**Review Article** 

# Dark fermentative volatile fatty acids production from food waste: A review of the potential central role in waste biorefineries

WN&R

Waste Management & Research 2022, Vol. 40(11) 1571–1593 © The Author(s) 2022 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/0734242X221103940 journals.sagepub.com/home/wmr



Fabiano Asunis<sup>1</sup>, Giovanna Cappai<sup>1,2</sup>, Alessandra Carucci<sup>1,2</sup>, Giorgia De Gioannis<sup>1,2</sup>, Paolo Dessi<sup>3</sup>, Aldo Muntoni<sup>1,2</sup>, Alessandra Polettini<sup>4</sup>, Raffaella Pomi<sup>4</sup>, Andreina Rossi<sup>4</sup>, Daniela Spiga<sup>1</sup> and Cristina Trois<sup>5</sup>

#### Abstract

Volatile fatty acids (VFAs) are high-value chemicals that are increasingly demanded worldwide. Biological production via food waste (FW) dark fermentation (DF) is a promising option to achieve the sustainability and environmental benefits typical of biobased chemicals and concurrently manage large amounts of residues. DF has a great potential to play a central role in waste biorefineries due to its ability to hydrolyze and convert complex organic substrates into VFAs that can be used as building blocks for bioproducts, chemicals and fuels. Several challenges must be faced for full-scale implementation, including process optimization to achieve high and stable yields, the development of efficient techniques for selective recovery and the cost-effectiveness of the whole process. This review aims to critically discuss and statistically analyze the existing relationships between process performance and the main variables of concern. Moreover, opportunities, current challenges and perspectives of a FW-based and fermentation-centred biorefinery layout are discussed.

#### Keywords

Acidogenic fermentation, waste-derived VFAs, bioenergy, biobased products, integrated bioprocesses

Received 1st October 2021, accepted 28th February 2022 by Associate Editor Alberto Bezama.

#### Introduction

Approximately one-third of the food globally produced for human consumption (1.3 billion tonnes of wasted food, either edible or nonedible) is lost every year throughout the supply chain, from agricultural production to final household consumption (Sharma et al., 2020). In the European Union (EU), about 88 million tonnes of food (an estimated 20% of the whole production) is wasted every year, equivalent to about 173 kg per capita in the EU-28, according to the most recent data published by Eurostat. In the absence of adequate prevention and minimization measures, these figures are expected to increase, especially if the global population and food overproduction continue to expand.

In the present work, we refer to the food waste (FW) that is produced at the end of the food supply chain, which typically occurs in the retail and consumption stages and does not include residues from the agri-food system. The management of increasingly large amounts represents a serious issue from an economic, social and ethical point of view. Valuable resources are wasted, often asymmetrically with respect to the needs to be met. Waste avoidance represents the optimal solution for FW, more than for any other type of waste, as it also has an obvious strong ethical significance. However, although initiatives are multiplying related to the optimization of food production, transport and sales, as well as to increase the awareness of consumers towards unnecessary purchasing, the production of nonedible residues is inevitable along the entire chain (Teigiserova et al., 2019). FW production must be adequately balanced by controlling potential impacts and, from a circular economy perspective, by optimizing resource recovery both quantitatively and qualitatively to approximate the concept of zero waste and to make recovered products

#### Corresponding author:

Email: dani.spiga@tiscali.it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>DICAAR – Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering and Architecture, University of Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Environmental Geology and Geoengineering Institute of the National Research Council (IGAG-CNR), Cagliari, Italy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>School of Chemistry and Energy Research Centre, Ryan Institute, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Civil, Building and Environmental Engineering, University of Rome "La Sapienza", Rome, Italy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, School of Engineering, College of Agriculture, Engineering and Science, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa

Daniela Spiga, DICAAR – Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering and Architecture, University of Cagliari, Piazza D'Armi 1, Cagliari 09123, Italy.

attractive on the market, respectively. These objectives can be achieved through an appropriate integration of processes to pretreat, simplify and convert the residual organic matter. In this respect, the concept of waste biorefinery represents the technical solution, as well as an even more sustainable evolution of the original biorefinery concept and, lastly, the link between bioeconomy and circular economy promoted by EU policies (Dahiya et al., 2018; Moretto et al., 2020; Patel et al., 2019; Strazzera et al., 2018). Waste biorefineries may represent the transition towards more innovative strategies for FW valorization, beyond traditional options such as biogas/biomethane production and composting, aiming at converting organic substrates into highvalue products or building blocks. Among recoverable products, volatile fatty acids (VFAs) may be attractive because of increasing market demand. VFAs are found to be used in a wide range of applications such as food and beverage, animal feed, pharmaceuticals, personal care and cosmetics, lubricants and agriculture. The global market demand is expected to grow at a Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) of 4.6% over the 2019-2025 period and to reach a value of 9.9 billion € by 2025 (Market Research Report, 2021). Among VFAs, propionic acid has the highest market price (2.0–2.5€kg<sup>-1</sup>), followed by butyric and caproic acids  $(1.5-1.6 \in kg^{-1})$  and acetic acid  $(0.4-0.8 \in kg^{-1})$ (Atasoy et al., 2018); acetic acid will arguably dominate global demand as it finds wide applications in food storage and packaging industry (Atasoy et al., 2018). Currently, commercial production of VFAs mostly relies on chemical routes through oxidation or carboxylation of chemical precursors from petroleum processing, but low-cost biological production is seen as a sustainable alternative. VFAs can be synthesized from organic waste streams, even in a heterogeneous mixture, by mixed microbial cultures (MMC) performing hydrolysis and acidogenic fermentation processes such as dark fermentation (DF) (Bastidas-Oyanedel et al., 2015; Garcia-Aguirre et al., 2017; Garcia et al., 2018; Moretto et al., 2019). The use of MMC removes the need for preliminary biomass selection (as otherwise required in biorefineries that target a single product), thus reducing operating costs, facilitating process control, and, in turn, making the production of wastederived VFAs potentially feasible. From a qualitative point of view, the high content of carbohydrates makes a residue potentially attractive for the production of carboxylic acids (Chen et al., 2013a; Yin et al., 2016a). FW is, besides protein- and lipid-, a carbohydrate-rich source that can be converted to gaseous and soluble bioproducts (Alibardi and Cossu, 2016); this figure, together with the wide availability and the continuous amounts generated, makes FW an attractive substrate for biorefineries (Alibardi et al., 2020; Dahiya et al., 2018; Moretto et al., 2020; Strazzera et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2018).

The present review aims at discussing the central role of DF and VFA production in a FW-based biorefinery. Although various reviews on the production of VFAs have been published, they have typically focussed on a range of different substrates (among the others: Atasoy et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2014; Sekoai et al., 2021); this complicates data analysis and the identification of the optimal operating conditions. We have specifically oriented this survey to FW, so to build a thorough database of the available data and critically analyze the influence of the main parameters that govern the process. More than 170 related studies, mostly published during the last years, were consulted (Scopus search, keywords used: 'biorefinery', 'dark fermentation', 'acidogenic fermentation', 'volatile fatty acids' and 'food waste') and screened for reliability and consistency. Information on operating conditions was provided and processed using statistical methods to identify the optimal region for VFA production. VFAs separation processes and the most interesting applications are also reported, to make the reading more comprehensive. The discussion culminates the proposal of a layout for a fermentation-centred FW biorefinery and of the related opportunities, current challenges and perspectives.

# Dark fermentation: Main metabolic pathways

Under appropriate conditions, microorganisms can convert organic substrates into gaseous products, mainly H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>, and a mix of VFAs and reduced end products, including alcohols (De Gioannis et al., 2017). DF of organic substrates has been widely studied, mainly with a focus on H<sub>2</sub> production (Dong et al., 2009; Nathao et al., 2013), whereas fewer studies have specifically targeted VFA production. In fact, although the volumetric production of H<sub>2</sub> from FW can reach values of 1.7-5.6 NLH<sub>2</sub>L<sub>reactor</sub><sup>-1</sup> (De Gioannis et al., 2013), the H<sub>2</sub> generated represents not more than 3–4% w w<sup>-1</sup> of the total substrate mass consumed, whereas VFAs account for ~65% ww<sup>-1</sup> of the degraded organic matter (Bastidas-Oyanedel et al., 2015). It would, therefore, make sense if VFAs, as well as H<sub>2</sub>, were the target fermentation products, from an integrated fermentation-centred biorefinery perspective (Atasoy et al., 2018; Dahiya et al., 2015; Strazzera et al., 2018). The recovered  $H_2$  could be separated from the  $CO_2$  and used as a stand-alone energy carrier or mixed with CH4 to obtain the gaseous fuel known as hythane (Roy and Das, 2016), or as a chemical for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to produce biomethane through the hydrogenotrophic pathway that would be compatible with the recovery of VFAs (Aryal et al., 2018). However, the production of organic acids presents additional challenges because the range of soluble products is much broader than that of gaseous ones, and complex separation and purification are required in view of commercial use (Arslan et al., 2016). Fermentation of a complex substrate, such as FW, involves the spontaneous onset of multiple metabolic pathways, especially if the process relies on autochthonous microbial consortia, resulting in the generation of a wide range of products, including acetate, propionate, butyrate, ethanol, H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> (Zhou et al., 2018). The type of prevailing pathway depends not only on the fermentation conditions (mainly temperature and pH) but also on substrate composition and nature of the involved microbial consortia. The main metabolic pathways during DF can be summarized as acetate and butyrate-type, propionate-type, mixed-acid, acetate-ethanol type, lactate-type and

Table 1. Summary of the main metabolic pathways and reactions during DF.

Metabolic pathway and rea	ction	Equations	
Acetate-type	$C_6H_{12}O_6 + 2H_2O \rightarrow 2CH_3COOH + 2CO_2 + 4H_2$	(1)	
Butyrate-type	$C_6H_{12}O_6 \rightarrow CH_3CH_2CH_2COOH + 2CO_2 + 2H_2$	(2)	
Propionate-type	$C_6H_{12}O_6 + 2H_2 \rightarrow 2CH_3CH_2COOH + 2H_2O$	(3)	
Mixed-acid	$2C_6H_{12}O_6 \rightarrow CH_3C00H + CH_3CH_2C00H + CH_3CH_2CH_2C00H + 3CO_2 + 3H_2$	(4)	
Acetate-ethanol type	$C_6H_{12}O_6 + H_2O \rightarrow CH_3CH_2OH + CH_3COOH + 2H_2 + 2CO_2$	(5)	
Homoacetogenesis	$4H_2 + 2CO_2 \rightarrow CH_3COOH + 2H_2O$	(6)	
Lactate-type	$C_6H_{12}O_6 \rightarrow 2CH_3CH(OH)COOH$	(7)	
	$C_6H_{12}O_6 \rightarrow CH_3CH(OH)COOH + CO_2 + CH_3CH_2OH$	(8)	





**Figure 1.** Main biochemical pathways for organic substrate conversion through acidogenic DF. Source: Adapted from Chen et al. (2013), Dahiya et al. (2018) and Zhou et al. (2018). PTA: phosphotransacetylase; PTB: phosphotransbutyrylase; AK: acetate kinase; BK: butyrate kinase.

homoacetogenic fermentation routes, as shown in Table 1 (equations (1)-(8)).

