Neuroprotective and Antioxidant Activities of 4-Methylcoumarins: Development of Structure–Activity Relationships

Shashwat Malhotra,^{*a*} Marjan Tavakkoli,^{*b*} Najmeh Edraki,^{*b*} Ramin Miri,^{*b*} Sunil Kumar Sharma,^{*a*} Ashok Kumar Prasad,^a Luciano Saso,^c Christophe Len,^{d,e} Virinder Singh Parmar,^{a,d} and Omidreza Firuzi*,*^b*

^aBioorganic Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Delhi; Delhi 110 007, India: ^bMedicinal and Natural *Products Chemistry Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences; Shiraz 71348, Iran: cDepartment of Physiology and Pharmacology "Vittorio Erspamer," Sapienza University of Rome; P. le Aldo Moro 5 Rome 00185, Italy: ^d Sorbonne Universités, Université de Technologie de Compiègne, Ecole Supérieure de Chimie Organique et Minérale, EA4297 Transformations Chimiques de la Matière Renouvelable, Centre de Recherche Royallieu; CS 60319, F-60203 Compiègne Cedex, France: and eDepartment of Chemistry, University of Hull, Hull; HU6 7RX, England.* Received January 3, 2016; accepted June 14, 2016

Coumarins are a major class of polyphenols that are abundantly present in many dietary plants and possess different biological activities. Neuroprotective effect of 28 variously substituted 4-methylcoumarins was evaluated in a cell model of oxidative stress-induced neurodegeneration, which measures viability in PC12 cells challenged with hydrogen peroxide by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. The inhibitory activity of these compounds against intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation was also determined by 2′**,7**′**-dichlorofluorescein diacetate method in the same cells. Chemical redox-based assays including 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) tests were employed to explore structure–antioxidant activity relationships in a cell-free environment. The results demonstrated that 4-methylcoumarins containing** *ortho***-dihydroxy or** *ortho***-diacetoxy substituents on the benzenoid ring possess considerable neuroprotective effects.** *ortho***-Dihydroxy compounds** inhibited cytotoxicity (44.7–62.9%) and ROS formation (41.6–71.1%) at 50μ m and showed considerable **antioxidant effects. We conclude that 4-methylcoumarins are promising neuroprotective and antioxidant scaffolds potentially usefull for management of neurodegenerative diseases.**

Key words 4-methylcoumarin; neuroprotective; PC12 cell; neurodegenerative disease

Coumarin derivatives containing benzopyran-2-one central core comprise a large class of plant-derived phenolic compounds that have diverse pharmacological effects and are considered as promising scaffolds for drug discovery.^{1–4)} Among these compounds, 4-methylcoumarins have demonstrated potential antioxidant activity with less toxicity compared to other coumarin derivatives.^{5,6)} Antioxidant activities of various 4-methylcoumarin derivatives have been studied by our groups and other investigators $7-11$ among which, 7,8-*ortho*-dihydroxy and 7,8-*ortho*-diacetoxy structures have shown promising effects in various test systems.^{8,9,12,13)} Since antioxidant agents may have great potential as neuroprotective compounds, in this study, we focused on the examination of the neuroprotective effect of previously synthesized 4-methylcoumarin derivatives in oxidative stress-induced neurotoxicity model in PC12 cells with a special focus on derivatives bearing 7,8-*ortho*-dihydroxy and 7,8-*ortho*-diacetoxy substitutions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals RPMI1640, penicillin/streptomycin, sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and trypsin ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) (0.25%) were purchased from Biosera (Ringmer, U.K.). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) and horse serum (HS) were acquired from Invitrogen (San Diego, CA, U.S.A.). 3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), 2′,7′-dichlorofluorescein  diacetate  (DCFH-DA),  hydrogen peroxide, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and

N-acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (San Louis, MO, U.S.A.). The rest of compounds were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). The synthesis of 4-methylcoumarin derivatives MC1-22, MC24, MC26-28,⁴⁾ and MC23, MC25, MC29 $^{10,11,14)}$ containing different substituents on the benzenoid ring, were previously reported and they were synthesized according to the reported methods.

