



**Stochastic Analysis and Applications** 

ISSN: 0736-2994 (Print) 1532-9356 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/Isaa20

# Fractional Cauchy problems on compact manifolds

# Mirko D'Ovidio & Erkan Nane

To cite this article: Mirko D'Ovidio & Erkan Nane (2016) Fractional Cauchy problems on compact manifolds, Stochastic Analysis and Applications, 34:2, 232-257

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07362994.2015.1116997



Published online: 18 Feb 2016.



Submit your article to this journal 🗗



View related articles



View Crossmark data 🗹

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=lsaa20



# Fractional Cauchy problems on compact manifolds

Mirko D'Ovidio<sup>a</sup> and Erkan Nane<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Basic and Applied Sciences for Engineering, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy; <sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama, USA

#### ABSTRACT

We investigate anomalous diffusion on compact Riemannian manifolds, modeled by time-changed Brownian motions. These stochastic processes are governed by equations involving the Laplace–Beltrami operator and a time-fractional derivative of order  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ . We also consider time dependent random fields that can be viewed as random fields on randomly varying manifolds.

#### **ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received 23 September 2015 Accepted 4 November 2015

#### **KEYWORDS**

Random field on compact manifold; time-changed rotational Brownian Motion; stable subordinator; fractional diffusion; sphere, torus

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION

60G60; 60G22; 60H99

#### 1. Introduction

In recent years, the study of the random fields on manifolds attracted the attention of many researchers. They have focused on the construction and characterization of random fields indexed by compact manifolds such as the sphere  $\mathbb{S}_r^2 = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |\mathbf{x}| = r\}$ , torus, and other compact manifolds: see, for example, [4,26-28]. In such papers compact manifolds represent a domain in which the random field is observed. The interest in studying random fields on the sphere is especially represented by the analysis of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) radiation which is currently at the core of physical and cosmological research: see, for example, [10,23]. CMB radiation is thermal radiation filling the observable universe almost uniformly [38] and is well explained as radiation associated with an early stage in the development of the universe. For more details on CMB radiation see our recent paper [13]. This paper extends the results in [13] in two ways. The results in three dimensional sphere are extended to any *n*-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold. The second extension is to space fractional operators corresponding to stochastic processes obtained by Bochner subordination of Brownian motion.

Beside the interest on random fields, the study of fractional diffusion has attracted the attention of many researchers recently. The fractional diffusions are related to anomalous diffusions or diffusions in non-homogeneous media with random fractal structures; see, for example, [31]. Initial study was carried out by [21,36,45] in which the authors established the mathematical foundations of fractional diffusions: see, for example, [35] for a short survey on these results. A large class of fractional diffusions are solved by stochastic processes that are time-changed by inverse stable subordinators: see, for example, [30,37].

**CONTACT** Mirko D'Ovidio mirko.dovidio@uniroma1.it Department of Basic and Applied Sciences for Engineering, Sapienza University of Rome, A. Scarpa 00161, Rome, Italy.

© 2016 Taylor & Francis Group, LLC

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a smooth connected Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n \ge 1$  with Riemannian metric g, and the volume measure  $\mu$  supported on  $\mathcal{M}$ . The associated Laplace-Beltrami operator  $\Delta = \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  is an elliptic, second order, differential operator defined in the space  $C_0^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ . In local coordinates, this operator is written as

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( g^{ij} \sqrt{g} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right), \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\{g_{ij}\}$  is the matrix of the Riemannian metric,  $\{g^{ij}\}$  and g are, respectively, the inverse and the determinant of  $\{g_{ij}\}$ .

For any  $y \in M$ , the heat kernel p(x, y, t) is the fundamental solution to the heat equation

$$\partial_t u = \triangle u \tag{1.2}$$

with initial point source at *y*. Furthermore, p(x, y, t) defines an integral kernel of the heat semigroup  $P_t = e^{-t\Delta_M}$  and p(x, y, t) is the transition density of a diffusion process on  $\mathcal{M}$ which is a Brownian motion generated by  $\Delta_M$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  is compact, then  $P_t$  is a compact operator on  $L^2(\mathcal{M})$ . By the general theory of compact operators, the transition density (heat kernel) p(x, y, t) can be represented as a series expansion in terms of the eigenfunctions of  $-\Delta_M$ . The reader is referred to [8, 9, 11, 15].

In this article we consider random fields on the compact Riemannian manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ , especially 2-manifolds such as torus or the double torus, Möbious strip, cylinder and sphere. We will construct a new class of time-dependent random fields indexed by sets of coordinates randomly varying with time in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Our construction involves time-changed Brownian motion on the manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  for which we study the corresponding Cauchy problem with random and deterministic initial conditions.

Let  $S_t^{\beta}$  be a stable subordinator of index  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  with Laplace transform

$$\mathbb{E}\exp(-sS_t^{\beta}) = \exp(-t\,s^{\beta}). \tag{1.3}$$

We define by

$$E_t^{\beta} = \inf\{\tau > 0 : S_{\tau}^{\beta} > t\}$$
(1.4)

the inverse of the stable subordinator  $S_t^{\beta}$  of order  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ .  $E_t^{\beta}$  has nonnegative, nonstationary, and nonindependent increments (see [32]).

Our first aim is to find the unique strong solution to the fractional Cauchy problem

$$\partial_t^\beta u(x,t) = \Delta_{\mathcal{M}} u(x,t), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathcal{M}; \quad u(x,0) = f(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{M},$$
(1.5)

where *f* is a well specified initial value, and  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ . The stochastic solution of this equation turns out to be a time-changed Brownian motion, in particular, we get

$$u(m,t) = \mathbb{E}f(B^m_{E^{\beta}_t}), \quad m \in \mathcal{M}, \ t > 0,$$

where  $B_t^m$  is a Brownian motion started at m and  $E_t^{\beta}$  is an inverse stable subordinator related to the time fractional operator  $\partial_t^{\beta}$  in the sense of Dzhrbashyan–Caputo defined in equation (2.14).

We also consider Cauchy problems involving space fractional operators:

$$\mathbb{D}^{\Psi}_{\mathcal{M}}f(x) = \int_0^\infty \left( P_s f(x) - f(x) \right) \nu(ds), \quad x \in \mathcal{M},$$
(1.6)

where f(x) is a well-defined function on  $\mathcal{M}$ , v is the Lévy measure (such that  $\int (1 \wedge s) v(ds) < 0$  $\infty$ ) defining the Lévy symbol  $\Psi$ , and  $P_t = e^{-t\Delta}$  is the heat semigroup in

$$L^{2}(\mathcal{M}) = L^{2}(\mathcal{M}, \mu) := \left\{ f : \int_{\mathcal{M}} f^{2} d\mu < \infty \right\}.$$
(1.7)

We study the heat-type Cauchy problem on  $\mathcal{M}$ 

$$\partial_t u(x,t) = \mathbb{D}^{\Psi}_{\mathcal{M}} u(x,t), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathcal{M}; \quad u(x,0) = f(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{M},$$
(1.8)

where f is a well specified initial value. The stochastic solution of this equation turns out to be a time-changed Brownian motion (also called a subordinate Brownian motion), in particular, we get

$$u(m,t) = \mathbb{E}f(B^m_{s^{\Psi}}), \quad m \in \mathcal{M}, \ t > 0,$$

where  $B_t^m$  is a Brownian motion started at *m* and  $S_t^{\Psi}$  is a positive, nondecreasing Lévy process with Laplace symbol  $\Psi$  with

$$\mathbb{E}\exp(-\xi S_t^{\Psi}) = \exp(-t\Psi(\xi)).$$
(1.9)

The operator  $\mathbb{D}^{\Psi}_{\mathcal{M}}$  turns out to be the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup  $P^{\Psi}_t :=$  $\exp(-t\mathbb{D}^{\Psi}_{\mathcal{M}}), t \ge 0 \text{ on } L^2(\mathcal{M}): \text{ see, for example, } [1].$ 

In summary, Brownian motion time changed by an inverse subordinator yields a stochastic solution to a time fractional Cauchy problem, and Brownian motion time changed by a subordinator which is a positive, nondecreasing Lévy process, yields a heat type Cauchy problem with space fractional operator.

Finally, we study the power spectrum of the random fields that are composed with time-changed Brownian motions, and find out different covariance structures. In particular, such covariances show different rates of convergence for the covariance of high frequency components.

### 1.1. Notations

- $B_t^m$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , is the Brownian motion on  $\mathcal{M}$  started at m;
- $T(m), m \in \mathcal{M}$ , is a Gaussian random field indexed by  $\mathcal{M}$ ;
- $S_t = S_t^{\Psi}$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , is a subordinator with Laplace exponent  $\Psi$ ;
- $E_t = E_t^{\beta}$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , is an inverse to a stable subordinator  $S_t^{\beta}$ , t > 0, of order  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ ;

• 
$$\mathfrak{T}_t^{\Psi}(m) = T(B_{S_t}^m), t > 0, m \in \mathcal{M};$$

- $\mathfrak{T}_{t}^{\beta}(m) = T(B_{E_{t}}^{m}), t > 0, m \in \mathcal{M}, t > 0, m \in \mathcal{M};$   $T_{t}^{\Psi}(m) = \mathbb{E}[\mathfrak{T}_{t}^{\Psi}(m)|\mathfrak{F}_{T}], t > 0, m \in \mathcal{M};$
- $T_t^{\beta}(m) = \mathbb{E}[\mathfrak{T}_t^{\beta}(m)|\mathfrak{F}_T], t > 0, m \in \mathcal{M};$
- $\mathfrak{F}_T$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the random field *T* on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, d, \mu)$  be a manifold with a metric structure where  $(\mathcal{M}, d)$  is a locally separable metric space and  $\mu$  is a volume measure supported on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be the space of square integrable real-valued functions on  $\mathcal{M}$  with finite norm

$$||u||_{\mu} := \left(\int_{\mathcal{M}} |u(m)|^2 \,\mu(dm)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We are interested in studying the solutions to

$$\Delta \phi + \lambda \phi = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

and heat equation (1.2) from a probabilistic point of view.