As shown in Figure 1, pyruvate is a branch point intermediate that can be converted to acetyl-coenzyme A (CoA) leading to the formation of acetate and butyrate through two analogous pathways (Chen et al., 2013a).

Equations (1) and (2) summarize the stoichiometric relationships between the fermentable sugars (glucose) generated from carbohydrates by hydrolytic bacteria and acetate and butyrate as fermentation products. The chemical reactions are catalyzed by acid-forming enzymes taking part in short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) production. More in detail, four enzymes play critical roles in the production of acetic and butyric acids (Zhu and Yang, 2004): acetyl-CoA and butyryl-CoA are first converted to acetyl phosphate and butyryl phosphate by phosphotransacetylase (PTA) and phosphotransbutyrylase (PTB), which are further converted to acetate and butyrate by acetate kinase (AK) and butyrate kinase (BK), respectively. Acetate can be produced not only from pyruvate through the acetyl-CoA pathway but also from the oxidation of ethanol or long-chain fatty acids (LCFAs; C3 and above) through the action of syntrophic bacteria (H<sub>2</sub>-producing acetogenic bacteria). Indeed, although ethanol could be produced during fermentation of organic materials according to equation (5), with acetaldehyde as intermediate, it is not considered a common DF product of FW (Zhou et al., 2018). Acetate can also be produced by a group of obligate anaerobe bacteria called homoacetogens that use H<sub>2</sub> as an electron donor to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> to acetate according to the homoacetogenic fermentation pathway (equation (6); CataSaady, 2013).

Propionate could be produced through two distinct pathways: from reduction of pyruvate by propionate dehydrogenase with lactate as the intermediate (Lee et al., 2008), or through carboxylation of pyruvate to form oxaloacetate then reduced to propionate through malate, fumarate and succinate, with succinyl-CoA, methylmalonyl-CoA and propionyl-CoA as intermediates (Ciani et al., 2008). When propionate-type fermentation is dominant, one mole of glucose could theoretically generate two moles of propionate (equation (3)), but anaerobic microorganisms commonly ferment glucose to propionate along with acetate and  $CO_2$ (Zhu et al., 2009).

It is worth pointing out that H<sub>2</sub> is always the accompanying product in the acetate and butyrate-type metabolic pathway, whereas the propionate-type is a neutral or H<sub>2</sub>-consuming fermentation pathway, and homoacetogenesis consumes H<sub>2</sub>. Regarding the lactate-type metabolic pathway, two key enzymes are involved: lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), which produces lactate from pyruvate, and NAD-independent LDH (iLDH), which is responsible for producing pyruvate from lactate. The lactateproducing process can be divided into two fermentation types: (i) homolactic fermentation, according to which 2 mol of pyruvate are produced from the glycolysis of glucose and then reduced to 2 mol of lactic acid (equation (7)) and (ii) heterolactic fermentation, in which one mole of lactic acid is produced along with CO<sub>2</sub> and ethanol (or acetate) (equation (8); Castillo Martinez et al., 2013). Numerous studies reported high VFA yields and concentrations ranging from 0.04 to  $41 g_{COD} L^{-1}$  achievable through FW DF (Figure 3). Although VFA mixtures are typically obtained, selective VFA production might be achieved by promoting specific metabolic routes. In this respect, different yields and relative proportions between VFAs are achievable by properly setting the main operating parameters such as pH, temperature, hydraulic retention time (HRT) and organic loading rate (OLR) (De Gioannis et al., 2013; Feng et al., 2011; Jiang et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014). However, relatively little information is available on the influence of such parameters on VFA production, as most literature studies targeted H<sub>2</sub> rather than VFA production, and further variables must be considered such as substrate composition, presence of co-substrates, type of inoculum and applied pretreatment, reactor type and mode of operation. DF is a complex process, especially when performed on complex substrates that carry native microorganism populations. Due to the intricate interrelations among the above-mentioned factors, the optimization of the process requires a deep understanding of the metabolic pathways and the effects of the main factors and operating parameters for maximizing VFA production.

# Orienting DF towards VFA production: Critical factors

#### Substrate composition

FW is essentially composed by three groups of macromolecules (carbohydrates, proteins and lipids), which can influence both the

amount and the chemical composition of the VFAs produced through DF (Strazzera et al., 2018). Carbohydrates are easily hydrolyzed into monomeric sugars that can be readily fermented to VFAs (De Gioannis et al., 2013; Shen et al., 2017). The use of more concentrated carbohydrate-rich substrates has been reported to increase total acid production in neutral pH ranges (Arslan et al., 2016). Proteins may enhance the fermentation process by providing nutrients for microbial growth. However, FW protein hydrolysis is considered a rate limiting step during acidogenic fermentation (Shen et al., 2017) and, as previously observed by Feng et al. (2009) and Shen et al. (2014), the production of VFAs from protein-rich substrates is lower compared to carbohydrates, due to inhibition of microbial activity caused by the accumulation of free ammonium. Lipids, whose hydrolysis produces glycerol and LCFAs, are less prone to fermentation than carbohydrates, because of lower solubility and slower biodegradation kinetics and represent the substrate of major concern during the acidogenic reactions. In fact, as reported by Dong et al. (2009), LCFAs can adhere to the cellular wall, affecting the transport of nutrients, and, consequentially, inhibiting the metabolism of bacteria. Concerning the final distribution of fermentation products, it is generally reported that the degradation of carbohydrate-rich substrates leads mainly to the production of acetic, butyric and propionic acids (Alibardi and Cossu, 2016; Arslan et al., 2016; Cappai et al., 2014; Yin et al., 2016), whereas the production of valeric and isovaleric acids is supported by protein-rich substrates such as meat and bone meal (Garcia-Aguirre et al., 2017; Shen et al., 2014). Although a clear influence of the substrate type on the final product composition has been recognized, it is difficult to establish a clear correlation. Alibardi and Cossu (2016) found that carbohydrate content was the main factor that influenced butyrate production, which was found to be comparable to acetate, with a butyrate-to-acetate-ratio >0.8. Shen et al. (2017) investigated two types of protein-rich substrates (tofu and egg white) as a source of VFAs and found that valeric acid represented 18-25% of total VFA produced, being the second highest after acetic acid. The correlation between a reduction in the carbon/nitrogen (C/N) ratio and a metabolic shift from VFA production to solvent production (e.g. ethanol) was observed by Lin and Lay (2004). Few studies have been reported in the literature on lipid-rich substrates. Propionic acid production appears to be mainly supported by glycerol-rich waste streams, but the results are controversial and probably influenced by the operating conditions adopted (Shen et al., 2014; Silva et al., 2013). In this regard, Jankowska et al. (2017) observed that different substrates lead to a similar spectrum of products in MMC and stated that the substrate characteristics barely influence the distribution of VFAs compared to other process parameters such as pH. The large variability of data reported in the scientific literature in terms of VFA concentration and distribution is likely to depend also on the complexity, heterogeneity, geographical and seasonal variability of FW composition (Feng et al., 2009). This implies that the combined effect of substrate characteristics and operating conditions must be systematically investigated to identify optimal conditions that maximize production yield and orient the VFA distribution (Atasoy et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2014).

## Inoculum source

Sewage activated sludge from municipal wastewater treatment plants (Feng et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2013a; Cappai et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2016) and anaerobic sludge (He et al., 2012; Jiang et al., 2013; Yin et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014; Dahiya et al., 2015; Garcia-Aguirre et al., 2017; Arras et al., 2019) are widely used as inocula for DF. As mentioned previously, the use of MMC would be more advantageous on the industrial scale than pure cultures: as sterilization would not be required, a wider range of complex substrates could be treated due to a higher diversity of enzymes (Deng and Wang, 2016), and overall process costs would be reduced (Bastidas-Oyanedel et al., 2015). The bacteria most commonly involved in DF are the obligate anaerobes of Clostridium sp., effective in converting a wide range of carbohydrates with high H<sub>2</sub> and organic acid yields, or the facultative anaerobes of Escherichia coli and Enterobacteriaceae sp., although characterized by a lower H<sub>2</sub> yield (O-Thong et al., 2018). To enhance VFA production, fermentative bacteria should be selected from the inoculum and the activity of methanogens suppressed by appropriately adjusting operating parameters such as pH and HRT (as better described in Section 'Operating parameters'), applying thermal or pH shock pretreatment (Cappai et al., 2014; Lin and Lay, 2004) or using chemical inhibitors of methanogenesis (Liu et al., 2011). However, inoculum pretreatments could affect the economic viability of the process and require careful consideration. While the use of pure cultures and homogeneous/selected substrates makes the industrial production of specific acids possible (Chen et al., 2013b; Yan et al., 2014), the goal is much more difficult in the case of MMC and heterogeneous residual substrates such as FW, where several organisms are simultaneously competing for a complex substrate. It is no coincidence that this aspect is considered one of the most difficult issues to sort (Arslan et al., 2016). Wang et al. (2014) evaluated the effects of different MMC on VFA production from FW, adopting various operating pH (4, 5, 6 and no control) in batch tests. The anaerobic-activated sludge performed better than the aerobic in terms of the yield of VFAs (0.92 vs  $0.48 g_{VFA} g_{VSS}^{-1}$ ), and acetic and butyric acids accounted for greater than 90% of the VFAs. Yin et al. (2016b) obtained a VFA yield of  $0.79 g_{COD} g_{VS}^{-1}$  during acidogenic fermentation of FW using anaerobic sludge and under limited aeration conditions (ORP -100-200 mV). Arras et al. (2019) studied the influence of three types of anaerobic cultures on the hydrolysis and acidogenesis of FW; the inoculum were sourced from different treatment plants and sections operated at different temperatures. The results obtained showed that the origin of the inoculum has more marked effects on the evolution of the acidogenic phase than on the hydrolysis of the substrate; the inoculum from wastewater and FW treatment sections showed promising conversion efficiencies (VFAs=60-70% of the solubilized organic substance).

