Says Modern Composition Guido a main

ediled by Lawa De Giorg and Sofia Maziani



Sinica venetiana

Serie diretta da Tiziana Lippiello e Chen Xiaoming

10



Sinica venetiana

Direzione scientifica | Editors-in-chief

Tiziana Lippiello (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) **Chen Xiaoming** (Peking University, China)

Comitato scientifico | Advisory board

Chen Hongmin (Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China) Sean Golden (UAB Barcelona, España) Roger Greatrex (Lunds Universitet, Sverige) Jin Yongbing (Peking University, China) Olga Lomova (Univerzita Karlova v Praze, C´eská Republika) Michael Puett (Harvard University, Cambridge, USA) Tan Tian Yuan (SOAS, London, UK) Hans van Ess (LMU, München, Deutschland) Giuseppe Vignato (Peking University, China) Wang Keping (CASS, Beijing, China) Yamada Tatsuo (Keio University, Tokyo, Japan) Yang Zhu (Peking University, China)

Comitato editoriale | Editorial board

Magda Abbiati (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Attilio Andreini (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Giulia Baccini (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Bianca Basciano (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Daniele Brombal (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Renzo Cavalieri (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Marco Ceresa (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Laura De Giorgi (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Franco Gatti (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Federico Greselin (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Paolo Magagnin (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Tobia Maschio (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Federica Passi (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Nicoletta Pesaro (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Elena Pollacchi (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Sabrina Rastelli (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Guido Samarani (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Jacopo Scarin (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Guido Samarani (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Jacopo Scarin (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Italia) Guido Samarani (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Jacopo Scarin (Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, Italia) Italia)

Direzione e redazione | Head office

Dipartimento di Studi sull'Asia e sull'Africa Mediterranea Università Ca' Foscari Venezia Palazzo Vendramin dei Carmini Dorsoduro 3462 30123 Venezia Italia



e-ISSN 2610-9042 ISSN 2610-9654

Essays on Modern and Contemporary China in Honour of Guido Samarani

edited by Laura De Giorgi and Sofia Graziani

Venezia
Edizioni Ca' Foscari - Venice University Press
2023

The Historian's Gaze. Essays on Modern and Contemporary China in Honour of Guido Samarani edited by Laura De Giorgi and Sofia Graziani

© 2023 Laura De Giorgi, Sofia Graziani for the text © 2023 Edizioni Ca' Foscari for the present edition



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License Ouest'opera è distribuita con Licenza Creative Commons Attribuzione 4.0 Internazionale



Any part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means without permission provided that the source is fully credited.

Qualunque parte di questa pubblicazione può essere riprodotta, memorizzata in un sistema di recupero dati o trasmessa in qualsiasi forma o con qualsiasi mezzo, elettronico o meccanico, senza autorizzazione, a condizione che se ne citi la fonte.



Scientific certification of the works published by Edizioni Ca' Foscari: the essays have received a favourable evaluation by subject-matter experts, through a double-blind peer review process under the responsibility of the Advisory board of the series. The evaluations were conducted in adherence to the scientific and editorial criteria established by Edizioni Ca' Foscari, using a dedicated platform.

Certificazione scientifica delle Opere pubblicate da Edizioni Ca' Foscari: i saggi qui pubblicati hanno ottenuto il parere favorevole da parte di valutatori esperti della materia, attraverso un processo di double-blind peer review, sotto la responsabilità del Comitato scientifico della collana. La valutazione è stata condotta in aderenza ai criteri scientifici ed editoriali di Edizioni Ca' Foscari, ricorrendo all'utilizzo di apposita piattaforma.

Edizioni Ca' Foscari Fondazione Università Ca' Foscari | Dorsoduro 3246, 30123 Venezia edizionicafoscari.unive.it | ecf@unive.it

1st edition October 2023 ISBN 978-886-969-723-4 [ebook] ISBN 978-886-969-724-1 [print]

The Historian's Gaze. Essays on Modern and Contemporary China in Honour of Guido Samarani/ edited by Laura De Giorgi and Sofia Graziani — 1st ed. — Venice: Edizioni Ca' Foscari, 2023. — viii + 208 p.; 23 cm. — (Sinica venetiana; 10). — ISBN 978-886-969-660-2.

URL http://edizionicafoscari.unive.it/it/edizioni/libri/978-88-6969-724-1 DOI http://doi.org/10.30687/978-886-969-723-4

Essays on Modern and Contemporary China in Honour of Guido Samarani edited by Laura De Giorgi and Sofia Graziani

Abstract

This volume brings together a group of historians of modern China and East Asia, who have shared with Guido Samarani the experience of studying China in the last thirty years. It represents a small tribute to a friend and colleague, whose outstanding research activities have greatly increased our understanding of Chinese modern and contemporary history. Inspired by Samarani's vast and multiple research interests, the essays collected in this volume weave together new interpretations and perspectives on the history and historiography of modern and contemporary China, covering a broad range of periods and topics, from imperial times to the contemporary age.

Keywords Twentieth Century China. History of modern and contemporary China. China and the world. Revolutions. Chinese Nationalist Party. Chinese Communist Party.

