

technical procedures - such as the increase in apical preparation and a more effective system of irrigation delivery and activation of irrigant - can promote and make more predictable the reduction of intracanal bacteria, especially in complex anatomical and not instrumented areas of the root canal system.

## Resumo

A irrigação do canal radicular é coadjuvante na desinfecção e remoção de deíbris, contribuindo para o sucesso do tratamento endodôntico. Este artigo apresenta uma visão geral das tecnologias atuais indicadas para melhorar a limpeza e desbridamento do canal radicular.

Foi realizado um levantamento bibliográfico eletrônico no site Pubmed utilizando palavras-chave específicas ao tema a fim de abordar literatura relevante. Após busca eletrônica, artigos completos foram revisados e os mais apropriados ao tema foram incluídos nesta revisão. Diferentes sistemas de ativação mecânica foram considerados: agitação manual com cone de guta-percha, instrumentos e escovas endodônticas, sistemas de vibração ativados por peças manuais em baixa rotação ou por energia sônica e subsônica, ultrassom, laser, assim como sistemas de irrigação que utilizam pressão apical negativa. Além disso, esta revisão descreve outros meios indicados para ampliar a descontaminação endodôntica por meio de agentes químicos como ozônio e desinfecção por meio de luz. A ativação ultrassônica do hipoclorito de sódio ainda permanece o padrão ouro e nos estudos é usada como controle na comparação aos demais sistemas que empregam agitação mecânica. A presente revisão mostra vantagens de diversos sistema de irrigação e associações entre eles, podendo aumentar a efetividade da irrigação endodôntica.

