

The Urban Book Series

Eugenio Arbizzani · Eliana Cangelli ·
Carola Clemente · Fabrizio Cumo ·
Francesca Giofrè · Anna Maria Giovenale ·
Massimo Palme · Spartaco Paris *Editors*

Technological Imagination in the Green and Digital Transition

OPEN ACCESS

 Springer

The Urban Book Series

Editorial Board

Margarita Angelidou, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece


Fatemeh Farnaz Arefian, The Bartlett Development Planning Unit, UCL, Silk Cities, London, UK

Michael Batty, Centre for Advanced Spatial Analysis, UCL, London, UK

Simin Davoudi, Planning & Landscape Department GURU, Newcastle University, Newcastle, UK

Geoffrey DeVerteuil, School of Planning and Geography, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

Jesús M. González Pérez, Department of Geography, University of the Balearic Islands, Palma (Mallorca), Spain

Daniel B. Hess , Department of Urban and Regional Planning, University at Buffalo, State University, Buffalo, NY, USA

Paul Jones, School of Architecture, Design and Planning, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Andrew Karvonen, Division of Urban and Regional Studies, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Stockholms Län, Sweden

Andrew Kirby, New College, Arizona State University, Phoenix, AZ, USA

Karl Kropf, Department of Planning, Headington Campus, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, UK

Karen Lucas, Institute for Transport Studies, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

Marco Maretto, DICATeA, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Parma, Parma, Italy

Ali Modarres, Tacoma Urban Studies, University of Washington Tacoma, Tacoma, WA, USA

Fabian Neuhaus, Faculty of Environmental Design, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada

Steffen Nijhuis, Architecture and the Built Environment, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands

Vitor Manuel Araújo de Oliveira , Porto University, Porto, Portugal

Christopher Silver, College of Design, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

Giuseppe Strappa, Facoltà di Architettura, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Roma, Italy

Igor Vojnovic, Department of Geography, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA

Claudia Yamu, Department of Built Environment, Oslo Metropolitan University, Oslo, Norway

Qunshan Zhao, School of Social and Political Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

The Urban Book Series is a resource for urban studies and geography research worldwide. It provides a unique and innovative resource for the latest developments in the field, nurturing a comprehensive and encompassing publication venue for urban studies, urban geography, planning and regional development.

The series publishes peer-reviewed volumes related to urbanization, sustainability, urban environments, sustainable urbanism, governance, globalization, urban and sustainable development, spatial and area studies, urban management, transport systems, urban infrastructure, urban dynamics, green cities and urban landscapes. It also invites research which documents urbanization processes and urban dynamics on a national, regional and local level, welcoming case studies, as well as comparative and applied research.

The series will appeal to urbanists, geographers, planners, engineers, architects, policy makers, and to all of those interested in a wide-ranging overview of contemporary urban studies and innovations in the field. It accepts monographs, edited volumes and textbooks.

Indexed by Scopus.

Eugenio Arbizzani · Eliana Cangelli ·
Carola Clemente · Fabrizio Cumo ·
Francesca Giofrè · Anna Maria Giovenale ·
Massimo Palme · Spartaco Paris
Editors

Technological Imagination in the Green and Digital Transition

Editors

Eugenio Arbizzani
Dipartimento di Architettura e Progetto
Sapienza University of Rome
Rome, Italy

Eliana Cangelli
Dipartimento di Architettura e Progetto
Sapienza University of Rome
Rome, Italy

Carola Clemente
Dipartimento di Architettura e Progetto
Sapienza University of Rome
Rome, Italy

Fabrizio Cumo
Dipartimento Pianificazione, Design,
Tecnologia dell'Architettura
Sapienza University of Rome
Rome, Italy

Francesca Giofrè
Dipartimento di Architettura e Progetto
Sapienza University of Rome
Rome, Italy

Anna Maria Giovenale
Dipartimento di Architettura e Progetto
Sapienza University of Rome
Rome, Italy

Massimo Palme
Departamento de Arquitectura
Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María
Antofagasta, Chile

Spartaco Paris
Dipartimento di Ingegneria Strutturale e
Geotecnica
Sapienza University of Rome
Rome, Italy



ISSN 2365-757X

ISSN 2365-7588 (electronic)

The Urban Book Series

ISBN 978-3-031-29514-0

ISBN 978-3-031-29515-7 (eBook)

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-29515-7>

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2023. This book is an open access publication.

Open Access This book is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this book are included in the book's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the book's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Committee

Sapienza University of Rome

DIAP—Department of Architecture and Design

LAB.ITECH—Laboratory of Architecture, Building Innovation and Technology,
Environment and Climate Changes, Health

Fondazione Roma Sapienza

International Scientific Committee

David Allison, Clemson University, South Carolina, USA

Ruzica Bozovic-Stamenovic, National University of Singapore, China

Federico Butera, Polytechnic University of Milan, Italy

Orazio Carpenzano, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy

Ljiljana Dukanović, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Peter Droege, University of Liechtenstein, Liechtenstein

Boyan Georgiev, UAGEC-Department of Tecnology, Bulgaria

Anna Maria Giovenale, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy

Mario Losasso, University of Naples Federico II, Italy

Robinson Manguro, Kirinyaga University, Kenya

Saverio Mecca, University of Florence, Italy

Mario Morcellini, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy

Iva Muraj, Faculty of Architecture, University of Zagreb, Croatia

Silvia Naldini, Delft University of Technology, Netherland

Roberto Pagani, Polytechnic University of Turin, Italy

Massimo Palme, Federico Santa Maria Technical University, Valparaiso, Chile

Mario Raul Ramirez de Leon, University of San Carlos Guatemala, USAC,
Guatemala

Fabrizio Schiaffonati, Polytechnic University of Milan, Italy

Markus Schwai, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway

Begoña Serrano Lanzarote, Polytechnic University of Valencia, Spain

Wei Xing Shi, Tongji University, China
Belinda Tato, Harvard Graduate School of Design, USA