The fundamental solution to heat equation (1.2) on  $\mathcal{M}$  is a continuous function p = p(x, y, t) on  $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times (0, +\infty)$  with

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} p(\cdot, y, t) = \delta_y(\cdot), \quad \lim_{t \downarrow 0} p(x, \cdot, t) = \delta_x(\cdot), \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\delta_m$  is the Dirac delta function for  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ . Furthermore, p is unique and symmetric in the two space variables. Given a continuous initial datum  $u_0 = f$  we write

$$u(m,t) = P_t f(m) = \mathbb{E}f(B_t^m) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} p(m,y,t)f(y)\mu(dy).$$
(2.3)

One immediately verifies that  $P_t$  satisfies the semigroup property:  $P_tP_s = P_{t+s}$ . We say that  $B_t^m$ , t > 0 is a Brownian motion on  $\mathcal{M}$  starting at  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ , that is a measurable map from the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$  to the measurable space  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}), \mu)$ . Furthermore, p(x, y, t) is the fundamental solution to the heat equation (1.2) with point source initial condition, and  $B_t^m$ , t > 0 is a diffusion with continuous trajectories such that

$$\mathbb{P}\{B_t^m \in M\} = \int_M p(m, y, t)\mu(dy)$$

for any Borel set  $M \subset \mathcal{M}$ .

#### 2.1. Eigenvalue problems and heat kernels

We follow the presentation in in Chavel [8, Sec. I.3] for stating the following eigenvalue problems.

**Closed eigenvalue problem:** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a compact, connected manifold. Find all real numbers  $\lambda$  for which there exist a nontrivial solution  $\phi \in C^2(\mathcal{M})$  to (2.1).

**Dirichlet eigenvalue problem:** For  $\partial \mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  compact and connected, find all real numbers  $\lambda$  for which there exist a nontrivial solution  $\phi \in C^2(\mathcal{M}) \cap C^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}})$  to (2.1), satisfying the boundary condition

$$\phi = 0$$

on  $\partial \mathcal{M}$ .

Neumann eigenvalue problem: For the boundary  $\partial \mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  compact and connected, find all real numbers  $\lambda$  for which there exist a nontrivial solution  $\phi \in C^2(\mathcal{M}) \cap C^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}})$  to (2.1), satisfying the boundary condition

$$\partial_{\mathbf{n}}\phi = 0$$

on  $\partial \mathcal{M}$  ( $\partial_{\mathbf{n}}$  is the outward unit normal vector field on  $\partial \mathcal{M}$ ).

**Mixed eigenvalue problem:** For  $\partial \mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  compact and connected,  $\mathcal{N}$  an open submanifold of  $\partial \mathcal{M}$ , find all real numbers  $\lambda$  for which there exist a nontrivial solution  $\phi \in C^2(\mathcal{M}) \cap C^1(\mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{N}) \cap C^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}})$  to (2.1), satisfying the boundary conditions

$$\phi = 0 \text{ on } \partial \mathcal{M}, \ \partial_{\mathbf{n}} \phi = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{N}.$$

**Theorem 1.** [8, p. 8] For each one of the eigenvalue problems, the set of eigenvalues consists of a sequence

$$0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \uparrow +\infty,$$

and each associated eigenspace is finite dimensional. Eigenspaces belonging to distinct eigenvalues are orthonormal in  $L^2(\mathcal{M})$  and  $L^2(\mathcal{M})$  is the direct sum of all eigenspaces. Furthermore, each eigenfunction is  $C^{\infty}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

In the closed and Neumann eigenvalue problems we have  $\lambda_1 = 0$  and in the Dirichlet and mixed ( $\mathcal{N} \neq \mathcal{M}$ ) eigenvalue problems we have  $\lambda_1 > 0$ .

**Theorem 2.** [8] In the case of closed eigenvalue problem, each  $\phi_j$  is as smooth as the heat kernel p. In particular,  $p \in C^{\infty}$  implies  $\phi_j \in C^{\infty}$  for every  $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ . And in this case

$$p(x, y, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j t} \phi_j(x) \phi_j(y)$$
(2.4)

with convergence absolute, and uniform, for each t > 0.

**Theorem 3.** [8, p. 169] Given a connected manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  with piecewise  $C^{\infty}$  boundary and compact closure, there exists a complete orthonormal basis,

$$\{\varphi_1,\varphi_2,\varphi_3,\cdots\}$$

of  $L^2(\mathcal{M})$  consisting of Dirichlet eigenfunctions of  $\Delta$ , with  $\varphi_i$  having eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  satisfying

 $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \uparrow +\infty.$ 

In particular, each eigenvalue has finite multiplicity. Each

 $\varphi_i \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}) \cap C^1(\bar{\mathcal{M}}).$ 

And, in this case, the fundamental solution, the heat kernel, is given by

$$p(x, y, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j t} \varphi_j(x) \varphi_j(y)$$
(2.5)

with convergence absolute, and uniform, for each t > 0.

For each of the four eigenvalue problems, as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ 

$$(\lambda_k)^{n/2} \sim (2\pi)^n k / \omega_n V(\mathcal{M}), \qquad (2.6)$$

where  $\omega_n$  is the volume of the unit disk in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $V(\mathcal{M})$  is the volume of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Theorem 4.** [8, p. 141] For any  $f \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$ , the function  $P_t f(m)$  converges uniformly, as  $t \uparrow + \infty$ , to a harmonic function on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is compact, the limit function is constant.

#### **2.2.** Brownian motion on $\mathcal{M}$

Let  $P_t$  be a strongly continuous semigroup on  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  be the infinitesimal generator such that

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \left\| \frac{P_t u - u}{t} - \mathcal{A}u \right\|_{\mu} = 0$$
(2.7)

for all  $u \in Dom(\mathcal{A}) = \{u \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \mu) \text{ such that the limit (2.7) exists}\}.$ 

See Emery [14] for a discussion of processes on manifolds. We have the following result concerning the operator  $\mathcal{A} = \Delta$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a connected and compact manifold (without boundary!). The stochastic solution to the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(m,t) = \Delta u(m,t), & m \in \mathcal{M}, \ t > 0\\ u(m,0) = f(m), & m \in \mathcal{M} \end{cases}$$
(2.8)

is represented by the Brownian motion  $B_t^m$ , t > 0 starting from  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  at t = 0 with

$$u(m,t) = P_t f(m) = \mathbb{E} f(B_t^m) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} p(m, y, t) f(y) \mu(dy)$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda_j} \kappa_j \phi_j(m), \quad m \in \mathcal{M}, \ t > 0,$$
(2.9)

where  $P_t = \exp(-t\Delta)$  is the semigroup corresponding to Brownian motion and

$$\kappa_j = \int_{\mathcal{M}} f(y)\phi_j(y)\mu(dy).$$
(2.10)

Let  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^m) = \inf\{t > 0 : B_t^m \notin \mathcal{M}\}$  be the first exit time of Brownian motion from  $\mathcal{M}$ . The heat equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions is as follows:

**Proposition 2.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a connected manifold with piecewise  $C^{\infty}$  boundary, and with compact closure. The stochastic solution to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(m,t) = \Delta u(m,t), & m \in \mathcal{M}, t > 0\\ u(m,0) = f(m), & m \in \mathcal{M}\\ u(m,t) = 0 & m \in \partial \mathcal{M}, t > 0 \end{cases}$$
(2.11)

is represented by the Brownian motion  $B_t^m$  killed on the boundary, t > 0 starting from  $m \in M$  at t = 0 with

$$u(m,t) = P_t f(m) = \mathbb{E}(f(B_t^m) I(t < \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(B^m)))$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda_j} \kappa_j \varphi_j(m), \quad m \in \mathcal{M}, \ t > 0,$$
(2.12)

where  $P_t = exp(-t\triangle)$  and

$$\kappa_j = \int_{\mathcal{M}} f(y)\varphi_j(y)\mu(dy).$$
(2.13)

#### 2.3. Inverse stable subordinators and Mittag–Leffler function

The Dzhrbashyan–Caputo fractional derivative [7] is defined for  $0 < \beta < 1$  as

$$D_t^{\beta}g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_0^t \frac{dg(r)}{dr} \frac{dr}{(t-r)^{\beta}}.$$
 (2.14)

Its Laplace transform

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} D_{t}^{\beta} g(t) \, dt = s^{\beta} \tilde{g}(s) - s^{\beta-1} g(0) \tag{2.15}$$

#### 238 🛞 M. D'OVIDIO AND E. NANE

(where  $\tilde{g}$  is the Laplace transform of g) incorporates the initial value in the same way as the first derivative, and  $D_t^{\beta}g(t)$  becomes the ordinary first derivative dg(t)/dt for  $\beta = 1$ . When u(t, x) is a function of time and space variables, then we use  $\partial_t^{\beta}u(t, x)$  for the Dzhrbashyan–Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ ,

For a function g(t) continuous in  $t \ge 0$ , the Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative of order  $0 < \nu < 1$  is defined by

$$\mathbb{D}_t^\beta g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \frac{g(r)}{(t-r)^\beta} dr.$$
(2.16)

Its Laplace transform is given by

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-st} \mathbb{D}_t^\beta g(t) \, dt = s^\beta \tilde{g}(s). \tag{2.17}$$

If  $g(\cdot)$  is absolutely continuous on bounded intervals (e.g., if the derivative exists everywhere and is integrable) then the Riemann–Liouville and Dzhrbashyan–Caputo derivatives are related by

$$D_t^{\beta} g(t) = \mathbb{D}_t^{\beta} u(x, t) - \frac{t^{-\beta} g(0)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta)}.$$
(2.18)

The Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative is more general, as it does not require the first derivative to exist. It is also possible to adopt the right-hand side of (2.18) as the definition of the Dzhrbashyan–Caputo derivative; see, for example, Kochubei [22].