Essays on Modern and Contemporary China in Honour of Guido Samarani edited by Laura De Giorgi and Sofia Graziani

Table of Contents

Introduction Laura De Giorgi, Sofia Graziani	3
What Is 'Modern' China? Desperately Seeking for 'a' Birthday Federica Ferlanti	9
Foreign Concessions and Western Impact in the Late Qing Period: Historiographical Approaches and Political Interpretations Marina Miranda	25
Patterns and Trends of World History/Global History in the People's Republic of China: Reflections by Prof. Ge Zhaoguang Elisa Giunipero	39
China's Ethnic Relations in Historical Perspective: From the Qing to the People's Republic Yu Shen, Larry Shyu	51
Sun Yat-sen: A Life Beyond Symbols. A Semiotic Approach to Modernity Aglaia De Angeli	77
Gauging the Tide: The Rise of Nationalist China in Japan's Leading Newspapers, 1928-29 Andrea Revelant	97
Forging the Nation Through Friendship: Nationalist China's Propaganda on Wounded Soldiers in the Early 1940s Laura De Giorgi	125

Towards a New Political Order: Re-Establishing the Youth League after the Sino-Japanese War (1946-49)	
Sofia Graziani	139
The Two World Wars and China's Changing International Status	
Hongmin Chen A 'Multi-Voice' Choir.	155
Making Foreign Policy in Post-Maoist China Barbara Onnis	167
The Wisdom of Senses. Neo-Confucian Reflections on Cultural Body	
Paolo Santangelo	183
Authors' Bio	203

Essays on Modern and Contemporary China in Honour of Guido Samarani edited by Laura De Giorgi and Sofia Graziani

Foreign Concessions and Western Impact in the Late Qing Period: Historiographical Approaches and Political Interpretations

Marina Miranda

Sapienza Università di Roma, Italia

Abstract During the last few decades, the historians of the PRC have adopted different interpretative frameworks in analysing the Chinese encounter/clash with the West: from the revolutionary paradigm to the modernisation theory, from the 'impact-response' model to the 'China-centred approach'. This essay discusses how Chinese scholars have applied such frameworks in assessing the role of foreign concessions established in the treaty ports during the late Qing period: considered as a sign of the imperialist presence by the early generation of Marxist historians, international settlements have been later re-evaluated in a more positive light, in the context of a lively historiographical debate regarding their unique role in the modernisation of the country. However, the liveliness of the Chinese academic discussion has recently been suppressed due to the campaign against 'historical nihilism' launched by President Xi Jinping, which silenced any representation of modern Chinese history that does not adhere to the Party line.

Keywords Zujie 租界 (foreign concessions). *Lishi xuwuzhuyi* 历史虚无主义 (historical nihilism). Western impact. Late Qing period. Chinese historiography.

Summary 1 The Paradigm of the Modernisation Theory. – 2 Reassessing the Role of Foreign Concessions. – 3 The Dangers of 'Historical Nihilism'.

1 The Paradigm of the Modernisation Theory

In the last decades of the Qing dynasty (1644-1911), China experienced a manifold moment of crisis, going through a profound evolution of its political, institutional, and social systems. In interpreting



such changes, both Western and Chinese scholars have adopted alternative theoretical paradigms, judging in different ways the role played by the Chinese encounter/clash with the West.

Before examining these interpretations, it is worth taking a careful look at how Chinese Marxist historiography has conceived foreign presence in nineteenth-century China: for the most part, it has been denounced as an imperialist aggression perpetrated by Western powers to encroach upon China's sovereignty. The reaction of the Chinese against this imperialist act has been highlighted by many authors, such as Fan Wenlan, who considered the people's resistance to foreign invaders as the "main thread" in modern Chinese history. Focussing on the aggressive nature of Western expansionism, he stressed how the grief and misery of the Chinese people caused by imperialist powers led to rebellions and revolutions (Fan 1949). This approach has emphasised the role of uprisings and insurgencies, giving rise to an interpretative paradigm shared by the early generation of PRC historians, such as Guo Moruo, Jian Bozan, and Li Dingsheng: in their view, the struggle against imperialism was the 'main theme' (zhuti 主题) of modern Chinese history, whose ontological basis was provided by the revolutionary trope.

Moving beyond the celebratory nature of Marxist scholarship, it is interesting to consider other historiographical approaches, widely endorsed at the end of the 1990s: largely influenced by Western theories of modernisation - which have had a wide impact on Chinese academic circles since the mid/late 1980s -, these new perspectives abandoned the revolutionary archetype, calling for a historical re-examination of the late Qing period.

The forerunner and main proponent of this new trend was Luo Ronggu, professor of American History at Beijing University; in the early 1980s, he gave a series of lectures at Princeton University, where he met and began an intense intellectual exchange with Cyril E. Black, author of the famous book The Dynamics of Modernization (1966). Fearful of being accused of introducing "Western bourgeois theories" in China, Luo did not officially begin his research on Chinese modernisation until 1986, when the Anti-Spiritual Pollution Campaign was finally over (Wang 1986). By the end of his life, Luo was considered the pioneering scholar of the modernisation paradigm, having written many volumes on this subject (Luo 1992; 1993).

Moreover, as early as 1985, Black's book had already been presented in the journal Dushu by Ding Xueliang, a professor at Fudan University, known for having introduced to China the theories of Durkheim, Weber, Talcott Parsons, and Huntington (Ding 1985a; 1985b). The issue of development and underdevelopment in non-Western societies was also addressed by other scholars, such as Sun Liping, Yan Lixian, and Li Huaiyin, who critically engaged with the foreign theories of modernity.

But it was only in the 1990s that the modernisation approach to the study of the late Qing period was widely accepted and recognised by the Chinese academy: in 1996, its definitive consecration would be marked by the endorsement of the President of the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences (CASS), Hu Sheng. In the preface to the second edition of the book From the Opium War to the May Fourth Movement, Hu argued how desirable it was and how decisive it would have been to use "modernisation" as the main narrative thread of modern history (Hu 1996); in this perspective, the focus of historical writings should have not been the nature of productive and class relations, but the transition from traditional to modern institutions. and the role of values and cultural patterns of modernity.