Cell Culture PC12 cells (a generous gift of Professor Lloyd A. Greene, Department of Pathology and Cell Biology, Columbia University, New York, U.S.A.) were cultured in RPMI1640 supplemented with 10% HS, 5% FBS, 100 U/mL penicillin-G and 100 *µ*g/mL streptomycin and grown at 37°C in humidified air containing 5% CO₂.

Cell Viability Assay Cell viability after exposure to hydrogen peroxide was determined by MTT reduction assay.15) Briefly, PC12 cells were initially plated in collagen-coated 96-well microplates at a density of 5×10^5 cells/mL (100 μ L per well). After 48h incubation, derivatives were added for 3h, followed by H_2O_2 150 μ m for 1 h. After 24 h, the cells were incubated in 0.5 mg/mL MTT dissolved in RPMI1640 for 1.5 h at 37°C. The generated formazan crystals were dissolved in 200 *µ*L dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and absorbance was measured at 570 nm. Experiments were performed in triplicate and were repeated 4–6 times. Viability was calculated as percentage compared to non-treated control cells. NAC, a known antioxidant and neuroprotective agent,¹⁶⁾ was used as a positive control.

Measurement of Intracellular Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) by DCFH-DA Intracellular accumulation of ROS

was monitored using the fluorescent probe DCFH-DA.¹⁷⁾ PC12 cells $(4\times10^5 \text{ cells/mL})$ were seeded in collagen-coated 24-well plates $(500 \mu L$ per well) for 72 h. Test compounds were added for 3 h, followed by DCFH-DA 10 *µ*M for 30 min at 37°C in the dark, afterwards, the media was replaced with PBS. Fluorescence  intensity  was  quantified  with  a  multi-mode  microplate  reader (BMG Labtech, Germany) at 485 and 520 nm excitation and emission filters (e₀), respectively. After 1h of treatment with H₂O₂ 150 μM, fluorescence was measured again (e ₆₀). Δ*F* was calculated as the differences between e_0 and e_{60} . ROS inhibition was determined as follows:

% ROS Inhibitio n

$$
= 100 \frac{(\Delta F \text{ Oxidized control} - \Delta F \text{ Sample})}{(\Delta F \text{ Oxidized control} - \Delta F \text{ Nonoxidized control})}
$$

DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity Four different concentrations of test compounds were mixed with a methanolic solution of DPPH (100μ) and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Absorbance at 517 nm was measured by a spectrophotometer. IC_{50} values were calculated by the software Curve-Expert (for Windows, version 1.34). Quercetin was used an standard antioxidant agent. 18)

Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP) Assay FRAP solution was freshly prepared by mixing 10 mL acetate buffer (300 mm) at pH 3.6, 1 mL ferric chloride (20 mm) and 1 mL 2,4,6-tris(2-pyridyl)-*s*-triazine (10 mM). Ten microliters of different concentrations of 4-methylcoumarin derivatives

and quercetin dissolved in DMSO were mixed with 190 *µ*L of FRAP solution in 96-well microplates and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Absorbance at 595 nm was measured and FRAP values calculated.¹⁹⁾

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Antioxidant activities of synthetic 4-methylcoumarin derivatives were assessed using different cell-based and cellfree assays. Studied 4-methylcoumarins ate categorized into five  groups  (Fig.  1):  1)  monohydroxy  4-methylcoumarins  (MHMCs) containing hydroxyl group at the C-7 position (MC1–5); 2) dihydroxy 4-methylcoumarins with two hydroxyl groups at 7,8 positions (7,8-DHMC, MC6–11) or 5,7 positions of the coumarin ring (5,7-DHMC, MC12–13); 3) monoacetoxy 4-methylcoumarins (MAMCs) having acetoxy group at the C-7 position (MC14–15); 4) diacetoxy 4-methylcoumarins (DAMCs), including 7,8-DAMC (MC16–18), 5,7-DAMC (MC19) and 6,7-DAMC (MC20–21); 5) dimethoxy 4-methylcoumarins bearing two methoxy groups at the C-7 and C-8 positions (7,8-DMMC, M23–M25); 6) miscellaneous derivatives with different substitutions.

