

SAPIENZA Università di Roma Facoltà di Scienze Matematiche Fisiche e Naturali

DOTTORATO DI RICERCA IN GENETICA E BIOLOGIA MOLECOLARE

 XXXII Ciclo (A.A. 2018/2019)

Studying the role of chromatin remodelers during cell division

Dottoranda **Francesca Delle Monache**

Manasco Delle Manade

Docente guida

Prof. Patrizio Dimitri

Prof. Fulvio Cruci Pti = 1

Prof. Fulvio Cruciani

Index

1. Summary

The genome of eukaryotic cells is organized in a nucleoproteic structure defined chromatin, consisting of DNA, RNA and associated proteins. A dynamic balance is needed between chromatin packaging and genome access to promote essential functions, such as DNA replication and repair, transcription. In these processes, multiple molecules are involved, including histone chaperones, chromatin remodelers and enzymes that modify histones and DNA. The structural characteristic of the remodelling enzymes belonging to a family of remodelling complex, INO80, is the ATPase domain interspersed with a long insertion.

The SRCAP (SNF2-Related CBP Activator Protein) and Tip60 (HIV1 Tat Interacting Protein, 60 kDa) remodelling complexes belong to the INO80 family (subfamily Swr1) and they are conserved from yeast to human. These complexes share some subunits. Evolutionary speaking, these two complexes derive from duplication of *Drosophila* dTip60 complex. The main function is to promote the exchange of the canonical histone H2A with the histone variant.

Previous studies suggested that chromatin and remodelling proteins might also play roles in cell division, yet evidence remains sporadic.

In past years, in our lab my colleagues found that subunits of the human SRCAP and Tip60/p400 complexes, besides the canonical localization in the interphase chromatin, at different times during cell division target different sites of the mitotic apparatus (centrosomes, spindle and midbody, the bridge connecting the daughter cells at the finale step of mitosis). So, my PhD project aimed at studying the involvement of chromatin remodelling complex in ensuring proper cell division in both human and *Drosophila* cell lines, two species separated by 700 million years of evolution.

First, I found that RNAi-mediated depletion of SRCAP, Tip60, Gas41, YL1 and MRG15 subunits in human cells and DOM-A, Tip60, MRG15 and Yeti in *Drosophila* cells affect cell division. In particular, cells have shown aberrant spindle morphology, chromosome misalignments, long intercellular bridges (LIBs) connecting the daughter cells and multinucleation. Defects found are consistent with their localization in both human and *Drosophila* cells.

Then, to study how these remodelers are recruited, I performed interaction assays with already known cell division players. Coimmunolocalization and co-immunoprecipitation assays performed thus far on HeLa telophase extracts suggested that the aforementioned players contribute to the relocation of the Tip60 subunit from chromatin to the mitotic apparatus. Moreover, The inhibition of kinase activity of Aurora B, a well-known cell division regulator, caused mislocalization of TIP60, SRCAP and BAF53a remodelers.

Finally, RNAi-mediated depletion of SRCAP, Tip60, Gas41, YL1 and MRG15 caused mislocalization of key components of the midbody, such as Aurora B, MKLP2, CIT-K and PLK1.

In conclusion, we found that subunits of ATP-dependent remodelling complexes:

- **i)** are recruited to the mitotic apparatus;
- **ii**) interact in telophase with main cell division regulators (Aurora B, Cit-K, etc.);
- **iii)** prevent the failure of cell division and genome instability.

Together, our findings suggest a cross-talk between SRCAP and TIP60 complexes and the main regulators of cytokinesis and highlight the existence of a previously unexplored and evolutionarily conserved phenomenon where chromatin remodelling factors translocate from the interphase nucleus to the mitotic apparatus in order to ensure faithful cell division in both human and *Drosophila* cells.

2. Introduction

2.1 Chromatin organization in eukaryotes

The genome of eukaryotic cells is organized in a nucleoproteic structure defined chromatin, consisting of DNA, RNA and associated proteins. The complex was initially identified by the German cytologist Walter Flemming, who defined the "chromatin" as the cellular structure detectable under a microscope after staining with basic dyes such as safranin and hematoxylin (Flemming, 1882).

Chromatin has hierarchical levels ranging from the repetition of the unit of basic, the nucleosome, at higher order levels (Ou et al., 2017). This organization, finely regulated, represents a dynamic balance between packaging of the genome and its accessibility. The linear length of DNA chromosome in a human cell presents a significant topological challenge since about 2 meters of DNA must be packed in the core characterized by a diameter of only 6 μm (Andrews and Luger, 2011; Khorasanizadeh, 2004). Nucleosomes are positioned along DNA in a "beads on a string" configuration to create a 10-nm fiber.

The nucleosome is an octamer, consisting of two copies of each of the four canonical histone proteins (H3, H4, H2A, and H2B), around which there are wrapped 147 bp of DNA. The histone residues, positively charged, interact with the phosphate skeleton of DNA every 410.4 bp, determining thus a high conformational stability (Clapier and Cairns, 2009).

A dynamic balance is needed between chromatin packaging and genome access, as essential functions, such as replication and transcription, require a certain degree of DNA accessibility.

There are two main mechanisms by which chromatin becomes more accessible: the enzymatic modification of histones by adding

acetyl, methyl or phosphate groups (Fischle et al., 2003) or displacement, expulsion and histone substitution, mediated by chromatin remodelling complexes (Smith and Peterson, 2005) **(Fig.2.1)**.

Figure 2.1. Functional classification of remodelers. The ATPase–translocase subunit of all remodelers is depicted in pink; additional subunits are depicted in green (imitation switch (ISWI) and chromodomain helicase DNA-binding (CHD)), brown (switch/sucrose non-fermentable (SWI/SNF)) and blue (INO80) (Clapier et al., 2017).

In fact, in addition to the four canonical histones, there are histone variants, which are incorporated within the nucleosome, (locally determining a change in its composition) for example in the case of DNA damage or the request for transcriptional activity. In particular, the currently known histone variants are those of histone H2A and histone H3. Four variants of H2A are currently known, but the function is not yet known:

- H2A.Z, associated with chromatin in active transcription
- H2A.X, associated with DNA damage (when phosphorylated)
- MacroH2A, mainly located in regions that are transcriptionally inactive

• H2ABBD variant, not yet well characterized.

In addition, there are two H3 histone variants:

- H3.3, associated with transcriptional activation
- CENP-A, mainly associated with centromeres

Furthermore, it must be considered that the peripheral portion of the octamer consists of aminoterminal histone tails, which protuded from the nucleosome and represent the first target for posttranslational modifications. In particular, the two histones that are more subjected to chemical modifications at the level of the Nterminal tails are H3 and H4. These modifications consist of the addition of chemical groups to amino acid residues, this influences the organization of the chromatin and represents a way of regulation for events such as transcription. (Talbert and Henikoff, 2017).

Chromatin is therefore subjected to continuous structural and functional alterations.

In these processes, multiple molecules are involved, including histone chaperones, chromatin remodelers and enzymes that modify histones and DNA. They, together with readers of posttranslational modifications (PTM), transcription factors and RNA, generate specialized genomic domains that contribute to the formation of a versatile chromatin scenario (Yadav et al., 2018).

Four different families of chromatin remodelling complexes have been identified conserved in eukaryotes (Clapier and Cairns, 2017), that share a similar ATPase domain but that are distinguished by the uniqueness of the flanking domains (Flaus et al., 2006) and for the different specializations (**Fig. 2.2**).

- ISWI family

Members of the ISWI family (Imitation SWItch) are characterized by two domains adjacent to the C-terminal, SANT and SLIDE domains, which together define a form of recognition of nucleosomes that binds the non-histone tails modified and DNA (Boyer et al., 2004). Many complexes belonging to this family promote chromatin assembly and are generally involved in transcriptional repression (Langst and Manelyte, 2015).

CHD family

The members of the CHD family (Chromodomain-Helicase-DNA binding) are characterized by two chrome-domains arranged in tandem at the N-terminal. One of the most studied complexes is NURD (nucleosome remodelling and deacetylase) containing the proteins HDAC1 / 2 (histone deacetylases) and MBD (methyl CpG-binding domain). Numerous data have shown an involvement of this complex in the transcriptional repression of specific sets of genes during development in mammals, in D. melanogaster and in C. elegans (Murawska and Brem, 2011).

SWI/SNF family

The members of the SWI / SNF family (switching defective / sucrose nonfermenting) are characterized by the presence of an HSA domain (helicase-SANT) at the end N-terminal, necessary to recruit actin and ARP (actin-related protein), from a bromodominio to the C-terminal, necessary for the link with the lysines acetylated histones (Filippakopoulos and Knapp, 2012). This family of remodelers plays numerous roles, such as slipping and mediates expulsion of nucleosomes, as well as participates in the assembly of chromatin (Langst and Manelyte, 2015).

INO80 family

The structural characteristic of the remodelling enzymes belonging to the INO80 family (INOsitol requiring 80) is the ATPase domain interspersed within a long insertion. The members of this family are classified into two subfamilies, INO80 and Swr1. Unlike the remodelers of other families, the INO80 complex exhibits helicase activity and binds *in vitro* particular structures of DNA similar to Holliday junctions, consistent with the role of the complex in homologous recombination and replication of DNA. Furthermore, INO80 and Swr1, in yeast, promote the exchange of histone H2A with the histone H2A.Z, by replacing the H2A-H2B dimers with the H2A.Z-H2B dimers (Bao and Shen, 2011).

Figure 2.2. Domain organization of remodeler subfamilies. The ATPase– translocasedomain (Tr) of all the remodelers is sufficient to carry out DNA translocation. Remodelers can be classified into four subfamilies based on the length and function of the insertion and on their domain organization (Clapier et al., 2017).

