

Scuola Archeologica Italiana di Atene

TRIPODES 6

## ABSTRACT

### Pathways to Power

Civic Elites in the Eastern Part of the Roman Empire

*Proceedings of the International Workshop held at Athens  
Scuola Archeologica Italiana di Atene  
19 december 2005*

Edited by  
Athanasios D. Rizakis and Francesco Camia

Atene 2008

# IMPERIAL PRIESTS IN SECOND CENTURY GREECE: A SOCIO-POLITICAL ANALYSIS

*ABSTRACT. The priesthood of the Roman emperors was a very prestigious office and its holders were usually members of families belonging to the civic (and provincial) elite. The Athenian imperial high-priests and the Achaean league ones – wealthy and socially influential individuals – provide a clear example of this situation in second century Greece. The imperial priesthood certainly had an important role in expressing a privileged relationship between Greek elite and Roman power, and it could also contribute to advancing the career of the Greek notables, some of whom succeeded in entering the Roman equestrian and senatorial orders. On the other hand, the evidence analysed does not permit to conclude, at least in second century Greece, that the imperial priesthood was the fundamental factor in fostering a Roman career.*

## INTRODUCTION

The imperial priesthood is one of the most significant signs of the presence of a cult of the Roman emperor and sometimes gives information about the rituals of this cult. However, it can be analysed also from other points of view. In this paper I intend to examine the socio-political aspects of the imperial priesthood. The object of my inquiry is Greece (the province of Achaia) during the second century A.D.

I will first offer a socio-political picture of two imperial priesthoods, one municipal (the imperial high-priesthood in Athens) and one federal (the imperial high-priesthood in the Achaean league)<sup>1</sup>, based on what we know of their holders, with particular reference to aspects such as family

---

\* *I am grateful to the Italian Archaeological School at Athens and to its Director, Prof. E. Greco, for making possible the realization of this workshop, and to Prof. A. D. Rizakis both for asking me to participate in its organization and for his constant support and encouragement. I would like also to thank all the workshop participants for their useful comments and observations. Any fault, obviously, is my own.*

<sup>1</sup> The imperial cult administered by the Achaean *koinon* might be considered in some way a “provincial” cult, but on this point the opinions of the scholars differ (cf. in particular, among others, PUECH 1983, 24, who considers the *archiereus* of the Achaean league a provincial high-priest, and the objections of SPAWFORTH 1994, 221-224). However, the issue of the presence of a provincial imperial cult in Achaia is a complex and controversial one, which cannot, and will not, be dealt with in the present paper. Here I can only underline the peculiar situation of the province of Achaia (by comparison with Asia for example), due to the absence of a *koinon* (or organization) which clearly represents and includes the whole province, a situation which could have had repercussions also on the organization of the imperial cult; cf. HARTER-UIBOPUU 2003, 228-229.

relations, social, economic and political position, connections with the Roman power. Given the available epigraphic evidence on these two priesthoods, their importance and the prestige of some of their holders, they are particularly indicated for an analysis of this type.

With reference to the two case studies, but also taking into consideration other known imperial priests in the province of Achaia, I will then present some general (and preliminary) remarks on the imperial priesthood in Greece during the second century A.D.

### THE IMPERIAL HIGH-PRIESTHOOD IN ATHENS

The following Athenian imperial high-priests can be placed in the second century A.D.: Ti. Claudius Atticus of Marathon, his son Herodes Atticus, Aelius Ardys (of Phaleron?), Ti. Claudius Lysiades of Melite and Furius Marcellus of Gargettos; they bear the title *archiereus* and their tenure was for life<sup>2</sup>.

Ti. Claudius Atticus<sup>3</sup> belonged to an important Athenian family – known from at least the end of the second century B.C. – which became prominent in the post-Sullan age, particularly through Herodes (II), acquaintance of both Cicero and Caesar<sup>4</sup>, and his son Eukles (IV), eponymous archon (in Athens) in 46/5 B.C., who maintained ties with the Emperor Augustus<sup>5</sup>. The Claudi of Marathon, who acquired Roman citizenship under Nero, belonged to the Eleusinian *genos* of *Kerykes*, from whose members were selected the holders of three of the four most prestigious Eleusinian priesthoods<sup>6</sup>.

<sup>2</sup> On the reform of the imperial cult in Athens around the middle of the first century A.D., probably with an important contribution by Ti. Claudius Novius of Oion, first *archiereus* of the new collective cult of the *Sebastoi*, see SPAWFORTH 1997, 188-191 (cf. *IG II-III*<sup>2</sup> 3562). Ti. Claudius Atticus and his son Herodes were high-priests respectively in the periods ca. A.D. 100-137 and 137-176; as for the other high-priests, they served after Herodes (cf. BYRNE 2003, 5, 158), but it is not possible to determine in which order; it is not even sure that all of them became high-priests by the end of the second century A.D. – N.B.: Marcellus' high-priesthood is attested by two inscriptions, where the term *archiereus* is partially integrated (*SEG* 26, 1976-1977, 238, l. 2; 239, ll. 3-4). A recently discovered statue base from the Library of Hadrian bears an honorary inscription [*ArchDelt* 52 (1997), *Chron.*, B 1, 37 (A. Spetsieri-Choremi): no text is given, and no explanation is provided for the date indicated (A.D. 180-184); cf. *SEG* 50, 2000, 199; *An.Ép.* 2001, n° 1826] for a certain P. Aelius Julianus Dionysius from Nicopolis, ἀρχιερεὺς διὰ βίου τῶν Σεβαστῶν and *agonothetes* of the *megalà Panhellenia*. Did this individual serve as imperial high-priest in Athens or elsewhere?

<sup>3</sup> BYRNE 2003, *Claudii*, n° 7.

<sup>4</sup> Cf. RAWSON 1985, 44-49.

<sup>5</sup> Cf. *IG II-III*<sup>2</sup> 3175 (= AMELING 1983, II, n° 10); cf. also AMELING 1983, I, 10-11.

<sup>6</sup> The *dadouchoi*, the *hierokerykes*, the altar priests.

In Athens, in addition to the imperial priesthood, Atticus held the office of *tamias* of the *prytaneis* of the tribe *Aiantis* and was probably also priest of Zeus *Olympios*<sup>7</sup>. Atticus was also most probably a citizen of Sparta, where he held the office of *patronomos* and was appointed as *Kytherodikas* (but he did not take up the office, presumably because he died before)<sup>8</sup>. At Corinth he was *agonothetes* of the Isthmian games<sup>9</sup>. After having been granted the *ornamenta praetoria* early in the second century, Atticus entered the Roman Senate and around A.D. 132 he became *consul suffectus*<sup>10</sup>; he was also a member of the Roman priestly college of *XVviri sacris faciundis*<sup>11</sup>. Around A.D. 130, when he was *tamias* of the *prytaneis* of *Aiantis* – serving at the same time as *archiereus* of the *Sebastoi* – in addition to financing the regular sacrifices that the *prytaneis* had to perform on behalf of the public welfare<sup>12</sup>, Atticus probably set up an endowment to cover the expenses of the annual prytanies of his tribe; later he may have established similar endowments also for the other tribes, as the six statue bases erected for him by as many Athenian tribes seem to indicate<sup>13</sup>. Moreover, Atticus was responsible for an important act of euergetism towards the *polis* of Gythium, which honoured him as its “*soter* and

<sup>7</sup> A series of inscriptions from Athens (A.D. 132) is dated by the mention of Atticus as priest (very likely of Zeus *Olympios*); cf. BYRNE 2003, 112 (xiii).

<sup>8</sup> *Roman Peloponnes II*, LAC 270 [1; 2; 6a-c]; for the date of the patronomate (A.D. 130-135) see *Roman Peloponnes II*, p. 172; on the post of *Kytherodikas*, an office with some kind of jurisdictional authority, see SPAWFORTH 1980, 207 and CARTLEDGE – SPAWFORTH 2002, 111 (n. 11). The family of Atticus had close ties with Sparta, and through the Corinthian family of the Vibullii – who probably had taken part in the colonization of Corinth (SPAWFORTH 1996, 171) – was related to the Spartan Euryclids; cf. SPAWFORTH 1980.

<sup>9</sup> AMELING 1983, II, n° 36. *Kaisareia* were associated to the Isthmian games; cf. GEAGAN 1968.

<sup>10</sup> AMELING 1983, II, nn° 34-35 (*ornamenta*); *An.Ép.* 1990, n° 763: this military diploma shows that Atticus' consulship – in all probability his first and only one – is to be dated not under Trajan (ca. 108), as formerly assumed, but under Hadrian (ca. 132) (cf. BIRLEY 1997a, 209-210, 229-236). Re-examining the chronology of Atticus' career on the basis of the new diploma, BIRLEY 1997a, 236, argues that Atticus may have received the *ornamenta praetoria* under Trajan – maybe when the emperor was in Athens in A.D. 113 – and then entered the Senate, *adlectus inter praetorios*, under Hadrian (cf. also BIRLEY 1997b, 338, n. 3; GRELLE 2000, 459, n. 9).

<sup>11</sup> BOL 1984, n° 13.

<sup>12</sup> *Agora XV*, n° 322 (date: see BYRNE 2003, 512); the emperor (Hadrian) and the imperial family are also mentioned among the recipients of the sacrifices.

<sup>13</sup> AMELING 1983, II, nn° 58 (= *IG II-III<sup>2</sup>* 3597 a-e) and 59; cf. OLIVER 1949 (esp. 302-303).

*ktistes*" and as "patron of the *koinon*" (of the *Eleutherolakones*)<sup>14</sup>. He was also honoured at Plataia by "the *koinon synhedrion* of the Greeks", at Eleusis and, after his death, at Olympia<sup>15</sup>. To summarise, Atticus hailed from a prestigious Athenian family – whose members had already been imperial high-priests in Athens<sup>16</sup> – he had ties with other Greek *poleis*, where he held offices, he was a senator and enjoyed enormous wealth (which, to a great extent, he inherited from his father<sup>17</sup>): in short, he was a member of the civic and provincial elite.

Atticus' son, the famous Herodes Atticus<sup>18</sup>, succeeded his father in the Athenian imperial high-priesthood around A.D. 138. In Athens Herodes became eponymous archon in A.D. 126/<sup>7</sup><sup>19</sup>; he was also agoronomous, *agonothetes* of the *Panathenaia* and priest of Dionysos<sup>20</sup>. Moreover, he was archon of the *Panhellenion* between A.D. 137 and 141, in the first years of activity of the "universal" league founded by Hadrian<sup>21</sup>. Herodes embarked on a senatorial career and became consul in A.D. 143<sup>22</sup>, after having been *quaestor* (of the Emperor Hadrian), *tribunus plebis, praetor, corrector* of the free cities of Asia<sup>23</sup>; he became also a member of the Roman priestly colleges of *XVviri sacris faciundis, sodales Augustales* and

<sup>14</sup> *IG V* 1, 1171 (= *IG II-III*<sup>2</sup> 3596). According to SPAWFORTH 1980, 208, the benefaction of Atticus took place in the context of some previous link with Gythium, maybe in connection with the business interests of the family of Atticus' wife, Vibullia Alcia Agrippina (BYRNE 2003, *Vibullii*, n° 3), in the commercial and banking centre of Gythium.

<sup>15</sup> *IG VII* 2509 (Plataia); *IG II-III*<sup>2</sup> 3598 = CLINTON 2005, n° 460 (Eleusis); BOL 1984, n° 13 (Olympia).

<sup>16</sup> Among them we can mention Policharmos, *archiereus* of the Emperor Tiberius, and Ti. Claudius Hipparchus (grandfather of Herodes), *archiereus* of the *Sebastoi* under the Flavians; cf. AMELING 1983, I, 12-13 (and *IG II-III*<sup>2</sup> 3530); BYRNE 2003, *Claudii*, n° 4. Moreover, Ti. Claudius Eucles (BYRNE 2003, *Claudii*, n° 3) was *hiereus* of Iulia Agrippina Augusta.

<sup>17</sup> Ti. Claudius Hipparchus (BYRNE 2003, *Claudii*, n° 4), condemned by Domitian ἐπὶ τυραννικαῖς αἵτιαις, had his estates confiscated and was probably forced into exile; under Nerva, Atticus succeeded in recovering his father's fortune (PHILOSTR. VS 547-548).

<sup>18</sup> BYRNE 2003, *Claudii*, n° 8.

<sup>19</sup> *IG II-III*<sup>2</sup> 3190; 3733, l. 3; 3734, l. 1.

<sup>20</sup> *IG II-III*<sup>2</sup> 3602; PHILOSTR. VS 549-550 (cf. AMELING 1983, II, 14); SCHUMACHER 1999, 422.

<sup>21</sup> PHILOSTR. VS 549, 35. Herodes probably held also the agonothesia of the *Panhellenia*; cf. AMELING 1983, II, 12.

<sup>22</sup> AMELING 1983, II, nn° 78-87; Herodes was offered a second consulship around A.D. 160, but he declined the offer because of the death of his wife.

<sup>23</sup> Cf. GRELLE 2000, 456 ff.; *IEph* 640 (*corrector*); as *quaestor* of Hadrian, Herodes was admitted *inter amicos* of the emperor (the expression does not indicate a definite office nor an institutional charge).

*sodales Hadrianales*<sup>24</sup>. Herodes was responsible for several acts of euergetism towards various Greek cities (and consequently frequently honoured by them). In Athens, as *agonothetes* of the *Panathenaia*, he financed the renovation of the stadium over-looking the Ilissus river<sup>25</sup> and built the Odeion on the southern slopes of the Acropolis; in addition to that, around A.D. 165 he donated to the ephebes the white *chlamides* which replaced the black ones<sup>26</sup>. Herodes was honoured at Eleusis, where an *agon* was founded to honour him<sup>27</sup>, at Corinth<sup>28</sup>, at Delphoi, where he renovated the stadium<sup>29</sup>, at Olympia, where he realized the famous *nymphaeum*<sup>30</sup>, at Ephesus<sup>31</sup>, maybe in connection with his office of *corrector*. As can be seen from these brief notes, Herodes Atticus followed in his father's footsteps and reached even greater fame and prestige. It is interesting to underline Herodes' personal relations with the Roman power: for a period he was educated in the house of P. Calvisius Tullus Russo, Marcus Aurelius' grandfather<sup>32</sup>; as *quaestor* he was admitted *inter amicos* of Hadrian (before whom he made a speech in Pannonia in A.D. 117); from A.D. 141 to 146 he was professor of rhetorics of the future Emperors Marcus Aurelius and Lucius Verus in Rome<sup>33</sup>. In addition, Herodes received the support of Marcus during his struggle with the Athenians, which was eventually resolved in his favour. His marriage to the patrician Appia Annia Regilla could also have contributed, in some way, to favouring his relations with Rome<sup>34</sup>.

<sup>24</sup> SCHUMACHER 1999, 422, 436-437.

<sup>25</sup> PHILOSTR. VS 550.

<sup>26</sup> IG II-III<sup>2</sup> 2090, l. 6.

<sup>27</sup> IG II-III<sup>2</sup> 3604 B = CLINTON 2005, n° 438 (statue dedicated by the *boule* of Corinth); IG II-III<sup>2</sup> 2094, l. 52 (*agon*).

<sup>28</sup> From this city probably comes the inscription published by GRELLE 2000.

<sup>29</sup> FDelpes III 3, 66 (honoured by the Delphians for his *philia* and *philoxenia*; he was also designated *benefactor* in another inscription erected in honour of his wife – FDelpes III 3, 71); PAUS. 10, 32, 1 (stadium).

<sup>30</sup> SCHUMACHER 1999 (for the *nymphaeum* see BOL 1984).

<sup>31</sup> I.Ephesos 640. On the building activities of Herodes see QUASS 1993, 221-222.

<sup>32</sup> FRONTO p. 36, 20-21 (cf. VAN DEN HOUT 1999, 98; he says that Herodes' father was consul in 108 – see *supra*, n. 10).

<sup>33</sup> HIST. AUG. Aur. 2, 4; Ver. 2, 5; AMELING 1983, I, 71-72. BIRLEY 1997a, 236, supposes that "Herodes gained the *latus clavus* from Hadrian in 117 or 118, following his meeting with the emperor in Pannonia".

<sup>34</sup> Regilla (BYRNE 2003, *Annii*, n° 28) was related to Antoninus Pius' wife Annia Galeria Faustina.

Aelius Ardys (of Phaleron?)<sup>35</sup> belonged to an important Athenian family, which obtained Roman citizenship under Hadrian and whose members held the highest political and religious offices in Athens<sup>36</sup>. Ardys was eponymous archon (A.D. 150/1), hoplite general, twice herald (probably of the Areopagus), *agonothetes* of the *Olympieia* and priest of Dionysos *Eleuthereus*<sup>37</sup>. He was a member of the Athenian elite.

Ti. Claudius Lysiades of Melite<sup>38</sup> also belonged to an illustrious Athenian family, which claimed ancestry from Pericles and whose members are known from the end of the third-beginning of the second century B.C. The Claudii of Melite achieved Roman citizenship under the Emperor Claudius and monopolized, between the first and the second century A.D., the Eleusinian priesthood of the *dadouchos*; moreover, members of this family held the most important Athenian political offices<sup>39</sup>. In addition to the imperial high-priesthood, Lysiades was eponymous archon (A.D. 174/5?), *panegyriarchos* and *prytanis*<sup>40</sup>. He does not seem to have been responsible for particular acts of euergetism, but the charge of *panegyriarchos* was in itself an onerous liturgy, since it forced its holder to bear great expenses during the *panegyris* of the Eleusinian mysteries<sup>41</sup>; as *panegyriarchos*, Lysiades might have been involved in some imperial festivals. Lysiades does not seem to have had relations with other *poleis*; presumably, his Athenian career was largely made possible due to the prestige of his family.

As for Furius Marcellus of Gargettos<sup>42</sup>, we do not know a lot of him. In addition to the high-priesthood – presumably to be placed towards the end of the second century A.D. – he was *kosmetes* of ephebes, priest of Zeus *Eubouleus* and maybe hoplite general and priest of Apollo *Patroos*<sup>43</sup>. He is

<sup>35</sup> BYRNE 2003, *Aelii*, n° 7.

<sup>36</sup> On this family see KAPETANOPOULOS 1971; FOLLET 1976, 195-198.

<sup>37</sup> IG II-III<sup>2</sup> 3742; 3687, ll. 11-15 (cf. GEAGAN 1967, 105, n. 103).

<sup>38</sup> BYRNE 2003, *Claudii*, n° 159.

<sup>39</sup> Ti. Claudius Leonides (ca. A.D. 33-100) [BYRNE 2003, *Claudii*, n° 154] was the first member of his family to bear the title of *dadouchos*; the Claudii of Melite lost control of the *dadouchia* around A.D. 150, yet in the 180s another member of this family, Aelius Praxagoras – whose original *nomen* was Claudius [BYRNE 2003, *Claudii*, n° 140] – held the *dadouchia*. On the Claudii of Melite cf. KAPETANOPOULOS 1968; WOLOCH 1969.

<sup>40</sup> IG II-III<sup>2</sup> 3609; *Agora* XV, n° 429, l. 2.

<sup>41</sup> PLUT. *Quaest. Conv.* 679b; cf. OLIVER 1950, 175-176; GRAINDOR 1934, 97; GEAGAN 1967, 136; CLINTON 1989, 1534.

<sup>42</sup> BYRNE 2003, *Furii*, n° 3; cf. KAPETANOPOULOS 1976-1977.

<sup>43</sup> SEG 26, 1976-1977, 238-239; *Agora* XV, n° 411, l. 10 [here the name is restored by BYRNE 2003, *Furii*, n° 3 (ii)].

not known – nor other members of his family are – to have held any other important political or religious office in Athens (or elsewhere); however, he can be considered a member of the Athenian elite too.

The known Athenian *archiereis* belonged to very influential and distinguished Athenian families, which monopolized political and religious life in second century Athens<sup>44</sup>. The Claudii of Marathon belonged to the Eleusinian *genos* of *Kerykes* and controlled, through Atticus and his son Herodes, the Athenian imperial high-priesthood for the first three quarters of the second century. Moreover, ancestors of Atticus had already been imperial high-priests during the first century A.D. The Claudii of Melite controlled the Eleusinian *dadouchia* for about one hundred years, between the first and the second century A.D. Members both of the Claudii of Melite and of the Aelii of Phaleron held the most important Athenian political and religious offices during the second century A.D.

