

Letters to the Editor

Are Radiopaque Beads a Real Advantage?

From

Pierleone Lucatelli, MD, Gianluca De Rubeis, MD, Bianca Rocco, MD, and Mario Bezzi, MD
Vascular and Interventional Radiology Unit,
Department of Radiological, Oncological and
Pathologic Sciences, Sapienza University of Rome,
Viale Regina Elena 324, 00161 Rome, Italy
e-mail: derubeis.gianluca@gmail.com

Editor:

We read with great interest the article by Dr Mikhail and colleagues in the November 2018 issue of *Radiology* (1) entitled "Mapping Drug Dose Distribution on CT Images Following Transarterial Chemoembolization with Radiopaque Drug-Eluting Beads in a Rabbit Tumor Model." In this animal study using radiopaque beads, Dr Mikhail and colleagues were able to demonstrate a direct correlation between bead attenuation measured at CT examination 1 hour after transarterial chemoembolization (TACE), bead volume in explanted liver at histologic examination, and concentration of doxorubicin in the surrounding parenchyma. Based on these findings, the authors suggest that attenuation value could be a useful tool for customizing therapy and tailoring targeted drug delivery.

Despite the clear advantages depicted within this novel research, we would like to raise some points regarding both the timing of imaging and the selection of the imaging modality and their potential clinical implications.

Currently, as suggested by several research groups, post-procedural bead deposition can be assessed immediately at the end of the procedure, independently using radiopaque beads and without patient repositioning, directly in the angiographic suite with cone-beam CT instead of conventional CT. This unenhanced acquisition can depict deposition of the embolic agent used (2). If performed after contrast material administration (namely, dual-phase cone-beam CT acquisition), it can demonstrate tumor enhancement reduction (3). In addition, the identification of filling defects in the tumor's contrast material retention could permit immediate treatment adjustment (eg, adjunctive feeding vessel embolization), thus improving treatment outcome (4).

Finally, we would like to discuss with Dr Mikhail and colleagues the choice of the best imaging modality for surveillance after a procedure performed with radiopaque beads. It is worth emphasizing that the employment of such a device can determine beam-hardening artifacts at follow-up CT examination that do not occur after chemoembolization with radiolucent beads. This represents a major constraint in assessing the presence or absence of residual vital tissue at CT, thus requiring MRI surveillance.

Disclosures of Conflicts of Interest: P.L. disclosed no relevant relationships. G.D.R. disclosed no relevant relationships. B.R. disclosed no relevant relationships. M.B. disclosed no relevant relationships.

References

1. Mikhail AS, Pritchard WF, Negussie AH, et al. Mapping drug dose distribution on CT images following transarterial chemoembolization with radiopaque drug-eluting beads in a rabbit tumor model. *Radiology* 2018;289(2):396–404.
2. Wang Z, Chen R, Duran R, et al. Intraoperative 3D quantification of lipiodol deposition on cone-beam CT predicts tumor response after transarterial chemoembolization in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2015;38(6):1548–1556.
3. Loffroy R, Lin M, Yenokyan G, et al. Intraoperative C-arm dual-phase cone-beam CT: can it be used to predict short-term response to TACE with drug-eluting beads in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma? *Radiology* 2013;266(2):636–648.
4. Suk Oh J, Jong Chun H, Gil Choi B, Gyu Lee H. Transarterial chemoembolization with drug-eluting beads in hepatocellular carcinoma: usefulness of contrast saturation features on cone-beam computed tomography imaging for predicting short-term tumor response. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2013;24(4):483–489.

Response

From

Andrew S. Mikhail, PhD,* William F. Pritchard, MD, PhD,* Venkatesh P. Krishnasamy, MD,* John W. Karanian, PhD,* Andrew L. Lewis, PhD,[§] Elliot B. Levy, MD,* and Bradford J. Wood, MD*^{†‡}

Center for Interventional Oncology, Radiology and Imaging Sciences, NIH Clinical Center,* National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering,[†] and National Cancer Institute Center for Cancer Research,[‡] National Institutes of Health, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, MD 20892

e-mail: bwood@nih.gov

Biocompatibles UK, BTG International Group Company, Camberley, England[§]

We thank Dr Lucatelli and colleagues for their comments on our work evaluating the use of x-ray attenuation on CT images as a surrogate marker for spatial drug dosimetry after embolization with drug-eluting radiopaque beads (1). In our study, we correlated bead attenuation and volume measured on CT scans with drug levels representing the sum of drug present within the beads and that which had diffused into the surrounding tissue, without differentiating between these two compartments. A single, 1-hour time point was selected to allow washout of liquid contrast material, minimizing its contribution to the measured attenuation on images. Data on the rate of contrast material clearance after embolization suggest this analysis might be performed at an earlier timepoint by using intraoperative cone-beam CT (2).

While we used delayed CT and micro-CT to prove the concept and to develop the model, translation to cone-beam CT may lead to software solutions to evaluate and guide drug delivery, allowing for immediate real-time intraoperative iterative modifications in treatment. The merits of cone-beam CT after chemoembolization with and without contrast material administration are well proven. Fusion or subtraction of

these cone-beam CT images may delineate spatial distribution of radiopaque beads in relation to enhancing tumor and thus help identify residual tumor tissue at risk for undertreatment (3).