2.2 Human SRCAP and Tip60/p400 chromatin remodelling complexes

The SRCAP (SNF2-Related CBP Activator Protein) and Tip60 (HIV1 Tat Interacting Protein, 60 kDa) remodelling complexes belong to the INO80 family and specific to the subfamily Swr1 and it's conserved from yeast to human (**Fig. 2.3**). These complexes share some subunits.

Evolutionary speaking, these two complexes derive from dTip60 complex duplication.

Figure 2.3. Subunits composition of SWR1 chromatin remodelling complexes in yeast, fly and human (Prozzillo et al., 2019).

SRCAP complex

SRCAP complex is a complex of \sim 1MDa consisting of 10 subunits between including SRCAP, DMAP1, VPS72 (YL1), RUVBL1, RUVBL2, ACTL6A (BAF53a), ACTR6 (ARP6), ACTIN, YEATS4 (GAS41) and ZNHIT1. The homologous complex Swr1 of yeast consists of 10 conserved subunits and four specific subunits, Swc3, Swc5, Swc7 and Bdf1. Mass spectrometry and coimmunoprecipitation data have shown that the human ortholog protein of Swc5, called CFDP1 (Cranio Facial Development Protein 1), interacts with the members of the SRCAP complex (Havugimana et al., 2012; Messina et al., 2017). This suggests that CFDP1 also could be a member of the complex.

The main function of the SRCAP remodelling complex is to promote the exchange of the canonical histone H2A with the variant H2A.Z (Monroy et al., 2001).

Recently, a possible mechanism for histone exchange has been proposed according to which the ATPase domain of the SRCAP protein binds DNA and directs it towards the ARP6 subunit, resulting in a partial detachment of the nucleosomal DNA e the loss of H2A-H2B dimer contacts within the histone octamer (Feng et al. 2018) (**Fig. 2.4**).

Moreover, Dong et al. identified the human SRCAP chromatin remodelling complex as a factor that promotes DNA-end resection. Indeed, SRCAP confers resistance to DNA damage- inducing agents and is recruited to DSBs (Dong et al., 2014).

Truncated SRCAP protein variants have been implicated in the mechanism of Floating-Harbor Syndrome, a rare human disease characterised by delayed bone mineralisation and growth deficiency, associated with mental retardation and skeletal and craniofacial abnormalities, but the molecular bases underlying the disease must be elucidated (Messina et al., 2016; Greenberg et al., 2019).

Figure 2.4. A: structural domain organization of SRCAP complex. SRCAP serves as a scaffold. **B**: cryo-EM structure of SRCAP complex, in comparison with INO80 complex (Feng et al. 2018).

Tip60/p400 complex

The Tip60 complex in humans consists of 16 subunits (**Fig. 2.5**) and is involved in multiple cellular processes including transcriptional regulation, DNA repair, the chromatin remodelling, cell migration and genomic instability. The KAT5 protein (Tip60),

the catalytic subunit of the complex, is an histone acetyltransferase (HAT) belonging to the MYST family. This enzyme acetylates histones, implicated in the organization of chromatin, and some non-histone proteins, implicated in transcriptional regulation and response to DNA damage (Squatrito et al., 2006). Recent studies have also allowed us to identify a new role for this complex in cell cycle progression. Indeed, Tip60-dependent p53 acetylation is regulated to modulate cellular outcome. p53 is acetylated at Lysine 120 by Tip60 to trigger apoptosis when severe DNA damage is revealed (Wang et al., 2019).

Moreover, it has been shown that the KAT5 (Tip60) acetylates Aurora B kinase, a key component of the checkpoint mitotic, in order to guarantee a correct chromosomal segregation (Mo et al., 2016).

As concerns the other subunits, literature reports that Pontin and Reptin belong to the AAA+ family of helicases (ATPases associated with diverse cellular activities) (Kanemaki et al.,1999), while MRG15 is adaptor module which can bind to a modified histone H3 and down-regulates intragenic histone H3 lysine 4 methylation, so it's involved with active transcription (Zhang P. et al. 2006; Hayakawa et al., 2007).

So, proteins that are co-present in different multi-protein complexes, can also function independently from each other.

Figure 2.5. Tip60 complex composition (Judes et al., 2015).

2.3 The *Drosophila* **Tip60 complex**

The Tip60 chromatin remodelling complex in *Drosophila melanogaster* (dTip60) consists of 16 subunits, that have been identified by immunoprecipitation followed by immunoblotting experiments in S2 cells (Kusch et al., 2004) and share a high level of identity with those of human SRCAP and tip60/p400 complex. It catalyzes the replacement of canonical H2A.V by phospho-H2A.V histone variant and it is involved in many cellular processes. dTip60 complex is composed of 16 subunits that share a high level of identity with those of human orthologous SRCAP and Tip60/p400 complexes: dMRG15, dTRA1, dGAS41, dIng3, E (Pc), dPontin, dReptin (Kusch et al., 2004) (**Fig. 2.6).** The dTIP60 complex catalyzes the dislocation of the canonical histone H2A, and the YETI subunit plays an essential role in subsequent deposition of the H2A.V (Messina et al., 2014; Prozzillo et al., 2019). Nucleosomes containing the H2A.V-H2B dimer seem to facilitate gene activation by transcription factors.

Figure 2.6. Cartoon of dTip60 composition.

Recently, a genetic interaction map of cell cycle regulators showed a link between DOMINO and Yeti and Anaphase Promoting Complex/ Cyclosome (APC/C) (Billman et al., 2016).

Ruhf et al characterized *domino* as a gene encoding novel members of the SWI2/SNF2family of DNA-dependent ATPase. *domino* encodes two protein isoforms of 3202 (DOM-A) and 2498amino acids (DOM-B), which contain a common N-terminal region but divergent C termini (Ruhf et al., 2001).

A study from Eissenberg and colleagues highlighted a significant overlap between the binding sites of DOMINO and RNA polymerase II on Drosophila polytene chromosomes, suggesting for DOMINO a role as a transcriptional co-activator. A similar role was demonstrated for the human homologue of DOMINO, SRCAP, when expressed in Drosophila (Eissenberg et al., 2005) (**Fig. 2.7**).

Fig. 2.7. Domain organization of DOM-A and DOM-B and comparison with the human homologous SRCAP (Modified from Ruhf et al., 2001 and Eissenberg et al., 2005).

2.4 Cell division: an overview

Eukaryotic cells duplicate by carrying out an ordered sequence of events by which they duplicate their nuclear content and then divide. These processes together constitute the cellular cycle, which it can be divided into four main phases: G1, S, G2 and M, where the two gap phases divide the two major cell cycle events which are the duplication of genetic material (S phase) and the division into two daughter cells (M phase) (**Fig.2.8**).

Figure 2.8. Phases of cell cycle.

M phase, or mitosis, can be divided into several phases (**Fig. 2.9**), which lead first to condensation of chromatin and to the segregation of the two centrosomes (prophase), then to the disintegration of the nuclear membrane and the formation of the metaphasic plate, in which the duplicated chromosomes are aligned at the center of the cell and contacted by microtubules of the mitotic spindle (metaphase). During the anaphase the sister chromatids begin to segregate at the two opposite poles of the cell due of microtubule dynamic activity. The telophase is the last stage of the division, which allows the separation of the two daughter cells. These are still connected by a cytoplasmic bridge called midbody. For the final event of cytokinesis it is necessary to train one throttling of the contractile ring, so as to resolve the midbody (MB) and lead to separation definitive of the two daughter cells. The MB has become the focus of intense investigation in cancer cell biology. It forms from the bipolar microtubule array of central

spindle and plays essential functions in localizing the site of abscission, and hence of physical separation of daughter cells during cytokinesis (Glotzer et al., 2005; Barr et al., 2007). It acts as a platform for the recruitment and organization of proteins that regulate final withdrawal. MB is a dynamic structure composed of a central region, the MB ring, and two flanking regions.

Figure 2.9. Phases of mitosis.

The cell cycle is a finely regulated process. Transitions between the different phases of the cell cycle are induced by oscillating levels of cyclins and cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK).

Successful cell division requires the balanced distribution of chromosomes and cytoplasmic material to daughter cells. In eukaryotes, this is achieved via a series of coordinated cytoskeletal processes which include spindle assembly, chromosome segregation, spindle positioning and cytokinesis.

The mitotic spindle is assembled from microtubule arrays and their associated proteins that orchestrate chromosome segregation during mitosis (Cleveland et al., 2003; Pollard 2017). It is a highly dynamic phenomenon and evolutionarily conserved, with a significant number of components shared by humans and simpler organisms. In addition to tubulins, proteins involved in spindle function include motor proteins and other microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs), as well as microtubule organizing centers, regulatory kinases and phosphatases, kinetochore protein complexes and chromatin-associated proteins.

The correct sulcus localization is guaranteed by the microtubules of the mitotic spindle: they reorganize forming a structure called central spindle. The formation of this structure derives from the cooperation of multiple cytoskeletal proteins and signalling.

Cytokinesis requires a complex interplay among effector components related to cytoskeleton, chromosomes, cell cycle, lipid raft, vesicle and membrane trafficking factors which map to MB. Alterations of these factors cause cytokinesis failure (Fujiwara et al. 2005; Ganem et al., 2007; Sagona and Stenmark 2010; Hu et al., 2012; Bassi et al., 2011; Bassi et al., 2013) and result in: 1) inhibition or regression of the cleavage furrow, with the ensuing formation of tetraploid cells, or 2) persistence of connections between daughter cells, with the formation of long intercellular bridges (LIBs) originating syncytial cells (Lacroix et al., 2012; Normand et al., 2010).

