EXPERT OPINION

- The burden of migraine
- Actual therapeutic options in migraine
- A call for new compounds in migraine

informa healthcare

The therapeutic armamentarium in migraine is quite elderly

Paolo Martelletti

Sapienza University, Department of Clinical and Molecular Medicine, Rome, Italy

Global Burden of Disease 2010 study considers migraine as one of the most important noncommunicable diseases in the world, classifying it third in terms of global prevalence (14.70%): it sums up the 54.19% of all the years of life lived with disabilities caused by the rest of all neurological disorders. This Editorial provides an historical excursus of old and new-entry molecules in migraine therapeutic area. Drugs for acute treatment such as triptans date back to the early 1990s with the appearance of sumatriptan and the following six triptans in the years immediately after (zolmitriptan, rizatriptan, naratriptan, eletriptan, almotriptan, frovatriptan). Prophylaxis drugs, dedicated to patients with medium/high frequency of crises, show as last entries topiramate and botulinum toxin type A. The use of this preventative group, with its intrinsic limits, is mandatory to reduce the risk of migraine chronification, a highly harmful clinical phenomenon that produces as its natural consequence the medication overuse headache. The development of new acute and preventative compounds, such as 5HT (serotonin) 1F receptor (5-HT1F) agonist lasmiditan, calcitonin gene related peptide (CGRP) peptide receptor antagonists, anti-CGRP monoclonal antibodies (LY2951742, ALD403, LBR101) and anti-CGRP-r monoclonal antibody (AMG334), is warranted and might be soon completed in order to offer new opportunities to migraine patients.

Keywords: 5-HT1F, burden of disease, CGRP, migraine, triptans

Expert Opin. Drug Metab. Toxicol. (2015) 11(2):175-177

1. The burden of migraine

Global Burden of Disease (GBD) 2010 study considers migraine as one of the most important noncommunicable diseases in the world, classifying it third in terms of global prevalence (14.70%) right after dental caries in adults (35.29%) and tension-type headache (20.77%). The same GBD 2010 study shows that migraine alone, stratifying the diseases by using the term disability (health loss) evaluated with years of life lived with disabilities (YLDs), sums up on its own the 54.19% of all the YLDs caused by the rest of all neurological disorders [1]. This incredible burden of headache disorders, ranked as leading cause of disability, has been calculated in the GBD 2010 study and is inserted into an overall increase of neurological diseases of 12.4% compared with the previous study (GBD 1990), while migraine alone showed an increase of 8.0% [1].

Furthermore, the calculus of the ictal disability, as short-term health loss relative to the acute peak of the disease, classifies migraine seventh [2]. Lastly, if we refer to the natural progression of migraine toward chronification we can calculate that 1 - 4% of the general population suffers from chronic migraine, complicated by medication overuse headache (MOH) [3]. This has been recently reported also in the joint analysis of lifting the burden and WHO in the atlas of headache disorders [4].

Considering this enormous epidemiological, social and economic impact of this disease [5], testified also from the direct and indirect sanitary costs caused by it [6],



it is before our eyes how the need to act is urgent, developing pharmacological innovation in order to reduce this silent plague.

2. Actual therapeutic options in migraine

Furthermore, going through an historical excursus of newentry molecules in migraine therapeutic area, it surprises how old these molecules are [7,8].

Considering the drugs for acute treatment, the turning point of the triptans introduction in the market dates back to the early 1990s, with the appearance of the sumatriptan, to which other six molecules followed in the years immediately after: zolmitriptan, rizatriptan, naratriptan, eletriptan, almotriptan, frovatriptan [9-13]. All of them, even though their pharmacokinetic properties were slightly different, had served with honor in the past quarter of a century but if we consider the overall positive cardiovascular risk-benefit safety profile [14], we cannot understand the reason why only the 25% of migraine patients received prescription based on this therapy [15].

On the other side of the therapeutic approach to migraine we find prophylaxis. Recently, much importance has been dedicated to the concept of prevention from migraine chronification, a well-known and highly harmful clinical phenomenon that produces as its natural consequence the MOH, entrenching a refractoriness often very difficult to eradicate [3,8].

On this line, migraine patients not responding to usual prevention therapies gained advantages from the use of topiramate, a drug borrowed from other clinical areas like epilepsy [16]. Unfortunately, such drug produces heavy side effects and it is hard to manage for the general practitioner who, seen the wideness of this phenomenon from an epidemiological point of view, must be put in the position to manage adequately not only the episodic but mostly medium/ high-frequency forms of migraine [16].

This would enormously reduce the inflow toward highspecialization headache centers, reducing the alarming growth of the chronic migraine population complicated with MOH (1-4%) [3,4].

Lastly, another application of old drugs re-applied to migraine for serendipity deserves to be mentioned: botulinum

toxin type A, approved in the treatment of chronic migraine [17], even though its exclusive hospital use and methods of administration do not allow general practitioners that capillary management of the disease WHO hopes for [4].

3. A call for new compounds in migraine

What is the message we can offer to the readers now? We hope that on the basis of the existing pipelines for migraine treatment, the development of these compounds might be soon completed in order to offer new opportunities to migraine patients.