Protective effect of 4-methylcoumarins against H_2O_2 -induced cytotoxicity in PC12 cells was determined by the MTT reduction assay (Fig. 2, not-active compounds are not shown). Tested compounds' inhibitory activity against ROS formation induced by H_2O_2 in PC12 cells was also measured by DCFH-

Fig. 1. General Structures of Synthesized 4-Methylcoumarins

Fig. 2. Inhibition of Hydrogen Peroxide-Induced Cytotoxicity in PC12 Neuronal Cells by Synthesized 4-Methylcoumarin Derivatives

Protective effects of 7,8-dihydroxymethylcoumarines (upper panel) and 7,8-diacetoxymethylcoumarines (lower panel) against H_2O_2 was determined in PC12 cells. Concentrations of tested methylcoumarins and *N*-acetylcysteine (NAC, positive control) are expressed as μ M. * Significantly different from cells treated with hydrogen peroxide alone (*p*<0.05).

DA assay (Table 1). The *ortho* disubstituted 4-methylcoumarins, including 7,8-DHMCs (MC6 to 9), 7,8-DAMCs (MC16 to 18) and 6,7-DAMCs (MC20, and 21, but not 22) demonstrated considerable inhibitory effects against neurotoxicity and ROS formation, which were for some of the derivative comparable to the effect of NAC, a reference antioxidant agent. 4-Methylcoumarins containing two hydroxy or two acetoxy moieties at *meta* position to each other including 5,7-DHMC (MC12–13) and 5,7-DAMC (MC19) were found to be devoid of the protective effect against H_2O_2 -induced neurotoxicity, ROS formation and antioxidant activity (Tables 1, 2). Moreover, substitution by methoxy, amino or acetamido moieties at the benzenoid ring also eliminated the protective effects of compounds against oxidative stress. The superior activity of 7,8-DHMCs compared to monohydroxy compounds (7-MHMCs) and 5,7-DHMCs might be attributed to the increased stability of the catecholic radical as a result of electron donating characteristic of *ortho*-dihydroxy substituents.

Although it has been repeatedly shown that *ortho*-dihydroxy moiety confers a high antioxidant potential, $^{13)}$ in our study the substitution of the catechol moiety with diacetoxy Table 1. Inhibition of Hydrogen Peroxide-Induced Cytotoxicity and Intracellular ROS Formation by 4-Methylcoumarin Derivatives in PC12 Neuronal Cells

* Data are expressed as the mean±S.E.M. of 4–6 experiments. ** NA: Not active.

(as in 7,8-DAMCs and 6,7-DAMCs) did not considerably alter the activities. This particular phenomenon in 4-methylcoumarins has been previously reported in literature^{20,21)} and at least in biological systems may be ascribed to the production of deacetylated compounds through the activity of esterase enzymes.²¹⁾

Previous reports have shown that insertion of ester and other moieties at C3 position may alter the antioxidant capacities of 4-methylcoumarines, $^{21)}$ therefore we examined the influence  of  these  moieties  on  the  activity  of  derivatives  in  our test systems; among 7,8-DHMCs, the presence of an alkyl chain such as ethyl (MC7), *n*-hexyl (MC8) and *n*-decyl (MC9) or ethoxycarbonyl methyl (MC10) and ethoxycarbonyl ethyl (MC11) moieties at C3 did not seem to considerably alter the neuroprotective, ROS inhibition or antioxidant activities in the active subset of 7,8-DHMCs. The same phenomenon was observed among the other two active subsets of 7,8-DAMCs and 6,7-DAMCs. The only exception seemed to be in the case of MC22 (a 6,7-DAMC) that the presence of an ethoxycarbonyl ethyl moiety completely abolished neuroprotective and anti-

