Circulating Adiponectin Levels Are Paradoxically Associated With Mortality Rate: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Maria Giovanna Scarale,^{1,2} Andrea Fontana,² Vincenzo Trischitta,^{1,3} Massimiliano Copetti,²* and Claudia Menzaghi¹*

¹Research Unit of Diabetes and Endocrine Diseases, Fondazione IRCCS "Casa Sollievo della Sofferenza," San Giovanni Rotondo, Italy; ²Unit of Biostatistics, Fondazione IRCCS "Casa Sollievo della Sofferenza," San Giovanni Rotondo, Italy; and ³Department of Experimental Medicine, "Sapienza" University, Rome, Italy

ORCiD numbers: 0000-0003-1769-6246 (M. G. Scarale); 0000-0002-6660-5315 (A. Fontana); 0000-0003-1174-127X (V. Trischitta); 0000-0002-7960-5947 (M. Copetti); 0000-0002-7438-8955 (C. Menzaghi).

Context: Some studies have surprisingly indicated that serum adiponectin level is positively related to mortality rate, thus casting doubts on its role as a therapeutic target for cardiovascular disease.

Objective: To summarize evidence about direction, strength, and modulators of this controversial association.

Methods: MEDLINE, Web of Science, CINHAL, Cochrane Library, and Scopus databases were searched from their inception dates through June 2018 for English-language prospective studies reporting the association between adiponectin and all-cause or cardiovascular mortality. Two investigators independently extracted data and assessed study quality using standard criteria following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses and The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale. Pooled hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% Cls were derived using fixed- or random-effects models when appropriate, and results were expressed to a 1-SD increment of adiponectin.

Results: We identified 55 studies (n = 61,676 subjects) with all-cause mortality data and 28 (n = 43,979 subjects) studies with cardiovascular mortality data. Pooled HRs, were 1.24 (1.17-1.31) and 1.28 (1.19-1.37) for all-cause and cardiovascular mortality, respectively. Similar results were obtained for high-molecular-weight adiponectin. When meta-analyses were restricted to studies reporting data on natriuretic peptides, reductions of 43% and 28% on a log scale of these respective associations were observed after adjusting for natriuretic peptides.

Conclusions: Our results point strongly to a paradoxical association between high adiponectin levels and increased mortality rate, which is partly modulated by natriuretic peptides. (*J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 104: 1–12, 2019)

Adiponectin is an adipokine reported to exert beneficial effects on chronic low-grade inflammation, oxidative stress, and atherosclerotic processes (1). This belief, mainly based on basic science and *in vivo* pathophysiological evidence, has made adiponectin a

ISSN Print 0021-972X ISSN Online 1945-7197 Printed in USA Copyright © 2019 Endocrine Society Received 11 July 2018. Accepted 29 October 2018 First Published Online promising therapeutic target for tackling cardiovascular disease (CVD) (2), the worldwide leading cause of death (3). It was, therefore, highly surprising that some studies reported circulating adiponectin to be positively related to the rate of mortality of different origins (4–11). As a

^{*}M.C. and C.M. are co-senior authors.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CV, cardiovascular; CVD, cardiovascular disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; GP, general population; HMW, high molecular weight; log HR, natural/base 10/base 2 logarithm hazard ratio; NP, natriuretic peptide; T2D, type 2 diabetes.

matter of fact, the number of studies that explored this association is extremely vast (12), so a comprehensive examination of all available data is needed before drawing firm conclusions.

In an attempt to unveil some of the uncertainties surrounding the relationship between adiponectin and mortality risk, we carried out a systematic and meticulous review of the available literature and then conducted an in-depth meta-analysis. Our aim was not only to clarify the controversial association between adiponectin and the risk of death but also to address the role that many variables may play on the biology underlying it. Among several possible confounding factors and/or effect modifiers, we focused on natriuretic peptides (NPs), which have been reported to increase adiponectin expression (13) and mortality rate (14).

Materials and Methods

Search strategy and selection criteria

The study was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guideline (15, 16). MEDLINE, Web of Science, CINHAL, Cochrane Library, and Scopus databases were systematically searched for prospective studies published in English up to 30 June 2018, with no start-date restriction, with adiponectin [both total and high molecular weight (HMW)] as exposure and all-cause and cardiovascular (CV) mortality as outcome. Search terms used were "Adiponectin" or "ADI-POQ" for the exposure and "mortality" or "death" or "survival" for the outcome. Searching was limited to studies of humans and to longitudinal studies published as original (i.e., abstracts, letters, reviews, and meta-analyses were excluded). Exclusion criteria were cross-sectional studies, papers in which mortality data were presented as part of a composite end point, or those reporting no quantitative data on adiponectin circulating levels and/or no hazard ratios (HRs) or relative risks. For studies published in more than one report (duplicates), the most comprehensive updated report, in terms of largest sample size and/or longer follow-up, was considered. Two investigators (M.G.S. and C.M.) independently screened titles and abstracts of all articles retrieved from the literature search; full texts of potentially eligible articles were further assessed for final inclusion. Disagreements were resolved through discussions between investigators until a consensus was reached.

Data extraction and quality assessment

0:4

Relevant data from each single study, using a standardized data extraction form, were obtained. Information extracted included first author; publication year; study name; type of outcome (*i.e.*, all-cause and/or CV mortality); adiponectin isoform (total and/or HMW); number of participants; number of events; duration of follow-up (years); clinical setting [*i.e.*, general population (GP), type 2 diabetes (T2D), CVD, end-stage renal disease]; geographical region (*i.e.*, Europe, North America, East Asia; note: data from Israel and Egypt were not investigated in this context because only one study from each country, and only for all-cause mortality, were available); sex

(specifically, percentage of men); age at enrollment (years); body mass index (BMI; calculated as kilograms divided by meters squared), adiponectin levels (in micrograms per milliliter), estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR; calculated as milliliters per minute per 1.73m²), NPs [*i.e.*, *N*-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide-NT-proBNP, atrial natriuretic peptide, and brain natriuretic peptide (measured in nanograms per liter)]; and type of model adjustment. For each article, HRs or the natural/base 10/base 2 logarithm of the HRs (log HRs), expressing the association between adiponectin levels and the outcome were recorded, along with their 95% CIs.

Quality assessment was performed using the nine-item Newcastle-Ottawa Scale:, representativeness and selection of the exposed cohort, validity of exposure and outcome assessment, exclusion of outcome at baseline, selection of nonexposure group, adequacy of follow-up, proportion of those lost to follow-up and confounding adjustment (17). Overall, the scale awards a maximum of nine points for study quality (the higher score, the better the quality of the study).

Data synthesis and analysis

Data from each original study were collected, extracted, and preanalyzed to estimate a single HR within each study for allcause and CV mortality. Because circulating adiponectin levels were often reported as the minimum-maximum range for each class of study-specific distribution, the representative dose value for each group was obtained by fitting a gamma function to total and HMW adiponectin distribution over the range class. To overcome the open-ended risk classes (*e.g.*, <4 μ g/mL or >7 μ g/mL), the minimum and maximum range of these classes was estimated (18, 19). To estimate gamma parameters, study-specific mean and SD of adiponectin levels were used (20).

For those studies reporting HRs for each adiponectin class or category (5, 10, 11, 21-33), a dose-response model was used within each study to provide an HR estimate expressed in terms of continuous adiponectin levels. Only studies in which HRs were given with respect to a study-specific categorical reference dose of total and/or HMW adiponectin (i.e., median, tertiles, quartiles, or prespecified dose categories of adiponectin) were considered. Then, for each study, the specific slope (*i.e.*, log HR) across dose categories was estimated by weighted log-linear models. This was done by using study-specific rescaled doses (with respect to the reference representative dose category) as the main covariate and the variances of log HRs for nonreference doses (derived from the 95% CIs reported, along with each estimate) as weights. To account for the covariance between log HRs of nonreference doses, estimates of the total number of events and the number of subjects exposed to each dose were derived by using an iterative fitting algorithm (34). No intercept term was included in the model, because, by definition, when the rescaled dose is zero, the linear function would start from $\log HR = 0$.