## References

1. Kakehashy S, Stanley HR, Fitzgerald RJ. The effect of surgical exposures of dental pulps in germ-free and conventional laboratory rats. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1965;20:340-349.
2. Zehnder M. Root canal irrigants. *J Endod* 2006;32:389-398.
3. Beus C, Safavi K, Stratton J, Kaufman B. Comparison of the effect of two endodontic irrigation protocols on the elimination of bacteria from root canal system: a prospective, randomized clinical trial. *J Endod* 2012;38:1479-1483.
4. Mehdipour O, Kleier DJ, Averbach RE. Anatomy of sodium hypochlorite accidents. *Compend Contin Educ Dent* 2007;28:544-550.
5. Kishen A. Advanced therapeutic options for endodontic biofilms. *Endod Topics* 2010;22:99-123.
6. Clarkson RM, Podlich HM, Moule AJ. Influence of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid on the active chlorine content of sodium hypochlorite solutions when mixed in various proportions. *J Endod* 2011;37:538-543.
7. Plotino G, Grande NM, Pameijer CH, Somma F. Ultrasonics in endodontics: a review of the literature. *J Endod* 2007;33:81-95.
8. Cameron JA. The choice of irrigant during hand instrumentation and ultrasonic irrigation of the root canal: a scanning electron microscope study. *Aust Dent J* 1995;40:85-90.
9. Paqué F, Boessler C, Zehnder M. Accumulated hard tissue debris levels in mesial roots of mandibular molars after sequential irrigation steps. *Int Endod J* 2011;44:148-153.
10. Jiang LM, Lak B, Eijsvogels LM, Wesselink P, van der Sluis LW. Comparison of the cleaning efficacy of different final irrigation techniques. *J Endod* 2012;38:838-841.
11. Mohammadi Z, Abbot PV. The properties and applications of chlorhexidine in endodontics. *Int Endod J* 2009;42:288-302.
12. Basrani BR, Manek S, Sodhi RN, Fillery E, Manzur A. Interaction between sodium hypochlorite and chlorhexidine gluconate. *J Endod* 2007;33:966-999.
13. Zehnder M, Paqué F. Disinfection of the root canal system during root canal re-treatment. *Endod Topics* 2011;19:58-73.
14. Krishnamurthy S, Sudhakaran S. Evaluation and prevention of the precipitate formed on interaction between sodium hypochlorite and chlorhexidine. *J Endod* 2010;36:1154-1157.
15. Matos Neto M, Santos SS, Leão MV, Habitante SM, Rodrigues JR, Jorge AO. Effectiveness of three instrumentation systems to remove *Enterococcus faecalis* from root canals. *Int Endod J* 2012;45:435-438.
16. Soares JA, Roque de Carvalho MA, Cunha Santos SM, Mendonça RM, Ribeiro-Sobrinho AP, Brito-Júnior M, et al.. Effectiveness of chemomechanical preparation with alternating use of sodium hypochlorite and EDTA in eliminating intracanal *Enterococcus faecalis* biofilm. *J Endod* 2010;36:894-898.
17. Garcez AS, Nunez SC, Lage-Marques JL, Hamblin MR, Ribeiro MS. Photonic real-time monitoring of bacterial reduction in root canals by genetically engineered bacteria after chemomechanical endodontic therapy. *Braz Dent J* 2007;18:202-207.
18. Gu LS, Kim JR, Ling J, Choi KK, Pashley DH, Tay FR. Review of contemporary irrigant agitation techniques and devices. *J Endod* 2009;35:791-804.
19. Paragliola R, Franco V, Fabiani C, Mazzoni A, Nato F, Tay FR, et al.. Final rinse optimization: influence of different agitation protocols. *J Endod* 2010;36:282-285.
20. Bronnec F, Bouillaguet S, Machtou P. *Ex vivo* assessment of irrigant penetration and renewal during the final irrigation regimen. *Int Endod J* 2010;43:663-672.
21. Vera J, Arias A, Romero M. Dynamic movement of intracanal gas bubbles during cleaning and shaping procedures: the effect of maintaining apical patency on their presence in the middle and cervical thirds of human root canals - an *in vivo* study. *J Endod* 2012;38:200-203.
22. Caron G, Nham K, Bronnec F, Machtou P. Effectiveness of different final irrigant activation protocols on smear layer removal in curved canals. *J Endod* 2010;36:1361-1366.
23. Zmener O, Pameijer CH, Serrano SA, Palo RM, Iglesias EF. Efficacy of the NaviTip FX irrigation needle in removing post instrumentation canal smear layer and deíbris in curved root canals. *J Endod* 2009;35:1270-1273.
24. Goel S, Tewari S. Smear layer removal with passive ultrasonic irrigation and the NaviTip FX: a scanning electron microscopic study. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2009;108:465-470.
25. Townsend C, Maki J. An *in vitro* comparison of new irrigation and agitation techniques to ultrasonic agitation in removing bacteria from a simulated root canal. *J Endod* 2009;35:1040-1043.
26. Tunga U, Parlak E, Bodrumlu E, Aydemir H, Yesilsoy C. Effect of F-File on removal of the smear layer: a scanning electron microscope study. *Aust Endod J* 2011;37:65-69.
27. Klyn SL, Kirkpatrick TC, Rutledge RE. *In vitro* comparisons of debris removal of the EndoActivator system, the F file, ultrasonic irrigation, and NaOCl irrigation alone after hand-rotary instrumentation in human mandibular molars. *J Endod* 2010;36:1367-1371.
28. Al-Ali M, Sathorn C, Parashos P. Root canal debridement efficacy of different final irrigation protocols. *Int Endod J* 2012;45:898-906.
29. Garip Y, Sazak H, Gunday M, Hatipoglu S. Evaluation of smear layer removal after use of a canal brush: an SEM study. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2010;110:e62-e66.
30. Rödig T, Bozkurt M, Konietzschke F, Hülsmann M. Comparison of the Vibringe system with syringe and passive ultrasonic irrigation in removing deíbris from simulated root canal irregularities. *J Endod* 2010;36:1410-1413.
31. Paqué F, Al-Jadaa A, Kfir A. Hard-tissue debris accumulation created by conventional rotary versus self-adjusting file instrumentation in mesial root canal systems of mandibular molars. *Int Endod J* 2012;45:413-418.
32. Dietrich MA, Kirkpatrick TC, Yaccino JM. *In vitro* canal and isthmus debris removal of the self-adjusting file, K3, and WaveOne files in the mesial root of human mandibular molars. *J Endod* 2012;38:1140-1144.
33. Dummer PM, Alodeh MH, Doller R. Shaping of simulated root canals in resin blocks using files activated by a sonic hand piece. *Int Endod J* 1989;22:211-215.
34. Martin H, Cunningham W. Endosonic endodontics: the ultrasonic synergistic system. *Int Dent J* 1984;34:198-203.