Scientific Coordination Committee

Eugenio Arbizzani, Sapienza University of Rome
Rosalba Belibani, Sapienza University of Rome
Eliana Cangelli, Sapienza University of Rome
Carola Clemente, Sapienza University of Rome
Fabrizio Cumo, Sapienza University of Rome
Alfonso Giancotti, Sapienza University of Rome
Francesca Giofr , Sapienza University of Rome
Spartaco Paris, Sapienza University of Rome

Organizing Committee

Anna Mangiatordi, Sapienza University of Rome
Elisa Pennacchia, Sapienza University of Rome
Virginia Adele Tiburcio, Sapienza University of Rome

Editorial coordination

Eugenio Arbizzani, Sapienza University of Rome
Anna Mangiatordi, Sapienza University of Rome
Mariangela Zagaria, Sapienza University of Rome

Acknowledgments

Thanks to:

The Magnificent Rector of the Sapienza University of Rome, Prof. Antonella Polimeni, and to the President of Foundation of the Sapienza University, Professor Eugenio Gaudio;

Dr. Antonio Parenti, Head of the European Commission's Representation in Italy, to Prof. Mario Losasso, President of the Italian Society of Architectural Technology, and to Prof. Orazio Carpenzano, Dean of Faculty of Architecture of the Sapienza University of Roma;

All the patrons of the conference: the Ministry of Ecological Transition; the European Commission; the Italian Society of Architectural Technology; the European Association for Architectural Education; Eurosolar; Healthy Urban Environment;

The Sponsors: CEFMECTP, the Joint Body for Construction Training and Safety of the City and Province of Rome; the Construction Pension Fund of the City and Province of Rome;

The Scientific Committee, all the reviewers and the Organizing Committee.

Contents

| | | |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------|
| 1 | From a Liquid Society, Through Technological Imagination, to Beyond the Knowledge Society | 1 |
| | Anna Maria Giovenale | |
| 2 | Opening Lecture: Digital Spaces and the Material Culture | 11 |
| | Pietro Montani | |
| Part I Session Innovation | | |
| 3 | Innovation for the Digitization Process of the AECO Sector | 21 |
| | Fabrizio Cumo | |
| 4 | The Digital Revolution and the Art of Co-creation | 27 |
| | Maurizio Talamo | |
| 5 | Toward a New Humanism of Technological Innovation in Design of the Built Environment | 37 |
| | Spartaco Paris | |
| 6 | A BIM-Based Approach to Energy Analysis of Existing Buildings in the Italian Context | 47 |
| | Marco Morini, Francesca Caffari, Nicolandrea Calabrese, and Giulia Centi | |
| 7 | Short-Term Wind Speed Forecasting Model Using Hybrid Neural Networks and Wavelet Packet Decomposition | 57 |
| | Adel Lakzadeh, Mohammad Hassani, Azim Heydari, Farshid Keynia, Daniele Groppi, and Davide Astiaso Garcia | |
| 8 | COGNIBUILD: Cognitive Digital Twin Framework for Advanced Building Management and Predictive Maintenance | 69 |
| | Sofia Agostinelli | |

| | | |
|-----------|---|------------|
| 9 | Design of CCHP System with the Help of Combined Chiller System, Solar Energy, and Gas Microturbine | 79 |
| | Samaneh Safaei, Farshid Keynia, Sam Haghdady, Azim Heydari, and Mario Lamagna | |
| 10 | Digital Construction and Management the Public's Infrastructures | 93 |
| | Giuseppe Orsini and Giuseppe Piras | |
| 11 | An Innovative Multi-objective Optimization Digital Workflow for Social Housing Deep Energy Renovation Design Process | 111 |
| | Adriana Ciardiello, Jacopo Dell'Olmo, Federica Rosso, Lorenzo Mario Pastore, Marco Ferrero, and Ferdinando Salata | |
| 12 | Digital Information Management in the Built Environment: Data-Driven Approaches for Building Process Optimization | 123 |
| | Francesco Muzi, Riccardo Marzo, and Francesco Nardi | |
| 13 | Immersive Facility Management—A Methodological Approach Based on BIM and Mixed Reality for Training and Maintenance Operations | 133 |
| | Sofia Agostinelli and Benedetto Nastasi | |
| 14 | A Digital Information Model for Coastal Maintenance and Waterfront Recovery | 145 |
| | Francesca Ciampa | |
| 15 | Sustainable Workplace: Space Planning Model to Optimize Environmental Impact | 157 |
| | Alice Paola Pomè, Chiara Tagliaro, and Andrea Ciaramella | |
| 16 | Digital Twin Models Supporting Cognitive Buildings for Ambient Assisted Living | 167 |
| | Alessandra Corneli, Leonardo Binni, Berardo Naticchia, and Massimo Vaccarini | |
| 17 | Less Automation More Information: A Learning Tool for a Post-occupancy Operation and Evaluation | 179 |
| | Chiara Tonelli, Barbara Cardone, Roberto D'Autilia, and Giuliana Nardi | |
| 18 | A Prosumer Approach for Feeding the Digital Twin. Testing the MUST Application in the Old Harbour Waterfront of Genoa | 193 |
| | Serena Viola, Antonio Novellino, Alberto Zinno, and Marco Di Ludovico | |