The holders of the Athenian imperial high-priesthood were wealthy and influential men, both socially and politically, as shown by the liberality of Herodes, and by the other political and religious offices that they held, in Athens and elsewhere. In Athens, with the exception of Atticus and Marcellus, they were all eponymous archons, and Aelius Ardys was hoplite general; Atticus held offices at Sparta and Corinth, and his son Herodes became archon of the *Panhellenion*.

The five Athenian *archiereis* were all Roman citizens; two of them, Atticus and his son Herodes, embarked on a career in Rome, as senators, and both reached the consulship. The success of Atticus and Herodes at the service of Rome was determined more by their family and personal connections with the Roman authorities than by the fact that they were priests of the imperial cult. Ancestors of Atticus had had relations with such Roman figures as Caesar and Augustus; Atticus himself recovered (with the approval of the Emperor Nerva<sup>45</sup>) his father's fortune and was granted, probably under Trajan, the *ornamenta praetoria*. As for Herodes, he was admitted *inter amicos* of Hadrian, had personal relations with the Emperors Marcus and Lucius, and married the patrician Regilla (daughter of a consular); it is particularly worth noting that Herodes – who, as son of a man provided with *ornamenta praetoria*, was destined to become a senator – entered the Senate well before succeeding his father as imperial *archiereus* in Athens<sup>46</sup>.

<sup>44</sup> Cf. WOLOCH 1969.

<sup>45</sup> PHILOSTR. VS 548.

<sup>46</sup> Herodes started his senatorial career as *quaestor* in A.D. 124, while only around 138 he succeeded his father as imperial high-priest in Athens.

On the other hand, the other three Athenian *archiereis* did not have any particular connections with the Roman power – and no one in their families held Roman offices – but this did not prevent them from holding the imperial high-priesthood in their city.

## THE IMPERIAL HIGH-PRIESTHOOD IN THE ACHAEAN LEAGUE

A cult of the Roman emperors was instituted within the Achaean league around the middle of the first century A.D.; like the imperial *archiereis* in Athens, those of the Achaean league held their tenure for life<sup>47</sup>. On the basis of the evidence, and according to some recently discovered inscriptions from Messene<sup>48</sup>, it is possible to place in the second century the following *archiereis*, in approximate chronological order: P. Licinius Priscus Iuventianus, Ti. Claudius Saethida Caelianus (I), Cn. Cornelius Pulcher, Ti. Claudius Polycrates, Ti. Claudius Saethida Caelianus (II), T. Statilius Timocrates Memmianus. It should be underlined that the chronology of the *archiereis* of the Achaean league remains still an unsolved problem,

<sup>47</sup> On the institution of the cult cf. SPAWFORTH 1994 (esp. 223-224; 226-227); on its possible “provincial” nature see *supra*, n. 1. The first holder of this cult was the Spartan C. Iulius Spartiacus, at the beginning of the reign of Nero (*Corinth* 8.2, 68; *IG* II-III<sup>2</sup> 3538; SPAWFORTH 1994, 218-219; cf. also *Roman Peloponnese* II, LAC 509). According to LARSEN 1938, 451, n. 14, the *archiereis* of the Achaean league remained in office only for a limited period, retaining, at the term of their office, the honorific title διά βίου; contra PUECH 1983, 22; SPAWFORTH 1994, 219. However, the careers of the holders of the imperial high-priesthood in the Achaean league seem to indicate that they were high-priests for life.

<sup>48</sup> a) THEMELIS 2000a, 78-81 (*SEG* 51, 2001, 458; *An.Ép.* 2002, n° 1314 a-b): two long honorary inscriptions – in all probability belonging to the same decree – for the *archiereus* and helladarch (of the Achaean league) Ti. Claudius Saethida Caelianus (I); Themelis dates them to the reign of Trajan (cf. *Roman Peloponnese* II, MES 156 [2]), identifying the proconsul Lollianus Avitus (*SEG* 51, 2001, 458 B, l. 22) with L. Hedi Rufus Lollianus Avitus (*cos. suff.* in 114 and proconsul of Asia in 128/9); he will have held the proconsulship of Achaia (a praetorian post) a little before becoming consul (THEMELIS 2001, 66). In that case, the *helladarchia* – a jurisdictional (?) charge which remained associated to the high-priesthood of the Achaean *koinon* until T. Statilius Timocrates Memmianus – already existed before Hadrian, contrary to the *communis opinio* (cf. THEMELIS 2001, 66; an helladarch of the Amphictyons is also attested – on helladarch’s possible functions see OLIVER 1978; PUECH 1983, 32-33). *Contra An.Ép.* 2002, 467, dates the high-priesthood of Caelianus (I) to the principate of Antoninus Pius (Lollianus Avitus could be identified with the consul of A.D. 161); b) THEMELIS 2000a, 81-82 (*SEG* 51, 2001, 460; *Roman Peloponnese* II, MES 157 [6]): honorary decree (still unpublished) which Themelis ascribes to the *archiereus* and helladarch Ti. Claudius Saethida Caelianus (II), grandson of Caelianus (I).

which is not possible to deal with in the present paper; however, this will not affect the following considerations<sup>49</sup>.

The name of P. Licinius Priscus Iuventianus<sup>50</sup> – probably native of Corinth – is linked with the restoration and the construction of several buildings at the Isthmian sanctuary<sup>51</sup>; in particular, as a kind of *summa honoraria* for having obtained the office of agoranomous – likely not the *aedilitas* of the Roman colony, but a charge in connection with the organization of the *Isthmian games*<sup>52</sup> – and bearing at the same time the title of *archiereus*, Iuventianus paid for the realization of some fifty lodgings to host the athletes who competed at the *Isthmia*<sup>53</sup>. Iuventianus is not known to have held other offices, nor to have embarked on a Roman career; however, his “copybook” euergetism is enough to show his social prestige.

Ti. Claudius Saethida Caelianus (I)<sup>54</sup> belonged to one of the most prestigious families of Messene (and of the Peloponnese), which is known from at least the end of the third century B.C. and acquired Roman citizenship probably under Claudius<sup>55</sup>. The son of Caelianus (I), Ti. Claudius Frontinus, was the first Messenian senator<sup>56</sup>. Caelianus, high-priest and helladarch of the Achaean

<sup>49</sup> Iuventianus was probably *archiereus* during the principate of Trajan (possibly already from the end of the first century A.D.); cf. CAMIA 2002. Accepting the date proposed by Themelis for the inscriptions in honour of Caelianus (I) [see previous note], his high-priesthood should be placed before the end of the reign of Trajan – since Cornelius Pulcher was already *archiereus* from the last years of the reign of this emperor (cf. *IG IV* 795) – and after the high-priesthood of Iuventianus, because the latter does not yet bear the title of helladarch (but see *An.Ép.* 2002, 467). Pulcher remained *archiereus* until the end of the principate of Hadrian. As for Polycrates, I follow here B. Puech, who dates his high-priesthood to the reign of Antoninus Pius (PUECH 1983, 28; PUECH 1992, 4874); however, see *infra* (n. 70) for a different date (at the beginning of the second century A.D.). In any case, Caelianus (II), who was still alive in A.D. 164 (*CIL III* 495), probably served after Polycrates. Finally, Memmianus was *archiereus* (and helladarch) of the *koinon* most likely between the end of the second and the beginning of the third century A.D. (cf. SPAWFORTH 1985, 256-258).

<sup>50</sup> *Roman Peloponnese* I, COR 378.

<sup>51</sup> *IG IV* 203.

<sup>52</sup> GEAGAN 1968, 75-76.

<sup>53</sup> *Corinth* 8.3, 306 (*SEG* 39, 1989, 340).

<sup>54</sup> *Roman Peloponnese* II, MES 156.

<sup>55</sup> The first member of this family to become a Roman citizen was Ti. Claudius Theon (*Roman Peloponnese* II, MES 161); on this family see most recently RIZAKIS 2007, 190-192.

<sup>56</sup> He entered the Senate under Hadrian and became *consul suffectus* under Antoninus Pius, probably between A.D. 155 and 160 (cf. *Roman Peloponnese* II, MES 142). Caelianus' grandsons, Ti. Claudius Saethida Caelianus (II) and Ti. Claudius Frontinus Niceratus (*Roman Peloponnese* II, MES 150 e 157), also embarked on the senatorial career and held offices in the imperial administration.

league, was honoured with the *aristopoliteia* for his activity as benefactor<sup>57</sup>; in particular, he could have contributed to the restoration of the proscenium of the theatre of Messene, which was in ruins<sup>58</sup>. His family extraction, his importance within the Achaean league, the honours conferred to him by his *polis* contribute to demonstrating the social and political prestige of Caelianus, who was a member both of the Messenian and of the provincial elite. In all probability, he is the man referred to by Pausanias (4, 32, 2), who says that the Messenians honoured him as a hero after his death<sup>59</sup>.

Cn. Cornelius Pulcher<sup>60</sup> hailed from an Epidaurian family which had already obtained Roman citizenship under Augustus<sup>61</sup>; he had an intense career, holding offices at both municipal and federal level, in addition to his career in the imperial administration as *eques*. In the Roman colony of Corinth Pulcher was *praefectus iure dicundo*, *curator annonae*, *duovir quinquennalis*; moreover, he was *agonothetes* of the Isthmian games<sup>62</sup>. In the Achaean league he was not only high-priest (and helladarch), but he also became secretary and *strategos*. In addition, he became archon of the *Panhellenion* (maybe the first), and at the same time he was *hiereus* of Hadrian *Panhellenios*<sup>63</sup>. Pulcher entered the equestrian order; as an *eques* he held the following offices: military tribune of the *legio IV Scitica* (reign of Trajan), procurator of Epirus (around A.D. 114), *iuridicus* of Egypt and Alexandria (reign of Hadrian)<sup>64</sup>. At Corinth Pulcher was honoured as

<sup>57</sup> SEG 51, 2001, 458 B, l. 36.

<sup>58</sup> SEG 51, 2001, 458 A, l. 15; the theatre played perhaps a role in the celebrations which took place during the *Kaisareia* (as happened in Gythium; cf. SEG 11, 1954, 923).

<sup>59</sup> HABICHT 1998, 493; cf. THEMELIS 2000b, 102–113 (a *heroon* of the Saethidae was located in the area of the stadium); see also RIZAKIS 2007, 191, n. 27. Caelianus (I) was already dead when Pausanias visited Messene, between A.D. 155 and 160.

<sup>60</sup> *Roman Peloponnese I*, ARG 117; COR 228.

<sup>61</sup> Pulcher's ancestor Cn. Cornelius Nicatas, twice priest of Augustus, founded at Epidaurus the *Kaisareia*, added to the traditional *Asklepieia* and *Apollonia*; cf. IG IV<sup>2</sup> 652.

<sup>62</sup> Both of the *Kaisareia kai Isthmia* and (under Trajan) of the quadriennal contest for the reigning emperor; he was also *agonothetes* of the *Sebastieia kai Asklepieia* (probably at Epidaurus); cf. IG IV 795 (ll. 5–11). The earliest ties of the Cornelii of Epidaurus with Corinth date to the reign of Claudius: Nicatas' son was *agonothetes* of the *Isthmia* around the middle of the first century A.D. (*FDelphes III* 1, 543). On the *praefectus iure dicundo* see *Corinth* 8.3, p. 65, n° 1; MASON 1974, 22 and 105; HORSTER 2004, 335 ff.

<sup>63</sup> IG IV 1600 (= *Corinth* 8.1, 80); cf. FOLLET 1976, 126; PUECH 1992, 4843; *Roman Peloponnese I*, 118. The office of archon of the *Panhellenion* was often (even if not always) associated to that of *hiereus* of Hadrian *Panhellenios* and/or to that of *agonothetes* of the *Panhellenia*; cf. SPAWFORTH – WALKER 1985, 82.

<sup>64</sup> Cf. IG IV 795; IG IV 1600; *Corinth* 8.3, 138.

patron<sup>65</sup>; the Roman colony, thanks to his good offices, obtained fiscal immunity (= *ateleia*)<sup>66</sup>. Apart from Corinth – from where most of the inscriptions regarding Pulcher come – he also was honoured at Troizen, at Epidaurus and at Athens (probably by the *Panhellenes*)<sup>67</sup>. The variety of offices held by Pulcher, together with the abundant epigraphic evidence, indicate that he was an important member of the Greek provincial elite in the first half of the second century A.D.; Plutarch dedicated to him his work *De capienda ex inimicis utilitate*. Pulcher took advantage of his municipal career at Corinth to raise his social standing: like other Greek notables from cities close to Corinth, he succeeded in integrating himself in the ruling elite of the Roman colony, and from there took a leap towards a career in the imperial administration<sup>68</sup>.

Ti. Claudius Polycrates is known from an honorific inscription set at Delphi by the Amphictyonic council and the *koinon* of the Achaeans to honour his daughter Ti. Claudia Polycrateia Nausicaa, *archiereia* of the Achaean league<sup>69</sup>. A native of Sicyon, Polycrates claimed descent from the illustrious Aratus. He has been identified both with the Polycrates to whom Plutarch dedicated his work on the life of Aratus and with his son<sup>70</sup>.

Ti. Claudius Saethida Caelianus (II)<sup>71</sup> – grandson of Caelianus (I) and son of the consular Ti. Claudius Frontinus – apart from being high-priest and helladarch of the Achaean league, had an intense senatorial career; he was military tribune of the legio III *Gallica*, *quaestor* of the province of Sicily, *tribunus plebis, praetor, legatus* of the legio XI *Claudia*; he also became a member of the priestly college of *sodales Hadrianales*<sup>72</sup>. At Messene, togeth-

---

<sup>65</sup> *Corinth* 8.2, 71, l. 6.

<sup>66</sup> *Corinth* 8.1, 80-81, l. 5; *Corinth* 8.3, 139. The exact nature of this *immunitas* is not clear; WALBANK 1989a takes it to refer to the exemption from both the *tributum soli* and the *tributum capitinis*, but see the remarks of MILLAR 1999, 113.

<sup>67</sup> *IG IV* 795 (Troizen); PEEK 1972, n° 90 (Epidaurus); *ArchDelt* 25 (1970), *Mel.*, 54-55 (Athens).

<sup>68</sup> RIZAKIS 2001, 45-46 (and n. 39).

<sup>69</sup> *Syll<sup>3</sup>* 846 = *CID IV* 162 (ca. middle of the second century A.D.).

<sup>70</sup> PUECH 1983, 28; PUECH 1992, 4874 (son of Plutarch's friend). *Contra M. Kantiréa* (in this volume), based on a new restoration of the fragmentary Corinthian inscription *IG IV* 399, identifies the *archiereus* and helladarch Ti. Claudius Polycrates with Plutarch's friend Polycrates and dates his high-priesthood to the beginning of the second century A.D. [in that case the position I assigned him in the list of the Achaean league high-priests (see *supra*) should be changed].

<sup>71</sup> *Roman Peloponnese II*, MES 157.

<sup>72</sup> Cf. *CIL X* 1123 (= *ILS* 1086), from Abellinum in Italy, where his family had some property.

er with his brother Ti. Claudius Frontinus Niceratus<sup>73</sup>, he dedicated a statue of the Emperor Lucius Verus and one of Faustina, wife of Marcus Aurelius<sup>74</sup>. A prominent member of the Messenian and provincial elite, like his grandfather he was honoured by his *polis*: a long honorary decree found in the theatre of Messene is probably to be ascribed to him<sup>75</sup>.

T. Statilius Timocrates Memmianus<sup>76</sup> was probably the last high-priest of the Achaean league to hold at the same time the office of helladarch. He is also the last attested member of the prominent Epidaurian family of the Statilii, which obtained Roman citizenship under Claudius<sup>77</sup>. His ancestor T. Statilius Timocrates (I) became secretary of the so-called Panachaean league, probably soon after the liberation of the province of Achaia by the Emperor Nero in A.D. 66<sup>78</sup>, while other members of his family were *agono-thetai* of the *Apollonia*, *Asklepieia* and *Kaisareia* of Epidaurus. Memmianus was also a citizen of Argos, where he held the agnothesia of the *Sebasteia kai Nemeia*<sup>79</sup>. At Argos – for which he took also part in an embassy to Rome and where it is likely he spent most of his life – he was honoured with a statue for his benefactions<sup>80</sup>. Moreover, Memmianus had an intense career in the federal and panhellenic institutions of Roman Greece; he was three times *strategos* of the Achaean league and became a member both of the Amphictyonic council at Delphi – where he was also helladarch of the Amphictyons – and of the council of the *Panhellenes* at Athens. Memmianus can be considered to typically represent the provincial aristocracy of imperial Greece: his family relations and his career show clearly his high social standing.

Members of important families (like the Claudii Saethidae of Messene,

<sup>73</sup> *Roman Peloponnese II*, MES 150.

<sup>74</sup> *CIL III* 495; THEMELIS 2002, 45-46. Cf. also THEMELIS 2002, 44-45 and *IG V 1*, 1451, two honorary statues (respectively for Antoninus Pius and Marcus Aurelius not yet emperor) paid for by a Ti. Claudius Saethida Caelianus: according to the chronology assigned by Themelis to *SEG* 51, 2001, 458 (see n. 48), the donor should be identified with Caelianus (II); cf. *SEG* 52, 2002, 405.

<sup>75</sup> THEMELIS 2000a, 81-82 [see *supra*, n. 48 (b)].

<sup>76</sup> *Roman Peloponnese I*, ARG 254.

<sup>77</sup> On this family see SPAWFORTH 1985, 248-258. The *agnomen* Memmianus reveals probably a Spartan descent (cf. SPAWFORTH 1985, 254-255).

<sup>78</sup> *IG IV<sup>2</sup>* 80-81 (cf. *Roman Peloponnese I*, 235).

<sup>79</sup> *IG IV* 590, ll. 10-11. The *Sebasteia* were celebrated every four years in association with the trieteric Nemean games; cf. BOETHIUS 1922, 59-61; CHARNEUX 1956, 609. Memmianus was also *agnothetes* of the *Antinoeia* (both at Argos and at Mantinea) and of the Epidaurian *Asklepieia* (*IG IV* 590, ll. 12-14).

<sup>80</sup> *IG IV* 590 (ll. 20-24: embassy).

or the Cornelii and the Statilii of Epidaurus), the imperial *archiereis* of the second century Achaean league belonged to the elite both of their cities and, more generally, of the province of Achaia. It should be emphasized that holding a federal imperial priesthood certainly manifested and enhanced their social and personal prestige; moreover, apart from Iuventianus, all the other *archiereis* also held the office of helladarch of the Achaean league.

Some of these men are typical members of the provincial aristocracy. Cn. Cornelius Pulcher held the offices of secretary and *strategos* of the Achaean league and became archon of the *Panhellenion* and *hiereus* of Hadrian *Panhellenios*. T. Statilius Timocrates Memmianus was three times *strategos* of the Achaean league and became a member both of the Amphictyonic council – where he served also as helladarch – and of the council of the *Panhellenion*.

The social and economic prestige of these men sometimes also emerges from other aspects. Iuventianus was responsible for an impressive series of buildings and restorations at the Isthmian sanctuary, while Polycrates was a descendant of the illustrious Aratus of Sicyon.

The six *archiereis* were all Roman citizens, but only two, Pulcher and Caelianus (II), embarked on a career in Rome, respectively as *eques* and as senator. Evidently, embarking on a career at the service of Rome was not considered an essential prerequisite in order to achieve an important imperial priesthood at federal level. At the same time, it does not seem that the imperial priesthood was a “springboard” for a senatorial or equestrian career. The latter was made possible, in the case of Pulcher, due to his previous municipal *cursus* in the Roman colony of Corinth – where he reached the duovirate – while Caelianus (II) owed to his father Ti. Claudius Frontinus – the first messenian senator – the opportunity to enter the Senate.

## GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

It is now possible to reflect on some general aspects of the imperial priesthood in second century Greece. The following considerations are based mostly on the case studies analysed above. Although these two cases do not represent the totality of the epigraphic evidence on imperial priesthoods in second century Greece, they are particularly significant both for the importance of the two contexts (Athens and the *koinon* of the Achaeans) and for the prominence of the individuals who were high-priests. In fact, except for Sparta, with its series of *archiereis* attested since the beginning of the second century A.D., in the rest of the province the number of the epigraphically known priests of the imperial cult is relative-

ly low. These further cases – which I have taken into consideration however – do not substantially modify the picture emerging from the two cases analysed above.

