} \left| \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8R}}{\tau_k\rho}}(\xi_k - \xi_{\rho,k})} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) + \varphi_\rho\left(\frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)}}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \frac{\tau_k\rho}{\sqrt{8}} x \cdot \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \cdot \nabla \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) dx \right| \\ & \leq C \rho \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}R}{\tau_k \rho}}(\xi_k - \xi_{\rho,k})} \frac{|x|}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \left| \nabla \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right| dx \end{aligned}$$

using Cauchy-Schwarz

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq C \rho \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \frac{|x|}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \right)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad \left( \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}R}{\tau_k \rho}}(\xi_k - \xi_{\rho,k})} \left| \nabla \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq C \|\varphi_\rho\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\ & \leq C \rho^\beta |\log(\rho)| \\ & = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

We then have

$$(1 - \mu_{\rho,j}) (1 + o(1)) \frac{16}{3} \pi \alpha_k t_j^{(k)} + o\left(\frac{1}{\log(\rho)}\right) + o_R(1) = 8\pi \frac{1}{\log(\rho)} \alpha_k t_j^{(k)} + o_R(1).$$

Since this relation holds true for every  $R$ , we have

$$(1 - \mu_{\rho,j}) = \left( \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\log(\rho)} + o\left(\frac{1}{\log(\rho)}\right) \right) (1 + o(1)) < \frac{2}{\log(\rho)}. \quad (3.8)$$

We supposed by assumption that

$$(1 - \mu_{\rho,j}) \geq -C\rho^2,$$

so that

$$-C\rho^2 \log(\rho) > 2,$$

which gives a contradiction as  $C\rho^2 \log(\rho) = o(1)$ . Furthermore, by (3.8) we have that if  $t_j^{(k)} \neq \mathbf{0}$  for some  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , then we have

$$\mu_{\rho,j} > 1 - \frac{2}{\log(\rho)} > 1.$$

□

We prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.7.** *For any domain  $\Omega' \subseteq \Omega$ , and any eigenfunction  $v_{\rho,n}$ , the following integral identity holds.*

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \mu_{\rho,n})\rho^2 \int_{\Omega'} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_j}(x) v_{\rho,n}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega'} \frac{\partial v_{\rho,n}}{\partial \nu}(x) \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_j}(x) - v_{\rho,n}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u_\rho}{\partial \nu \partial x_j}(x) d\sigma_x, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where we denoted by  $\nu$  the extenal normal vector to  $\partial\Omega'$ .

*Proof.* Differentiating (1.2) with respect to  $x_j$ , for  $j = 1, 2$ , we get

$$-\Delta \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_j}(x) = \rho^2 (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_j}(x), \quad \forall x \text{ in } \Omega.$$

Multiplying this expression by  $v_{\rho,n}$ , and integrating both sides of the equation we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega'} \nabla \left( \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_j} \right) (x) \nabla v_{\rho,n}(x) dx - \int_{\partial\Omega'} v_{\rho,n}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u_\rho}{\partial \nu \partial x_j}(x) d\sigma_x \\ &= \rho^2 \int_{\Omega'} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_j}(x) v_{\rho,n}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, multiplying (1.6) by  $\frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_j}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega'} \nabla v_{\rho,n} \nabla \left( \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_j} \right) (x) dx - \int_{\partial\Omega'} \frac{\partial v_{\rho,n}}{\partial \nu}(x) \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_j}(x) d\sigma_x \\ &= \mu_{\rho,n} \rho^2 \int_{\Omega'} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_j}(x) v_{\rho,n}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the difference of these two expressions we get the conclusion.  $\square$

We can now prove that the hypothesis of Theorem 3.6 holds for the eigenvalues from  $m + 1$  to  $3m$ , and therefore the estimate provided by Lemma 3.3 does not hold for the corresponding eigenfunctions. The next two theorems show that the eigenvalues from  $m + 1$  to  $3m$  go to one, give an estimate for the rate of convergence, and prove that the eigenvalues after  $3m$  are bigger than one, thus providing an estimate from above for the Morse index.

**Lemma 3.8.** *We have that*

$$\mu_{\rho,m+1} < 1 + C\rho^2$$

and

$$\mu_{\rho,m+1} \rightarrow 1.$$

*Proof.* Set

$$\Psi_\rho(x) = \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x)\psi_{\rho,k}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m a_{\rho,j}v_{\rho,j}(x),$$

where

$$a_{\rho,j} = -\frac{\left(\frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}\psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j}\right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)}}{(v_{\rho,j}, v_{\rho,j})_{H_0^1(\Omega)}}, \quad (3.10)$$

with  $k = 1$ . With this choice for the  $a_{\rho,j}$ 's we immediately get that  $\Psi_\rho$  is orthogonal to  $v_{\rho,j}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . By Proposition 2.3 we have that  $\mu_{\rho,k} = o(1)$  for any  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . Let us prove that  $a_{\rho,j} = o(1)$ . Let us start by studying the numerator

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \left( \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}\psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left( \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x)\psi_{\rho,k}(x) \right) \nabla v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\ &= \rho^2 \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x)\psi_{\rho,k}(x) dx \\ &= \rho^2 \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k}) \setminus B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x)\psi_{\rho,k}(x) dx + \\ &+ \rho^2 \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Let us study these integral separately. For the first integral we have

$$\rho^2 \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k}) \setminus B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x)\psi_{\rho,k}(x) dx = o(1). \quad (3.12)$$

By Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 2.4 we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho^2 \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{1 - \mu_{\rho,j}} \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} \left( \frac{\partial v_{j,\rho}}{\partial \nu}(x) \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) - v_{j,\rho}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u_\rho}{\partial \nu \partial x_1}(x) \right) d\sigma_x \\
&= \frac{\mu_{\rho,j}}{1 - \mu_{\rho,j}} \\
& \left( \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left( 8\pi \sum_{k=1}^m C_j^{(k)} G(x, \xi_k) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left( 8\pi \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k G(x, \xi_k) \right) + \right. \\
& \left. - 8\pi \left( \sum_{k=1}^m C_j^{(k)} G(x, \xi_k) \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \nu \partial x_1} \left( 8\pi \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k G(x, \xi_k) \right) \right] d\sigma_x + o(1) \right) \\
&= o(1). \tag{3.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.12) and (3.13) in (3.11) we get

$$\frac{1}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \left( \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1} \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = o(1). \tag{3.14}$$

By (2.10) we have that

$$(v_{\rho,j}, v_{\rho,j})_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = 8\pi \mu_{\rho,j} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m (C_j^{(k)})^2 + o(1) \right). \tag{3.15}$$

Using (3.14) and (3.15) in (3.10) we get that  $a_{\rho,j} = o(1)$ . By the formula for the Rayleigh quotient we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\rho,m} &= \inf_{\substack{v \in H_0^1(\Omega), v \neq 0, \\ v \perp v_{\rho,1}, \dots, v \perp v_{\rho,m}}} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx}{\rho^2 \int_\Omega (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v^2(x) dx} \\
&\leq \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla \Psi_\rho(x)|^2 dx}{\rho^2 \int_\Omega (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) \Psi_\rho^2(x) dx} \\
&= \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla \left( \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m a_{\rho,j} v_{\rho,j}(x) \right)|^2 dx}{\rho^2 \int_\Omega (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) \left( \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m a_{\rho,j} v_{\rho,j}(x) \right)^2 dx}. \tag{3.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us start by studying the numerator.

$$\int_\Omega \left| \nabla \left( \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m a_{\rho,j} v_{\rho,j}(x) \right) \right|^2 dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left( \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \right) \right|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left( \sum_{j=1}^m a_{\rho,j} v_{\rho,j}(x) \right) \right|^2 dx + \\
&+ 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left( \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \right) \nabla \left( \sum_{j=1}^m a_{\rho,j} v_{\rho,j}(x) \right) dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left( \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \right) \right|^2 dx + \sum_{j=1}^m a_{\rho,j}^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\rho,j}(x)|^2 dx + \\
&+ 2 \sum_{j=1}^m a_{\rho,j} \left( \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1} \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left( \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \right) \right|^2 dx + o(1)
\end{aligned}$$