Comforting results might come from 5-HT_{1F} agonist lasmiditan as alternative to triptans for migraine patients with cardiovascular complications [8]. Since there has been no new information on this drug in the past 2 years, we are looking forward for future development, including the availability of dose-response curves [18].

However, it is now clear that CGRP peptide receptor antagonists, like olcegepant or telcagepant, despite of their high efficacy have no future on the basis of their intravenous administration or liver toxicity limits, to be considered however as 'off-target effects' [8]. It is also clear that for the prophylaxis of episodic and chronic migraine, fully humanized mAbs that target CGRP or its receptor seems to be promising: starting 3 and 3b randomized controlled trials will clarify the early clinical findings suggesting good tolerability and efficacy.

Regarding this last point, the prevention as real central pillar of migraine treatment, we hope that the above-mentioned anti-CGRP monoclonal antibodies (LY2951742, ALD403, LBR101) anti-CGRP-r monoclonal (AMG334) might reach in due time the clinical use in order to reduce the natural path to chronification of migraine [8].

Declaration of interest

The author has no relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript. This includes employment, consultancies, honoraria, stock ownership or options, expert testimony, grants or patents received or pending, or royalties.



Bibliography

Papers of special note have been highlighted as either of interest (•) or of considerable interest (o o) to readers

- Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, et al. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2012;380:2163-96
- This study gave a global overview on disability burden of diseases.
- Steiner TJ, Stovner LJ, Birbeck GL. Migraine: the seventh disabler. J Headache Pain 2013;14:1
- Negro A, Martelletti P. Chronic migraine plus medication overuse headache: two entities or not? J Headache Pain 2011:12:593-601
- This review summarizes the concept of medication overuse headache as sequela of chronic migraine status.
- WHO. Lifting the burden. Atlas of headache disorders and resources in the world. Springer Verlag; Milan: 2011
- Martelletti P, Birbeck GL, Katsarava Z, 5. et al. The Global Burden of Disease survey 2010, lifting the burden and thinking outside-the-box on headache disorders. J Headache Pain 2013;14:13
- Bloudek LM, Stokes M, Buse DC, et al. 6. Cost of healthcare for patients with migraine in five European countries: results from the International Burden of Migraine Study (IBMS). J Headache Pain 2012;13:361-78
- Farinelli I, De Filippis S, Coloprisco G, 7. et al. Future drugs for migraine. Intern Emerg Med 2009;4:367-73

- 8 Lionetto L, Negro A, Palmisani S, et al. Emerging treatment for chronic migraine and refractory chronic migraine. Expert Opin Emerg Drugs 2012;17:393-406
- An excellent review on the pipeline of new drugs for migraine.
- Negro A, Lionetto L, D'Alonzo L, et al. 9. Pharmacokinetic evaluation of almotriptan for the treatment of migraines. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 2013;9:637-44
- 10. Lionetto L, Negro A, Casolla B, et al. Sumatriptan succinate: pharmacokinetics of different formulations in clinical practice. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2012;13:2369-80
- 11. Lionetto L, Casolla B, Mastropietri F, et al. Pharmacokinetic evaluation of zolmitriptan for the treatment of migraines. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 2012;8:1043-50
- Negro A, Lionetto L, Casolla B, et al. Pharmacokinetic evaluation of frovatriptan. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 2011;7:1449-58
- Tfelt-Hansen P, De Vries P, Saxena PR. 13 Triptans in migraine: a comparative review of pharmacology, pharmacokinetics and efficacy. Drugs 2000;60:1259-87
- Dodick D, Lipton RB, Martin V, et al. Triptan Cardiovascular Safety Expert Panel. Consensus statement: cardiovascular safety profile of triptans

- (5-HT agonists) in the acute treatment of migraine. Headache 2004;44(5):414-25
- An important paper focusing on the overall positive cardiovascular risk-benefit safety profile.
- Cevoli S, D'Amico D, Martelletti P, et al. Underdiagnosis and undertreatment of migraine in Italy: a survey of patients attending for the first time 10 headache centres. Cephalalgia 2009;29:1285-93
- This paper showed how migraine is widely underdiagnosed and undertreated.
- 16 Chiossi L, Negro A, Capi M, et al. Sodium channel antagonists for the treatment of migraine. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2014;15:1697-706
- Martelletti P. Dispute settlement 17. understanding on the use of Botox in chronic migraine. J Headache Pain 2011:12:1-2
- Tfelt-Hansen PC, Olesen J. The 5-HT1F receptor agonist lasmiditan as a potential treatment of migraine attacks: a review of two placebo-controlled phase II trials. J Headache Pain 2012;13:271-5

Affiliation

Paolo Martelletti^{1,2} ¹Sapienza University, Department of Clinical and Molecular Medicine, Via di Grottarossa 1035, I - 00189 Rome, Italy Tel: +39 06 33 77 51 11; Fax: +39 06 33 77 51 10; E-mail: paolo.martelletti@uniroma1.it

²Sant'Andrea Hospital, Regional Referral Headache Centre, Rome, Italy