The holders of the imperial priesthood in Greece during the second century A.D. belong to the municipal and provincial elite. They are well-to-do and very influential, both socially and politically, as shown by their liberality and their control over the political and religious life of their communities. These individuals often held other political and religious offices, at both local and regional level; the accumulation of several offices by a single man characterizes the cities of the Greek East during the imperial age and is expression on the one hand of the prestige enjoyed by some individuals (and some families), on the other hand of the growing difficulty in finding – particularly in some economically less developed contexts – individuals with the economic means to sustain the more and more onerous weight of the civic charges<sup>81</sup>.

The imperial priesthood contributed to the enhancement of the social standing of its holders and to strengthening their position at the top of society. Firstly, this priestly office was in itself a source of prestige due to its direct connection with the emperor. Secondly, by means of the liberality related to the organizational aspects of the imperial cult, the imperial priests acted as benefactors and manifested their privileged position at both civic and provincial level; in this way, according to the same machinery that ruled other offices and liturgies, a precise social and economic hierarchy was made explicit to the whole society, and the elites could preserve their power<sup>82</sup>. This machinery had the support of the Roman authorities, because it ensured the privileged position of that social stratum on which the Romans relied locally as a means of rule and control, and contributed to the creation and the consolidation of a system of mutual support between Roman authorities and Greek provincial elite<sup>83</sup>.

All of the second century imperial high-priests in Athens and in the Achaean league were Roman citizens (as most of the other imperial priests attested in the province of Achaia in the second century A.D.). However,

<sup>81</sup> GORDON 1990, 227-228, underlines the strong connection between civic and religious functions in the Greco-Roman city.

<sup>82</sup> Cf. GORDON 1990, 224 (and n. 68) (the euergetic system is an unequal exchange-system); cf. also PRICE 1984b, 233. See QUASS 1982 for some examples of activity by members of the local aristocracies of the *poleis* of the Greek East (mostly in Asia Minor).

<sup>83</sup> BRUNT 1976; WOOLF 1994, 124; MADSEN 2002, 95; BURRELL 2004, 331; RIZAKIS 2007, 184. GRAY 1952, 123, speaks of “the open conspiracy in which Greek and Roman aristocracies found a bond of sympathy and material interest”; cf. also CAMPANILE 2005, 18: “l'accorto sostegno nei confronti dei membri delle classi dirigenti locali rappresentò un mezzo con cui in Asia i Romani imposero e fondarono il loro dominio”.

only four of them – Atticus, his son Herodes, Cn. Cornelius Pulcher, Ti. Claudius Saethida Caelianus (II) – succeeded in entering the equestrian (Pulcher) or senatorial order<sup>84</sup>. As I have attempted to demonstrate above, the “secret” of their success in Rome lies not so much in their tenure as imperial priests, as in their family relations and in their connections with the Roman power. The same consideration can be made for the Spartan senator C. Iulius Eurycles Herculanus<sup>85</sup>, who was imperial high-priest at Sparta during the first half of the second century A.D.; of the five known second century Spartan *archiereis*<sup>86</sup>, he is the only one who held Roman offices. Eurycles, a descendant of the famous and homonymous partisan of Augustus<sup>87</sup>, was one of the mightiest Greek notables of his time; so, it is not surprising that he was admitted to the Senate. As for the rest of the province, as far as I know, in the second century A.D. only two other imperial priests can be mentioned who embarked on a Roman career, the Messenian Ti. Claudius Dionysius Crispianus and the Elean (of Italian descent) L. Vettulenus Laetus, who were both *equites*<sup>88</sup>.

As a general rule, these men enjoyed the support of the Roman authorities as members of the provincial (Romanized) ruling elite, independently of their service as imperial priests.

On the other hand, it is likely that the personal relations some members of the civic aristocracies maintained with the Roman authorities might have facilitated their assumption of the imperial priesthood. In fact, given the political meaning of the imperial cult, those notables who enjoyed personal relations with the representatives of the Roman rule, or were of senatorial or equestrian rank, probably looked, in the eyes of their fellow-citizens, as the best fitted to hold a priestly office by means of which the com-

<sup>84</sup> It is worth noting that in the first part of the second century the first Greeks (from “old Greece”) started to enter the Senate: Atticus, his son Herodes and the Spartan Herculanus (see *infra*) were among the first senators from mainland Greece. On the important subject of the entry of Greeks from the eastern provinces into the Roman Senate cf. WALTON 1929; SYME 1958, 504–519; HALFMANN 1979; HALFMANN 1982; OLIVER 1982; SALMERI 1991 (esp. 569–575); BIRLEY 1997a; SALMERI 2000, 56–58 (and n. 17); cf. also RIZAKIS 2007, 195 (and n. 44).

<sup>85</sup> *Roman Peloponnese* II, LAC 462.

<sup>86</sup> Cf. HUPFLOHER 2000, 149.

<sup>87</sup> *Roman Peloponnese* II, LAC 461.

<sup>88</sup> Crispianus was imperial high-priest at Messene between the end of the first and the beginning of the second century A.D. (cf. *Roman Peloponnese* II, MES 136; *Roman Peloponnese* I, EL 148); Laetus was imperial high-priest at Elis in the last part of the first century A.D., and maybe still at the beginning of the second century (cf. *Roman Peloponnese* I, EL 339). For another *archiereus* – whose name is not preserved – perhaps member of the equestrian order cf. *IvO* 354 (Hadrianic/Antonine?).

munities showed their loyalty towards Rome and the emperor<sup>89</sup>; with regard to this, men like Herodes or Herculanus certainly appeared ideal candidates for the imperial priesthood.

At the same time it is evident that, at least in second century Greece, the imperial priesthood was by no means reserved to Greek notables of senatorial or equestrian rank, who do not seem to have had any precedence over others.

Basically, the imperial cult was an enterprise taken quite independently by the Greek *poleis* and *koina*; by means of the imperial priesthood, the members of the local and provincial elites contributed, at the same time, to the enhancement of their social status and to placing their cities in a good light in the eyes of the imperial power. As for the latter, it was obviously in its interest to sustain (and sometimes to encourage) a phenomenon (the imperial cult) which could contribute to the control and rule of the local situations<sup>90</sup>.

There seems to be, however, at least in Greece, no direct link between the priesthood of the imperial cult (both municipal and regional) and a career in Rome. We could say that, although the imperial priesthood can be considered a means for raising one's social status, at both local and provincial level, it does not seem to have been, for its holders, a fundamental "catalyst" for a career in Rome<sup>91</sup>. Of course, since the imperial priesthood con-

<sup>89</sup> Cf. QUASS 1982, 212-213, who underlines that the imperial priesthood itself could have been used by notables to show their ties with the imperial power, and that, in the case of notables members of the equestrian or senatorial order, their relations with the Roman rule was made clear in the first place by their position as *equites* or *senatores*; see also QUASS 1993, 150.

<sup>90</sup> On the imperial high-priesthood as a means of "Romanization", that is of integration in the Roman structures, at both individual (or family) and collective level, cf. URÍAS MARTÍNEZ 2004 (a study based on the Micrasianic evidence and dealing in particular with the imperial high-priesthood of the province of Asia); for the role of the imperial cult during the early Empire, particularly in the Greek East, as an expression of loyalty towards the imperial power, see also RIZAKIS 2007, 184, 195-196.

<sup>91</sup> With regard to this, we can mention the situation of Phrygia, where the provincial high-priesthood (of Asia) did not constitute a "prelude" to a Roman career – apparently not even for the descendants of the high-priests (see *infra*, n. 95) – and was in itself the most coveted charge for the local notables (cf. CAMPANILE 1997; CAMPANILE 2005, 19: "Numerosi casi ... mostrano che in un'area specifica come quella frigia la competizione per il prestigio e le cariche poteva svolgersi con obiettivi all'interno della provincia, e la dignità più ambita si identificava con quella del sommo sacerdozio d'Asia ... Matrimoni prestigiosi e autore-volezza conferita dalla dignità del sommo sacerdozio erano altrettanto gratificanti e significativi in termini di ascesa politico-sociale quanto l'ingresso, diretto o attraverso i propri discendenti, nell'amministrazione e nella vita politica romana."). Besides, it is worth noting that even in the rest of the province of Asia the provincial high-priesthood seems to have been a "springboard" for a Roman career not so much for the provincial high-priests them-

tributed, as well as other offices, to increasing its holders' prestige and social standing – putting them in contact with the key figure of the Roman power, the emperor – it also played a role, together with other factors (family relations, social standing, loyalty towards Rome), in the advancement of the career of the Greek notables<sup>92</sup>.

More generally, with regard to the ambitions (and possibilities) of the Greek notables to embark on a Roman career, one should perhaps also take into consideration the eventuality that some of these notables did not have such a great interest in pursuing an imperial career, which, among other things, would have implied a separation from their homeland<sup>93</sup>.

Finally, we can ask if in the province of Achaia the imperial priesthood could have been a “springboard” for the descendants of the imperial priests on the way towards a career in Rome. The answer implies an investigation beyond the chronological limits I have set for the present analysis; however, I will try to offer a few considerations.

---

selves as for their descendants; two elements should be considered: a) a very limited number of the known provincial high-priests of Asia are known to have belonged to the equestrian order, and even those could have entered the *ordo* before their assumption of the provincial high-priesthood [cf. DEMOUGIN 1999, 590-591 (and n. 54); (591: “sur les 252 dignitaires provinciaux qui apparaissent dans la documentation, 24 personnes sont connues comme appartenant à l’ordre équestre. L’élection à la dignité provinciale ne constitue pas un gage sûr de promotion.”)]; b) the evidence shows that as a rule it was not the provincial high-priests, but their descendants, who embarked on a senatorial career (and in a family the high-priesthood was not retained once one of its members had entered the Senate) [cf. CAMPANILE 1994, 165-166, 168-169 (169: “una volta raggiunto un certo *status* in un *ordo* preciso, il sommo sacerdozio – carica altamente dispendiosa – non è più ‘necessario.’”); according to F. Kirbihler (see his contribution in this volume, 138, n. 108), instead, the explanation of the absence of *clarissimi* high-priests is to be looked for in the law (“il y avait un problème de droit”)]. As for the western provinces of the Empire, FISHWICK 2000 has shown that the priesthood of the province cannot be considered a stepping stone to a Roman career [99: “So far as one can tell from the surviving sources, the summit of their (scil.: of the provincial priests) careers was regularly the priesthood of the province, itself a post of the highest distinction.”].

<sup>92</sup> Fundamental requisites to have access to the equestrian and senatorial order – and, before that, to become a Roman citizen – were the high economic and social standing and the loyalty towards Rome. Family extraction also had an important role: in particular when a family had acquired the *civitas*, and if some of its members had already given proof of loyalty towards Rome; cf. HALFMANN 1979, 27; see also, for the *civitas*, SHERWIN WHITE 1973, 246-247. Moreover, it must be underlined that in order to aim at an imperial career, in addition to personal requisites, enjoying good (personal) relations with the emperor or the imperial family proved to be decisive, since the possibility to enter the equestrian order and, above all, to become a Roman senator depended ultimately on the emperor’s favour and patronage. Cf. also MADSEN 2002, 101: “The provincials’ degree of success was presumably related to the number of contacts they had to influential Romans ...”.

<sup>93</sup> Cf. SALMERI 1991, 572-574; CAMPANILE 2005, 19-20.

In Asia Minor we can see, in several cases, the following advancement, within the same family: father, provincial high-priest → son, *eques* → grandson, senator (with a few variants)<sup>94</sup>; this pattern – in addition to the fact that, when a member of a family succeeds in getting a seat in the Senate, as a rule he does not hold the imperial priesthood – seems effectively to characterize the priesthood of the imperial cult as a “springboard” for a Roman career<sup>95</sup>.

In Greece, a similar situation may sometimes be found, even if such a pattern is far from being as common. Two notables of Messene can offer an example: Ti. Claudius Frontinus, the first messenian senator, was son of the *archiereus* of the Achaean league Caelianus (I); Ti. Claudius Dionysius Crispianus, high-priest in his city between the first and the second century A.D. and member of the equestrian order, was son of an imperial priest under Nero<sup>96</sup>. The Athenian Atticus and the Spartan Herculanus, both senators, counted several priests of the imperial cult among their ancestors. However, other elements should also be considered and every case should be analysed individually. Let us take the cases of Atticus and Herculanus; we can follow the path from the acquisition of Roman citizenship up to the entry into the Senate through their ancestors, who, as I have said, include some imperial priests. Yet, if, on the one hand, it is true (and natural) that men like Atticus and Herculanus enjoyed the social standing of their ancestors – as usually happened for the new senators from the Greek East – it is also true that their ancestors paved their way to the Roman orders not so much as imperial priests as by means of their social prestige and above all of their connections, sometimes personal, with the representatives of the Roman power, including the emperor<sup>97</sup>. Of course, the imperial priesthood expressed the social standing of these men, showing their loyalty to and, at the same time, their ties with the imperial power, but it was just one of the several prestigious offices that members of the Romanized elites usually held. With regard to this, it should also be considered that municipal imperial high-priesthoods, like the Athenian or Spartan ones, had a different value and meaning from the provincial high-priesthood, like that of the Asian *koinon*. Moreover, if we consider the sen-

---

<sup>94</sup> CAMPANILE 1994, 165–166.

<sup>95</sup> Even in Asia, however, in some regions like Phrygia the same pattern is not properly recognizable (see CAMPANILE 1997, 225); it is also to be underlined that the delineated pattern is not to be considered an automatic scheme (cf. F. Kirbihler in this volume, 146).

<sup>96</sup> Ti. Claudius Aristomenes; cf. *Roman Peloponnese II*, MES 131 and 136; RIZAKIS 2007, 192–193 (and nn. 33–34).

<sup>97</sup> Indeed, ancestors both of Herculanus and of Atticus had been on friendly terms with important Roman figures (see *supra*).

atorial families attested in the province of Achaia (around ten)<sup>98</sup>, there are only three cases in which the first member of the family to get a seat in the Senate<sup>99</sup> had had at least one imperial priest among his ancestors.

To conclude, although I do not consider the imperial priesthood as the crucial factor in fostering a Roman career, I think however that it is to be underlined the importance it had in expressing a privileged relationship between Greek elite and Roman power.

Francesco Camia

---

<sup>98</sup> Cf. OLIVER 1982.

<sup>99</sup> C. Iulius Eurycles Herculanus (Sparta); Ti. Claudius Frontinus (Messene); Ti. Claudius Atticus (Athens) – if Atticus, as supposed by A. Birley, became senator – probably through *adlectio inter praetorios* – only under Hadrian, he might have officially entered the Senate after his son Herodes, who started his senatorial career (as *quaestor*) in A.D. 124 (BIRLEY 1997a, 236; BIRLEY 1997b, 338, n. 3). However, Atticus had received, likely under Trajan, the *ornamenta praetoria* (honorary senatorial rank), which paved his son's way to the senatorial career; see *supra*, n. 10. Ti. Claudius Brasidas (II) and Ti. Claudius Spartiaticus, members of the senatorial family of the Spartan Claudii, held the imperial priesthood – for the first time within their family – when another member of this family, Ti. Claudius Brasidas (I), had already entered the Senate; cf. *Roman Peloponnese II*, LAC 274, 275, 326.



## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- AIARDI A. 1979-1980, 'Interessi neroniani in Oriente e in Africa. L'idea di Alessandro Magno', in *Atti Venezia* 138, 563-572.
- ALCOCK S.E. 1991, 'Tomb Cult and the Post-Classical Polis', *AJA* 95, 447-467.
- ALCOCK S.E. 1993, *Graecia capta. The Landscapes of Roman Greece*, Cambridge.
- ALCOCK S.E. 2001, 'The Reconfiguration of Memory in the Eastern Roman Empire', in S.E. Alcock – T. D'Altroy – K. Morrison – C. Sinopoli (eds.), *Empires: perspectives from archaeology and history*, Cambridge – New York, 323-350.
- AMANDRY M. 1988, *Le monnayage des duovirs corinthiens*, (BCH Suppl. 15), Athènes.
- AMELING W. 1983, *Herodes Atticus*, I-II, (*Subsidia Epigraphica* 11), Hildesheim – Zürich – New York.
- ANDERSON A. 1928, 'Alexander at the Caspian Gates', *TAPA* 59, 130-163.
- ANDERSON G. 1986, *Philostratus. Biography and Belles Lettres in the Third Century A.D.*, London.
- ANDERSON G. 1989, 'The *Pepaideumenos* in Action: Sophists and their Outlook in the Early Empire', in *ANRW* II 33.1, 79-208.
- ANDERSON G. 1993, *The Second Sophistic: A Cultural Phenomenon in the Roman Empire*, London – New York.
- ANDRÉ J.-M. 1995, 'La *peregrinatio Achaica* et le philhellénisme de Néron', *REL* 73, 168-182.
- ANDREOU H. – ANDREOU I. 2004, *ΗΛΙΣ. Η πόλη των Ολυμπιακών Αγώνων*, Αθήνα.
- ANGELINI F. 1984, *Petrolini: la maschera e la storia*, Bari.
- ARAFAT K. 1996, *Pausanias' Greece. Ancient Artists and Roman Rulers*, Cambridge.
- AUPERT P. 1994, 'Une base de la *domus Augusta* domitienne à Argos?', *Pallas* 40, 69-77.
- BADEL CHR. 2005, *La noblesse de l'empire romain: les masques et la vertu*, Paris.
- BALDASSARRI P. 1998, *ΣΕΒΑΣΤΩΙ ΣΩΤΗΡΙ. Edilizia monumentale ad Atene durante il saeculum augustum*, Roma.
- BALL L.F. 1994, 'A Reappraisal of Nero's Domus Aurea', in L. La Follette *et al.* (eds.), *Rome Papers. The Baths of Trajan Decius, Iside e Serapide nel palazzo, a late domus on the Palatine, and Nero's Golden Hous*, (JRA Suppl. 11), Ann Arbor, 182-254.
- BALL L.F. 2003, *The Domus Aurea and the Roman Architectural Revolution*, Cambridge.

- BALLAND A. 1981, *Fouilles de Xanthos VII. Inscriptions d'époque impériale du Létôon*, Paris.
- BALTY J.-CH. 1984, ‘Un nouveau portrait de rhéteur aux Musées royaux d'Art et d'Histoire’, *Bulletin des Musées royaux d'Art et d'Histoire* 55.2, 53-62.
- BALTY J.-CH. 1991, *Curia ordinis. Recherches d'architecture et d'urbanisme antiques sur les curies provinciales du monde romain*, Bruxelles.
- BARRETT A.A. 1989, *Caligula: the Corruption of the Power*, New Haven – London.
- BARRETT A.A. 1996, *Agrippina: Sex, Power and Politics in the Early Empire*, New Haven.
- BARRETT A.A. 2002, *Livia, First Lady of Imperial Rome*, New Haven – London.
- BARTMAN E. 1999, *Portraits of Livia. Imaging the Imperial Woman in Augustan Rome*, Cambridge.
- BEAN G. – MITFORD T.B. 1965, *Journeys in Rough Cilicia in 1962 and 1963*, (*Denkschriften der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Phil. Hist. Klasse* 85), Wien.
- BEARD M. – NORTH J. – PRICE S. 1998, *Religions of Rome*, I-II, Cambridge.
- BENARIO H.W. 1980, *A Commentary on the Vita Hadriani in the Historia Augusta*, Ann Arbor.
- BENDLIN A. 2006, ‘Vom Nutzen und Nachteil der Mantik: Orakel im Medium von Handlung und Literatur in der Zeit der Zweiten Sophistik’, in D.E. von der Osten – J. Rüpke – K. Waldner (eds.), *Texte als Medium und Reflexion von Religion im römischen Reich*, Stuttgart, 159-207.
- BENJAMIN A.S. 1963, ‘The Altars of Hadrian in Athens and Hadrian's Panhellenic Programm’, *Hesperia* 32, 57-86.
- BENJAMIN A. – RAUBITSHEK A.E. 1959, ‘*Arae Augusti*’, *Hesperia* 28, 65-85.
- BENOIST S. 2003, ‘*Imperator scaenicus, citharoedus princeps*. Théâtre et politique à Rome, ou le “métier” d’empereur selon Néron’, in P. Defosse (ed.), *Hommages à Carl Deroux III-Histoire et épigraphie, Droit*, Bruxelles, 50-66.
- BENOIST S. 2004, ‘Titulatures impériales et *damnatio memoriae*: l’enseignement des inscriptions martelées’, *Cahiers du Centre Glotz* 15, 175-189.
- BENOIST S. 2007, (ed.), *Mémoire et Histoire: les procédures de condamnation dans l'Antiquité romaine*, Metz.
- BERGEMANN J. 1998, *Butrint und die Romanisierung Griechenlands*, München.
- BERGMANN M. 2002, ‘Hatte Nero ein politisches und/oder kulturelles Programm? Zur Inschrift von Akraiphia’, in J.-M. Croisille – Y. Perrin (eds.), *Neronia VI. Rome à l'époque de Néron* (Actes du VI<sup>e</sup> Colloque international de la SIEN, Rome, 19-23 mai 1999), Bruxelles, 273-286.