Finally, Dr Lucatelli and colleagues suggest that beam-hardening artifact may compromise CT examination such that MRI surveillance is required. We have not seen significant beam-hardening artifact on intraprocedural cone-beam CT or follow-up CT images in our nearly 3 years of clinical experience with radiopaque beads. Metal artifact reduction or stent reconstruction algorithms may be helpful if this were to be a problem.

The clinical utility of radiopaque beads for drug-eluting bead TACE is still being defined. However, their visibility at CT and cone-beam CT can provide information regarding vessel embolization and local drug dose and distribution in relation to the tumor. Dosimetry is possible because the drug is delivered from and therefore co-localized with the beads. In contrast, drug levels do not correspond spatially with iodized oil during TACE (4). Knowledge of drug location may help personalize and optimize chemoembolization. Furthermore, information on spatial drug location may inform or clarify the debate on relative effects of drug versus ischemia in TACE.

Disclosures of Conflicts of Interest: **A.S.M.** Activities related to the present article: supported by the NIH Center for Interventional Oncology and the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (Z01 grant nos. 1ZID BC011242 and CL040015). NIH and Biocompatibles-BTG have a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement. NIH and Biocompatibles have intellectual property/patents in the field. Activities not related to the present article: institution has grants/grants pending from Biocompatibles-BTG and Philips. Other relationships: disclosed no relevant relationships. **W.F.P.** Activities related to the present article: supported by the NIH Center for Interventional Oncology and the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (Z01 grant nos. 1ZID BC011242 and CL040015). NIH and Biocompatibles-BTG have a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement. NIH and Philips have a cooperative Research and Development Agreement. NIH and Biocompatibles have intellectual property/patents in the field. Activities not related to the present article: disclosed no relevant relationships. Other relationships: disclosed no relevant relationships. **V.P.K.** Activities related to the present article: Supported by the NIH Center for Interventional Oncology and the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (Z01 grant nos. 1ZID BC011242 and CL040015). NIH and Biocompatibles-BTG have a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement. NIH and Biocompatibles have intellectual property/patents in the field. Activities not related to the present article: disclosed no relevant relationships. Other relationships: disclosed

no relevant relationships. **J.W.K.** Activities related to the present article: Supported by the NIH Center for Interventional Oncology and the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (Z01 grant nos. 1ZID BC011242 and CL040015). NIH and Biocompatibles-BTG have a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement. NIH and Biocompatibles have intellectual property/patents in the field. Activities not related to the present article: disclosed no relevant relationships. Other relationships: disclosed no relevant relationships. **A.L.L.** Activities related to the present article: supported by the NIH Center for Interventional Oncology and the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (Z01 grant nos. 1ZID BC011242 and CL040015). NIH and Biocompatibles-BTG have a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement. NIH and Biocompatibles have intellectual property/patents in the field. Activities not related to the present article: is employed by Biocompatibles UK; has stock options in Biocompatibles. Other relationships: has patents pending with Biocompatibles UK. **E.B.L.** Activities related to the present article: Supported by the NIH Center for Interventional Oncology and the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (Z01 grant nos. 1ZID BC011242 and CL040015). NIH and Biocompatibles-BTG have a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement. NIH and Biocompatibles have intellectual property/patents in the field. Activities not related to the present article: disclosed no relevant relationships. Other relationships: disclosed no relevant relationships. **B.J.W.** Activities related to the present article: supported by the NIH Center for Interventional Oncology and the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (Z01 grant nos. 1ZID BC011242 and CL040015). NIH and Biocompatibles-BTG have a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement. NIH and Biocompatibles have intellectual property/patents in the field. Institution receives support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes from Biocompatibles-BTG; institution receives provision of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support from Biocompatibles-BTG. Activities not related to the present article: NIH PI for Cooperative Research and Development Agreements with Philips, Siemens, NVIDIA, Celsion, Biocompatibles-BTG, Xact Robotics, and Profound Medical (pending); NIH and Philips own independent and shared intellectual property and patents in field with royalties going to B.J.W. and to NIH; institution receives travel/accommodations/meeting expenses from Biocompatibles-BTG. Other relationships: disclosed no relevant relationships.

References

1. Mikhail AS, Pritchard WF, Negussie AH, et al. Mapping drug dose distribution on CT images following transarterial chemoembolization with radiopaque drug-eluting beads in a rabbit tumor model. *Radiology* 2018;289(2):396–404.
2. Mikhail AS, Pritchard W, De Ruyter QMB, et al. Contrast clearance following hepatic transarterial embolization with radio-opaque and non-radio-opaque micro beads in swine. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2018;41(3 Suppl):S179.
3. Levy EB, Krishnasamy VP, Lewis AL, et al. First human experience with directly image-able iodinated embolization microbeads. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2016;39(8):1177–1186.
4. Gaba RC, Baumgarten S, Omene BO, et al. Ethiodized oil uptake does not predict doxorubicin drug delivery after chemoembolization in VX2 liver tumors. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2012;23(2):265–273.