Table 2. Antioxidant Activity of 4-Methylcoumarin Derivatives Determined by DPPH and FRAP Assays

Compound	IC_{50} DPPH scavenging (μM)	FRAP value (mM) Q/mol)*
MC1	3769.5 ± 592.9	3.9 ± 0.4
MC ₂	$NA**$	2.2 ± 0.3
MC ₃	NA	4.3 ± 0.6
MC4	NA	7.4 ± 0.9
MC ₅	NA	0.6 ± 0.0
MC ₆	ND	ND
MC7	15.8 ± 3.3	964.0 ± 51.1
MC ₈	18.0 ± 3.0	779.5 ± 30.7
MC ₉	17.5 ± 3.1	667.4 ± 82.8
MC10	15.4 ± 3.4	660.0 ± 136.3
MC11	12.1 ± 0.6	1109.4 ± 3.5
MC12	819.0 ± 80.7	1.4 ± 0.3
MC13	537.1 ± 50.8	2.3 ± 0.5
MC14	NA	0.3 ± 0.0
MC15	ND	ND
MC16	ND	ND
MC17	97.8 ± 4.8	35.8 ± 1.9
MC18	37.9 ± 7.7	119.3 ± 17.6
MC19	3088.1 ± 539.7	1.0 ± 0.2
MC20	6.5 ± 1.1	1489.2 ± 100.3
MC21	23.3 ± 9.3	499.0 ± 10.5
MC22	NA	2.5 ± 0.4
MC23	ND	ND
MC24	NA	1.2 ± 0.1
MC25	ND	ND
MC26	NA	$0.9 + 0.1$
MC27	NA	1.4 ± 0.3
MC28	NA	1.0 ± 0.2
Ouercetin	7.9 ± 0.3	1000

* mM Equivalent of quercetin/mol. ** Not active (IC₅₀>5000 μ M). Values represent the mean of $3-5$ experiments \pm S.D.

oxidant  effects  (Tables  1,  2).  Similar  to  this  finding,  another  study on galvynoxyl and DPPH radicals scavenging capacity of 4-methylcoumarins has found that the presence of ethoxycarbonyl ethyl moiety at C3 position increases the activity of *meta*- and *ortho*-dihydroxy coumarins, but not the activity of *ortho*-diacetoxy derivatives.²¹⁾

The  findings  of  antioxidant  assays  (Table  2)  closely  resembled those obtained in cellular assays. Some of the compounds showed activities comparable to that of quercetin, a known antioxidant agent.

In conclusion, 4-methylcoumarins containing *ortho*-dihydroxy or *ortho*-diacetoxy substituents on the benzenoid ring showed considerable potential in inhibition of oxidative stress-induced neuronal damage in a cell model of neurodegeneration and also in cell-free antioxidant assays and represent promising scaffolds with antioxidnat and neuroprotective activities.

Acknowledgments The  authors  wish  to  thank  the  financial support of the Vice-Chancellor for Research of the Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (Grant number: 88-4926) and the  University  of  Delhi.  SM  thanks  the  Council  of  Scientific  and Industrial Research (CSIR, India) for the award of a Senior Research Associateship.

Conflict of Interest The  authors  declare  no  conflict  of 

interest.