The historian Feng Lin further contributed to this re-interpretation, significantly in his two volumes Rethinking a Century of the History of China, whose synopsis reads: "The one-hundred years of modern Chinese history were not merely a history of revolution; they were, in fact, a history of modernization" (Feng 1998).

Notably, however, the wide application of this interpretative framework does not imply an uncritical acceptance of Western thoughts: indeed, the Chinese intellectuals highlighted how the context in which Western theories of modernisation emerged - namely the 1950s and 1960s, during the Cold War - carries a whole series of political and cultural implications. According to Luo Ronggu, the idea of modernity was itself a Eurocentric concept, since it assumes that only Western societies could embody the ideal prototype of modernisation. Therefore, being the product of a positive view of social evolution, the modernisation theory can be considered the ideology of the US imperialism and its hegemony in the postwar years (Luo 1992; 1993). Such criticisms have also been voiced by other scholars, such as Wang Xudong and Li Junxiang, who refused to equate modernisation with Westernisation, arguing that modernity is not the result of a linear diffusion process, that moves from the West to the East (Wang, Li 2003).

2 Reassessing the Role of Foreign Concessions

According to the modernisation paradigm, the root causes of China's backwardness are not to be sought outside the country, but inside it: hence, they should not be misidentified with the imperialist presence on Chinese territory in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. Such an interpretation is ground-breaking, since, in terms of historiographical research, it opens up the possibility of viewing foreign influence as playing a positive and active role in the transformation of Qing society.

Seen from this perspective, one of the most controversial issues is related to the interpretation of the main symbol of Western oppression: foreign concessions (zujie 租界), established since the second half of the nineteenth century. Concessions were areas within the treaty ports, perpetually leased by the Chinese government to a foreign nation at the cost of a modest rent; foreign consuls, for their part, had the right to lease portions of this land to their fellow countryman. Indeed, since the Chinese government retained its sovereignty over the leased territory, foreigners could not directly purchase the land, but only rent it 'in perpetuity'. The concessions were also protected by extraterritoriality from the reach of the Chinese law: on the basis of this principle, foreign nations exercised their authority over their fellow citizens according to the laws of their own country.

Concessions were located both along the Chinese East Coast and inland, especially along the main waterways: Shanghai, Tianjin, Hankou, and Xiamen were among the major cities in which they were established. The Shanghai concession was the biggest one: it was created in 1863, when the British and the Americans merged their areas, giving birth to the Shanghai International Settlement (Shanghai Gonggong Zujie 上海公共租界). Starting from 1849, even the French set up their concession in the city.

Drawing on the modernisation paradigm, many authors, starting from the late 1990s and the early 2000s, have reassessed the role of concessions, reconsidering them no longer as 'enclaves of imperialism', but as catalyst centres of modern innovations and as key attractions for foreign commerce, investment, banking, and manufacturing. Among these authors were Zhou Jiming from People's University, Wu Shiying from Shandong University, Xiong Yuezhi from Shanghai Academy of Social Sciences, Wang Limin from the East China University of Political Science and Law in Shanghai, Zhang Haoran from Henan Normal University, Tu Wenxue from Jianghan University in Wuhan, Chen Mingyuan from the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences in Beijing, Yang Bingde and Chi Congwen, both from Zhejiang University.

It is worth noting that, despite their innovative approach, in the opening section of their essays, many scholars seem to adhere to the old-fashioned assumption that concessions are the product of Western

invasion: indeed, they present foreign settlements as an institutional foundation of modern capitalism, a microcosm of imperialist domination. From this standpoint, concessions are still portrayed as "the land of sin, the source of aggression, and the hell on earth" (Zhang, Niu 2004, 255), and foreign powers are depicted as carrying out reckless political, economic and cultural aggression against China, causing tremendous suffering to its people (Zhang 2008).

It seems that the purpose of these statements is to show formal respect to the ideological assumptions of the previous historiography, maintaining a dialectical approach to the subject. Indeed, these negative judgments, once given, are gradually abandoned, shifting towards a more positive appraisal of foreign concessions: instead of their nefarious impact on Chinese society, their constructive role is cautiously recognised, re-evaluating them as models of modern urban civilisation and management systems from the West (Chen 2013).

Among others, Chen Mingyuan justifies this revaluation in Marxist terms, identifying the ideological underpinning of his analysis in Marx's concept of 'constructive mission' (jianshexing shiming 建设性 使命; Chen 2013). As is well known, in The Future Results of British Rule in India the German philosopher had predicted that Britain and other Western power invaders would accomplish a dual mission: one was destructive, in the sense of eliminating the old Asian-style society; the other was a constructive mission, to lay the material foundation for Western-style society in Asia (Marx 1853). Drawing on Marx, Chen argues that in Shanghai, Tianjin, Wuhan, and other treaty ports, this "constructive mission" partially got rid of the corrupt imperial autocratic dictatorship and feudal bureaucracy, and initially achieved a civilised municipal version of capitalism. Therefore, he re-evaluates concessions as "constructive forces" in terms of laying the foundation for the material civilisation of modern society. From this perspective, foreign concessions are thus viewed as the initial engine of China's modernisation process, as an opportunity to hybridise Chinese and Western cultures; they placed the modern European urban model alongside the traditional prototype of a Chinese city, challenging it in a constructive way.