In fact, by (2.10), and since  $a_{\rho,k} = o(1)$ , we have that

$$a_{\rho,k}^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\rho,k}(x)|^2 dx = 8\pi a_{\rho,k}^2 \mu_j \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \left( C_j^{(k)} \right)^2 + o(1) \right) = o(1), \quad (3.17)$$

and by (3.14), and since  $a_{\rho,k} = o(1)$ , we have that

$$a_{\rho,j} \left( \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1} \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = a_{\rho,j} \mu_{\rho,j} o(1) = o(1). \quad (3.18)$$

We remark that

$$\begin{aligned}
-\Delta \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \Delta u_{\rho}(x) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\rho^2 (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} - e^{-u_{\rho}(x)})) \\
&= \rho^2 (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us go back to the numerator.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left( \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \right) \right|^2 dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) \right|^2 \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) |\nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x)|^2 dx + \\
&+ 2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \nabla \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) \nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x) dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \nabla \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) \nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x) dx + \\
&\quad - \int_{\Omega} \Delta \left( \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1} \right) (x) \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}^2}{\partial x_1}(x) |\nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x)|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \nabla \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) \nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x) dx \\
&= \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \frac{\partial u_{\rho}^2}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}^2}{\partial x_1}(x) |\nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x)|^2 dx,
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left( \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^m a_{\rho,k} v_{\rho,k}(x) \right) \right|^2 dx \\
&= \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \frac{\partial u_{\rho}^2}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}^2}{\partial x_1}(x) |\nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x)|^2 dx + o(1).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Let us now study the denominator

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \left( \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m a_{\rho,j} v_{\rho,j}(x) \right)^2 dx \\
&= \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \left( \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \right)^2 dx + \\
&\quad + \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \left( \sum_{j=1}^m a_{\rho,j} v_{\rho,j}(x) \right)^2 dx + \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{j=1}^m a_{\rho,j} \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\
&= \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \frac{\partial u_{\rho}^2}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m a_{\rho,j}^2 \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v_{\rho,j}^2(x) dx + 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{a_{\rho,j}}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \left( \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1} \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\
&= \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \frac{\partial u_{\rho}^2}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + o(1).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

In fact, by (2.10), and since  $a_{\rho,k} = o(1)$ , we have

$$a_{\rho,k}^2 \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{a_{\rho,k}^2}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \|v_{\rho,j}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \\
&= 8\pi a_{\rho,j}^2 \left( \sum_{k=1}^m (C_j^{(k)})^2 + o(1) \right) = o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

and by (3.14), and since  $a_{\rho,k} = o(1)$ , we have

$$\frac{a_{\rho,j}}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \left( \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1} \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = o(1).$$

Using (3.19) and (3.20) in (3.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\rho,m} &\leq \frac{\rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) |\nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x)|^2 dx + o(1)}{\rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + o(1)} \\
&= 1 + \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) |\nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x)|^2 dx + o(1)}{\rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + o(1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove that

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) |\nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x)|^2 dx + o(1)}{\rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + o(1)} \leq C\rho^2.$$

For the numerator we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) |\nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x)|^2 dx = \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k}) \setminus B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) |\nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x)|^2 dx \leq C.$$



For the denominator we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \frac{\partial u_{\rho}^2}{\partial x_1}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx \\
& \geq \rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \frac{\partial u_{\rho}^2}{\partial x_1}(x) dx \\
& = \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) + \varphi_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)}}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \\
& \quad \left( -\frac{4\alpha_k}{\sqrt{8}\tau_k \rho} \frac{x_1}{1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}} + \frac{\partial \ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_1} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \frac{\partial \varphi_{\rho}}{\partial x_1} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right)^2 dx + \\
& \quad + \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) - \varphi_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 \\
& \quad \left( -\frac{4\alpha_k}{\sqrt{8}\tau_k \rho} \frac{x_1}{1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}} + \frac{\partial \ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_1} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \frac{\partial \varphi_{\rho}}{\partial x_1} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right)^2 dx \\
& \geq \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) + \varphi_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)}}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \\
& \quad \left( -\frac{4\alpha_k}{\sqrt{8}\tau_k \rho} \frac{x_1}{1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}} + \frac{\partial \ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_1} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \frac{\partial \varphi_{\rho}}{\partial x_1} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right)^2 dx \\
& \geq \frac{2}{\tau_k^2 \rho^2} \frac{1}{8\tau_k^2} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) + \varphi_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)}}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \frac{x_1^2}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} dx + \\
& \quad - \frac{\sqrt{8}\alpha_k}{\tau_k \rho} \frac{1}{8\tau_k^2} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) + \varphi_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)}}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \frac{x_1}{1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}} \frac{\partial \ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_1} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) dx + \\
& \quad - \frac{\sqrt{8}\alpha_k}{\tau_k \rho} \frac{1}{8\tau_k^2} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) + \varphi_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)}}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \frac{x_1}{1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{\rho}}{\partial x_1} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) dx
\end{aligned}$$

using Lebesgue theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \frac{2}{\tau_k^2 \rho^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{x_1^2}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^4} dx + o(1) \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left( \frac{32\pi}{3\tau_k^2} + o(1) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

(3.21)

where we used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\rho} \left| \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) + \varphi_\rho\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)}}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \frac{x_1}{1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}} \frac{\partial \ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_1} \left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) dx \right| \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|x|}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^3} dx \\ & < \frac{C}{\rho}, \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\rho} \left| \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) + \varphi_\rho\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)}}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \frac{x_1}{1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}} \frac{\partial \varphi_\rho}{\partial x_1} \left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) dx \right| \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\rho} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{|x_1|}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^3} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_\rho}{\partial x_1} \left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) \right| dx \end{aligned}$$

using Cauchy-Schwarz

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \frac{C}{\rho} \left( \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \left( \frac{|x_1|}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^3} \right)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_\rho}{\partial x_1} \left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\rho^2} \|\varphi_\rho\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\rho^2} \rho^\beta \log(\rho) \\ & = \frac{1}{\rho^2} o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}{}^2(x) |\nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x)|^2 dx + o(1)}{\rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}{}^2(x) \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + o(1)} \leq \frac{C}{\frac{1}{\rho^2} \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \frac{32\pi}{3\tau_k^2} + o(1) \right)} \leq C\rho^2.$$

□

**Proposition 3.9.** *We have*

$$(i) \quad \mu_{\rho,j} \leq 1 + C\rho^2 \text{ for every } m+1 \leq j \leq 3m;$$

(ii)  $\mu_{\rho,j} \rightarrow 1$  for every  $m + 1 \leq j \leq 3m$  ;

(iii)  $\mu_{\rho,j} > 1$  for every  $j > 3m$ .

*Proof.* Let us start by proving (i). Let us proceed by induction on  $m$ . We know that (i) holds true for  $j = m + 1$ . Let us assume that it holds true for  $m + 1 \leq j \leq 3m - 1$ . We show now that it holds true for  $j = 3m$ .

Set

$$\Psi_\rho(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{3m-1} a_{\rho,j} v_{\rho,j}(x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\rho,j} &= - \frac{\left( \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1} + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2} \right) \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)}}{(v_{\rho,j}, v_{\rho,j})_{H_0^1(\Omega)}} \\ &= - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_1^{(k)} \left( \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1} \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)}}{(v_{\rho,j}, v_{\rho,j})_{H_0^1(\Omega)}} - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_2^{(k)} \left( \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2} \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)}}{(v_{\rho,j}, v_{\rho,j})_{H_0^1(\Omega)}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

for  $m + 1 \leq j \leq 3m - 1$ . With this choice for the  $a_{\rho,j}$ 's it is immediate to see that  $\Psi_\rho$  is orthogonal to  $v_{\rho,j}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq 3m - 1$ . We have seen in Lemma 3.8 that  $a_{\rho,j} = o(1)$  for every  $j \leq m$ . Let us now prove that, for a suitable choice of the  $\lambda_1^{(k)}$ 's and  $\lambda_2^{(k)}$ 's we have  $\rho a_{\rho,j} = o(1)$  for every  $m + 1 \leq j \leq 3m - 1$ . By inductive hypothesis and by Theorem 3.6, for every  $m + 1 \leq j \leq 3m - 1$  and every  $k = 1, \dots, m$  we have that

$$\tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)} \rightarrow \frac{s_{1,j}^{(k)} x_1 + s_{2,j}^{(k)} x_2}{8 + |x|^2}$$

in  $C_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Let us start by studying the numerator of (3.22).

