Dear author,

Please note that changes made in the online proofing system will be added to the article before publication but are not reflected in this PDF.

We also ask that this file not be used for submitting corrections.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol xxx (2019) 1-4

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Letters



The practical clinical relevance of rhinitis classification in children vith asthma

outcomes of the "ControL'Asma" study

Asthma and rhinitis may share pathogenic mechanisms, and extensive investigation has been devoted to exploring their reciprocal impact. A recent prospective study investigated the prevalence of rhinitis and its phenotypes, symptom severity, and medication use in 619 children with asthma.¹ Rhinitis was found to be a common asthma comorbidity (93.5%) and was refractory to standard rhinitis medications. Perennial allergic rhinitis with seasonal exacerbation caused by poly-allergy was common (34.2%), mostly severe, and often associated with difficult-to-control asthma. In line with previous evidence,^{2.3} the study concluded that poly-allergy should be considered a significant risk factor for poor control of asthma.

The Italian Society of Paediatric Allergy and Immunology recently established a Study Group ("ControL'Asma") to evaluate asthma control in children managed in clinical practice. In this context, the group considers rhinitis a comorbidity worthy of investigation. We therefore conducted a study aimed at evaluating the prevalence and impact of rhinitis and its phenotypes on asthma outcomes in a large group of children with asthma.

We enrolled and visited 333 children across 10 Italian paediatric allergy centers. Information was gathered about asthma duration, asthma control levels, and asthma severity grade according to the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) guidelines.⁴ Emergency department admissions, absences from school, current use of medications, including inhaled and oral corticosteroids, were also reported, and also body mass index (BMI) assessment. lung function testing, fractinoal exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) measurement, and children's asthma control test score (c-ACT). Children self-administered the children asthma control test (c-ACT) questionnaire. The Review Ethics Committees approved the study procedure, and written informed consent was obtained from the parents of all children. Clinical data were recorded on an electronic case report form approved for this study.

Demographic and clinical characteristics are described using means with standard deviation for normally distributed continuous data (eg, age), medians with lower and upper quartiles for not normally distributed data (eg, FeNO levels), and absolute frequency

Disclosures: none.

Funding Sources: none.

and percentages for categorical data (eg, frequency of male subjects). The normality of distribution was assessed by Shapiro-Wilk W test. Normally distributed quantitative data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a Sheffè post hoc test, and non-normally distributed quantitative data using a Kruskall-Wallis test followed by Bonferroni's correction. Comparison of frequency distributions was made by means of the χ^2 test or Fisher's exact test in case of expected frequencies less than 5, followed by Bonferroni's correction. Statistical significance was set at P < .05, and the analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad Software Inc, CA).

The most relevant outcomes are reported in Table 1. We stratified children with asthma, using 2 rhinitis classifications: the traditional method based on the symptom seasonality (such as perennial, seasonal, or mixed) and that proposed by the Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) guidelines.^{2,3} Allergic rhinitis (AR) and asthma were diagnosed according to validated criteria defined by guidelines.^{3,4} In addition, we evaluated concordance between the 2 rhinitis classifications.

The findings showed that 88% of children with asthma had rhinitis as a comorbidity. The ARIA classification presented significant differences among subgroups concerning age, asthma duration, asthma control, asthma severity, oral corticosteroid use in the past year, and dosage of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS; Table 1).

Conventional AR classification shows that some variables were also significantly different, consistently with stratification, such as age, BMI, asthma duration, forced expiratory flow at 25% to **75**% of the pulmonary volume (FEF₂₅₋₇₅), and c-ACT.

Finally, no concordance was found between the 2 classifications, because there was no overlapping between them without any significant difference.

Rhinitis, particularly allergic rhinitis, is associated with asthma very frequently. The ARIA and conventional classifications are not interchangeable and represent 2 different ways of characterizing AR, as previously reported.⁵ In other words, the information obtained from the classifications is in both cases relevant but may not be consistent.

The ARIA classification was found to be more suitable for characterizing some parameters associated with asthma control and asthma severity. Significant differences were seen among the rhinitis phenotypes in features such as age, asthma duration, use of oral corticosteroids, asthma exacerbations, and ICS dosage. In short, the ARIA classification provides clear indications about the impact of rhinitis phenotyping on asthma severity.