Most of these studies focussed on Shanghai, defining the International Settlement as "a country within a country" (guo zhong zhi guo 国中之国): even though its territory formally belonged to China, it is considered a self-contained "small country" (Zhou 1997). Being China's most modern and Westernised city, Shanghai was indeed the place where the earliest steps towards modernisation were made. In this respect, we need to remember that, as China's greatest port city and largest multifunctional economic centre since the 1850s, Shanghai has been the major economic and cultural hub of the entire nation. Its rapid rise from the status of a small county town to that of "the largest metropolis in the Far East" and of the "Paris of the

East" (Zhang 2008) was due to the convergence of several factors: one was geographical, related to its position on the Yangzi estuary, which provided port facilities, a safe harbour and ready communication by waterways along the Yangzi as far as Sichuan province.

Another factor was economic, related to the food surplus produced in the fertile rice-growing region of the Yangzi delta and to the fact that Chinese brokers attracted capitals of landlord-gentry from the rich hinterland. Furthermore, the role of foreign government should not be overlooked, which guaranteed security and prosperity: Western merchants easily made money and enabled their Chinese assistants and counterparts to do the same.

From a management point of view, foreign powers established independent administrative, policing, and judicial institutions in the concession, with a certain degree of self-government; they stationed regular armed forces, used waterways, walls, iron fences, soldiers, and patrol guards with guns to separate the concession from the urban area, preventing the Chinese from entering and leaving at will (Zhou 1997).

In addition, there were many features of urban infrastructure and facilities in the concession that were rarely found in the traditional Chinese part of the city: modern paved streets, lighting, sewers, running water and public transport services. Foreigners enjoyed a high standard of living, based on a meat-centred diet, modern houses, stylish clothes, and leather footwear (Chen 2013). In this respect, Zhou Jiming, citing some articles published in the Shenbao 申报 - the most important newspaper in Shanghai at that time -, 1 stresses how Guo Songtao and Kang Youwei, who visited the city respectively in 1856 and 1879, were impressed by the "wonders" of modernity, especially the electric lighting, the maintenance of the streets, always kept clean and tidy (Zhou 1997). Metaphorically, modern districts were regarded as symbols of the supremacy of Western civilisation, while the traditional Chinese areas were seen as a sign of weakness. The state of the roads reflected this contrast - the old roads in the Chinese guarters were relatively narrow, uneven and dirty. They were built with mud or gravel and were dusty or muddy depending on the season.

Equally important, within the concession, were the rules for urban decorum: hanging laundry or placing household items on the facades of houses, for example, was strictly forbidden. According to the *Shenbao*, in the early 1870s fines and punishments were imposed for not respecting such rules of decorum, especially on

¹ Considered the most significant Chinese-language newspaper of the time, the *Shenbao* was founded by a British businessman, Ernest Major (1841-1908), and started publication in the Shanghai International Settlement in 1872 (cf. Tsai 2009).

Chinese residents (Zhang 2008). The flourishing of a local press brought a further sense of modernity.

With regard to administration, the concession implemented the separation of powers: the legislative, the judicial, and the administrative were relatively independent and counterbalanced each other. Authority was exercised by the Council of Taxpayers, made up of all foreign residents subjected to the payment of taxes. However, between the end of the nineteenth and the beginning of the twentieth century, they were only a small minority (between 5 and 10%) of the entire Chinese population (Zhou 1997). Chinese residents paid their taxes, but they lacked political representation, as would have been the case under the Chinese system.

Another noteworthy aspect was the observance of the principle of inviolability of private property and the protection of private entrepreneurship. In that way, the concessions also attracted domestic Chinese capital, thus creating the most developed area of capitalism in China (Zhou 1997).

Not to be overlooked is also the question of consular jurisdiction, which favored the introduction of Western criminal laws, in place of Chinese criminal law (Gong 2012). According to the unequal treaties, if there was a dispute between a Chinese and a foreign citizen, the peaceful mediators had to be identified by officials of the two countries. Cases involving Western subjects, regardless of person or property, were investigated and handled by foreign officials. Not being directly controlled by the Qing government and based on the principle of extraterritoriality, the concessions offered political asylum to personalities who wanted to escape the persecution of the Manchu court, for example Zhang Binglin, Yu Youren, Liu Shipei. Besides refugees from impoverished or disaster-stricken areas who were looking for work, Shanghai sheltered about 1.5 million Chinese refugees after the Taiping rebellion in 1850, and many remained there even after the suppression of the uprising (Zhou 1997).

Another important aspect to consider is that, even though most of the aforementioned studies methodologically draw on the modernisation theory, they can also be connected, to some extent, to the 'impact-response theory' (chongji-huiying lun 冲击 - 回应论), proposed in the 1950s and 1960s by John K. Fairbank and the American scholars of the so-called 'Harvard School'. This theory has been questioned from a different perspective, adopted by several Chinese scholars since the 1990s: the so-called 'China-centred approach' (Zhongguo zhongxin guan 中国中心观) developed by Paul A. Cohen (1984).² According

² The first translation of Cohen's (Ke Wen in Chinese) book dates back to 1989 (Ke 1989). It was translated by Lin Tongqi, a Chinese historian who moved to the United States in 1984 and worked at Harvard University until his death in 2015.

to his vision, the 'impact-response theory' would have overestimated China's encounter/clash with foreigners, conveying a marked dichotomy between a backward East and a dynamic West. The analysis of Harvard scholars indeed reveals how they are not immune from cultural biases and how they should be on alert for ethnocentric distortion. By contrast, Cohen suggests that the notion of 'West' is a mutable and relative concept, historically and geographically situated. Consequently, one could not look at the West as a whole, as a single entity that has a sole and unique impact on non-Western societies.