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----|
| 19 | Untapping the Potential of the Digital Towards the Green Imperative: The Interdisciplinary BeXLab Experience | 203 |
| | Gisella Calcagno, Antonella Trombadore, Giacomo Pierucci, and Lucia Montoni | |
| 20 | Digital—Twin for an Innovative Waterfront Management Strategy. Pilot Project DSH2030 | 217 |
| | Maria Giovanna Pacifico, Maria Rita Pinto, and Antonio Novellino | |
| 21 | BIM and BPMN 2.0 Integration for Interoperability Challenge in Construction Industry | 227 |
| | Hosam Al-Siah and Antonio Fioravanti | |
| 22 | Digital Twin Approach for Maintenance Management | 237 |
| | Massimo Lauria and Maria Azzalin | |
| 23 | Digital Infrastructure for Student Accommodation in European University Cities: The “HOME” Project | 247 |
| | Oscar Eugenio Bellini, Matteo Gambaro, Maria Teresa Gullace, Marianna Arcieri, Carla Álvarez Benito, Sabri Ben Rommane, Steven Boon, and Maria F. Figueira | |
| Part II Session Technology | | |
| 24 | Technologies for the Construction of Buildings and Cities of the Near Future | 263 |
| | Eugenio Arbizzani | |
| 25 | The Living Lab for Autonomous Driving as Applied Research of MaaS Models in the Smart City: The Case Study of MASA—Modena Automotive Smart Area | 273 |
| | Francesco Leali and Francesco Pasquale | |
| 26 | Expanding the Wave of Smartness: Smart Buildings, Another Frontier of the Digital Revolution | 285 |
| | Valentina Frighi | |
| 27 | Sharing Innovation. The Acceptability of Off-site Industrialized Systems for Housing | 295 |
| | Gianluca Pozzi, Giulia Vignati, and Elisabetta Ginelli | |
| 28 | 3D Printing for Housing. Recurring Architectural Themes | 309 |
| | Giulio Paparella and Maura Percoco | |
| 29 | Photovoltaic Breakthrough in Architecture: Integration and Innovation Best Practice | 321 |
| | Guido Callegari, Eleonora Merolla, and Paolo Simeone | |

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-----|
| 30 | Reworking Studio Design Education Driven by 3D Printing Technologies | 335 |
| | Jelena Milošević, Aleksandra Nenadović, Maša Žujović, Marko Gavrilović, and Milijana Živković | |
| 31 | The New Technological Paradigm in the Post-digital Era. Three Convergent Paths Between Creative Action and Computational Tools | 345 |
| | Roberto Bianchi | |
| 32 | Technological Innovation for Circularity and Sustainability Throughout Building Life Cycle: Policy, Initiatives, and Stakeholders' Perspective | 357 |
| | Serena Giorgi | |
| 33 | Fair Play: Why Reliable Data for Low-Tech Construction and Non-conventional Materials Are Needed | 367 |
| | Redina Mazelli, Martina Bocci, Arthur Bohn, Edwin Zea Escamilla, Guillaume Habert, and Andrea Bocco | |
| Part III Session Environment | | |
| 34 | Technological Innovation for the Next Ecosystem Transition: From a High-Tech to Low-Tech Intensity—High Efficiency Environment | 383 |
| | Carola Clemente | |
| 35 | Technological Imagination to Stay Within Planetary Boundaries | 391 |
| | Massimo Palme | |
| 36 | Quality-Based Design for Environmentally Conscious Architecture | 399 |
| | Helena Coch Roura and Pablo Garrido Torres | |
| 37 | Digital Transformation Projects for the Future Digicircular Society | 403 |
| | Irene Fiesoli | |
| 38 | The Regulatory Apparatus at the Service of Sustainable Planning of the Built Environment: The Case of Law 338/2000 ... | 417 |
| | Claudio Piferi | |
| 39 | From Nature to Architecture for Low Tech Solutions: Biomimetic Principles for Climate-Adaptive Building Envelope ... | 429 |
| | Francesco Sommese and Gigliola Ausiello | |
| 40 | Soft Technologies for the Circular Transition: Practical Experimentation of the Product “Material Passport” | 439 |
| | Tecla Caroli | |

| | | |
|--|---|------------|
| 41 | Imagining a Carbon Neutral University | 449 |
| | Antonella Violano and Monica Cannaviello | |
| 42 | Life Cycle Assessment at the Early Stage of Building Design | 461 |
| | Anna Dalla Valle | |
| 43 | Design Scenarios for a Circular Vision of Post-disaster Temporary Settlements | 471 |
| | Maria Vittoria Arnetoli and Roberto Bologna | |
| 44 | Towards Climate Neutrality: Progressing Key Actions for Positive Energy Districts Implementation | 483 |
| | Rosa Romano, Maria Beatrice Andreucci, and Emanuela Giancola | |
| 45 | Remanufacturing Towards Circularity in the Construction Sector: The Role of Digital Technologies | 493 |
| | Nazly Atta | |
| 46 | Territorial Energy Potential for Energy Community and Climate Mitigation Actions: Experimentation on Pilot Cases in Rome | 505 |
| | Paola Marrone and Ilaria Montella | |
| 47 | Integrated Design Approach to Build a Safe and Sustainable Dual Intended Use Center in Praslin Island, Seychelles | 523 |
| | Vincenzo Gattulli, Elisabetta Palumbo, and Carlo Vannini | |
| Part IV Session Climate Changes | | |
| 48 | Climate Change: New Ways to Inhabit the Earth | 537 |
| | Eliana Cangelli | |
| 49 | The Climate Report Informing the Response to Climate Change in Urban Development | 547 |
| | Anna Pirani | |
| 50 | The Urban Riverfront Greenway: A Linear Attractor for Sustainable Urban Development | 557 |
| | Luciana Mastrodonardo | |
| 51 | The Buildings Reuse for a Music District Aimed at a Sustainable Urban Development | 567 |
| | Donatella Radogna | |
| 52 | Environmental Design for a Sustainable District and Civic Hub | 577 |
| | Elena Mussinelli, Andrea Tartaglia, and Giovanni Castaldo | |