Conventional classification identified parameters that differentiate the rhinitis phenotypes. Significant differences among groups

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2019.08.003

1081-1206/© 2019 American College of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

[&]quot;Control'Asma Study Group" members: Elisa Anastasio, Ilaria Brambilla, Carlo Caffarelli, Loredana Chini, Riccardo Ciprandi, Paolo Del Barba, Valentina De Vittori, Maria Elisa Di Cicco, Luciana Indinnimeo, Ahmad Kantar, Maddalena Leone, Amelia Licari, Viviana Moschese, Roberta Olcese, Diego Peroni, Angela Pistorio, Michela Silvestri, Anna Maria Zicari.

Author's contribution: MAT, MD, GM, and GC designed the study, GC wrote the manuscript.

Table 1

SCO 5.6.0 DTD ■ ANAI2980_proof ■ 27 August 2019 ■ 3:32 pm ■

ce

Comparisons among Different Asthmatic Groups with or without Allergic Rhinitis, Stratified According to ARIA or Conventional Classification

Characteristic	ARIA classification								
	No rhinitis (NoR) (n = 39; 11.71%)	Nonallergic rhinitisAllergic Rhinitis (NAR) (n = 12; 3.60%)	Mild intermittent allergic rhinitis (MIAR) (n = 100; 30.03%)	Moderate-severe intermittent allergic rhinitis (MSIAR) (n = 23; 6.91%)	Mild persistent allergic rhinitis (MPAR) (n = 142; 42.64%)	Moderate-severe persistent allergic rhinitis (MSPAR) (n = 17; 5.11%)	P value		
Age (yrs, mean [SD])	9.63 (2.87)	9.74 (2.59)	11.89 (3.09)	11.87 (3.42)	11.63 (2.88)	11.32 (2.65)	.0009 NoR vs MIAR** NoR vs MPAR*		
Sex (N [%])	27 (00 22%)	40 (00 00%)		11(00.070)	101 (51 100)	10 (50 000)	50		
Male	27 (69.23%)	10 (83.33%)	74 (74%)	14 (60.87%)	101 (71.13%)	10 (58.82%)	.59		
Female	12 (30.77%)	2 (16.67%)	26 (26%)	9 (39.13%)	41 (28.87%)	7 (41.18%)	21		
BMI (kg/m ² , mean [SD])	18.56 (4.56)	18.66 (2.19)	20.36 (4.10)	20.38 (4.10)	19.92 (3.92)	19.45 (3.97)	.21		
FeNO (ppb, median [LQ-UQ])	19.5 (9.3-40)	16 (8.5-23.5)	21.00 (12.50-40.00)	30.00 (14.00-36.50)	24.00 (13.00-45.00)	31.00 (10.50-57.50)	.64		
Asthma duration (yrs, mean [SD])	4.49 (3.10)	5.73 (2.49)	5.825 (3.467)	7.105 (3.430)	5.442 (3.161)	7.462 (5.487)	.037 .30		
FEV ₁ (% pred, mean [SD]) FVC (% pred, mean [SD])	93.18 (16.4) 99.4 (16.6)	94.52 (16.02) 96.8 (13.86)	94.93 (14.90) 99.54 (14.80)	101.1 (13.87) 99.84 (13.43)	97.70 (13.83) 99.53 (12.26)	95.00 (18.42) 98.88 (16.37)	.30		
FFEF ₂₅₋₇₅ (% pred, mean [SD])	80.52 (20.29)	80.64 (23.71)	82.80 (24.59)	94.04 (23.57)	87.66 (24.42)	86.80 (22.97)	.28		
FVC/FEV_1 (% pred, mean [SD])	96.25 (12.49)	99.83 (7.334)	95.40 (12.69)	97.36 (22.63)	98.49 (8.699)	97.00 (13.51)	.50		
c-ACT (score, median [LQ-UQ])	19 (11.5-24)	19 (8-21)	21.00 (15.75-24.00)	20.00 (18.00-23.00)	20.00 (12.00-24.00)	18.00 (8.50-22.00)	.08		