REFERENCES

- [1\) Togna AR, Firuzi O, Latina V, Parmar VS, Prasad AK, Salemme A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1248/bpb.b13-00568) [Togna  GI,  Saso  L.  4-Methylcoumarin  derivatives  with  anti-inflam](http://dx.doi.org/10.1248/bpb.b13-00568)[matory effects in activated microglial cells.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1248/bpb.b13-00568) *Biol. Pharm. Bull.*, **37**, [60–66 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1248/bpb.b13-00568)
- [2\) Borges F, Roleira F, Milhazes N, Santana L, Uriarte E. Simple cou](http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0929867053507315)[marins and analogues in medicinal chemistry: occurrence, synthesis](http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0929867053507315) [and biological activity.](http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0929867053507315) *Curr. Med. Chem.*, **12**, 887–916 (2005).
- [3\) Kontogiorgis CA, Xu Y, Hadjipavlou-Litina D, Luo Y. Coumarin](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10715760701447884) [derivatives protection against ROS production in cellular models of](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10715760701447884) A *β* toxicities. *Free Radic. Res.*, **41**[, 1168–1180 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10715760701447884)
- [4\) Miri R, Nejati M, Saso L, Khakdan F, Parshad B, Mathur D, Par](http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/13880209.2015.1016183)[mar VS, Bracke ME, Prasad AK, Sharma SK, Firuzi O. Structure–](http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/13880209.2015.1016183) [activity relationship studies of 4-methylcoumarin derivatives as](http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/13880209.2015.1016183) [anticancer agents.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/13880209.2015.1016183) *Pharm. Biol.*, **54**, 105–110 (2016).
- [5\) Tyagi YK, Kumar A, Raj HG, Vohra P, Gupta G, Kumari R, Kumar](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2004.09.002) [P, Gupta RK. Synthesis of novel amino and acetyl amino-4-methyl](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2004.09.002)[coumarins and evaluation of their antioxidant activity.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2004.09.002) *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, **40**[, 413–420 \(2005\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2004.09.002)
- [6\) Xiao C, Luo X-Y, Li D-J, Lu H, Liu Z-Q, Song Z-G, Jin Y-H. Syn](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2012.03.052)[thesis of 4-methylcoumarin derivatives containing 4,5-dihydropyr](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2012.03.052)[azole moiety to scavenge radicals and to protect DNA.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2012.03.052) *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, **53**[, 159–167 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2012.03.052)
- [7\)  Ćavar  S,  Kovač  F,  Maksimović  M.  Evaluation  of  the  antioxidant](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.02.006) [activity of a series of 4-methylcoumarins using different testing](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.02.006) methods. *Food Chem.*, **133**[, 930–937 \(2012\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.02.006)
- [8\) Morabito G, Trombetta D, Singh Brajendra K, Prasad Ashok K,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2010.04.017) [Parmar Virinder S, Naccari C, Mancari F, Saija A, Cristani M,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2010.04.017) [Firuzi O, Saso L. Antioxidant properties of 4-methylcoumarins in](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2010.04.017) *in vitro* cell-free systems. *Biochimie*, **92**[, 1101–1107 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2010.04.017)
- [9\) Natella F, Lorrain B, Prasad AK, Parmar VS, Saso L, Scaccini C.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2010.04.019) [4-Methylcoumarins as antioxidants: scavenging of peroxyl radicals](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2010.04.019) [and inhibition of human low-density lipoprotein oxidation.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2010.04.019) *Biochimie*, **92**[, 1147–1152 \(2010\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2010.04.019)
- 10) Parmar V, Bisht K, Jain R, Singh S, Sharma S, Gupta S, Malhotra S, Tyagi O, Vardhan A, Pati H. Synthesis, antimicrobial and antiviral activities of novel polyphenolic compounds. *Indian Journal of Chemistry. Sect. B: Organic Chemistry, Including Med. Chem.*, **35**, 220–232 (1996).
- 11) Parmar V, Singh S, Rathore J. *Synthesis of some new 4-methylcoumarins*. Vol. 64. SCIENTIFIC PUBL-INDIA, India, pp. 254–257 (1987).
- [12\) Jin X, Wang Y, Li X, Tan X, Miao Z, Chen Y, Hamdy RC, Chua](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12640-014-9507-7) [BH, Kong J, Zhao H, Xu X. 7,8-Dihydroxy-4-methylcoumarin pro](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12640-014-9507-7)[vides neuroprotection by increasing hippocalcin expression.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12640-014-9507-7) *Neurotox. Res.*, **27**[, 268–274 \(2015\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12640-014-9507-7)
- [13\)  Petrucci  R,  Astolfi  P,  Greci  L,  Firuzi  O,  Saso  L,  Marrosu  G.  A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2006.08.053) [spectroelectrochemical and chemical study on oxidation of hy](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2006.08.053)[droxycinnamic acids in aprotic medium.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2006.08.053) *Electrochim. Acta*, **52**, [2461–2470 \(2007\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2006.08.053)
- 14) Woodruff EH. 4-Methylcoumarin. *Org. Synth.*, **1944**, 69–69 (1944).
- [15\) Garrido J, Gaspar A, Garrido EM, Miri R, Tavakkoli M, Pourali S,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2011.12.015) [Saso L, Borges F, Firuzi O. Alkyl esters of hydroxycinnamic acids](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2011.12.015) [with improved antioxidant activity and lipophilicity protect PC12](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2011.12.015) [cells against oxidative stress.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2011.12.015) *Biochimie*, **94**, 961–967 (2012).
- [16\) Hamada N, Tanaka A, Fujita Y, Itoh T, Ono Y, Kitagawa Y, To](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2011.01.059)[mimori N, Kiso Y, Akao Y, Nozawa Y, Ito M. Involvement of](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2011.01.059) heme oxygenase-1 induction *via* [Nrf2/ARE activation in protection](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2011.01.059) against H_2O_2 -induced PC12 cell death by a metabolite of sesamin [contained in sesame seeds.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2011.01.059) *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, **19**, 1959–1965 [\(2011\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2011.01.059)
- [17\) Tavakkoli M, Miri R, Jassbi AR, Erfani N, Asadollahi M, Ghasemi](http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/13880209.2014.908394) [M, Saso L, Firuzi O.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/13880209.2014.908394) *Carthamus, Salvia* and *Stachys* species protect