35. Pitt WG. Removal of oral biofilm by sonic phenomena. Am J Dent 2005;18:345-352.
36. Ruddle CJ. Endodontic disinfection: tsunami irrigation. Endod Practice 2008;7:15.
37. Kanter V, Weldon E, Nair U, Varella C, Kanter K, Anusavice K, et al.. A quantitative and qualitative analysis of ultrasonic versus sonic endodontic systems on canal cleanliness and obturation. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2011;112:809-813.
38. Ahmad M, Pitt Ford TR, Crum LA. Ultrasonic debridement of root canals: an insight into the mechanisms involved. J Endod 1987;13:93-101.
39. Uroz-Torres D, González-Rodríguez MP, Ferrer-Luque CM. Effectiveness of the EndoActivator System in removing the smear layer after root canal instrumentation. J Endod 2010;36:308-311.
40. Sabins RA, Johnson JD, Hellstein JW. A comparison of the cleaning efficacy of short-term sonic and ultrasonic passive irrigation after hand instrumentation in molar root canals. J Endod 2003;29:674-678.
41. Johnson M, Sidow SJ, Looney SW, Lindsey K, Niu LN, Tay FR. Canal and isthmus debridement efficacy using a sonic irrigation technique in a closed-canal system. J Endod 2012;38:1265-1268.
42. Schoeffel GJ. The EndoVac method of endodontic irrigation: safety first. Dent Today 2007;26:92-96.
43. Desai P, Himel V. Comparative safety of various intracanal irrigation systems. J Endod 2009;35:545-549.
44. de Gregorio C, Paranjpe A, Garcia A, Navarrete N, Estevez R, Esplugues EO, et al.. Efficacy of irrigation systems on penetration of sodium hypochlorite to working length and to simulated uninstrumented areas in oval shaped root canals. Int Endod J 2012;45:475-481.
45. Nielsen BA, Baumgartner CJ. Comparison of the EndoVac system to needle irrigation of root canals. J Endod 2007;33:611-615.
46. Susin L, Liu Y, Yoon JC, Parente JM, Loushine RJ, Ricucci D, et al.. Canal and isthmus debridement efficacies of two irrigant agitation techniques in a closed system. Int Endod J 2010;43:1077-1090.
47. Saber S el-D, Hashem AA. Efficacy of different final irrigation activation techniques on smear layer removal. J Endod 2011;37:1272-1275.
48. Townsend C, Maki J. An *in vitro* comparison of new irrigation and agitation techniques to ultrasonic agitation in removing bacteria from a simulated root canal. J Endod 2009;35:1040-1043.
49. Goode N, Khan S, Eid AA, Niu LN, Gosier J, Susin LF, Pashley DH, et al.. Wall shear stress effects of different endodontic irrigation techniques and systems. J Dent 2013;41:636-641.
50. De Moor RJ, Blancken J, Meire M, Verdaasdonk R. Laser induced explosive vapor and cavitation resulting in effective irrigation of the root canal. Part 2: evaluation of the efficacy. Lasers Surg Med 2009;41:520-523.
51. Pedullà E, Genovese C, Campagna E, Tempera G, Rapisarda E. Decontamination efficacy of photon-initiated photoacoustic streaming (PIPS) of irrigants using low-energy laser settings: an *ex vivo* study. Int Endod J 2012;45:865-870.
52. Peters OA, Bardsley S, Fong J, Pandher G, Divito E. Disinfection of root canals with photon-initiated photoacoustic streaming. J Endod 2011;37:1008-1012.
53. Arslan H, Capar ID, Saygili G, Gok T, Akcay M. Effect of photon-initiated photoacoustic streaming on removal of apically placed dentinal debris. Int Endod J 2014;47:1072-1077.
54. Burns T, Wilson M, Pearson G J: Sensitisation of cariogenic bacteria to killing by light from a helium neon laser. J Med Microbiol 1993;38:401-405.