Asthma control (GINA)(N [%])	15 (11.5-24)	15 (0-21)	21.00 (15.75-24.00)	20.00 (18.00-25.00)	20.00 (12.00-24.00)	18.00 (8.30-22.00)	.00		
Well-controlled	21 (53.85%)	3 (25%)	59 (59%)	7 (30.43%)	86 (60.56%)	8 (47.06%)	.035		
Poorly controlled	14 (35.9%)	8 (66.67%)	34 (34%)	11 (47.83%)	38 (26.76%)	5 (29.41%)	.035		
Not controlled	4 (10.26%)	1 (8.33%)	7 (7%)	5 (21.74%)	18 (12.68%)	4 (23.53%)			
Asthma severity (GINA) (N [%])	- ()	- ()	. (,	- (,	(,	- ()			
Intermittent	19 (48.72%)	7 (58.33%)	62 (62%)	7 (30.43%)	48 (33.8%)	7 (41.18%)	<.0001		
Mild persistent	14 (35.9%)	1 (8.33%)	26 (26%)	9 (39.13%)	69 (48.59%)	3 (17.65%)			
Moderate persistent	5 (12.82%)	4 (33.33%)	10 (10%)	5 (21.74%)	25 (17.61%)	7 (41.18%)			
Severe persistent	1 (2.56%)	0 (0%)	2 (2%)	2 (8.7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)			
Absence from school (only when	2.5 (1.5-10.5)	3 (-)	4.00 (1.50-6.50)	8.50 (5.00-14.00)	4.00 (2.00-6.00)	4.00 (1.50-17.50)	.46		
reported)(N, median [LQ-UQ])									
ED admission (at least one) (N [%])	4 (10.53%)	0 (0%)	5 (5.1%)	0 (0%)	9 (6.43%)	2 (12.5%)	.43		
Oral CS (only in positive cases) (N,	1 (1-1)	2 (-)	1.00 (1.00-2.00)	3.00 (1.00-4.00)	1.00 (1.00-4.00)	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	.0491		
median [LQ-UQ])									
ICS dose (N [%])									
No ICS	7 (18.42%)	0 (0%)	30 (30.93%)	6 (26.09%)	40 (28.57%)	4 (25%)	.0052		
Low-dose	20 (52.63%)	4 (33.33%)	34 (35.05%)	7 (30.43%)	66 (47.14%)	8 (50%)			
Medium-dose	11 (28.95%)	8 (66.67%)	30 (30.93%)	7 (30.43%)	33 (23.57%)	4 (25%)			
High-dose	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (3.09%)	3 (13.04%)	1 (0.71%)	0 (0%)			
Characteristic	Со	Conventional AR classification					P value		
		o rhinitis (NoR) = 39; 11.96%)	Non allergic rhinitis $(NAR) (n = 12; 3.68\%)$	Mixed allergic rhinitis (MAR) (n = 190; 58.28%)	Perennial allergic rhinitis (PAR) (n = 66; 20.25%)	Seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR) (n = 19; 5.83%)			
Age (yrs, mean [SD])	9	.63 (2.87)	9.74 (2.59)	12.17 (2.82)	10.79 (2.99)	10.59 (3.40)	< .0001 NoR vs MAR*** MAR vs PAR*		
Sex (N [%]) Male		27 (69.23%)	10 (83.33%)	135 (71.05%)	47 (71.21%)	14 (73.68%)	.91		
Female		27 (69.23%) 12 (30.77%)	2 (16.67%)	55 (28.95%)	47 (71.21%) 19 (28.79%)	5 (26.32%)	.91		
BMI (kg/m ² , mean [SD])		5.56 (4.56)	18.66 (2.19)	20.27 (4.06)	19(28.79%)	19.13 (3.84)	.0235		
bin (Kenn , mean [50])	10		10.00 (2.13)	20.27 (4.00)	13.31 (3.03)	13.13 (3.04)	NoR vs MAR*		
FeNO (ppb, median [LQ-UQ])	1	9.5 (9.3-40)	16 (8.5-23.5)	24 (14.5-40)	24.8 (8-56)	14 (12-33)	.31		
Asthma duration (yrs, mean [SD])		.49 (3.10)	5.73 (2.49)	6.32 (3.37)	4.69 (3.74)	5.6 (3.27)	.0018		
()							NoR vs MAR*		
							MAR vs PAR**		