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1} + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2} \right) \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left( \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \right) \nabla v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m \mu_{\rho,j} \rho^2 \\
& \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) dx \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m \mu_{\rho,j} \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \\
& \int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)}}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \psi_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \\
& \left( -\frac{4\alpha_k}{\sqrt{8}\tau_k \rho} \frac{\lambda_1^{(k)} x_1 + \lambda_2^{(k)} x_2}{8 + |x|^2} + \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial \ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_1} + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial \ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_2} \right) \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \right. \\
& \left. + \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial \varphi_\rho}{\partial x_1} + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial \varphi_\rho}{\partial x_2} \right) \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right) dx + \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^m \mu_{\rho,j} \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \\
& \psi_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \left( -\frac{4\alpha_k}{\sqrt{8}\tau_k \rho} \frac{\lambda_1^{(k)} x_1 + \lambda_2^{(k)} x_2}{8 + |x|^2} + \right. \\
& \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial \ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_1} + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial \ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_2} \right) \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \\
& \left. \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial \varphi_\rho}{\partial x_1} + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial \varphi_\rho}{\partial x_2} \right) \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right) dx \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m \mu_{\rho,j} \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \\
& \int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)}}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \psi_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \\
& \left( -\frac{4\alpha_k}{\sqrt{8}\tau_k \rho} \frac{\lambda_1^{(k)} x_1 + \lambda_2^{(k)} x_2}{8 + |x|^2} + \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial \ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_1} + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial \ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_2} \right) \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right) dx + \\
& + \mu_{\rho,j} \frac{o(1)}{\rho},
\end{aligned}$$

(3.23)

where we used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\tau_k^6 \rho^8}{8} \left| \int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) - \varphi_\rho\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) \right. \\ & \left. \left( -\frac{4\alpha_k}{\sqrt{8}\tau_k \rho} \frac{\lambda_1^{(k)} x_1 + \lambda_2^{(k)} x_2}{8 + |x|^2} + \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial \ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_1} + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial \ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_2} \right) \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right) dx \right| \\ & \leq C \rho^8 \frac{1}{\rho^6} \\ & = o(1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) + \varphi_\rho\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)}}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \right. \\ & \left. \psi_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) \frac{\partial \varphi_\rho}{\partial x_i}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) dx \right| \\ & \leq C \int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_\rho}{\partial x_i}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) \right| dx \end{aligned}$$

using Cauchy-Schwarz

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq C \left( \int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \left( \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \right)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_\rho}{\partial x_i}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\rho} \|\varphi_\rho\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\rho} \rho^\beta |\log(\rho)| \\ & = \frac{o(1)}{\rho}, \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho^8 \left| \int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) - \varphi_\rho\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 \right. \\ & \left. \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \psi_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) \frac{\partial \varphi_\rho}{\partial x_i}\left(\frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) dx \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C\rho^8 \int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k\rho}}(0)} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2 \left| \frac{\partial\varphi_\rho}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right| dx$$

using Cauchy-Schwarz

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C\rho^8 \left( \int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k\rho}}(0)} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^4 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k\rho}}(0)} \left| \frac{\partial\varphi_\rho}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C\rho^2 \|\varphi_\rho\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C\rho^2 \rho^\beta |\log(\rho)| \\ &= o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^m \mu_{\rho,j} \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \\ &\int_{B_{\frac{2\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k\rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) + \varphi_\rho\left(\frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)}}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \psi_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \\ &\left( -\frac{4\alpha_k}{\sqrt{8}\tau_k\rho} \frac{\lambda_1^{(k)}x_1 + \lambda_2^{(k)}x_2}{8 + |x|^2} + \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial\ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_1} + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial\ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_2} \right) \left( \frac{\tau_k\rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right) dx + \\ &+ \mu_{\rho,j} \frac{o(1)}{\rho} \end{aligned}$$

using Lebesgue theorem

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{k=1}^m -\frac{4\alpha_k}{\sqrt{8}\tau_k\rho} \mu_{\rho,j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \frac{s_{1,j}^{(k)}x_1 + s_{2,j}^{(k)}x_2}{8 + |x|^2} \frac{\lambda_1^{(k)}x_1 + \lambda_2^{(k)}x_2}{8 + |x|^2} dx (1 + o(1)) + \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \mu_{\rho,j} \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial\ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_1}(\xi_k) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial\ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_2}(\xi_k) \right) \\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \frac{s_{1,j}^{(k)}x_1 + s_{2,j}^{(k)}x_2}{8 + |x|^2} dx + \mu_{\rho,j} \frac{o(1)}{\rho} \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{3\sqrt{8}} \mu_{\rho,j} \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\alpha_k}{\tau_k} \left( s_{1,j}^{(k)} \lambda_1^{(k)} + s_{2,j}^{(k)} \lambda_2^{(k)} \right) (1 + o(1)) + \mu_{\rho,j} \frac{o(1)}{\rho} \end{aligned}$$

taking  $\lambda_1^{(k)}$  and  $\lambda_2^{(k)}$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\alpha_k}{\tau_k} \left( s_{1,j}^{(k)} \lambda_1^{(k)} + s_{2,j}^{(k)} \lambda_2^{(k)} \right) = 0$

$$= \mu_{\rho,j} \frac{o(1)}{\rho}.$$

Then, if  $m + 1 \leq j \leq 3m - 1$  we have

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1} + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2} \right) \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = \mu_{\rho,j} \frac{o(1)}{\rho}. \quad (3.24)$$

For the denominator of (3.22), for  $m + 1 \leq j \leq 3m - 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (v_{\rho,j}, v_{\rho,j})_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\rho,j}(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \mu_{\rho,j} \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}^2(x) dx \\ &= \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)}}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} \left( \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \right)^2 dx + \\ &+ \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \tau_k^4 \rho^4 \\ &\int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \left( \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \right)^2 dx + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

using Lebesgue theorem

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} \left( \frac{s_{1,j}^{(k)} x_1 + s_{2,j}^{(k)} x_2}{8 + |x|^2} \right)^2 dx + o(1) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{12} \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \left( s_{1,j}^{(k)} \right)^2 + \left( s_{2,j}^{(k)} \right)^2 \right) + o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

where we used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\tau_k^6 \rho^8}{8} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\varepsilon}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \left( \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \right)^2 dx \right| \\ & \leq C \rho^8 \frac{1}{\rho^6} \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.24) and (3.25) in (3.22) we get that for  $m + 1 \leq j \leq 3m - 1$ , we have  $\rho a_{\rho,j} = o(1)$ . By the formula for the Rayleigh quotient we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\rho,3m} &= \inf_{\substack{v \in H_0^1(\Omega), v \neq 0, \\ v \perp v_{\rho,1}, \dots, v \perp v_{\rho,3m-1}}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx}{\rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v^2(x) dx} \\ &\leq \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Psi_{\rho}(x)|^2 dx}{\rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \Psi_{\rho}^2(x) dx} \\ &= \frac{N}{D}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Where

$$N = \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left( \sum_k^m \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{3m-1} a_{\rho,j} v_{\rho,j}(x) \right) \right|^2 dx$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \\ &\quad \left( \sum_k^m \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{3m-1} a_{\rho,j} v_{\rho,j}(x) \right)^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let us start by studying the numerator. Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left( \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \right) \right|^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \right|^2 \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2}(x) \right)^2 |\nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x)|^2 dx + \\ &+ 2 \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \\ &\quad \nabla \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x) dx \\ &= -2 \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& \nabla \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x) dx + \\
& - \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \Delta \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right)^2 |\nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x)|^2 dx + \\
& + 2 \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \\
& \nabla \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x) dx \\
& = \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right)^2 \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k}) \setminus B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right)^2 |\nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x)|^2 dx,
\end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{3m-1} a_{\rho,j} v_{\rho,j}(x) \right) \right|^2 dx \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left( \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \right) \right|^2 dx + \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{3m-1} a_{\rho,j}^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\rho,j}(x)|^2 dx \\
&+ 2 \sum_{j=1}^{3m-1} a_{\rho,j} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left( \sum_k \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \right) \nabla v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2}(x) \right)^2 \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k}) \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2}(x) \right)^2 |\nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x)|^2 dx + \\
&+ \sum_{j=m}^{3m-1} a_{\rho,j}^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\rho,j}(x)|^2 dx + \\
&+ 2 \sum_{j=m}^{3m-1} a_{\rho,j} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \right) \nabla v_{\rho,j}(x) dx + \\
&+ o(1),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

since for  $j \leq m$ , by (3.17) we have

$$a_{\rho,j}^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\rho,j}(x)|^2 dx = o(1),$$

and for  $j \leq m$ , by (3.18) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_{\rho,j} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left( \sum_k \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \right) \nabla v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_1^{(k)} a_{\rho,j} \left( \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1} \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_2^{(k)} a_{\rho,j} \left( \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2} \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\
&= o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