A stable subordinator  $S_t^{\beta}$ , t > 0,  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ , is (see [5]) a Lévy process with non-negative, independent and stationary increments with Laplace transform in (1.3).

The inverse stable subordinator  $E^{\beta}$  defined in (1.4) with density, say  $l_{\beta}$ , satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}\{E_t^\beta \le x\} = \mathbb{P}\{S_x^\beta \ge t\}.$$
(2.19)

We say that a process  $X_t$  is a stochastic solution to a Cauchy problem (P1) iff  $u(x, t) = \mathbb{P}\{X_t \in dx\}/dx$  is the unique solution to (P1). According to  $[3, 12, 33], E_t^\beta$  represents a stochastic solution to

$$\left(\mathbb{D}_t^{\beta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) l_{\beta}(x,t) = 0, \quad x > 0, t > 0, \beta \in (0,1)$$

subject to the initial and boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} l_{\beta}(x,0) = \delta(x), & x > 0, \\ l_{\beta}(0,t) = t^{-\beta} / \Gamma(1-\beta), & t > 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.20)

Due to the fact that  $S_t^{\beta}$ , t > 0 has nonnegative increments, that is nondecreasing paths, we have that  $E_t^{\beta}$  is a first passage time. Furthermore, for  $\beta \to 1$  we get that

$$\lim_{\beta \to 1} S_t^\beta = t = \lim_{\beta \to 1} E_t^\beta$$

almost surely ([5]) and, therefore, t is the elementary subordinator.

In what follows, we will write  $f \approx g$  and  $f \leq g$  to mean that for some positive  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ ,  $c_1 \leq f/g \leq c_2$  and  $f \leq c_1g$ , respectively. We will also write  $f(t) \sim g(t)$ , as  $t \to \infty$ , to mean that  $f(t)/g(t) \to 1$ , as  $t \to \infty$ .

Let

$$E_{\beta}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(1+n\beta)}$$
(2.21)

be the Mittag–Leffler function. By [30, Eq. 3.16] the Laplace transform of  $E_t^{\beta}$  is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\exp(-\lambda E_t^{\beta}) = E_{\beta}(-\lambda t^{\beta}).$$
(2.22)

Next we state some of the properties of the Mittag–Leffler function. Let  $\beta \in (0, 1]$ . As we can immediately check  $E_{\beta}(0) = 1$  and (see, e.g., [20, 39])

$$0 \le E_{\beta}(-z^{\beta}) \le \frac{1}{1+z^{\beta}} \le 1, \quad z \in [0, +\infty).$$
(2.23)

Indeed, we have that

$$E_{\beta}(-z^{\beta}) \approx 1 - \frac{z^{\beta}}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} \approx \exp\left(-\frac{z^{\beta}}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\right), \quad 0 < z \ll 1,$$
(2.24)

and

$$E_{\beta}(-z^{\beta}) \approx \frac{z^{-\beta}}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} - \frac{z^{-2\beta}}{\Gamma(1-2\beta)} + \dots, \quad z \to +\infty.$$
(2.25)

Thus the Mittag–Leffler function is a stretched exponential with heavy tails. Furthermore, we have that (see [20, formula 2.2.53])

$$\mathbb{D}_{z}^{\beta} E_{\beta}(\mu z^{\beta}) = \frac{z^{-\beta}}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} + \mu E_{\beta}(\mu z^{\beta}), \quad \mu \in \mathbb{C}.$$
(2.26)

Using Equation (2.18), formula (2.26) takes the form

$$D_z^{\beta} E_{\beta}(\mu z^{\beta}) = \mu E_{\beta}(\mu z^{\beta}), \quad \mu \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \beta \in (0, 1).$$
(2.27)

Hence, in this case, we say that  $E_{\beta}(\mu z^{\beta})$  is the eigenfunction of the Dzhrbashyan–Caputo derivative operator  $D_z^{\beta}$  with the corresponding eigenvalue  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ .

#### 3. Space-time fractional Cauchy problems

In this section, we study time fractional and space fractional Cauchy problems.

#### 3.1. Time fractional Cauchy problems in compact manifolds with boundary

**Remark 1.** We say that  $\Delta u$  exists in the strong sense if it exists pointwise and is continuous in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Similarly, we say that  $D_t^{\beta} f(t)$  exists in the strong sense if it exists pointwise and is continuous for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . One sufficient condition is that f is a  $C^1$  function on  $[0, \infty)$  with  $|f(t)| \le c t^{\gamma - 1}$  for some  $\gamma > 0$ . Then by (2.14), the Caputo fractional derivative  $D_t^{\beta} f(t)$  of f exists for every t > 0 and the derivative is continuous in t > 0.

Let  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{\infty} = (0, \infty) \times \mathcal{M}$  and define

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{M}_{\infty}) \equiv \left\{ u : \mathcal{M}_{\infty} \to \mathbb{R} : \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u, \frac{\partial^{\beta}}{\partial t^{\beta}} u, \Delta u \in C(\mathcal{M}_{\infty}), \\ \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x) \right| \le g(x) t^{\beta - 1}, \text{ for some } g \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}), t > 0 \right\}.$$

We will write  $u \in C^k(\bar{\mathcal{M}})$  to mean that for each fixed t > 0,  $u(t, \cdot) \in C^k(\bar{\mathcal{M}})$ , and  $u \in C_b^k(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_\infty)$  to mean that  $u \in C^k(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_\infty)$  and is bounded.

**Theorem 5.** Given a connected manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  with piecewise  $C^{\infty}$  boundary and compact closure. Let  $P_t$  be the semigroup of Brownian motion  $\{B_t^m\}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  killed on the boundary  $\partial \mathcal{M}$ . Let  $E_t = E_t^{\beta}$  be the process inverse to a stable subordinator of index  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  independent of  $\{B_t^m\}$ . Let

$$f \in Dom(\Delta) \cap C^1(\bar{\mathcal{M}}) \cap C^2(\mathcal{M})$$

for which the eigenfunction expansion of  $\Delta f$  with respect to the complete orthonormal basis  $\{\varphi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  converges uniformly and absolutely. Then the unique strong solution of

$$u \in \mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{M}_{\infty}) \cap C_{b}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\infty}) \cap C^{1}(\bar{\mathcal{M}})$$
  
$$\partial_{t}^{\beta}u(m,t) = \Delta u(m,t); \quad m \in \mathcal{M}, \ t > 0$$
  
$$u(m,t) = 0, \ m \in \partial \mathcal{M}, \ t > 0, \ u(m,0) = f(m), \ m \in \mathcal{M}.$$
  
(3.1)

is given by

$$u(m,t) = \mathbb{E}[f(B_{E_l}^m)I(E_t < \tau(B^m))]$$
  
=  $\frac{t}{\beta} \int_0^\infty P_l f(x)g_\beta(tl^{-1/\beta})l^{-1/\beta-1}dl = \int_0^\infty P_{(t/l)^\beta}f(x)g_\beta(l)dl$   
=  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty E_\beta(-t^\beta\lambda_j)\varphi_j(x) \int_{\mathcal{M}} \varphi_j(y)f(y)\mu(dy).$ 

Here,  $g_{\beta}$  is the density of  $S^{\beta}(1)$ .

**Proof.** The proof follows by similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [30].  $\Box$ 

**Remark 2.** Let  $f \in C_c^{2k}(\mathcal{M})$  be a 2*k*-times continuously differentiable function of compact support in  $\mathcal{M}$ . If k > 1 + 3n/4, then the Equation (3.1) has a classical (strong) solution. In particular, if  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ , then the solution of Equation (3.1) is in  $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ . The results in this remark can be seen in connection with Corollary 3.4 in [30] and the bounds on the eigenfunctions and on the gradients of the eigenfunctions: Let *n* denote the dimension of  $\mathcal{M}$ , for some uniform constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$ 

$$\begin{aligned} ||\varphi_{j}||_{\infty} &\leq c_{1}\lambda_{j}^{(n-1)/4}||\varphi_{j}||_{2} \\ ||\nabla\varphi_{j}||_{\infty} &\leq c_{2}\lambda_{j}^{(n+1)/4}||\varphi_{j}||_{2}, \quad \lambda_{j} \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$
(3.2)

see [11] and [43], and the references therein. Intrinsic ultracontractivity of the semigroup  $P_t$  proved in Kumura [25] that bounds each eigenfunction with the first eigenfunction can also be used in the proof of this theorem.

#### 3.2. Time fractional Cauchy problems in compact manifolds without boundary

Let

$$H^{s}(\mathcal{M}) = \left\{ f \in L^{2}(\mathcal{M}) : \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_{l})^{2s} \left( \int_{\mathcal{M}} \phi_{l}(y) f(y) \mu(dy) \right)^{2} < \infty \right\}.$$
(3.3)

**Theorem 6.** Let  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  and s > (3 + 3n)/4. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a connected and compact manifold (without boundary!). The unique strong solution to the fractional Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^{\beta} u(m,t) = \Delta u(m,t), & m \in \mathcal{M}, t > 0\\ u(m,0) = f(m), & m \in \mathcal{M}, \quad f \in H^s(\mathcal{M}) \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

is given by

$$u(m,t) = \mathbb{E}f(B_{E_t}^m) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{\beta}(-t^{\beta}\lambda_j)\phi_j(m) \int_{\mathcal{M}} \phi_j(y)f(y)\mu(dy),$$
(3.5)

where  $B_t^m$  is a Brownian motion in  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $E_t = E_t^\beta$  is inverse to a stable subordinator with index  $0 < \beta < 1$ .