Though widely accepted by the Chinese academy (Wang, Lu 2007: Xiang 2013; Zhao, Zeng 2006), Cohen's theory has also been guestioned by some scholars who have highlighted its limits and shortcomings (Li 2010; Pan 2009; Xia 2006; Yi 2008; Zhu 2011). The 'China-centred approach', for instance, has been condemned for the fact that it dates the beginning of modern Chinese history back to the eighteenth or even the sixteenth century, asserting that China's domestic political and social situation at that time would have largely defined the structural conditions of the country in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. Invoking the concept of 'transcendental historical continuity', Cohen rewrites the historical modernisation process, blurring the boundary between 'tradition' and 'modernity'. In this way, the chasm that separates Chinese tradition from modernity is bridged and China is presented as stepping through an 'innate path of modernisation' which began in the sixteenth century; consequently, deliberately and unintentionally, the role of foreign powers would be underplayed and China's self-determining continuity would be highlighted. It is precisely this weakening of the influence of foreign imperialism that is unacceptable to Chinese scholars, as much as the downsizing of the scope of the modernisation process.

3 The Dangers of 'Historical Nihilism'

The different approaches and debates examined so far are a sign of the intellectual vitality that animated the Chinese academy during recent decades. However, since President Xi Jinping came to power, such liveliness has been extinguished, mostly as a result of tightening ideological control over Chinese universities. Xi's campaign against historical nihilism (*lishi xuwuzhuyi* 历史虚无主义) has certainly been a step in this direction.

'Historical nihilism' is a term widely used to label any account that challenges CCP's orthodox narratives or that brings into question the official interpretations of the country's history. This epithet was first used by General Secretary Jiang Zemin in 1989, when he condemned what he considered harmful tendencies then prevailing within the Party (Wang 2018). Many years later, President Xi Jinping has returned

to this concept with greater emphasis, defining it as a major misrepresentation of the history of the Communist Party and of the People's Republic, and one of several ideological vices that had 'seriously eroded' the CCP. According to Xi, historical nihilism would completely deny Marxism, the leadership of the Party, and the Chinese socialist system, undermining the foundation of CCP ideology (Xi 2016).

Historical nihilism has also been recorded among seven false ideological trends, the so-called 'Seven Unmentionables' (gige bu yao jiang 七个不要讲, or gi bu jiang 七不讲), listed within an internal (neibu 内部) CCP document, the "Communiqué on the Current State of the Ideological Sphere" (ChinaFile 2013). The document called on all media and government educational bodies to consider seven serious problems that deserved attention and that reflected the harshness and complexity of the struggle in the ideological sphere. The current Party leadership has indeed outlined 'seven speak-nots', subjects that are off-limits for academic discussion; universal values, civil society, civil rights, judicial independence, press freedom, the privileged capitalist class, and the Party's historical mistakes. And it is precisely to the latter that historical nihilism can be ascribed. In rejecting the official version that the CCP provides of its own history, historical nihilism would try to question the historical mission of the Party, contesting its legitimacy. Furthermore, close adherence to Western thought and ideas (especially political ones) could undermine the stability of the Communist regime.

In addition to the press and media, the 2013 provisions also applied to the academy: as early as 2014, a survey conducted by the Party press in more than 20 faculties of Social Sciences and Humanities in Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou, Wuhan, and Shenyang, denounced disrespectful lecturers and professors for presenting a distorted image of Chinese history and culture, throwing mud at the nation and glorifying the West (*Liaoning Ribao* 2014).

Furthermore, in 2019, an editorial of the *People's Daily* appealed to Chinese experts to free their research on the Qing period from the harmful influence of foreign historical nihilism (Zhou 2019). The appeal was welcomed by many Chinese scholars and academics: according to Li Shizhen, a professor at Inner Mongolia Agricultural University, historical nihilism manifests itself in resenting the Western invasion as a means to promote the modernisation of China, and in disguising the real aims of imperialism as a stimulus to the progress of Chinese civilisation (Li 2020). The potential intellectual danger of the modernisation theory is also denounced by Wang Xiaowen, from Beijing Language and Culture University, who stresses the necessity to strictly adhere to the analytical framework of historical and dialectical materialism (Wang 2017).

Zhao Xue and Han Sheng, professors at Shandong University and the Hebei Institute of Finance respectively, argue that the paradigm of modernity is the result of the colonisation produced by the cultural imperialism of the West, whose hegemonic discourse is applied to the history of non-European contexts (Zhao, Han 2020).

The tendency towards historical nihilism has also been identified in some analyses related to foreign concessions. Particularly vocal in criticising this trend is Shen Bingging, a professor at Fudan University: in his study on the Shanghai concession, the scholar contends that the International Settlement should not be viewed as a closed space with an efficient governance system, as often defined by those analysts that emphasise its management efficacy (Shen 2018). Conversely, Shen judges the governance of the Shanghai International Settlement to be ineffective, also questioning its legal legitimacy, as it is derived from unequal treaties. For Shen, inefficiencies could be found at the administrative, financial, and jurisdictional levels, for example in the conflicts between European consuls and foreign taxpayers. But they are most evident in relation to the Chinese residents, who suffered racial discrimination. Furthermore, the European administrative model is considered to be responsible for hindering the development of modern associations and local enterprises, hampering the replacement of traditional organisations and jobs.

In conclusion, due to this kind of analysis, which presents a 'correct' and unilateral vision of history, the lively debates of the past decades, mostly based on scholarly conversations between Chinese and Western academic communities, have been completely silenced. This trend is extremely worrying, since it marks a turning point for independent research, which has always been one of the main targets of Party censorship, but is now encountering unprecedented difficulties.