For the denominator we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \\
& \left( \sum_k^m \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{3m-1} a_{\rho,j} v_{\rho,j}(x) \right)^2 dx \\
& = \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2}(x) \right)^2 \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^{3m-1} a_{\rho,j}^2 \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v_{\rho,j}^2(x) dx + \\
& + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{3m-1} a_{\rho,j} \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \\
& \sum_k^m \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\
& = \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2}(x) \right)^2 \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + \\
& + \sum_{j=m}^{3m-1} \frac{a_{\rho,j}^2}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\rho,j}|^2(x) dx + \\
& + 2 \sum_{j=m}^{3m-1} \frac{a_{\rho,j}}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \left( \sum_k^m \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1} + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2} \right) \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + o(1),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

since  $j \leq m$ , by (3.17) we have

$$\frac{a_{\rho,j}^2}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\rho,j}(x)|^2 dx = o(1),$$

and by (3.18) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{a_{\rho,j}}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \left( \sum_k^m \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1} + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2} \right) \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\
& = \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{a_{\rho,j}}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \left( \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_1} \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{a_{\rho,j}}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \left( \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_2} \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\
& = o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.27) and (3.28) in (3.26) we get

$$\mu_{2,\rho} \leq 1 + \frac{N_\rho(x)}{D_\rho(x)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N_\rho(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k}) \setminus B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right)^2 |\nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x)|^2 dx + \\ &+ \sum_{j=m}^{3m-1} a_{\rho,j}^2 \frac{(\mu_{\rho,j} - 1)}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\rho,j}(x)|^2 dx + \\ &+ 2 \sum_{j=m}^{3m-1} a_{\rho,j} \frac{(\mu_{\rho,j} - 1)}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \\ &\int_{\Omega} \nabla \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \right) \nabla v_{\rho,j}(x) dx + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_\rho(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right)^2 \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + \\ &+ \sum_{j=m}^{3m-1} \frac{a_{\rho,j}^2}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\rho,j}|^2(x) dx + \\ &+ 2 \sum_{j=m}^{3m-1} \frac{a_{\rho,j}}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \left( \sum_k \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1} + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2} \right) \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove that

$$\frac{N_\rho(x)}{D_\rho(x)} \leq C\rho^2.$$

Let us start by studying  $N_\rho(x)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
N_\rho(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k}) \setminus B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right)^2 |\nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x)|^2 dx + \\
&+ \sum_{j=m}^{3m-1} a_{\rho,j}^2 \frac{(\mu_{\rho,j} - 1)}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\rho,j}(x)|^2 dx + \\
&+ 2 \sum_{j=m}^{3m-1} a_{\rho,j} \frac{(\mu_{\rho,j} - 1)}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \\
&\int_{\Omega} \nabla \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \right) \nabla v_{\rho,j}(x) dx + o(1) \\
&\leq C.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

In fact, we have

$$\int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k}) \setminus B_\varepsilon(\xi_{\rho,k})} \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right)^2 |\nabla \psi_{\rho,k}(x)|^2 dx < C,$$

and by (3.25), and since  $a_{\rho,j} = \frac{o(1)}{\rho}$  and  $\mu_{\rho,j} - 1 \leq C\rho^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_{\rho,j}^2 \frac{(\mu_{\rho,j} - 1)}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\rho,j}(x)|^2 dx \\
&= \frac{\pi}{12} a_{\rho,j}^2 (\mu_{\rho,j} - 1) \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \left( s_{1,j}^{(k)} \right)^2 + \left( s_{2,j}^{(k)} \right)^2 \right) + o(1) \right) \\
&= o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

and by (3.24), and since  $a_{\rho,j} = \frac{o(1)}{\rho}$  and  $\mu_{\rho,j} - 1 \leq C\rho^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_{\rho,j} \frac{(\mu_{\rho,j} - 1)}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right) \psi_{\rho,k}(x) \right) \nabla v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\
&= a_{\rho,j} \frac{(\mu_{\rho,j} - 1)}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1} + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2} \right) \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\
&= a_{\rho,j} (\mu_{\rho,j} - 1) \frac{o(1)}{\rho} \\
&= o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

For the denominator we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_\rho(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right)^2 \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=m}^{3m-1} \frac{a_{\rho,j}^2}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\rho,j}|^2(x) dx + \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{j=m}^{3m-1} \frac{a_{\rho,j}}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \left( \sum_k \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1} + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2} \right) \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + o(1) \\
&\geq \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right)^2 \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx + \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{j=m}^{3m-1} \frac{a_{\rho,j}}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \left( \sum_k \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1} + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2} \right) \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + o(1) \\
&> \frac{C}{\rho^2} (1 + o(1)).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

In fact, by computations analogous to those of (3.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho^2 \int_{B_{2\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1}(x) + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2}(x) \right)^2 \psi_{\rho,k}^2(x) dx \\
&> \frac{C}{\rho^2} (1 + o(1)),
\end{aligned}$$

and by (3.24), and since  $a_{\rho,j} = \frac{o(1)}{\rho}$ , we have

$$\frac{a_{\rho,j}}{\mu_{\rho,j}} \left( \sum_k \left( \lambda_1^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_1} + \lambda_2^{(k)} \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_2} \right) \psi_{\rho,k}, v_{\rho,j} \right)_{H_0^1(\Omega)} = a_\rho \frac{o(1)}{\rho} = \frac{o(1)}{\rho^2}.$$

Using (3.29) and (3.30) we get that

$$\frac{N_\rho(x)}{D_\rho(x)} \leq C \rho^2,$$

so that (i) is proved.

By (i) the hypotheses of Proposition 3.1 are satisfied, and so (ii) follows.

Let us now prove (iii). By Theorem 3.6 it is enough to prove that there exists  $1 \leq k \leq m$  such that  $t_j^k \neq 0$  for  $j > 3m$ . Let us assume by contradiction that  $t_{3m+1}^k = 0$  for every  $1 \leq k \leq m$ . By the orthogonality of the eigenfunctions corresponding to distinct eigenvalues we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (v_{\rho,j}, v_{\rho,n})_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla v_{\rho,j}(x) \nabla v_{\rho,n}(x) dx \\ &= \mu_{\rho,j} \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) v_{\rho,n}(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

for every  $m + 1 \leq j < n \leq 3m + 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) v_{\rho,n}(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) v_{\rho,n}(x) dx + o(1) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_{\rho} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)}}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \tilde{v}_{\rho,n}^{(k)}(x) dx + \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \tau_k^4 \rho^4 \\ &\quad \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_{\rho} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \tilde{v}_{\rho,n}^{(k)}(x) dx + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

using Lebesgue theorem

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} \left( \frac{s_{1,j}^{(k)} x_1 + s_{2,j}^{(k)} x_2}{8 + |x|^2} \right) \left( \frac{s_{1,n}^{(k)} x_1 + s_{2,n}^{(k)} x_2}{8 + |x|^2} \right) dx + o(1) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{12} \sum_{k=1}^m s_{1,j}^{(k)} s_{1,n}^{(k)} + s_{2,j}^{(k)} s_{2,n}^{(k)} + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that

$$\frac{\tau_k^6 \rho^8}{8} \left| \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_{\rho} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \tilde{v}_{\rho,n}^{(k)}(x) dx \right|$$

$$\leq C\rho^8 \frac{1}{\rho^6}.$$

Then, having set  $s_j = (s_{1,j}^1, \dots, s_{1,j}^m, s_{2,j}^1, \dots, s_{2,j}^m) \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}$ , we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^m s_{1,j}^{(k)} s_{1,3m+1}^{(k)} + s_{2,j}^{(k)} s_{2,3m+1}^{(k)} = s_j \cdot s_{3m+1} = 0; \quad \forall m \leq j \leq 3m,$$

but in  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$  there are at most  $2m$  orthogonal vectors, so that the condition cannot be satisfied, from which we get a contradiction.  $\square$



# Chapter 4

## Morse Index Computations

In this chapter, we will give an asymptotic estimate for the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions from  $m + 1$  to  $3m$ . While computing this estimate, we will also calculate the Morse index.