**Proof.** The proof follows the main steps in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [30]. The proof is based on the method of separation of variables. Let u(m, t) = G(t)F(m) be a solution of (3.4). Then substituting into (3.4), we get

$$F(m)D_t^{\beta}G(t) = G(t)\Delta F(m).$$

Divide both sides by G(t)F(m) to obtain

$$\frac{D_t^{\beta}G(t)}{G(t)} = \frac{\Delta F(m)}{F(m)} = -c.$$

Then we have

$$D_t^{\beta}G(t) = -c G(t), \ t > 0 \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\Delta F(m) = -c F(m), \ m \in \mathcal{M}.$$
(3.7)

By the discussion above, the eigenvalue problem (3.7) is solved by an infinite sequence of pairs  $\{\lambda_j, \phi_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  where  $\phi_j$  forms a complete orthonormal set in  $L^2(\mathcal{M})$ . In particular, the initial function *f* regarded as an element of  $L^2(\mathcal{M})$  can be represented as

$$f(m) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \kappa_l \phi_l(m), \qquad (3.8)$$

where  $\kappa_l = \int_{\mathcal{M}} f(m)\phi_l(m)\mu(dm)$ . By Equation (2.27), we see that  $\kappa_l E_{\beta}(-\lambda_l(t)^{\beta})$  solves (3.6). Sum these solutions  $\kappa_l E_{\beta}(-\lambda_l(t)^{\beta})\phi_l(m)$  to (3.4), to get

$$u(t,m) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \kappa_l E_{\beta}(-\lambda_l(t)^{\beta})\phi_l(m).$$
(3.9)

Since  $f \in H^{s}(\mathcal{M})$  we get

$$|\kappa_l| \leq C \lambda_l^{-s}$$

for some C > 0. The fact that the series (3.9) converges absolutely and uniformly follows from

$$||\phi_l||_{\infty} \le C\lambda_l^{(n-1)/4}$$

and the asymptotics in (2.6):

$$||u||_{\infty} \leq \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |\kappa_l| E_{\beta}(-\lambda_l(t)^{\beta}) ||\phi_l||_{\infty} \leq \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \lambda_l^{-s} \lambda_l^{(n-1)/4} < \infty$$

as s > 1/4 + 3n/4 and  $\lambda_l \sim C_n l^{2/n}$  as  $l \to \infty$ .

To show that  $u \in C^1(\mathcal{M})$ , we use the following from Theorem 1 in Shi and Xu [43]. : For all  $\lambda_l \geq 1$ 

$$||\nabla \phi_l||_{\infty} \leq C \sqrt{\lambda_l} ||\phi_l||_{\infty},$$

where *C* is constant depending only on  $\mathcal{M}$ . This gives

$$||\nabla u||_{\infty} \leq \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |\kappa_l| ||\nabla \phi_l||_{\infty} \leq \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \lambda_l^{-s} \lambda_l^{n/2} < \infty.$$

Since s > 1/4 + 3n/4. Hence  $u \in C^1(\mathcal{M})$ .

Similarly,

$$||\Delta u||_{\infty} \leq \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |\kappa_l| ||\Delta \phi_l||_{\infty} \leq \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \lambda_l^{-s} ||\lambda_l \phi_l||_{\infty} < \infty$$

as s > 3/4 + 3n/4. We next show that  $\partial_t^\beta u$  exists pointwise as a continuous function. Using [24, Equation (17)]

$$\left|\frac{dE_{\beta}(-\lambda t^{\beta})}{dt}\right| \leq c\frac{\lambda t^{\beta-1}}{1+\lambda t^{\beta}} \leq c\lambda t^{\beta-1},$$

we get

$$||\partial_t u||_{\infty} \leq \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |\kappa_l| ||\partial_t E_{\beta}(-\lambda_l(t)^{\beta})||\phi_l||_{\infty} \leq ct^{\beta-1} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \lambda_l^{-s} |\lambda_l| ||\phi_l||_{\infty} < \infty$$

as s > 3/4 + 3n/4. It follows from Remark 1,  $\partial_t^{\beta} u$  exists pointwise as a continuous function and is defined as a classical function. Hence, we can apply the Laplacian and Caputo fractional derivative  $\partial_t^{\beta}$  term by term to (3.9) to show

$$(\partial_t^{\beta} - \Delta)u(t, m) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \kappa_l \bigg[ \partial_t^{\beta} E_{\beta}(-\lambda_l(t)^{\beta})\phi_l(m) - E_{\beta}(-\lambda_l(t)^{\beta})\Delta\phi_l(m) \bigg] = 0$$

The rest of the proof follows similar to the proof in [30, Theorem 3.1].

#### 3.3. Space fractional operators

Let  $P_s = e^{s\Delta}$  be the semigroup associated with the Laplace operator  $\Delta$  on the manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ , and let  $\nu(\cdot)$  be the Lévy measure of the subordinator  $S_t = S_t^{\Psi}$  such that  $\nu(-\infty, 0) = 0$ ,  $\int_0^{\infty} (s \wedge 1)\nu(ds) < \infty$  where  $a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$ . Recall that

$$\Psi(\xi) = \int_0^\infty \left(1 - e^{-s\xi}\right) \nu(ds) \tag{3.10}$$

is the so-called Laplace exponent of the corresponding subordinator  $S_t = S_t^{\Psi}$ ,  $t \ge 0$  with Laplace transform (1.9). The standard way to define fractional differential operators is as in equation (1.6) (see [42]).

Formula (1.6) extends the representation

$$-\left(-\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}\right)^{\alpha}f(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(P_{s}f(x) - f(x)\right)\nu(ds), \qquad (3.11)$$

where in this case  $v(ds) = \alpha s^{-\alpha - 1} / \Gamma(1 - \alpha) ds$  is the Lévy measure of a stable subordinator.

We next discuss the Cauchy problems for the space fractional operators. Recall that the Lévy measure  $\nu$  satisfies (e.g., see [1])

$$\int (|y|^2 \wedge 1) \nu(dy) < \infty.$$

Since  $(|y|^2 \wedge \epsilon) \le (|y|^2 \wedge 1)$  whenever  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ , it follows that

$$u((-\epsilon,\epsilon)^c) < \infty, \quad \text{for all} \quad 0 < \epsilon \le 1$$

(see, e.g., [1]). Furthermore, we recall that ([16, 17])

$$|\Psi(\xi)| \le c_{\Psi} (1+|\xi|^2), \tag{3.12}$$

where  $c_{\Psi} = 2 \sup_{|\xi| \le 1} |\Psi(\xi)|$ , that is  $\Psi \hat{f} \in L^2$  where  $\hat{f}$  is the Fourier transform of f. For the subordinator  $S_t$ , similar calculation leads to

$$|\Psi(\xi)| < \xi.$$

It sufficies to consider formula (3.10) and the fact that

$$\lim_{\xi \to \infty} \frac{\Psi(\xi)}{\xi} = 0.$$
(3.13)

**Definition 1.** Let  $\Psi$  be the symbol of a subordinator with no drift. Let  $f \in H^{s}(\mathcal{M})$  and s > (3n + 3)/4. Then,

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\Psi}f(m) = -\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} f_j\phi_j(m)\Psi(\lambda_j)$$
(3.14)

is absolutely and uniformly convergent. Furthermore,

$$\mathbb{D}^{\Psi}_{\mathcal{M}}f(m) = -\int_{\mathcal{M}}f(y)J(m,y)\mu(dy)$$
(3.15)

where

$$J(x, y) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \Psi(\lambda_j) \phi_j(x) \phi_j(y)$$

if the series converges.

The definition above and therefore the convergence of (3.14) immediately follows from (3.13) and the fact that  $\|\phi_j\|_{\infty} \leq C\lambda_j^{(n-1)/4}$  for some C > 0 with  $\lambda_j \sim j^{2/n}$  as  $j \to \infty$ . In order to arrive at (3.15), we observe that, for a suitable function f on  $\mathcal{M}$  for which a series representation by means of the orthonormal system  $\{\phi_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  holds true, we have that

$$P_s f(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} e^{-s\lambda_j} \phi_j(x) f_j$$
(3.16)

is the transition semigroup of a Brownian motion  $B_t$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , on the manifold  $\mathcal{M}$ . We recall that  $f_j = \int_{\mathcal{M}} f(x)\phi_j(x)\mu(dx)$ . Therefore, the semigroup  $u(x, t) = P_t f(x)$  solves the heat equation (1.2). From (3.16), the operator (1.6) takes the form

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\Psi}f(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( P_{s}f(x) - P_{0}f(x) \right) \nu(ds)$$
$$= \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} f_{j}\phi_{j}(x) \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( e^{-s\lambda_{j}} - 1 \right) \nu(ds) = -\sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} f_{j}\phi_{j}(x)\Psi(\lambda_{j})$$

and, therefore, we formally get that

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\Psi}f(x) = -\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} f_j\phi_j(x)\Psi(\lambda_j) = -\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \left(\int_{\mathcal{M}} f(y)\phi_j(y)\mu(dy)\right)\phi_j(x)\Psi(\lambda_j)$$

244 👄 M. D'OVIDIO AND E. NANE

$$= -\int_{\mathcal{M}} f(y) \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \Psi(\lambda_j) \phi_j(x) \phi_j(y) \right) \mu(dy) = -\int_{\mathcal{M}} f(y) J(x, y) \mu(dy).$$

**Remark 3.** Let us consider the kernel of the subordinate Brownian motion  $B^{x}(S(t))$ 

$$q(x, y, t) = \int_0^\infty p(x, y, s) \mathbb{P}\{S_t \in ds\} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} e^{-t\Psi(\lambda_j)} \phi_j(x) \phi_j(y),$$
(3.17)

where p(x, y, s) is the kernel (2.4) which is the transition density of Brownian motion and *S* is a subordinator with

$$-\partial_t \mathbb{E}e^{-\xi S_t}\Big|_{t=0^+} = \Psi(\xi).$$
(3.18)

In this case, the subordinate semigroup is given by

$$P_t^{\Psi}f(x) = \int_0^\infty P_s f(x) \mathbb{P}\{S_t \in ds\} = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} e^{-t\Psi(\lambda_j)} \langle f, \phi_j \rangle_\mu \phi_j(x)$$
(3.19)

where  $P_s$  is the transition semigroup of Brownian motion given in equation (3.16).