Bibliography

- Bickers, R.A.; Wasserstrom, J.N. (1995). "Shanghai's 'Dogs and Chinese Not Admitted' Sign: Legend, History and Contemporary Symbol". The China Quarterly, 142, 444-66.
- Black, C.E. (1966). The Dynamics of Modernization: A Study in Comparative History. New York: Harper & Row.
- Chen Mingyuan 陈明远 (2013). "Zhongguo zujie shi de zai renshi-Zujie biaozhizhe Zhongguo xiandaihua jincheng de kaiduan"中国租界史的再认 识 – 租界标志着中国现代化进程的开端 (Reinterpreting the History of Chinese Concessions: The Concessions Mark the Beginning of the Modernisation Process in China). Shehui Kexue Luntan 社会科学论坛, 7, 4-20.
- ChinaFile (2013). "Document 9: A ChinaFile Translation: How Much Is a Hardline Party Directive Shaping China's Current Political Climate?". ChinaFile, 8 November. https://www.chinafile.com/document-9chinafile-translation.

- Cohen, P.A. (1984). Discovering History in China: American Historical Writing on the Recent Chinese Past. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Ding Xueliang 丁學良 (1985a). "Xiandaihua he butong shehui de jiegou" 现代化 和不同社会的结构 (Modernisation and Different Social Structures). Dushu 读书, 8, 105-18.
- Ding Xueliang 丁學良 (1985b). "Yiben xiandaihua bijiao yanjiu de daolun" 本现 代化比较研究的导论 (An Introduction to a Comparative Study of Modernisation). Dushu 读书, 3, 112-16.
- Dirlik, A. (1996). "Reversals, Ironies, Hegemonies: Notes on the Contemporary Historiography of Modern China". Modern China, 22(3), 243-84.
- Dirlik, A. (2002). "Modernity as History: Post-Revolutionary China, Globalization and the Question of Modernity". Social History, 27(1), 16-39.
- Dirlik, A. (2007). "The Historiography of Colonial Modernity: Chinese History between Eurocentric Hegemony and Nationalism". Journal of Modern Chinese History, 1(1), 97-115.
- Esherick, J. (1972). "Harvard on China: The Apologetics of Imperialism". Bulletin of Concerned Asian Scholars, 4(4), 9-16.
- Fairbank, J.K. (1959). "A Note of Ambiguity: Asian Studies in America". The Journal of Asian Studies, 19(1), 3-9.
- Fan Wenlan 范文澜 (1949). Zhongguo Jindaishi 中国近代史 (Modern History of China). Shanghai: Shenghuo Dushu Xinshi.
- Feng Lin 枫林 (ed.) (1998). Chongxin Renshi Bainian Zhongquo: Jindaishi Redian Wenti Yanjiu yu Zhengming 重新认识百年中国: 近代史热点问题与争 鸣 (Rethinking China in a Century: Hot Issues and Controversies in Modern History). 2 vols. Beijing: Gaige chubanshe.
- Gong Pixiang 公丕祥 (2012). "Sifa zhuquan yu lingshi caipanquan Wan Qing sifa gaige dongyin fenxi"司法主权与领事裁判权 - 晚清司法改革动因分析 (Judicial Sovereignty and Consular Jurisdiction: An Analysis of the Impulse of Judicial Reform in the Late Qing Dynasty). Falü Kexue 法律科学, 3, 3-11.
- Hu Sheng 胡绳 (1996). "Cong Yapian zhanzheng dao Wusi yundong zaiban xuyan" 从鸦片战争到五四运动再版序言 (Foreword to the Second Edition of 'From the Opium War to the May Fourth Movement'). Jindaishi Yanjiu 近代 史研究, 2, 11-28.
- Huang, P.C.C. (1991). "The Paradigmatic Crisis in Chinese Studies: Paradoxes in Social and Economic History". Modern China, 17(3), 299-341.
- Huang, P.C.C. (1996). "Theory and the Study of Modern Chinese History: Four Traps and a Question". Modern China, 24(2), 183-208.
- Huang, P.C.C. (2016). "Our Sense of Problem: Rethinking China Studies in the United States". Modern China, 42(2), 115-61.
- Jia, R. (2014). "The Legacies of Forced Freedom: China's Treaty Ports". Review of Economics and Statistics, 96(4), 596-608.
- Ke Wen 柯文 (Cohen, P.A.) (1989). Zai Zhongguo Faxian Lishi (Zhongguo Zhongxin Guan zai Meiguo de Xingqi) 在中国发现历史 (中国中心观在美国的兴起) (Discovering History in China - The Rise of the China-Centred Approach in America). Beijing: Zhonghua Shuju.
- Li, H. (2010a). "Between Tradition and Revolution: Fan Wenlan and the Origins of the Marxist Historiography of Modern China". Modern China, 36(3), 269-301.
- Li, H. (2010b). "From Revolution to Modernization: The Paradigmatic Transition in Chinese Historiography in the Reform Era". History and Theory, 49(3), 336-60.