Let us firstly prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $j$  is such that  $\mu_{\rho,j} \rightarrow 1$  and  $t_j = \mathbf{0}$ , then for every  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , we have*

$$\Lambda_{\rho}^{j,k} := \rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx = o(\rho).$$

*Proof.* By (3.2), for every  $j$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\rho,j}(x) &= \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{k=1}^m G(x, \xi_k) \rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx + o(1) \\ &= \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{k=1}^m G(x, \xi_k) \Lambda_{\rho}^{k,j} + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

in  $C^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\})$ . Moreover, by Lemma 3.7 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 - \mu_{\rho,j}) \rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_k)} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_i}(x) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_k)} \left( \frac{\partial v_{\rho,j}}{\partial \nu}(x) \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_i}(x) - v_{\rho,j}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u_{\rho}}{\partial \nu \partial x_i}(x) \right) d\sigma_x. \end{aligned}$$

Let us start by studying the left hand side.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho^2 \int_{B_\varepsilon(\xi_k)} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_i}(x) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\
&= \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)}}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \\
&\quad \left( -\frac{4\alpha_k}{\sqrt{8}\tau_k \rho} \frac{x_i}{1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}} + \frac{\partial \ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \frac{\partial \varphi_\rho}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right) dx + \\
&+ \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \tau_k^4 \rho^4 \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \\
&\quad \left( -\frac{4\alpha_k}{\sqrt{8}\tau_k \rho} \frac{x_i}{1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}} + \frac{\partial \ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \frac{\partial \varphi_\rho}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right) dx + o(1)
\end{aligned}$$

using Lebesgue theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{4}{\sqrt{8}\rho} \frac{\alpha_k}{\tau_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{x_i}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^3} \left( \frac{s_{1,j}^{(k)} x_1 + s_{2,j}^{(k)} x_2}{8 + |x|^2} \right) dx (1 + o(1)) \\
&= -\frac{16}{3\sqrt{8}\rho} \pi \frac{\alpha_k}{\tau_k} s_{i,j}^{(k)} (1 + o(1)),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where we used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\tau_k^6 \rho^8}{8} \left| \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left( -\frac{4\alpha_k}{\sqrt{8}\tau_k \rho} \frac{x_i}{8 + |x|^2} + \frac{\partial \ell_{\rho,k}}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right) dx \right| \\
&\leq C \rho^8 \frac{1}{\rho^6} \\
&= o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left| \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)}}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \frac{\partial \varphi_\rho}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) dx \right|$$

$$\leq C \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_\rho}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right| dx$$

using Cauchy-Schwarz

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \left( \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \left( \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8}\right)^2} \right)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_\rho}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{\rho} \|\varphi_\rho\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{\rho} \rho^\beta |\log(\rho)| \\ &= \frac{o(1)}{\rho}, \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho^8 \left| \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) \frac{\partial \varphi_\rho}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) dx \right| \\ &\leq C \rho^8 \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_\rho}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right| dx \end{aligned}$$

using Cauchy-Schwarz

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C \rho^8 \left( \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^4 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon}}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_\rho}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) \right|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \rho^2 \|\varphi_\rho\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \rho^2 \rho^\beta |\log(\rho)| \\ &= o(1). \end{aligned}$$

For the right hand side, by Lemma 3.2 we get

$$\int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(\xi_k)} \left( \frac{\partial v_{\rho,j}}{\partial \nu}(x) \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial x_i}(x) - v_{\rho,j}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u_\rho}{\partial \nu \partial x_i}(x) \right) d\sigma_x = o(1),$$

hence

$$-\frac{16}{3\sqrt{8\rho}}\pi\frac{\alpha_k}{\tau_k}s_{i,j}^{(k)}(1+o(1))(1-\mu_{\rho,j})=o(1),$$

and so

$$(1-\mu_{\rho,j})=o(\rho).$$

By 3.4, and proceeding as in Theorem 3.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & R \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} \left( 2 \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial \nu}(x) \frac{\partial v_{\rho,j}}{\partial \nu}(x) - \nabla u_\rho(x) \cdot \nabla v_{\rho,j}(x) \right) d\sigma(x) \\ &= \int_{B_R(\xi_k)} \{ [(x - \xi_k) \cdot \nabla u_\rho(x)] \Delta v_{\rho,j}(x) + [(x - \xi_k) \cdot \nabla v_{\rho,j}(x)] \Delta u_\rho(x) \} dx \\ &= -\rho^2 \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} (x - \xi_k) \cdot \nu (e^{u_\rho(x)} - e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) d\sigma(x) + \\ &+ 2\rho^2 \int_{B_R(\xi_k)} (e^{u_\rho(x)} - e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx + \\ &+ (1 - \mu_{\rho,j})\rho^2 \int_{B_R(\xi_k)} [(x - \xi_k) \cdot \nabla u_\rho(x)] (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

For the left hand side we have

$$\begin{aligned} & R \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} \left( 2 \frac{\partial u_\rho}{\partial \nu}(x) \frac{\partial v_{\rho,j}}{\partial \nu}(x) - \nabla u_\rho(x) \cdot \nabla v_{\rho,j}(x) \right) d\sigma(x) \\ &= R \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} \left[ 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left( 8\pi \sum_{i=1}^m G(x, \xi_i) + o(1) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left( \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{i=1}^m G(x, \xi_i) \Lambda_\rho^{i,j} + o(1) \right) + \right. \\ &\left. - \nabla \left( 8\pi \sum_{i=1}^m G(x, \xi_i) + o(1) \right) \cdot \nabla \left( \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{i=1}^m G(x, \xi_i) \Lambda_\rho^{i,j} + o(1) \right) \right] d\sigma(x) \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 3.5

$$= 4\mu_{\rho,j}\Lambda_\rho^{k,j} + o_R(1).$$

For the right hand side we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho^2 \int_{B_R(\xi_k)} (e^{u_\rho(x)} - e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\
&= \alpha_k \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}R}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)}}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dx + \\
&- \alpha_k \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \tau_k^4 \rho^4 \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}R}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dx \\
&= \alpha_k \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}R}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)}}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dx + o(\rho),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where we used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{\tau_k^6 \rho^8}{8} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}R}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dx \right| \\
&\leq C \rho^8 \frac{1}{\rho^6} \\
&= o(\rho).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho^2 \int_{B_R(\xi_k)} (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx \\
&= \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}R}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)}}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dx + \\
&+ \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \tau_k^4 \rho^4 \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}R}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dx \\
&= \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}R}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho x}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)}}{\left( 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{8} \right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(x) dx + o(\rho),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where again we used (4.3). Putting (4.2) and (4.4) together we get that

$$\rho^2 \int_{B_R(\xi_k)} (e^{u_\rho(x)} - e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx = \alpha_k \Lambda_\rho^{k,j} + o(\rho).$$

Proceeding as in Theorem 3.6 we have that

$$-\rho^2 \int_{\partial B_R(\xi_k)} (x - \xi_k) \cdot \nu (e^{u_\rho(x)} - e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) d\sigma(x) = o_R(1),$$

and

$$\rho^2 \int_{B_R(\xi_k)} [(x - \xi_k) \cdot \nabla u_\rho(x)] (e^{u_\rho(x)} + e^{-u_\rho(x)}) v_{\rho,j}(x) dx = o(1).$$

Putting everything together we get

$$4\mu_{\rho,j} \Lambda_\rho^{k,j} + o_R(1) = o_R(1) + 2\alpha_k \Lambda_\rho^{k,j} + o(\rho) + (1 - \mu_{\rho,j})o(1).$$