For studies reporting adiponectin levels expressed in at least three classes, weighted regression analyses were performed assuming either a linear or a quadratic model, with the best being defined as the one minimizing Akaike Information Criteria (35). In studies with HRs expressed in terms of continuous adiponectin levels, estimates were taken as reported. If more Q:5 than one HR was reported, the "best adjusted" one was chosen. Adjustments mostly included age, sex, BMI, and smoking habits, along with other confounders specifically related to each clinical setting. When no HRs were available, the reported relative risks were used. Such estimates were then expressed for 1 SD increment of adiponectin level. When the mean and SD of adiponectin levels were unavailable, they were estimated following the algorithms suggested by Hozo *et al.* (18) and Wan *et al.* (19). For studies in which HRs were available only in patient subgroups (31, 36–38), a fixed-effect meta-analysis was performed to obtain a single HR estimate and its 95% CI.

Study-specific estimates were pooled using either fixed- or random-effects (in case of heterogeneity) models when appropriate (39). Statistical heterogeneity among studies was assessed by Cochran Q test and heterogeneity was defined as P < 0.10 (40). To explore heterogeneity, meta-regressions and subgroup analyses were evaluated using the following studylevel covariates: percentage of men, mean age, mean BMI, mean eGFR, mean follow-up, different geographical regions and clinical settings (i.e., GP, T2D, CVD, end-stage renal disease). Such meta-regressions and subgroup analyses were carried out only if there were at least 10 studies (41). Random-effects metaregressions were performed for all study-level covariates, with the exception of clinical setting and geographical region (for which subgroup analyses were done). In the second case, pairwise comparisons of summarized HRs, estimated within each geographical region and within each clinical setting group, were assessed from least squares mean differences, derived by a mixed-effects linear model, including different geographical regions and clinical settings as fixed terms and three and four between-studies variances parameters as random terms.

Forest plots were created for each meta-analysis, with squares being plotted as center projections on the underlying scale corresponding to the study-specific HR. Square areas are proportional to the inverse of the variance (*i.e.*, precision) of the log HR and thus give a measure of the amount of statistical information available from that particular estimate. A diamond was used to plot the summary HRs; extremes of the summary HRs show the 95% CI.

Publication bias was assessed by Egger's test and symmetry and asymmetry were shown by funnel plot (42). In presence of publication bias, the trim-and-fill method was used to estimate the unbiased HR (43). Two-sided *P* values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and R, version 3.3.3 (package: metafor) (44).

Results

A total of 129 publications were retrieved. After excluding all articles that did not meet inclusion criteria, 55 studies [from 52 papers (4–8, 10, 11, 21–26, 29–32, 36–38, 45–76)] and 28 studies [from 24 papers (4, 5, 7, 8, 11, 23–28, 30, 36, 38, 46–48, 53, 59, 64, 66, 72, 76, 77)] reporting the association between total adiponectin and either all-cause or CV mortality, were eligible for the final meta-analysis. Seven studies [from five papers (8, 9, 29, 33, 75)] and five studies [from three papers (8, 33, 64)] reporting the association between HMW adiponectin level and either all-cause or CV mortality also were included in our meta-analysis (Fig. 1).

The sample size refers to the number of subjects actually analyzed. Quality assessment of the included studies was good for most of them; only four had a score of 6. The main features of studies included in the metaanalyses are presented in an online repository (16).

A linear relationship between adiponectin and mortality rate was assumed on the basis of the following considerations: (1) For studies reporting adiponectin expressed in classes (tertiles or quartiles) (5, 10, 21, 23, 25, 29, 31, 33), the best fitting model, defined as the one minimizing Akaike Information Criteria (35) between linear or quadratic model, was the linear model; (2) for studies reporting adiponectin level as a continuous variable (4, 6-9, 36-38, 45-77), testing for linearity of association with mortality was, unfortunately, not possible (an intrinsic limitation of any meta-analysis framework in the absence of individual patient data); notably, among all these studies (4, 6–9, 37, 38, 45–77), the nature of the association was reported as properly tested only in four (7, 8, 37, 51), with two of them reporting linearity (7, 37) and two reporting a quadratic association (8, 51).

The number of subjects for the association between total adiponectin and all-cause mortality was 61,676, with an overall event rate of 25% when excluding studies with an unknown number of events (11, 26, 70). This meta-analysis was powered at 90% (assuming a type I error of 0.05) to detect an HR \leq 1.03 (SD 1). Mean follow-up ranged from 28 days (*i.e.*, in a study of patients admitted to an intensive care unit) (75) to 20 years. The Cochran Q test *P* value for total adiponectin effect heterogeneity across studies was <0.0001. The estimated pooled HR for all-cause mortality was 1.24 (SD 1 95% CI, 1.17 to 1.31; *P* < 0.0001; Fig. 2).

The number of subjects for the association between total adiponectin and CV mortality was 43,979, with an overall event rate of 11% when excluding studies with an unknown number of events (7, 26). This meta-analysis was powered at 90% (assuming a type I error of 0.05) to detect an HR \leq 1.05 (SD 1). Mean follow-up ranged from 1 to 20 years. The Cochran Q test *P* value for total adiponectin effect heterogeneity across studies was <0.0001. The estimated pooled HR for CV mortality was 1.28 (SD 1; 95% CI, 1.19 to 1.37; *P* < 0.0001; Fig. 3).

The number of subjects for the association between HMW adiponectin and all-cause mortality was 8366, with an overall event rate of 46%. This meta-analysis was powered at 90% (assuming a type I error of 0.05) to detect an HR \leq 1.05 (SD 1). Mean follow-up ranged from 28 days (*i.e.*, in a study of patients in an intensive care unit) (75) to 12 years. The Cochran Q test *P* value for HMW adiponectin effect heterogeneity across studies



Figure 1. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flow diagram of literature search and study selection.

was 0.154. The estimated pooled HR for all-cause mortality was 1.20 (SD 1; 95% CI, 1.13 to 1.28; P < 0.0001) (16).

Q:11

The number of subjects for the association between HMW adiponectin and CV mortality was 5994, with an overall event rate of 24%. This meta-analysis was powered at 90% (assuming a type I error of 0.05) to detect an HR \leq 1.09 (SD 1) for one SD increment of HMW adiponectin. Mean follow-up ranged from 5 to 22 years. The Cochran Q test *P* value for HMW adiponectin effect heterogeneity across studies was 0.141. The estimated pooled HR for CV mortality was 1.24 (SD 1; 95% CI, 1.14 to 1.35; *P* < 0.0001) (16).

Funnel plot symmetry results for studies with total $Q_{:6}$ adiponectin and all-cause mortality suggested potential publication bias (Egger test P = 0.087) (16). Publication bias, instead, was clearly detected for studies with total adiponectin and CV mortality (Egger test P = 0.0002) (16). After performing the trim-and-fill method, HRs became 1.20 (95% CI, 1.12 to 1.28; P < 0.0001) and 1.23 (95% CI, 1.14 to 1.32; P < 0.0001) for all-cause and CV mortality, respectively (16). Publication bias for studies with HMW adiponectin and both outcomes was not investigated, owing to the small number of studies included (fewer than 10), following the recommendations of Sterne *et al.* (78) and Ioannidis *et al.* (79).

