Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Statistics and Probability Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/stapro

The median of an exponential family and the normal law

Gérard Letac^{a,*}, Lutz Mattner^b, Mauro Piccioni^c

^a Laboratoire de Statistique et Probabilités, Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France

^b Universität Trier, Fachbereich IV - Mathematik, 54286 Trier, Deutschland, Germany

^c Dipartimento di Matematica, Sapienza Università di Roma, 00185 Roma, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Available online 23 October 2017

Accepted 4 October 2017

Received in revised form 3 October 2017

Article history: Received 9 Iune 2017

MSC 2010: 62E10 60E05

ABSTRACT

Let *P* be a probability on the real line generating a natural exponential family $(P_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. We show that the property that *t* is a median of P_t for all *t* characterizes *P* as the standard Gaussian law N(0, 1).

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

45E10 *Keywords:* Characterization of the normal laws Real exponential families Median of a distribution Choquet–Deny equation

1. Introduction

Let *P* be a probability on the real line and assume that

$$L(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{tx} P(dx) < \infty \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Such a probability generates the natural exponential family

$$\mathcal{F}_P = \{ P_t(\mathrm{d} x) = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{tx}}{L(t)} P(\mathrm{d} x), \ t \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

Then it might happen that the natural parameter t of \mathcal{F}_P is always a median of P_t , in the sense of

$$P_t((-\infty,t)) \le \frac{1}{2} \le P_t((-\infty,t]) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(2)

In the sequel we denote by \mathcal{P} the set of probabilities P such that (1) and (2) are fulfilled. A noteworthy example of an element of \mathcal{P} is the standard normal distribution N(0, 1), for which $L(t) = e^{t^2/2}$ and $P_t = N(t, 1)$. It will turn out that it is the only one. The following preliminary lemmas simplify the study of \mathcal{P} .

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: gerard.letac@math.univ-toulouse.fr (G. Letac), mattner@uni-trier.de (L. Mattner), piccioni@mat.uniroma1.it (M. Piccioni).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spl.2017.10.002 0167-7152/© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.





(1)

Lemma 1. If $P \in \mathcal{P}$, then P is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. As a consequence, we have equality throughout in (2).

Lemma 2. If $P \in \mathcal{P}$, then its distribution function is strictly increasing.

If $P \in \mathcal{P}$, then Lemma 1 allows us to write

 $P(\mathrm{d}x) = g(x)\varphi(x)\mathrm{d}x,$

where g is some measurable non-negative function and $\varphi(x) = e^{-x^2/2}/\sqrt{2\pi}$ denotes the standard normal density, and we will show that then g(x) = 1 a.e. to get:

Theorem 1. $\mathcal{P} = \{N(0, 1)\}.$

The proofs of the above results are contained in Section 2, followed by a conjecture and a further theorem.

2. Proofs

Proof of Lemma 1. The next paragraph shows that the distribution function of *P* is locally Lipschitz, and this implies the claimed absolute continuity, even with a locally bounded density, compare for example Royden and Fitzpatrick (2010, pp. 120–124).

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, multiplying in assumption (2) by L(t) yields

$$h(t) := \int_{(-\infty,t]} e^{tx} P(dx) \ge \frac{1}{2} L(t) \ge \int_{(-\infty,t)} e^{tx} P(dx) = h(t-).$$
(4)

Hence, if A > 0 is given, then for s, t with $-A \le s < t \le A$, we get

$$P((s,t)) = \int_{(s,t)} e^{-tx} e^{tx} P(dx) \leq e^{A^2} \int_{(s,t)} e^{tx} P(dx)$$

$$= e^{A^2} \left(h(t-) - h(s) + \int_{(-\infty,s]} (e^{sx} - e^{tx}) P(dx) \right)$$

$$\leq e^{A^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} (L(t) - L(s)) + (t-s) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x| e^{A|x|} P(dx) \right)$$

$$\leq c_A \cdot (t-s)$$

for some finite constant c_A . We have been using (4) and $|e^u - e^v| \le |u - v|e^w$ for $|u|, |v| \le w$ at the penultimate step. Using assumption (1), we rely at the ultimate step on local Lipschitzness of L, due to its analyticity, and on finiteness of $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|e^{A|x|}P(dx)$. \Box

Proof of Lemma 2. Assume to the contrary that there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with a < b and P((a, b)) = 0. Then, for $t \in (a, b)$, Lemma 1 and (2) yield

$$\int_{-\infty}^{a} e^{tx} P(dx) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{tx} P(dx) = \int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{tx} P(dx) = \int_{b}^{\infty} e^{tx} P(dx).$$

Thus the two measures $\mathbf{1}_{(-\infty,a]}(x)P(dx)$ and $\mathbf{1}_{[b,+\infty)}(x)P(dx)$ have finite and identical Laplace transforms on some non-empty interval. Hence the two measures coincide, and hence *P* must be the zero measure, which is absurd. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1. With the representation (3) for $P \in \mathcal{P}$, assumption (2) is rewritten as

$$\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{tx - \frac{x^2}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} g(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{tx - \frac{x^2}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} g(x) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$
(5)

We multiply both sides by $e^{-t^2/2}$:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\frac{(t-x)^2}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} g(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{(t-x)^2}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} g(x) \, dx.$$
(6)