**Theorem 7.** The solution to Equation (1.8) can be written as  $u(x, t) = \mathbb{E}f(B_{S_t}^x) = P_t^{\Psi}f(x)$ where  $B_{S_t}^x$ ,  $t \ge 0$  is a subordinate Brownian motion on  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $S_t = S_t^{\Psi}$  is a subordinator with Laplace exponent (3.10).

This is the so-called Bochner subordination of Brownian motion with a subordinator  $S_t$  which implies that  $B_{S_t}^x$  is also a Lévy process on  $\mathcal{M}$ . See more on the Bochner subordination in [42].

#### 4. Random fields on $\mathcal{M}$

Let us consider the Gaussian random field T(m),  $m \in M$  where M is a compact manifold with the following properties:

- A.1) *T* has almost surely continuous sample paths;
- A.2) *T* has zero mean,  $\mathbb{E}T(m) = 0$ ;
- A.3) *T* has finite mean square integral,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathcal{M}} T^2(m)\mu(dm)\right] < \infty; \tag{4.1}$$

A.4) *T* has continuous covariance function

$$\mathscr{K}(m_1, m_2) = \mathbb{E}T(m_1)T(m_2). \tag{4.2}$$

It is well known (see, e.g., [19]) that there exist constants  $\zeta_1 \ge \zeta_2 \ge \cdots \ge 0$  and continuous functions  $\{\psi_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that the following properties are fulfilled:

- B.1)  $\{\psi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  are orthonormal in  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$ ;
- B.2)  $\{\psi_i, \zeta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  form a complete set of solutions to the Fredholm-type equation

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathscr{K}(m_1, m_2) \psi_j(m_1) \mu(dm_1) = \zeta_j \psi_j(m_2), \ \forall \ j \in \mathbf{N};$$
(4.3)

B.3) the following holds true

$$\mathscr{K}(m_1, m_2) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \zeta_j \psi_j(m_1) \psi_j(m_2)$$
(4.4)

and the series is absolutely and uniformly convergent on  $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ ;

B.4) there exists a sequence  $\{\omega_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  of Gaussian random variables  $(\omega_j \sim N(0, 1), \forall j)$  such that the following Karhunen–Loeve expansion holds

$$T(m) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \sqrt{\zeta_j} \omega_j \psi_j(m)$$
(4.5)

and the series converges in the integrated mean square sense on  $\mathcal{M}$ . The reader can consult [2].

We introduce the following spectral representation for the random field *T*.

**Theorem 8.** Let  $\{\phi_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{\psi_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  be the orthonormal systems previously specified. Let T(m),  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  be the random field for which A.1–A.4 are fulfilled.

(1) The representation

$$T(m) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \phi_j(m) c_j \tag{4.6}$$

where

$$c_j = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \sqrt{\zeta_i} \omega_i \langle \phi_j, \psi_i \rangle_\mu, \quad j \in \mathbf{N}$$

(with  $\omega_j \sim N(0, 1), \forall j$ ) holds in  $L^2(dP \otimes d\mu)$  sense, i.e.

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathcal{M}} \left(T(m) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \phi_j(m) c_j\right)^2 \mu(dm)\right] = 0.$$
(4.7)

(2) The Fourier random coefficients  $\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  are Gaussian r.v.'s such that

$$c_j \sim N\left(0, \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \zeta_i |\langle \phi_j, \psi_i \rangle_\mu|^2\right), \quad j \in \mathbf{N}.$$
 (4.8)

Furthermore,

$$\mathbb{E}[c_k c_s] = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \zeta_i \langle \phi_k, \psi_i \rangle_\mu \langle \phi_s, \psi_i \rangle_\mu.$$
(4.9)

**Proof.** We consider the orthonormal system  $\{\phi_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  on  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$  and the fact that the Karhunen–Loeve expansion

$$T(m) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \sqrt{\zeta_j} \omega_j \psi_j(m)$$
(4.10)

holds true since A.1–A.4 are fulfilled (the series converges in the integrated mean square sense on  $\mathcal{M}$ ). In force of these facts, we can write

$$\psi_j(x) = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \theta_{ij} \phi_i(x), \quad \text{where} \quad \theta_{ij} = \langle \psi_j, \phi_i \rangle_\mu$$
(4.11)

and  $\{\psi_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a set of continuous functions on  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfying B.1–B.4. Therefore, we obtain that

$$T(m) = \sum_{j,i\in\mathbb{N}} \sqrt{\zeta_j} \,\omega_j \,\theta_{ij} \,\phi_i(m) = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \left( \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \sqrt{\zeta_j} \,\omega_j \,\theta_{ij} \right) \phi_i(m). \tag{4.12}$$

246 😟 M. D'OVIDIO AND E. NANE

By comparing (4.12) with the (4.6), we can immediately see that

$$c_i = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \sqrt{\zeta_j} \,\omega_j \,\theta_{ij} \tag{4.13}$$

term by term and  $c_i$  is the Fourier random coefficient in the series expansion involving the orthonormal system  $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ . On the other hand, from (4.10), we have that

$$c_j = \int_{\mathcal{M}} T(m)\phi_j(m)\mu(dm) = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \sqrt{\zeta_i} \,\omega_i \int_{\mathcal{M}} \psi_i(m) \,\phi_j(m) \,\mu(dm), \tag{4.14}$$

which coincides with (4.13). We know that  $\omega_j \sim N(0, 1)$  and, therefore,

$$c_i \sim N\left(0, \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \zeta_j \, \theta_{ij}^2\right).$$
 (4.15)

From (4.4) and (4.5) we can immediately verify that  $\omega_j$  for all  $j \in \mathbf{N}$  are independent random variables, thus we write  $\mathbb{E}[\omega_j \omega_i] = \delta_i^j$  which is the Kronecker's delta symbol

$$\delta_i^j = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j, \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$
(4.16)

Result (4.9) comes from the fact that

$$\mathbb{E}[c_k c_s] = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{N}} \sqrt{\zeta_i} \sqrt{\zeta_j} \mathbb{E}[\omega_j \omega_i] \langle \phi_k, \psi_i \rangle_\mu \langle \phi_s, \psi_j \rangle_\mu$$
$$= \sum_{i,j \in \mathbf{N}} \sqrt{\zeta_i} \sqrt{\zeta_j} \delta_i^j \langle \phi_k, \psi_i \rangle_\mu \langle \phi_s, \psi_j \rangle_\mu$$

and the claim appears.

From the completeness of the system  $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we also obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathcal{M}} \left(T(m) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \phi_j(m) c_j\right)^2 \mu(dm)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left\|T(m) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \phi_j(m) c_j\right\|_{\mu}^2$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\|T(m)\|_{\mu}^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{N} \mathbb{E}c_j^2,$$

where

$$\mathbb{E}\|T(m)\|_{\mu}^{2} = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbb{E}[T(m)]^{2} \mu(dm) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \zeta_{j}$$

$$(4.17)$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N} \mathbb{E}c_{j}^{2} = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \zeta_{i} |\langle \phi_{j}, \psi_{i} \rangle_{\mu}|^{2}.$$
(4.18)

Indeed, we have that

$$\mathbb{E}[T(m)]^2 = \mathscr{K}(m, m) \tag{4.19}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathscr{K}(m,m)\mu(dm) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \zeta_j \int_{\mathcal{M}} |\psi_j(m)|^2 \mu(dm) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \zeta_j.$$
(4.20)

Formula (4.18) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \zeta_{i} \left| \langle \phi_{j}, \psi_{i} \rangle_{\mu} \right|^{2} &= \sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \zeta_{i} \left\langle \phi_{j}, \psi_{i} \rangle_{\mu} \left\langle \phi_{j}, \psi_{i} \rangle_{\mu} \right. \\ &= \left\langle \left| \left\langle \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \zeta_{i} \psi_{i}(u) \psi_{i}(z), \sum_{j=0}^{N} \phi_{j}(u) \phi_{j}(z) \right\rangle_{\mu(du)} \right\rangle_{\mu(dz)}, \end{split}$$

where, as usual,

$$\langle f,g\rangle_{\mu} = \int_{\mathcal{M}} f(y)g(y)\mu(dy).$$

By observing that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{N} \phi_j(u) \phi_j(z) = \delta(u-z), \qquad (4.21)$$

by the completeness of  $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we get that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\sum_{j=0}^N\sum_{i\in\mathbf{N}}\zeta_i|\langle\phi_j,\psi_i\rangle_{\mu}|^2=\sum_{i\in\mathbf{N}}\zeta_i\|\psi_i\|_{\mu}=\sum_{i\in\mathbf{N}}\zeta_i.$$

By collecting all pieces together, we obtain that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathcal{M}} \left(T(m) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \phi_j(m) c_j\right)^2 \mu(dm)\right] = 0$$

and this concludes the proof.

**Remark 4.** We observe that, if  $\phi_j = \psi_j$  for all *j* that is,  $\{\phi_j\}$  is an orthonormal system of eigenfunctions with eigenvalues  $\lambda_j$ ,  $j \ge 0$  and solves the Fredholm-type equation (4.3) depending on  $\zeta_j$ ,  $j \ge 0$ , then we have that

$$c_j = \sqrt{\zeta_j} \, \omega_j \sim N(0, \zeta_j).$$

#### 4.1. Cauchy problems with random initial conditions

We recall that the random field  $T \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$  on the manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  can be written as

$$T(m) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \phi_j(m) c_j \tag{4.22}$$

where the Fourier random coefficients are given in Theorem 8.