Letters / Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol xxx (2019) 1-4

Ν

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	FEV ₁ (% pred, mean [SD])	93.18 (16.4)	94.52 (16.02)	98.07 (13.7)	93.22 (16.04)	100.3 (14.61)	.09
Description Description <thdescription< th=""> <thdescription< th=""></thdescription<></thdescription<>	FVC (% pred, mean [SD])	99.4 (16.6)	96.8 (13.86)	100.4 (12.82)	98.58 (14.3)	98.21 (15.36)	.82
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } PV(FEV (rspect, mean [SD)) & 96.25 (12.49) & 99.83 (7.334) & 97.42 (12.73) & 94.88 (11.13) & 10.26 (9.346) & 10.436 \\ PV(FEV (rspect, median [LQ-UQ]) & 19 (11.5-24) & 19 (8-21) & 20 (14.5-24) & 20 (12-23) & 23.5 (15.5-24.5) & 10.436 \\ PV(FEV (rspect, median [LQ-UQ]) & 19 (11.5-24) & 19 (8-21) & 20 (14.5-24) & 20 (12-23) & 23.5 (15.5-24.5) & 10.436 \\ PV(FEV (rspect, median [LQ-UQ]) & 10 (11.5-24) & 19 (8-21) & 20 (14.5-24) & 20 (12-23) & 23.5 (15.5-24.5) & 10.436 \\ PV(FEV (rspect, median [LQ-UQ]) & 14 (35.98) & 3 (25.5) & 109 (57.37\%) & 35 (53.03\%) & 14 (73.68\%) & -2 \\ PV(FEV (rspect, median [LQ-UQ]) & 14 (35.98) & 86 (66.67\%) & 59 (31.05\%) & 22 (33.33\%) & 52 (23.33\%) & 52 (23.33\%) & 52 (25.33\%) & 72 (38.89\%) & 10 \\ PV(FEV (rspect, median [UQ-UQ]) & PV(FEV $	FEF ₂₅₋₇₅ (% pred, mean [SD])	80.52 (20.29)	80.64 (23.71)	87.19 (24.23)	80.67 (22.39)	101.7 (21.89)	.009
$ \begin{array}{cccc} {\rm PC}({\rm FV}) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} {\rm pred, mean } [{\rm SD}) \right) & 96.25 \left({1.4.9} \right) & 99.83 \left({1.3.3} \right) & 97.42 \left({1.2.7.3} \right) & 94.88 \left({1.1.3} \right) & 102.6 \left({0.3.46} \right) & 15 \\ {\rm 23.5 \left({1.5.5-2.4.5} \right) & 0.036 \\ {\rm D3.6 \left({1.5.5} \right) & 10.5 \right) & 10.5 \\ {\rm D3.6 \left({1.5.5} \right) & 10.5 \right) & 10.5 \\ {\rm D3.6 \left({1.5.5} \right) & 10.5 \right) & 10.5 \\ {\rm D3.6 \left({1.5.5} \right) & 10.5 \\ {\rm D3.6 \left({1.5.5 \left({1.5.5 \right) & 10.5 \\ {\rm D3.6 \left({1.5.5} \right) &$							NoR vs SAR*
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							PAR vs SAR**
Astma control (GINA) (N [%]) NAR vs SAR* Astma control (GINA) (N [%]) If (35.85%) 3 (25%) 109 (57.37%) 35 (53.03%) 14 (73.68%) .22 Poorly controlled 14 (35.9%) 8 (66.67%) 59 (31.05%) 22 (33.33%) 5 (26.32%)	FVC/FEV ₁ (% pred, mean [SD])	96.25 (12.49)	99.83 (7.334)	97.42 (12.73)	94.88 (11.13)	102.6 (9.346)	.15