	Events	Total		HR (95% CI)
Efstathiou et al (2005) (21)	85	160		0.35 (0.20 - 0.61)
Kistorp et al (2005) (45)	46	195	┝╄╴┻──┤	1.27 (0.90 - 1.79)
Cavusoglu et al (2006) (46)	33	325		1.76 (1.21 - 2.56)
George et al (2006) (22)	36	175	⊢ <u>,</u> – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	1.58 (0.89 - 2.82)
Menon et al (2006) (47)	323	820	1⊢■-	1.27(1.09 - 1.48)
Pilz et al (2006) (4)	482	3146	I ├₩┤	1.22 (1.11 - 1.34)
Laughlin et al (2007) (48)	925	1361	<u> </u> ■	1.07(1.01 - 1.14)
Wannamethee et al $(2007)(5)^{+}$	217	830	⊢ ∔∎	1.10 (0.91 - 1.33)
Wannamethee et al $(2007) (5)^{T}$	52	117		1.34(0.66 - 2.70)
Dekker et al (2008) (36)	504	2319		1.42(1.25 - 1.62)
Jorsal et al (2008) (49)	98	373		1.46(1.07 - 2.00)
Ohashi et al (2008) (50)	15	74		2.26 (1.17 - 4.36)
Rao et al (2008) (51)	107	176	⊢ ∎-1	1.02(0.84 - 1.24)
Dieplinger et al (2009) (52)	114	487		1.12(0.95 - 1.32)
Drechsler et al (2009) (6)	617	1249	H ≣ ;	0.94(0.86 - 1.02)
Lee et al $(2009)(23)$	28	397	<u>F</u> ∎-1	1.12(0.98 - 1.29)
Poehls et al (2009) (53)	679	3075	_ }■ +	1.27(1.15 - 1.40)
Duggan et al $(2011)(54)$	62	527		1.00(0.83 - 1.20)
Forsblom et al $(2011)(7)$	173	2034	, ! ∤ ≡ ∤	1.18(1.09 - 1.27)
Nagasawa et al (2011) (24)	39	548		1.13 (0.66 – 1.94)
Wanhamethee et al (2011) (25)	667	2879		1.09(1.00 - 1.19)
W_{1}^{2} was children at al (2011) (35)	26	169	,;+■-1	1.15(1.02 - 1.28)
Wilson et al $(2011)(26)$	NA	3931		1.34(0.97 - 1.85)
20000 an et al(2011)(38)	182	537	F	0.97(0.85 - 1.10)
Rotalian et al $(2012)(50)$	36	133		2.91(1.58 - 5.36)
$K_{izer} \text{ at al} (2012) (57)$	3/5	981		1.11(0.97 - 1.27)
Kizer et al $(2012)(8)^{*}$	194/	3272		1.00(0.82 - 1.30)
Kizer et al (2012) (8)	802	1030		1.12(1.01 - 1.24)
Markaki et al (2012) (8)	19	202		1.31(1.14 - 1.50) 1.81(1.21 - 2.70)
Persson et al $(2012)(59)$	10	202		2.06(1.32 - 3.22)
Singer et al $(2012)(39)$	02	600		2.00(1.32 - 3.22) 1.51(1.17 - 1.95)
Yoon et al $(2012)(29)$	138	4686		1.01(1.17 - 1.99) 1.04(0.22 - 5.06)
Alam et al $(2013)(61)$	122	952		1.38(1.12 - 1.69)
Hascoet et al $(2013)(30)$	193	1497		1.50(1.12 - 1.09) 1.57(1.27 - 1.94)
Lindberg et al (2013) (62)	801	5624		1.25(1.17 - 1.34)
Park et al (2013) (63)	22	131		0.68(0.40 - 1.16)
Tsigalou et al (2013) (37)	26	60		0.76(0.43 - 1.37)
Menzaghi et al (2014) (64)	81	359	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.42(1.15 - 1.75)
Szabò et al (2014) (65)	31	111		1.54(1.13 - 2.10)
Choi et al (2015) (66)	222	1000	! ⊢	1.38(1.16 - 1.64)
Chong et al (2015) (10)	269	621	!⊢∎⊣`	1.28(1.09 - 1.49)
Kalafateli et al (2015) (67)	7	40		2.34(1.17 - 4.68)
Lindberg et al (2015) (68)	137	720		1.21(0.98 - 1.50)
Rhee et al (2015) (69)	50	501	i	1.48(1.20 - 1.83)
Tung et al (2015) (70)	NA	78	— — — —	0.48(0.23 - 1.00)
Delgado et al (2016) (31)	523	1955	! ⊢∎-	1.33 (1.18 - 1.50)
Ortega et al (2016) (71)	433	1426	. ⊨ -	1.32 (1.22 - 1.43)
Pratesi et al (2016) (32)	26	138		4.08 (1.85 - 9.00)
Witberg et al (2016) (72)	184	3263	i ⊢•1	1.96 (1.47 - 2.62)
Zhou et al (2016) (73)	34	105	⊢	0.66(0.44 - 0.99)
Bergmark et al (2017) (11)	NA	5213	1 ⊨∎-1	1.29 (1.11 - 1.50)
Gulin et al (2017) (74)	67	236	!⊢	1.87 (1.09 - 3.19)
Karampela et al (2017) (75)	30	102	<>	1.94 (0.03 -113.08)
Ritsinger et al (2017) (76)	61	180	┝┼┲─┤	1.14 (0.90 - 1.43)
Q test for heterogeneity=210.6	1, p<0.000	1		1 24 (1 17 1 21)
Overall		01,070		1.24 (1.17-1.31)
			0.2 0.5 1 2 3 4	
			<- low risk high risk ->	

Figure 2. Forest plot for random-effects meta-analysis on the association between total adiponectin levels and all-cause mortality. Central squares of each horizontal line represent the HR for each study. Horizontal lines indicate the range of the 95% CIs and the vertical line indicates HR = 1.0 (which indicate no risk or benefit). *CVD; †chronic heart failure group; ‡GP; §chronic heart failure or atrial fibrillation group; IIGargano Heart Study.

Several study-level covariates, including sex, age, BMI, eGFR, and geographical region, did not significantly explain between-study heterogeneity in the association between total adiponectin and each of the two outcomes (*P* values ranged from 0.054 to 0.898). When meta-regression analyses on BMI were restricted to subjects

of European ancestry (4–7, 21, 25, 27, 30–32, 36–38, 45, 48, 49, 52, 55, 58, 59, 61, 62, 64, 65, 67, 71, 74–76), results were totally superimposable on those obtained in the whole study (P = 0.701 and 0.230 for all-cause and CV mortality, respectively).

	Events	Total		HR (95% CI)
Cavusoglu et al (2006) (46)	20	325		2.10 (1.29–3.42)
Menon et al (2006) (47)	122	820	⊢-∎	1.59 (1.27–1.99)
Pilz et al (2006) (4)	326	3131	¦ ⊦∎-1	1.33 (1.20–1.48)
Laughlin et al (2007) (48)	215	1361	↓ ├ ■ ┤	0.97 (0.85–1.11)
Wannamethee et al (2007) $(5)^*$	113	830	, ,	1.30 (1.02–1.66)
Wannamethee et al (2007) $(5)^{\dagger}$	30	117	⊢►	2.10 (0.78-5.64)
Dekker et al (2008) (36)	203	2256	⊢∙	1.36 (1.14–1.62)
Maiolino et al (2008) (27)	45	712		1.26 (0.90–1.75)
Lee et al (2009) (23)	20	397	}-∎-i	1.18 (1.02–1.36)
Poehls et al (2009) (53)	247	3075	¦ ⊢∎⊣	1.38 (1.16–1.64)
Forsblom et al (2011) (7)	NA	2034	┝━┤	1.18 (1.00–1.38)
Nagasawa et al (2011) (24)	15	548		2.89 (1.06-7.86)
Wannamethee et al (2011) (25)	225	2879	i a -i	1.08 (0.97–1.19)
Wilson et al (2011) (26)	NA	3931	¦ ⊢∎⊣	1.33 (1.15–1.54)
Zoccali et al (2011) (38)	115	537	- ∎]-	0.93 (0.82–1.06)
Kizer et al (2012) (8) [‡]	634	3272	⊢ ∳	1.00 (0.72–1.39)
Kizer et al (2012) (8)*	375	1030	¦-∎-	1.16 (1.00–1.34)
Kizer et al (2012) (8) [§]	180	383	↓ → ■→↓	1.24 (1.03–1.49)
Lindberg et al (2012) (28)	50	735	⊢	1.59 (1.21–2.08)
Persson et al (2012) (59)	27	292	·	2.17 (1.21-3.89)
Gardener et al (2013) (77)	410	2900	¦⊢∎⊣	1.20 (1.07–1.35)
Hascoet et al (2013) (30)	117	1497	¦ ⊢-■	1.95 (1.47–2.60)
Menzaghi et al (2014) (64) \parallel	144	902	⊢ -	1.05 (0.84–1.31)
Menzaghi et al (2014) (64) [¶]	58	359	⊢	1.44 (1.14–1.82)
Choi et al (2015) (66)	52	1000		1.50 (1.05–2.14)
Witberg et al (2016) (72)	63	3263	·	1.68 (1.06–2.67)
Bergmark et al (2017) (11)	184	5213	⊢■→	1.41 (1.17–1.70)
Ritsinger et al (2017) (76)	35	180	⊢_ ╡ ┤	0.99 (0.73–1.34)
Q test for heterogeneity=86.41, p<0.0001 Overall		43,979	•	1.28 (1.19-1.37)
		[
		0.2	0.5 1 2 3 4	
			>- IOW FISK night FISK ->	

Figure 3. Forest plot for random-effects meta-analysis on the association between total adiponectin levels and CV mortality. Central squares of each horizontal line represent the HR for each study. Horizontal lines indicate the range of the 95% CIs and the vertical line indicates HR = 1.0 (which indicates no risk or benefit). *CVD group; †chronic heart failure group; ‡GP; §chronic heart failure or atrial fibrillation group; IIGargano Heart Study; ¶Nurses' Health Study.