- BERGMANN M. – ZANKER P. 1981, 'Damnatio memoriae. Umgearbeitete Nero- und Domitianporträts. Zur Ikonographie der flavischen Kaiser und des Nerva', *JdI* 96, 317–412.
- BERNHARDT R. 1985, *Polis und römische Herrschaft in der späten Republik (149–31 v. Chr.)*, Berlin.
- BERNSTEIN F. 1998, *Ludi Publici. Untersuchungen zur Entstehung und Entwicklung der öffentlichen Spiele im republikanischen Rom*, Stuttgart.
- BESCHI L. 1978, 'Adriano a Creta', in *Antichità Cretesi. Studi in onore di Doro Levi*, I-II (Cronache di Archeologia 13), Catania, 219–226.
- BIERS W.R. – GEAGAN D. 1970, 'A New List of Victors in the Caesarea at Isthmia', *Hesperia* 39, 79–93.
- BIRLEY A.R. 1997a, 'Hadrian and Greek Senators', *ZPE* 116, 209–245.
- BIRLEY A.R. 1997b, *Hadrian. The Restless Emperor*, London – New York.
- BMC I, H. Mattingly, *Coins of the Roman Empire in the British Museum. I: Augustus to Vitellius*, London 1976<sup>2</sup>.
- BODEL J. 1999, 'Punishing Piso', *AJP* 120, 43–63.
- BOEHME Ch. 1995, *Princeps und Polis. Untersuchungen zur Herrschaftsform des Augustus über bedeutende Orte in Griechenland*, München.
- BOETHIUS A. 1922, *Der argivische Kalender*, Uppsala.
- BOL R. 1984, *Das Statuenprogramm des Herodes-Atticus-Nymphäums*, (OlForsch XV), Berlin.
- BOL R. 1998, 'Die Porträts des Herodes Atticus und seiner Tochter Athenäis', *AntK* 41, 118–129.
- BOOKIDIS N. – STROUD. R. 1997, *Corinth XVIII.3. The Sanctuary of Demeter and Kore. Topography and Architecture*, Princeton.
- BORG B. 2004, (ed.), *Paideia. The World of the Second Sophistic*, Berlin – New York.
- BORG B. – WITSCHEL Ch. 2001, 'Veränderung im Repräsentationsverhalten der römischen Eliten während des 3. Jhs. n. Chr.', in G. Alföldy – S. Panciera (eds.), *Inschriftliche Denkmäler als Medien der Selbstdarstellung in der römischen Welt*, Stuttgart, 47–120.
- BÖRKER Ch. 1990, 'Forum und Capitolium von Korinth: Zur Planung einer römischen Kolonie in Griechenland', in Ch. Börker – M. Donderer (eds.), *Das antike Rom und der Osten. Festschrift für K. Parlasca*, Erlangen, 1–8.
- BORN L.K. 1939, s.v. 'Ordinarius', in *RE* XVIII 1, 929.
- BOSCHUNG D. 2002, *Gens Augusta. Untersuchungen zu Aufstellung, Wirkung und Bedeutung der Statuengruppen des julisch-claudischen Kaiserhauses*, (Monumenta Artis Romanae 32), Mainz.

- BOUDON-MILLOT V. 2007, 'Galen. Introduction générale', in *Galen*, Paris, T. I, VII-CCXXXVIII.
- BOULANGER A. 1914, 'Notes sur les fouilles exécutées en 1913 à Aphrodisias', *CRAI*, 33-50.
- BOWERSOCK G.W. 1973, 'Greek Intellectuals and the Imperial Cult in the Second Century A.D.', in O. Reverdin (ed.), *Le culte des souverains dans l'empire romain, (Entretiens Fondation Hardt 19)*, Genève, 179-212 (= G.W. Bowersock, *Studies on the Eastern Roman Empire*, Goldbach 1994, 293-326).
- BOWIE E.L. 1989, 'Greek Sophists and Greek Poetry in the Second Sophistic', in *ANRW II* 33.1, 209-258.
- BRANDIS C.G. 1901a, s.v. 'Δεκάποτοι', in *RE IV*, 2417-2422.
- BRANDIS C.G. 1901b, s.v. 'Decemprimi', in *RE IV*, 2254-2256.
- BRANDIS C.G. 1905, s.v. 'Είκοσάποτοι', in *RE V* 2, 2099-2100.
- BRAVI A. 2007, 'Vocem Memnonis audivi: il colosso di Memnon e i luoghi della memoria greco-romana in Egitto', in O.D. Cordovana – M. Galli (eds.), *Arte e memoria culturale nell'età della Seconda Sofistica*, Catania, 79-91.
- BREITENBACH A. 2003, 'Kritias und Herodes Attikos', *WS* 116, 109-113.
- BRÉLAZ C. 2006, *La sécurité publique en Asie Mineure sous le Principat (I<sup>er</sup>-III<sup>ème</sup> s. ap. J.-C.). Institutions municipales et institutions impériales dans l'Orient romain*, Basel.
- BRISCOE J. 1967, 'Rome and the Class Struggle in the Greek States 200-146 B.C.', *Past & Present* 36, 3-20 (= M.I. Finley (ed.), *Studies in Ancient Society*, London 1974, 53-73).
- BRONEER O. 1932, *Corinth X. The Odeum*, Cambridge, Mass.
- BRUNT P.A. 1961, 'Charges of Maladministration under the Early Principate', *Historia* 10, 189-227.
- BRUNT P.A. 1976, 'The Romanization of the Local Ruling Classes in the Roman Empire', in M.D. Pippidi (ed.), *Assimilation et résistance à la culture gréco-romaine dans le monde ancien*, Bucarest, 161-173.
- BURASELIS K. 2000, *Kos between Hellenism and Rome. Studies on the Political, Institutional and Social History of Kos from ca. the Middle Second Century B.C. until Late Antiquity*, (TAPS 90, Pt. 4), Philadelphia.
- BURASELIS K. 2003, 'Political Gods and Heroes or the Hierarchization of Political Divinity in the Hellenistic World', in A. Barzano *et al.* (eds.), *Modelli eroici dall'antichità alla cultura europea*, Roma, 185-197.
- BURRELL B. 2004, *Neokoroi. Greek Cities and Roman Emperors*, Leiden – Boston.
- BYRNE S.G. 2003, *Roman Citizens of Athens*, (Studia Hellenistica 40), Leuven.

- CABALLOS RUFINO A. – ECK W. – FERNANDEZ F. 1996, *Das Senatus Consultum de Cn. Pisone Patre*, Münich.
- CADARIO M. 2004, *La corazza di Alessandro. Loricati di tipo ellenistico dal IV sec. a.C. al II d.C.*, Milano.
- CAGNAT R. – MERLIN A. – CHATELAIN L. 1923, *Inscriptions latines d'Afrique*, Paris.
- CALANDRA E. 1996, *Oltre la Grecia. Alle origini del filellenismo di Adriano*, Napoli.
- CAMIA F. 2002, ‘*IG IV 203: la cronologia di P. Licinius Priscus Iuventianus, archiereus della Lega achea*’, *ASAtene* 80, 361-378.
- CAMIA F. 2007, *Forme di culto imperiale in Grecia nel secondo secolo d.C.*, Tesi di Dottorato, Univ. di Roma “La Sapienza”.
- CAMPANILE M.D. 1994a, *I sacerdoti del koinon d'Asia (I sec. a.C.-III sec. d.C.). Contributo alla studio della romanizzazione delle élites provinciali nell'Oriente greco*, (*Studi Ellenistici* 7), Pisa.
- CAMPANILE M.D. 1994b, ‘I sommi sacerdoti del koinon d'Asia: numero, rango e criteri di elezione’, *ZPE* 100, 422-426.
- CAMPANILE M.D. 1997, ‘Il culto imperiale in Frigia’, in R. Gusmani – M. Salvini – P. Vannicelli (eds.), *Frigi e Frigio* (Atti del 1° simposio internazionale, Roma, 16-17 ottobre 1995), Roma, 219-227.
- CAMPANILE M.D. 1999, ‘La costruzione del sofista. Note sul *bios* di Polemone’, *Studi Ellenistici* 12, 269-315.
- CAMPANILE M.D. 2001, ‘Ancora sul culto imperiale in Asia’, *Mediterraneo Antico* 4, 473-488.
- CAMPANILE M.D. 2004, ‘Asiarchi e Archiereis d'Asia: titolatura, condizione giuridica e posizione sociale dei supremi dignitari del culto imperiale’, in G. Labarre (ed.), *Les cultes locaux dans les mondes grec et romain* (Actes du Colloque de Lyon, 7-8 juin 2001), Lyon, 69-79.
- CAMPANILE M.D. 2005, ‘Nuovi contributi dell'epigrafia per lo studio delle élites locali nelle province orientali in età romana: l'esempio dell'Asia’, in J. Desmulliez – Ch. Hoët-van Cauwenbergh (eds.), *Le monde romain à travers l'épigraphie: méthodes et pratiques*, Lille, 15-30.
- CAMPANILE M.D. 2006, ‘Sommi sacerdoti, asiarchi e culto imperiale: un aggiornamento’, in B. Virgilio (ed.), *Studi ellenistici* 19, 523-584.
- CANALI DE ROSSI F. 2007, *Filius publicus. Υἱὸς τῆς πόλεως e titoli affini in inscrizioni greche di età imperiale. Studi sul vocabolario dell'evergesia I*, Roma.
- CANCIK H. – HITZL K. 2003, (eds.), *Die Praxis der Herrscherverehrung in Rom und seinen Provinzen*, Tübingen.
- CARROLL K.K. 1982, *The Parthenon Inscription*, (GRBS Suppl. 9), Durham.
- CARTER M. 2004, ‘Archiereis and Asiarchs. A Gladiatorial Perspective’, *GRBS* 44, 41-68.

CARTLEDGE P. – SPAWFORTH A.J.S. 2002, *Hellenistic and Roman Sparta. A Tale of Two Cities*, London – New York.

CASKEY J.L. – AMANDRY P. 1952, ‘Investigations at the Heraion of Argos, 1949’, *Hesperia* 21, 165-221.

CAVALIERI M. 2003, ‘La statua loricata di Durazzo e la politica imperiale di fine I sec. d.C.’, in M. Buora – S. Santoro (eds.), *Progetto Durrës. L’indagine sui beni culturali albanesi dell’antichità e del medioevo: tradizioni di studio a confronto* (Atti del primo incontro scientifico, Parma-Udine, 19-20 aprile 2002), Trieste, 221-243.

CÉBEILLAC-GERVASONI M. 1980-1981, ‘Cronaca delle due giornate e discussioni’, in M. Cébeillac-Gervasoni (ed.), *Studi e ricerche su Puteoli Romana* (Atti del convegno, Napoli, Centre J. Bérard, 2-3 aprile 1979), *Puteoli* 4-5, 223-233.

CÉBEILLAC-GERVASONI M. 1983, (ed.), *Les «bourgeoisies» municipales italiennes aux II<sup>e</sup> et I<sup>er</sup> siècles av. J.-C.*, Naples-Paris.

CÉBEILLAC-GERVASONI M. 1996, (ed.), *Les élites municipales de l’Italie péninsulaire des Gracques à Néron*, (Collection Centre Jean Bérard 13 – Collection de l’École française de Rome 215), Naples-Rome.

CÉBEILLAC-GERVASONI M. 1997, *Les magistrats des cités italiennes de la seconde guerre punique à Auguste: le Latium et la Campanie*, (BEFAR 299), Rome.

CÉBEILLAC-GERVASONI M. 2000, (ed.), *Les élites municipales de l’Italie péninsulaire de la mort de César à la mort de Domitien. Classes sociales dirigeantes et pouvoir central*, (Collection de l’École française de Rome 271), Rome.

CÉBEILLAC-GERVASONI M. – LAMOINE L. 2003, (eds.), *Les élites et leurs facettes. Les élites locales dans le monde hellénistique et romain*, (Collection de l’École française de Rome 309 – Collection ERGA 3), Rome – Clermont-Ferrand.

CÉBEILLAC-GERVASONI M. – LAMOINE L. – TRÉMENT FR. 2004, (eds.), *Autocélébration des élites locales dans le monde romain. Contextes, textes, images (IIe s. av. J.-C. – III s. ap. J.-C.)* (Collection ERGA 7), Clermont-Ferrand.

CHAISEMARTIN N. de 1989, ‘Le ‘portique de Tibère’ à Aphrodisias: problèmes d’identification et de fonction’, *REA* 91, 23-45.

CHAISEMARTIN N. de – LEMAIRE A. 1996, ‘Le portique de Tibère: recherches sur son architecture et sa fonction’, in Ch. Roueché – R.R.R. Smith (eds.), *Aphrodisias Papers 3. The setting and quarries, mythological and other sculptural decoration, architectural development, Portico of Tiberius, and Tetrapylon*, (JRA Suppl. 20), 149-172.

CHANIOTIS A. 2003, ‘Der Kaiserkult im Osten des Römischen Reiches im Kontext der zeitgenössischen Ritualpraxis’, in CANCIK – HITZL 2003, 3-28.

CHANIOTIS A. 2004, ‘New Inscriptions from Aphrodisias (1995-2001)’, *AJA* 108, 377-416.

- CHAPOT V. 1904, *La province proconsulaire d'Asie Mineure depuis ses origines jusqu'à la fin du Haut-Empire*, Paris.
- CHARNEUX P. 1956, 'Inscriptions d'Argos', *BCH* 80, 598-618.
- CIZEK E. 1982, *Néron*, Paris.
- CLAUSS M. 1999, *Kaiser und Gott. Herrscherkult im römischen Reich*, Stuttgart – Leipzig.
- CLINTON K. 1989, 'The Eleusinian Mysteries: Roman Initiates and Benefactors, Second Century B.C. to A.D. 267', in *ANRW II* 18.2, 1499-1539.
- CLINTON K. 1997, 'Eleusis and the Romans: late republic to Marcus Aurelius', in M. C. Hoff – S.I. Rotroff (eds.), *The Romanization of Athens* (Proceedings of an International Conference held at Lincoln, Nebraska, April 1996), Oxford, 160-181.
- CLINTON K. 2005, *Eleusis. The Inscriptions on Stone. Documents of the Sanctuary of the Two Goddesses and Public Documents of the Deme*, (The Archaeological Society at Athens Library 236), Athens.
- COHEN G. 1995, *The Hellenistic Settlements in Europe, the Islands and Asia Minor*, Los Angeles.
- COLLAS-HEDDELAND EM. 2002, 'D'une capitale à l'autre: Pergame, Ephèse et le culte impérial provincial', in H. Inglebert (ed.), *Idéologies et valeurs civiques dans le monde romain. Hommage à Claude Lepelley*, Paris, 107-121.
- CORBIER M. 1990, 'Usages publics du vocabulaire de la parenté', in A. Mastino (ed.), *L'Africa Romana. Atti del VII Convegno di Studio* (Sassari, 15-17 dicembre 1989), Sassari, 817-854.
- CORBIER M. 1994, 'À propos de la *Tabula Siarensis*: le Sénat, Germanicus et la *domus Augusta*', in J. González Fernández (ed.), *Roma y las provincias. Realidad administrativa e ideología imperial*, Madrid, 39-85.
- CORBIER M. 1995, 'Male Power and Legitimacy through Women: the *Domus Augusta* under the Julio-Claudians', in R. Hawley – B. Levick (eds.), *Women in Antiquity. New Assessments*, London – New York, 178-193.
- CORDOVANA O.D. 2007, 'Forme di identità nell'età della Seconda Sofistica', in O.D. Cordovana – M. Galli (eds.), *Arte e memoria culturale nell'età della Seconda Sofistica*, Catania, 15-22.
- CORMACK J.M.R. 1964, 'Inscriptions from Aphrodisias', *BSA* 59, 16-29.
- COULSON W. – H. KYRIELEIS 1992, (eds.), *Proceedings of an International Symposium on the Olympic Games* (Athens, 5-9 September 1988), Athens.
- CROWTER C. – FACELLA M. 2003, 'New Evidence for the Ruler Cult of Antiochus of Commagene from Zeugma', in G. Heedemann – E. Winter (eds.), *Neue Forschungen zur Religionsgeschichte Kleinasiens. Elmar Schwertheim zum 60. Geburtstag gewidmet*, (Asia Minor Studien 49), Bonn, 41-80.

- CULASSO E. 2003, ‘Abbattere la stele. Riscrittura epigrafica e revisione storica ad Atene’, *Cahiers du Centre Glotz* 14, 241-262.
- DAGRON G. – FEISSEL D. 1987, *Inscriptions de Cilicie*, Paris.
- DE LONGPERIER H. 1869-1870, ‘Médailles relatives aux θέμιδες de l’Asie Mineure’, *Revue Numismatique* n.s. 14, 31-70.
- DEDEOGLU H. – MALAY H. 1986, ‘A *dekaprotos* at Philadelphia’, *EpigAnat* 8, 101-102.
- DEININGER J. 1965, *Die Provinziallandtage der römischen Kaiserzeit von Augustus bis zum Ende des dritten Jahrhunderts n. Chr.*, Berlin.
- DELORME J. 1960, *Gymnasion. Études sur les monuments consacrés à l’éducation en Grèce des origines à l’Empire romain*, (BEFAR 196), Paris.
- DEMARIS R. 2002, ‘Cults and the Imperial Cult in Early Roman Corinth: Literary versus Material Record’, in M. Labahn – J. Zangenberg (eds.), *Zwischen den Reichen: Neues Testament und Römische Herrschaft*, Tübingen, 73-91.
- DEMOUGIN S. 1999, ‘L’ordre équestre en Asie Mineure. Histoire d’une romanisation’, in S. Demougin – H. Devijer – M.-Th. Raepsat-Charlier (eds.), *L’ordre équestre. Histoire d’une aristocratie (II<sup>e</sup> siècle av. J.-C.-III<sup>e</sup> siècle ap. J.-C.)* (Actes du colloque international, Bruxelles-Lovain, 5-7 octobre 1995), Paris – Rome, 579-612.
- DESPINIS G. 1975, Ἀκρόλιθα, (*ArchDelt Suppl.* 21), Αθῆναι.
- DESPINIS G. 1977, ‘Τὸ ἀντίγραφο τῆς Ἀθηνᾶς Medici τοῦ Μουσείου Θεσσαλονίκης’, in Ἀρχαία Μακεδονία II (Ἀνακοινώσεις κατὰ τὸ Διεύτερο Διεθνὲς Συμπόσιο, Θεσσαλονίκη, 19-24 Αὐγούστου 1973), Θεσσαλονίκη, 95-102.
- DESPINIS G. – STEFANIDOU TIBERIOU T. – VOUTIRAS E. 2003, *Κατάλογος γλυπτών του Αρχαιολογικού Μουσείου Θεσσαλονίκης*, I-II, Θεσσαλονίκη.
- DEVILJVER H. 1986, ‘T. Flavius Mikkalus, Ritteroffizier aus Perinthos’, *ZPE* 64, 253-256.
- DEVILJVER H. 1993, ‘The Inscriptions of the Neon-Library’, in M. Waelkens – J. Poblome (eds.), *Sagalassos II. Report of the third excavation campaign of 1992*, (*Acta Archaeologica Lovaniensa. Monographiae* 6), Leuven, 107-123.
- DEVILJVER H. 1996, ‘Local Elite, Equestrians and Senators: A Social History of Roman Sagalassos’, *Ancient Society* 27, 105-152.
- DEVILJVER H. – WAELKENS M. 1999, ‘Roman Inscriptions from the Fifth Campaign at Sagalassos’ in M. Waelkens – J. Poblome (eds.), *Sagalassos IV, Report on the survey and the excavations campaigns of 1994 and 1995*, (*Acta Archaeologica Lovaniensa. Monographiae* 9), Leuven, 293-314.
- D’HAUTCOURT A. 2001, ‘Corinth: financement d’une colonisation et d’une reconstruction’, in J.-Y. Marc – J.-Ch. Moretti (eds.), *Constructions publiques et programmes édilitaires en Grèce entre le II<sup>e</sup> siècle av. J.C. et le I<sup>r</sup> siècle ap. J.C.* (Actes

du colloque organisé par l'École française d'Athènes et le CNRS, Athènes, 14-17 mai 1995), (*BCH Suppl.* 39), Athènes, 427-437.