# Reactor configuration and operation mode

The configuration of the reactor influences the hydrodynamics and, therefore, the substrate-microorganism contact and the liquid-gas mass transfer. The overhead gas pressure can lead to inhibitory effects, as a high H<sub>2</sub> partial pressure proved to favour the production of reduced compounds such as lactate, ethanol and propionate, which is associated with zero hydrogen production or even consumption (Zhou et al., 2018). Suspended and attached growth are common conditions used in the fermentative production of VFAs and have led to the development of different types of bioreactors (Khan et al., 2016; Lee et al., 2014). Although most of the bioreactors used for solid-state DF are of the continuously stirred-tank reactor (CSTR) type (Cappai et al., 2014; Dahiya et al., 2015; He et al., 2019; Jiang et al., 2013; Shin et al., 2004), adopting attached growth technologies may prevent biomass washout and guarantee a higher biomass concentration in the reactor. Anaerobic leach bed reactors, up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) and anaerobic sequencing batch reactor (ASBR) have been proposed too (Xu et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2008). However, clogging of the packing material may be an issue (Khan et al., 2016), especially when wastes containing high concentrations of suspended solids are treated. In addition, reduced mixing limits mass transfer, resulting in lower substrate conversion and gas accumulation in the biofilm with consequent inhibitory effects. Although the adoption of a longer solid retention time (SRT) can increase VFA production, it can also favour slow-growing methanogens that result in depletion of organic acids (Lee et al., 2014). Regarding the operation mode, batch, fed-batch, semi-continuous and continuous modes can be adopted. According to Lee et al. (2014), the continuous mode might not be feasible for slow reactions, whereas the batch or semi-continuous operation mode seems to be more favourable for VFA production, especially in the case of UASB, packed and fluidized bed reactors.

## Operating parameters

*pH.* Among the parameters that govern the fermentative VFA production from FW, pH is not only the most studied and influencing one but also, as clearly appears from the data below, highly controversial. The range suitable for VFA production falls within the range 5–7, since enzymatic hydrolysis of FW has an optimum at pH 6 (Wang et al., 2014). Ren et al. (2011) observed that the activity of acidogenic bacteria would be largely reduced at pH below 4, whereas pHs higher than 6.5 could favour the transition to methanogenesis (Yuan et al., 2006). Several authors reported that a weakly acidic pH should be maintained to achieve significant VFA production and enhance production kinetics (Jiang et al., 2013; Lim et al., 2008). Lim et al. (2008) obtained a total concentration of VFAs of 25 g L<sup>-1</sup> and a yield of  $0.37 g_{VFA} g^{-1}$  of VS<sub>fed</sub> applying a pH of 5.5 at 35°C, whereas at the same pH value of 5.5, Garcia et al. (2018) observed a maximum concentration of

VFAs of 30 gL<sup>-1</sup> during semi-continuous fermentation of FW. Jiang et al. (2013) observed a maximum VFA concentration of  $39.5\,g\,L^{-1}$  and a maximum yield of  $0.32\,g_{VFA}\,g^{-1}$  of  $VS_{fed}$  when controlling pH at 6. Wang et al. (2014) obtained a concentration of VFAs of 32.4 gL<sup>-1</sup> at pH 6 using activated anaerobic sludge as inoculum. Cappai et al. (2014) performed several tests on a mixture of FW (45 wt%) and heat shock activated waste sludge (55 wt%) using different operating pH values at 39°C; the highest VFA concentration (13 gL<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained at pH 6.5. As reported by Chang et al. (2010), a total VFA concentration of 34.6 g L<sup>-1</sup> and a yield of 0.49  $g_{\rm VFA}\,g^{-1}$  of  $\rm VS_{fed}$  was obtained applying a pH of 7 at 40°C; Zhao et al. (2006) achieved a similar VFA concentration  $(36 \text{ gL}^{-1})$  at pH 7, whereas a decrease of 25.7, 24.3 and  $28.5 \text{ gL}^{-1}$ was observed at pH 5, 9 and 11, respectively, although VFA production remained higher than when no pH control was performed (20.1 gL<sup>-1</sup>). It is worth noting that a significant VFA production was also achieved under alkaline conditions. High pH is indeed beneficial for the solubilization and degradation of fats and proteins and prevents the growth of both hydrogenotrophic and acetoclastic methanogens (Dahiya et al., 2015; Garcia-Aguirre et al., 2017). In this regard, Dahiya et al. (2015) observed a higher VFA production at an initial pH (without subsequent control) of 10  $(6.3 \text{ gL}^{-1})$  as compared to pH 9 (5.2 gL<sup>-1</sup>), pH 6 (4.5 gL<sup>-1</sup>), pH 5  $(4.2 \text{ g L}^{-1})$ , pH 7  $(4.1 \text{ g L}^{-1})$ , pH 8  $(3.8 \text{ g L}^{-1})$  and pH 11  $(3.5 \text{ g L}^{-1})$ . Garcia-Aguirre et al. (2017) conducted a comparative study under mesophilic conditions (35°C) and observed the highest concentration of VFAs of  $8.3 g_{COD} L^{-1}$  at an initial pH of 10, compared to approximately  $6\,g_{COD}\,L^{-1}$  at pH 5.5. The operating pH can also affect the type of VFA produced from FW. In general, metabolic pathways involving acetate and butyrate production are favoured in a pH range of 5-6, whereas slightly lower pHs would favour the production of butyrate at the expense of acetate (Infantes et al., 2011), and neutral or higher pH up to 8 promote propionate production (Cappai et al., 2014). This general statement is confirmed by several studies. Lim et al. (2008) observed that acetic acid was the main product (49.2%) when implementing an operating pH of 5.5, followed by propionic (23.5%) and butyric acid (20.7%). Jiang et al. (2013) found that acetate and butyrate accounted for more than 90% of total VFA production at pH 5 and approximately 77% at pH 6 and 7; propionate was observed to an appreciable extent (13.5 and 19.7%) at pH 6 and 7. Hawkes et al. (2002) observed the conversion of acetate and butyrate to propionate production as the pH increased. Many experiments have been conducted applying an operating pH value of 6; under this condition, Wang et al. (2014) and Yin et al. (2014) observed a clear prevalence of acetic and butyric acids (>90% of the total VFA production). The prevalence of the production of acetic and butyric acids can be accompanied by a noticeable presence of propionic acid already at slightly higher operating pH values, for example, at pH=6.5 as reported by Cappai et al. (2014), or at pH=7 as reported by Chang et al. (2010). However, it is worth noting that other studies have shown different results, highlighting that acetic and butyric acid production from complex substrates, such as FW, can also be promoted under alkaline conditions, which could be

explained by the predominance of phosphoroclastic degradation pathways (Dahiya et al., 2015). Zhao et al. (2006) found that about 71.9% of total VFAs was butyric acid at pH 5, but this figure increased to 73.4% at pH 7, and >45% of total VFAs was acetic acid at pH 9 and 11. Formic acid appeared according to 6.5, 2.4, 24.7 and 30.8% at pHs 5, 7, 9 and 11, respectively, whereas only a small amount of propionic acid was produced under all the conditions studied. These differences in terms of experimental evidence will probably not surprise those who are familiar with FW fermentation. Indeed, when results obtained at a given pH are compared, the influence may be overlooked by differences in substrate, or seed sludge, or operating conditions adopted. Moreover, the composition of the FW can vary significantly depending on the geographical and social context in which it is produced. To this regard, Lee et al. (2014) appropriately state that the optimal pH for obtaining a specific VFA from FW is highly dependent on the composition of the substrate under concern, whereas the pH values are often adopted from previous studies performed on FW of different composition or even simple substrates such as glucose. Finally, it is worth underlying that, despite the advantages for VFA production, adjusting pH to weakly acidic or alkaline conditions by adding a large amount of chemicals could raise the production cost and the process complexity.

Temperature. Temperature is a key parameter for acidogenic fermentation of FW, due to its direct involvement in microbial growth, metabolism and kinetics of microbial processes (Arras et al., 2019; Strazzera et al., 2018). Acidogenic fermentation has been largely studied under mesophilic conditions (25°C-45°C) (Cappai et al., 2014; Jiang et al., 2013; Shin et al., 2004), whereas few studies have been performed under thermophilic conditions (50°C-60°C) (Garcia-Aguirre et al., 2017; He et al., 2019; Jiang et al., 2013; Komemoto et al., 2009) and even fewer under hyperthermophilic conditions (65°C-75°C) (He et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2006). A temperature increase, while remaining within the mesophilic range, is beneficial in terms of VFA concentration, yield and production rate. More in detail, higher VFA production can be achieved by increasing the temperature to 40°C-45°C, considered the optimal temperature for hydrolysis rates and most fermentation reactions (Arslan et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2013), while a further increase to 55°C has a detrimental effect due to thermal denaturation of proteins and essential enzymes. Garcia-Aguirre et al. (2017), Komemoto et al. (2009) and He et al. (2019) also observed negative effects of temperature values around 55°C under both acidic and alkaline conditions. He et al. (2019) found a decrease in the total VFA concentration from  $16.7 \, g \, L^{-1}$ at 35°C to 11 g L<sup>-1</sup> at 55°C after 7 days of fermentation. A further increase to 70°C led to an even lower VFA concentration of  $13.5 \,\mathrm{g}\,\mathrm{L}^{-1}$ . Since increasing the operating temperature to enhance VFA production requires a careful balance between benefits and operating costs, it is commonly assumed that an efficient and economical value is in the range 35°C-37°C, whereas psychrophilic conditions are considered unsuitable for any application. Regarding the type of VFA produced, according to Shin et al. (2004) and Jiang et al. (2013), mesophilic conditions appear to promote the production of acetic and propionic acids, whereas a metabolic shift from acetate to butyrate is observed at increased operating temperatures ( $50^{\circ}C-55^{\circ}C$ ) (Arras et al., 2019; Hussain et al., 2017).

Hydraulic retention time. HRT must be adequate to allow for hydrolysis and acidogenesis whose rate is particularly limiting for heterogeneous and complex solid substrates such as FW. Theoretically, since hydrolysis is commonly recognized as the limiting step of the process, the production of VFAs from FW is expected to increase with HRT. On the other hand, too long HRTs (>5-7 days) would favour methanogens (at pH values >6.5) and, especially in view of a full-scale implementation, would reduce the mass rate of waste to be treated, requiring larger reactor volumes, and entail higher capital costs. Zhou et al. (2018) showed that too long HRTs may lead to stagnant VFA production due to substrate limitation. This was also reported by Lim et al. (2008) who found that the VFA yield increased by extending the HRT from 4 to 8 days, whereas no significant benefit was observed when the HRT exceeded 12 days. Garcia-Aguirre et al. (2017) observed that an HRT of 4 days was necessary to achieve 83% of the final VFA production under weakly acidic (pH 5.5) and mesophilic conditions (35°C). The duration of the process also influences the type of acids produced and their possible biochemical transformations. In general, for short HRTs (4–8 days) acetic acid would represent the main fermentation product, followed by propionate and butyrate, whereas propionate would prevail at longer HRTs (>12 days), probably due to the higher concentration of H<sub>2</sub> available to microorganisms, followed by acetate (Lim et al., 2008).