Ashma control (G1Na) (N [%]) 2 (33.85%) 3 (25%) 19 (97.37%) 35 (53.03%) 14 (73.68%) .22 Poorly controlled 14 (35.9%) 8 (66.67%) 59 (31.05%) 22 (33.33%) 5 (26.32%) .22 Not controlled 14 (10.26%) 18 (33.3%) 22 (11.58%) 9 (13.64%) 0 (0%) Asthma severity (G1NA) (N [%]) Intermittent 19 (48.72%) 7 (58.33%) 83 (43.68%) 27 (40.91%) 12 (63.16%) .34 Mild persistent 19 (48.72%) 4 (33.33%) 72 (37.89%) 25 (37.88%) 6 (31.58%) .34 Mild persistent 19 (48.72%) 4 (33.33%) 72 (37.89%) 25 (37.88%) 6 (31.58%) .34 Moderate persistent 12 (2.56%) 4 (33.33%) 32 (1.55%) 1 (1.52%) 1 (5.26%) .42 Median [LQ-UQ] 25 (1.5-10.5) 3 (-) 4 (2-5) 8 (1.5-17.5) 5 (-) .24 Oral CS (only in positive cases) (N, median [LQ- 1 (1-1) 2 (-) 1 (1-2,5) 1 (1-4) 1.5 (-) .34 UQ) - - - 1 (1-2,5) 1 (1-4) 1.5 (-) .34 <	c-ACT (score, median [LQ-UQ])	19 (11.5-24)	19 (8-21)	20 (14.5-24)	20 (12-23)	23.5 (15.5-24.5)	.0436
Well-controlled 21 (53.85%) 3 (25%) 109 (57.37%) 35 (53.03%) 14 (73.68%) .22 Poorly controlled 14 (35.9%) 8 (66.67%) 59 (31.05%) 22 (33.33%) 5 (26.32%)							NAR vs SAR*
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Asthma control (GINA) (N [%])						
Not controlled4 (10.26%)1 (8.33%)22 (11.58%)9 (13.64%)0 (0%)Asthma severity (GINA) (N [%])-Intermittent19 (48.72%)7 (58.33%)72 (37.89%)25 (37.88%)6 (31.58%)Midderate persistent14 (35.99%)1 (8.33%)72 (37.89%)25 (37.88%)6 (31.58%)Moderate persistent5 (12.82%)4 (33.33%)33 (17.37%)13 (19.7%)0 (0%)Severe persistent1 (2.56%)0 (0%)2 (1.05%)1 (1.52%)1 (5.26%)Absence from school (only in positive cases) (N, median [LQ-UQ])2.5 (1.5-10.5)3 (-)4 (2-5)8 (1.5-17.5)5 (-).24ED admission (only in positive cases) (N, median [LQ-UQ])1.5 (-)0 (-)1 (1-1)1 (-)0.12Coral CS (only in positive cases) (N, median [LQ- median [LQ-UQ])1 (1-2.5)1 (1-4)1.5 (-).34UQ)34UQ)1 (1-2.5)1 (1-4)1.5 (-).34UQ)34.33.33UQ)34.34Low dose20 (52.63%)4 (33.33%)79 (41.8%)27 (42.19%)7 (38.89%).10Low dose20 (52.63%)4 (33.33%)79 (41.8%)27 (42.19%)7 (38.89%).10Low dose20 (52.63%)4 (66.67%)52 (27.51%)16 (25%)3 (16.67%).26Medium dose11 (28.95%)<	Well-controlled	21 (53.85%)	3 (25%)	109 (57.37%)	35 (53.03%)	14 (73.68%)	.22
Asthma severity (GINA) (N [%]) Intermittent 19 (48.72%) 7 (58.33%) 83 (43.68%) 27 (40.91%) 12 (63.16%) .34 Mild persistent 14 (35.9%) 1 (8.33%) 72 (37.89%) 25 (37.88%) 6 (31.58%) .46 Moderate persistent 5 (12.82%) 4 (33.33%) 33 (17.37%) 13 (19.7%) 0 (0%) Severe persistent 1 (2.56%) 0 (0%) 2 (1.05%) 1 (1.52%) 1 (5.26%) Absence from school (only in positive cases) (N, 2.5 (1.5-10.5) 3 (-) 4 (2-5) 8 (1.5-17.5) 5 (-) .24 ED admission (only in positive cases) (N, 1.5 (-) 0 (-) 1 (1-1) 1 (-) 0 .12 Oral CS (only in positive cases) (N, median [LQ- 1 (1-1) 2 (-) 1 (1-2.5) 1 (1-4) 1.5 (-) .34 UQ[) ICS 7 (18.42%) 0 (0%) 55 (29.1%) 18 (28.13%) 7 (38.89%) .10 Low dose 20 (52.63%) 4 (33.33%) 79 (41.8%) 27 (42.19%) 7 (38.89%) .10 Low dose 0 (0%) 55 (29.1%) 18 (28.13%) 7 (38.89%) .10 Low dose <td>Poorly controlled</td> <td>14 (35.9%)</td> <td>8 (66.67%)</td> <td>59 (31.05%)</td> <td>22 (33.33%)</td> <td>5 (26.32%)</td> <td></td>	Poorly controlled	14 (35.9%)	8 (66.67%)	59 (31.05%)	22 (33.33%)	5 (26.32%)	