- Li, H. (2012). Reinventing Modern China: Imagination and Authenticity in Chinese Historical Writing. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press.
- Li Shizhen 李士珍 (2020). "Jingti jindai waiguo gin hua shi yanju zhong de lishi xuwuzhuyi" 警惕近代外国侵华史研究中的历史虚无主义 (Be Aware of Historical Nihilism in the Study of the History of Foreign Aggression against China in Modern Times). Shiiie Shehuizhuvi Yaniiu 世界社会主义研究. 7. 46-56.
- Li Xuezhi 李学智 (2010). "Chongji Huiying moshi yu Zhongguo zhongxin guan - Guanyu 'Zai Zhongguo faxian lishi' de ruogan wenti" 冲击 - 回应模 式与中国中心观 - 关于'在中国发现历史'的若干问题 (The 'Impact-Response' Model and the 'China-Centred Approach': Several Questions about 'Discovering History in China'). Shixue Yuekan 史学月刊, 7, 92-101.
- Liaoning Ribao 辽宁日报 (2014). "Liaoning Ribao: 'Laoshi, qing buyao zheyang jiang Zhongguo'" 辽宁日报: '老师请不要这样讲中国'(Liaoning Daily: 'Teachers, Please Don't Talk about China like That'). Xinhua wang 新华网 (Xinhua net). http://www.xinhuanet.com/ politics/2015-08/28/c 128177114.htm.
- Lin, X.D. (2012). "John K. Fairbank's Construction of China, 1930s-1950s: Culture, History, and Imperialism". The Journal of American-East Asian Relations, 19(3-4), 211-34.
- Luo Ronggu 罗荣渠 (1986). "Xiandaihua lilun vu lishi vaniju" 现代化理论与历 史研究 (Modernisation Theory and Historical Studies). Lishi Yanjiu 历史研 究, 3, 19-32.
- Luo Rongqu 罗荣渠 (1992). Xiandaihua Xinlun: Shijie he Zhongquo de Xiandaihua Jincheng 现代化新论: 世界和中国的现代化进程 (A New Theory of Modernisation: The Modernisation Process in China and the World). Beijing: Beijing Daxue chubanshe.
- Luo Rongqu 罗荣渠 (ed.) (1993). Geguo Xiandaihua Bijiao Yanjiu 各国现代化比 较研究 (A Comparative Study of the Modernisation in Various Countries). Xi'an: Sha'anxi Renmin chubanshe.
- Marx, K. (1853). "The Future Results of British Rule in India". New-York Daily Tribune, 8 August. https://marxists.architexturez.net/archive/ marx/works/1853/07/22.htm.
- Morken, H. (1977). "Protecting American Commerce in China: Washington's Approach to Urban Concessions, 1876-1885". The Historian, 40(1), 53-69.
- Pan Nana 潘娜娜 (2009). "Ouzhou zhongxin guan vu Zhongguo zhongxin guan neihan yanbian yanjiu"欧洲中心观与中国中心观内涵演变研究 (A Study on the Evolution of the Connotations of Eurocentrism and of the 'China-Centred Approach'). Henan Shehui Kexue 河南社会科学, 17(4), 132-4.
- Shen Bingqing 沈冰清 (2018). "Zai zujie wenti shang ying jianjue fandui lishi xuwuzhuyi - Yi Shanghai gonggong zujie wei anli 在租界问题上应坚决反对 历史虚无主义 - 以上海公共租界为案例 (Resolutely Oppose Historical Nihilism on the Issue of Foreign Concessions: A Case Study of the Shanghai International Settlement)". Xueshu Qianyan 学术前沿, 6, 92-5.
- Tsai, W. (2009). Reading Shenbao: Nationalism, Consumerism and Individuality in China 1919-37. New York: Springer.
- Tu Wenxue 涂文学 (2009). "Jindai Hankou shizheng gaige dui zujie de xiaofa yu chaoyue 近代汉口市政改革对租界的效法与超越 (Following the Example of the Concession and Surpassing It in Modern Municipal Reform in Hankou)". Jianghan Daxue Xuebao 江汉大学学报, 6(4), 82-7.