In particular, the known essential MB/cytokinesis players are Aurora B, Citron kinase (CIT-K), PLK1 and at least molecular motors kinesin-like: KIF23 (MKLP1), KIF20A (MKLP2) and KIF4A (D'Avino et al., 2015). Aurora B, the catalytic subunit of the Chromosomal Passenger Complex (CPC), which includes INCENP, Survivin and Borealin., promotes the interaction between PRC1, involved in the collating of microtubules, and KIF4A, deputed to transport Aurora B intermediate spindle (Nunes Bastos et al., 2013). Instead, dimers of KIF23 and RacGAP1 form a complex called centralspindlin, essential for the formation of central spindle. Aurora B functions include regulation of chromosome interactions with microtubules, chromatid cohesion, spindle stability and cytokinesis. Mo et al. identified a signaling axis in which Aurora B activity is modulated by CDK1-cyclin B via acetyltransferase TIP60 in human cell division. CDK1-cyclin B phosphorylates Ser90 of TIP60, which elicites TIP60-dependent acetylation of Aurora B Lys215 and promotes accurate chromosome segregation in metaphase-anaphase transition (Mo et al., 2016).

The CPC associates with the inner centromere until metaphase and then transfers to the spindle midzone, equatorial cell cortex and MB in late mitosis and cytokinesis (Bassi et al., 2013). It regulates the function of many microtubule-associated proteins at the MB, including KIF4 and MKLP1, and control abscission timing through the ESCRT-III complex (Douglas et al., 2010). Furthermore, PRC1 is responsible for the recruitment of the mitotic kinase Polo-like kinase 1 (Plk1) to the spindle midzone. Plk1 controls furrow ingression and abscission timing during cytokinesis and colocalizes with Tip60 and Cyclin B1 at spindle poles and at the MB (Subramanian et al., 2010; Bastos et al.,2010; D'Avino et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2012)

CIT-K acts at the top of the MB formation hierarchy by controlling a network of contractile ring and central spindle components (Grunerberg et al., 2004). MKLP1 is the motor component of the centralspindlin complex, which controls multiple events during cytokinesis, including MB formation (Guse et al., 2005). MKLP2 (also known as KIF20A) is essential for the recruitment of the CPC to the spindle midzone (**Fig.2.10**).

Thus, the midbody components show specific localization patterns. Some proteins such as anillin, centralspindlin, CIT-K and KIF14 localize at the level of the midbody ring up to the final stages of abscission and persist even in remnants.

On the contrary the members of the CPC (Aurora B, INCENP, Borealin and Survivin) accumulate at the level of the midbody arms and delocalize after the formation of the two lateral constraints.

Figure 2.10 CPC and MB players crosstalk (McKenzie et al., 2016).

Moreover, abscission is mediated by ESCRT complexes (Endosomal Sorting Complex Required for Transport), a multiprotein family already known for its role in vesicle detachment in late endosomes (Morita, 2012). Proteins of the ESCRT-III complex (CHMP), recruited to the midbody thanks to the interaction between Cep55 and the TSG101 and ALIX proteins (Carlton and Martin-Serrano, 2007; Morita et al., 2007), form spirals of filaments that remodel the membrane causing its separation (Elia et al., 2011; Guizetti et al., 2011). It is important to note that the chronology of events during the discharge it is regulated by the CPC and by Plk1.

However, the roles that the different components of the midbody play in training and in stability of this organelle is not completely understood.

Mass spectrometry assays on midbody-purified extracts have identified proteins not only related to the cytoskeleton, but also involved in other pathways, such as lipid rafts and vesicle trafficking (Barr et al., 2007).

2.5 Subunits of Tip60/p400 and SRCAP chromatin remodelling complexes localize to the mitotic apparatus in human cells

In past years, experiments carried out in our lab have shown that subunits of the SRCAP and Tip60/p400 complexes, besides the canonical localization in the interphase chromatin, target to different sites of the mitotic apparatus (centrosomes, spindle and midbody) at differing times during cell division (Messina et al., in preparation).

Using specific antibodies for the endogenous proteins, they performed high-resolution immunofluorescence (IF) experiments in fixed HeLa cells, to study the subcellular distribution of 13 endogenous subunits of SRCAP and Tip60/p400 ATP-dependent chromatin remodelling complexes during cell division: SRCAP, CFDP1, p18-Hamlet (SRCAP complex), Tip60, P400 and MRG15 (Tip60/p400 complex), BAF53a, Myc-Arp6, Pontin, Reptin, GAS 41, YL1 and (both complexes).

As expected, for each chromatin protein tested, the antibody staining revealed IF signals in interphase nuclei. In addition, signals were found to different sites of the mitotic apparatus, i. e. centrosomes, spindle and midbody (**Fig. 2.11**).

DAPI aTubulin

Figure 2.11. Dynamic localization of human SRCAP and tip60/p400 proteins from interphase nuclei to the mitotic apparatus (Messina et al., in preparation).

In particular, SRCAP, CFDP1, BAF53a, GAS41 and YL1 were found at the spindle in both metaphase and/or anaphase; SRCAP and GAS41, also localize at the centrosomes together with Tip60. Moreover, all the subunits tested were found at the midbody (MB): P400, Tip60 and BAF53a in the flanking zone, SRCAP, YL-1 and CFDP1 along the bridge, except the central area (**Fig. 2.11**).

Moreover, the MB associations found by IF on fixed HeLa cell preparations were validated on isolated MBs, by both IF and Western blotting experiments (**Fig. 2.12**).

Fig. 2.11. IF and WB of isolated midbodies from HeLa cells. IF images show both early and late telophases (Messina et al., in preparation).

The results of these experiments confirmed that all the SRCAP and tip60/p400 proteins tested by IF are recruited at the MB. By contrast, the human ISWI/hSNF2H remodeler was not found at the MB.

These findings suggest that the subcellular localization of all the proteins tested during cell division is dynamic and, besides their canonical localization in interphase nuclei, all the subunits are recruited to the mitotic apparatus. Remarkably, SRCAP and Tip60/p400 complexes belong to the INO80 family of ATPdependent chromatin remodelers, whose main function is to govern the deposition of the H2A variant histone in animal and plant species, thus such a bulky association with the mitotic apparatus was unexpected.

3. Aims

My PhD project is aimed at studying the involvement of ATPdependent chromatin remodelling complexes in ensuring proper cell division in both human and Drosophila cell lines, two species separated by 700 million years of evolution.

Experimental *in vitro* approaches involved the use of human HeLa cells and Drosophila S2 cells of embryonic origin.

In particular, experiments have been carried out to:

i) Characterize the cellular distribution of subunits of Drosophila Tip60 complex;

ii) Analyze the cell cycle progression following removal of specific subunits of both human and Drosophila chromatin remodelling complexes by RNAi knock-down;

iii) Analyze the interaction with known cell division players.

4. Results

4.1 RNAi knock-down of SRCAP and Tip60/p400 subunits impairs successful cell division – part one

First, to investigate the impact of chromatin remodelers during cell division, I performed experiments of RNAi-mediated depletion of SRCAP, TIP60, GAS41, YL1 and MRG15 subunits (**Fig. 4.1**) to characterize the MB localization of six known regulators of cytokinesis, i.e. Aurora B, INCENP, MKLP1, MKLP2, PLK1 and Cit-K.

Figure 4.1. On the top: WB of HeLa WCE (whole cell extracts) after RNAidepletion of remodelers. ISWI is used as control. At the bottom: decrease of fluorescence intensity after RNAi-depletion of each remodelers compared with the mock. Fluorescence intensity was assessed using the ImageJ software.

As shown in **Fig. 4.2**, the localization of the all tested MB players was affected. In particular, SRCAP depleted cells showed the strongest effects on mislocalization of all MB players, with the exception of Cit-K. Notably, MKLP1 and PLK1 were strongly delocalized by the independent depletion of SRCAP and Tip60. IF images show examples of typical MB players mislocalization found after RNAi depletion of different remodelers. Thus, RNAimediated depletion of remodelers affect cell division in HeLa cells.

Figure 4.2. Examples of MB players mislocalizations after RNAi-depletion of SRCAP, Tip60 and GAS41 remodelers (immunofluorescence) and quantitative analysis.

Moreover, RNAi knock-down of the considered subunits produced mitotic defects.

Defects found include multinucleation, aberrant multipolar spindles, LIBs (Long Intercellular Bridges) connecting the daughter cells, chromosome misalignments and chromatin bridges. Examples of these alterations are shown in **Fig. 4.3**. Importantly, mitotic defects are consistent with the localizations of the studied remodelers. In particular, Tip60 normally localizes at the spindle poles and kinetochores (Fig. 2.11) and RNAi-mediated depletion of this protein causes a statistically significant alteration of the spindle, generating chromosome misalignments in metaphase and multipolar spindles. SRCAP depletion causes a strong impact in cytokinesis, as percentages of multinucleated cells and LIBs increase after RNAi treatment. These results suggest that subunits of ATP-dependent remodelling complexes prevent the failure of cell division and genome instability.

Figure 4.3. Examples of defects found after RNAi-depletion of remodelers (Immunofluorescence). IF images: green: alfa-tubulin; blue: DAPI. Quantitative analysis of mitotic defects and intercellular distance.