Since this hold true for every  $R$ , we get

$$2(2\mu_{\rho,j} - \alpha_k) \Lambda_\rho^{k,j} = o(\rho) + (1 - \mu_{\rho,j})o(1),$$

hence

$$\Lambda_\rho^{k,j} = o(\rho).$$

□

We recall the following:

**Proposition 4.2** ([16, Proposition 2.3]). *Let  $z_1, z_2$  and  $z_3$  be in  $\Omega$  and let  $R$  be such that  $B_R(z_1) \subseteq \Omega$ , and  $z_i \notin B_R(z_1)$  for  $i = 2, 3$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial B_R(z_1)} \left( \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \nu_x \partial x_i}(x, z_2) \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_j}(x, z_3) - \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i}(x, z_2) \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \nu_x \partial y_j}(x, z_3) \right) d\sigma_x \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & (z_1 \neq z_2, z_1 \neq z_3) \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(z_1, z_1) & (z_1 = z_2 = z_3) \\ \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x_i \partial y_j}(z_1, z_3) & (z_1 = z_2 \neq z_3) \\ \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(z_1, z_2) & (z_1 = z_3 \neq z_2) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We will now give an estimate for the eigenfunctions away from the blow-up points. This estimate will hold precisely when the one provided by Lemma 3.3 doesn't hold, that is for the eigenfunctions from  $m + 1$  to  $3m$ . Moreover, we will identify the connection between the Morse index of  $u_\rho$  and that of the Hamilton function  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $j$  be such that  $t_j = \mathbf{0}$ . Then*

$$\frac{v_{\rho,j}}{\rho} \rightarrow 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\tau_k}{\sqrt{8}} \left( s_{1,j}^{(k)} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_1}(x, \xi_k) + s_{2,j}^{(k)} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_2}(x, \xi_k) \right) \quad (4.5)$$

in  $C_{\text{loc}}^1(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\})$ . Furthermore

$$(1 - \mu_{\rho,n}) = 3\pi\rho^2\eta_n(1 + o(1)), \quad (4.6)$$

where the  $\eta_n$ 's, for  $n = 1, \dots, 2m$ , are the eigenvalues of the matrix  $D(\text{Hess } \mathcal{F})D$ , where  $D$  is the diagonal matrix  $D = \text{diag}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m)$ , and  $\text{Hess } \mathcal{F}$  is the Hessian matrix of the Hamilton function  $\mathcal{F}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K \subseteq \bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}$  be compact. Let

$$\varepsilon' = \min_k \inf_{\rho} \text{dist}(K, \xi_{\rho,k}),$$

and let  $\lambda = \min(\varepsilon, \varepsilon')$ . Since  $G(\cdot, \cdot)$  is smooth on  $K \times B_\lambda(\xi_{\rho,k})$ , we can consider its Taylor expansion to get

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, y) &= G(x, \xi_{\rho,k}) + \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_i}(x, \xi_{\rho,k})(y - \xi_{\rho,k})_i + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,h=1,2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial y_i \partial y_h}(x, \eta_\rho)(y - \xi_{\rho,k})_i (y - \xi_{\rho,k})_h, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\eta_\rho$  is a point lying on the segment connecting  $y$  to  $\xi_{\rho,k}$ , and hence contained in  $B_\lambda(\xi_{\rho,k})$ . Taking  $\lambda = \rho^\gamma$  with  $\gamma < \frac{1}{4}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \mu_{\rho,j} \rho^2 \int_{\Omega \cup_{k=1}^m B_\lambda(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(y)} + e^{-u_\rho(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) G(x, y) dy \right| \\ &\leq C \left( \frac{\rho^2}{(\rho^2 + \lambda^2)^2} + \rho^2 \right) \int_{\Omega \cup_{k=1}^m B_\lambda(\xi_{\rho,k})} |G(x, y)| dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{since } G(x, \cdot) \in L^1(\Omega) \\ & = o(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

Using Green's representation formula, for each  $x \in K$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\rho,j}(x) &= \mu_{\rho,j} \rho^2 \int_{\Omega} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) G(x, y) dy \\ &= \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_{\lambda}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) G(x, y) dy + \\ &+ \mu_{\rho,j} \rho^2 \int_{\Omega \setminus \cup_{k=1}^m B_{\lambda}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) G(x, y) dy \\ &= \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_{\lambda}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) \\ &\quad \left( G(x, \xi_{\rho,k}) + \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_i}(x, \xi_{\rho,k})(y - \xi_{\rho,k})_i \right) dy + \\ &+ \frac{\mu_{\rho,j}}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_{\lambda}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) \\ &\quad \sum_{i,h=1,2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial y_i \partial y_h}(x, \eta_{\rho})(y - \xi_{\rho,k})_i (y - \xi_{\rho,k})_h dy + o(\rho) \\ &= \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_{\lambda}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) \\ &\quad \left( G(x, \xi_{\rho,k}) + \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_i}(x, \xi_{\rho,k})(y - \xi_{\rho,k})_i \right) dy + R_{\rho} + o(\rho), \end{aligned}$$

having set

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\rho} &= \frac{\mu_{\rho,j}}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_{\lambda}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(y)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) \\ &\quad \sum_{i,h=1,2} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial y_i \partial y_h}(x, \eta_{\rho})(y - \xi_{\rho,k})_i (y - \xi_{\rho,k})_h dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\eta_{\rho} \in B_{\lambda}(\xi_{\rho,k})$  and  $x \notin B_{\lambda}(\xi_{\rho,k})$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial y_i \partial y_h}(x, \eta_{\rho}) \right| \leq \sup_{i,h=1,2; z \in B_{\lambda}(\xi_{\rho,k})} \left| \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial y_i \partial y_h}(x, z) \right| \leq C.$$



Since  $\mu_{\rho,j} = 1 + o(1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|R_\rho| &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_\lambda(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(y)} + e^{-u_\rho(y)}) |y - \xi_{\rho,k}|^2 dy \\
&= C \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\lambda}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho y}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho y}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)}}{\left( 1 + \frac{|y|^2}{8} \right)^2} |y|^2 dy + \\
&\quad + C \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \tau_k^4 \rho^4 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \\
&\quad \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\lambda}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho y}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho y}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|y|^2}{8} \right)^2 |y|^2 dy \\
&= o(\rho),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho^2 \left| \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\lambda}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho y}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) + \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho y}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)}}{\left( 1 + \frac{|y|^2}{8} \right)^2} |y|^2 dy \right| \\
&\leq C \rho^2 \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\lambda}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{|y|^2}{\left( 1 + \frac{|y|^2}{8} \right)^2} dy \\
&\leq C \rho^2 (\log(\rho) + 1) \\
&= o(\rho)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho^{10} \left| \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\lambda}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k} \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho y}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right) - \varphi_\rho \left( \frac{\tau_k \rho y}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k} \right)} \left( 1 + \frac{|y|^2}{8} \right)^2 |y|^2 dy \right| \\
&\leq C \rho^{10} \frac{1}{\rho^8} \\
&= o(\rho).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$v_{\rho,j}(x) = \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_\lambda(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(y)} + e^{-u_\rho(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) G(x, \xi_{\rho,k}) dy +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_\lambda(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(y)} + e^{-u_\rho(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) \\
& \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_i}(x, \xi_{\rho,k})(y - \xi_{\rho,k})_i dy + o(\rho) \\
& = \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{k=1}^m (G(x, \xi_k) + o(1)) \rho^2 \int_{B_\lambda(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(y)} + e^{-u_\rho(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y) dy + \\
& + \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_i}(x, \xi_k) (1 + o(1)) \\
& \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \int_{B_\lambda(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_\rho(y)} + e^{-u_\rho(y)}) v_{\rho,j}(y)(y - \xi_{\rho,k})_i dy + o(\rho)
\end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 4.1