- Veeser, C. (2013). "A Forgotten Instrument of Global Capitalism? International Concessions, 1870-1930". The International History Review, 35(5), 1136-55.
- Wang Aivun 王爱云 (2018). "Gaige kaifang vilai Zhongguo Gongchandang lingdao fandui lishi xuwuzhuyi de shijian yu jingyan" 改革开放以来中国共产党 领导反对历史虚无主义的实践与经验 (Since the Reform and Opening-Up, the Leadership of the Communist Party of China Has Opposed the Practice and Experience of Historical Nihilism). Makesizhuyi Yanjiu 马克思主义 研究, 5, 130-40.
- Wang Haiyan 王海燕; Lu Wen 陆玮 (2007). "Ke Wen 'Zhongguo zhongxin guan' jiqi yingxiang" 柯文的'中国中心观'及其影响 (Paul A. Cohen's 'China-Centred Approach': And Its Influence). Zhangzhou Shifan Xueyuan Xuebao 漳州 师范大学学报,4(66),137-41.
- Wang Limin 王立民 (2008). "Zhongguo de zujie yu fazhi xiandaihua Yi Shanghai, Tianjin he Hankou de zujie wei li"中国的租界与法制现代化 - 以上海天 津和汉口的租界为例 (The Chinese Concessions and the Modernisation of the Legal System: A Case Study from Shanghai, Tianjin, and Hankou). Zhongquo Faxue 中国法学, 3, 167-77.
- Wang, S. (1986). "The Rise and Fall of the Campaign against Spiritual Pollution in the People's Republic of China". Asian Affairs, 13(1), 47-62.
- Wang Xiaowen 王晓文 (2017). "Jingti xiandaihua yanjiu zhong de lishi xuwuzhuvi" 警惕现代化研究中的历史虚无主义 (Be Aware of Historical Nihilism in Modernisation Studies). Shandong Shehui Kexue 山东社会科 学, 3(259), 143-8,
- Wang Xudong 王旭东; Li Junxiang 黎俊祥 (2003). "Yi xiandaihua wei zhuxian luelun Zhongguo jindaishi yanjiu" 以现代化为主线略论中国近代史研究 (A Brief Discussion on the Research of Modern Chinese History with Modernisation as the Main Line). Shixue Lilun Yanjiu 史学理论研究, 3, 38-46.
- Wu Shiying 吴士英 (1998). "Lun zujie dui jindai Zhongguo shehui de fuza yingxiang" 论租界对近代中国社会的 复杂影响 (The Complex Influence of Foreign Concessions on Modern Chinese Society). Wen Shi Zhi 文史哲, 5, 95-100.
- Xi Jinping 习近平 (2016). "Lishi buke xuwu" 历史不可虚无 (History Cannot Be Annihilated). Zhongguo Ribao 中国日报 - Zhongwen wang 中文网 (China Daily - Chinese Language Website), 20.10. https://china.chinadaily. com.cn/2016-10/20/content_27123201.htm.
- Xia Mingfang 夏明方 (2006). "Shiba shiji Zhongguo de xiandaixing jiangou – 'Zhongguo zhongxin guan' zhudao xia de qingshi yanjiu fansi" 十八世 纪中国的现代性建构 - '中国中心观' 主导下的清史研究反思 (The Construction of Modernity in China in the Eighteenth Century: Reflections on the Study of Qing History on the Basis of the 'China-Centred Approach'). Shilin 史 林, 6, 116-42.
- Xiang Tianyuan 向天渊 (2013). "Xiandai wenxue yanjiu zhi 'Zhongguo zhongxin guan' de xingqi" 现代文学研究之'中国中心观'的兴起 (The Rise of the 'China-Centred Approach' in Modern Literary Studies). Hunan Daxue Xebao 湖 南大学学报,39(1),88-99.
- Xiao Wenming 肖文明 (2016). "Hongda xushi de tanxun yu Zhongguo zhongxin guan de zai sikao" 宏大叙事的探寻与中国中心观的再思考 (An Investigation of the Grand Narrative and a Rethinking of the 'China-Centred Approach'). Xueshu Yanjiu 学术研究, 5, 71-7.
- Yang Bingde 杨秉德; Chi Congwen 池从文 (2010). "Zhongguo jindai chengshi de xiandaihua jincheng yanjiu"中国近代城市的现代化进程研究 (Research

- on the Modernisation Process of Chinese Modern Cities). Huazhong Jianzhu 华中建筑, 9, 5-8.
- Yi Xin 易鑫 (2008), "Meivou 'zhongxin' de Zhongguo zhongxin guan" 没有 '中 心'的中国中心观 (The 'China-Centred Approach' That Has Not a 'Centre'). Anhui Wenxue 安徽文学, 12, 61-2.
- Yu. C. (2008), "The Making of a Bund in China: The British Concession in Xiamen (1852-1930)". Journal of Asian Architecture And Building Engineering, 7(1), 31-8.
- Zhang Haoran 张浩然 (2008). "Zujie yu jindai Shanghai shizheng xiandaihua" 租界与近代上海市政现代化 (Concession and Modern Shanghai Municipal Modernisation). Jiaozuo Shifan Gaodena Zhuanke Xuexiao Xuebao 焦作师 范高等专科学校学报, 24(1), 37-40.
- Zhang, X. (2015). "In and Out of the West: On the Past, Present, and Future of Chinese Historical Theory". History and Theory, 54(4), 46-63.
- Zhang Yan 张研; Niu Guanjie 牛贯杰 (2004). Qingshi Shiwu Jiang 清史十五讲 (Fifteen Lectures on Qing History). Beijing: Beijing Daxue chubanshe.
- Zhao Wei 赵炜; Zeng Fanyuan 曾凡远 (2006). "Zai Zhongguo zhongxin guan zhong queli chuantong zhengzhi wenming guan"在中国中心观中确立传 统政治文明观 (Establishing an Outlook of Traditional Political Civilisation in the 'China-Centred Approach'). Henan Gongye Daxue Xuebao 河南工业 大学学报, 2(4), 51-5.
- Zhao Xue 赵雪; Han Sheng 韩升 (2020). "Quangiuhua shi yu nei lishi xuwuzhuyi sichao de shengcheng yu pipan - Jian lun shijie wenhua de xiandai zhixu" 全球化视阈内历史虚无主义思潮的生成与批判 - 兼论世界文化的现代秩序 (The Creation and Criticism of Historical Nihilism in the Perspective of Globalisation: Together with the Modern Order of World Culture). Nei Menggu Shehui Kexue 内蒙古 社会科学, 41(6), 53-9.
- Zhou Jiming 周积明 (1997). "Zujie yu Zhongguo zaoqi xiandaihua" 租界与中国 早期现代化(Concessions and China's Early Modernisation). Jianghan Luntan 江汉论坛, 6, 31-8.
- Zhou Qun 周群 (2019). "Laolao bawo Qingshi yanjiu huayuguan" 牢牢把握情 史研究话语权 (Firmly Grasp the Right to Speak of Qing History). Renmin Ribao 人民日报.
- ZhuHu朱浒(2011)."'Fanshiweiji'tuxianderenshiwugu DuiKeWenshi'Zhongguo zhongxin guan' de shijianxing fansi" '范式危机' 凸显的认识误区 - 对柯文式 '中国中心观' 的实践性反思 (Misunderstandings Highlighted in the 'Crisis of Paradigms': Some Practical Reflections on Paul Cohen's 'China-Centred Approach'). Shehui Kexue Yanjiu 社会科学研究, 4, 133-45.