The most used type of reactor is CSTR with no biomass recycle, where HRT and SRT coincide. Other types of reactors, such as packed bed reactors and ASBRs, offer the possibility of decoupling HRT from SRT with possible improvements of the process.

Organic loading rate. OLR represents the mass of substrate fed to the reactor per unit time and volume. Its influence on the production of VFAs from FW has not been extensively studied so far. Jiang et al. (2013) reported an increase in overall VFA concentration with OLR, although with a decrease in VFA yield. These results are in agreement with Lim et al. (2008) who noted that although high concentrations of VFA can be achieved at OLRs  $>11 g_{TS} L^{-1} day^{-1}$ , fermentation broth can become very viscous, making reactor operation difficult and leading to the failure of the process; limiting OLR to less than 11  $g_{TS} L^{-1} day^{-1}$  proved more suitable and VFAs accounted for 96.8% of the soluble COD. Regarding the type of acids produced, Wang and Zhao (2009), although aiming at H<sub>2</sub> production, observed that increasing the OLR from 15.10 to 37.75 kg<sub>VS</sub> m<sup>-3</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> led to reduced acetate and butyrate production and increased propionate and lactate concentrations.

Lactate concentration represented 30% of total COD at an OLR of  $37.75 \text{ kg}_{\text{VS}} \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ day}^{-1}$  (Wang and Zhao, 2009).

Food-to-microorganism ratio. The food-to-microorganism ratio (F/M ratio) affects the metabolic and kinetic characteristics of microorganisms and, therefore, the generation yields of soluble and gaseous products. However, few studies are available on the influence of F/M ratio on acidogenic fermentation of FW, and most of them refer mainly to fermentation aimed at H2 production (Cappai et al., 2014, 2018; Soomro et al., 2019). Cappai et al. (2018) evaluated the effect of F/M ratio on H<sub>2</sub> production and found that an F/M ratio of around  $7 g V S_{FW} g V S_{inoculum}^{-1}$  led to the maximum H<sub>2</sub> yield, with an associated VFA concentration of 24 g L<sup>-1</sup>. Due to different overlapping pathways, the fermentation products were observed to include acetate, butyrate, propionate and ethanol. The highest acetic acid production (2.5 and  $3.5\,\text{mmol}\,\text{g}^{-1}$  of  $VS_{FW}$ ) was obtained at F/M ratio of 20 and 4, respectively. The highest butyric acid production (1.5 mmol g<sup>-1</sup> of  $VS_{FW}$ ) was observed at F/M ratio=12.5 and 4. F/M ratios of 26.1, 11.1, 4.3 and 0.36 g of VS<sub>substrate</sub> gVS<sub>inoculum</sub><sup>-1</sup> were applied for the production of VFAs from the organic fraction of municipal solid waste (OFMSW) (FW + paper waste) in a percolation reactor without pH control, by Soomro et al. (2019). The production of VFAs of  $14 g L^{-1}$  (377 mg  $g_{VS}^{-1}$ ) was found at F/M ratio=4.3, with a composition dominated primarily by butyric, acetic and propionic acids. The optimization of F/M ratio helps to predict the yields achievable from a specific substrate and the amount of biomass to be maintained in the system, which is useful in view of process scale-up, in particular for the start-up of fermentation reactors.

#### Inhibitors

An important issue to consider for optimizing VFA production is the presence of inhibitors in the FW (such as oil or metal ions), in the inocula, or produced during fermentation (i.e. ammonia, H<sub>2</sub> and soluble products). Liu et al. (2017b) focussed on the negative effects of salt and oil. An inhibition effect occurred at salt concentrations  $>6 g L^{-1}$  and oil concentrations  $>5 g L^{-1}$ , which resulted, respectively, in a 18.7 and 6% decrease in VFA concentration from the control test. In the study by He et al. (2012) on the effect of saline conditions, the highest production of VFA (0.54 g g<sup>-1</sup> of dry FW weight) was achieved at a NaCl concentration of  $10 \text{ g L}^{-1}$ ; it was approximately 23% lower at 70 g NaClL<sup>-1</sup>. As the NaCl concentration increased, the presence of butyric acid decreased from 29 to 3%, whereas propionic acid increased from 6 to 51%, indicating a higher tolerance of Propionibacteria to salinity. These results also suggest the possibility to acclimatize microorganisms to salinity.

Although nitrogen (N) is an essential nutrient for biomass growth, high concentrations of free (NH<sub>3</sub>) or dissociated (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) ammonia have been reported to inhibit fermentation (Bundhoo and Mohee, 2016). Pan et al. (2013) reported an inhibition threshold of  $3.5 \text{ g N L}^{-1}$  for an F/M ratio of  $3.8 \text{ and } 1.5 \text{ g N L}^{-1}$  for

an F/M ratio of 8.3 in a study on FW DF aimed at biohydrogen production. Cheah et al. (2019) reported that  $NH_4^+$ –N concentrations  $\geq 2.0 \text{ g N L}^{-1}$  lead to free ammonia inhibition of acidogenic fermentation of OFMSW under alkaline conditions. Ammonium concentrations over 5 g L<sup>-1</sup> have been reported to be toxic to anaerobic bacteria (including acidogenic bacteria) by Lee et al. (2014).

High concentrations of solubilized H2 could result in fermentation inhibition. Dong et al. (2009) reported that high partial pressures of H<sub>2</sub> can inhibit the conversion of LCFAs to acetate and H<sub>2</sub> or could result in a metabolic shift to lactate, ethanol, acetone and butanol. Another cause of bacteria inhibition involves high VFA concentrations. In their undissociated form, organic acids can permeate through the cell membrane, affecting biomass activity, whereas dissociated acids increase the ionic strength of the medium, eventually causing cell lysis and resulting in a shift from acidogenesis to solventogenesis as a defence mechanism (Bundhoo and Mohee, 2016). Therefore, the production of reduced solvents, such as ethanol and butanol, works as a detoxification method of the biomass to avoid inhibition caused by high VFA contents and low pHs in the system (Valdez-Vazquez and Poggi-Varaldo, 2009). Nevertheless, solvent production was observed also at pH levels above 5.7, due to the synthesis or activation of the enzymes required for solvent production (Khanal et al., 2004). The inhibition caused by acid accumulation could be prevented by optimising the OLR and removing VFAs continuously, or at least before the inhibition threshold is reached. To this aim, various techniques have been explored integrating the most suitable separation technology with the fermentation process (Arslan et al., 2017; Dessì et al., 2020). Moreover, continuous VFA removal from the fermentation reactor may enhance the production rate and prevent the consumption in internal conversion reactions, especially when mixed cultures are involved (Atasoy et al., 2018). Arslan et al. (2017) showed that the productivity of VFA increased 1.4 times when fermentation was coupled with the recovery of VFA in situ with electrodialysis (ED).

# Recoverable non-volatile carboxylic acids

In addition to VFAs, other carboxylic acids, such as lactate and succinate, can be produced via fermentation. However, most of the studies performed so far on acidogenic FW fermentation by MMC have focussed on the production of acetic, propionic, butyric and valeric acids, and only a few targeted other valuable carboxylic acids that, conversely, are often produced using pure microbial cultures, pure substrates or specific components extracted from residual substrates.

Hafid et al. (2010) obtained a maximum organic acid production of  $48.64 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  from fermentation of kitchen waste at 37°C and pH 5, with lactic acid as the main fermentation product (37 g L<sup>-1</sup>, or 76.2% of total VFAs), followed by acetic (17.7%) and butyric acids (6.1%). Kim et al. (2016) studied the effect of temperature on lactic acid production from FW at pH 5 using an indigenous mixed culture. Lactic acid was produced predominantly at 50°C and 1-day HRT, accounting for more than 95% of the total VFA production; a maximum concentration of 40 g L<sup>-1</sup> was observed, corresponding to a lactic acid yield of 1.6 mol molhexose<sup>-1</sup> fed to the reactor. Tang et al. (2016) investigated the effects of pH, temperature and OLR on lactic acid production from FW, without inoculum addition; the highest concentration of  $32.8 \,\mathrm{g \, L^{-1}}$  (corresponding to a yield of  $0.46 \,\mathrm{g \, g_{TS}^{-1}}$ ) was achieved at 37°C and pH 6. Thermophilic conditions (55°C) and a high pH of 10 adversely affected the production rate and yield, the latter probably due to the partial conversion of lactic acid to VFAs or CH<sub>4</sub> (Komemoto et al., 2009; Li et al., 2014). The concentration of lactic acid gradually increased with increasing OLR and, according to Tang et al. (2016), the process can be operated steadily at an OLR up to  $18 g_{TS} L^{-1} day^{-1}$ , whereas another increase can have negative effects on production yield. Wu et al. (2015) reported that acidic conditions (pH=4) can favour the production of lactic acid from mixed fruit and vegetable waste, but the long-term stability of the process requires further investigation. Similarly, Wang et al. (2014) obtained a remarkable lactate-type fermentation at pH 4.0 ( $18.5 \text{ g L}^{-1}$ ) and a fermentation time of 20 days.

The use of mixed FW to produce succinic acid has been scarcely reported. The possibility of converting mixed FW into succinic acid was investigated by Sun et al. (2014) by means of pure microbial strains, through fungal and enzymatic hydrolysis with Aspergillus awamori and A. oryzae, and subsequent fermentation by anaerobic Actinobacillus succinogenes and aerobic recombinant E. coli, used separately. The use of FW hydrolysate as the sole substrate in E. coli aerobic fermentation led to the production of 29.9 gL<sup>-1</sup> of succinic acid, whereas the overall yield was  $0.22 \text{ g}_{\text{FW}}^{-1}$ . Dessie et al. (2018) obtained a similar production (27 gL<sup>-1</sup>) generated by A. succinogenes using fruit and vegetable waste hydrolyzed by crude enzyme mixtures. Zhang et al. (2013) used bakery waste for the production of succinic acid in fermentation of A. succinogenes, obtaining a yield of 0.35 and  $0.28 g g_{substrate}^{-1}$ , respectively. Leung et al. (2012) obtained a higher yield of  $1.16 g g_{glucose}^{-1}$  from waste bread fermentation, hydrolyzed with A. succinogenes, corresponding to an overall yield of  $0.55 \text{ g g}_{\text{bread}}^{-1}$ .