In other terms the unknown function g satisfies

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \operatorname{sign}\left(t - x\right)\varphi(t - x)g(x)\,\mathrm{d}x = 0\tag{7}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. A formal derivation of (7) in t, using the product rule under the integral, and with one derivative being twice a delta function, leads to the equation

$$g(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} q(t-x)g(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \tag{8}$$

(3)

a.e. in *t*, where $q(y) := \frac{1}{2}|y|e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}}$ is a probability density, but instead of justifying this formal differentiation, it seems easier to start by computing the derivative of

$$h(t) := \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{tx} P(dx).$$

By Lemma 2 the distribution function F of P has a continuous inverse F^{-1} . Using the quantile transform we have

$$h(t) = \int_0^1 \mathbf{1}_{\{F^{-1} \le t\}}(u) \mathrm{e}^{tF^{-1}(u)} \,\mathrm{d}u = \int_0^{F(t)} \mathrm{e}^{tF^{-1}(u)} \,\mathrm{d}u = H(F(t), t)$$

with $H(s, t) := \int_0^s e^{tF^{-1}(u)} du$ for $s \in (0, 1)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Now H has continuous partial derivatives $H_1(s, t) = e^{tF^{-1}(s)}$ and $H_2(s, t) = \int_0^s F^{-1}(u)e^{tF^{-1}(u)} du$, due to the continuity of F^{-1} , and hence H is differentiable. Let f be a Lebesgue density of P. Then, at every t where F'(t) = f(t), and hence at Lebesgue-a.e. t, the chain rule yields

$$h'(t) = H_1(F(t), t)f(t) + H_2(F(t), t) = e^{t^2}f(t) + \int_0^{F(t)} F^{-1}(u)e^{tF^{-1}(u)} du$$

= $e^{t^2}f(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t x e^{tx}f(x) dx.$

Thus differentiating the identity (5) and observing that $f(x) = g(x)\varphi(x)$ we obtain the following a.e.-identity

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{t^2/2}g(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t xe^{tx-\frac{x^2}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}g(x)\,\mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} xe^{tx-\frac{x^2}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}g(x)\,\mathrm{d}x$$

and multiplying the latter by $\sqrt{2\pi}e^{-t^2/2}$ gives

$$g(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{t}^{+\infty} x e^{-(t-x)^{2}/2} g(x) \, dx - \int_{-\infty}^{t} x e^{-(t-x)^{2}/2} g(x) \, dx \right)$$

Adding to the right hand side above the quantity

$$0 = \frac{t}{2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-(t-x)^2/2} g(x) \, dx - \int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{-(t-x)^2/2} g(x) \, dx \right)$$

(recall (6)) yields the desired (8).

Next, with the (positive) Radon measures $\mu(dx) := g(x)dx$ and $\sigma(dx) := q(x)dx$, Eq. (8) can be rewritten as the so-called Choquet–Deny equation $\mu = \mu * \sigma$. Observe that $t \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{tx} \sigma(dx)$ is even and strictly convex, and is therefore equal to 1 only at t = 0. We can now use the results in section 6 of Deny (1960), where "n > 1" is evidently a misprint for " $n \ge 1$ ", to conclude that μ has to be a positive scalar multiple of the Lebesgue measure. Since g is a probability density with respect to a probability measure, we have g = 1 a.e., and the theorem is proved. \Box

A trivial characterization of the standard normal exponential family is to say that the mean is equal to the parameter *t*. But it is worthwhile to mention a natural conjecture about exponential families which seems harder to establish:

Conjecture. Suppose that the probability P satisfies (1), and denote $m(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} x P_t(dx)$. If for all t real m(t) is a median of P_t , then $P = N(m, \sigma^2)$ for some m and σ .

This conjecture, which is probably more meaningful from a methodological point of view than the result established in the paper, does not translate in a neat harmonic analysis statement as (7) and (8) and as such it seems harder to establish. The next simple result offers some support to the conjecture. A probability Q on \mathbb{R}^n is said to be symmetric if there exists some $m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $X - m \sim m - X$ when $X \sim Q$.

Theorem 2. Let *P* be a probability on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$L(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathrm{e}^{\langle t, x \rangle} P(\mathrm{d} x)$$

is finite for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the probability $P_t(dx) = e^{\langle t, x \rangle} P(dx)/L(t)$ is symmetric. Then P is normal.

Proof. Clearly $m(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x P_t(dx) = L'(t)/L(t)$ exists and, since P_t is symmetric, $X_t - m(t) \sim m(t) - X_t$ when $X_t \sim P_t$. Therefore its Laplace transform

$$s \mapsto \mathbb{E}(e^{\langle s, X_t - m(t) \rangle}) = e^{-\langle s, m(t) \rangle} \frac{L(t+s)}{L(t)}$$

does not change when we replace *s* by -s. Considering the logarithm and taking the derivative in *s* we get 2m(t) = m(t + s) + m(t - s). Taking again the derivative in *s* we get m'(t + s) = m'(t - s) for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}^n$, which means that *m'* is constant, hence log *L* is polynomial of degree at most 2, and hence *P* is normal. \Box

References

 Deny, J., 1960. Sur l'équation de convolution μ = μ * σ. Séminaire Brelot-Choquet-Deny (Théorie du Potentiel) 4e année, 1959–60, Exposé numéro 5. http://www.numdam.org/article/SBCD_1959-1960_4_A5_0.pdf.
 Royden, H.L., Fitzpatrick, P.M., 2010. Real Analysis, fourth ed. Prentice-Hall.