DI BRANCO M. 1996, 'L'eroe greco e il paradigma del tiranno. Alle radici del mito di Nerone', *Metis* 11, 101-22.

DI BRANCO M. 2007, 'Nerone e la metamorfosi del tiranno: la regalità ellenistica e la nascita di una nuova "figura ideologica"', in M. Perrin (ed.), *Neronia VII. Rome, l'Italie et la Grèce: hellénisme et philhellénisme au premier siècle après J.-C.* (Actes du VII<sup>e</sup> Colloque de la SIEN, Athènes, 21-23 octobre 2004), (*Collection Latomus* 305), Bruxelles, 26-40.

DI SEGNI L. 1995, 'The Involvement of Local, Municipal and Provincial Authorities in Urban Building in Late Antique Palestine and Arabia', in *The Roman and Byzantine Near East: Some recent archaeological research*, (*JRA Suppl.* 14), Ann Arbor, MI.

DILLON SH. 1996, 'The portraits of a civic benefactor of 2<sup>nd</sup> c. Ephesos', *JRA* 9, 272-274.

DIXON M.D. 2000, 'A New Latin and Greek Inscription from Corinth', *Hesperia* 69, 335-342.

DONTAS G. 2004, *Les portraits attiques au Musée de l'Acropole*, (*Corpus Signorum Imperii Romani, Grèce I, 1*), Athènes.

DRÄGER M. 1993, *Die Städte der Provinz Asia in der Flavierzeit*, Frankfurt am Main.

DREW-BEAR TH. 1972, 'Deux décrets hellénistiques d'Asie mineure', *BCH* 96, 435-471.

DULIÈRE C. 1979, *Lupa Romana, Recherches d'iconographie et essai d'interprétation*, I-II, Bruxelles-Rome.

DUNANT CHR. – POUILLOUX J. 1958, *Recherches sur l'histoire et les cultes de Thasos II. De 196 avant J.-C. jusqu'à la fin de l'Antiquité*, Paris.

ECK W. 1993, *Agrippina die Stadtsgründerin Kölns*, Köln.

ECK W. 2002, 'Die Vernichtung der *memoria Neros*: Inschriften der neronischen Zeit aus Rom', in M. Croisille – Y. Perrin (eds.), *Neronia VI. Rome à l'époque néronienne* (Actes du VI<sup>e</sup> colloque international de la SIEN, Rome, 19-23 mai 1999), Bruxelles, 285-295.

ENGELMANN H. 1990, 'Ephesische Inschriften', *ZPE* 84, 89-92.

ENGELMANN H. 1996, 'Eine Victoria Caesaris und das Parthermonument (IvE 721)', *ZPE* 113, 91-93.

ENGELMANN H. 2000, 'Asiarchs', *ZPE* 132, 173-175.

ENGELS D. 1990, *Roman Corinth. An Alternative Model for the Classical City*, Chicago.

- EVERS C. 1994, *Les portraits d'Hadrien*, Bruxelles.
- FARRINGTON A. 1995, *The Roman Baths of Lycia: An Architectural Study*, London.
- FEARS J.R. 1984, ‘The Cult of Jupiter and Roman Imperial Ideology’, in *ANRW* II 17.1, 3-141.
- FEIN S. 1994, *Die Beziehungen der Kaiser Trajan und Hadrian zu den letterati*, Stuttgart-Leipzig.
- FEISSEL D. 2004, ‘L’empereur et l’administration impériale’, in C. Morrisson (ed.), *Le monde Byzantin: l’Empire romain d’Orient (330-461)*, Paris, 79-110.
- FERNOUX H.-L. 2004, *Notables et élites des cités de Bithynie aux époques hellénistiques et romaines (III<sup>e</sup> siècle av. J.-C.-III<sup>e</sup> siècle ap. J.-C.). Essai d’histoire sociale*, Lyon.
- FERRARY J.-L. 1988, *Philhellénisme et impérialisme: aspects idéologiques de la conquête romaine du monde hellénistique*, Rome.
- FERRARY J.-L. 1991, ‘Le statut des cités libres dans l’Empire romain à la lumière des inscriptions de Claros’, *CRAI*, 557-577.
- FERRARY J.-L. 1995, ‘Rome, Athènes et le philhellénisme dans l’Empire romain d’Auguste aux Antonins’, in *Filellenismo e tradizionalismo a Roma nei primi due secoli dell’Impero* (Convegno internazionale, Roma, 27-28 aprile 1993), Roma.
- FERRARY J.-L. 2001, ‘Rome et la géographie de l’hellenisme: réflexions sur “hellènes” et “panhellènes” dans les inscriptions d’époque romaine’, in O. Salomies (ed.), *The Greek East in the Roman Context* (Proceedings of a Colloquium organised by the Finnish Institute at Athens, May 21 and 22, 1999), Helsinki, 19-35.
- FERRARY J.-L. 2005, ‘Les Grecs des cités et l’obtention de la *civitas Romana*’, in P. Fröhlich – Chr. Müller (eds.), *Citoyenneté et participation à la basse époque hellénistique*, (*Hautes études du monde gréco-romain* 35), Genève, 51-75.
- FINK J. 1955, ‘Θεὸς Ἀδολανός’, *Hermes* 83, 502-508.
- FINK R.O. – HOEY A.S. – SNYDER W.F. 1940, ‘The Feriale Duranum’, *YCS* 9, 1-222.
- FISHWICK D. 1987-1992, *The Imperial Cult in the Latin West*, I-II, Leiden – New York.
- FISHWICK D. 2000, ‘The later Careers of Provincial Priests in the Western Roman Empire’, *Epigraphica* 62, 87-100.
- FITTSCHEN K. – ZANKER P. 1985, *Katalog der römischen Porträts in den Capitolini-schen Museen und den anderen kommunalen Sammlungen der Stadt Rom* I, Mainz am Rhein.
- FLEISCHER R. 1973, *Artemis von Epheson und verwandte Kultstatuen*, Leiden.
- FLINTERMAN J.-J. 1995, *Power, Paideia & Pythagoreanism. Greek Identity, Conceptions of the Relationship between Philosophers and Monarchs and Political Ideas in Philostratus’ Life of Apollonius*, Amsterdam.
- FLOWER H.I. 1998, ‘Rethinking “Damnatio Memoriae”: the Case of Cn. Calpurnius

- Piso Pater in A.D. 20', *CLAnt* 17.2, 155-197.
- FOLLET S. 1968, 'Hadrien en Égypte et en Judée', *RPhil* 62, 54-77.
- FOLLET S. 1976, *Athènes au II<sup>e</sup> et au III<sup>e</sup> siècle: études chronologiques et prosopographiques*, Paris.
- FONTANI E. 2007, 'La celebrazione dell'imperatore nelle feste in onore di Adriano nell'Oriente greco', in O.D. Cordovana – M. Galli (eds.), *Arte e memoria culturale nell'età della Seconda Sofistica*, Catania, 235-240.
- FONTECEDRO E.A. 1997, 'Animula vagula blandula. Adriano debitore di Plutarco', *Quaderni urbinati di cultura classica* 55, 59-69.
- FRAPICCINI N. 1987, 'L'arrivo di Cibele in Attica', *PP* 42, 12-26.
- FRASER P.M. 1978, 'The Kings of Commagene and the Greek World', in S. Şahin – E. Schwertheim – J. Wagner (eds.), *Studien zur Religion und Kultur Kleinasiens. Festschrift für Friedrich Karl Dörner*, Leiden, I, 359-374.
- FRÉZOULS E. 1971, 'Une synagogue juive attestée à Volubilis', in *Acta 5<sup>th</sup> International Congress of Greek and Latin Epigraphy* (Cambridge 1967), Oxford, 287-292.
- FRÉZOULS E. 1994, 'Domitien et l'administration des provinces', *Pallas* 40, 301-328.
- FREZZA P. 1953, 'Istituti ellenistici nei testi del *Corpus iuris civilis*', in *Studi in onore di V. Arangio-Ruiz nel XLV anno del suo insegnamento*, Napoli, 209-224.
- FRIESEN ST.J. 1993, *Twice Neokoros. Ephesus, Asia and the Cult of the Flavian Imperial Family*, Leiden – New York – Köln.
- FRIESEN ST.J. 1999a, 'Highpriests of Asia and Asiarchs. Farewell to the Identification Theory', in P. Scherrer – H. Thaeuber – H. Thür (eds.), *Steine und Wege. Festschrift für D. Knibbe zum 65. Geburtstag*, Wien, 303-307.
- FRIESEN ST.J. 1999b, 'Asiarchs', *ZPE* 126, 275-290.
- Führer, P. Scherrer et al., *Ephesos. Der Neuer Führer*, ÖAI, Wien, 1995-2000.
- FUSAR IMPERATORE G. 1978, *Saggio di analisi critica della bibliografia neroniana dal 1934 al 1975*, Milano.
- GAGÉ J. 1932, 'Un thème de l'art impérial romain: la Victorie d'Auguste', *MEFRA* 49, 61-92.
- GALLI M. 2001, 'Pepaideumenoī am Ort des Heiligen: Kommunikationsformen und euergetische Initiativen in griechischen Heiligtümern zur Zeit der Zweiten Sophistik', in Chr. Reusser (ed.), *Griechenland in der Kaiserzeit. Neue Forschungen zur Plastik, Architektur und Topographie* (Festschrift zum 60. Geburtstag von D. Willers, Koll. Bern 12-13 Juni 1998, Beiheft 4 HASB), Bern, 43-71.
- GALLI M. 2002, *Die Lebenswelt eines Sophisten. Untersuchungen zu den Bauten und Stiftungen des Herodes Atticus*, Mainz am Rhein.

- GALLI M. 2004, “Creating Religious identities”: *paideia e religione nella Seconda Sofistica*, in BORG 2004, 315-356.
- GALLI M. 2005, ‘Pilgrimage as Élite Habitus: Educated Pilgrims in the Sacred Landscape During the Second Sophistic’, in J. Elsner – I. Rutherford (eds.), *Pilgrimage in Graeco-Roman & Early Christian Antiquity. Seeing the Gods*, Oxford, 253-290.
- GALLI M. 2007, ‘*Et Graeci quidem eum consecraverunt*. La creazione del mito di Antinoo’, in O.D. Cordovana – M. Galli (eds.), *Arte e memoria culturale nell’età della Seconda Sofistica*, Catania, 181-203.
- GALTUNG J. 1971, ‘A Structural Theory of Imperialism’, *Journal of Peace Research* 8, 81-117.
- GARNSEY P. 1970, *Social Status and Legal Privilege in the Roman Empire*, Oxford.
- GARNSEY P. 1974, ‘Aspects of the Decline of the Urban Aristocracy in the Empire’, in ANRW II 1, 229-252.
- GARNSEY P. 1988, *Famine and Food Supply in the Greco-Roman World*, Cambridge.
- GARNSEY P. – SALLER R. 1987, *The Roman Empire. Economy, Society and Culture*, London.
- GAUTHIER PH. 1985, *Les cités grecques et leurs bienfaiteurs (IV<sup>e</sup>-I<sup>er</sup> siècle av. J.-C.). Contribution à l’histoire des institutions*, (BCH Suppl. 12), Athènes.
- GAUTHIER PH. 1989, *Nouvelles inscriptions de Sardes*, II.
- GEAGAN D.J. 1967, *The Athenian Constitution after Sulla*, (Hesperia Suppl. 12), Princeton.
- GEAGAN D.J. 1968, ‘Notes on the Agonistic Institutions of Roman Corinth’, *GRBS* 9, 70-80.
- GEAGAN D.J. 1979a, ‘Roman Athens: Some Aspects of Life and Culture (86 B.C. – A.D. 267)’, in ANRW II 7.1, 375-437.
- GEAGAN D.J. 1979b, ‘Tiberius Claudius Novius, the Hoplite Generalship and the Epimeteleia of the Free City of Athens’, *AJP* 100, 279-287.
- GERKAN A. VON – KRISCHEN F. 1928, *Thermen und Palaestren*, (Milet I 9), Berlin.
- GOETTE H. R. 1990, *Studien zu römischen Togadarstellungen*, Mainz.
- GOLDHILL S. (ed.) 2001, *Being Greek under Rome. Cultural Identity, the Second Sophistic and the Development of Empire*, Cambridge.
- GORDON R. 1990, ‘The Veil of Power: Emperors, Sacrificers and Benefactors’, in M. Beard – J. North (eds.), *Pagan Priests. Religion and Power in the Ancient World*, London, 201-231.
- GRAINDOR P. 1922, ‘Études sur l'éphébie attique sous l'Empire’, *Musée Belge* 26, 165-228.

- GRAINDOR P. 1931, *Athènes de Tibère à Trajan*, Le Caire.
- GRAINDOR P. 1934, *Athènes sous Hadrien*, Le Caire.
- GRANT M. 1946, *From Imperium to Auctoritas. A Historical Study of Aes Coinage in the Roman Empire, 49 B.C.-A.D. 14*, Cambridge.
- GRAY E.W. 1952, 'Rev. to *Roman Rule in Asia Minor to the End of the Third Century After Christ*', Princeton 1950', *JRS* 42, 121-125.
- GRELLE F. 2000, 'L'epigrafe in onore di Erode Attico conservata a Stoccolma', in G. Paci (ed.), *ΕΠΙΓΡΑΦAI: miscellanea epigrafica in onore di Lidio Gasperini*, Roma, I, 455-462.
- GRETER G. 1946, 'Livia and the Roman Imperial Cult', *AJP* 67, 222-252.
- GRiffin A. 1982, *Sikyon*, Oxford.
- GRiffin M.T. 2002, *Néron ou la fin d'une dynastie*, Paris.
- GUERBER É. 2002, 'Le thème de la liberté des Grecs et ses prolongements politiques sous le Haut-Empire', in H. Inglebert (ed.), *Idéologies et valeurs civiques dans le monde romain. Hommage à Claude Lepelley*, Paris, 123-142.
- HABICHT CH. 1956, *Gottmenschen und griechische Städte*, Munich.
- HABICHT CH. 1969, *Die Inschriften des Asklepieions*, Berlin.
- HABICHT CH. 1998, 'Kleine Beiträge zur altgriechischen Personenkunde', *REA* 100, 487-494.
- HAFNER G. 1954, *Späthellenistische Bildnisplastik. Versuch einer landschaftlichen Gliederung*, Berlin.
- HAGEL S. – TOMASCHITZ K. 1998, *Repertorium der west-kilikischen Inschriften, (Ergänzungsbände zu den Tituli Asiae Minoris 22)*, Wien.
- HAHN U. 1994, *Die Frauen des römischen Kaiserhauses und ihre Ehrungen im griechischen Osten anhang epigraphischer und numismatischer Zeugnisse von Livia bis Sabina*, Saarbrücken.
- HALFMANN H. 1979, *Die Senatoren aus dem östlichen Teil des Imperium Romanum bis zum Ende des 2. Jh. n. Chr.*, Göttingen.
- HALFMANN H. 1982, 'Die Senatoren aus den kleinasiatischen Provinzen des römischen Reiches vom 1.-3. Jahrhundert (Asia, Pontus-Bithynia, Lycia-Pamphylia, Galatia, Cappadocia, Cilicia)', in S. Panciera (ed.), *Epigrafia e ordine senatorio*, Roma, II, 603-650.
- HALFMANN H. 1986, *Itinera principum. Geschichte und Typologie der Kaiserreisen im Römischen Reich*, Stuttgart.
- HALFMANN H. 2001, *Städtebau und Bauherren im römischen Kleinasiens. Ein Vergleich zwischen Pergamon und Ephesos*, (IstMitt Beiheft 43), Tübingen.

- HALFMANN H. 2004, *Éphèse et Pergame. Urbanisme et commanditaires en Asie Mineure romaine*, (*Scripta Antiqua* 11), Bordeaux [French translation of HALFMANN 2001 by I. Voss, revised by A. Bresson and N. Badoud].
- HAMON P. 2004, ‘Les prêtres du culte royal dans la capitale des Attalides: note sur le décret de Pergame en l’honneur du roi Attale III (OGIS 332)’, *Chiron* 34, 169-185.
- HANNESTAD N. 1977, ‘The Liberalitas Panel of Marcus Aurelius once again. Is Herodes Atticus Represented on it?’, *AnalRom* 8, 79-88.
- HANSEN M. H. 1983, ‘The Athenian ‘Politicians’, 403-322 B.C.’, *GRBS* 24, 33-55.
- HANSLIK R. 1953, s.v. ‘Poppaea Sabina’, in *RE* XXII 1, 84-91.
- HARLAND P. 2007, ‘Familial Dimensions of Group Identity (II): “Mothers” and “Fathers” in Associations and Synagogues of the Greek World’, *Journal for the Study of Judaism* 38, 57-79.
- HARTER-UIBOPUU K. 2003, ‘Kaiserkult und Kaiserverehrung in den Koina des griechischen Mutterlandes’, in CANCIK – HITZL 2003, 209-231.
- HARTWIG P. 1903, ‘Zur Statue des Demosthenes’, *JdI* 18, 25-33 (= K. Fittschen, *Griechische Porträts*, Darmstadt 1988, 141-146).
- HAUSSOULLIER B. 1920, ‘ΒΩΜΟΣΠΙΕΙΠΟΝ’, *RPhil*, 72-74.
- HAVÈ-NIKOLAUS F. 1998, *Untersuchungen zu den kaiserzeitlichen Togastatuen griechischer Provenienz*, Mainz am Rhein.
- HEKLER A. 1940, ‘Philosophen- und Gelehrtenbildnisse der mittleren Kaiserzeit. Beiträge zur antiken Bildungsgeschichte’, *Die Antike* 16, 115-141.
- HELLER A. 2006a, *Les bêtises des Grecs. Conflits et rivalités entre cités d’Asie et de Bithynie à l’époque romaine* (129 av. J.-C.-235 ap. J.-C.), Bordeaux.
- HELLER A. 2006b, ‘Titulatures des cités et contrôle du pouvoir central: le cas de la troisième néocorie d’Éphèse’, in M.-H. Quet (ed.), *La “crise” de l’Empire romain de Marc Aurèle à Constantin. Mutations, continuités, ruptures*, Paris, 279-306.
- HERBILLON J. 1929, *Le cultes de Patras avec une prosopographie patréenne*, Baltimore.
- HERMAN G. 1987, *Ritualised friendship and the Greek city*, Cambridge.
- HERRMANN P. 1993, ‘Inschriften von Sardes’, *Chiron* 23, 233-265.
- HERRMANN P. 2000, ‘Eine berühmte Familie in Teos’, in C. İşik (ed.), *Studien zur Religion und Kultur Kleinasiens und des ägäischen Bereiches. Festschrift für Baki Öğün zum 75. Geburstag*, (Asia Minor Studien 39), Bonn, 87-97.
- HERZ P. 1975, *Untersuchungen zum Festkalender der römischen Kaiserzeit nach datierten Weih- und Ehreninschriften*, Mainz.
- HERZ P. 1990, ‘Die musiche Agonistik und der Kunstbetrieb der Kaiserzeit’, in J. Blänsdorf (ed.), *Theater und Gesellschaft im Imperium Romanum*, Tübingen, 175-195.