[neuronal cells against oxidative stress-induced apoptosis.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/13880209.2014.908394) *Pharm. Biol.*, **52**[, 1550–1557 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/13880209.2014.908394)

- [18\) Brand-Williams W, Cuvelier M, Berset C. Use of a free radical](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0023-6438(95)80008-5) [method to evaluate antioxidant activity.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0023-6438(95)80008-5) *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, **28**[, 25–30 \(1995\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0023-6438(95)80008-5)
- [19\) Benzie IF, Strain J. The ferric reducing ability of plasma \(FRAP\) as](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/abio.1996.0292) [a measure of "antioxidant power": the FRAP assay.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/abio.1996.0292) *Anal. Biochem.*, **239**[, 70–76 \(1996\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/abio.1996.0292)
- [20\) Verma A, Venkateswaran K, Farooque A, Bhatt AN, Kalra N, Arya](http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1381612820666140220112720) [A, Dhawan A, Arya MB, Raj HG, Prasad AK, Parmar VS, Dwara](http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1381612820666140220112720)[kanath BS. Cytotoxic and radio-sensitizing effects of polyphenolic](http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1381612820666140220112720) [acetates in a human glioma cell line \(BMG-1\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1381612820666140220112720) *Curr. Pharm. Des.*, **20**[, 1161–1169 \(2014\).](http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1381612820666140220112720)
- [21\) Pedersen JZ, Oliveira C, Incerpi S, Kumar V, Fiore AM, De Vito P,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1211/jpp.59.12.0015) [Prasad AK, Malhotra SV, Parmar VS, Saso L. Antioxidant activity](http://dx.doi.org/10.1211/jpp.59.12.0015) [of 4-methylcoumarins.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1211/jpp.59.12.0015) *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.*, **59**, 1721–1728 (2007).