Duration of follow-up explained some between-study heterogeneity for the association of total adiponectin with CV mortality (10% heterogeneity, P = 0.038; with studies with longer follow-up showing a weaker association), but not with all-cause mortality (P = 0.453).

Clinical setting explained 7% (P = 0.037) of betweenstudy heterogeneity for the association of total adiponectin with all-cause mortality but not with CV mortality (P = 0.945) (16). When a subgroup pairwise analysis was conducted that was aimed at better addressing the role of the different clinical settings on the former association, the association in the T2D group was stronger than that observed in patients with CVD and

was similar to that observed in the GP group (P = 0.007 and 0.562, respectively) (16).

In the 12 studies reporting NP values, HRs for allcause mortality changed from 1.24 (95% CI, 1.16 to 1.34; P < 0.0001) to 1.13 (95% CI, 1.06 to 1.21; P =0.0003; Fig. 4) after adjusting for NPs (4, 6, 8, 11, 25, 45, 52, 57, 65, 68). This 43% reduction on a log scale became significant (P < 0.05) when the assumed correlation between the HRs before and after adjusting for NPs (necessary because HRs came from two nested models) was >0.1 (16).

In the six studies reporting NP values, HRs for CV mortality changed from 1.21 (95% CI, 1.10 to 1.33; P = 0.0001) to 1.15 (95% CI, 1.06 to 1.24; P = 0.001) after adjusting for NPs (Fig. 4) (4, 8, 11, 25). The 28% reduction on a log scale became significant (P < 0.05) only when the assumed correlation between HRs derived from the two nested models was >0.8 (16). Meta-regression, subgroup analyses, and the analysis on the role of NPs for the association between HMW adiponectin and mortality rate were not performed, because <10 studies were available (41).

Panel A. Sensitivity analysis on total adiponectin and all-cause mortality in unadjusted and adjusted NPs nested models

Discussion

The meta-analyses we here report clearly show robust evidence of a positive association between circulating adiponectin levels and both all-cause and CV mortality rates. In addition, they provide accurate and comprehensive estimates of these associations, thus expanding and updating those obtained by two previous metaanalyses dating back to 2014 and including only a few studies that addressed a specific subset of individuals (80, 81). Although they were derived from much less evidence, very similar results were obtained with HMW adiponectin, the biologically active form of circulating adiponectin (1), thus reinforcing data obtained by measuring only total adiponectin levels. Considering the existing strong correlation between total and HMW adiponectin (82), our finding is not unexpected and makes using total adiponectin reasonable as a biomarker to be tested in additional studies on mortality rate. Overall, our study establishes adiponectin as a predictor of increased mortality and suggests, therefore, caution should be taken when considering it as a pharmacological target for treating cardiometabolic abnormalities (83).

	Events	Total		HR (95% CI)					
Kistorn et al (2005) (45)	46	105		1.62 (0.75-3.49)		Events	Total		HR (95% CI)
Kistorn et al (2005) (45)	40	195		1.27 (0.56-2.88)				1	
Pilz et al (2006) (4)	40	3146		1 31 (0.87–1.98)	Pilz et al (2006) (4)	326	3131	H H H	1.33 (1.20-1.48)
Pilz et al (2006) (4)	482	3146		1.22 (0.79–1.87)					
Dieplinger et al (2009) (52)	114	487		1.18 (0.74-1.88)	Pilz et al (2006) (4)	326	3131	H=H	1.23 (1.10–1.37)
Dieplinger et al (2009) (52)	114	487		1.12 (0.63-1.98)	Wannamethee et al (2011) (25)	225	2870	i.	1.08 (0.97-1.19)
Drechsler et al (2009) (6)	617	1249		1.03 (0.69–1.55)	(and a second seco	223	2019	9 - '	1.00 (0.97-1.19)
Drechsler et al (2009) (6)	617	1249	i I i	0.94 (0.62-1.41)	Wannamethee et al (2011) (25)	225	2879		1.10 (0.96-1.27)
Wannamethee et al (2011) (25)	667	2879		1.14 (0.71-1.83)				1	
Wannamethee et al (2011) (25)	667	2879		1.09 (0.72–1.65)	Kizer et al (2012) (8) [‡]	634	3272	H	1.00 (0.72-1.39)
Beatty et al (2012) (57)	1947	3272	· · ·	1.06 (0.52-2.13)					
Beatty et al (2012) (57)	NA	20701		0.90 (0.36-2.24)	Kizer et al (2012) (8) [‡]	NA	2970		0.78 (0.44-1.39)
Kizer et al (2012) (8) [‡]	802	1030		1.12 (0.72–1.75)	Kines at al (2012) (0)*	275	1020		11/ (100.120)
Kizer et al (2012) (8) [‡]	NA	832		1.07 (0.68–1.69)	Kizer et al (2012) (8)	3/5	1030	- ■ -	1.16 (1.00–1.34)
Kizer et al (2012) (8)*	337	383		1 31 (0 78-2 19)	Kizer et al (2012) (8)*	NA	832		1.01 (0.86-1.19)
Kizer et al (2012) (8)*	NA	227		1.17 (0.72–1.90)			0.52		
Kizer et al (2012) (8) [§]	375	981		1.27 (0.78-2.06)	Kizer et al (2012) (8)8	180	383	↓ → →	1.24 (1.03-1.49)
Kizer et al (2012) (8) [§]	375	981		1.11 (0.66-1.86)				-	
Szabò et al (2014) (65)	31	111		1.54 (0.81-2.92)	Kizer et al (2012) (8)8	NA	227	<u>1</u> = −−	1.14 (0.96–1.35)
Szabó et al (2014) (65)	31	111		1.54 (0.70-3.37)				1	
Lindberg et al (2015) (68)	137	720		1.43 (0.70-2.91)	Bergmark et al (2017) (11)	184	5213		1.41 (1.17–1.70)
Lindherg et al (2015) (68)	137	720		1.21 (0.63-2.32)	Baramark at al (2017) (11)	104	5212		1.26 (1.06, 1.40)
Bergmark et al (2017) (11)	NA	5213		1.42 (0.82-2.45)	bergmark et al (2017) (11)	184	5215	1	1.20 (1.00-1.49)
Bergmark et al (2017) (11)	NA	5213		1.29 (0.75-2.23)					
	1974	5215		(0.10-0.00)	All studies without NP Q test for heterogeneity=12.55, p<0.0001		15,908	•	1.21 (1.10-1.33)
All studies without NP		19,666	! ◆	1.24 (1.16-1.34)	All studies with NP		15.252	i.	1.15 (1.06-1.24)
Q test for heterogeneity=37.8, p<0.000 All studies with NP		19,010	۵	1.13 (1.06-1.21)	Q test for heterogeneity=7.05, p=0.0014				
Q test for heterogeneity=30.4, p=0.001-	1						ſ		
		0.2	0.5 1 2 3 4				0.2	0.5 1 2 3 4	
			<- low risk high risk ->					<- low risk high risk ->	

Panel B. Sensitivity analysis on total adiponectin and CV mortality in unadjusted and adjusted NPs nested models.