- HERZ P. 1992, 'Asiarchen und Archiereiai. Zum Provinzialkult der Provinz Asia', *Tyche* 7, 93-115.
- HIESINGER U.W. 1975, 'The Portraits of Nero', *AJA* 79, 113-124.
- HILLER F. 1962, 'Zum Neapler Äschines', *Marburger Winkelmann-Programm* 1962, 53-60.
- HITZL K. 1991, *Die kaiserzeitliche Statuenausstattung des Metroon*, (OlFosrch XIX), Berlin – New York.
- HITZL K. 2003, 'Kultstätten und Praxis des Kaiserults anhand von Fallbeispielen', in CANCIK – HITZL 2003, 97-121.
- HOËT-VAN CAUWENBERGHE CHR. 1999, 'La déesse Drusilla à Épidaure', *ZPE* 125, 179-181.
- HOËT-VAN CAUWENBERGHE CHR. 2003, 'Mémoire abolie des femmes: l'exemple de l'Achaïe romaine au I<sup>e</sup> siècle apr. J.-C.', *Cahiers du Centre Glotz* 14, 263-280.
- HOËT-VAN CAUWENBERGHE CHR. 2007a, 'Condamnation de la mémoire de Néron en Grèce: réalité ou mythe?', in M. Perrin (ed.), *Neronia VII. Rome, l'Italie et la Grèce: hellénisme et philhellénisme au premier siècle après J.-C.* (Actes du VII<sup>e</sup> Colloque de la SIEN, Athènes, 21-23 octobre 2004), (*Collection Latomus* 305), Bruxelles, 225-249.
- HOËT-VAN CAUWENBERGHE CHR. 2007b, 'La fin des princes hellénistiques en Achaïe romain au I<sup>e</sup> et II<sup>e</sup> siècles après J.-C.', in BENOIST 2007, 153-180.
- HOFF M.C. 1989, 'Civil Disobedience and Unrest in Augustan Athens', *Hesperia* 58, 267-276.
- HOFF M.C. 1994, 'The so-called Agoranomion and the Imperial Cult in Julio-Claudian Athens', *AA* 1994, 93-117.
- HØJTE J.M. 2002, 'Cultural Interchange. The Case of Honorary Statues in Greece', in E. Nis Ostenfeld (ed.), *Greek Romans and Roman Greeks. Studies in Cultural Interaction*, Aarhus, 55-63.
- HOLLEAUX M. 1888, 'Discours de Néron prononcé à Corinthe pour rendre aux Grecs la liberté', *BCH* 12, 510-528.
- HOLLEAUX M. 1968, *Études d'epigraphie et d'histoire grecques* I, Paris.
- HORSTER M. 2004, 'Substitutes for Emperors and Members of the Imperial Families as Local Magistrates', in L. De Ligt – E.A. Hemelrijk – H.W. Singor (eds.), *Roman Rule and Civic Life: Local and Regional Perspectives* (Proceedings of the fourth workshop of the international network Impact of Empire, Leiden 2003), Amsterdam, 331-355.
- HORSTKOTTE H. 2000, 'Die Principales des spätrömischen Dekurionenrates', *ZPE* 130, 272-278.
- HOSTEIN A. 2004, 'Monnaie et damnatio memoriae', *Cahiers du Centre Glotz* 15, 219-236.

- HUPFLOHER A. 2000, *Kulte im kaiserzeitlichen Sparta. Eine Rekonstruktion anhand der Priesterämter*, Berlin.
- HUPFLOHER A. 2007, 'Der Achaeerbund im 1. Jahrhundert n.Chr.', in M. Perrin (ed.), *Neronia VII. Rome, l'Italie et la Grèce: hellénisme et philhellénisme au premier siècle après J.-C.* (Actes du VII<sup>e</sup> Colloque de la SIEN, Athènes, 21-23 octobre 2004), (Collection Latomus 305), Bruxelles, 97-116.
- HURLET F. 1997, *Les collègues du prince sous Auguste et Tibère. De la légalité républicaine à la légitimité dynastique*, (Collection de l'École française de Rome 227), Rome.
- INAN J. – ROSENBAUM E. 1979, *Römische und frühbyzantinische Porträtplastik aus der Türkei. Neue Funde*, Mainz.
- JACOPI G. 1939-1940, 'Gli scavi della missione archeologica italiana ad Afrodisiade nel 1937', *MonAnt* 38, col. 72-232.
- JACQUES FR. 1984, *Le privilège de liberté. Politique impériale et autonomie municipale dans les cités de l'Occident romain*, (Collection de l'École française de Rome 76), Rome.
- JACQUES FR. 1990, 'Les statuts des personnes et des communautés', in Fr. Jacques – J. Scheid (eds.), *Rome et l'intégration de l'Empire* I, Paris, 209-289.
- JANNORAY J. 1953, *Fouilles de Delphes* II. *Le Gymnase de Delphes*, Paris.
- JONES A.H.M. 1940, *The Greek City from Alexander to Justinian*, Oxford.
- JONES A.H.M. 1964, *The Later Roman Empire 284-602. A Social, Economic and Administrative Survey*, I-III, Oxford.
- JONES B.W. 1992, *The Emperor Domitian*, London.
- JONES C.P. 1989, 'Τρόφιμος in an Inscription of Erythrai', *Glotta* 67, 194-197.
- JONES C.P. 1996, 'The Panhellenion', *Chiron* 26, 29-56.
- JONES C.P. 2004, 'Multiple Identities in the Age of the Second Sophistic', in BORG 2004, 13-21.
- JUCKER H. 1982, 'Die Bildnisstrafen gegen den toten Caligula', in B. Von Freytag – F. Prayon (eds.), *Praestant interna* (Festschrift für Ulrich Hausmann), Tübingen, 110-118.
- KAHRSTEDT U. 1954, *Das wirtschaftliche Gesicht Griechenlands in der Kaiserzeit. Kleinstadt, Villa und Domäne*, Bern.
- KAJAVA M. 2002, 'When did the Isthmian Games Return to the Isthmus? (Rereading Corinth 8.3.153)', *CP* 97, 168-178.
- KALINOWSKI A. 2002, 'The Vedii Antonini. Aspects of Patronage and Benefaction in Second-Century Ephesus', *Phoenix* 56, 109-149.
- KANTIRÉA M. 2001, 'Remarques sur le culte de la *domus Augusta* en Achaïe de la mort d'Auguste à Néron', in O. Salomies (ed.), *The Greek East in the Roman*

Context (Proceedings of a Colloquium organised by the Finnish Institute at Athens, May 21 and 22, 1999), Helsinki, 51-60.

KANTIRÉA M. 2007, *Les dieux et les dieux Augustes: le culte impérial en Grèce sous les Julio-claudiens et les Flaviens*, (Meletemata 50), Athènes.

KAPETANOPoulos E. 1968, ‘Leonides VII of Melite and his Family’, *BCH* 92, 493-518.

KAPETANOPoulos E. 1971, ‘A Phalerian Family and its Relations’, *ArchDelt* 26, 277-316.

KAPETANOPoulos E. 1976-1977, “Ο Φούριος Μάρκελλος (Α') Γαργήττιος”, *Αθηνα* 76, 182-186.

KAPLAN M. 1992, *Les hommes et la terre à Byzance du VI<sup>e</sup> au XI<sup>e</sup> siècle. Propriété et exploitation du sol*, Paris.

KARANASTASI P. 1995, ‘Ζητήματα της εικονογραφίας και της παρουσίας των Ρωμαίων αυτοκρατόρων στην Ελλάδα’, *AEPH* 134, 209-226.

KEARSLEY R.A. 1986, ‘Asiarchs, “Archiereis”, and the “Archiereiai” of Asia’, *GRBS* 27, 183-192.

KEARSLEY R.A. 1987, ‘M. Ulpius Appuleius Eurykles of Aezani. Panhellene, Asiarch and Archiereus of Asia’, *Antichthon* 21, 49-56.

KEARSLEY R.A. 1989, ‘Asiarchs: Titulature and Function. A Reappraisal’, *StClas* 26, 57-65.

KEARSLEY R.A. 1990, ‘Asiarchs, Archiereis and Archiereiai of Asia. New Evidence from Amorium in Phrygia’, *EpigAnat* 16, 69-80.

KEARSLEY R.A. 1996, ‘The Asiarchs of Cibyra again’, *Tyche* 11, 129-155.

KEIL J. 1956, ‘Ein ephesischer Anwalt des 3. Jahrhunderts durchreist das Imperium Romanum’, *Sitzungsberichte der bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Phil.-Hist. Klasse* 3, 3-10.

KENNEL N. 1988, ‘Νέοων Περιοδονίκης’, *AJP* 109, 239-251.

KENNEL N. 1997, ‘Herodes Atticus and the Rhetoric of Tyranny’, *CP* 92, 346-362.

KIENAST D. 1959-1960, ‘Hadrian, Augustus und die Eleusinischen Mysterien’, *JNG* 10, 61-69.

KIENAST D. 1996, *Römische Kaisertabelle*, Darmstadt.

KIRBIHLER F. 2003, *Les notables d’Ephèse. Essai d’histoire sociale (133 av. J.-C.-262 ap. J.-C.)*, Thèse Univ. Tours, I-IV.

KIRK G.E. – WELLES C.B. 1962, *Excavations at Nessana I*, London.

KLEINER F.S. 1985, *The Arch of Nero in Rome: a Study of the Roman Honorary Arch before and under Nero*, (Archaeologica 52), Rome.

- KNIBBE D. – ENGELMANN H. 1984, ‘Neue Inschriften aus Ephesos X (Fundjahr 1983)’, *ÖJh* 55, 137-149.
- KNIBBE D. – MERİÇ R. – MERKELBACH R. 1979, ‘Der Grundbesitz der ephesischen Artemis im Kaystrostal’, *ZPE* 33, 139-147.
- KNIBBE D. – THÜR H. 1995, *Via sacra ephesiaca II*, Wien.
- KOKKINIA CHR. 2000, *Die Opramoas-Inschrift von Rhodiapolis. Euergetismus und soziale Elite in Lykien*, Bonn.
- KOKKINOS N. 1992, *Antonia Augusta. Portrait of a Great Roman Lady*, London – New York.
- KOLENDO J. 1968, ‘Inscription de l'an 227 en l'honneur de Jupiter depulsor découverte à Novae’, *Archeologia War* 19, 117-144.
- KOLENDO J. 1980, ‘Les traditions d'Alexandre le Grand dans la politique de Néron. À propos du projet de l'expédition caucasienne’, in *Actes du Colloque Internationale sur l'ideologie monarchique dans l'Antiquité* (Cracovie-Mogilany, 23-26 Octobre 1997), Warsawa, 129-133.
- KONTA K.G. 1980, *Eleusis. Mythos, Mysterien, Geschichte und das Museum*, Athen.
- KOTULA T. 1982, *Les principales d'Afrique. Étude sur l'élite municipale nord-africaine au bas-empire romain*, Wroclaw.
- KOUROUNIOTIS K. 1973, *Eleusis. A Guide to the Excavations and the Museum*, Athens.
- KUHLMANN P. 2002, *Religion and Erinnerung. Die Religionspolitik Kaiser Hadrians und ihre Rezeption in der antiken Literatur*, Göttingen.
- LA ROCCA E. 1992, ‘Claudio a Ravenna’, *PP* 47, 216-314.
- LA ROCCA E. 1994, ‘Memorie di Castore: principi come Dioscuri’, in L. Nista (ed.), *Castores. L'immagine dei Dioscuri a Roma*, Roma, 73-90.
- LAMBRINOUDAKIS V.K. 1992, ‘Ἐξι χρόνια ἀρχαιολογικῆς ἔρευνας στὰ “Τόπια τῆς Νάξου”’, *AEPH* 131, 201-216.
- LAMBRINOUDAKIS V.K. – GRUBEN G. 1987, ‘Das neuentdeckte Heiligtum von Iria auf Naxos’, *AA* 1987, 569-621.
- LAMBRINOUDAKIS V.K. – GRUBEN G. 1987-1988, ‘Ανασκαφὴ ἀρχαίου ἱεροῦ στὰ “Τόπια τῆς Νάξου”. Ἡ ἔρευνα κατὰ τὰ ἔτη 1982, 1986 καὶ 1987’, *Archaiognosia* 5, 133-191.
- LANIADO A. 2002, *Recherches sur les notables municipaux dans l'empire proto-byzantin*, Paris.
- LARSEN J.O. 1938, ‘Roman Greece’, in T. Frank (ed.), *An Economic Survey of Ancient Rome IV*, Baltimore, 259-498.
- LAUM B. 1914, *Stiftungen in der griechischen und römischen Antike: ein Beitrag zur antiken Kulturgeschichte*, Berlin.

- LE BAS PH. – WADDINGTON W.H. 1870, *Voyage archéologique en Grèce et en Asie Mineure. Vol. III. Cinquième partie. Inscriptions grecques et latines recueillies en Asie Mineure*, Paris.
- LE GLAY M. 1976, *Hadrien et l'Asklépieion de Pergame*, *BCH* 100, 347-372.
- LEFÈVRE F. 1998, *L'amphictionie pyléo-delphique: histoire et institutions*, (BEFAR 298), Paris.
- LEPELLEY CL. 1979, *Les cités de l'Afrique romaine au bas-empire, Tome I. Le permanence d'une civilisation municipale*, Paris.
- LEPELLEY CL. 1981, *Les cités de l'Afrique romaine au bas-empire, Tome II. Notices d'histoire municipale*, Paris.
- LESLIE SHEAR (JR.) T. 1995, 'Bouleuterion, Metroon and the Archives at Athens', in M.H. Hansen – K. Raaflaub (eds.), *Studies in the ancient Greek Polis*, (*Historia Einzelschriften* 95), Stuttgart, 157-190.
- LEVI M.A. 1949, *Nerone e i suoi tempi*, Milano.
- LEVIN S. 1985, 'Plutarch's Part in the *Damnatio memoriae* of the Emperor Domitian', in *La Béotie antique* (Colloque Lyon – St. Étienne, 16-20 mai 1983, CNRS), Paris, 283-287.
- LEVY B. 1993, 'When Did Nero Liberate Achaia – and Why?', in A.D. Rizakis (ed.), *Achaia und Elis in der Antike* (Akten des 1. Internationalen Symposium, Athen, 19-21 Mai 1989), (*Meletemata* 13), Athen, 189-194.
- LEVY I. 1899, 'Études sur la vie municipale de l' Asie Mineure sous les Antonines', *REG* 12, 255-289.
- LIEBENAM W. 1900, *Städteverwaltung im römischen Kaiserreiche*, Leiden.
- LIEBESCHUETZ J.H.W.G. 1972, *Antioch. City and Imperial Administration in the Later Roman Empire*, Oxford.
- LIPPOLIS E. 1995, 'Tra il ginnasio di Tolomeo ed il Serapeion: la ricostruzione topografica di un quartiere monumentale di Atene', *Ostraka* 4, 43-67.
- LIPPOLIS E. 1998-2000, 'Apollo Patroos, Ares, Zeus Eleutherios. Culto e architettura di Stato ad Atene tra la democrazia ed i Macedoni', *ASAtene* 76-78, 139-218.
- LISLE R. 1955, *The Cults of Corinth* (PhD Johns Hopkins Univ.), Baltimore (Manuscript).
- LO MONACO A. 2008, 'Ospite nelle case degli dei. Il culto di Augusto in Achaia', in *Rend Linc* s.9, 18, 1-42.
- LORAUX N. – MIRALLES C. 1998, (eds.), *Figures de l'intellectuel en Grèce ancienne*, Paris.
- LOZANO F. 2002, *La religión del poder: el culto imperial en Atenas en época de Augusto y los emperadores Julio-Claudios*, (BAR 1087), Oxford.

- LUNI M. 1990, ‘Il ginnasio-“Caesareum” di Cirene nel contesto del rinnovamento urbanistico della media età ellenistica e della prima età imperiale’, *Giornata Lincea sulla Archeologia Cirenaica* (3 novembre 1987), 87-120.
- LUNI M. 2000, ‘Il quartiere orientale. Il Ginnasio-Cesareo’, in N. Bonacasa – S. Ensoli (eds.), *Cirene*, Milano, 91-96.
- MACNULLEN R. 1988, *Corruption and the Decline of Rome*, New Haven – London.
- MACRO A.D. 1980, ‘The Cities of Asia Minor under the Roman Imperium’, in *ANRW VII 2*, 658-697.
- MADERNA C. 1988, *Iuppiter, Diomedes und Merkur als Vorbilder für römische Bildnisstatuen. Untersuchungen zum römischen statuarischen Idealporträt*, Heidelberg.
- MADSEN J.M. 2002, ‘The Romanization of the Greek elite in Achaia, Asia and Bithynia: Greek Resistance or Regional Discrepancies?’, *Orbis Terrarum* 8, 87-113.
- MAGIE D. 1950, *Roman rule in Asia Minor to the end of the third century after Christ*, I-II, Princeton.
- MANGO E. 2004, ‘Bankette im hellenistischen Gymnasion’, in D. Kah – P. Scholz (eds.), *Das hellenistische Gymnasion*, Berlin, 273-311.
- MARIN E. 2001, ‘The Temple of the Imperial Cult (Augsteum) at Narona and its Statues: interim Report’, *JRA* 14.1, 81-122.
- MARIN E. – VICKERS M. 2004, *The Rise and Fall of an Imperial Shrine. Roman Sculpture from the Augsteum at Narona*, Split.
- MARTIN A. 1987, *La titulature épigraphique de Domitien*, Frankfurt.
- MARTIN A. 2007, ‘La condamnation de la mémoire de Domitien: état de la question’, in BENOIST 2007, 59-72.
- MARTIN H.G. 1987, *Römische Tempelkultbilder. Eine archäologische Untersuchung zur späten Republik*, Roma.
- MASIER A. 2000, ‘I sodales nell’età di Antonino Pio’, *Patavium* 15, 53-80.
- MASON H.J. 1974, *Greek Terms for Roman Institutions*, Toronto.
- MATERN P. 2002, *Helios und Sol. Kulte und Ikonographie des griechischen und römischen Sonnengottes*, Istanbul.
- MAZZA M. 1999, *Il vero e l’immaginato. Profezia, narrativa e storiografia nel mondo romano*, Roma.
- MEIMARIS Y. 1992, *Chronological Systems in Roman-Byzantine Palestine and Arabia. The Evidence of the Dated Greek Inscriptions* (in collaboration with K. Kritikakou and P. Bougia), (*Meletemata* 17), Athens.
- MELLADO RIVERA J.A. 2003, *Princeps Juventutis. La imagen monetaria del heredero en la época julio-claudia*, San Vicente del Raspeig (Universidad de Alicante).

- MELLOR R. 1975, ΘΕΑ ΡΩΜΗ. *The Worship of the Goddess Roma in the Greek World*, (*Hypomnemata* 42), Göttingen.
- MENDEL G. 1906, ‘Seconde note sur les fouilles exécutées à Aphrodisias par M. Paul Gaudin. Campagne de 1905’, *CRAI*, 158-184.
- MERKELBACH R. 1978, ‘Der Rangstreit der Städte Asiens und die Rede des Aelius Aristides’, *ZPE* 32, 287-296.
- MERKELBACH R. 1985, ‘Grabmal eines Tribunum Militum aus Perinthos’, *ZPE* 59, 40.
- MEYER H. 1991, *Antinoos. Die archäologischen Denkmäler unter Einbeziehung des numismatischen und epigraphischen Materials sowie der literarischen Nachrichten. Ein Beitrag zur Kunst- und Kulturgeschichte der hadrianisch-frühantoninischen Zeit*, München.
- MIGLIORATI G. 2003, *Cassio Dione e l'impero romano da Nerva a Traiano alla luce dei nuovi documenti*, Milano.
- MILLAR F. 1993, *The Roman Near East 31 B.C.-A.D. 337*, Cambridge Mass. – London.
- MILLAR F. 1999, ‘Civitates liberae, coloniae and Provincial Governors under the Empire’, *Mediterraneo Antico* 2, 95-113.
- MITCHELL S. 1993, *Anatolia: Land, Men and Gods in Asia Minor*. Vol 1: *The Celts and the Impact of Roman Rule*, Oxford.
- MITCHELL S. 1994, ‘Three Cities from Pisidia’, *AnatSt* 44, 129-148.
- MOMIGLIANO A. 1932, ‘Osservazioni sulle fonti per la storia degli imperatori Gaio, Claudio e Nerone’, *RendLinc ser. VI*, 8, 293-336.
- MORETTI L. 1953, *Iscrizioni agonistiche greche*, Roma.
- MORETTI L. 1954, ‘Κοινὸν Ἀστίας’, *RivFil* 32, 276-289.
- MÜLLER H. 2000, ‘Der hellenistische Archiereus’, *Chiron* 30, 519-542.
- MÜNSTERBERG R. 1973, *Die Beamennamen auf den griechischen Münzen. Geographisch und alphabetisch geordnet*, 3 Teile und Nachtrag in einen Band, Hildesheim.
- MYLONAS G.E. 1961, *Eleusis and the Eleusinian Mysteries*, Princeton.
- NAOUR C. 1977, ‘Inscriptions de Lycie’, *ZPE* 24, 265-290.
- NEUBAUER R. 1869, *Commentationes epigraphicae*, Berlin.
- NEUDECKER R. 2004, ‘Aspekte öffentlicher Bibliotheken in der Kaiserzeit’, in BORG 2004, 293-308.
- NEUGEBAUER K.A. 1934, ‘Herodes Atticus, ein antiker Kunstmäzen’, *Die Antike* 10, 92-121.
- NICOLAU I. 1976, ‘Inscriptiones Cypriae Alphabeticae XV, 1975’, *RDAC*, 243-259.