# Statistical analysis and critical interpretation of VFA production based on literature data

As shown above, literature studies on VFA production from FW report wide variations for both the overall production yield and the distribution of the different species. To clarify the existing relationships between the process performance and the main variables of concern and identify optimal combinations of these to maximize the VFA yield, available literature results on VFA production from different types of FW and OFMSW were collected and processed statistically. In order to derive a reliable and consistent dataset allowing for mutual comparison of process yields

Table 2. Input variables used for the statistical analysis of VFA production data.

Variable	Symbol	Unit of measure	No. levelsª	Levels <sup>a</sup>
Substrate type	Sub	-	11	<pre>1=Food waste from household [FW_hh] 2=Food waste from canteen/cafeteria/restaurant [FW_ccr] 3=Synthetic food waste [Syn_FW] 4=Individual food waste fraction [FW_frac] 5=OFMSW (source-separated) [OFMSW] 6=Mechanically sorted MSW [MS_MSW] 7=OFMSW + sludge [OF_slu] 8=Food waste + sludge [FW_slu] 9=Activated sludge [AS] 10=Wastewater [WW] 11=Synthetic food waste + sludge [SynFW_slu]</pre>
Substrate pretreatment	Sub_pretr	-	4	1=No pretreatment [ <b>None</b> ] 2=Thermal [ <b>Ther</b> ] 3=Enzymatic [ <b>Enz</b> ] 4=Thermal + enzymatic [ <b>Ther enz</b> ]
Substrate concentration	Sub_conc	g VS L <sup>-1</sup>	-	-
Inoculum type	lnoc	-	11	<ul> <li>1 = No inoculum [None]</li> <li>2 = Anaerobic digestion sludge (mesophilic) [ADSmes]</li> <li>3 = Anaerobic digestion sludge (thermophilic) [ADSther]</li> <li>4 = Anaerobic digestion sludge (hyperthermophilic) [ADShyp]</li> <li>5 = Acclimated acidogenic biomass (mesophilic) [ABmes]</li> <li>6 = Compost [Comp]</li> <li>7 = Activated sludge [AS]</li> <li>8 = Acclimated acidogenic biomass (thermophilic) [ABther]</li> <li>9 = Primary sludge [PS]</li> <li>10 = Anaerobic inoculum [AI]</li> <li>11 = Unspecified [Unsp]</li> </ul>
Inoculum pretreatment	Inoc_pretr	-	3	1 = No pretreatment [ <b>None</b> ] 2 = Thermal [ <b>Ther</b> ] 3 = Not applicable (no inoculum added) [ <b>notapp</b> ]
F/M ratio	F_M	g VS <sub>FW</sub> g VS <sub>inoc</sub> -1	-	-
Operation mode	Oper	-	2	1=Batch [ <b>Batch</b> ] 2=Continuous [ <b>Cont</b> ]
pН	рН	Unitless	-	-
pH control method	pH_contr	-	5	1 = Buffer addition [ <b>Buffer</b> ] 2 = Discontinuous control [ <b>Disc</b> ] 3 = Continuous control [ <b>Cont</b> ] 4 = Uncontrolled [ <b>Unc</b> ] 5 = Continuous control (below pH = 5 only) [ <b>Cont_5</b> ]
Temperature regime	Temp	_	3	1 = Psychrophilic [ <b>Psy</b> ] 2 = Mesophilic [ <b>Mes</b> ] 3 = Thermophilic [ <b>Ther</b> ]
Test duration	Duration	d	-	-
HRT	HRT	d a VS I -1 -1 -1	-	-
	ULK	y v S L⁻' ɑ⁻'	-	-

<sup>a</sup>Only for qualitative (discrete) variables.

and identification of the optimal region for VFA production, the results retrieved in the references considered in the present article were screened for thoroughness and consistency of the information provided and converted into homogeneous units of measure in view of further processing. A selection of the variables explored in a consistent number of previous studies to provide a significant statistical sample was performed. To this regard, it should be mentioned that additional parameters may in principle have an influence on the process, but the amount of information that can be retrieved in the existing scientific literature is currently not adequate to allow for reliable predictions. The screening procedure resulted in 295 individual data points from 39 different publications that were used for the statistical analyses. The input variables used in the analysis and their corresponding levels are reported in Table 2 and Figure 2, whereas the whole set of data gathered from the selected literature references is reported in Supplemental Table 1.

The total VFA concentration and the associated production yield were assumed as the response variables for the analysis. Their statistical distribution is shown in Figure 3(a) and (b).



**Figure 2.** Level distribution of the qualitative variables analyzed (labels indicate the number of data points for each level). For abbreviations, please refer to Table 2.

Figure 4 reports similar data for the VFA yield grouped by level of qualitative input variables (reported only for levels with at least 20 data points available). The data in Figure 3(a) and (b) indicate maximum values for the VFA production yield and concentration (excluding the outliers of the distribution) as high as  $25 g_{tot VFA-COD} L^{-1}$  and  $1.1 g_{tot VFA-COD} g_{VS}^{-1}$ . The grouping shown in Figure 4 provides further information about the VFA yield for fixed categories of each input variable. Within each



**Figure 3.** Variation ranges and statistical distribution of the response variables analyzed (all input parameters grouped together) a) Total VFAs concentration b) Total VFAs yield c) Individual VFAs yield. Boxes indicate the lower and upper quartiles and the median, the whiskers the minimum and maximum data values, × is the average, O are outliers.



**Figure 4.** Variation ranges and statistical distribution of the VFA yield grouped by level of qualitative input variables (only levels with >20 data points reported).

Boxes indicate the lower and upper quartiles and the median, the whiskers the minimum and maximum data values,  $\times$  is the average,  $\bigcirc$  are outliers for abbreviations, please refer to Table 2.

category, a comparison of the yields on average terms can be made; more specifically, the highest average process yield was displayed: for the substrate type by FW from canteens/cafeterias/restaurants and source-separated OFMSW; for the inoculum type by activated sludge and acclimated mesophilic acidogenic biomass; for the inoculum treatment by thermal pretreatment; for the temperature regime by mesophilic conditions and for the pH control method by uncontrolled pH conditions. It should, however, be noted that the data also display large ranges of variation, with significant deviations from the average value. This reflects the underlying influence of the numerous process parameters described in the previous sections, with potential individual

effects as well as mutual interactions of either synergistic or antagonistic nature that cannot be directly identified from Figures 3 and 4. It, therefore, makes little sense to study the separate effects of each relevant parameter on VFA production, whereas elucidating their joint influence becomes crucial in order to identify the optimal conditions in view of full-scale implementation.

In order to provide further insight into the parameter combination that maximizes VFA production, a recursive partitioning approach (Hornik et al., 2006) was used as the data processing methodology to allow for classification of the VFA production results on the basis of the process variables of concern listed in Table 2. The output of the analysis is commonly provided in the form of a binary regression tree that identifies the relevant variables that influence the response (explanatory variables), at the same time singling out the existing associations among these. The regression tree is derived by recursively splitting the original sample into a pair of clusters that have the smallest within-cluster distances in a defined metric. The two generated clusters (son nodes) are then further divided on each branch according to the same grouping criterion. The splitting procedure is stopped when the null hypothesis of independence between any of the input variables and the response can no longer be rejected; the node at which this condition occurs becomes a terminal node of the tree. In other terms, recursive partitioning isolates statistical groups having progressively reduced size and increased internal homogeneity in the values of the selected response variable. The data points were processed using the partykit package implemented in the statistical software R (Hothorn and Zeileis, 2015). The output of the analysis was graphically depicted as a regression tree for which the number of data points of the response variable and their respective statistical distribution (represented through a box plot showing the 25th, 50th and 75th quantiles as well as the average value) are reported at each terminal node. The results are shown in Figure 5(a) and (b) for the total VFA concentration and yield, respectively. It should be noted that the conclusions derived from the statistical analysis are only valid within the explored ranges of the investigated variables (see Supplemental Table 1), whereas nothing can be inferred about the process performance outside such ranges.

As far as the VFA concentration was concerned (see Figure 5(a)), the hierarchy of grouping of the experimental data was found to be dictated by the pH control method, the inoculum pretreatment and the substrate type. More specifically, nine terminal nodes were identified, which displayed different average values and statistical distributions. The highest total VFA concentrations (average= $28.2 g_{COD} L^{-1}$ ) were found for node 17 (11 data points), which was obtained by splitting first in the pH control method (continuous pH control, continuous control below pH 5.0 only and uncontrolled pH) at the highest hierarchical level and in the inoculum pretreatment (no inoculum added, no pretreatment) at the second hierarchical level. On the other hand, the lowest VFA concentrations were observed at nodes 3 and 7. Node 3 (24 data points; average= $1.97 g_{COD} L^{-1}$ )

corresponded to pH control with a buffer solution; node 7 (41 data points; average= $3.06 g_{COD} L^{-1}$ ), was obtained by splitting the data on the basis of pH control (grouping the cases of continuous pH control, continuous pH control below 5.0 only and uncontrolled pH), inoculum pretreatment conditions (including no pretreatment and thermal pretreatment) and substrate type (including the following types: activated sludge, FW + sludge, OFMSW + sludge, and wastewater).

The analysis of the total VFA yield (see Figure 5(b)) identified, in order of decreased ranking, the following variables and related thresholds for maximization of the VFA production: F/M ratio ( $\leq 1.6 \text{ gVS}_{FW} \text{ g}^{-1}$  of VS<sub>inoc</sub>), pH control method (no pH control), pH value (>5.7) and test duration (<7 days – batch conditions). This optimal combination of conditions, corresponding to node 22 (7 data points), was found to result in the highest average VFA yield  $(1.2 g_{COD} g_{VS}^{-1})$ . On the other hand, the lowest VFA yield  $(0.14 g_{COD} g_{VS}^{-1})$  was observed to correspond to node 10 (15 data points), separated according to the following conditions for the input variables: F/M ratio  $\leq 6 \text{ gVS}_{FW} \text{ g}^{-1}$  of VS<sub>inoc</sub>, pH control through buffer addition or continuous/discontinuous control, continuous reactor operation, inoculum consisting of acclimated acidogenic biomass (mesophilic) or primary sludge. It must however be noted that, as shown in Figure 5(b), other combinations of the input variables (specifically, those represented by nodes 8, 16, 23 and 26) also displayed low VFA production yields ( $< 0.3 g_{COD} g_{VS}^{-1}$ ).