4.2 SRCAP and Tip60/p400 subunits interact with some important cell cycle regulators

The aforementioned results strongly suggest that SRCAP, TIP60, GAS41, YL1 and Mrg15 regulate cytokinesis in HeLa cells. An intriguing possibility is that these subunits play a role in the localization/action of crucial cell division players to the MB. To test this hypothesis, first we performed a series of simultaneous IF experiments aimed at studying the co-localization at MB of some remodelers with known MB players, such as Aurora B, INCENP, MKLP1, MKLP2 and CIT-K (**Fig.4.4**).

Figure 4.4 Co-immunolocalization of Tip60 and some MB players in fixed HeLa cells.

Tip60 showed a sharp signal at the central zone of MB and it is an acetyl-transferase that interacts and acetylates Aurora B and PLK1 (and Cyclin B1) during interphase and metaphase (Zhang et al., 2012; Mo et al., 2016).

Remarkably, the results of these experiments showed that Tip60 co-localizes with Aurora B, MKLP1, MKLP2, CIT-K at the central zone of MB, while it doesn't co-localize with INCENP. Moreover, Aurora B co-localizes with Baf53a and SRCAP also.

The results of these experiments were suggestive for possible interactions that can be validated by biochemical approaches and are also mandatory to the study of localization dependencies between MB players and chromatin remodelers, and viceversa.

Our IF colocalization experiments suggested that chromatin remodelers interact physically with MB/cytokinesis players during the final stages of cell division.

To test this hypothesis, I focused my attention on Tip60 by performing immunoprecipitation (IP) experiments on cytosolic protein extracts from telophase-synchronized HeLa cells, in order to eliminate the background represented by the chromatin component (detailed protocol is in the Materials and methods section) (**Fig.4.5**).

Figure 4.5. On the left**:** Chromatin fractionation of HeLa cells synchronized in telophase. WCE: whole cell extract. P3: nuclei fraction. S2: cytoplasmatic fraction. MKLP1 is expressed in late stages of mitosis. On the right: Coimmunoprecipitation of telophase protein extracts (S2 fraction) demonstrating the interaction of Tip60 with main MB players.

The IP results indicate that Tip60 physically interacts with CITK, Aurora B and MKLP2, in accordance with the previously described co-localization results. These IP experiments also show that Tip60 interacts with α-Tubulin, the structural component of the spindle and midbody, and with Baf53a and MRG15 protein, subunits of the SRCAP and Tip60/p400 complex.

4.3 Aurora B kinase promotes recruitment of some subunits at the midbody

Aurora B kinase is a key regulator of cytokinesis and phosphorylates the CHMP4C subunit of the ESCRT-III complex necessary for abscission (D'Avino et al., 2015). Furthermore, the acetylation of Aurora B by Tip60 is necessary for a correct regulation of the mitotic checkpoint (Mo et al., 2016).

The results of our IP assays indicate physical interactions between Aurora B and other midbody players with the subunits, suggesting the existence of a cross regulation feedback between Aurora B and the remodelling complex subunits in cytokinesis.

A crucial question in this study is how SRCAP and tip60/p400 chromatin remodelers are recruited to MB. In order to deepen this aspect, we asked whether the inhibition of Aurora B kinase activity affects the recruitment of Tip60, SRCAP at MB.

The ZM447439, a specific selective ATP-competitive inhibitor of Aurora B kinase (Girdler et al., 2006), was employed to inhibit the activity of Aurora B in these experiments. To evaluate the efficacy of inhibition, phosphorylation of the histone H3 during mitosis was considered as control, as it is target of Aurora B. As it can be seen in **Fig. 4.6**, cells treated with ZM447439 show a significant mislocalization of TIP60, SRCAP and BAF53a remodelers, as well as of the already known midbody players targets (positive control). These results support the hypothesis of a cross-regulation occuring during cytokinesis between kinases, remodelers and midbody players.

Figure 4.6. ZM447439 treatment. On the top: WB testing efficiency of ZM447439 treatment (Histone H3 is a target of Aurora B kinase). Immunostaining of HeLa cells for Aurora B, before and after ZM447439 treatment, as control. Immunostaining of HeLa cells for PLK1, a well-known Aurora B interactor, before and after ZM447439 treatment, as positive control. At the bottom: Immunostaining of HeLa cells for Tip60, SRCAP and Baf53a before and after ZM447439 treatment. Quantitative analysis of remodelers and MB mislocalization after ZM447439 treatment.

4.4 Subunits of dTip60 chromatin remodelling complex localize to the mitotic apparatus in Drosophila S2 cells

In a past work mutations in the *Drosophila melanogaster* heterochromatic l(2)41Aa gene, now called Yeti, were found to affect chromosome organization and cytokinesis (Cenci et al., 2003; Messina et al., 2014).

We then asked whether the recruitment of chromatin remodelers to mitotic apparatus is limited to human cells or, on the contrary, is an evolutionary conserved phenomenon.

To answer this question, I studied the distribution of four subunits of the *Drosophila melanogaster* Tip60 complex during cell division in embryonic S2 cells. In particular, I focused my attention on DOM-A, Tip60, YETI and MRG15 proteins, which are orthologous to human SRCAP, Tip60, CFDP1 and MRG15 human, respectively.

Figure 4.7. Dynamic localization of dTip60 subunits in Drosophila S2 fixed cells during mitosis.

First, I performed immunofluorescence experiments on Drosophila S2 cells, using specific antibodies against endogenous proteins. The results of these analysis show that DOM-A, TIP60, YETI and MRG15, in addition to their canonical localization in interphase nuclei, are all found at the mitotic apparatus (**Fig. 4.7**) similarly to their human orthologs. In particular, DOM-A and TIP60 are also found at the spindle, while MRG15 is strongly localized to the centrosomes. In addition, they all localize at the midbody.

Thus, the recruitment of remodelling factors to the mitotic apparatus appears to be an evolutionary conserved phenomenon.

4.5 RNAi knock-down of the subunits impair successful cell division – part two

To investigate the impact of chromatin remodelers during Drosophila cell division also, I performed experiments of RNAimediated depletion of the dTip60 complex subunits.

I generated dsRNA to induce RNAi depletion of DOM-A, Tip60, Mrg15 and Yeti in Drosophila S2 cells (details in Materials and Methods).

After 96 hours-treatments, cells were harvested to perform blotting or sqRT-PCR and immunofluorescence analysis.

The efficiency of RNAi-mediated depletion was tested by IF experiments and Western blotting. In the case of DOM-A, I performed the sqRT-PCR analysis because the antibody was not suitable for WB.

IF experiments and Western blotting clearly show the amount of the tested subunits after RNAi induction. Similarly, the DOM-A expression was significantly affected after RNAi induction (**Fig. 4.9**).

Notably, the RNAi-mediated depletion of the dTip60 complex subunits under investigation caused mitotic defects, similar to those found after depletion of remodelers in HeLa cells.

Figure 4.9. On the top: WB and sq-RT PCR of dTip60 subunits after RNAi treatments.

Yet

MRG15

 10

DOM-A

TIPSO

Tubulin was used as loading control. At the bottom: decrease of fluorescence intensity after RNAi-depletion of each remodelers compared with the mock. Fluorescence intensity was assessed using the ImageJ software.

Again, defects found include multinucleation, aberrant multipolar spindles, LIBs (Long Intercellular Bridges) connecting the daughter cells and chromosome misalignments. Importantly, defects found are consistent with the remodelers localizations. For example, Mrg15 show a strong signal to the centrosomes at the spindle poles and its depletion causes around 50% of multipolar cells.

In conclusion, the recruitment of remodelling factors to the mitotic apparatus and, supposedly their function, appears to be an evolutionary conserved phenomenon.

Figure 4.10. Examples of mitotic defects and quantitative analysis of them found in remodelers-depleted Drosophila cells. IF images: green: alfa-tubulin; blue: DAPI.

5. Discussion and conclusion

Previous studies suggested that chromatin and remodelling proteins might also play roles in cell division, yet evidence remains sporadic (Gartner et al., 2003; Ducat et al. 2008; Sigala et al., 2005).

In my PhD work, I combined cell biology, functional genetics and biochemical approaches to investigate in depth the role of five subunits of SRCAP and P400 chromatin remodelling complexes in cell division in HeLa cells. In parallel, the same approach has been carried out to study four subunits of the Tip60 chromatin remodelling complex of *Drosophila melanogaster*, which is structurally and functionally related to the human Tip60/p400 complex.

We found that, besides the canonical localization in interphase, all the subunits of human SRCAP and Tip60/p400 complexes and Drosophila Tip60 complex under investigation are recruited at different sites of the mitotic apparatus (centrosomes, spindle and midbody) during cell cycle progression. Such a bulky association with the mitotic apparatus is surprising, since the main function of these complexes is to govern the deposition of the H2A variant in animal and plant species which impact gene expression and DNA repair.

RNAi knock-down experiments showed that the depletion of each protein tested yields an array of aberrant mitotic outcomes, including multipolar spindle formation, chromosome missegregation and cytokinesis defects. Overall, these categories of defects are known to occur as a consequence of the loss of crucial regulator of spindle and/or midbody organization/function.

Similarly, I found that DOM-A, TIP60, YETI and MRG15 are recruited to the MB in Drosophila cells and their depletion affect different aspects of cell division.

Moreover DOM-A and MRG15 localize at the centrosomes and their RNAi-mediated depletion causes centrosomes amplification. This defect can arise by centriole overduplication or by cell doubling events, including the failure of cell division and cell-cell fusion. Karki et al proposed a model in which leaky APC/C activity during mitotic delay triggers precocious centriole disengagement (Karki et al., 2017).

Interestingly, a century ago, Theodor Boveri proposed that increased centrosome numbers can cause cancer (Boveri).