| | | |
|-----------|--|------------|
| 53 | Earth Observation Technologies for Mitigating Urban Climate Changes | 589 |
| | Federico Cinquepalmi and Giuseppe Piras | |
| 54 | A Systematic Catalogue of Design Solutions for the Regeneration of Urban Environment Contrasting the Climate Change Impact | 601 |
| | Roberto Bologna and Giulio Hasanaj | |
| 55 | Digital Twins for Climate-Neutral and Resilient Cities. State of the Art and Future Development as Tools to Support Urban Decision-Making | 617 |
| | Guglielmo Ricciardi and Guido Callegari | |
| 56 | The Urban Potential of Multifamily Housing Renovation | 627 |
| | Laura Daglio | |
| 57 | A “Stepping Stone” Approach to Exploiting Urban Density | 639 |
| | Raffaella De Martino, Rossella Franchino, and Caterina Frettoloso | |
| 58 | Metropolitan Farms: Long Term Agri-Food Systems for Sustainable Urban Landscapes | 649 |
| | Giancarlo Paganin, Filippo Orsini, Marco Migliore, Konstantinos Venis, and Matteo Poli | |
| 59 | Resilient Design for Outdoor Sports Infrastructure | 659 |
| | Silvia Battaglia, Marta Cognigni, and Maria Pilar Vettori | |
| 60 | Sustainable Reuse Indicators for Ecclesiastic Built Heritage Regeneration | 669 |
| | Maria Rita Pinto, Martina Bosone, and Francesca Ciampa | |
| 61 | A Green Technological Rehabilitation of the Built Environment. From Public Residential Estates to Eco-Districts ... | 683 |
| | Lidia Errante | |
| 62 | Adaptive Building Technologies for Building Envelopes Under Climate Change Conditions | 695 |
| | Martino Milardi | |
| 63 | The Importance of Testing Activities for a “New” Generation of Building Envelope | 703 |
| | Martino Milardi, Evelyn Grillo, and Mariateresa Mandaglio | |
| 64 | Data Visualization and Web-Based Mapping for SGDs and Adaptation to Climate Change in the Urban Environment ... | 715 |
| | Maria Canepa, Adriano Magliocco, and Nicola Pisani | |
| 65 | Fog Water Harvesting Through Smart Façade for a Climate Resilient Built Environment | 725 |
| | Maria Giovanna Di Bitonto, Alara Kutlu, and Alessandra Zanelli | |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 66 Building Façade Retrofit: A Comparison Between Current Methodologies and Innovative Membranes Strategies for Overcoming the Existing Retrofit Constraints | 735 |
| Giulia Procaccini and Carol Monticelli | |
| 67 Technologies and Solutions for Collaborative Processes in Mutating Cities | 745 |
| Daniele Fanzini, Irina Rotaru, and Nour Zreika | |
| 68 New Perspectives for the Building Heritage in Depopulated Areas: A Methodological Approach for Evaluating Sustainable Reuse and Upcycling Strategies | 757 |
| Antonello Monsù Scolaro, Stefania De Medici, Salvatore Giuffrida, Maria Rosa Trovato, Cheren Cappello, Ludovica Nasca, and Fuat Emre Kaya | |
| 69 Climate Adaptation in Urban Regeneration: A Cross-Scale Digital Design Workflow | 769 |
| Michele Morganti and Diletta Ricci | |
| 70 Adaptive “Velari” | 783 |
| Alberto Raimondi and Laura Rosini | |
| 71 Temporary Climate Change Adaptation: 5 Measures for Outdoor Spaces of the Mid-Adriatic City | 801 |
| Timothy Daniel Brownlee | |
| 72 A Serious Game Proposal for Exploring and Designing Urban Sustainability | 811 |
| Manuela Romano and Alessandro Rogora | |
| 73 Energy Efficiency Improvement in Industrial Brownfield Heritage Buildings: Case Study of “Beko” | 821 |
| Jelena Pavlović, Ana Šabanović, and Nataša Ćuković-Ignjatović | |
| 74 Industrial Heritage of Belgrade: Brownfield Sites Revitalization Status, Potentials and Opportunities Missed | 831 |
| Jelena Pavlović, Ana Šabanović, and Nataša Ćuković-Ignjatović | |
| 75 Challenges and Potentials of Green Roof Retrofit: A Case Study | 843 |
| Nikola Miletić, Bojana Zeković, Nataša Ćuković Ignjatović, and Dušan Ignjatović | |
| 76 Designing with Nature Climate-Resilient Cities: A Lesson from Copenhagen | 853 |
| Maicol Negrello | |