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_i}(x, \xi_k) (1 + o(1)) \\
& \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \frac{1}{\tau_k^4 \rho^4} \frac{\tau_k \rho}{8} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\lambda}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k}(\frac{\tau_k \rho y}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}) + \varphi_\rho(\frac{\tau_k \rho y}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k})}}{\left(1 + \frac{|y|^2}{8}\right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(y) y_i dy + \\
& + \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_i}(x, \xi_k) (1 + o(1)) \\
& \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^2 \frac{\tau_k^2 \rho^2}{8} \tau_k^4 \rho^4 \frac{\tau_k \rho}{8} \\
& \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\lambda}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k}(\frac{\tau_k \rho y}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}) - \varphi_\rho(\frac{\tau_k \rho y}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k})} \left(1 + \frac{|y|^2}{8}\right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(y) y_i dy + o(\rho) \\
& = \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_i}(x, \xi_k) (1 + o(1)) \\
& \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{8 \tau_k^2} \frac{\tau_k \rho}{\sqrt{8}} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\lambda}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k}(\frac{\tau_k \rho y}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}) + \varphi_\rho(\frac{\tau_k \rho y}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k})}}{\left(1 + \frac{|y|^2}{8}\right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(y) y_i dy + o(\rho),
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\rho^9 \left| \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\lambda}{\tau_k \rho}}(0)} e^{-\ell_{\rho,k}(\frac{\tau_k \rho y}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}) - \varphi_\rho(\frac{\tau_k \rho y}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k})} \left(1 + \frac{|y|^2}{8}\right)^2 \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(y) y_i dy \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C\rho^9 \frac{1}{\rho^7} \\ &= o(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{v_{\rho,j}(x)}{\rho} &= \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_i}(x, \xi_k)(1 + o(1)) \\ &\quad \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{8\tau_k^2} \frac{\tau_k}{\sqrt{8}} \int_{B_{\frac{\sqrt{8}\lambda}{\tau_k\rho}}(0)} \frac{e^{\ell_{\rho,k}\left(\frac{\tau_k\rho y}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right) + \varphi_{\rho}\left(\frac{\tau_k\rho y}{\sqrt{8}} + \xi_{\rho,k}\right)}}{\left(1 + \frac{|y|^2}{8}\right)^2} \tilde{v}_{\rho,j}^{(k)}(y) y_i dy + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

using Lebesgue

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_i}(x, \xi_k)(1 + o(1)) \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\tau_k}{\sqrt{8}} \\ &\quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{y_i}{\left(1 + \frac{|y|^2}{8}\right)^2} \frac{s_{1,j}^{(k)}y_1 + s_{2,j}^{(k)}y_2}{8 + |y|^2} dy + o(1) \\ &= 2\pi\mu_{\rho,j} \sum_{i=1,2} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_i}(x, \xi_k) \frac{\tau_k s_{i,j}^{(k)}}{\sqrt{8}} + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

and so 4.5 is proved.

By Lemma 3.7, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 - \mu_{\rho,n})\rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_k)} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_j}(x) v_{\rho,n}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_k)} \left( \frac{\partial v_{\rho,n}}{\partial \nu}(x) \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_j}(x) - v_{\rho,n}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u_{\rho}}{\partial \nu \partial x_j}(x) \right) d\sigma_x. \end{aligned}$$

For the left hand side, using (4.1), we have

$$\rho^2 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_{\rho,k})} (e^{u_{\rho}(x)} + e^{-u_{\rho}(x)}) \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_j}(x) v_{\rho,n}(x) dx + o(1) = -\frac{16}{3\sqrt{8}\rho} \pi \frac{\alpha_k}{\tau_k} s_{j,n}^{(k)}(1 + o(1)).$$

For the right hand side we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_k)} \left( \frac{\partial v_{\rho,n}}{\partial \nu}(x) \frac{\partial u_{\rho}}{\partial x_j}(x) - v_{\rho,n}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u_{\rho}}{\partial \nu \partial x_j}(x) \right) d\sigma_x \\ &= \rho \int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}(\xi_k)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_x} \left( 2\pi\mu_{\rho,n} \sum_{i=1,2} \sum_{l=1}^m \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_i}(x, \xi_l) \frac{\tau_l s_{i,n}^{(l)}}{\sqrt{8}} + o(1) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( 8\pi \sum_{h=1}^m \alpha_h G(x, \xi_h) + o(1) \right) d\sigma_x + \\
& - \rho \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(\xi_k)} \left( 2\pi \mu_{\rho,n} \sum_{i=1,2}^m \sum_{l=1}^m \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_i}(x, \xi_l) \frac{\tau_l s_{i,n}^{(l)}}{\sqrt{8}} + o(1) \right) \\
& \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial \nu_x} \left( 8\pi \sum_{h=1}^m \alpha_h G(x, \xi_h) + o(1) \right) d\sigma_x \\
& = 16\pi^2 \rho \mu_{\rho,n} \sum_{l,h=1}^m \sum_{i=1,2}^m \alpha_h \frac{\tau_l s_{i,n}^{(l)}}{\sqrt{8}} \\
& \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(\xi_k)} \left( \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial y_i \partial \nu_x}(x, \xi_l) \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_j}(x, \xi_h) - \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_i}(x, \xi_l) \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x_j \partial \nu_x}(x, \xi_h) \right) d\sigma_x + o(\rho)
\end{aligned}$$

using Proposition 4.2

$$= -16\pi^2 \alpha_k \rho \mu_{\rho,n} \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{i=1,2}^m \frac{\tau_l s_{i,n}^{(l)}}{\sqrt{8}} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial x_i^{(l)} \partial x_j^{(k)}}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) + o(\rho),$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{16}{3\sqrt{8}\rho} \pi \frac{\alpha_k}{\tau_k} s_{j,n}^{(k)} (1 + o(1)) (1 - \mu_{\rho,n}) \\
& = -16\pi^2 \alpha_k \rho \mu_{\rho,n} \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{i=1,2}^m \frac{\tau_l s_{i,n}^{(l)}}{\sqrt{8}} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial x_i^{(l)} \partial x_j^{(k)}}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) + o(\rho).
\end{aligned}$$

Having set

$$\eta_n := \frac{\sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{i=1,2}^m \tau_l \tau_k s_{i,n}^{(l)} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial x_i^{(l)} \partial x_j^{(k)}}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)}{s_{j,n}^{(k)}},$$

we get

$$s_{j,n}^{(k)} \eta_n = \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{i=1,2}^m \tau_l \tau_k s_{i,n}^{(l)} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}}{\partial x_i^{(l)} \partial x_j^{(k)}}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m),$$

so that  $s_n = (s_{1,n}^{(1)}, \dots, s_{1,n}^{(m)}, s_{2,n}^{(1)}, \dots, s_{2,n}^{(m)})$  and  $\eta_n$  are respectively the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of the matrix  $D(\text{Hess } \mathcal{F})D$ . We can therefore conclude that

$$(1 - \mu_{\rho,n}) = 3\pi\rho^2 \eta_n + o(\rho^2).$$

□

Finally, we can prove the following.

*Proof of Theorem 0.2.* .

Proposition 4.3 proves point 1 and point 3, while Theorem 3.6 jointly with point (i) of Proposition 3.9 proves point 2.  $\square$

And

*Proof of Theorem 0.3.* .

With similar calculus of those in Proposition 3.9 we can prove that for  $3m + 1 < j \leq 4m$ ,  $\mu_{\rho,j}$  still goes to one. In the proof of point (iii) in Proposition 3.9 we showed that for  $3m + 1 < j \leq 4m$ ,  $t_j^{(k)} \neq 0$ , so Lemma 3.3 holds and in such a case at the end of Theorem 3.6 we proved (3.8), therefore point 1 and point 3 are proved. Finally, considering Proposition 3.1 and the fact that the functions  $\frac{8-|x|^2}{8+|x|^2}$  and  $\frac{x_i}{8+|x|^2}$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ , are orthogonal and that eigenfunctions relative to different eigenspaces have to be orthogonal we get point 2.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove the main result.

*Proof of Theorem 0.4.* .