Intermittent 19 (48.72%) 7 (58.33%) 83 (43.68%) 27 (40.91%) 12 (63.16%) .34 Mid persistent 14 (35.9%) 1 (8.33%) 72 (37.89%) 25 (37.88%) 6 (31.58%)	Not controlled	4 (10.26%)	1 (8.33%)	22 (11.58%)	9 (13.64%)	0 (0%)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Asthma severity (GINA) (N [%])						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Intermittent	19 (48.72%)	7 (58.33%)	83 (43.68%)	27 (40.91%)	12 (63.16%)	.34
Severe persistent 1 (2.56%) 0 (0%) 2 (1.05%) 1 (1.52%) 1 (5.26%) Absence from school (only in positive cases) (N, 2.5 (1.5-10.5) 3 (-) 4 (2-5) 8 (1.5-17.5) 5 (-) .24 median [LQ-UQ])	Mild persistent	14 (35.9%)	1 (8.33%)	72 (37.89%)	25 (37.88%)	6 (31.58%)	
Absence from school (only in positive cases) (N, median [LQ-UQ])2.5 (1.5-10.5)3 (-)4 (2-5)8 (1.5-17.5)5 (-).24ED admission (only in positive cases) (N, median [LQ-UQ])1.5 (-)0 (-)1 (1-1)1 (-)0.12Oral CS (only in positive cases) (N, median [LQ- UQ])1 (1-1)2 (-)1 (1-2.5)1 (1-4)1.5 (-).34UQJ) ICS dose (N [%])7 (18.42%)0 (0%)55 (29.1%)18 (28.13%)7 (38.89%).10Low dose Medium dose20 (52.63%)4 (33.33%)79 (41.8%)27 (42.19%)7 (38.89%).10Idd dose ARIA classification (N [%])0 (0%)0 (0%)3 (1.59%)3 (4.69%)1 (5.56%)MIAR MSIAR65 (34.21%)26 (39.39%)7 (36.84%).53	Moderate persistent	5 (12.82%)	4 (33.33%)	33 (17.37%)	13 (19.7%)	0 (0%)	
median [LQ-UQ]) ED admission (only in positive cases) (N, 1.5 (-) 0 (-) 1 (1-1) 1 (-) 0 .12 median [LQ-UQ]) 0 1 (1-1) 2 (-) 1 (1-2.5) 1 (1-4) 1.5 (-) .34 Oral CS (only in positive cases) (N, median [LQ- 1 (1-1) 2 (-) 1 (1-2.5) 1 (1-4) 1.5 (-) .34 UQ)) ICS dose (N [%]) 55 (29.1%) 18 (28.13%) 7 (38.89%) .10 Low dose 7 (18.42%) 0 (0%) 55 (29.1%) 18 (28.13%) 7 (38.89%) .10 Low dose 20 (52.63%) 4 (33.33%) 79 (41.8%) 27 (42.19%) 7 (38.89%) .10 Medium dose 11 (28.95%) 8 (66.67%) 52 (27.51%) 16 (25%) 3 (16.67%) High dose 0 (0%) 0 (0%) 3 (1.59%) 3 (469%) 1 (56%) ARIA classification (N [%])	Severe persistent	1 (2.56%)	0 (0%)	2 (1.05%)	1 (1.52%)	1 (5.26%)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Absence from school (only in positive cases) (N,	2.5 (1.5-10.5)	3 (-)	4 (2-5)	8 (1.5-17.5)	5 (-)	.24
median [LQ-UQ]) reference of the construction of the constru	median [LQ-UQ])						
median [LQ-UQ]) Oral CS (only in positive cases) (N, median [LQ- 1 (1-1) 2 (-) 1 (1-2.5) 1 (1-4) 1.5 (-) .34 UQ) ICS dose (N [%]) ICS dose (N [%]) ICS dose (N [%]) 18 (28.13%) 7 (38.89%) .10 Low dose 20 (52.63%) 4 (33.33%) 79 (41.8%) 27 (42.19%) 7 (38.89%) .10 Medium dose 11 (28.95%) 8 (66.67%) 52 (27.51%) 16 (25%) 3 (16.67%) High dose 0 (0%) 0 (0%) 3 (4.69%) 1 (5.56%) ARIA classification (N [%]) Image: Company of the company of th	ED admission (only in positive cases) (N,	1.5 (-)	0 (-)	1 (1-1)	1 (-)	0	.12