| | | |
|--------------------------------|---|------------|
| 77 | New Urban Centralities: Universities as a Paradigm for a Sustainable City | 863 |
| | Camilla Maitan and Emilio Faroldi | |
| Part V Session Health | | |
| 78 | Environment for Healthy Living | 875 |
| | Francesca Giofrè | |
| 79 | New Paradigms for Indoor Healthy Living | 883 |
| | Alberto De Capua | |
| 80 | Healthy and Empowering Life in Schoolyards. The Case of Dante Alighieri School in Milan | 893 |
| | Valentina Dessì, Maria Fianchini, Franca Zuccoli, Raffaella Colombo, and Noemi Morrone | |
| 81 | Design for Emergency: Inclusive Housing Solution | 907 |
| | Francesca Giglio and Sara Sansotta | |
| 82 | Environmental Sensing and Simulation for Healthy Districts: A Comparison Between Field Measurements and CFD Model | 921 |
| | Matteo Giovanardi, Matteo Trane, and Riccardo Pollo | |
| 83 | A Synthesis Paradigm as a Way of Bringing Back to Life the Artistic Monuments Inspired by the Motives of the People’s Liberation Struggle and Revolution of Yugoslavia | 935 |
| | Meri Batakoja and Tihana Hrastar | |
| 84 | Social Sustainability and Inclusive Environments in Neighbourhood Sustainability Assessment Tools | 947 |
| | Rosaria Revellini | |
| 85 | Inclusive Neighborhoods in a Healthy City: Walkability Assessment and Guidance in Rome | 959 |
| | Mohamed Eledeisy | |
| 86 | Tools and Strategies for Health Promotion in Urban Context: Technology and Innovation for Enhancing Parish Ecclesiastical Heritage Through Sport and Inclusion | 969 |
| | Francesca Daprà, Davide Allegri, and Erica Isa Mosca | |
| 87 | Nursing Homes During COVID-19 Pandemic—A Systematic Literature Review for COVID-19 Proof Architecture Design Strategies | 981 |
| | Silvia Mangili, Tianzhi Sun, and Alexander Achille Johnson | |

| | | |
|-----------|---|-------------|
| 88 | A New Generation of Territorial Healthcare Infrastructures After COVID-19. The Transition to Community Homes and Community Hospitals into the Framework of the Italian Recovery Plan | 991 |
| | Andrea Brambilla, Erica Brusamolin, Stefano Arruzzoli, and Stefano Capolongo | |
| 89 | Wood Snoezelen. Multisensory Wooden Environments for the Care and Rehabilitation of People with Severe and Very Severe Cognitive Disabilities | 1003 |
| | Agata Tonetti and Massimo Rossetti | |
| 90 | The Proximity of Urban Green Spaces as Urban Health Strategy to Promote Active, Inclusive and Salutogenic Cities | 1017 |
| | Maddalena Buffoli and Andrea Rebecchi | |
| 91 | Environmental Attributes for Healthcare Professional's Well-Being | 1029 |
| | Zakia Hammouni and Walter Wittich | |

Part III

Session | Environment

Technological innovation has long been the driving force of the material progress of civilizations, improving health, safety, and quality of life while creating more closely connected, well-integrated communities; advanced technologies have identified more efficient sources of energy, but also led to extreme growth in the consumption of the resources needed for their maintenance.

A new balance between development and the ecosystem calls for a revision of the drivers of innovation, in terms of their efficiency in transforming the anthropogenic environment and narrowing the great divides (of wealth, health and technology). The sustainability and decarbonization of all production sectors is based on soft skills applied to governing processes and optimizing skills and technologies, so as to leave behind an anthropic ecosystem of high technological intensity for a managed environment of low intensity and high efficiency. At the frontier of innovation, technology's impact is reduced and reformulated, with an emphasis on intangible resources and planning capabilities for transforming the built environment.

The session is designed as a forum for discussing R&D models and planning strategies for a low-tech environment, with advanced integration of carbon-neutral buildings/plants, so to revive the built environment through a low-intensity, high-efficiency approach to energy and environment concerns. Particular attention will be placed on contexts of energy and economic poverty in which the digital and technological divides are barriers to development and inclusion.

Chapter 34

Technological Innovation for the Next Ecosystem Transition: From a High-Tech to Low-Tech Intensity—High Efficiency Environment



Carola Clemente

Abstract Technological innovation is the driver of the progress of the material culture of human civilization. A new balance between development and ecosystem requires the revision of the innovation drivers, in terms of efficiency and transformations of the anthropic environment to reduce the great divides. Sustainability and decarbonization of all production sectors are based on process management skills and optimization of technical knowledge and technologies, to move from a highly technological anthropic ecosystem to a low intensity and high efficiency managed environment. The frontier of innovation is marked by the reduction of the impact of technology and its remodelling, enhancing intangible resources and the design abilities of transformation of the built environment. It is therefore urgent to focus on the R&D models and project strategies for a low-tech environment and highly advanced carbon neutral building/plant integration, the regeneration policies of the built environment with low intensity and high energy and environmental efficiency, with the aim the recovery and inclusion of marginal contexts of energy poverty and economic, where digital and technology divide represent barriers to development and inclusion. The traumatic awareness of the material “limit” of the availability of resources involves a paradigm shift in the global system of the supply chain and resource management on which we have based the development of the “technosphere” and perhaps represents the definitive culture shock necessary to redefine a new relationship between man and the environment.

Keywords Anthropocene · Environment · Great divides · Ecological transition · Technological ecosystems

C. Clemente (✉)
Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy
e-mail: carola.clemente@uniroma1.it

34.1 Complexity of Transition Scenarios

Technological innovation is the engine of the progress for the material culture of civilizations. It has improved health, security, and quality of life, and created more connected and integrated communities. However, while evolved technologies have identified more efficient energy sources, they have led to an extreme growth of the consumption of the resources needed to maintain them (Ziman 2003).