55. Konopka K, Goslinski T: Photodynamic therapy in dentistry. J Dent Res 2007;86:694-707.
56. Kömerik N, Curnow A, MacRobert AJ, Hopper C, Speight PM, Wilson M. Fluorescence biodistribution and photosensitising activity of toluidine blue on rat buccal mucosa. Lasers Med Sci 2002;17:86-92.
57. Williams JA, Pearson GJ, Wilson M, Colles MJ. Antibacterial action of photo-activated disinfection (PAD) used on endodontic bacteria in planktonic suspension and in artificial and human root canals. J Dent 2006;34:363-371.
58. Bonsor SJ, Nichol R, Reid TMS, Pearson GJ. Microbiological evaluation of photo-activated disinfection in endodontics (An *in vivo* study). Br Dent J 2006;200:337-341.
59. George S, Kishen A. Photophysical, photochemical and photobiological characterization of methylene blue formulations for light-activated root canal disinfection. J Biomed Opt 2007;12:29-34.
60. Bergmans L, Moisiadis P, Teughels W, Van Meerbeek B, Quirynen M, Lambrechts P. Bactericidal effect of Nd:YAG laser irradiation on some endodontic pathogens *ex vivo*. Int Endod J 2006;39:547-557.
61. Stabholz A, Zeltser R, Sela M, Peretz B, Moshonov J, Ziskind D, et al.. The use of lasers in dentistry: principles of operation and clinical applications. Compend Contin Educ Dent 2003;24:935-948.
62. Noiri Y, Katsumoto T, Azakami H, Ebisu S. Effects of Er:YAG laser irradiation on biofilm-forming bacteria associated with endodontic pathogens *in vitro*. J Endod 2008;34:826-829.
63. Leonardo MR, Guillén-Carías MG, Pécora JD, Ito IY, Silva LA. Er:YAG laser: antimicrobial effects in the root canals of dogs' teeth with pulp necrosis and chronic periapical lesions. Photomed Laser Surg 2005;23:295-299.
64. Restaino L, Frampton EW, Hemphill JB, Palnikar P. Efficacy of ozonated water against various food-related microorganisms. Appl Environ Microbiol 1995;61:3471-3475.
65. Hems RS, Gulabivala K, Ng YL, Ready D, Spratt DA. An *in vitro* evaluation of the ability of ozone to kill a strain of *Enterococcus faecalis*. Int Endod J 2005;38:22-29.
66. Sawai J. Quantitative evaluation of antibacterial activities of metallic oxide powders (ZnO, MgO and CaO) by conductimetric assay. J Microbiol Methods 2003;54:177-182.
67. Kim JS, Kuk E, Yu KN, Kim JH, Park SJ, Lee HJ, et al.. Antimicrobial effects of silver nanoparticles. Nanomedicine 2007;3:95-101.
68. Kishen A, Sum CP, Mathew S, Lim CT. Influence of irrigation regimens on the adherence of *Enterococcus faecalis* to root canal dentin. J Endod 2008;34:850-854.
69. Gubler M, Brunner TJ, Zehnder M, Waltimo T, Sener B, Stark WJ. Do bioactive glasses convey a disinfecting mechanism beyond a mere increase in pH? Int Endod J 2008;41:670-678.
70. Duthie G, Crozier A. Plant-derived phenolic antioxidants. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2000;3:447-451.
71. Jagani S, Chelikani R, Kim DS. Effects of phenol and natural phenolic compounds on biofilm formation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Biofouling 2009;25:321-324.
72. Lussi A, Nussbacher U, Grosrey J. A novel noninstrumented technique for cleansing the root canal system. J Endod 1993;19:549-553.
73. Haapasalo M, Wang Z, Shen Y, Curtis A, Patel P, Khakpour M. Tissue dissolution by a novel multisonic ultracleaning system and sodium hypochlorite. J Endod 2014;40:1178-1181.

Received December 22, 2015

Accepted February 22, 2016