Two alternative hypotheses could be considered to explain how the knock-down chromatin remodelling proteins affects cell divisions:

i) the recruitment of chromatin remodelling proteins to the mitotic apparatus only reflects a passive accumulation of protein to be disposed of, and cell division defects after their depletion/loss is merely the consequence of general chromatin perturbations, that in turn result in deregulation of genes involved in cell division;

ii) chromatin remodelling proteins are functional components of centrosome, spindle and MB and their depletion/loss results in disfunctions of mitotic apparatus, thus giving rise to mitosis and cytokinesis failure. This hypothesis implies specific roles of remodelers in the organization/function of mitotic apparatus and regulation of cell division;

Several lines of evidence favour the second hypothesis, suggesting that the bulky association of chromatin remodelling with mitotic apparatus has a functional relevance.

First, the recruitment of remodelling factors to mitotic apparatus and the consequent disruption of cell division following their depletion has been observed in both human and *Drosophila melanogaster*, two species separated by over 700 million years of evolution.

Second, the effects observed after depletion of chromatin remodelling factors in both human and *D. melanogaster* cells do not appear to be simply a chaotic disruption of cell division, as one would expect from simultaneous malfunctioning of genes encoding cell division regulators. By contrast, those effects fail into specific classes which are generally consistent with the mitotic apparatus localization of each remodeler tested and are similar to those occurring after the loss of already known spindle and/or midbody (MB) regulators.

Third, immunofluorescence experiments have shown that subunits of SRCAP and Tip60 complexes colocalize at MB with known components/regulators of spindle and/or MB, such as Aurora B, INCENP, CIT-K, MKLP2, PLK1 and alfa-tubulin, suggesting physical interactions.

Fourth, Co-IP assays carried out in telophase synchronized HeLa cells have shown that Tip60 indeed physically interacts with Aurora B, INCENP, CIT-K, MKLP2, PLK1 and alfa-tubulin, factors specifically required for proper cytokinesis. This result strongly suggests a specific role of Tip60 in telophase also.

Moreover, reciprocally, the inhibition of Aurora B kinase activity affects the recruitment at the MB of SRCAP, BAF53a, Tip60.

In addition, Tip60 co-localizes with PLK1 and Cyclin B1 at spindle poles and at the MB (Subramanian et al., 2010; Bastos et al.,2010; D'Avino et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2012) and acetylates Aurora B during metaphase (Mo et al., 2016).

Overall, these results suggest the occurrence of a cross-talk between the subunits of the SRCAP and Tip60 complexes and some of the main regulators of mitosis and cytokinesis, with a positive feedback loop where regulators of mitosis and cytokinesis promotes the recruitment of remodelers to the mitotic apparatus and in turn the latter partecipates to stabilize the former.

In particular, the Aurora B kinase activity promotes the recruitment of SRCAP and Tip60 to the MB and in turn, SRCAP and Tip60 contribute to control Aurora B localization at the midbody. Thus, the crosstalk between chromatin and the mitotic apparatus is much more intertwined than previously supposed.

Frequent mutations in genes encoding chromatin regulatory factors and histone proteins have been identified in human cancer (Morgan M. et al., 2015). Substantiating such a role might be important to investigate as yet poorly understood routes to tumorigenic transformation in cancer types in which these factors can be aberrantly expressed and/or dysfunctional and will help expand our understanding of the growing link between cell division, in particular cytokinesis, and cancer.

Thus, elucidating the molecular mechanisms underlying the roles of chromatin remodelling proteins in cell division is challenging to clarify as yet poorly understood routes to tumorigenic transformation in cancer types in which these factors can be aberrantly expressed and/or dysfunctional and will help expand our understanding of the growing link between cell division and cancer. Notably, most subunits of the complexes studied have been implicated in cancer biology, which are summarized in Table 5.1.

Subunit	Implication in cancer biology
BAF53a	Overexpressed in bladder, cervix, myeloma, colon, and ovarian cancers; aberrant expression correlated with the progression of rhabdomyosarcoma, osteosarcoma, hepatocellular carcinoma and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (Mayes et al., 2014); BAF53a is considered to promote cancer progression via EMT epithelial- mesenchymal transition (Meng et al., 2017)
Tip ₆₀	Acts as a haplo-insufficient tumour suppressor (Gorrini et al., 2007)
SRCAP	Interacts with the CREB binding protein (CBP), an acetyltransferase encoded by a haplo-insufficient tumor suppressor gene in B-cell lymphoma (Zhang et al., 2017). It

Table 5.1. Examples of subunits of SRCAP and P400 complexes implicated in cancer biology

In conclusion, our results reveal the existence of a previously undetected, massive and evolutionarily conserved phenomenon, whereby subunits of ATP-dependent chromatin remodelling complexes, in addition to their role of epigenetic regulators, have a functional relevance in cell division. Moreover these results emphasize a surprising scenario in which chromatin remodelling, cell cycle and tumorigenesis are closely interlinked (**Fig. 5.1**).

Fig.5.1 Model of remodelers translocating from interphase chromatin to the mitotic apparatus (by L. Suglia, modified from Normand and King, 2010).

6. Future perspectives

Despite RNAi is a widely used system to achieve protein downregulation at mRNA level, when RNAi is induced, the protein products already translated remain unaffected. Thus, a long time treatment is required before down-regulation can be induced, making RNAi application for depleting target proteins in specific phases of the cell cycle quite tricky.

To bypass this problem, the Auxin-Inducible Degron (AID) experimental system will be employed in our laboratory to specifically target the protein rather than its mRNA and to induce a total and sudden depletion of the protein of interest. This system is adapted from a gene expression regulation mechanism found in plants, where Auxin induces the degradation of transcriptional repressors by promoting their association with the TIR1 domain leading to polyubiquitination and degradation via the proteasome (Teale W. et al., 2006). By fusing a degron sequence (Aid-tag) to the targeted protein, the mechanism can be exploited for degradation experiments. The AID system has been established successfully in S. cerevisiae, C. elegans, Drosophila, mouse, monkey, humans (Nishimura et al., 2009; Morawska et al., 2013; Trost et al., 2016). Since the AID system relies on a plant hormone that is generally expected to be biologically silent in eukaryotic organisms other than plants, secondary effects should not arise. This might be even more promising using a shorter version of the tag, the mini-Auxin-induced Degron (mAiD), which has been shown to work in HTC116 cell line (Natsume et al., 2016).

7. Materials and Methods

7.1 Cell colture, RNA interference and ZM447439 treatment

HeLa human cervical cancer cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10 % foetal bovine serum (Gibco-BRL) and 10% Penicillin/Streptomycin solution (SIGMA). Cell cultures were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5 % CO2.

Transient transfections were performed using Lipofectamine RNAi-MAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

The siRNA-mediated interference experiments for SRCAP, Tip60, Gas41 and Mrg15 expression were performed by transfecting a pool of specific double-stranded RNA oligonucleotides:

SRCAP: pool of three sc-93293 (Santa Cruz)

Tip60 (Kat5): EHU139921-20UG (Sigma)

Gas41: pool of three sc-77331 (Santa Cruz)

Mrg15 (Morf4L1): EHU127521-20UG (Sigma)

48, 72 or 96h after transfection cells were harvested for cytological and immunoblotting analysis.

Asynchronous HeLa cells were treated for 45 min with the Aurora B inhibitor ZM447439 at a final concentration of 5 μ M at 37^oC in a humidified atmosphere of 5 % CO2. An equal volume of DMSO was used as negative control. Then they were fixed and stained. ZM 447439 is a selective and ATP-competitive inhibitor for Aurora A and Aurora B with IC50 of 110 nM and 130 nM, respectively

7.2 Immunofluorescence

HeLa cells were seeded on glass coverslips and 24 h later they were fixed for 15' at room temperature (RT) in 2% formaldehyde in PBS or 5' methanol in ice. After permeabilization in 0.2% Triton X-100 solution (5' in ice) and washing in PBS the cells were incubated in 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 1 h and, subsequently, over-night (O/N) with primary antibodies (table 7.1) at 4°C. After several washes in PBS, the cells were incubated with secondary antibodies (table 7.1) for 1h at RT and 1' with DAPI. The coverslips were then mounted onto slides with ProLong Antifade Mountant for Fixed Cells (Thermo Scientific). Preparations were analyzed using a computer-controlled Nikon Eclipse 50i epifluorescence microscope equipped with a CCD camera. Images optimization was assessed using the ImageJ software [\(http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/\)](http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/). About 2000-3000 cells were scored in at least three independent experiments.

Primary Antibody	Dilution	Supplier
α Tubulin, rabbit polyclonal	1:500	Abcam, ab6046
αTubulin, mouse monoclonal	1:1000	Sigma-Aldrich T9026
Tip60 (KAT5), rabbit polyclonal	1:1000	Abcam, ab137518
H3(phosphoS10), rabbit polyclonal	1:500	Abcam, ab5176
MRG15, rabbit polyclonal	1:1000	Genetex, GTX128495
GAS41, rabbit polyclonal	1.1000	Abcam, ab167495
GAS41, mouse monoclonal	1:50	Abcam, ab205018
YL1, mouse monoclonal	1:50	Epigen, EXM10001
Baf53a, mouse monoclonal	1:100	Santa Cruz, sc-137062
MKLP2, rabbit polyclonal	1:10000	Thomas Mayer
MKLP1, mouse polyclonal	1:1000	Abcam, ab168964
SRCAP, rabbit polyclonal	1:500	SantaCruzBio, sc133312
CITK, mouse monoclonal	1:1000	BD Trans. Lab, 611376

Table 7.1. Antibodies used for immunofluorescence experiments

7.3 Cell cycle synchronization

For immunoprecipitation experiments, HeLa cells were synchronized in telophase using thymidine/nocodazole blocks (Fig. 7.1). Briefly, cells were treated with 2 mM thymidine (Sigma, T9250) for 19h, released from G1/S block in fresh media for 5h, incubated with 40 nM nocodazole (Sigma, M1403) for 13h and, harvested by mitotic shake-off. Mitotic cells were washed three times with PBS and released in fresh medium for 70' before harvesting and freezing in liquid nitrogen. Telophase cell samples were prepared by resuspending cells in Buffer A (10 mM HEPES at pH 7.9, 10 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.34 M sucrose, 10% glycerol, 1 mM dithiothreitol [DTT], 1 mM NaVO4, 5 mM β-glycero-phosphate, 0.1 mM phenyl methane sulphonyl fluoride [PMSF], 0.5 mM NaF, protease inhibitor cocktail [Roche]) for subsequent subcellular fractionation assay.