Figure 4. Sensitivity analysis on total adiponectin levels and all-cause mortality and CV mortality in nested models unadjusted and adjusted for NPs. (A) Forest plot for random-effects meta-analysis on the association between total adiponectin levels and all-cause mortality before and after adjustment for NPs. Central squares of each horizontal line represent the HR for each study. Horizontal lines indicate the range of the 95% CIs and the vertical line indicates HR = 1.0 (which indicates no risk or benefit). Analysis before and after NP adjustment is indicated in black and gray, respectively. \pm GP; *CVD group; §chronic heart failure or atrial fibrillation group. (B) Forest plot for random-effects meta-analysis on the association between total adiponectin levels and CV mortality before and after adjustment for NPs. Central squares of each horizontal line represent the HR for each study. Horizontal lines indicate the range of the 95% CIs and the vertical line indicates HR = 1.0 (which indicates the range of the 95% CIs and the vertical line indicates HR = 1.0 (which indicate the range of the 95% CIs and the vertical line indicates HR = 1.0 (which indicates the range of the 95% CIs and the vertical line indicates HR = 1.0 (which indicates no risk or benefit). Analysis before and after NP adjustment is indicate the range of the 95% CIs and the vertical line indicates HR = 1.0 (which indicates no risk or benefit). Analysis before and after NP adjustment is indicated in black and gray, respectively. \pm GP; *CVD group; §chronic heart failure or atrial fibrillation group.

It is noteworthy that among the 57 studies included in our analyses, a significantly negative association between total adiponectin and the risk of death was reported by only two studies for all-cause mortality (21, 73) and by no study for death of CV origin. Based on the results obtained with the trim-and fill method, the directional consistency of the observed association, therefore, does not seem to depend on publication biases affecting our analyses.

In subgroup analyses, the counterintuitive association between adiponectin and all-cause mortality was consistent across all clinical settings, though some differences between point estimates were detectable, with patients with T2D showing a significantly increased association than those with CVD. This might suggest that some of the association between adiponectin and all-cause mortality is either exacerbated in patients with hyperglycemia and/ or attenuated in patients with a very high mortality risk, as those with preexisting CVD certainly are.

Some proportion of the heterogeneity affecting the association between adiponectin and CV mortality was explained by follow-up duration, with studies with longer follow-up showing a weaker association. Whether this phenomenon indicates that part of the deleterious effect of adiponectin on CV mortality is lost over the years is an intriguing possibility that cannot be further addressed by our analyses.

The biology underlying the paradoxical association between adiponectin and mortality rate is unclear. Because NPs, markers of vascular remodeling after atrial or ventricular wall stretch (84), are independent predictors of increased mortality rate (13) and increased adiponectin expression via a cyclic guanosine monophosphatedependent pathway (14), they have been proposed as possible confounders of this counterintuitive relationship (25). To address this issue, we conducted a meta-analysis of studies reporting this association before and after adjusting for NPs. Indeed, in a total of 12 studies (4, 6, 8, 11, 25, 45, 52, 57, 65, 68), the association with all-cause mortality was almost halved, though still present, after considering NPs. Some risk reduction was also observed for CV mortality. Taken together, these sensitivity analyses clearly reinforce the belief that association between adiponectin and mortality rate is partially mediated by NPs (25). The intimate mechanism of NPs' effect is unknown and hopefully will be unraveled by specifically designed studies. Additional studies are also needed to address whether considering NPs and adiponectin levels in combination may help improve mortality risk stratification.

Limitations

There are several limitations to our study. First, we performed our analyses assuming a linear association between adiponectin and mortality. However, such an assumption was based on incomplete data availability and cannot be considered as proved. Thus, we could not exclude that a different relationship between adiponectin and mortality does exist, and caution should be used in interpreting our findings. In particular, in some studies (8, 51), subjects with both low and high adiponectin levels were at higher mortality risk as compared with those with intermediate levels, leaving open the question of whether adiponectin replacement may be useful in individuals with severe hypoadiponectinemia.

Second, for some studies, the regression slope of adiponectin (*i.e.*, HR for an increment of 1 SD) was not reported and, therefore, was estimated by a linear weighted model. This is to say that the final obtained estimates could be affected by small approximation errors.

Third, we chose to perform a meta-analysis of the more complete adjusted models; however, the set of variables accounted for was not identical across studies, especially for those related to different clinical setting. This could have affected the size of risk estimates.

Fourth, using BMI as a proxy of adiposity measure could not be fully appropriate because it does not take into account difference in fat stores across diverse ethnicities (85). However, our sensitivity analysis conducted only in subjects of European ancestry yielded results completely superimposable on those obtained in the whole meta-regression, making it unlikely that this phenomenon played a role in biasing our results.

Fifth, the role of NPs in reducing the association between adiponectin and CV mortality has to be interpreted with great caution because it holds only when a high correlation between the two estimates was observed.

Finally, our meta-analysis could not address whether adiponectin has a direct deleterious role on increased mortality risk, as our previous preliminary data seem to indicate (86) or, in contrast, it is a mere marker of it.

In conclusion, to our knowledge, this is the first meta- Q:7 analysis of the association between circulating adiponectin levels and mortality rate. The results obtained by addressing different causes of death and by performing analyses of different subgroups are strongly consistent and clearly show that a paradox of adiponectin on the risk of mortality does exist; NPs are likely to explain a nontrivial proportion of it, especially when referring to all-cause mortality. Until a better understanding of this counterintuitive phenomenon is achieved, present data cast doubts on adiponectin as a new target for the treatment of metabolic and CV abnormalities (2). To go a step further and unveil all the uncertainties surrounding the relationship between adiponectin and the risk of death, the time has come for a large, collaborative, prospective study that includes genetic information, so Mendelian randomization analyses can be applied (87), and that provides individual data to be analyzed. This will make it possible to investigate whether adiponectin has a direct deleterious role on the risk of death (86) and to definitively address the role of NPs as confounders of the adiponectin paradox (13, 14, 88). Finally, a broad collaborative effort will also provide information on whether the addition of circulating adiponectin levels on top of established risk factors improves the predictability of mortality risk—an urgent need especially in high-risk patients such as those with T2D and CVD.

Acknowledgments

Financial Support: This work was supported by the Italian Ministry of Health (Grants RC2014, RC2015, RC2016, and RF-2013–02356459 to C.M.), Italian Ministry of University and Scientific Research "Progetti di Ricerca di Interesse Nazionale" 2012 grant to V.T., and the Società Italiana di Diabetologia-Fondazione Diabete Ricerca 2013 grant to C.M.

Author Contributions: V.T. and C.M. conceived the study. M.G.S. and C.M. performed the literature search, selected the studies, and extracted the relevant information. M.G.S. synthesized the data and wrote the paper. A.F. and M.C. checked the final database. M.G.S., A.F., and M.C. performed statistical analyses. All authors designed the study protocol and critically revised the paper and approved the final version.

Correspondence and Reprint Requests: Claudia Menzaghi, PhD, or Vincenzo Trischitta, MD, Research Unit of Diabetes and Endocrine Diseases, Fondazione IRCCS "Casa Sollievo della Sofferenza," Viale Padre Pio, 71013 San Giovanni Rotondo, Italy. E-mail: c.menzaghi@operapadrepio.it or vincenzo.trischitta@uniroma1.it.

Disclosure Summary: The authors have nothing to disclose.