The intensity of human activity has so conditioned our planet's life over the centuries that the quantity of its manmade, artificial matter has equalled and exceeded that of the natural matter present on the globe.

Ecology has defined the portion of the physical environment created and organized as a result of human activity in the sphere of urban settlements and connected structures as the anthroposphere (Kuhn and Heckelei 2010); by extension, this term is used to indicate the set of humans and their artefacts which, beyond the transformations of the territory, also includes all environment phenomena, whether intentionally or unintentionally caused, such as pollution or developed technologies more generally, to which some studies apply the term "technosphere" (Zalasiewicz et al. 2017a).

Environment is therefore the landscape of all the systemic conflicts of this development, which is only erroneously limited in time to the first Industrial Revolution (Zalasiewicz et al. 2017b, 2017c).

What is clear is that the environment, intended as the complex physical, chemical, and biological system in which all living matter evolves, is conditioned on a local and global scale by the effects of human action, and that the intensity of this action has grown exponentially since the eighteenth century, the moment when humans' impact on ecosystems progressively increased along with world population growth, producing substantial alternations of the natural, eco-systemic equilibria (Haff 2016, 2017).

The power of the human phenomenon over the environment has been of such scope and intensity that Paul Crutzen (Crutzen and Stoermer 2000; Crutzen 2002) has defined this latest historical phase as tantamount to a geological epoch, using the term Anthropocene (Crutzen 2006, 2021).

The opening to discussion created by this session does not expect to find a solution to the evident imbalance that exists between human development and the conservation and restoration of the biosphere, but the aim has been to understand, through the research experiences we have gathered, whether there is hope for relating the demands of development, well-being, and security with the health of our host environment, or whether our disciplines are working on defensive positions, without in the least questioning the established dynamics of development of the sector of construction and of transformation of the territory.

Federico Butera recently expressed a highly critical thought on one of the pillars of current technological and economic life—the circular economy—where it is used to a distortive effect to continue to generate new material and new products only because one day they may be recycled and reused (Butera 2021). The real paradigm shift lies in

ceasing to produce certain families of materials above all, except under the condition of continuing to produce them exclusively from secondary raw materials—that is, recycled material.

This principle also holds for the technological innovation connected to all the apparatus of systems necessary—or held to be necessary—for our well-being and security; it is in fact indispensable to determine how ready and willing we are to truly change established ways of designing and managing our buildings, and how willing we are to detach ourselves from devices, even those deemed reliable and efficient, but that are now clearly anti-historical. We must therefore determine when we will contemplate abandoning the traditional systems of the environmental management of our buildings so as finally to achieve an effectively integrated planning commensurate with our actual needs, leaving behind the principle of “redundancy” looked to by much of mainstream planning, and to definitively embrace a principle of “adequacy”.

Integrated technological planning of the building/installations/urban surroundings system allows the quality of the indoor environment and the quality induced by our building on the outdoor environment to be conceived in a way suited to the needs of use. Only in this way can the material impact of producing the building be reduced: not by remodulating our demand, but by effectively optimizing the material response offered by the built environment.

An environment of low technological intensity does not imply a low-tech approach to realizing it, typical of a demand for more or less successful degrowth, but the use of high-precision planning technologies to carry out interventions with high added value in environmental terms.

The frontier of innovation is marked by technology’s reduced impact and by its remodulation, capitalizing on intangible resources and the design capacities for transforming the built environment.

A new balance between development and ecosystem requires revising the drivers of innovation, in terms of efficiency of the transformations of the manmade environment and of closing the “great divides” (Wealth, Health and Technology) (Stiglitz 2016), which are more and more dramatically clear and dangerous for the geopolitical as well as socio-demographic balance of many areas of the planet (Information Resources Management Association 2020).

The fast growth of human technological development has in fact never permitted the egalitarian redistribution of its benefits throughout the planet’s social and geo-demographic stratification but has instead widened the divide: locally among the various social groups and globally among geographically or culturally distant communities (Barca and Lorefice 2021).

The key for human activities to coexist with the environment, then, lies not in perfecting technology as relates to its performance, but in reducing its impact or in diminishing its use—and, as a last resort, in reducing the consumption of resources.

This session would aim to set the boundaries of a new technological and constructive imagination, for a path of innovation oriented towards increasingly low-impact/high-value technologies. This is also to be achieved using precision components for increasingly ZEB—Zero Energy Buildings in order to maintain the management of a **low-tech environment**, possibly capable of autonomously healing

the injuries suffered over time, in which technology is not required to go about correcting the distortions produced by human activities.

The new technological imagination needed to achieve the objectives of sustainability and decarbonization of all production sectors requires not only high-value/low-impact technologies but also the formation of soft skills governing the processes and the optimization of competences and technologies, in order to transition from a manmade ecosystem with high technological intensity to an environment managed with low intensity and high efficiency.

34.2 Research Outcomes and Contributions

This session compares R&D models and design strategies for a low-tech environment and advanced, carbon neutral building/plant integration, and policies to regenerate the built environment with low intensity and high environmental and energy efficiency.

This first meeting allowed a variety of research experiences to be compared, which dealt with the complexity of managing the built environment's transformation processes, while examining the issue's various scales, from the urban to that of the building component and of managing the materials.