Cytoplasmic Extract from Telophase (CET)

Figure 7.1. Scheme of cell cycle synchronization and subsequent subcellular fractionation assay (see next section).

7.4 Chromatin fractionation and immunoprecipitation

HeLa cells (2×10^7) were resuspended in 1 mL of Buffer A. Triton X-100 was added at 0.1% and cells were incubated for 5' on ice. After centrifugation $(3500 \text{ rpm}$ for $5'$ at 4°C), the cytosolic fraction (supernatant) was stored for the next immunoprecipitation experiments and the nuclear fraction (pellet, P3 fraction) was resuspended in 1 mL of Buffer B (3 mM EDTA, 0.2 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM PMSF, protease inhibitors) and incubated for 5' on ice. All the fractioned samples were load in a polyacrylamide gel and transferred onto PVDF membrane for western blot analysis.

Cytosolic S2 fraction (or soluble proteins) from subcellular fractionation assay was used as input (IN) to immunoprecipitated Tip60 protein using 4 µg of rabbit anti-Tip60 (Abcam, ab137518) antibody O/N at 4°C, followed by 2 h of incubation with 40 µl of agarose-conjugated protein A/G (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Beads were then washed three times in buffer A and co-immunoprecipitants were analyzed by immunoblotting.

7.5 Western Blotting

HeLa cells were lysated in sample buffer at 10000 cells/μl. All the samples were load in a polyacrylamide gel, transferred onto PVDF membrane and probed with specific antibodies (table 7.2) with appropriate HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (table 7.2). The bands were immunodetected using the Enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) kit from Thermo Scientific.

Primary Antibody	Dilution	Supplier
Tip60 (KAT5), rabbit polyclonal	1:1000	Abcam, ab137518
Tip60 (KAT5), mouse monoclonal	1:500	Santa Cruz Bio, sc-166323

Table 7.2. Antibodies used for western blotting

7.6 RNA interference in Drosophila S2 cells

Cell Culture and RNAi Treatments

S2 cells derive from a primary culture of late stage (20–24 hours old) *Drosophila melanogaster* embryos (Schneider, 1972). They were cultured at 25°C in Schneider's Drosophila Medium (Biowest) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Corning), 50 units/ml penicillin, and 50 μg/ml streptomycin (Carlo Erba) in 75-cm2 T-flasks (Corning).

RNAi treatments were carried out according to Clemens et al. (2000). Cells were suspended in serum-free Schneider's medium at a concentration of 1×106 cells/ml, and plated, 1 ml/well, in a sixwell culture dish (Nalge Nunc). To perform RNAi, each culture was inoculated with 15 μg of double-stranded dsRNA. After 1-h incubation at 25°C, 2 ml of medium supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum was added to each culture. Control cultures were prepared in the same way but without addition of dsRNA. Both RNA-treated and control cells were grown for 96 h at 25°C and then processed for either immunofluorescence, or blotting analysis.

dsRNA preparation

Individual gene sequences were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) from genomic DNA obtained from S2 cells.

The primers used in the PCR reactions were 48 nt base long and all contained a 5' T7 RNA polymerase binding site (5'- GAATTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGAGAC-3') joined to a gene-specific sequence.

The sense and antisense gene-specific primers were as follows:

Individual DNA fragments were approximately 500 bp in length. Amplified sequences were used to produce dsRNA through MEGAscript RNAi Kit (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Figure 6.2. Agarose gels to control dsRNAs produced.

In all RNAi experiments, protein depletion was systematically verified by Western blotting and/or semi-quantitative RT-PCR.

Western Blot analysis

Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 800g for 5 min. Pellets were lysed appropriate volume of Laemmli buffer to obtain 5000 cells/ul. 20 ul of lysate was used for SDS-PAGE and transferred to a PVDF Immobilion membrane (Millipore) by using a transfer apparatus (Bio-Rad). The membrane was blocked for 1 h in a 5% no-fat dry milk in PBS-T (Phosphate Buffered Saline $1X + 0.05\%$ Tween 20, pH 7.4) and then incubated overnight at 4°C with any of the primary antibodies, diluted in PBS-T $+$ 3% BSA (Bovine Serum Albumin, Sigma), and 1 h at room temperature with any of the secondary antibodies conjugated to HRP (table 7.3). Bands were detected using the Enhanced Chemiluminescence (ECL) kit (Thermo Scientific).

Table 7.3. Antibody used for WB

Immunofluorescence

S2 cells were seeded on glass coverslips and 24 h later they were fixed for 20′ at room temperature (RT) in 4% formaldehyde in PBS or 10' methanol on ice. Then they were permeabilized 20' at RT in 0.1% Triton X-100 solution. The cells were incubated in 3% BSA for 1 h in humid chamber and then over-night with primary antibodies (table 7.4) at 4°C. After several washes in PBS, the cells were incubated with secondary antibodies for 1h at RT (table 7.4). The coverslips were mounted onto slides with ProLong Antifade Mountant for Fixed Cells (Thermo Scientific). Preparations were analyzed using a computer-controlled Nikon Eclipse 50i epifluorescence microscope equipped with a CCD camera. About 2000-3000 cells were scored in at least three independent experiments.

Primary Antibody	Dilution	Supplier
α Tubulin, mouse monoclonal	1:1000	Sigma-Aldrich, T9026
anti-dTip60, guinea pig	1:100	SE. Ehrenhofer Murray
anti-Yeti, rabbit	1:100	P. Dimitri/R. Caizzi
anti-Mrg15, rabbit	1:200	T. Kusch
anti-DomA, rabbit	1:100	ML. Ruhf

Table 7.4. Antibody used for immunofluorescence

7.7 Statistical analysis

Data analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). All results are expressed as mean ±SD values from three independent replicate experiments. *P* values of less than 0.05 (**P* < 0.05, compared with the control group) are considered statistically significant by using two-tailed Fisher's exact test.

8. References

Andrews, A. J. and Luger, K. (2011). Nucleosome structure(s) and stability: variations on a theme. *Annu Rev Biophys* **40**, 99-117.

Bao, Y. and Shen, X. (2011). SnapShot: Chromatin remodelling: INO80 and SWR1. *Cell* **144**, 158-158 e2.

Barboro P, Rubagotti A, Boccardo F, Carnemolla B, Darrigo C, Patrone E, Balbi C (2005) Nuclear matrix protein expression in prostate cancer: possible prognostic and diagnostic applications. Anticancer research 2005, 25(6B):3999-4004.

Barr, F.A. and U. Gruneberg, (2007) Cytokinesis: placing and making the final cut. Cell. 131 (5): p. 847-60.

Bassi ZI, Audusseau M, Riparbelli MG, Callaini G, D'Avino PP. (2013) Citron kinase controls a molecular network required for midbody formation in cytokinesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.;110:9782-7.

Bassi ZI, Verbrugghe KJ, Capalbo L, Gregory S, Montembault E, Glover DM, et al. (2011) Sticky/Citron kinase maintains proper RhoA localization at the cleavage site during cytokinesis. J Cell Biol. 2011;195:595-603

Bassi, Z.I., et al., (2013) Citron kinase controls a molecular network required for midbody formation in cytokinesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,. 110(24): p. 9782-7.

Bastos RN, Barr FA. (2010) Plk1 negatively regulates Cep55 recruitment to the midbody to ensure orderly abscission. J Cell Biol. 2010;191:751-60.

Billmann, M., Horn, T., Fischer, B., Sandmann, T., Huber, W., and Boutros, M. (2016). A genetic interaction map of cell cycle regulators. Mol Biol Cell 27, 1397-1407.

Boveri T. (2008) Concerning the origin of malignant tumours by Theodor Boveri. Translated and annotated by Henry Harris. J Cell Sci. 2008; 121(Suppl 1):1–84.

Carlton, J. G. and Martin-Serrano, J. (2007). Parallels between cytokinesis and retroviral budding: a role for the ESCRT machinery. *Science* **316**, 1908-12.

Cenci G., Belloni G., Dimitri P. (2003) 1(2)41Aa, a heterochromatic gene of *Drosophila melanogaster*, is required for mitotic and meiotic chromosome condensation. Genet Res. 2003; 81:15-24.

Clapier CR, Cairns BR. (2009) The biology of chromatin remodelling complexes. Annual review of biochemistry.;78:273-304.

[Clapier](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Clapier%20CR%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=28512350) CR, [Iwasa J,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Iwasa%20J%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=28512350) [Cairns](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Cairns%20BR%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=28512350) BR, [Peterson CL.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Peterson%20CL%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=28512350) (2017) Mechanisms of action and regulation of ATP-dependent chromatin-remodelling complexes. [Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28512350) 2017 Jul;18(7):407-422. doi: 10.1038/nrm.2017.26. Epub 2017 May 17.