References

- Wang ZV, Scherer PE. Adiponectin, the past two decades. J Mol Cell Biol. 2016;8(2):93–100.
- Scherer PE. The multifaceted roles of adipose tissue-therapeutic targets for diabetes and beyond: the 2015 Banting Lecture. *Diabetes*. 2016;65(6):1452–1461.
- 3. Benjamin EJ, Virani SS, Callaway CW, Chamberlain AM, Chang AR, Cheng S, Chiuve SE, Cushman M, Delling FN, Deo R, de Ferranti SD, Ferguson JF, Fornage M, Gillespie C, Isasi CR, Jiménez MC, Jordan LC, Judd SE, Lackland D, Lichtman JH, Lisabeth L, Liu S, Longenecker CT, Lutsey PL, Mackey JS, Matchar DB, Matsushita K, Mussolino ME, Nasir K, O'Flaherty M, Palaniappan LP, Pandey A, Pandey DK, Reeves MJ, Ritchey MD, Rodriguez CJ, Roth GA, Rosamond WD, Sampson UKA, Satou GM, Shah SH, Spartano NL, Tirschwell DL, Tsao CW, Voeks JH, Willey JZ, Wilkins JT, Wu JH, Alger HM, Wong SS, Muntner P; American Heart Association Council on Epidemiology and

Prevention Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2018 Update: a report from the American Heart Association [published correction appears in Circulation. 2018;137(12):e493]. *Circulation*. 2018; 137(12):e67–e492.

- Pilz S, Mangge H, Wellnitz B, Seelhorst U, Winkelmann BR, Tiran B, Boehm BO, März W. Adiponectin and mortality in patients undergoing coronary angiography. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2006; 91(11):4277–4286.
- Wannamethee SG, Whincup PH, Lennon L, Sattar N. Circulating adiponectin levels and mortality in elderly men with and without cardiovascular disease and heart failure. *Arch Intern Med.* 2007; 167(14):1510–1517.
- Drechsler C, Krane V, Winkler K, Dekker FW, Wanner C. Changes in adiponectin and the risk of sudden death, stroke, myocardial infarction, and mortality in hemodialysis patients. *Kidney Int.* 2009;76(5):567–575.
- Forsblom C, Thomas MC, Moran J, Saraheimo M, Thorn L, Wadén J, Gordin D, Frystyk J, Flyvbjerg A, Groop PH; FinnDiane Study Group. Serum adiponectin concentration is a positive predictor of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in type 1 diabetes. *J Intern Med.* 2011;270(4):346–355.
- Kizer JR, Benkeser D, Arnold AM, Mukamal KJ, Ix JH, Zieman SJ, Siscovick DS, Tracy RP, Mantzoros CS, Defilippi CR, Newman AB, Djousse L. Associations of total and high-molecular-weight adiponectin with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in older persons: the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Circulation*. 2012; 126(25):2951–2961.
- Uetani E, Tabara Y, Kawamoto R, Onuma H, Kohara K, Osawa H, Miki T. CDH13 genotype-dependent association of high-molecular weight adiponectin with all-cause mortality: the J-SHIPP study. *Diabetes Care*. 2014;37(2):396–401.
- Chong DQ, Mehta RS, Song M, Kedrin D, Meyerhardt JA, Ng K, Wu K, Fuchs CS, Giovannucci EL, Ogino S, Chan AT. Prediagnostic plasma adiponectin and survival among patients with colorectal cancer. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)*. 2015;8(12):1138–1145.
- Bergmark BA, Cannon CP, White WB, Jarolim P, Liu Y, Bonaca MP, Zannad F, Morrow DA. Baseline adiponectin concentration and clinical outcomes among patients with diabetes and recent acute coronary syndrome in the EXAMINE trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2017;19(7):962–969.
- 12. Menzaghi C, Trischitta V. The adiponectin paradox for all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. *Diabetes*. 2018;67(1):12–22.
- 13. Tsukamoto O, Fujita M, Kato M, Yamazaki S, Asano Y, Ogai A, Okazaki H, Asai M, Nagamachi Y, Maeda N, Shintani Y, Minamino T, Asakura M, Kishimoto I, Funahashi T, Tomoike H, Kitakaze M. Natriuretic peptides enhance the production of adiponectin in human adipocytes and in patients with chronic heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009;53(22):2070–2077.
- Wang TJ, Larson MG, Levy D, Benjamin EJ, Leip EP, Omland T, Wolf PA, Vasan RS. Plasma natriuretic peptide levels and the risk of cardiovascular events and death. N Engl J Med. 2004;350(7): 655–663.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG; PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA Statement. Open Med. 2009;3(3):e123–e130.
- Scarale MG, Fontana A, Trischitta V, Copetti M, Menzaghi C. Circulating adiponectin levels are paradoxically associated with mortality rate. A systematic review and meta-analysis. Figsharecom. Deposited 11 October 2018. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9. figshare.7195061.v1.
- Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, Tugwell P. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. http://www. ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp. Accessed 1 May 2015.

0:8

- 18. Hozo SP, Djulbegovic B, Hozo I. Estimating the mean and variance from the median, range, and the size of a sample. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2005;5(1):13.
- 19. Wan X, Wang W, Liu J, Tong T. Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2014;14(1):135.
- Hartemink N, Boshuizen HC, Nagelkerke NJ, Jacobs MA, van Houwelingen HC. Combining risk estimates from observational studies with different exposure cutpoints: a meta-analysis on body mass index and diabetes type 2. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2006;163(11): 1042–1052.
- Efstathiou SP, Tsioulos DI, Tsiakou AG, Gratsias YE, Pefanis AV, Mountokalakis TD. Plasma adiponectin levels and five-year survival after first-ever ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. 2005;36(9): 1915–1919.
- 22. George J, Patal S, Wexler D, Sharabi Y, Peleg E, Kamari Y, Grossman E, Sheps D, Keren G, Roth A. Circulating adiponectin concentrations in patients with congestive heart failure. *Heart*. 2006;92(10):1420–1424.
- Lee SH, Ha JW, Kim JS, Choi EY, Park S, Kang SM, Choi D, Jang Y, Chung N. Plasma adiponectin and resistin levels as predictors of mortality in patients with acute myocardial infarction: data from infarction prognosis study registry. *Coron Artery Dis.* 2009;20(1): 33–39.
- Nagasawa H, Yokota C, Toyoda K, Ito A, Minematsu K. High level of plasma adiponectin in acute stroke patients is associated with stroke mortality. J Neurol Sci. 2011;304(1-2):102–106.
- 25. Wannamethee SG, Welsh P, Whincup PH, Sawar N, Thomas MC, Gudnarsson V, Sattar N. High adiponectin and increased risk of cardiovascular disease and mortality in asymptomatic older men: does NT-proBNP help to explain this association? *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil.* 2011;18(1):65–71.
- 26. Wilson SR, Sabatine MS, Wiviott SD, Ray KK, De Lemos JA, Zhou S, Rifai N, Cannon CP, Morrow DA; TIMI Study Group. Assessment of adiponectin and the risk of recurrent cardiovascular events in patients presenting with an acute coronary syndrome: observations from the Pravastatin Or atorVastatin Evaluation and Infection Trial-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 22 (PROVE IT-TIMI 22). Am Heart J. 2011;161(6):1147–1155.e1.
- 27. Maiolino G, Cesari M, Sticchi D, Zanchetta M, Pedon L, Antezza K, Pessina AC, Rossi GP. Plasma adiponectin for prediction of cardiovascular events and mortality in high-risk patients. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2008;93(9):3333–3340.
- 28. Lindberg S, Pedersen SH, Møgelvang R, Bjerre M, Frystyk J, Flyvbjerg A, Galatius S, Jensen JS. Usefulness of adiponectin as a predictor of all cause mortality in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Am J Cardiol.* 2012;109(4):492–496.
- 29. Singer JR, Palmas W, Teresi J, Weinstock R, Shea S, Luchsinger JA. Adiponectin and all-cause mortality in elderly people with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2012;35(9):1858–1863.
- 30. Hascoet S, Elbaz M, Bongard V, Bouisset F, Verdier C, Vindis C, Genoux A, Taraszkiewicz D, Perret B, Galinier M, Carrié D, Ferrières J, Ruidavets JB. Adiponectin and long-term mortality in coronary artery disease participants and controls. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2013;33(1):e19–e29.
- Delgado GE, Siekmeier R, März W, Kleber ME. Adiponectin and mortality in smokers and non-smokers of the Ludwigshafen Risk and Cardiovascular Health (LURIC) Study. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 2016;934:1–8.
- 32. Pratesi A, Di Serio C, Orso F, Foschini A, Bartoli N, Marella A, Fumagalli S, Di Bari M, Marchionni N, Tarantini F, Baldasseroni S. Prognostic value of adiponectin in coronary artery disease: role of diabetes and left ventricular systolic dysfunction. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2016;**118**:58–66.
- 33. Liu G, Ding M, Chiuve SE, Rimm EB, Franks PW, Meigs JB, Hu FB, Sun Q. Plasma levels of fatty acid-binding protein 4, retinol-binding protein 4, high-molecular-weight adiponectin, and cardiovascular

mortality among men with type 2 diabetes: a 22-year prospective study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2016;36(11):2259–2267.