On the urban scale, certainly of particular interest are the issues of Energy Communities (Paola Marrone and Ilaria Montella—Roma Tre) and of PED—Positive Energy Districts and Neighbourhoods for Sustainable Urban Development (Rosa Romano—University of Florence, Emanuela Giancola—2UiE3—CIEMAT, and Maria Beatrice Andreucci—Sapienza University of Rome). They have also highlighted the importance that the interconnection of every urban planning and construction choice has for the proper commensuration of needs to be met and services to be distributed on the territories.

The issue of the technical policies to guide the process of technical programming and of planning is dealt with in the papers by Claudio Piferi (University of Florence) and Anna Dalla Valle (Polytechnic University of Milan)—two very different contributions, both examining the initial phases by process. The former reconstructs the history and evolution of the leading, multi-year programme for financing university residential construction, a strong example of long-term technical planning that has proved able to evolve in a mature and aware manner, also by learning from its own critical areas, thus bringing about real progress in the technical, environmental, and functional quality of the buildings constructed in the context of that programme. The latter provides an interesting account of the issue of LCA at the initial levels of the construction programming process, as a preliminary form of assessment of the building's technical feasibility.

The paper by Antonella Violano and Monica Cannaviello (University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli) sets out an example of an experience of integrated management of a public service and of its stock of carbon neutral instruments.

Resilience and emergency are two faces of the fragility of environmental equilibria; on these issues, the paper by Vincenzo Gattulli (Sapienza University of Rome)

with Elisabetta Palumbo (Bergamo University) deals with the issues of the resilience of human settlements in one of the most fragile and at-risk environments in the western region of the Indian Ocean, while the paper by Maria Vittoria Arnetoli and Roberto Bologna (DIDA—Department of Architecture, University of Florence) deals innovatively with the issue of post-disaster temporary emergency settlements in terms of impact and of actual circularity of their management.

The session also included numerous presentations that may be framed within the two future projections of the environmental management of constructions, which is to say the sector's digital and green transition; it is highly interesting that a large portion of these papers originates from doctoral research, with young PhD candidates therefore becoming interpreters of the more innovative thrusts within the sector (cf. Irene Fiesoli, University of Florence; Francesco Sommese and Gigliola Ausiello—University of Naples Federico II; Tecla Caroli—Polytechnic University of Milan; Nazly Atta (Polytechnic University of Milan).

The researchers who chose to share their work effectively covered all this session's topics; the only regret is that no deeper analysis has been offered as relates to contexts of energy and economic insecurity, in which the digital and technology divides present fundamental barriers to development, especially at a time like the one we are living in, which might further worsen many people's inability to meet their own energy needs.

34.3 Conclusions

Representing one of the largest economic systems and markets, the European Union has always aimed to play a driving role in supranational environmental strategies. The 8th EU Environmental Action Programme of 2020 (European Parliament and of the Council 2022), which guides European environmental policy until 2030 within the framework of the long-term strategy to 2050, intends to speed the transition towards a climate-neutral economy, an economy efficient from the standpoint of managing resources, that aims to be “regenerative”—which is to say able to restore to the planet more than it has taken from it.

All the documents shared on an international level (UE and UN) recognize that the well-being and quality of life of human beings depend on the health of the ecosystems in which we operate.

The European Green Deal (European Commission 2019) sets six priority goals:

- to achieve the goal of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 2030 and climate neutrality by 2050;
- to strengthen the capacity for adaptation, strengthen resilience, and reduce vulnerability to climate change;
- to progress towards a regenerative growth model, by dissociating economic growth from the use of resources and from environmental degradation, and speeding the transition towards a circular economy;

- to pursue the goal of “zero pollution”, comprising air, water, and soil pollution, and to protect the health and well-being of Europe’s citizens;
- to protect, preserve, and restore biodiversity and strengthen natural capital—in particularly the air, water, soil, and forests, freshwater, wetlands, and marine ecosystems;
- to reduce the environmental and climate pressures connected to production and consumption (particularly in the sectors of energy, industrial development, construction and infrastructure, mobility, and the food system).

Unlike the UN’s 2030 Agenda which has a global vision more conditioned by the weight of the global south, this document has been written with a view to the European setting, marked certainly by a situation of economic crisis, but just as certainly not comparable with the extraordinary historic phase we have been experiencing in recent years. First the pandemic, followed by the geopolitical clash that is destabilizing the entire continental economy and a large portion of the systems economically interconnected with our own, raise important questions to be answered, and have at last brought to the general attention the backwardness of the implementation of environmental and energy transition policies that scientifically, for the entire community, no longer hold any secrets and are instead still quite far from being actually operative and metabolized by the “market”. Precisely when our scientific community met for this conference, the European Council adopted general guidelines on reductions of emissions and on their social impact through the implementation of the package of measures termed “Fit for 55” (European Commission 2021) which is to say to achieve, as an intermediate result, the EU’s target of reducing net greenhouse emissions by at least 55% by 2030. The proposed package is instrumental for aligning the regulations of the community and of the Member States with a view to the 2030 deadlines, which is to say that a consistent, balanced framework and a new Social Climate Fund for achieving the EU’s climate targets is to be provided (Council of the European Union 2022), able to:

- guarantee a socially fair and proper transition;
- maintain and strengthen the innovation and competitiveness of the EU’s industry while at the same time insuring conditions of parity for economic operators in third countries;
- support the EU’s leading position in the global fight against climate change.