Clapier, C. R. and Cairns, B. R. (2009). The biology of chromatin remodelling complexes. *Annu Rev Biochem* **78**, 273-304.

Cleveland DW1, Mao Y, Sullivan KF. (2003) Centromeres and kinetochores: from epigenetics to mitotic checkpoint signaling. Cell. 2003 Feb 21;112(4):407-21.

D'Avino PP, Archambault V, Przewloka MR, Zhang W, Lilley KS, Laue E, et al. (2007) Recruitment of Polo kinase to the spindle midzone during cytokinesis requires the Feo/Klp3A complex. PLoS One. 2007;2:e572.

D'Avino, P. P., Giansanti, M. G. and Petronczki, M. (2015). Cytokinesis in animal cells. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **7**, a015834.

Dong S., Jinhua Han, Hongxia Chen, Ting Liu, Michael S.Y. Huen, Yeran Yang, Caixia Guo, and Jun Huang1. (2014) The Human SRCAP Chromatin Remodelling Complex Promotes DNA-End Resection. Current Biology 24, 2097–2110, September 22, 2014

Douglas ME, Mishima M. (2010) Still entangled: assembly of the central spindle by multiple microtubule modulators. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2010;21:899-908.

Ducat D, Kawaguchi S, Liu H, Yates JR 3rd, Zheng Y (2008) Regulation of microtubule assembly andorganization in mitosis by the AAA+ ATPase Pontin. Mol Biol Cell 19: 3097–3110. doi:10.1091/mbc.24.

Elia, N., Sougrat, R., Spurlin, T. A., Hurley, J. H. and Lippincott-Schwartz, J. (2011). Dynamics of endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT) machinery during cytokinesis and its role in abscission. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**, 4846-51.

Eissenberg JC, Wong M, Chrivia JC. (2005) [Human SRCAP and](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16024792) *Drosophila melanogaster* [DOM are homologs that function in the notch](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16024792) [signaling pathway.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16024792) Mol Cell Biol. 2005 Aug;25(15):6559-69

Feng, Y., Tian, Y., Wu, Z. and Xu, Y. (2018). Cryo-EM structure of human SRCAP complex. *Cell Res* **28**, 1121-1123.

Filippakopoulos, P. and Knapp, S. (2012). The bromodomain interaction module. *FEBS Lett* **586**, 2692 704.

Fischle, W., Wang, Y. and Allis, C. D. (2003). Histone and chromatin crosstalk. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **15**, 172-83.

Flaus, A., Martin, D. M., Barton, G. J. and Owen-Hughes, T. (2006). Identification of multiple distinct Snf2 subfamilies with conserved structural motifs. *Nucleic Acids Res* **34**, 2887-905.

Flemming W. Neue Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Zelle. Arch Mikrosk Anat. 1891;37:685–751. [Google Scholar]

Fujiwara T, Bandi M, Nitta M, Ivanova EV, Bronson RT, Pellman D. (2005) Cytokinesis failure generating tetraploids promotes tumorigenesis in p53-null cells. Nature.;437:1043-7.

Ganem NJ, Storchova Z, Pellman D. (2007) Tetraploidy, aneuploidy and cancer. Curr Opin Genet Dev.;17:157-62.

Gartner, W., [Rossbacher J,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Rossbacher%20J%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=14506706) [Zierhut B,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Zierhut%20B%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=14506706) [Daneva T,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Daneva%20T%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=14506706) [Base W,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Base%20W%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=14506706) [Weissel](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Weissel%20M%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=14506706) [M,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Weissel%20M%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=14506706) [Waldhäusl W,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Waldh%C3%A4usl%20W%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=14506706) [Pasternack MS,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Pasternack%20MS%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=14506706) [Wagner L](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Wagner%20L%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=14506706) (2006) The ATPdependent helicase RUVBL1/TIP49a associates with tubulin during mitosis. Cell Motil Cytoskeleton, 2003. 56 (2): p. 79-93.

Gerber MA, Shilatifard A, Eissenberg JC. (2005) Mutational analysis of an RNA polymerase II elongation factor in *Drosophila melanogaster* Mol Cell Biol. 2005 Sep;25(17):7803-11.

Girdler, F., Gascoigne, K. E., Eyers, P. A., Hartmuth, S., Crafter, C., Foote, K. M., Keen, N. J., and Taylor, **S. S**. (2006) J. Cell Sci. 119, 3664–3675

Glotzer, M., The molecular requirements for cytokinesis. (2005) Science. 307 (5716): p. 1735-9.

Gorrini C, Squatrito M, Luise C, Syed N, Perna D, Wark L, Martinato F, Sardella D, Verrecchia A, Bennett S et al (2007) Tip60 is a haplo-insufficient tumour suppressor required for an oncogene-induced DNA damage response. Nature 2007, 448(7157):1063-1067.

Greenberg, R.S, Long H. K., Swigut T., Wysocka J. (2019) Single Amino Acid Change Underlies Distinct Roles of H2A.Z Subtypes in Human Syndrome. Cell 178, 1421–1436

Gruneberg U, Neef R, Honda R, Nigg EA, Barr FA. (2004) Relocation of Aurora B from centromeres to the central spindle at the metaphase to anaphase transition requires MKlp2. J Cell Biol. 2004;166:167-72.

Gruneberg, U., et al., (2004) Relocation of Aurora B from centromeres to the central spindle at the metaphase to anaphase transition requires MKlp2. J Cell Biol, 2004. 166(2): p. 167-72.

Guizetti, J., Schermelleh, L., Mantler, J., Maar, S., Poser, I., Leonhardt, H., Muller-Reichert, T. and Gerlich, D. W. (2011). Cortical constriction during abscission involves helices of ESCRT-IIIdependent filaments. *Science* **331**, 1616-20.

Guse A, Mishima M, Glotzer M. (2005) Phosphorylation of ZEN-4/MKLP1 by aurora B regulates completion of cytokinesis. Curr Biol. 2005;15:778-86.

Havugimana, P. C., Hart, G. T., Nepusz, T., Yang, H., Turinsky, A. L., Li, Z., Wang, P. I., Boutz, D. R., Fong, V., Phanse, S. et al. (2012). A census of human soluble protein complexes. *Cell* **150**, 1068-81.

Hayakawa T1, Ohtani Y, Hayakawa N, Shinmyozu K, Saito M, Ishikawa F, Nakayama J. (2007) RBP2 is an MRG15 complex component and down-regulates intragenic histone H3 lysine 4 methylation. Genes Cells. Jun;12(6):811-26.

Hu CK, Coughlin M, Mitchison TJ. (2012) Midbody assembly and its regulation during cytokinesis. Mol Biol Cell. 2012;23:1024-34.

Huber O, Menard L, Haurie V, Nicou A, Taras D, Rosenbaum J (2008) Pontin and reptin, two related ATPases with multiple roles in cancer. Cancer research 2008, 68(17):6873-6876.

Judes, G., Rifai, K., Ngollo, M., Daures, M., Bignon, Y. J., Penault-Llorca, F. and Bernard-Gallon, D. (2015). A bivalent role of TIP60 histone acetyl transferase in human cancer. *Epigenomics* **7**, 1351-63.

Kanemaki, M., et al. (1999) TIP49b, a new RuvB-like DNA helicase, is included in a complex together with another RuvB-like DNA helicase, TIP49a. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 22437-22444

Karki M., Keyhaninejad N. & Shuster C. B. (2017) Precocious centriole disengagement and centrosome fragmentation induced by mitotic delay. NATURE COMMUNICATIONS | 8:15803

Khorasanizadeh, S. (2004). The nucleosome: from genomic organization to genomic regulation. *Cell* **116**, 259-72.

Kusch T, Florens L, Macdonald WH, Swanson SK, Glaser RL, Yates JR, 3rd, et al. (2004) Acetylation by Tip60 is required for selective histone variant exchange at DNA lesions. Science. 2004;306:2084-7.

Lacroix B, Maddox AS. Cytokinesis, ploidy and aneuploidy. The Journal of pathology. 2012;226:338-51.

Langst, G. and Manelyte, L. (2015). Chromatin Remodelers: From Function to Dysfunction. *Genes (Basel)* **6**, 299-324.

Mattera L, Escaffit F, Pillaire MJ, Selves J, Tyteca S, Hoffmann JS, Gourraud PA, Chevillard- Briet M, Cazaux C, Trouche D (2009) The p400/Tip60 ratio is critical for colorectal cancer cell proliferation through DNA damage response pathways. Oncogene 2009, 28(12):1506-1517.

Mayes K, Qiu Z, Alhazmi A, Landry JW (2014) ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complexes as novel targets for cancer therapy. Advances in cancer research 2014, 121:183-233.

McKenzie, C., Bassi, Z. I., Debski, J., Gottardo, M., Callaini, G., Dadlez, M. and D'Avino, P. P. (2016). Cross-regulation between Aurora B and Citron kinase controls midbody architecture in cytokinesis. *Open Biol* 6.

Meng L, Wang X, Liao W, Liu J, Liao Y, He Q (2017) BAF53a is a potential prognostic biomarker and promotes invasion and epithelialmesenchymal transition of glioma cells. Oncology reports 2017, 38(6):3327-3334.