UQ) ICS dose (N [%]) ICS dose (N [%]) No ICS 7 (18.42%) 0 (0%) 55 (29.1%) 18 (28.13%) 7 (38.89%) .10 Low dose 20 (52.63%) 4 (33.33%) 79 (41.8%) 27 (42.19%) 7 (38.89%) .10 Medium dose 11 (28.95%) 8 (66.67%) 52 (27.51%) 16 (25%) 3 (16.67%) High dose 0 (0%) 0 (0%) 3 (1.59%) 3 (4.69%) 1 (5.56%) ARIA classification (N [%])	median [LQ-UQ])						
UQ) ICS dose (N [%]) V No ICS 7 (18.42%) 0 (0%) 55 (29.1%) 18 (28.13%) 7 (38.89%) .10 Low dose 20 (52.63%) 4 (33.33%) 79 (41.8%) 27 (42.19%) 7 (38.89%) .10 Medium dose 11 (28.95%) 8 (66.67%) 52 (27.51%) 16 (25%) 3 (16.67%) High dose 0 (0%) 0 (0%) 3 (1.59%) 3 (4.69%) 1 (5.56%) ARIA classification (N [%]) MIAR - - 65 (34.21%) 26 (39.39%) 7 (36.84%) .53 MSIAR - - 17 (8.95%) 4 (6.06%) 2 (10.53%) .53	Oral CS (only in positive cases) (N, median [LQ-	1 (1-1)	2 (-)	1 (1-2.5)	1 (1-4)	1.5 (-)	.34
No ICS 7 (18.42%) 0 (0%) 55 (29.1%) 18 (28.13%) 7 (38.89%) .10 Low dose 20 (52.63%) 4 (33.33%) 79 (41.8%) 27 (42.19%) 7 (38.89%) .10 Medium dose 11 (28.95%) 8 (66.67%) 52 (27.51%) 16 (25%) 3 (16.67%) High dose 0 (0%) 0 (0%) 3 (1.59%) 3 (4.69%) 1 (5.56%) ARIA classification (N [%])	UQ])						
Low dose 20 (52.63%) 4 (33.33%) 79 (41.8%) 27 (42.19%) 7 (38.89%) Medium dose 11 (28.95%) 8 (66.67%) 52 (27.51%) 16 (25%) 3 (16.67%) High dose 0 (0%) 0 (0%) 3 (1.59%) 3 (4.69%) 1 (5.56%) ARIA classification (N [%]) - - 65 (34.21%) 26 (39.39%) 7 (36.84%) .53 MIAR - - 17 (8.95%) 4 (6.06%) 2 (10.53%) .53	ICS dose (N [%])						
Medium dose 11 (28.95%) 8 (66.67%) 52 (27.51%) 16 (25%) 3 (16.67%) High dose 0 (0%) 0 (0%) 3 (1.59%) 3 (4.69%) 1 (5.56%) ARIA classification (N [%]) - - 65 (34.21%) 26 (39.39%) 7 (36.84%) .53 MSIAR - - 17 (8.95%) 4 (6.06%) 2 (10.53%)	No ICS	7 (18.42%)	0 (0%)	55 (29.1%)	18 (28.13%)	7 (38.89%)	.10
High dose 0 (0%) 0 (0%) 3 (1.59%) 3 (4.69%) 1 (5.56%) ARIA classification (N [%]) - - 65 (34.21%) 26 (39.39%) 7 (36.84%) .53 MIAR - - 17 (8.95%) 4 (6.06%) 2 (10.53%)	Low dose	20 (52.63%)	4 (33.33%)	79 (41.8%)	27 (42.19%)	7 (38.89%)	
ARIA classification (N [%]) - - 65 (34.21%) 26 (39.39%) 7 (36.84%) .53 MSIAR - - 17 (8.95%) 4 (6.06%) 2 (10.53%)	Medium dose	11 (28.95%)	8 (66.67%)	52 (27.51%)	16 (25%)	3 (16.67%)	
MIAR - - 65 (34.21%) 26 (39.39%) 7 (36.84%) .53 MSIAR - - 17 (8.95%) 4 (6.06%) 2 (10.53%)	High dose	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (1.59%)	3 (4.69%)	1 (5.56%)	
MSIAR – - 17 (8.95%) 4 (6.06%) 2 (10.53%)	ARIA classification (N [%])						
	MIAR	_	-	65 (34.21%)	26 (39.39%)	7 (36.84%)	.53
MPAR 98 (51 58%) 29 (43 94%) 10 (52 63%)	MSIAR	—	-	17 (8.95%)	4 (6.06%)	2 (10.53%)	
······································	MPAR	_	-	98 (51.58%)	29 (43.94%)	10 (52.63%)	
MSPAR – - 10 (5.26%) 7 (10.61%) 0 (0%)	MSPAR	_	-	10 (5.26%)	7 (10.61%)	0 (0%)	