- NIGDELIS P.M. 1995, ‘Oberpriester und Gymnasiarchen im Provinziallandtag Makedoniens: eine neue Ehreninschrift aus Beroia’, *Klio* 77, 170-183.
- NIGDELIS P.M. – SOURIS G.A. 2005, *ΑΝΘΥΠΑΤΟΣ ΛΕΓΕΙ. Ένα διάταγμα των αυτοκρατορικών χρόνων για το Γυμνάσιο της Βέροιας*, Θεσσαλονίκη.
- NOCK A.D. 1930, ‘Σύνναος θεός’, *HSCP* 41, 1-64.
- NOCK A.D. 1972, *Essays on Religion and the Ancient World (selected and edited, with an Introduction, Bibliography of Nock's writings, and Indexes, by Z. Stewart)*, Oxford.
- NOLLÉ J. – ŞAHİN S. – VORSTEN C. 1985, ‘Katalog der Inschriften im Museum von Alanya’, *EpigAnat* 5, 125-146.
- NÖRR D. 1966-1969, *Imperium und Polis in der hohen Prinzipatzeit*, München.
- OLIVER J.H. 1940, ‘Julia Domna as Athena Polias’, in *Athenian Studies (HSCP Suppl. 1)*, 522-530.
- OLIVER J.H. 1941, *The Sacred Gerusia*, (*Hesperia Suppl. 6*), Baltimore.
- OLIVER J.H. 1949, ‘Patrons Providing Financial Aid to the Tribes of Roman Athens’, *AJP* 70, 299-308, 403.
- OLIVER J.H. 1950, *The Athenian Expounders of Sacred and Ancestral Law*, Baltimore.
- OLIVER J.H. 1970, *Marcus Aurelius. Aspects of Civic and Cultural Policy in the East*, (*Hesperia Suppl. 13*), Princeton.
- OLIVER J.H. 1978, ‘The Helladarch’, *RivStorAnt* 8, 1-6.
- OLIVER J.H. 1981, ‘Roman Emperors and Athens’, *Historia* 30, 412-423.
- OLIVER J.H. 1982, ‘Roman Senators from Greece and Macedonia’, in S. Panciera (ed.), *Epigrafia e ordine senatorio*, Roma, II, 583-602.
- OLIVER J.H. 1989, *Greek Constitutions of Early Roman Emperors from Inscriptions and Papyri*, Philadelphia.
- OSANNA M. 1996, *Santuari e culti dell'Acaia antica*, Napoli.
- OSANNA M. 2001, ‘Tra monumenti, *agalmata* e *mirabilia*: organizzazione del percorso urbano di Corinto nella “Periegesi” di Pausania’, in D. Knoepfler – M. Pierart (eds.), *Editer, traduire, commenter Pausanias en l'an 2000*, Genève, 185-202.
- PAILLER J.-M. – SABLAYROLLES R. 1994, ‘*Damnatio memoriae*: une vraie perpétuité’, *Pallas* 40, 11-55.
- PANDERMALIS D. 1983, ‘Zum römischen Porträt im kaiserzeitlichen Makedonien’, *Klio* 65, 161-167.
- PAPACHATZIS N.D. 1994, *Πανσανίου Ελλάδος Περιήγησις ΙΙ. Κορινθιακά-Λακωνικά*, Αθήναι.

- PAPAGEORGIAOU-BANI H. 2004, *The Numismatic Iconography of the Roman Colonies in Greece. Local Spirit and the Expression of Imperial Policy*, (*Meletemata* 39), Athens.
- PATLAGEAN E. 1977, *Pauvreté économique et pauvreté sociale à Byzance, 4<sup>e</sup>-7<sup>e</sup> siècles*, Paris.
- PAYNTER R. 1982, *Modes of Spatial Inequality: Settlement Patterns in Historical Archaeology*, New York.
- PEEK W. 1969, *Inschriften aus dem Asklepieion von Epidauros*, Berlin.
- PEEK W. 1972, *Neue Inschriften aus Epidauros*, Berlin.
- PEKÁRY TH. 1985, *Das römische Kaiserbildnis in Staat, Kult und Gesellschaft, dargestellt anhand der Schriftquellen*, (*Das römische Herrscherbild* III, 5), Berlin.
- PELON O. 1976, *Tholoi, tumuli et cercles funéraires. Recherches sur les monuments funéraires de plan circulaire dans l'Égée de l'âge du Bronze (III<sup>e</sup> et II<sup>e</sup> millénaires av. J.-C.)*, (BEFAR 229), Athènes.
- PENSABENE P. – D’ALESSIO A. 2005, ‘L’immaginario urbano: spazio sacro sul Palatino tardo-repubblicano’, in L. Haselberger – J. Humphrey (eds.), *Imaging Ancient Rome: Documentation - Visualization - Imagination*, (JRS Suppl. 61), 30-50.
- PERNOT L. 1993, *La Rhétorique de l'éloge dans le monde gréco-romain*, I-II, Paris.
- PETRAKOS V.CH. 1999, *Ο δῆμος τοῦ Ραμνοῦντος*, I, Ἀθῆναι.
- PETSALIS-DIOMIDIS A. 2005, ‘Visual Dynamics in Healing Pilgrimage’, in J. Elsner – I. Rutherford (eds.), *Pilgrimage in Graeco-Roman & Early Christian Antiquity. Seeing the Gods*, Oxford, 183-218.
- PFAFF X. 1916, s.v. ‘irenarcha’, in *RE* IX 2, 2032-2035.
- PHILADELPHEUS A. 1926, ‘Note sur le bouletérion (?) de Sicyone’, *BCH* 50, 174-182.
- PICARD CH. 1957, ‘D’Éphèse à la Gaule, et de Stobi (Macedoine) à Claros’, *REG* 70, 108-117.
- PICARD G.-CH. 1990, *L’ascension d’une dynastie gauloise. La gloire des Sedatii*, Paris.
- PIETSCH W. 1999, ‘Gladiatoren. Stars oder Geächtete?’, in P. Scherrer – H. Thaeuber – H. Thür (eds.), *Steine und Wege. Festschrift für Dieter Knibbe zum 65. Geburtstag*, Wien, 373-378.
- PIPPIDI D.M. 1975, *Scythica Minora*, Amsterdam.
- PIRENNE-DELFORGE V. 1998, (ed.), *Les panthéons des cités: des origines à la Périegese de Pausanias*, Liège.
- PLEKET H.W. 1961, ‘Domitian, the Senate and the Provinces’, *Mnemosyne* 14, 296-315.

- PLEKET H.W. 1975, 'Games, Prizes, Athletes and Ideology. Some Aspects of the History of Sport in the Greco-Roman World', *Stadion* 1, 49-89.
- PLEKET H.W. 1998, 'Political Culture and Political Practice in the Cities of Asia Minor in the Roman Empire', in W. Schuller (ed.), *Politische Theorie und Praxis im Altertum*, Darmstadt, 204-216.
- PLEKET H.W. 2001, 'Zur Soziologie des antiken Sports', *Nikephoros* 14, 157-212.
- POHL D. 2002, *Kaiserzeitliche Tempel in Kleinasien unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der hellenistischen Vorläufer*, (Asia Minor Studien 43), Bonn.
- PONT A.-V. 2005, *Orner la cité. Les enjeux culturels, sociaux et politiques de la construction et de la restauration des monuments publics dans les provinces d'Asie et de Pont-Bithynie du I<sup>e</sup> au IV<sup>e</sup> s. ap. J.-C.*, Université Paris IV- Sorbonne, thèse dactylographiée (à paraître aux éditions Auseonius).
- PONT A.-V. 2008 (*forthcoming*), 'Τὸ ἀλειπτίκιον dans les inscriptions d'époque impériale', *REA* 110.
- PONT A.-V. (*forthcoming*), 'L'inscription CIG, 2782 en l'honneur de M. Ulpius Carminius Claudianus à Aphrodisias', in *Actes de la journée d'études de la SFER* (9 juin 2007), *Cahiers du Centre Gustave Glotz*.
- POTTER D.S. 1999, 'Political Theory in the Senatus Consultum Pisonianum', *AJP* 120, 65-87.
- POULSEN V.H. 1951, 'Nero, Britannicus and others. Iconographical notes', *ActaArch* 22, 119-35.
- PRÉAUX CL. 1978, *Le monde hellénistique (323-146 av. J.-C.)*, Paris.
- PRICE S.R.F. 1980, 'Between Man and God: Sacrifice in the Roman Imperial Cult', *JHS* 70, 28-44.
- PRICE S.R.F. 1984a, 'Gods and Emperors: the Greek Language of the Roman Imperial Cult', *JHS* 104, 79-95.
- PRICE S.R.F. 1984b, *Rituals and Power. The Roman Imperial Cult in Asia Minor*, Cambridge.
- PUECH B. 1983, 'Grand-prêtres et helladarques d'Achaïe', *REA* 85, 15-43.
- PUECH B. 1992, 'Prosopographie des amis de Plutarque', in *ANRW* II 33.6, 4831-4893.
- PUECH B. 2002, *Orateurs et sophistes grecs dans les inscriptions d'époque impériale*, Paris.
- PUECH B. 2004, 'Des cités-mères aux métropoles', in S. Follet (ed.), *L'hellenisme d'époque romaine. Nouveaux documents, nouvelles approches (I<sup>e</sup> s. a.C.-III<sup>e</sup> s. p. C.)*, Paris, 357-404.
- QUASS FR. 1982, 'Zur politischen Tätigkeit der munizipalen Aristokratie des griechischen Ostens in der Kaiserzeit', *Historia* 31, 188-213.
- QUASS FR. 1993, *Die Honoratiorenenschicht in den Städten des griechischen Ostens*.

*Untersuchungen zur politischen und sozialen Entwicklung in hellenistischer und römischer Zeit*, Stuttgart.

RADT W. 1999, *Pergamon: Geschichte und Bauten einer antiken Metropole*, Darmstadt.

RAEPSAET-CHARLIER M.-Th. 1987, *Prosopographie des femmes de l'ordre sénatorial, I<sup>e</sup>-II<sup>e</sup> siècles*, Louvain.

RAEPSAET-CHARLIER M.-Th. 1993, 'Nouvelles recherches sur les femmes sénatoriales du Haut-Empire', *Klio* 75, 257-271.

RAMSAY W. 1883, 'Unedited Inscriptions of Asia Minor', *BCH* 7, 258-278.

RAUBITSCHEK A.E. 1946, 'Octavia's Deification at Athens', *TAPA* 77, 146-150.

RAWSON E. 1985, 'Cicero and the Areopagus', *Athenaeum* 73, 44-67.

REINACH TH. 1906, 'Inscriptions d'Aphrodisias', *REG* 19, 79-150 and 205-298.

REY-COQUAIS J.P. 1993, 'Villages du Liban et de la Syrie moyenne (de Dams au Coude de l'Oronte) à l'époque impériale romaine', in A. Calbi – A. Donati – G. Poma (eds.) *L'epigrafia del villaggio*, Faenza, 137-149.

REYNOLDS J. 1980, 'The Origins and Beginnings of Imperial Cult at Aphrodisias', *PCPS* 206, 70-84.

REYNOLDS J. 1981, 'New Evidence for the Imperial Cult in Julio-Claudian Aphrodisias', *ZPE* 43, 317-327.

REYNOLDS J. 1982, *Aphrodisias and Rome. Documents from the Excavation of the Theatre at Aphrodisias conducted by professor K.T. Erim, together with some related texts*, (JRS Monographs 1), London.

REYNOLDS J. 1990, 'Inscriptions and the Building of the Temple of Aphrodite', in Ch. Roueché – K. Erim (eds.), *Aphrodisias Papers 1. Recent work on Architecture and Sculpture*, (JRA Suppl. 1), London, 37-40.

REYNOLDS J. 1991, 'Epigraphic Evidence for the Construction of the Theatre: 1<sup>st</sup> c. B.C. to mid 3<sup>rd</sup> c. A.D.', in K. Erim – R.R.R. Smith (eds.), *Aphrodisias Papers 2. The Theatre, a Sculptor's Workshop, Philosophers and Coin-types*, (JRA Suppl. 2), 15-28.

REYNOLDS J. 1996, 'Honouring Benefactors at Aphrodisias: a new Inscription', in Ch. Roueché – R.R.R. Smith (eds.), *Aphrodisias Papers 3. The Setting and Quarries, Mythological and other Sculptural Decoration, Architectural Development, Portico of Tiberius, and Tetrapylon*, (JRA Suppl. 20), 121-126.

REYNOLDS J. 1997, 'The Dedication of a Bath-building at Carian Aphrodisias', in *Studia in honorem Georgii Mihailov*, Sofia, 397-402.

REYNOLDS J. 1999, 'The First known Aphrodisian to hold a Procuratorship', in P. Scherrer – H. Taeuber – H. Thür (eds.), *Steine und Wege. Festchrift für Dieter Knibbe zum 65. Geburstag*, (Österreichisches Archäologisches Institut, Sonderschrift Band 32), Wien, 327-334.

- REYNOLDS J. 2000, 'New Letters from Hadrian to Aphrodisias. Trials, Taxes, Gladiators and an Aqueduct', *JRA* 13, 5-20.
- REYNOLDS J. – ROUECHÉ CH. 1992, 'The Funeral of Tatia Attalis of Aphrodisias', *Ktema* 17, 153-160.
- RIC I, C.H.V. Sutherland, *The Roman Imperial Coinage, I. From 31 B.C. to A.D. 69*, Revised edition, London 1984.
- RITTER H.W. 1972, 'Livias Erhebung zur Augusta', *Chiron* 2, 313-338.
- RIZAKIS A.D. 1996, (ed.), *Roman Onomastics in the Greek East. Social and Political Aspects* (Proceedings of the International Colloquium on Roman Onomastics, Athens, 7-9 september 1993), (*Meletemata* 21), Athens.
- RIZAKIS A.D. 1998, *Achaie II. La cité de Patras: épigraphie et histoire*, Athènes.
- RIZAKIS A.D. 2001, 'La constitution des élites municipales dans les colonies romaines de la province d'Achaïe', in O. Salomies (ed.), *The Greek East in the Roman Context* (Proceedings of a Colloquium organised by the Finnish Institute at Athens, May 21 and 22, 1999), Helsinki, 37-49.
- RIZAKIS A.D. 2007, 'Les *Ti. Claudi* et la promotion des élites péloponnésiennes', *Latomus* 27, 184-196.
- ROBERT L. 1930, 'Nouvelles remarques sur l'édit d'Eriza', *BCH* 54, 262-267.
- ROBERT L. 1937, *Études anatoliennes, Recherches sur les inscriptions grecques de l'Asie Mineure*, Paris.
- ROBERT L. 1938, *Études épigraphiques et philologiques*, Paris.
- ROBERT L. 1939, 'Hellenica', *RPhil* 13, 97-217.
- ROBERT L. 1940, *Les gladiateurs dans l'Orient grec*, Paris (repr. Amsterdam 1971).
- ROBERT L. 1949, 'Le culte de Caligula à Milet et la province d'Asie', *Hellenica* VII, 206-238.
- ROBERT L. 1957, 'Deux inscriptions d'Iasos', *REG* 70, 361-375.
- ROBERT L. 1958, 'Inscriptions grecques de Side' *RPhil* 32, 15-53.
- ROBERT L. 1960, 'Recherches Epigraphiques', *REA* 62, 276-361.
- ROBERT L. 1966a, *Documents de l'Asie Mineure méridionale*, Genève – Paris.
- ROBERT L. 1966b, 'Inscriptions de l'antiquité et du bas-empire à Corinthe', *REG* 79, 733-770.
- ROBERT L. 1966c, 'Inscriptions d'Aphrodisias', *AC* 35, 377-432.
- ROBERT L. 1969, 'Les Inscriptions', in J. des Gagnier *et al.* (eds.), *Laodicée du Lycos. Le Nymphée*, Paris, 247-389.
- ROBERT L. 1976, 'Monnaies grecques de l'époque impériale', *RN* 18, 25-56.
- ROBERT L. et J. 1954, *La Carie: histoire et géographie historique, avec le recueil des inscriptions antique. Tome II, Le plateau de Tabai et ses environs*, Paris.

- ROEBUCK C. 1951, *Corinth XIV. The Asklepieion and Lerna*, Princeton.
- ROGERS G.M. 1991, 'Demosthenes of Oenoanda and Models of Euergetism', *JRS* 81, 91-100.
- ROGERS G.M. 1992, 'The Assembly of Imperial Ephesos', *ZPE* 94, 224-228.
- Roman Peloponnese I*, A.D. Rizakis – S. Zoumbaki – M. Kantiréa, *Roman Peloponnese I. Roman Personal Names in their Social Context*, (*Meletemata* 31), Athens 2001.
- Roman Peloponnese II*, A.D. Rizakis – S. Zoumbaki – Cl. Lepenioti, *Roman Peloponnese II. Roman Personal Names in their Social Context*, (*Meletemata* 36), Athens 2004.
- ROMEO I. 1992-1993, 'Il *Panhellenion*, Gortina ed una nuova copia dello Zeus di Dresda', *ASatene* 70-71, 325-337.
- ROMEO I. 2002, 'The *Panhellenion* and the Ethnic Identity in Hadrianic Greece', *CP* 97, 21-37.
- ROMEO I. – PORTALE E.C. 1998, *Gortina III. Le sculture*, (*Monografie SAIA* VIII), Padova.
- ROMIOPOLOU K. 1997, *Ελληνορωμαϊκά Γλυπτά του Εθνικού Αρχαιολογικού Μουσείου*, Αθήνα.
- ROSE C.B. 1997, *Dynastic Commemoration and Imperial Portraiture in the Julio-Claudian Period*, Cambridge.
- ROSSNER M. 1974, 'Asiarchen und Archiereis Asias', *StClas* 16, 101-142.
- ROSTOVTEFF M. 1930, 'L'empereur Tibère et le culte impérial', *RHist* 143, 1-26.
- ROUECHÉ CH. 1979, 'A New Inscription from Aphrodisias and the Title πατὴρ τῆς πόλεως', *GRBS* 20, 173-185.
- ROUECHÉ CH. 1993, *Performers and Partisans at Aphrodisias in the Roman and Late Roman Periods*, London.
- RPC I, A. Burnett – M. Amandry – P.P. Ripollès, *Roman Provincial Coinage. I: from the Death of Caesar to the Death of Vitellius (44 BC-AD 69)*, London-Paris 1992; Suppl. I, 1998.
- RUMSCHEID F. 1999, 'Vom Wachsen antiker Säulenwälder. Zu Projektierung und Finanzierung antiker Bauten in Westkleinasien und anderswo', *JdI* 114, 19-63.
- RÜPKE J. 2003, 'Kaiserliche Religionspolitik und priesterliche Rekrutierungsmechanismen', in CANCIK – HITZL 2003, 131-156.
- RÜPKE J. 2006, 'Religion in the *lex Ursonensis*', in C. Ando – J. Rüpke (eds.), *Religion and Law in Classical and Christian Rome*, Stuttgart, 34-46.
- ŞAHİN M.Ç. 1997, 'New Inscriptions from Lagina', *EpigAnat* 29, 83-106.
- ŞAHİN S. 1995, 'Der Gesandte Apollonios und seine Familie', *EpigAnat* 25, 1-24.