Messina G, Damia E, Fanti L, Atterrato MT, Celauro E, Mariotti FR, Accardo MC, Walther M, Vernì F, Picchioni D, Moschetti R, Caizzi R, Piacentini L, Cenci G, Giordano E, Dimitri P. (2014) [Yeti,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24652835) an essential *Drosophila melanogaster* [gene, encodes a protein required](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24652835) [for chromatin organization.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24652835) J Cell Sci. 2014 Jun 1;127(Pt 11):2577-88. doi: 10.1242/jcs.150243

Messina, G., Atterrato and Dimitri, P. (2016). When chromatin organisation floats astray: the Srcap gene and Floating-Harbor syndrome. [J Med Genet.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27208210) 2016 Dec;53(12):793-797

Messina, G., Atterrato, M. T., Prozzillo, Y., Piacentini, L., Losada, A. and Dimitri, P. (2017). The human Cranio Facial Development Protein 1 (Cfdp1) gene encodes a protein required for the maintenance of higherorder chromatin organization. *Sci Rep* 7, 45022.

Messina, G., M.T. Atterrato, F. Delle Monache, Y. Prozzillo, M. Leo, S. Cuticone and P. Dimitri. (2019) - *In preparation*. Evolutionary conserved recruitment of ATP-dependent chromatin remodelling complexes to mitotic apparatus prevents the failure of mitosis and genome instability.

Mo, F., Zhuang, X., Liu, X., Yao, P. Y., Qin, B., Su, Z., Zang, J., Wang, Z., Zhang, J., Dou, Z. et al. (2016). Acetylation of Aurora B by TIP60 ensures accurate chromosomal segregation. *Nat Chem Biol* **12**, 226-32.

Monroy, M. A., Ruhl, D. D., Xu, X., Granner, D. K., Yaciuk, P. and Chrivia, J. C. (2001). Regulation of cAMP-responsive element-binding protein-mediated transcription by the SNF2/SWI-related protein, SRCAP. *J Biol Chem* **276**, 40721-6.

Morgan MA and Shilatifard A (2015) Chromatin signatures of cancer. Genes & development 2015, 29(3):238-249**.**

Morita, E. (2012). Differential requirements of mammalian ESCRTs in multivesicular body formation, virus budding and cell division. *FEBS J* **279**, 1399-406.

Morita, E., Sandrin, V., Chung, H. Y., Morham, S. G., Gygi, S. P., Rodesch, C. K. and Sundquist, W. I. (2007). Human ESCRT and ALIX proteins interact with proteins of the midbody and function in cytokinesis. *EMBO J* **26**, 4215-27.

Morawska, M. and Brehm, A. (2011). CHD chromatin remodelers and the transcription cycle. *Transcription* **2**, 244-53.

Morawska M, Ulrich HD (2013) An expanded tool kit for the auxininducible degron system in budding yeast. Yeast 2013, 30(9):341-351

Munnia A, Schutz N, Romeike BF, Maldener E, Glass B, Maas R, Nastainczyk W, Feiden W, Fischer U, Meese E (2001) Expression, cellular distribution and protein binding of the glioma amplified sequence (GAS41), a highly conserved putative transcription factor. Oncogene 2001, 20(35):4853-4863.

Natsume T, Kiyomitsu T, Saga Y, Kanemaki MT (2016): Rapid Protein Depletion in Human Cells by Auxin-Inducible Degron Tagging with Short Homology Donors. Cell Rep 2016, 15(1):210-218

Nishimura K, Fukagawa T, Takisawa H, Kakimoto T, Kanemaki M (2009) An auxin-based degron system for the rapid depletion of proteins in nonplant cells. Nature methods 2009, 6(12):917-922

Normand, G. and R.W. King, (2010) Understanding cytokinesis failure. Adv Exp Med Biol, 2010. 676: p. 27-55.2.

Nunes Bastos, R., Gandhi, S. R., Baron, R. D., Gruneberg, U., Nigg, E. A. and Barr, F. A. (2013). Aurora B suppresses microtubule dynamics and limits central spindle size by locally activating KIF4A. *J Cell Biol* **202**, 605-21.

Ou, H. D., Phan, S., Deerinck, T. J., Thor, A., Ellisman, M. H. and O'Shea, C. C. (2017). ChromEMT: Visualizing 3D chromatin structure and compaction in interphase and mitotic cells. *Science* 357.

Park JH, Roeder RG (2006) GAS41 is required for repression of the p53 tumor suppressor pathway during normal cellular proliferation. Molecular and cellular biology 2006, 26(11):4006-4016.

Pollard TD Nine unanswered questions about cytokinesis. J Cell Biol. 2017 Oct 2;216(10):3007-3016. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201612068. Epub 2017 Aug 14.

Prozzillo, F. Delle Monache, DM. Ferreri, S. Cuticone, P. Dimitri and G. Messina. (2019) The true story of Yeti, the 'abominable' heterochromatic gene of *Drosophila melanogaster* -Y. (Front. Physiol.| DOI 10.3389/fphys.2019.01093)

[Ruhf](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Ruhf%20ML%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=11262242) ML, **[Braun A](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Braun%20A%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=11262242)**, **[Papoulas O](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Papoulas%20O%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=11262242)**, **[Tamkun JW](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Tamkun%20JW%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=11262242)**, **[Randsholt N](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Randsholt%20N%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=11262242)**, **[Meister](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Meister%20M%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=11262242) [M](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Meister%20M%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=11262242)**. (2001) The domino gene of Drosophila encodes novel members of the SWI2/SNF2 family of DNA-dependent ATPases, which contribute to the silencing of homeotic genes. [Development.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11262242) 2001 Apr;128(8):1429-41.

Sagona AP, Stenmark H. Cytokinesis and cancer. FEBS Lett. 2010;584:2652-61.

Schneider I (1972). Cell Lines Derived from Late Embryonic Stages of *Drosophila melanogaster*. J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol. **27**: 363–365

Sigala, B., [Edwards M,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Edwards%20M%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=16157330) [Puri T,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Puri%20T%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=16157330) [Tsaneva IR](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Tsaneva%20IR%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=16157330). (2005) Relocalization of human chromatin remodeling cofactor TIP48 in mitosis. Exp Cell Res, 2005. 310 (2): p. 357-69.

Smith, C. L. and Peterson, C. L. (2005). ATP-dependent chromatin remodelling. *Curr Top Dev Biol* **65**, 115-48.

Squatrito, M., Gorrini, C. and Amati, B. (2006). Tip60 in DNA damage response and growth control: many tricks in one HAT. *Trends Cell Biol* **16**, 433-42.

Subramanian R, Wilson-Kubalek EM, Arthur CP, Bick MJ, Campbell EA, Darst SA, et al. (2010) Insights into antiparallel microtubule crosslinking by PRC1, a conserved nonmotor microtubule binding protein. Cell. 2010;142:433-43

[Talbert](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Talbert%20PB%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=27924075) PB, [Henikoff](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Henikoff%20S%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=27924075) S. (2017) Histone variants on the move: substrates for chromatin dynamics. [Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27924075) 2017 Feb;18(2):115- 126. doi: 10.1038/nrm.2016.148. Epub 2016.

Teale WD, Paponov IA, Palme K (2006) Auxin in action: signalling, transport and the control of plant growth and development. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology 2006, 7(11):847-859.

Trost M, Blattner AC, Lehner CF (2016) Regulated protein depletion by the auxin-inducible degradation system in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Fly 2016, 10(1):35-46.

[Wang P,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Wang%20P%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=30414319) [Bao H,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Bao%20H%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=30414319) [Zhang XP](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Zhang%20XP%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=30414319), [Liu F,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Liu%20F%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=30414319) [Wang W](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Wang%20W%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=30414319). (2019) Regulation of Tip60-dependent p53 acetylation in cell fate decision. [FEBS Lett.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30414319) 2019 Jan;593(1):13-22

Yadav, T., Quivy, J. P. and Almouzni, G. (2018). Chromatin plasticity: A versatile landscape that underlies cell fate and identity. *Science* **361**, 1332-1336.

Yamaguchi K, Sakai M, Shimokawa T, Yamada Y, Nakamura Y, Furukawa Y (2010) C20orf20 (MRG-binding protein) as a potential therapeutic target for colorectal cancer. British journal of cancer 2010, 102(2):325-331.

Zhang P, Du J, Sun B, Dong X, Xu G, Zhou J, Huang Q, Liu Q, Hao Q, Ding J. (2006) Structure of human MRG15 chromo domain and its binding to Lys36-methylated histone H3. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006;34(22):6621-8. Epub Nov 28.

Zhang J, Vlasevska S, Wells VA, Nataraj S, Holmes AB, Duval R, Meyer SN, Mo T, Basso K, Brindle PK et al (2017) The CREBBP Acetyltransferase Is a Haploinsufficient Tumor Suppressor in B-cell Lymphoma. Cancer discovery 2017, 7(3):322-337.

[Zhang SM,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Zhang%20SM%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=22391203) [Song M,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Song%20M%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=22391203) [Yang TY,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Yang%20TY%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=22391203) [Fan R,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Fan%20R%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=22391203) [Liu XD,](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Liu%20XD%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=22391203) [Zhou PK.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Zhou%20PK%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=22391203) (2012) HIV-1 Tat impairs cell cycle control by targeting the Tip60, Plk1 and cyclin B1 ternary complex. Cell Cycle. Mar 15;11(6):1217-34.

9. Publications

1) *Evolutionary conserved recruitment of ATP dependentchromatin remodelling complexes to mitotic apparatus prevents the failure of mitosis and genome instability –* G. Messina, M.T. Atterrato, F. Delle Monache, Y. Prozzillo, M. Leo, S. Cuticone and P. Dimitri. 2020 - *In preparation*.

2) *The true story of Yeti, the 'abominable' heterochromatic gene of Drosophila melanogaster* -Y. Prozzillo, F. Delle Monache, DM. Ferreri, S. Cuticone, P. Dimitri and G. Messina (Front. Physiol., August 2019 | DOI 10.3389/fphys.2019.01093)