#### **VFA** separation

The development of efficient techniques for the selective recovery of VFAs from fermentation broth is one of the main technical and economical bottlenecks in biorefineries (Atasoy et al., 2018). Several extraction techniques are available, or under development, for the extraction and fractionation of VFAs. The most applied extraction techniques for organic acids are physical processes (precipitation, adsorption or liquid/liquid extraction), and membrane/electro-membrane processes (Dessì et al., 2021). Each extraction method entails its advantages and disadvantages, and the choice of the most appropriate recovery process needs to consider the destination of the final product and the purity required for its application. For example, a low-purity VFA outflow might be suitable for applications such as polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA)-producing processes or as a carbon source for lipid production (Liu et al., 2017a). In contrast, more sophisticated and expensive separation processes are required to selectively recover marketable VFAs. Among conventional processes, both ionic resins and liquid extractants are mature technologies widely applied for the extraction of organic acids at the industrial scale. They are characterized by high extraction efficiencies and a relatively low cost, although suffering from low selectivity and pH dependence (Reyhanitash et al., 2017). Adsorption yields of VFAs up to 76% were achieved from acidogenic digestate of grape pomace using tertiary amine-based ion exchange resins (Rebecchi et al., 2016). The main disadvantages of resins are related to the energy need for the desorption step and the rapid



**Figure 5.** Regression tree identifying the hierarchy of variables effects on: (a) total VFA concentration and (b) total VFA yield. The variable levels splitting the sub-groups are indicated at each node, whereas the number of data points of the response variable and their respective statistical distribution are reported at each terminal node. For abbreviations, please refer to Table 2.

exhaustion of the adsorption capacity upon repetitive uses (Reyhanitash et al., 2017). Higher extraction efficiencies (>90%) from fermentation broth can be achieved by liquid–liquid extraction using organophosphates such as tri-n-octylphosphine oxide (Alkaya et al., 2009), although the sustainability of such a process is arguably due to the large use of extractant. Concentrationdriven membrane processes, such as pertraction and pervaporation, have been widely applied for VFA extraction, since they require smaller amount of extractants and have lower operating costs than conventional liquid–liquid extraction. Recently, water has been proposed as a sustainable extractant for concentration-driven recovery of VFAs from fermentation processes through silicone membranes, eliminating the requirement for organic extractants (Dessì et al., 2020; Outram and Zhang, 2018). The process selectivity was dependent on hydrophobicity (i.e. longer-chain organic acids were extracted at a higher rate than shorter-chain acids), but was limited by low efficiency and pH dependency since organic acids crossed the membrane only in the undissociated form. Higher extraction efficiency can be obtained by ED, a membrane process in which ions are separated by electrical potential differences between cation- and anion-exchange membranes. Jones et al. (2017) employed conventional ED to recover VFAs from fermentation broths, reporting high efficiencies for removal of VFAs of up to 99% at a voltage of 18 V during a 60-min operation. In-line extraction of VFAs from fermentative reactors by ED was shown to be beneficial for



Figure 6. Bioelectrochemical system configuration for: (a) electricity generation and (b) hydrogen production.

increasing the H<sub>2</sub> and VFA yields (Hassan et al., 2019; Jones et al., 2017). Hassan et al. (2019) incorporated an ED stack of 20 modules to a FW fermentation reactor through a recirculation loop, increasing the H<sub>2</sub> yield from 65 to  $227 \,\mathrm{mLg_{VS}^{-1}}$ , and the VFA yield from 1.9 to  $4.7 \,\mathrm{g\,L^{-1}}$ , when applying a potential of 18 V.

## VFAs as intermediate products

VFAs may also be used as building blocks for further processing, for example, for energy recovery or to produce bioplastics and biolipids. Various applications implemented for the valorization of VFA mixtures are briefly presented in this section for completeness' sake.

## Energy recovery

*Bioelectricity.* When FW fermentation is aimed at the production of  $bio-H_2$ , the presence of organic acids in the effluent is considered the mere effect of the partial degradation of the original substrate. This is the origin of the coupling of hydrogenogenic fermentation reactors with bioelectrochemical system (BES) to convert organic acids into electrical energy or further hydrogen.

The VFA-rich fermented stream could be exploited to produce electricity through redox reactions in a microbial fuel cell (MFC). An MFC is a BES consisting of two compartments (anodic and cathodic), typically separated by a proton-exchange membrane, and electrically connected through an external circuit. In the anaerobic anodic chamber, exoelectrogenic bacteria catalyze the oxidation of the organic substrate by producing reducing equivalents (electrons and protons) and using the anode as the electron acceptor. Electrons are transferred to the cathode through the external circuit producing electricity, whereas protons migrate to the aerobic cathodic chamber where they combine with electrons and oxygen to produce water (Figure 6(a)).

Several organic wastes, including domestic wastewater (Puig et al., 2011) and industrial wastewater (Sahu, 2019), excess sludge (Jiang et al., 2009) and FW (Jia et al., 2013; Moqsud et al., 2014), have been explored as substrates in MFC. When FW is used directly, substrate hydrolysis is indicated as the rate-limiting step in electricity production (Feng et al., 2016), highlighting the need for proper pretreatment. Acidogenically, fermented waste can be used for electricity generation without any further treatment. Rikame et al. (2012) used an FW fermentation broth with a substrate concentration of  $5 g_{COD} L^{-1}$ , obtaining a maximum power density of approximately 15 W m<sup>-3</sup> and 1.12 V; moreover, 90% COD removal was achieved. Microbial inhibition was observed in the anodic chamber at a substrate concentration up to  $20 g_{COD} L^{-1}$ . The worsening of performance derived from high OLR values was also observed by Mohanakrishna et al. (2010) who used the outflow from an acidogenic sequential batch biofilm reactor fermenting vegetable market waste as substrate for a single chambered MFC. By adopting decreasing values of the OLR  $(3.13, 1.91, 0.93 \text{ kg}_{\text{COD}} \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ day}^{-1})$ , the best performance  $(0.31 \, mV, 362.86 \, mA \, m^{-2}, 80\% \ COD \ removal, 176.35 \, J \, kg^{-1}$ COD removed) was observed for the lowest value and attributed to less interferences (e.g. electrode polarization); interestingly, voltage and power improved by 16 and 68% as compared to the use as substrate of unfermented vegetable waste.

The amount of energy harvested in the MFC depends on the composition of VFAs (Venkata Mohan et al., 2019). Teng et al. (2010) report that higher power densities were attained with

acetate as the main component, whereas butyrate was found to exert a negative impact; power density was more affected by the type of VFAs than coulombic efficiency. Acetate and propionate were rapidly degraded, and thus supported higher power generation than longer chain species. This was confirmed by Choi et al. (2011) and Mohanakrishna et al. (2010). Moreover, the simultaneous presence of different VFAs slowed the degradation rate of individual acids, indicating that anodic microbes compete for different substrates (Choi et al., 2011).

*Biohydrogen.* Hydrogen and carbon dioxide are the gaseous products of the DF of organic substrates. Further  $H_2$  production may be derived from the fermented effluent rich in VFAs through microbial electrolysis cells (MECs) (Liu et al., 2012; Rivera et al., 2015) and photofermentation (PF) (Ghimire et al., 2015; Ghosh et al., 2017; Zong et al., 2009). The MEC configuration (Figure 6(b)) is completely anaerobic compared to MFC (Figure 6(a)), and protons released from microbial oxidation of VFAs in the anode are reduced to molecular  $H_2$  in the cathode. As the reaction does not occur spontaneously, an external voltage of at least 0.2V (theoretically 0.14V if acetate is used as the anodic substrate) must be applied to overcome the Gibbs free energy barrier (1.8V for water electrolysis).

Moreover, removal of eventual ammonium is possible as it is transferred through the cation-exchange membrane from the anode to the cathode compartment where it can be recovered as ammonia gas by means of stripping and subsequent absorption. Several studies have been carried out mainly using synthetic chemicals or effluents rich in VFAs generated from conventional fermentation of domestic wastewater (Liu et al., 2012), whereas few studies have been conducted using effluents fermented with FW (Cardeña et al., 2018; Yun et al., 2018). Liu et al. (2012) used a mixture of VFAs (about  $6 \text{ g L}^{-1}$ , with 40% acetic acid) from the fermentation of waste activated sludge, obtaining the highest  $H_2$  yield of  $1.2 \text{ mLH}_2 \text{ mg}_{\text{COD}}^{-1}$  and an overall  $H_2$  recovery of 120 mL  $g_{VSS}^{-1}$  day<sup>-1</sup>. The results showed that >90% of acetate and <90% of propionate were effectively converted to H<sub>2</sub>. Rivera et al. (2015) observed a maximum H<sub>2</sub> production rate of 81 mL L<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> with an organic removal rate of 85% treating a DF effluent rich in real VFAs. As assessed in different studies (Lenin Babu et al., 2013; Modestra et al., 2015), the optimal value of potential to be applied for the utilization of VFAs and reduction of H<sup>+</sup> to H<sub>2</sub> falls around 0.6 V. Overall, the MEC has been proven to be resistant and resilient to organic overloads, able to recover steady performance in less than 48 h after the occurrence of stress conditions (Cerrillo et al., 2016).

Purple non-sulphur photosynthetic bacteria can generate  $H_2$  and  $CO_2$  from a wide range of substrates, such as simple sugars, industrial and agricultural waste, under strictly anaerobic heterotrophic conditions and in the presence of light as an energy source for PF (Reungsang et al., 2018). Purple non-sulphur photosynthetic bacteria show an affinity for VFAs, producing  $H_2$  at higher rates from organic acids than pure sugars (Ghosh et al., 2017) and, therefore, PF has frequently been combined with DF in a

two-stage process. The potential of coupling DF and PF for  $H_2$  production from FW was investigated by Ghimire et al. (2015) who observed a 1.75-fold increase in the overall  $H_2$  yield with respect to DF only. Zong et al. (2009) estimated a similar increase by measuring an average  $H_2$  yield of 451 mL g<sup>-1</sup> of FW and a total  $H_2$  yield of 810 mL g<sup>-1</sup> by integrating DF and PF.