- SALMERI G. 1991, 'Dalle province a Roma: il rinnovamento del Senato', in *Storia di Roma* II, 2, Torino, 553-575.
- SALMERI G. 2000, 'Dio, Rome, and the Civic Life of Asia Minor', in S. Swain (ed.), *Dio Chrysostom*, Oxford, 53-92.
- SANDERS D.H. 1996, (ed.), *Nemrud Dağı. The Hierothesion of Antiochus I of Commagene*, I-II, Winona Lake, Indiana.
- SANDERS I.F. 1982, *Roman Crete*, Warminster.
- SARIKAKIS T.CHR. 1976, *The Hoplite General in Athens. A Prosopography*, Chicago.
- SARTRE M. 1985, *Bostra. Des origines à l'Islam*, Paris.
- SARTRE M. 1991, *L'Orient romain. Provinces et sociétés provinciales en Méditerranée orientale d'Auguste aux Sévères (31 avant J.-C.-235 après J.-C.)*, Paris.
- SARTRE M. 1992, 'Les cités de la Décapole septentrionale. Canatha, Raphana, Dion et Adraha', *Aram* 4, 139-156.
- SARTRE M. 1995, *L'Asie Mineure et l'Anatolie d'Alexandre à Dioclétien (IV<sup>e</sup> s. av. J.-C.-III<sup>e</sup> s. ap. J.-C.)*, Paris.
- SARTRE-FAURIAT A. – SARTRE M. 2000, 'Notables en conflit dans le monde grec sous le Haut-Empire', *Cahiers d'histoire* 45, 507-532.
- ŠAŠEL KOS M. 1979, *Inscriptiones Latinae in Graecia repertae. Additamenta ad CIL III*, Faenza.
- SAVALLI-LESTRADE I. 2005, 'Devenir une cité. Poleis nouvelles et aspirations civiques en Asie Mineure à la basse époque hellénistique', in P. Fröhlich – Chr. Müller (eds.), *Citoyenneté et participation à la basse époque hellénistique*, (Hautes études du monde gréco-romain 35), Genève, 9-37.
- SAYAR M. 1998, *Perinthos-Herakleia und Ugebung. Geschichte, Testimonien, griechische und lateinische Inschriften*, Wien.
- SCHACHTER A. 1986, *Cults of Boiotia* II. *Herakles to Poseidon*, (BICS Suppl. 38), London.
- SCHERRER P. 1997, 'Anmerkungen zum städtischen und provinzialen Kaiserkult: Paradigma Ephesos-Entwicklungslien von Augustus bis Hadrian', in H. Thür (ed.), "Und verschönerte die Stadt". *Kad κοσμίσαντα τεν πόλιν. Ein ephesischer Priester des Kaiserultes in seinem Umfeld*, (Sonderschriften des Österreichischen Archäologischen Instituts, Bd 27), Wien, 93-112.
- SCHINDLER F. 1972, *Die Inschriften von Bubon*, (SAWW 278, 3), Wien.
- SCHMIDT-DUNAS B. 1993-1994, 'Statuen hellenistischer Könige als *Synnaoi Theoi*', *Egnatia* 4, 71-141.
- SCHMITZ TH. 1977, *Bildung und Macht. Zur sozialen und politischen Funktion der zweiten Sophistik in der griechischen Welt der Kaiserzeit*, München.
- SCHMITZ TH. 1996, *Bildung und Macht. Zur sozialen und politischen Funktion der zweiten Sophistik in der griechischen Welt der Kaiserzeit*, München.

- SCHULZ S. 1975, *Die Münzprägung von Magnesia am Mäander*, Berlin.
- SCHUMACHER L. 1999, 'Eine neue Inschrift für den Sophisten Herodes Atticus', *OlBer* 11, 421-437.
- SCHUMANN G. 1930, *Hellenistische und griechische Elemente in der Regierung Neros*, Leipzig.
- SCHWERTFEGER T. 1981, 'Die Basis des Tiberius Claudius Calligenes', *OlB* 10, 249-255.
- SENNETT R. 1994, *Flesh and Stone. The Body and the City in Western Civilisation*, New York.
- SHERWIN WHITE A.N. 1973, *The Roman Citizenship*, Oxford<sup>2</sup>.
- SIEBERT A.V. 1999, *Instrumenta sacra. Untersuchungen zu römischen Opfer-, Kult- und Priestergeräten*, Berlin – New York.
- SIJPESTEIJN P.J. 1987, 'The Title “πατὴρ (τῆς) πόλεως” and the Papyri', *Tyche* 2, 171-174.
- SINN U. 1993, 'L'attività dell'imperatore Nerone ad Olimpia. Risultati e prospettive dei nuovi scavi', in A. Mastrocicinque (ed.), *I grandi santuari della Grecia e l'Occidente*, Trento, 137-147.
- SKALET C.H. 1928, *Ancient Sicyon with a Prosopographia Sicyonia*, Baltimore.
- SMALLWOOD E., *Documents illustrating the Principates of Gaius, Claudius and Nero*, Cambridge.
- SMITH R.R.R. 1987, 'The Imperial Reliefs from the Sebasteion at Aphrodisias', *JRS* 77, 88-138.
- SMITH R.R.R. 1998, 'Cultural Choice and Political Identity in Honorific Portrait Statues in the Greek East in the Second Century A.D.', *JRS* 88, 56-93.
- SPAWFORTH A.J.S. 1980, 'Sparta and the Family of Herodes Atticus: a Reconsideration of the Evidence', *BSA* 75, 203-217.
- SPAWFORTH A.J.S. 1985, 'Families at Roman Sparta and Epidaurus: Some Prosopographical Notes', *BSA* 80, 191-258.
- SPAWFORTH A.J.S. 1989, 'Agonistic Festivals in Roman Greece', in S. Walker – A. Cameron (eds.), *The Greek Renaissance in the Roman Empire*, (BICS Suppl. 55), London, 193-197.
- SPAWFORTH A.J.S. 1994, 'Corinth, Argos and the Imperial Cult: Pseudo-Julian, Letters 198', *Hesperia* 63, 211-232.
- SPAWFORTH A.J.S. 1996, 'Roman Corinth: the Formation of a Colonial Elite', in RIZAKIS 1996, 167-182.
- SPAWFORTH A.J.S. 1997, 'The Early Reception of the Imperial Cult in Athens', in M.C. Hoff – S.I. Rotroff (eds.), *The Romanization of Athens* (Proceedings of an International Conference held at Lincoln, Nebraska, April 1996), Oxford, 183-201.

- SPAWFORTH A.J.S. – WALKER S. 1985, ‘The World of the *Panhellenion I*’, *JRS* 75, 78-104.
- SPERTI L. 1990, *Nerone e la “submissio” di Tiridate in un bronzetto da Opitergium*, (*RdA Suppl. 8*), Roma.
- STAVRIDIS A. 1977, *Untersuchungen zu den Kaiserporträts in Griechenland (Augustus bis Caracalla)*, Diss. Berlin.
- STE. CROIX G.E.M. DE 1981, *The Class Struggle in the Ancient Greek World*, London.
- STEMMER K. 1978, *Untersuchungen zur Typologie, Chronologie und Ikonographie der Panzerstatuen*, (DAI Archäologische Forschungen 4), Berlin.
- STEVENSON T.R. 1992, ‘The Ideal Benefactor and the Father Analogy in Greek and Roman Thought’, *CQ* 42, 421-436.
- STOLAN I. 1962, *Tomitana. Contributii epigrafice la istoria cetatii Tomis*, Bucuresti.
- STRASSER J-Y. 2001, ‘Études sur les concours d’Occident’, *Nikephoros* 14, 109-155.
- STRUBBE J.H.M. 1987, ‘The Sitonia in the Cities of Asia Minor under the Principate I’, *EpigAnat* 10, 45-82.
- STRUBBE J.H.M. 1999, ‘Posthumous Honours for Members of the Municipal Elite in Asia Minor, 2<sup>nd</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> cent. A.D.’, in *Atti dell’XI Congresso internazionale di epigrafia greca e latina* (Roma 18-24 settembre 1997), Roma, 489-499.
- STRUBBE J.H.M. 2001, ‘Bürger, nicht-Bürger und Polis-Ideologie’, in K. Demoen (ed.), *The Greek City from Antiquity to the Present. Historical Reality, Ideological Construction, Literary Representation*, Louvain, 27-39.
- STURGEON M.C. 1987, *Isthmia IV. Sculpture I: 1952-1967*, Princeton.
- SWAIN S. 1996, *Hellenism and Empire. Language, Classicism, and Power in the Greek World A.D. 50-250*, Oxford.
- SWAIN S. 2006, ‘Minds and bodies: the culture of the High Roman Empire, rec. to B.E. Borg, *Paideia. The World of the Second Sophistic*, Berlin 2004’, *JRA* 19, 643-648.
- SYME R. 1958, *Tacitus*, Oxford.
- SYME R. 1965, ‘Hadrian the Intellectual’, in *Les Empereurs romains d’Espagne* (Madrid-Italica, 31 mars-6 avril 1964), Paris, 243-253 (= A.R. Birley (ed.), *Roman Papers* 6, Oxford 1991, 103-114).
- SYME R. 1985, ‘Hadrian as Philellene. Neglected Aspects’, in *Bonner Historia-Augusta-Colloquium* 1982/1983, 341-362 (= A.R. Birley (ed.), *Roman Papers* 5, Oxford 1988, 546-562).
- SYME R. 1988, ‘Journeys of Hadrian’, *ZPE* 73, 159-169 (= A.R. Birley (ed.), *Roman Papers* 6, Oxford 1991, 346-357).
- SYME R. 1991, ‘Fictional History Old and New: Hadrian”, in A.R. Birley (ed.), *Roman Papers* 6, Oxford, 157-181.

- TAEUBER H. 1999, 'Graffiti und Dipinti aus den Hanghäusern in Ephesos', in P. Scherrer – H. Thaeuber – H. Thür (eds.), *Steine und Wege. Festschrift für Dieter Knibbe zum 65. Geburtstag, (Österreichisches Archäologisches Institut, Sonderschrift Band 32)*, Wien, 527-529.
- TALAMO E. 1979, 'Ritratto di giovane con toga sul capo (inv. n. 616)', in A. Giuliano (ed.), *Museo Nazionale Romano. Le Sculture*, Roma, 273-274.
- TASIA A. – LOLA Z. – PELTEKIS O. 2000, 'Θεσσαλονίκη. Ο υστεροαρχαϊκός ναός', in *To Αρχαιολογικό Έργο στη Μακεδονία και Θράκη* 14, 227-246.
- TAYLOR L.R. 1931, *The Divinity of the Roman Emperor*, Middletown-Connecticut.
- THEMELIS P. 2000a, 'Ανασκαφὴ Μεσσήνης', *Prakt* 155, 75-105.
- THEMELIS P. 2000b, *Ἡρῷες καὶ ἡρώα στὴ Μεσσήνη*, Athens.
- THEMELIS P. 2001, 'Ανασκαφὴ Μεσσήνης', *Prakt* 156, 63-96.
- THEMELIS P. 2002, 'Ανασκαφὴ Μεσσήνης', *Prakt* 157, 21-55.
- THOMAS J.D. 1975, 'The introduction of *Dekaprotoi* and Comarchs into Egypt in the third century A.D.', *ZPE* 19, 111-119.
- THOMPSON H.A. 1937, 'Buildings on the West Site of the Agorà', *Hesperia* 6, 1-226.
- THOMPSON H.A. 1950, 'The Odeion in the Athenian Agora', *Hesperia* 19, 31-141.
- TIBERIOS M. 1998, 'Ο ναός της Αιωνιάδος Αφροδίτης στο Καραμπουρούν και μια παλιά καρτποστάλ', in *Μνείας Χάριν. Τόμος στη μνήμη Μαίρης Σιγανίδου, Θεσσαλονίκη*, 223-233.
- TOBIN J. 1997, *Herodes Attikos and the City of Athens: Patronage and Conflict under the Antonines*, Amsterdam.
- TÖLLE-KASTENBEIN R. 1974, *Samos XIV. Das Kastro Tigani. Die Bauten und Funde griechischer, römischer und byzantinischer Zeit*, Bonn.
- TÖLLE-KASTENBEIN R. 1994, *Das Olympieion in Athen*, Köln.
- TORELLI M. 1995, 'L'immagine augustea nell'agorà di Atene', *Ostraka* 4, 9-31.
- TORELLI M. 2001, 'Pausania a Corinto. Un intellettuale greco del secondo secolo e la propaganda imperiale Romana', in D. Knoepfler – M. Pierart (eds.), *Editer, traduire, commenter Pausanias en l'an 2000*, Genève, 135-184.
- TOULOUMAKOS J. 1967, *Der Einfluss Roms auf die Staatsform der griechischen Stadtstaaten des Festlandes und der Inseln im ersten und zweiten Jhd. v. Chr.*, Göttingen.
- TRAVLOS J. 1971, *Pictorial Dictionary of Ancient Athens*, New York.
- TRUMMER R. 1980, *Die Denkmäler des Kaiserkults in der römischen Provinz Achaia*, Graz.

- URÍAS MARTÍNEZ 2004, ‘El Archiereus y la romanización en la parte oriental del Imperio’, in L. Hernández Guerra – J. Alvar Ezquerra (eds.), *Jerarquías religiosas y control social en el mundo antiguo* (Actas del XXVII congresso internacional Girea-Arys IX, Valladolid, 7-9 de noviembre 2002), Valladolid, 463-472.
- VALAVANIS P. 2002, ‘Thoughts on the Public Archive in the Hellenistic *Metroon* of the Athenian Agorà’, *AM* 117, 221-256.
- VAN BREHMEN R. 1996, *The Limits of Participation. Women and Civic Life in the Greek East in the Hellenistic and Roman Periods*, Amsterdam.
- VAN DER HOUT M.P.J. 1999, *A Commentary on the Letters of M. Cornelius Fronto*, Leiden – Brill – Boston.
- VAN NIJF O. 2000, ‘Inscriptions and Civic Memory in the Roman East’, in A.E. Cooley (ed.), *The Afterlife Inscriptions: Reusing, Rediscovering, Reinventing and Revitalizing Ancient Inscriptions*, (BICS Suppl. 75), London, 23-24.
- VARNER E.R. 2001, ‘Portraits, Plots, and Politics: *damnatio memoriae* and the Images of Imperial Women’, *MAAR* 46, 41-93.
- VARNER E.R. 2004, *Mutilation and Transformation. Damnatio Memoriae and Roman Imperial Portraiture*, Leiden – Boston.
- VELIGIANNI CHR. 2001, ‘*Philos* und *philos*-Komposita in den griechischen Inschriften der Kaiserzeit’, in M. Peachin (ed.), *Aspects of Friendship in the Graeco-Roman World* (Proceedings of a conference held at the Seminar für Alte Geschichte, Heidelberg, on 10-11 June, 2000), (JRA Suppl. 43), Portsmouth, 63-80.
- VEYNE P. 1976, *Le Pain et le Cirque. Sociologie historique d'un pluralisme politique*, Paris.
- VEYNE P. 1999, ‘L’identité grecque devant Rome et l’empereur’, *REG* 112, 510-567.
- VIALE V. 1925-1926, ‘Relazione sull’ attività della missione archeologica di Adalia’, *ASAtene* 8-9, 357-392.
- VICKERS B. 1991, (ed.), *Arbeit-Musse-Meditation: Studies in the vita activa and vita contemplativa*, Zürich – Stuttgart.
- VIDMAN L. 1970, *Isis und Sarapis bei den Griechen und Römern*, Berlin.
- VITTINGHOFF F. 1936, *Der Staatsfeind in der römischen Kaiserzeit. Untersuchungen zur “Damnatio memoriae”*, Bonn.
- VOKOTOPOULOU I. 1996, *Guida del Museo Archeologico di Salonicco*, Atene.
- VOUTIRAS E. 1994, ‘Sokrates in der Akademie: Die erste bezeugte Philosophenstatue’, *AM* 109, 133-161.
- VOUTIRAS E. 1999, ‘Η λατρεία της Αφροδίτης στην περιοχή του Θεομαίου κόλπου’, in *Αρχαία Μακεδονία VI* (Ανακοινώσεις κατά το Έκτο Διεθνές Συμπόσιο, Θεσσαλονίκη, 15-19 Οκτωβρίου 1996), Θεσσαλονίκη, II, 1329 –1343.

- VOUTIRAS E. 2001, ‘Προτομή “σοφιστή” στο Αρχαιολογικό μουσείο Θεσσαλονίκης’, in *Αγαλμα. Μελέτες για την αρχαία πλαστική προς τιμήν του Γιώργου Δεσπίνη*, Θεσσαλονίκη, 441-450.
- WACKER Ch. 1996, *Das Gymnasion in Olympia. Geschichte und Funktion*, Würzburg.
- WALBANK M.E. 1989a, ‘Marsyas at Corinth’, *AJN* n.s. 1, 79-87.
- WALBANK M.E. 1989b, ‘Pausanias, Octavia and Temple E at Corinth’, *BSA* 84, 361-394.
- WALBANK M.E. 1997, ‘The Foundation and Planning of Early Roman Corinth’, *JRA* 10, 95-130.
- WALDSTEIN C. 1902, *The Argive Heraeum I*, Cambridge.
- WALTON C.S. 1929, ‘Oriental Senators in the Service of Rome’, *JRS* 19, 38-66.
- WEINSTOCK S. 1971, *Divus Julius*, Oxford.
- WEIR R. 2004, *Roman Delphi and its Pythian Games*, (BAR 1306), Oxford.
- WEISS P. 2002, ‘Asiarchen sind Archiereis Asias. Eine Antwort auf S. J. Friesen’, in N. Ehrhardt – L.-M. Günther (eds.), *Widerstand-Anpassung-Integration: die griechische Staatenwelt und Rom: Festschrift für Jürgen Deininger zum 65. Geburtstag*, Stuttgart, 241-254.
- WELCH K.E. 1995, ‘The Office of *Praefectus Fabrum* in the Late Republic’, *Chiron* 25, 131-145.
- WELCH K.E. 1998, ‘The Stadium at Aphrodisias’, *AJA* 102-3, 557-561.
- WHITE L.M. 1995, ‘Urban Development and Social Changes in Imperial Ephesus’, in H. Koester (ed.), *Ephesos, Metropolis of Asia. An Interdisciplinary Approach to its Archaeology, Religion and Culture*, (Harvard Theological Studies 41), Valley-Forge, 27-79.
- WHITMARSH T. 2005, *The Second Sophistic*, (Greece & Rome. New Surveys in the Classics 25), Oxford.
- WICKHAM Ch. 2005, *Framing the early Middle Ages: Europe and the Mediterranean, 400-800*, Oxford.
- WILLERS D. 1990, *Hadrians panhellenisches Programm. Archäologische Beiträge zur Neugestaltung Athens durch Hadrian*, (Beiheft Antike Kunst 16), Basel.
- WILLIAMS (II) C.K. 1978, *The Pre-Roman Cults of Corinth*, PhD Pennsylvania.
- WILLIAMS (II) C.K. 1987, ‘The Refounding of Corinth: Some Roman Religious Attitudes’, in S. Macready – E.H. Thompson (eds.), *Roman Architecture in the Greek World*, London, 26-37.
- WINTER E. 1996, *Staatliche Baupolitik und Baufürsorge in den römischen Provinzen des kaiserzeitlichen Kleinasiens*, (Asia Minor Studien 20), Bonn.

- WISEMAN J. 1979, 'Corinth and Rome I: 228 B.C. – A.D. 267', in *ANRW* II 17.1, 438-548.
- WISEMAN T.P. 1971, *New Men in the Roman Senate 139 B.C. – A.D. 14*, Oxford.
- WISSOWA G. 1912, *Religion und Kultus der Römer*, München.
- WITSCHEL C. 1995, 'Statuen auf römischen Platzanlagen unter besonderer Berücksichtigung von Timgad (Algerien)', in K. Stemmer (ed.), *Standorte. Kontext und Funktion antiker Skulptur*, (Aufstellung, Berlin 29.11.1994-4.6.1995), Berlin, 332-387.
- WOLOCH M. 1969, 'Four Leading Families in Roman Athens', *Historia* 18, 503-510.
- WOOD S. 1999, *Imperial Women: A Study in Public Images, 40 BC-AD 68*, Leiden.
- WOOLF G. 1994, 'Becoming Roman, Staying Greek: Culture, Identity and the Civilizing Process in the Roman East', *PCPS* 40, 116-143.
- WORP K.A. 1997, "Αρχαντες and πολειτευόμενοι in papyri from Graeco-Roman Egypt", *ZPE* 115, 201-220.
- WÖRRLE M. 1988, *Stadt und Fest im kaiserzeitlichen Kleinasiens. Studien zur einer agonistischen Stiftung aus Oinoanda*, (Vestigia 39), München.
- WÖRRLE M. 1999, 'Epigraphische Forschungen zur Geschichte Lykiens VII. Asarönü, ein Peripolion von Limyra', *Chiron* 29, 353-370.
- WYKE M. 1994, 'Make like Nero! The Appeal of a Cinematic Emperor', in J. Elsner – J. Masters (eds.), *Reflections of Nero. Culture, History & Representation*, London, 11-28.
- ZANKER P. 1987, *Augustus und die Macht der Bilder*, München.
- ZANKER P. 1995, *Die Maske des Sokrates. Das Bild des Intellektuellen in der antiken Kunst*, München.
- ZIRO D. 1991, *Η κυρία εῖσοδος τοῦ ἱεροῦ τῆς Ἐλευσῖνος*, (The Archaeological Society at Athens Library 120), Αθῆνα.
- ZOUMBAKI S. 2001, *Elis und Olympia in der Kaiserzeit. Das Leben einer Gesellschaft zwischen Stadt und Heiligtum auf prosopographischer Grundlage*, (Meletemata 32), Athen.
- ZOUMBAKI S. 2008, (*forthcoming*), 'Einblick in das spätantike Elis: Eine unpublizierte Inschrift zu Ehren des Prokonsuls Flavius Severus', *ZPE*.