The urgency of achieving the Green Deal’s goals, during a setting of crisis linked to a global economic situation rapidly evolving after the events of 2022, has clearly linked environmental demands with the social equity of the increasingly indispensable ecological and energy transition, in order to keep it from generating new poverties and phenomena of social de-cohesion depriving of the benefits of the ecological transition precisely those layers of the population that will suffer most from the greater costs expected for energy procurement in the near future.

Will it be the war economy to allow us to discover the unsustainable costs of traditional energy sources?

Will it be the scarcity of valuable resources to remind us that the first kilowatt earned is the one not consumed? Will it drive home that the whole quantity of devices we will be forced to cast off will have to be the new mines from which to extract those metals, rare earths, and special alloys that we have discovered originate from once-productive scenarios that are now scenarios of war that can no longer be drawn from?

Anyone who has done research over these past twenty years on the environmental and energy efficiency of the construction sector has seen many developed designs break apart against the ruthless comparison between the high “cost of efficiency” and the low-cost availability of energy and of many raw materials. This has always led to the belief that investing in reducing energy consumption and in diminishing the material footprint of construction was unaffordable.

The sudden unavailability of certain routes for the supply of raw materials, including those produced for decades at an incalculable environmental cost, and the need to differentiate the sources of energy procurement, at last risks creating that culture shock, on top of the economic one, that might allow the construction industry as well to find justification once again in developing efficient and low-impact—and perhaps actually regenerative—solutions as required of us by the **Green Deal**. This will be done by seeking to give back to the planet more than what is taken from it but also by interrupting the taking of many of the natural resources currently deemed still preferable to regenerated ones.

In this phase, so particular as it is, discussion sessions like this one are important for consolidating, beyond the scientific assumption, an increasingly solid awareness of the urgency of implementing, on the territory and in the market of technical operators and above all of public stakeholders, the result of these research efforts through their engineering and industrial development, in order to contribute towards building real energy and environmental communities that are collaborative and regenerative towards the host environment.

References

- Barca F, Loreface F (2021) *Disuguaglianze conflitto sviluppo*. Donzelli Editore
- Butera F (2021) *Affrontare la complessità. Per governare la transizione ecologica*. Edizioni Ambiente, Italia
- Council of the European Union (2022) Interinstitutional File: 2021/0206 (COD)—ST 10775/22—‘Fit for 55’ package—proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a Social Climate Fund—General approach, Brussels. <https://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/ST-10775-2022-INIT/en/pdf>
- Crutzen PJ (2002) The “Anthropocene”. *J Phys IV Fr* 12:10–11. <https://doi.org/10.1051/jp4:20020447>
- Crutzen PJ (2006) The “Anthropocene”. In: Ehlers E, Krafft T (eds) *Earth system science in the Anthropocene*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-26590-2_3
- Crutzen PJ (2021) The “Anthropocene” (2002). In: Benner S, Lax G, Crutzen PJ, Pöschl U, Lelieveld J, Brauch HG (eds) *Paul J. Crutzen and the Anthropocene: a new epoch in earth’s history. The Anthropocene: politik—economics—society—science*, vol 1. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-82202-6_4

- Crutzen PJ, Stoermer EF (2000) The Anthropocene. In: IGBP newsletter, vol 41, pp 17–18. <http://www.igbp.net/download/18.316f18321323470177580001401/1376383088452/NL41.pdf>
- European Commission (2019) Communication from the commission—the European green deal. COM(2019) 640 final Brussels. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A52019DC0640&qid=1666537322699>
- European Commission (2021) Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions ‘Fit for 55’: delivering the EU’s 2030 climate target on the way to climate neutrality, COM(2021) 550 final, Brussels. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A52021DC0550&qid=1666535452461>
- European Parliament and of the Council (2022) Decision (EI) 2022/591 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 April 2022 on a General Union Environment Action Programme to 2030. Official J Eur Union L 114 65:22–36. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32022D0591>
- Haff PK (2016) Purpose in the Anthropocene: dynamical role and physical basis. *Anthropocene* 16:54–60. ISSN: 2213–3054. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ancene.2016.07.002>
- Haff PK (2017) Being human in the Anthropocene. *Anthropocene Rev* 4(2):103–109. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053019617700875>
- Information Resources Management Association (2020) Wealth creation and poverty reduction: breakthroughs in research and practice. IGI Global
- Kuhn A, Heckelei T (2010) Anthroposphere. In: Speth P, Christoph M, Dieckrüger B (eds) *Impacts of global change on the hydrological cycle in West and Northwest Africa*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-12957-5_8
- Stiglitz JE (2016) *The great divide: unequal societies and what we can do about them*. Norton & Company
- Zalasiewicz J, Williams M, Waters CN, Barnosky AD, Palmesino J, Rönnskog AS, Edgeworth M et al. (2017a) Scale and diversity of the physical technosphere: a geological perspective. *Anthropocene Rev* 4(1):9–22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053019616677743>
- Zalasiewicz J, Waters CN, Wolfe AP, Barnosky AD, Cearreta A, Edgeworth M, Ellis EC et al. (2017b) Making the case for a formal Anthropocene epoch: an analysis of ongoing critiques. *Newsl Stratigr* 50(2):205–226. <https://doi.org/10.1127/nos/2017/0385>
- Zalasiewicz J, Waters CN, Summerhayes CP, Wolfe AP, Barnosky AD, Cearreta A, Crutzen P et al. (2017c) The working group on the Anthropocene: summary of evidence and interim recommendations. *Anthropocene* 19:55–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ancene.2017.09.001>
- Ziman J (ed) (2003) *Technological innovation as an evolutionary process*. Cambridge University Press

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