Theorem 9. The solution to

$$\left(\partial_t - \mathbb{D}^{\Psi}_{\mathcal{M}}\right) u(m, t) = 0 \tag{4.23}$$

subject to the random initial condition

$$u(m,0) = T(m) \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$$

$$(4.24)$$

is the time-dependent random field on  $\mathcal M$  written as

$$u(m,t) = T_t^{\Psi}(m) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} e^{-t\Psi(\lambda_j)} \phi_j(m) c_j.$$
(4.25)

**Proof.** By comparing (4.22) with (4.25), we immediately see that (4.24) is verified. Let us consider the fractional operator

$$\mathbb{D}^{\Psi}_{\mathcal{M}}u(m,t) = -\int_{\mathcal{M}}u(y,t)J(m,y)\mu(dy),$$

where the following expansion holds ( $u \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$ )

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} e^{-t\Psi(\lambda_j)} \phi_j(m) c_j, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \ t > 0.$$

We can write

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\Psi}u(m,t) = -\sum_{j\in\mathbf{N}} e^{-t\Psi(\lambda_j)} c_j \int_{\mathcal{M}} \phi_j(y) J(m,y) \mu(dy)$$
$$= \sum_{j\in\mathbf{N}} e^{-t\Psi(\lambda_j)} c_j \mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\Psi} \phi_j(m)$$
(4.26)

where (see formula (1.6))

$$\mathbb{D}^{\Psi}_{\mathcal{M}}\phi_j(m) = \int_0^\infty \left( P_s \phi_j(m) - \phi_j(m) \right) \nu(ds)$$
$$= \int_0^\infty \left( \mathbb{E}\phi_j(B^m_s) - \phi_j(m) \right) \nu(ds)$$

Since

$$\mathbb{E}\phi_j(B_s^m) = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} e^{-s\lambda_i} \kappa_i \phi_i(m) \langle \phi_j, \phi_i \rangle_\mu$$

where  $\langle \phi_j, \phi_i \rangle_\mu = \delta_i^j$  and

$$\kappa_i = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \delta(y) \phi_i(y) \mu(dy) = 1.$$
(4.27)

we get (see formula (2.9) as well)

$$P_s\phi_j(m) = e^{-s\lambda_j}\phi_j(m). \tag{4.28}$$

By collecting all pieces together, we get

$$\mathbb{D}^{\Psi}_{\mathcal{M}}\phi_j(m) = \int_0^\infty \left(e^{-s\lambda_j}\phi_j(m) - \phi_j(m)\right)\nu(ds)$$
$$= \phi_j(m)\int_0^\infty \left(e^{-s\lambda_j} - 1\right)\nu(ds)$$
$$= -\phi_j(m)\Psi(\lambda_j),$$

where we have used the representation (3.10) of the symbol  $\Psi$ . In light of this, formula (4.26) takes the form

$$\mathbb{D}^{\Psi}_{\mathcal{M}}u(m,t) = -\sum_{j\in\mathbf{N}} e^{-t\Psi(\lambda_j)} c_j \phi_j(m) \Psi(\lambda_j)$$

and, therefore,

$$\left(\partial_t - \mathbb{D}^{\Psi}_{\mathcal{M}}\right)u(m,t) = 0$$

We also notice that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \left\| \Psi(\lambda_j) e^{-t\Psi(\lambda_j)} c_j \phi_j \right\|_{\infty} \le \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \Psi(\lambda_j) e^{-t\Psi(\lambda_j)} \|c_j\| \|\phi_j\|_{\infty} < \infty$$
(4.29)

since  $\Psi$  is a Bernstein function.

## 4.2. Special manifolds

We give some examples of manifolds in the following sections.

## 4.2.1. The manifold $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathbb{R}^n$ .

For the special case  $\Psi(z) = |z|^{\alpha}$ , we obtain the fractional Laplacian

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}^{\Psi}f(x) = C_{d}(\alpha) \text{ p.v.} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{|x - y|^{\alpha + d}} dy = -(-\Delta_{\mathbf{R}^{d}})^{\alpha} f(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^{d},$$
(4.30)

where "p.v." stands for the "principal value" being the integral above singular near the origin and  $C_d(\alpha)$  is a normalizing constant depending on *d* and  $\alpha$ .

# 4.2.2. The manifold $\mathcal{M} \equiv S^2$

We consider the unit (two dimensional) sphere

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}^2 &= \left\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |z| = 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^3 : z = (\sin\vartheta\cos\varphi, \sin\vartheta\sin\varphi, \cos\vartheta), \ \vartheta \in [0, \pi], \ \varphi \in [0, 2\pi] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mu(dz) = \sin\vartheta \, d\vartheta \, d\varphi.$$

The sphere  $S^2$  is an example of a compact manifold without boundary. For  $\lambda_l = l(l+1)$  and  $l \ge 0$ , the spherical harmonics

$$Y_{lm}(\vartheta,\varphi) = \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi} \frac{(l-m)!}{(l+m)!}} Q_{lm}(\cos\vartheta) e^{im\varphi}$$

solve the eigenvalue problem

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{S}_{1}^{2}} Y_{lm} = -\lambda_{l} Y_{lm}, \quad l \ge 0, \ |m| \le l,$$
(4.31)

where

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{S}_{1}^{2}} = \frac{1}{\sin\vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta} \left( \sin\vartheta \frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^{2}\vartheta} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\varphi^{2}}, \quad \vartheta \in [0,\pi], \ \varphi \in [0,2\pi], \quad (4.32)$$

is the spherical Laplace operator and

$$Q_{lm}(z) = (-1)^m (1-z^2)^{m/2} \frac{d^m}{dz^m} Q_l(z)$$

are the associated Legendre functions with Legendre polynomials defined as

$$Q_l(z) = \frac{1}{2^l l!} \frac{d^l}{dz^l} (z^2 - 1)^l$$

For a detailed discussion see, for example, [29]. We have that

$$\mathbb{E}(T(x)T(y)) = \mathscr{K}(x,y) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} C_l \frac{2l+1}{4\pi} Q_l(\langle x, y \rangle), \qquad (4.33)$$

where

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \cos d(x, y) \tag{4.34}$$

is the usual inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (d(x, y) is the spherical distance) and  $C_l$ ,  $l \ge 0$  is the so called angular power spectrum of *T* satisfying

$$\int_{S_1^2} \mathscr{K}(x, y) Y_{lm}(y) \mu(dy) = C_l Y_{lm}(x).$$
(4.35)

We recall that (addition formula)

$$\sum_{m=-l}^{+l} Y_{lm}(x) Y_{lm}^{*}(y) = \frac{2l+1}{4\pi} Q_{l}(\langle x, y \rangle)$$

and we recover (4.33) from (4.4). Thus,  $\zeta_l = C_l$  (and  $\lambda_l = l(l+1)$  as pointed out before) for all  $l \ge 0$ . Furthermore,

$$T(x) = \sum_{l \in \mathbf{N}} \sum_{|m| \le l} c_{lm} Y_{lm}(x)$$
(4.36)

where  $c_{lm}$  are Gaussian r.v.'s (since *T* is Gaussian). Therefore, due to the fact that  $\{Y_{lm}\}$  represents an orthonormal system of eigenfunctions of  $\triangle_{S^2}$  solving the Fredholm-type integral equation (4.3), then  $\theta_{ij} = \delta_i^j$  in (4.11) and we get that

$$c_{lm} = \sqrt{C_l \omega_l} \sim N(0, C_l), \quad \text{for all } |m| \le l \text{ and } l \ge 0.$$

Also we observe that

$$q(x, y, t) = \sum_{l \in \mathbf{N}} \sum_{m=-l}^{+l} e^{-t\Psi(\lambda_l)} Y_{lm}(x) Y_{lm}^*(y) = \sum_{l \in \mathbf{N}} e^{-t\Psi(\lambda_l)} \frac{2l+1}{4\pi} Q_l(\langle x, y \rangle)$$
(4.37)

is the kernel solving the fractional equation

$$\partial_t u = \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{S}^2}^{\Psi} u. \tag{4.38}$$

For  $\Psi(z) = z^{\alpha}$  we get that

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{S}^{2}}^{\Psi} u = -(-\Delta_{\mathbf{S}^{2}})^{\alpha} u. \tag{4.39}$$

It is worth mentioning that spherical random fields have been considered by many authors in order to study cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation in the theory explained by the Big Bang model. In particular, CMB radiation is a radiation filling the universe almost everywhere and it can be affected by several anisotropies. Our aim in this direction is to explain such anisotropies by considering our coordinates changed random field on  $\mathcal{M} = S_1^2$ . This fact should become clear later on, in Remark 5. Here, the characterization of the angular power spectrum turns out to be very important. Indeed, such a study allow to explain many aspects such as Sachs–Wolfe effect or Silk damping effect for instance. For a deep discussion on this topic we refer to [29] or [13].

### 4.2.3. The manifold $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathbf{T}^2$ : torus

We now consider the compact (two-dimensional) manifold  $\mathbf{T}^2$  which is the quotient of the unit square  $Q = [0, 1]^2 \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  by the equivalence relation

$$(x, y) \sim (x + 1, y) \sim (x, y + 1)$$

equipped with the quotient topology. It is well known that the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the *n*-torus is written as  $\Delta_{\mathbf{T}^n} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}$  where  $x_j$  is a variable such that, for all *j*, it describes the circle  $\mathbf{S}^1 = \{e^{ix_j} : -\pi < x_j < \pi\}$ . An integrable function *f* on  $\mathbf{T}^n$  is, therefore, written as

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^n} f_k e^{i(k \cdot x)}, \quad x \in \mathbf{T}^n$$

where  $k \cdot x = \sum_{i} k_{i} x_{i}$  and

$$f_k = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{T}^n} f(x) e^{-i(k \cdot x)} \mu(dx).$$

The heat kernel on  $\mathbf{T}^n$  takes the form

$$p(x,t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{n/2}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - 2\pi k|^2}{4t}\right)$$
(4.40)

and the transition semigroup is, therefore, written as

$$P_t f(x) = \int_{\mathbf{T}^n} p(x - y, t) f(y) \mu(dy).$$
(4.41)

Also in this case we can study the differential operator  $-\Psi(-\triangle)$ . See, for example, [6] or [40], where the authors investigate the fractional power of the Laplace operator on the torus.