Biomethane. Anaerobic digestion (AD) aimed at recovering methane-rich biogas is by far the most studied and applied approach for the generation of bioenergy from FW. The process is implemented mostly in a single stage (Dahiya et al., 2018; Oh et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2018). However, the different optimal conditions of the microorganisms responsible for acidogenesis and methanogenesis generally result in suboptimal performance of the single reactor (De Gioannis et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2014). The possibility of operating AD in a two-stage configuration was developed for the purpose of optimizing substrate methanization but has become topical again in recent years due to the interest aroused by the additional possibility of producing bio-H<sub>2</sub> in the first fermentative stage (De Gioannis et al., 2017). FWderived VFAs are easily suitable for valorization in the second anaerobic reactor where optimal environmental conditions are established and maintained for slow-growing methanogenic bacteria (pH range 7-8, HRT 10-15 days). Several authors demonstrated that the two-stage AD configuration may result in 20-25% higher energy recovery than the single-stage one, in light of the improved hydrolysis and fermentation of FW in the first stage, with significant production of VFAs readily available to methanogenesis (De Gioannis et al., 2017; Voelklein et al., 2016). Moreover, the two-stage configuration, allowing enrichment of the methane content by 14-17%, could reduce the potential costs for upgrading the biogas to biomethane, as stated by Voelklein et al. (2016) and De Gioannis et al. (2017). The H<sub>2</sub> produced in the first stage may be mixed with methane, forming biohythane, a combustible gas containing 10-15% H<sub>2</sub>, 30-40% CO<sub>2</sub> and 50-55% CH<sub>4</sub> that could be further upgraded to biobased hythane by removing CO<sub>2</sub> (O-Thong et al., 2018). The main feature of the two-stage process is the possibility to adjust the inflow to the second stage to control the accumulation of VFAs, which can hinder the methanogenic microorganisms. An inhibitory propionic acid concentration for the methanogenic activity of 1 g L<sup>-1</sup> was reported by Wang et al. (2009), whereas no significant inhibition effect at acetic and butyric acid concentrations of 2.4 and 1.8 g L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, was observed. Xu et al. (2018) indicate that acetic acid at a concentration between 1.5 and 2.5 g L<sup>-1</sup> was the main factor affecting methanogenesis of kitchen waste; the methanogenic activity was completely inhibited at a total VFA concentration of 5.8-6.9 g L<sup>-1</sup>.

# Biopolymers production

PHAs are biodegradable polymers that have received increasing attention in the bioplastic market as a substitute for traditional fossil fuel-based plastics due to their physicochemical properties. Biodegradability, rubbery-like characteristics, better oxygen barrier compared to polypropylene and polyethylene terephthalate, better water vapour barrier compared to polypropylene and fat/ odour control are well-recognized characteristics. Therefore, PHAs are well suited for a wide range of applications, including packaging, medical and pharmaceutical applications, energy, and fine chemicals (Tsang et al., 2019). PHAs are biologically produced by a wide range of microorganisms as energy storage granules accumulated in their cell cytoplasm under stress conditions caused by the limitation of nutrients, electron donor or acceptor (Valentino et al., 2018). When the limitation of nutrients is the source of stress, a three-stage process is used to produce PHA from MMC: (i) anaerobic-aerobic fermentation (synthesis of VFAs and other organics), (ii) selection of MMC and enrichment through an aerobic dynamic feeding system (feast and famine strategy focussed on carbon availability) and (iii) PHA production (Nielsen et al., 2017; Sabapathy et al., 2020). The main factors influencing three-stage PHA production include the structure and metabolism of the microbial community, feeding regimes and type of aeration, culture conditions (pH, temperature, C/N/P ratios, etc.) and substrate characteristics (Sabapathy et al., 2020). As for the substrate, besides the concerns related to the exploitation of refined/ food competing feedstock (e.g. sugarcane and vegetable oil), the use of PHAs is still limited mainly by the production cost, 5-10 times higher than that of petroleum-derived polymers such as polyethylene (Raza et al., 2018). For these reasons, research efforts are required to move from pure microbial cultures and feedstocks (such as glucose) towards MMC and widely available low-cost feedstock, such as organic waste or activated sludge (Bugnicourt et al., 2014). In this respect, MMC and FW-fermentative VFAs are considered a suitable combination to produce PHAs through the three-stage process, although they are characterized by lower performance compared to pure cultures and selected substrates (Nielsen et al., 2017).

It is also worth mentioning that the most produced PHAs are short-chain poly-3-hydroxybutyrate (PHB) and poly-3-hydroxyvalerate (PHV), and the type of PHAs available depends strictly on the composition of the VFA mixtures in the feed, since the hydroxyvalerate content is known to be proportional to the concentration of propionate and valerate (Amulya et al., 2015). This aspect points at the importance of identifying operating conditions for the fermentative process that allow one to properly address the metabolic pathways, even when the feed consists of complex and heterogeneous substrates such as FW. However, FW has rarely been investigated as the starting substrate for PHA production (Valentino et al., 2018; Wen et al., 2018), compared to the enormous efforts made to convert organic residues of agroindustrial origin, such as waste cooking oil, cheese whey, grape pomace, pea shells, potato peels and olive mill wastewater (Rodriguez-Perez et al., 2018; Tsang et al., 2019). Recent studies performed on PHA production from fermented mixed FW using pure and mixed cultures report a wide range of different accumulation capacities. Hafuka et al. (2011) reported a high PHB content of 87% by continuous feeding of fermented FW to a pure culture (Cupriavidus necator), comparable to what obtained by

Omar et al. (2011) under fed-batch conditions (84.5%). Eshtaya et al. (2013) observed a lower PHB content (44%) by intermittent feeding fermented FW to pure culture.

Venkateswar Reddy and Venkata Mohan (2012) compared fermented FW from acidogenic H<sub>2</sub> production and raw FW as a feedstock for PHA production; as expected, fermented FW performed better in terms of overall PHA content (39.6% weight/dry cell weight) due to the ready availability of VFAs as precursors; more in detail, a higher content of PHB (61%) was observed, in the form of poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate) copolymer (P3(HB-co-HV)), as compared to PHV (35%). Despite the high VFA conversion (about 90%), lower PHA contents (23.7%) were attained by Amulya et al. (2014) who used VFArich effluent from acidogenic FW fermentation as the feedstock to the three-stage process. FW fermentate was used for PHA production also by Wen et al. (2018) whose main interest was understanding the effects of operating parameters such as OLR (1350 vs  $8433 \text{ mg}_{COD} L^{-1} d^{-1}$ ), and feedstock-related characteristics such as salinity (NaCl: 0, 2.5, 5, 10 and  $15 \text{ g L}^{-1}$ ). Limiting the OLR proved to be necessary to ensure the stability of the process, and although relatively fast kinetics was observed for 5.0 gNaClL<sup>-1</sup> at low OLR, a maximum PHA content of 33.4% was achieved at salinity values <2.5 gNaClL<sup>-1</sup>. Valentino et al. (2018) obtained a PHA content in the range 39-52%, similar to that obtained by Colombo et al. (2017) (40-48%) from acidic OFMSW fermentation using MMC.

# Biolipids for biodiesel production

Biodiesel is usually produced from lipids/oil sources obtained from harvested biomass such as rapeseed, palm, corn and soybean (Gui et al., 2008). However, such substrates raise the ethical concern of using food for fuel, making the identification of alternative lipid sources necessary. Microbial lipid production by oleaginous microorganisms is a promising option. In particular, oleaginous microorganisms belonging to the genera of microalgae, yeast, fungi and bacteria can directly convert some organic acids into acetyl-CoA, a central intermediate in lipid synthesis, which is then used for the biosynthesis of polyunsaturated fatty acids and microbial lipids in oleaginous yeast cells (Ratledge, 2004). The amount of harvested lipids and their composition vary depending on the strains, culture conditions and carbon sources (Easterling et al., 2009). So far, most studies on lipid production by oleaginous microorganisms have been carried out using traditional carbon sources such as glucose (Steen et al., 2010), glycerol (Easterling et al., 2009) or pectin and lactose (Papanikolaou et al., 2007). Given the high price of these raw materials, a feasible strategy for cost-effective microbial lipid production is the use of low-cost sources. In this sense, VFAs derived from FW fermentation are envisaged as promising building blocks for lipid biosynthesis to produce oil-based bioproducts (Chi et al., 2011; Gao et al., 2017; Vajpeyi and Chandran, 2015). Chi et al. (2011) used FW DF effluent aimed at H<sub>2</sub> production as a feedstock for lipid production by Cryptococcus curvatus culture, although

obtaining a low lipid content of 13.8% (g g<sup>-1</sup> dry cell weight) due to the high N concentration in fermented FW effluent. They concluded that high carbohydrate, but N-deficient, waste streams would serve as better feedstocks for the process. Nevertheless, a similar lipid content (14.9% w w-1) was obtained by Vajpeyi and Chandran (2015) by working with the oleaginous yeast Cryptococcus albidus on VFAs produced from FW fermentation. A much higher lipid accumulation of 28.3% w w-1 was found using synthetic VFAs under N-limiting conditions, confirming that lipid biosynthesis is triggered mainly by N limitation and excess carbon, as also reported by Dahiya et al. (2018). The oleaginous yeast Yarrowia lipolytica culture fed with fermented FW yielded an interesting lipid content of 18.2% in the study performed by Gao et al. (2017). Although the feasibility of using FW-derived VFAs for lipid production has been demonstrated, leading to a lipid composition similar to commercial biodiesel feedstock, studies performed using synthetic VFAs showed higher lipid contents (26.1–31.6%). The lipid content could also be increased by controlling the feed VFA composition. Fei et al. (2011) investigated microbial lipid accumulation in flask cultures of C. albidus using synthetic VFAs. The highest lipid content of 27.8% was found by feeding VFA with an acetic/propionic/ butyric acid ratio of 8:1:1 compared to ratios of 6:1:3 (27.3%), 7:2:1 (26.1%) and 4:3:3 (19.8%). Gao et al. (2017) studied lipid accumulation in Y. lipolytica using synthetic acetic, butyric and propionic acids, reaching a content of 31.6, 28.4 and 28.9%, at an initial concentration of 5, 2.5 and 2.5 g L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Higher concentrations of VFAs inhibited cell growth in the following order: butyric acid>propionic acid>acetic acid. Gao et al. (2017) reported that VFAs are not used synchronized but stepwise, since Y. lipolytica first uses acetic acid for lipid production and then uses propionic and butyric acid after its depletion. In light of this, acetate production during fermentation must be optimized to improve lipid yield downstream.

#### Biological nutrient removal

Expensive external carbon sources, such as methanol, ethanol or acetate, are commonly required to assist the conventional process of biological nutrient removal from municipal wastewater through the application of alternate anaerobic-aerobic-anoxic conditions. In order to lower the overall treatment costs, over the last 20 years, VFAs have been widely explored as an alternative carbon source for N and P removal (Zhang and Chen, 2009). In this context, FW-derived VFAs would be a low-cost option and, being characterized by high C and low N and P contents, the additional unwanted input of nutrients in the process would be negligible, turning to be more suitable for the process than other sources such as primary sludge or industrial effluent (Kim et al., 2017; Lim et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2016). The required C/N ratio falls within the range of  $5-10 \text{ mg}_{\text{COD}} \text{ mg}^{-1}$  of N for combined nitrification/denitrification, whereas 7.5-10 mg of COD are required to remove 1 mg of P (Lee et al., 2014). Lim et al. (2000) used VFAs (mainly acetate) produced from acidogenesis of FW as a carbon source for the removal of N and P from municipal wastewater, obtaining final NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations  $<1.5 \,\mathrm{mgNL^{-1}}$ , whereas the concentration of P was reduced to less than 1 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. Zhang et al. (2016) fed fermented FW obtaining N concentrations  $< 1 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  in the treated effluent when a COD/N ratio of 6 was applied. A stable denitrification performance of a full-scale wastewater treatment plant with a nitrate removal efficiency of 97.2% was observed by Kim et al. (2017) over a period of 7 months during which wastewater from FW recycling activities was fed as an alternative carbon source; propionate proved to be the most recalcitrant to use, though it was completely consumed after 19 days. Elefsiniotis et al. (2004) stated that the use of acetate allows for a two-fold higher denitrification rate as compared to propionate. In fact, acetate is the first VFA to be consumed and only when its concentration decreases, microorganisms use other VFAs, usually propionate first, followed by butyrate, and finally valerate. The statement is confirmed by Kim et al. (2017) who observed ethanol and acetate being preferred over propionate. This preference for lowermolecular-weight VFAs could be attributed to simpler metabolic pathways (Kim et al., 2017), and would imply, as already stated for biolipid and bioelectricity generation, that to better exploit waste valorization, the DF process should be operated to obtain VFAs of interest for the specific reuse envisaged.