- Greenland S, Longnecker MP. Methods for trend estimation from summarized dose-response data, with applications to meta-analysis. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1992;135(11):1301–1309.
- 35. Rota M, Bellocco R, Scotti L, Tramacere I, Jenab M, Corrao G, La Vecchia C, Boffetta P, Bagnardi V. Random-effects meta-regression models for studying nonlinear dose-response relationship, with an application to alcohol and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Stat Med.* 2010;29(26):2679–2687.
- Dekker JM, Funahashi T, Nijpels G, Pilz S, Stehouwer CD, Snijder MB, Bouter LM, Matsuzawa Y, Shimomura I, Heine RJ. Prognostic value of adiponectin for cardiovascular disease and mortality. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2008;93(4):1489–1496.
- 37. Tsigalou C, Chalikias G, Kantartzi K, Tziakas D, Kampouromiti G, Vargemezis V, Konstantinides S, Ktenidou-Kartali S, Simopoulos K, Passadakis P. Differential effect of baseline adiponectin on allcause mortality in hemodialysis patients depending on initial body mass index. Long-term follow-up data of 4.5 years. J Ren Nutr. 2013;23(1):45–56.
- Zoccali C, Postorino M, Marino C, Pizzini P, Cutrupi S, Tripepi G; CREDIT Working Group. Waist circumference modifies the relationship between the adipose tissue cytokines leptin and adiponectin and all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in haemodialysis patients. J Intern Med. 2011;269(2):172–181.
- van Houwelingen HC, Arends LR, Stijnen T. Advanced methods in meta-analysis: multivariate approach and meta-regression. *Stat Med*. 2002;21(4):589–624.
- Greenland S. Quantitative methods in the review of epidemiologic literature. *Epidemiol Rev.* 1987;9(1):1–30.
- Higgins JPT, Green S. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration. http://handbook.cochrane.org. Q:10
- Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in metaanalysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ*. 1997;315(7109): 629–634.
- 43. Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: a simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in metaanalysis. *Biometrics*. 2000;56(2):455–463.
- 44. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. A language and environment for statistical computing. http://www.R-project.org.
- 45. Kistorp C, Faber J, Galatius S, Gustafsson F, Frystyk J, Flyvbjerg A, Hildebrandt P. Plasma adiponectin, body mass index, and mortality in patients with chronic heart failure. *Circulation*. 2005; 112(12):1756–1762.
- 46. Cavusoglu E, Ruwende C, Chopra V, Yanamadala S, Eng C, Clark LT, Pinsky DJ, Marmur JD. Adiponectin is an independent predictor of all-cause mortality, cardiac mortality, and myocardial infarction in patients presenting with chest pain. *Eur Heart J.* 2006; 27(19):2300–2309.
- 47. Menon V, Li L, Wang X, Greene T, Balakrishnan V, Madero M, Pereira AA, Beck GJ, Kusek JW, Collins AJ, Levey AS, Sarnak MJ. Adiponectin and mortality in patients with chronic kidney disease. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2006;17(9):2599–2606.
- Laughlin GA, Barrett-Connor E, May S, Langenberg C. Association of adiponectin with coronary heart disease and mortality: the Rancho Bernardo study. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2007;165(2):164–174.
- 49. Jorsal A, Tarnow L, Frystyk J, Lajer M, Flyvbjerg A, Parving HH, Vionnet N, Rossing P. Serum adiponectin predicts all-cause mortality and end stage renal disease in patients with type I diabetes and diabetic nephropathy. *Kidney Int.* 2008;74(5):649–654.
- Ohashi N, Kato A, Misaki T, Sakakima M, Fujigaki Y, Yamamoto T, Hishida A. Association of serum adiponectin levels with allcause mortality in hemodialysis patients. *Intern Med.* 2008;47(6): 485–491.
- 51. Rao M, Li L, Tighiouart H, Jaber BL, Pereira BJ, Balakrishnan VS; HEMO Study Group. Plasma adiponectin levels and clinical

outcomes among haemodialysis patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2008;**23**(8):2619–2628.

- Dieplinger B, Haltmayer M, Poelz W, Mueller T. Value of adiponectin as predictor of 5-year all-cause mortality in patients with symptomatic peripheral arterial disease: results from the Linz Peripheral Arterial Disease (LIPAD) study. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2009; 408(1-2):87–91.
- 53. Poehls J, Wassel CL, Harris TB, Havel PJ, Swarbrick MM, Cummings SR, Newman AB, Satterfield S, Kanaya AM; Health ABC Study. Association of adiponectin with mortality in older adults: the Health, Aging, and Body Composition Study. *Diabetologia*. 2009;52(4):591–595.
- 54. Duggan C, Irwin ML, Xiao L, Henderson KD, Smith AW, Baumgartner RN, Baumgartner KB, Bernstein L, Ballard-Barbash R, McTiernan A. Associations of insulin resistance and adiponectin with mortality in women with breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011; 29(1):32–39.
- 55. Waschki B, Kirsten A, Holz O, Müller KC, Meyer T, Watz H, Magnussen H. Physical activity is the strongest predictor of allcause mortality in patients with COPD: a prospective cohort study. *Chest.* 2011;140(2):331–342.
- Abdallah E, Waked E, Nabil M, El-Bendary O. Adiponectin and cardiovascular outcomes among hemodialysis patients. *Kidney Blood Press Res.* 2012;35(4):247–253.
- 57. Beatty AL, Zhang MH, Ku IA, Na B, Schiller NB, Whooley MA. Adiponectin is associated with increased mortality and heart failure in patients with stable ischemic heart disease: data from the Heart and Soul Study. *Atherosclerosis*. 2012;220(2):587–592.
- 58. Markaki A, Kyriazis J, Stylianou K, Fragkiadakis GA, Perakis K, Margioris AN, Ganotakis ES, Daphnis E. The role of serum magnesium and calcium on the association between adiponectin levels and all-cause mortality in end-stage renal disease patients. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(12):e52350.
- Persson J, Folkersen L, Ekstrand J, Helleberg J, Gabrielsen A, Lundman P, Hedin U, Paulsson-Berne G. High plasma adiponectin concentration is associated with all-cause mortality in patients with carotid atherosclerosis. *Atherosclerosis*. 2012;225(2):491–496.
- Yoon HI, Li Y, Man SFP, Tashkin D, Wise RA, Connett JE, Anthonisen NA, Churg A, Wright JL, Sin DD. The complex relationship of serum adiponectin to COPD outcomes COPD and adiponectin. *Chest.* 2012;142(4):893–899.
- Alam A, Molnar MZ, Czira ME, Rudas A, Ujszaszi A, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Rosivall L, Mucsi I. Serum adiponectin levels and mortality after kidney transplantation. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2013;8(3):460–467.
- 62. Lindberg S, Mogelvang R, Pedersen SH, Bjerre M, Frystyk J, Flyvbjerg A, Galatius S, Jensen JS. Relation of serum adiponectin levels to number of traditional atherosclerotic risk factors and allcause mortality and major adverse cardiovascular events (from the Copenhagen City Heart Study). *Am J Cardiol.* 2013;111(8): 1139–1145.
- 63. Park JT, Yoo TH, Kim JK, Oh HJ, Kim SJ, Yoo DE, Lee MJ, Shin DH, Han SH, Han DS, Kang SW. Leptin/adiponectin ratio is an independent predictor of mortality in nondiabetic peritoneal dialysis patients. *Perit Dial Int*. 2013;33(1):67–74.
- 64. Menzaghi C, Xu M, Salvemini L, De Bonis C, Palladino G, Huang T, Copetti M, Zheng Y, Li Y, Fini G, Hu FB, Bacci S, Qi L, Trischitta V. Circulating adiponectin and cardiovascular mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: evidence of sexual dimorphism. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*. 2014;13(1):130.
- 65. Szabó T, Scherbakov N, Sandek A, Kung T, von Haehling S, Lainscak M, Jankowska EA, Rudovich N, Anker SD, Frystyk J, Flyvbjerg A, Pfeiffer AF, Doehner W. Plasma adiponectin in heart failure with and without cachexia: catabolic signal linking catabolism, symptomatic status, and prognosis. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* 2014;24(1):50–56.
- Choi SH, Ku EJ, Hong ES, Lim S, Kim KW, Moon JH, Kim KM, Park YJ, Park KS, Jang HC. High serum adiponectin concentration

and low body mass index are significantly associated with increased all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in an elderly cohort, "adiponectin paradox": the Korean Longitudinal Study on Health and Aging (KLoSHA). *Int J Cardiol.* 2015;183:91–97.