#### 5. Time-changed Brownian manifolds

In this section we introduce the time-dependent random field

$$\mathfrak{T}_t(m) = T(B_t^m), \quad m \in \mathcal{M}, \ t > 0,$$
(5.1)

which can be conveniently written as

$$\mathfrak{T}_t(m) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \phi_j(B_t^m) c_j, \qquad (5.2)$$

where  $B_t^m$ ,  $t \ge 0$  is a Brownian motion on  $\mathcal{M}$  started at  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  and T is the random field on  $\mathcal{M}$  with representation (4.6). In this section, we study the compositions involving both the subordinate and the time changed Brownian motions leading to the time-dependent random fields

$$\mathfrak{I}_t^{\Psi}(m) = T(B_{\mathcal{S}_t}^m), \quad m \in \mathcal{M}, \ t > 0, \ \alpha \in (0, 1)$$

$$(5.3)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{T}_{t}^{\beta}(m) = T(B_{E_{t}}^{m}), \quad m \in \mathcal{M}, \ t > 0, \ \beta \in (0, 1).$$
 (5.4)

Recall that  $D_t$  is a subordinator with symbol  $\Psi$  whereas  $E_t = E_t^{\beta}$  is an inverse to a stable subordinator of index  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  defined by the formula (1.4). We assume that the random times  $S_t$  and  $E_t$  are independent from  $B_t^m$ . In the following results, we obtain coordinate changed random fields starting from the random fields (5.3) and (5.4) indexed by different time-changed

Brownian manifolds. We consider the sets  $\{B_{S_t}^m\} \subset \mathcal{M}$  and  $\{B_{E_t}^m\} \subset \mathcal{M}$  as new sets of indices for the random field *T*.

## 5.1. Space-time fractional equations with random initial conditions

In this section we relate the solutions to Equations (4.23) and (3.4) (with random initial condition) with the coordinate changed random fields introduced so far.

Lemma 1. The random field in (4.25) can be represented as

$$T_t^{\Psi}(m) = \mathbb{E}\left[T(B_{S_t}^m) \middle| \mathfrak{F}_T\right],\tag{5.5}$$

where  $\mathfrak{F}_T$  is the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the random field *T*.

**Proof.** First we write (see (4.6))

$$T(B_{S_t}^m) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \phi_j(B_{S_t}^m) c_j$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[T(B_{S_t}^m)\Big|\mathfrak{F}_T\right] = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{E}\left[\phi_j(B_{S_t}^m)\,c_j\Big|\mathfrak{F}_T\right],$$

where we recall that

$$c_j = \int_{\mathcal{M}} T(m)\phi_j(m)\mu(dm)$$

and, therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[T(B_{S_t}^m)\Big|\mathfrak{F}_T\right] = \sum_{j\in\mathbf{N}} \mathbb{E}\left[\phi_j(B_{S_t}^m)\right] c_j.$$

We have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\phi_j(B^m_{S_t})\right] = \mathbb{P}_t \,\phi_j(m),$$

where  $\mathbb{P}_t = \exp(t\mathbb{D}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\Psi})$  is the semigroup associated with the problem in Theorem 9. As in formula (4.28), we get that

$$\mathbb{P}_t \phi_j(m) = e^{-t\Psi(\lambda_j)} \phi_j(m) \tag{5.6}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[T(B_{\mathcal{S}_{t}}^{m})\middle|\mathfrak{F}_{T}\right]=\sum_{j\in\mathbf{N}}e^{-t\Psi(\lambda_{j})}\phi_{j}(m)\,c_{j},$$

which is the spectral representation of  $T_t^{\alpha}$ .

Next we introduce the space of random fields on  $\mathcal{M}$  given by

$$\mathbb{H}_{F}^{s}(\mathcal{M}) = \left\{ T \text{ such that (4.6) holds and } \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} (\lambda_{j})^{2s} \mathbb{E}c_{j}^{2} < \infty \right\},$$
(5.7)

that is,  $\mathbb{H}^{s}_{F}(\mathcal{M}) \subset L^{2}(\mathcal{M})$ .

**Theorem 10.** For s > (3 + 3n)/4, the solution to the problem (3.4) with random initial condition  $T_0^{\beta}(m) = T(m) \in \mathbb{H}^s_F(\mathcal{M}), m \in \mathcal{M}$  is written as

$$T_t^{\beta}(m) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} E_{\beta}(-t^{\beta}\lambda_j) \phi_j(m) c_j, \quad m \in \mathcal{M}, \ t \ge 0,$$
(5.8)

where

$$c_j = \int_{\mathcal{M}} T(x)\phi_j(x)\mu(dx), \quad j \in \mathbf{N}$$

and (5.8) holds in  $L^2(dP \otimes d\mu)$  sense, that is,

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathcal{M}} \left(T_t^{\beta}(m) - \sum_{j=1}^L E_{\beta}(-t^{\beta}\lambda_j) \phi_j(m) c_j\right)^2 \mu(dm)\right] = 0.$$
(5.9)

**Proof.** We use the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 6 and Theorem 8. The initial condition is satisfied by taking into account that  $E_{\beta}(0) = 1$ , see formula (2.21).

Lemma 2. The random field in Equation (5.8) can be represented as

$$T_t^{\beta}(m) = \mathbb{E}\left[T(B_{E_t}^m) \middle| \mathfrak{F}_T\right],\tag{5.10}$$

where  $\mathfrak{F}_T$  is the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the random field *T*.

Proof. As in proof of Lemma 1, we can write

$$T(B^m_{E^{\beta}_t}) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \phi_j(B^m_{E^{\beta}_t}) c_j,$$

where we use also the superscript  $\beta$  in order to underline the connection with Theorem 6. As before, we have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[T(B_{E_{t}^{\beta}}^{m})\Big|\mathfrak{F}_{T}\right]=\sum_{j\in\mathbf{N}}\mathbb{E}\left[\phi_{j}(B_{E_{t}^{\beta}}^{m})\right]c_{j}.$$

From (3.5) and the orthogonality of  $\{\phi_i\}$ , we have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\phi_j(B^m_{E^\beta_t})\right] = E_\beta(-t^\beta\lambda_j)\,\phi_j(m)$$

and, therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[T(B^m_{E^{\beta}_t})\Big|\mathfrak{F}_T\right] = \sum_{j\in\mathbf{N}} E_{\beta}(-t^{\beta}\lambda_j)\,\phi_j(m)\,c_j,$$

which coincides with the spectral representation (5.8).

#### 5.2. Spectrum for time-changed random fields

We recall that, for the random Fourier coefficients we have that

$$\mathbb{E}[c_k c_j] = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathscr{K}(x, y) \phi_k(x) \phi_j(y) \mu(dx) \mu(dy)$$
$$= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \zeta_i \int_{\mathcal{M}} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \psi_i(x) \psi_i(y) \phi_k(x) \phi_j(y) \mu(dx) \mu(dy) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \zeta_i \theta_{ki} \theta_{ji}$$

as pointed out before. For k = j, we get the spectrum

$$C_j = \mathbb{E}c_j^2 = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \zeta_i \theta_{ji}^2, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
 (5.11)

From the fact that

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} T^2(x)\mu(dx) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} c_j^2$$

since  $T \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$ , we get that

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbf{N}}\mathbb{E}c_j^2=\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\mathcal{M}}T^2(x)\mu(dx)\right)<\infty$$

and, therefore, in particular, if

$$\mathbb{E}c_j^2 = C_j \sim j^{-\gamma}, \quad \gamma > 2 \tag{5.12}$$

then this ensures summability and *T* is a square integrable random field on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

We present the following results concerning the spectrum of the random fields introduced so far.

**Theorem 11.** Let  $c_j^{\Psi}(t)$ ,  $t \ge 0$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  be the spectrum of (5.5) and suppose that  $c_j$ 's satisfy (5.12). *Then*,

$$\mathbb{E}[c_j^{\Psi}(t)]^2 = C_j e^{-2t\Psi(\lambda_j)} \approx j^{-\gamma} e^{-t\Psi(j^{2/n})}, \qquad as \ j \to \infty.$$
(5.13)

**Proof.** We obtain that

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} T_t^{\Psi}(m)\phi_j(m)\mu(dm) = \sum_{i\in\mathbf{N}} c_i e^{-t\Psi(\lambda_i)} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \phi_i(m)\phi_j(m)\mu(dm),$$
$$= c_j e^{-t\Psi(\lambda_j)}$$

where

$$c_j^{\Psi}(t) = c_j e^{-t\Psi(\lambda_j)}$$

is the spectrum of  $T_t^{\Psi}$ . From (2.6) and (5.12), formula (5.13) immediately follows.

**Theorem 12.** Let  $c_j^{\beta}(t)$ ,  $t \ge 0$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  be the spectrum of (5.10) and suppose that  $c_j$ 's satisfy (5.12). Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[c_{j}^{\beta}(t)]^{2} = C_{j}[E_{\beta}(-t^{\beta}\lambda_{j})]^{2} \approx j^{-\gamma}(1+t^{\beta}j^{2/n})^{-2}, \qquad as \ j \to \infty.$$
(5.14)

Proof. We have that

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} T_t^{\beta}(m)\phi_j(m)\mu(dm) = \sum_{i\in\mathbf{N}} c_i E_{\beta}(-t^{\beta}\lambda_i) \int_{\mathcal{M}} \phi_i(m)\phi_j(m)\mu(dm) = c_j E_{\beta}(-t^{\beta}\lambda_j)$$
$$= c_j^{\beta}(t).$$

From (2.6), (5.12), and (2.23) we get (5.14).