For  $\rho$  sufficiently small, by Proposition 2.3 we have that  $\mu_{\rho,j} < 1$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . By Proposition 3.9 we have that  $\mu_{\rho,j} > 1$  for  $j > 3m$  and for every  $m + 1 \leq j \leq 3m$  we have that  $\mu_{\rho,j} \leq 1 + C\rho^2$ , so by Theorem 3.6 we get  $t_j = \mathbf{0}$ . Therefore, since the hypotheses of Proposition 4.3 are satisfied, we get

$$\mu_{\rho,j} = 1 - 3\pi\rho^2\eta_j(1 + o(1)),$$

where the  $\eta_j$ 's, for  $j = 1, \dots, 2m$ , are the eigenvalues of the matrix  $D(\text{Hess } \mathcal{F})D$ . Then, to any positive eigenvalue  $\eta_j$  of the matrix  $D(\text{Hess } \mathcal{F})D$  there corresponds a negative  $\mu_{\rho,j}$ . Since  $D$  is a diagonal, positive definite matrix, the signature of the matrix  $D(\text{Hess } \mathcal{F})D$  is equal to the signature of the matrix  $\text{Hess } \mathcal{F}$ , and hence the theorem is proved.  $\square$

We deduce the following corollary.

*Proof of Corollary 0.5.* .

It is enough to notice that  $\text{Hess } \mathcal{F}$  is a  $2m \times 2m$  matrix, and so  $0 \leq \mathcal{M}(\text{Hess } \mathcal{F}) \leq 2m$ .  $\square$

# Bibliography

- [1] Daniele Bartolucci and Angela Pistoia. Existence and qualitative properties of concentrating solutions for the sinh-Poisson equation. *IMA J. Appl. Math.*, 72(6):706–729, 2007.
- [2] Thomas Bartsch, K-C Chang, and Z-Q Wang. On the morse indices of sign changing solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 233(4):655–677, 2000.
- [3] Thomas Bartsch, Angela Pistoia, and Tobias Weth. N-vortex equilibria for ideal fluids in bounded planar domains and new nodal solutions of the sinh-poisson and the lane-emden-fowler equations. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 297(3):653–686, 2010.
- [4] Emanuele Caglioti, Pierre-Louis Lions, Carlo Marchioro, and M Pulvirenti. A special class of stationary flows for two-dimensional Euler equations: a statistical mechanics description. Part II. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 174(2):229–260, 1995.
- [5] Emanuele Caglioti, Pierre-Louis Lions, Carlo Marchioro, and Mario Pulvirenti. A special class of stationary flows for two-dimensional Euler equations: a statistical mechanics description. *Communications in Mathematical physics*, 143(3):501–525, 1992.
- [6] Alexandre J Chorin. *Vorticity and turbulence*, volume 103. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.

- 
- [7] Alexandre Joel Chorin, Jerrold E Marsden, and Jerrold E Marsden. *A mathematical introduction to fluid mechanics*, volume 3. Springer, 1990.
- [8] KW Chow, NWM Ko, RCK Leung, and SK Tang. Inviscid two dimensional vortex dynamics and a soliton expansion of the sinh-Poisson equation. *Physics of fluids*, 10(5):1111–1119, 1998.
- [9] KW Chow, SC Tsang, and CC Mak. Another exact solution for two-dimensional, inviscid sinh Poisson vortex arrays. *Physics of Fluids*, 15(8):2437–2440, 2003.
- [10] Thierry Dauxois, Stephan Fauve, and Laurette Tuckerman. Stability of periodic arrays of vortices. *Physics of Fluids*, 8(2):487–495, 1996.
- [11] Francesca De Marchis, Isabella Ianni, and Filomena Pacella. Exact Morse index computation for nodal radial solutions of Lane–Emden problems. *Mathematische Annalen*, 367(1-2):185–227, 2017.
- [12] Khalil El Mehdi and Massimo Grossi. Asymptotic estimates and qualitative properties of an elliptic problem in dimension two. *Advanced Nonlinear Studies*, 4(1):15–36, 2004.
- [13] Pierpaolo Esposito, Massimo Grossi, and Angela Pistoia. On the existence of blowing-up solutions for a mean field equation. In *Annales de l’IHP Analyse non linéaire*, volume 22, pages 227–257, 2005.
- [14] Francesca Gladiali and Massimo Grossi. On the spectrum of a nonlinear planar problem. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 26(1):191–222, 2009.
- [15] Francesca Gladiali, Massimo Grossi, Hiroshi Ohtsuka, and Takashi Suzuki. Morse indices of multiple blow-up solutions to the two-dimensional Gel’fand problem. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 39(11):2028–2063, 2014.



- 
- [16] Massimo Grossi, Hiroshi Ohtsuka, Takashi Suzuki, et al. Asymptotic non-degeneracy of the multiple blow-up solutions to the Gel'fand problem in two space dimensions. *Adv. Differential Equations*, 16(1/2):145–164, 2011.
- [17] Massimo Grossi and Angela Pistoia. Multiple blow-up phenomena for the sinh-poisson equation. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 209(1):287–320, 2013.
- [18] D Gurarie and KW Chow. Vortex arrays for sinh-Poisson equation of two-dimensional fluids: Equilibria and stability. *Physics of Fluids*, 16(9):3296–3305, 2004.
- [19] Glenn Joyce and David Montgomery. Negative temperature states for the two-dimensional guiding-centre plasma. *Journal of Plasma Physics*, 10(1):107–121, 1973.
- [20] S Julien, J-M Chomaz, and J-C Lasheras. Three-dimensional stability of periodic arrays of counter-rotating vortices. *Physics of Fluids*, 14(2):732–743, 2002.
- [21] Gustav Robert Kirchhoff. *Vorlesungen über mathematische physik: mechanik*, volume 1. Teubner, 1876.
- [22] Andrew J Majda and Margaret Holen. Dissipation, topography, and statistical theories for large-scale coherent structure. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics: A Journal Issued by the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences*, 50(12):1183–1234, 1997.
- [23] R Mallier and SA Maslowe. A row of counter-rotating vortices. *Physics of Fluids A: Fluid Dynamics*, 5(4):1074–1075, 1993.
- [24] Carlo Marchioro and Mario Pulvirenti. *Mathematical theory of incompressible nonviscous fluids*, volume 96. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

- [25] WH Matthaeus, WT Stribling, D Martinez, S Oughton, and D Montgomery. Decaying, two-dimensional, Navier-Stokes turbulence at very long times. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 51(1-3):531–538, 1991.
- [26] William H Matthaeus, W Troy Stribling, Daniel Martinez, Sean Oughton, and David Montgomery. Selective decay and coherent vortices in two-dimensional incompressible turbulence. *Physical review letters*, 66(21):2731–2734, 1991.
- [27] John Milnor. *Morse theory.(AM-51)*, volume 51. Princeton university press, 2016.
- [28] David Montgomery and Glenn Joyce. Statistical mechanics of “negative temperature” states. *The Physics of Fluids*, 17(6):1139–1145, 1974.
- [29] David Montgomery, William H Matthaeus, WT Stribling, D Martinez, and Sean Oughton. Relaxation in two dimensions and the “sinh-Poisson” equation. *Physics of Fluids A: Fluid Dynamics*, 4(1):3–6, 1992.
- [30] David Montgomery, William H Matthaeus, WT Stribling, D Martinez, and Sean Oughton. Relaxation in two dimensions and the “sinh-Poisson” equation. *Physics of Fluids A: Fluid Dynamics*, 4(1):3–6, 1992.
- [31] Marston Morse. *The calculus of variations in the large*, volume 18. American Mathematical Soc., 1934.
- [32] Marston Morse and Stewart S Cairns. *Critical point theory in global analysis and differential topology: An introduction*, volume 33. Academic Press, 2014.
- [33] Hiroshi Ohtsuka. To what extent can the Hamiltonian of vortices illustrate the mean field of equilibrium vortices? (Modern approach and developments to Onsager’s theory on statistical vortices). *RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu*, 1798:1–17, 2012.

- [34] DA Schecter, DHE Dubin, KS Fine, and CF Driscoll. Vortex crystals from 2D Euler flow: Experiment and simulation. *Physics of Fluids*, 11(4):905–914, 1999.
- [35] F Spineanu and M Vlad. Stationary vortical flows in two-dimensional plasma and in planetary atmospheres. *Physical review letters*, 94(23):235003, 2005.
- [36] Z Yin, DC Montgomery, and HJH Clercx. Alternative statistical-mechanical descriptions of decaying two-dimensional turbulence in terms of “patches” and “points”. *Physics of Fluids*, 15(7):1937–1953, 2003.