- 67. Kalafateli M, Triantos C, Tsochatzis E, Michalaki M, Koutroumpakis E, Thomopoulos K, Kyriazopoulou V, Jelastopulu E, Burroughs A, Lambropoulou-Karatza C, Nikolopoulou V. Adipokines levels are associated with the severity of liver disease in patients with alcoholic cirrhosis. World J Gastroenterol. 2015; 21(10):3020–3029.
- 68. Lindberg S, Jensen JS, Hoffmann S, Pedersen SH, Iversen AZ, Galatius S, Frystyk J, Flyvbjerg A, Goetze JP, Bjerre M, Mogelvang R. Interplay between adiponectin and pro-atrial natriuretic peptide and prognosis in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol. 2015;116(9):1340–1345.
- 69. Rhee CM, Nguyen DV, Moradi H, Brunelli SM, Dukkipati R, Jing J, Nakata T, Kovesdy CP, Brent GA, Kalantar-Zadeh K. Association of adiponectin with body composition and mortality in hemodialysis patients. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2015;66(2):313–321.
- 70. Tung CW, Hsu YC, Shih YH, Lin CL. Association of adiponectin with high-sensitivity C-reactive protein and clinical outcomes in peritoneal dialysis patients: a 3.5-year follow-up study. *PLoS One*. 2015;**10**(10):e0141058.
- Ortega Moreno L, Lamacchia O, Salvemini L, De Bonis C, De Cosmo S, Cignarelli M, Trischitta V, Menzaghi C. The paradoxical association of adiponectin with mortality rate in patients with type 2 diabetes: evidence of synergism with kidney function. *Athero*sclerosis. 2016;245:222–227.
- 72. Witberg G, Ayers CR, Turer AT, Lev E, Kornowski R, de Lemos J, Neeland IJ. Relation of adiponectin to all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality, and major adverse cardiovascular events (from the Dallas Heart Study). *Am J Cardiol.* 2016;117(4): 574–579.
- 73. Zhou Y, Zhang J, Zhang W, Ni Z. Association of adiponectin with peripheral arterial disease and mortality in nondiabetic hemodialysis patients: long-term follow-up data of 7 years. *J Res Med Sci.* 2016;21(1):50.
- 74. Gulin T, Kruljac I, Kirigin Biloš LS, Gulin M, Grgurević M, Borojević M. The role of adipokines as prognostic factors of oneyear mortality in hip fracture patients. Osteoporos Int. 2017;28(8): 2475–2483.
- 75. Karampela I, Kandri E, Antonakos G, Vogiatzakis E, Christodoulatos GS, Nikolaidou A, Dimopoulos G, Armaganidis A, Dalamaga M. Kinetics of circulating fetuin-A may predict mortality independently from adiponectin, high molecular weight adiponectin and prognostic factors in critically ill patients with sepsis: a prospective study. J Crit Care. 2017;41:78–85.
- 76. Ritsinger V, Brismar K, Malmberg K, Mellbin L, Näsman P, Rydén L, Söderberg S, Tenerz Å, Norhammar A. Elevated levels of adipokines predict outcome after acute myocardial infarction: A long-term follow-up of the Glucose Tolerance in Patients with Acute Myocardial Infarction cohort. *Diab Vasc Dis Res.* 2017;14(2): 77–87.
- 77. Gardener H, Goldberg R, Mendez AJ, Wright CB, Rundek T, Elkind MS, Sacco RL. Adiponectin and risk of vascular events in the Northern Manhattan study. *Atherosclerosis*. 2013;**226**(2): 483–489.
- 78. Sterne JA, Sutton AJ, Ioannidis JP, Terrin N, Jones DR, Lau J, Carpenter J, Rücker G, Harbord RM, Schmid CH, Tetzlaff J, Deeks JJ, Peters J, Macaskill P, Schwarzer G, Duval S, Altman DG, Moher D, Higgins JP. Recommendations for examining and interpreting funnel plot asymmetry in meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ*. 2011;343(jul22 1):d4002.
- Ioannidis JP, Trikalinos TA. The appropriateness of asymmetry tests for publication bias in meta-analyses: a large survey. CMAJ. 2007;176(8):1091–1096.
- 80. Sook Lee E, Park SS, Kim E, Sook Yoon Y, Ahn HY, Park CY, Ho Yun Y, Woo Oh S. Association between adiponectin levels and

coronary heart disease and mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2013;**42**(4):1029–1039.

- Wu ZJ, Cheng YJ, Gu WJ, Aung LH. Adiponectin is associated with increased mortality in patients with already established cardiovascular disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Metabolism.* 2014;63(9):1157–1166.
- Kishida K, Funahashi T, Shimomura I. Adiponectin as a routine clinical biomarker. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2014; 28(1):119–130.
- Fisman EZ, Tenenbaum A. Adiponectin: a manifold therapeutic target for metabolic syndrome, diabetes, and coronary disease? *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2014;13(1):103.
- 84. Gupta DK, Wang TJ. Natriuretic peptides and cardiometabolic health. *Circ J.* 2015;79(8):1647–1655.

- Deurenberg P, Deurenberg-Yap M. Differences in bodycomposition assumptions across ethnic groups: practical consequences. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2001;4(5):377–383.
- 86. Ortega Moreno L, Copetti M, Fontana A, De Bonis C, Salvemini L, Trischitta V, Menzaghi C. Evidence of a causal relationship between high serum adiponectin levels and increased cardiovascular mortality rate in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2016;15(1):17.
- Lawlor DA, Harbord RM, Sterne JA, Timpson N, Davey Smith G. Mendelian randomization: using genes as instruments for making causal inferences in epidemiology. *Stat Med.* 2008;27(8): 1133–1163.
- Sattar N, Nelson SM. Adiponectin, diabetes, and coronary heart disease in older persons: unraveling the paradox. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2008;93(9):3299–3301.

Proof Only

AUTHOR QUERIES

AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES

- Q: 1_Please verify all author names and affiliations.
- Q: 2_Please confirm that given names and surnames are identified properly by the colors indicated. Colors will not appear in print or online, and are for proofing and coding purposes only to ensure proper indexing on PubMed.
- Q: 3_Please provide postal code for each affiliation.
- Q: 4_Per journal style, an abbreviation must be used at least three times in the main text to be retained there. ESRD, for example, was used once; therefore, it was removed. Similar edits were made throughout the article, as needed.
- Q: 5_In the sentence beginning "In studies with HRs expressed," does the edit preserve your intent?
- Q: 6_Do the edits to the sentence beginning "Funnel plot symmetry" preserve your intent?
- Q: 7_Journal style avoids claims of novelty or priority when referring to the current study. Therefore, sentences containing such terms or claims have been reworded slightly. Please review carefully.
- Q: 8_Please confirm that the financial support statement is correct and complete as set.
- Q: 9_Please verify the corresponding author's contact information. Note that if two corresponding authors are used for this article, their respective contact and/or affiliation information will appear only in the final published version and must be confirmed as accurate.
- Q: 10_Please provide the accessed dates for References 41 and 44.
- Q: 11_Please ensure all figures are clear and in focus.