From the fact that

$$L^{2}(\mathcal{M}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_{j},$$
(5.15)

where  $\mathcal{H}_j, j = 1, 2, ...$  are orthogonal eigenspaces, we have that for a given  $j, c_j^{\Psi}$  represents the variance of  $T^{\Psi}$  explained by its projection on  $\mathcal{H}_j$ . Therefore, the set  $\{c_j^{\Psi} : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$  gives us a complete information about the variance of  $T^{\Psi}$ . The same holds for  $T^{\beta}$  if we consider the set  $\{c_j^{\beta} : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ .

Remark 5. We recall some symbols of the subordinators introduced before:

- $\Psi(z) = z^{\alpha}$ : stable subordinator,  $\nu(dy) = dy \, \alpha y^{-\alpha 1} / \Gamma(1 \alpha)$ ;
- $\Psi(z) = bz + z^{\alpha}$ : stable subordinator with drift,  $\nu(\cdot)$  as above and b > 0;
- $\Psi(z) = \ln (1 + z)$ : gamma subordinator,  $\nu(dy) = dy y^{-1} e^{-y}$ ;
- $\Psi(z) = \ln (1 + z^{\alpha})$ : geometric stable subordinator,  $\nu(dy) = dy \alpha y^{-1} E_{\alpha}(-y)$  where  $E_{\alpha}$  is the Mittag–Leffler function.

If we consider the sum of an  $\alpha_1$ -stable subordinator X and an  $\alpha_2$ -geometric stable subordinator Y, then we get that

$$\mathbb{E}\exp\left(-\lambda_j(X_{qt}+Y_{pt})\right) = \exp\left(-qt\Psi_X(\lambda_j) - pt\Psi_Y(\lambda_j)\right) = e^{-qt\lambda_j^{\alpha_1}}(1+\lambda_j^{\alpha_2})^{-pt}$$

and, therefore, for the spectrum of (5.5) we obtain that

$$\mathbb{E}[c_j^{\Psi}(t)] \approx j^{-\gamma - \frac{2pt}{n}\alpha_2} \exp(-qt j_n^{\frac{2}{n}\alpha_1})$$
(5.16)

for large *j*. Obviously, for p = 0 or q = 0, we have qualitatively different behaviour for the covariance structure of  $c_j^{\alpha}$  and, therefore, of the corresponding field  $T^{\alpha}$ . Thus, we can describe different covariance structures of a random field by considering its angular power spectrum. In particular, the angular power spectrum exhibits an exponential and/or polynomial behaviour depending on these covariance structures. Our result relates the coordinates change with the form of the angular power spectrum.

**Remark 6.** We notice that  $c_j^{\Psi}(t)$  and  $c_j^{\beta}(t)$  approach to zero as  $t \to \infty$ . Furthermore, the random solutions (5.5) and (5.10) converge to the random variables  $T_t^{\Psi}(m) \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} \phi_0(m)c_0$  and  $T_t^{\beta}(m) \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} \phi_0(m)c_0$  under the assumption that  $\lambda_0 = 0$  and therefore  $\Psi(\lambda_0) = 0$  and  $E_{\beta}(-\lambda_0) = 1$ . Thus, the steady-state solution in both cases turns out to be a random variable on  $\mathcal{M}$  with law given by  $c_0$  (see also Theorem 4).

#### References

- 1. Applebaum, D. 2009. *Levy Processes and Stochastic Calculus*, 2nd ed. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Adler, R. J. 1990. An Introduction to Continuity, Extrema, and Related Topics for General Gaussian Processes. IMS Lecture Notes–Monograph Series. Hayward, CA: Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Vol. 12.
- Baeumer, B., and Meerschaert. M. M. 2001. Stochastic solutions for fractional Cauchy problems. Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 4:481–500.
- 4. Baldi, P., and Marinucci, D. 2007. Some characterization of the spherical harmonics coefficients for isotropic random fields. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 77:490–496.
- 5. Bertoin, J. 1996. Lévy Processes. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Bochner, S. 1937. Completely monotone functions of the Laplace operator for torus and sphere. Duke Math. J. 3:488–502.
- Caputo, M. 1967. Linear models of dissipation whose Q is almost frequency independent, Part II. Geophys. J. R. Astr. Soc. 13:529–539.
- 8. Chavel, I. 1984. Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry. Orlando, FL: Academic Press.
- Davies, E. B. 1989. *Heat Kernels and Spectral Theory*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

- 256 😸 M. D'OVIDIO AND E. NANE
- 10. Dodelson, S. 2003. Modern Cosmology. Boston: Academic Press.
- Donnelly, H. 2006. Eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on compact Riemannian manifolds. Asian J. Math. 10(1):115–175.
- D'Ovidio, M. 2011. On the fractional counterpart of the higher-order equations. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* 81:1929–1939.
- D'Ovidio, M., and Nane, E. 2014. Time dependent random fields on spherical nonhomogeneous surfaces. Stoch. Proc. Appl. 124:2098–2131.
- 14. Emery, M.1989. Stochastic Calculus in Manifolds. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Grigor'yan, A. 1999. Estimates of heat kernels on Riemannian manifolds. In Spectral Theory and Geometry. ICMS Instructional Conference, Edinburgh, 1998, Davies, B., and Safarov, Yu., Eds. London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes 273. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 140–225.
- 16. Hoh, W. 1995. Pseudo-differential operators with negative definite symbols and the martingale problem. *Stochastics and Stochastic Reports* 55:225–252.
- Hoh, W., and Jacob. N. 1996. On the Dirichlet problem for pseudodifferential operators generating Feller semigroups. J. Funct. Anal. 137(1):19–48.
- 18. Hörmander, L. 1968. The spectral function of an elliptic operator. Acta Math. 121:193–178.
- Kac, M., and Siegert, A. J. F. 1947. An explicit representation of a stationary Gaussian process. Ann. Math. Statist. 26:189–171.
- Kilbas, A. A., Srivastava, H. M., and Trujillo, J. J. 2006. Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Vol. 204. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Kochubei, A. N. 1989. The Cauchy problem for evolution equations of fractional order. *Differential Equations* 25:967–974.
- Kochubei, A. N. 2008. Distributed order calculus and equations of ultraslow diffusion. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 340:252–281.
- 23. Kolb, E. W., and Turner, M. S. 1994. The Early Universe. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Krägeloh, A. M. 2003. Two families of functions related to the fractional powers of generators of strongly continuous contraction semigroups. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 283:459–467.
- 25. Kumura, H.2003. On the intrinsic ultracontractivity for compact manifolds with boundary. *Kyushu J. Math.* 57:1–29.
- Marinucci, D. 2006. High-resolution asymptotics for the angular bispectrum of spherical random fields. Ann. Statist. 34:1–41.
- Marinucci, D. 2008. A central limit theorem and higher order results for the angular bispectrum. Probab. Theory Related Fields. 141:389–409.
- 28. Marinucci, D., and Peccati, G. 2008. High-frequency asymptotics for subordinated stationary fields on an Abelian compact group. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 118:585–613.
- 29. Marinucci, D., and Peccati, G. 2011. Random Fields on the Sphere: Representations, Limit Theorems and Cosmological Applications. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Meerschaert, M. M., Nane, E., and Vellaisamy, P. 2009. Fractional Cauchy problems on bounded domains. *Ann. Probab.* 37: 979–1007.
- Meerschaert, M. M., Nane, E., and Xiao, Y. 2013. Fractal dimensions for continuous time random walk limits, *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 83:1083–1793.
- Meerschaert, M. M., and Scheffler, H. P. 2004. Limit theorems for continuous time random walks with infinite mean waiting times. J. Appl. Probab. 41:623–638.
- Meerschaert, M. M., and Straka, P. 2012. *Inverse stable subirdinators*. Available at: http://www.stt.msu.edu/users/mcubed/hittingTime.pdf
- 34. Milnor, J. 1997. *Topology from the Differentiable Viewpoint*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Nane, E. 2012. Fractional Cauchy problems on bounded domains: survey of recent results. In *Fractional Dynamics and Control*, Baleanu, D., et al., Eds. New York: Springer, 185–178.
- 36. Nigmatullin, R. R. 1986. The realization of the generalized transfer in a medium with fractal geometry. *Phys. Status Solidi B* 133:425–430.
- Orsingher, E., and Beghin, L. 2009. Fractional diffusion equations and processes with randomly varying time. *Ann. Probab.* 37:206–249.
- Penzias, A. A., and Wilson, R. W. 1965. A measurement of excess antenna temperature at 4080 mc/s. Astrophysical Journal 142:419–421.

Downloaded by [Universita Studi la Sapienza] at 23:50 18 February 2016

- Podlubny, I.1999. An Introduction to Fractional Derivatives, Fractional Differential Equations, Some Methods of Their Solution and Some of Their Applications. New York: Academic Press.
- 40. Roncal, L., and Stinga, P. R. 2013. Fractional Laplacian on the torus. arXiv:1209.6104v2. [Preprint]
- 41. Samko, S. G., Kilbas, A. A., and Marichev, O. I. 1993. *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*. Newark, NJ: Gordon and Breach.
- 42. Schilling, R. L., Song, R., and Vondracek, Z. 2012. *Bernstein functions. Theory and Applications*, 2nd ed. de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 37. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- 43. Shi, Y., and Xu, B. 2010. Gradient estimate of an eigenfunction on a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* 38:1–21.
- 44. Varadhan, S. R. S. 1967. On the behaviour of the fundamental solution of the heat equation with variable coefficients. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 20:431–455.
- 45. Wyss, W. 1986. The fractional diffusion equations. J. Math. Phys. 27:2782-2785.
- Xu, X. 2009. Gradient estimates for eigenfunctions of compact manifolds with boundary and the Hörmander multiplier theorem. *Forum Mathematicum* 21(3):455–476.