ARIA; BMI, body mass index; c-ACT, children's asthma control test; CS, corticosteroids; FeNO, fractional expired nitric oxide; FEF₂₅₋₇₅, forced expiratory flow at 25-**75**% of the pulmonary volume; FVC, forced vital capacity; GINA, Global Initiative for Asthma; ICS, inhaled corticosteroids; LQ, lower quadrant; SD, standard deviation; UQ, upper quadrant.

Letters / Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol xxx (2019) 1-4

2005

SCO 5.6.0 DTD ■ ANAI2980_proof ■ 27 August 2019 ■ 3:32 pm ■ ce

4

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Letters / Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol xxx (2019) 1-4

379 were found for age, BMI, asthma duration, c-ACT score, and FEF₂₅₋₇₅ 380 value, the last of which may be considered an early predictor of bronchial airflow limitation.⁶ These results show that children 381 382 without rhinitis (NoR) were younger, had lower BMI and FEF₂₅₋₇₅, 383 and had shorter asthma duration than did the other subjects. More 384 interestingly, children with mixed allergic rhinitis (MAR) had 385 longer asthma duration (consistent with poly-allergy), whereas 386 children with seasonal allergic rhinitis (SAR) had higher FEF₂₅₋₇₅ 387 than children with perennial allergic rhinitis (PAR) (consistent with 388 shorter allergen exposure). Therefore, the conventional classifica-389 tion of rhinitis may be useful for providing indications about the 390 impact of rhinitis phenotypes on clinical and functional variables in 391 these children with asthma.

392 Comparing the findings obtained from the 2 rhinitis classifica-393 tions, consistent results were obtained only for age and asthma 394 duration: younger children and those with shorter asthma 395 duration more frequently did not present rhinitis comorbidity. 396 The ARIA classification better identified significant differences 397 among rhinitis phenotypes concerning asthma control and severity 398 scoring. On the contrary, conventional classification better identi-399 fied notable differences among rhinitis phenotypes regarding the 400 subjective perception of asthma control (c-ACT) and the functional 401 parameter FEF₂₅₋₇₅.

402 The results of this study suggest that the 2 rhinitis classifications 403 provide different information about children with asthma, and that 404 both information sets can generate clinically relevant data that may 405 be useful in asthma management. In clinical practice, using both 406 rhinitis classifications would seem to be more helpful than 407 considering only 1. In particular, rhinitis is well known to have a 408 profound impact on asthma, mainly concerning the upper airways 409 treatment. Therefore, phenotyping rhinitis in children with asthma 410 could drive therapeutic strategies directed toward nasal inflam-411 mation dampening. The current study suggests that poly-allergy 412 and severe rhinitis are factors associated with poorly controlled 413 and severe asthma. 414

The main limitation of this study is its cross-sectional design, but follow-up is ongoing.

In conclusion, rhinitis is frequently associated with asthma in children; rhinitis phenotyping should be performed using both conventional and ARIA classification; and rhinitis phenotyping allows clinicians to diagnose and treat the asthma properly. 418

419	
Q2 420	Maria Angela Tosca, PhD*
421	Marzia Duse, MD [†]
422	Gianluigi Marseglia, MD [‡]
423	Giorgio Ciprandi, MD [§] "ControL'Asma" Study Group
424	*Pediatric Allergy Center
425	Istituto Giannina Gaslini
425	Genoa, Italy
420	[†] Pediatrics Department
427	Umberto I Hospital
	Roma
429	Sapienza University
430	Roma, Italy
431	iatrics Clinic, Pediatrics Department, Policlinico San Matteo,
432	University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy
433	[§] Allergy Clinic
454	Casa di Cura Villa Montallegro
435	Genoa, Italy
436	
437	gio.cip@libero.it
438	

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

References

[‡]Pedi

- 1. Togias A, Gergen PJ, Hu JW, et al. Thinitis in children and adolescents with asthma: ubiquitous, difficult to control, and associated with asthma outcomes. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2018.06.043.
- Ciprandi G, Cirillo I, Vizzaccaro A, Tosca MA, Pallestrini E. Seasonal and perennial allergic rhinitis: is this classification adherent to real life? A population based study. *Allergy*. 2005;60:882–887.
- 3. Brożek JL, Bousquet J, Agache I, et al. Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) guidelines: 2016 revision. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2017;140(4):950–958.
- Global Initiative for Asthma. GINA guidelines. Global strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention. 2018. Available at: http://www.ginasthma.org/. Accessed June 2019.
- 5. Bauchau V, Durham SR. Epidemiological characterization of the intermittent and persistent types of allergic rhinitis. *Allergy*. 2005;60(3):350–353.
- 6. Ciprandi G, Cirillo I. The lower airway pathology of rhinitis: update review. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2006;118:1105–1109.