Regarding the removal of P, it was reported that VFAs obtained from acidogenic fermentation of organic substrates are more effective in the removal of P than synthetic acetic acid (Strazzera et al., 2018). The benefits observed when using a fermentation VFA pool can probably be ascribed to the synergistic effects of other components present in the fermentation effluent (i.e. micronutrients).

## Application perspectives

The production of acids by fermentation of organic residues has promising implications in view of a full-scale application of DF as the core of waste biorefineries. In this perspective, a DF-centred layout is proposed in Figure 7, aimed at fostering the recovery of high-value products and energy from FW. According to the simpler implementation option, the DF biogas could be upgraded to ensure sustainable energy recovery in the form of bio-H<sub>2</sub>, whereas the fermentate would undergo liquid/solid separation followed by aerobic biological stabilization of the solid fraction to produce compost. Separation processes would be applied to the liquid fraction of the fermentation outflow to recover marketable VFAs. The purification and separation step of pure organic acids from mixed VFAs is considered to be one of the most relevant aspects in terms of costs and challenges, a major issue between laboratory studies and industrial implementation.

More complex configurations could include several downstream VFA processing to produce biolipids, biopolymers, or further  $H_2$  and electricity through BES, or bio-methane. Innovative technologies as BESs hold a great potential. Although have not yet made the leap to the commercial scale, BESs could make possible either the i) selective separation of organic acids (with specific separation membranes and in combination with ED cells),



Figure 7. Schematic layout of a fermentation-centred biorefinery approach having VFAs from FW as the main output.

or the ii) electrosynthesis of further organic acids by cathodic reduction of CO2 (microbial electrosynthesis).

The theoretic layout, partially based on well-established biochemical processes, is consistent with the need for flexibility typical of the concept of biorefinery as it could be easily and progressively integrated to involve more platforms when other processes should achieve an adequate level of readiness. This is in line with the future needs of a wide spectrum of end bioproducts and could deal in an integrated and flexible manner also with organic waste other than FW, in turn giving the decisive boost to the implementation of waste biorefineries in the framework of a biobased economy.

However, it cannot be ignored that the full integration of waste management into high-value production systems would require certainty and consistency in terms of feedstock availability and characteristics, process control and, in turn, qualitative and quantitative characteristics of the final products. Furthermore, it would be necessary to take into account that size of the plants, maximum acceptable distances between production sources and treatment plants, need for prompt processing, are very different for waste treatment and traditional biorefineries.

Therefore, the pivotal dilemma, common to every process included in the waste biorefinery concept, is: it is promising, and would be great, but is it actually feasible?

More specifically, is the quality required for products to be commercialized achievable by using FW as feedstock and MMCs?

Considering what has been said on the factors of influence and their mutual interactions, is DF a fully controllable process for the purposes of industrial production if performed using MMCs and a complex and heterogeneous feedstock such as FW? Is it possible to overcome the difficulty of identifying optimal values for the multiple and interconnected influencing factors, even with the help of sophisticated analysis tools such as the statistical ones?

Ultimately, can FW management go beyond the usual goal of environment safeguarding, eventually associated to the recovery of low-value products such as compost and biogas, and enter the promising world of bioeconomy?

An interesting indication can come from the analysis of the attempts to scale up the process. As far as the authors are aware, full-scale plants for the recovery of VFAs from FW have not yet been built and managed. On the other hand, the analysis of the most recent literature highlights some interesting experiences at the pilot scale; these studies are necessary to bridge science and practice through the assessment of reasonable yields, product quality and process issues to be expected at the full scale. Valentino et al. (2019) investigated on a pilot scale the DF of mixtures of OFMSW and sewage sludge aimed at producing VFAs to be used as substrate for the selection of PHA accumulating biomass and PHA accumulation. The process was performed using a 380-L CSTR operated under thermophilic conditions (42°C-55°C) and adopting an HRT of 6 days. The acidogenic performance was considered satisfactory even though some instability in terms of VFAs concentration and distribution was observed at the highest adopted OLR values (about  $12.2 g_{TVS} L^{-1} day^{-1}$ ) that affected the system buffer capacity. A better control of pH slightly above 5.0 was attained at lower OLR values (about  $6.6 g_{TVS} L^{-1} day^{-1}$ ). The operating temperature did not influence the composition of the VFAs pool, but the thermophilic conditions enhanced substrate hydrolysis; butyric acid was found to be predominant (46% of total VFA), followed by acetic

(22%) and propionic (9%) acids. Other VFAs with higher molecular weight (up to C7) had lower concentrations.

The same pilot-scale fermenter was used to produce  $H_2$  and VFAs; the latter to be fed to a second anaerobic stage to produce methane (Micolucci et al., 2020). The reactor was operated for 300 days at 55°C, adopting an HRT of 3.3 days and an OLR of 19.0 kg<sub>TVS</sub> m<sup>-3</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>, and an original approach to pH control was implemented based on the recirculation of part of the digestate from the methanogenic reactor. Compared to previous studies, reduced pH fluctuations led to higher yields for  $H_2$  and VFAs (about 22 g<sub>COD</sub> L<sup>-1</sup>, 33% butyric and 25% acetic acids on a COD basis were the dominant soluble fermentation products).

Yu et al. (2021) performed batch tests on rice-rich FW using a 120-L pilot CSTR fermenter under thermophilic conditions (50°C). The HRT and OLR were set at 7 days and  $48 g_{VS} L^{-1} d^{-1}$ , respectively. The results put further emphasis on the role of pH control: the VFAs yield improved by increasing the operating pH from 4.5 to 6.5 (maximum yield: 0.79 mg<sub>COD</sub> mg<sub>COD</sub><sup>-1</sup>) as result of enhanced substrate hydrolysis. Acetic and butyric acids were the dominant by-products accounting for 55–65% of COD.

Overall, the results of the few pilot-scale studies available show that the production of VFAs from FW is a feasible process, and that the operating pH is decisive to achieve quantitatively and qualitatively stable process yields. The studies have preferentially used relatively simple reactor configurations such as the CSTR, rather improving the process performance by working in the thermophilic region.

It is also worth emphasizing that the studies conducted on a pilot scale have not aimed at the production of a specific VFA, but rather at the recovery of a pool of VFAs to be used directly as a substrate in further biochemical processes such as biopolymers production. This choice seems to acknowledge the difficulties pointed out by laboratory studies in addressing a complex process such as DF towards very specific metabolic pathways, especially when DF is applied to heterogeneous substrates such as FW. In addition, the recovery of individual VFAs is a very complex task even if it is performed separately and through the innovative combination of physical and chemical processes (e.g. stripping, absorption, adsorption, solvent extraction, nanofiltration, membrane contractor, reverse osmosis and ED) as reported in Atasov et al. (2018) and Bhatt et al. (2020). Thus, in order to be technologically feasible and cost-effective, the selection of the most suitable recovery strategy at the full scale should consider the final application of the recovered products. In this regard, an application, such as biopolymers production, appears to be very promising - at least at the pilot scale - as it does not require complex treatment trains for individual VFAs recovery from the VFAs mixture generated by DF. Thus, further research is needed not only to improve the performance of each 'stand-alone' stage of the process but to find out the most appropriate production and recovery stages that properly integrated - make the overall process of VFAs production and utilization, economically feasible.

## Conclusions

The fermentative production of carboxylic acids from organic residues is currently at the laboratory stage, and several challenges prevent its full-scale implementation. Some general directions are derived from this review:

- The number of studies specifically dedicated to producing VFAs through FW DF is relatively low.
- DF requires optimization to achieve high and stable VFA yield, which is critical for downstream applications.
- Optimization is not easy, given the number of parameters that heavily influence the process and their mutual interactions.
- Even the use of optimization tools, such as the statistical ones, provides controversial answers due to the observed great dispersion of data, which is dictated by the variety of conditions that characterize the studies available.
- The use of MMCs to produce specific VFAs at promising rates needs further investigation.
- The environmental and economic effectiveness of substrate pretreatment and selective recovery of VFAs must be assessed, and further efforts must be devoted to reducing the overall cost and energy demand to increase the process competitiveness.
- Optimization of downstream biological processes is required to exploit VFAs and provide the desired end product standards;
- Pilot-scale studies and a systematic assessment of integrated bioprocesses are required.

#### Acknowledgement

This work was conducted in the framework of the activities of the 'Waste Biorefinery' task group (for more information see https://www.tuhh.de/iue/iwwg/task-groups/waste-biorefinery.html), which is part of the International Waste Working Group (IWWG).

#### Declaration of conflicting interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### ORCID iDs

Giorgia De Gioannis (D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3335-2833 Andreina Rossi (D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7448-611X

#### Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

#### References

Alibardi L, Astrup TF, Asunis F, et al. (2020) Organic waste biorefineries: Looking towards implementation. Waste Management 114: 274–286.

- Alibardi L and Cossu R (2016) Effects of carbohydrate, protein and lipid content of organic waste on hydrogen production and fermentation products. *Waste Management* 47: 69–77.
- Alkaya E, Kaptan S, Ozkan L, et al. (2009) Recovery of acids from anaerobic acidification broth by liquid-liquid extraction. *Chemosphere* 77: 1137– 1142.
- Amulya K, Jukuri S and Venkata Mohan S (2015) Sustainable multistage process for enhanced productivity of bioplastics from waste remediation through aerobic dynamic feeding strategy: Process integration for upscaling. *Bioresource Technology* 188: 231–239.
- Amulya K, Venkateswar Reddy M and Venkata Mohan S (2014) Acidogenic spent wash valorization through polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) synthesis coupled with fermentative biohydrogen production. *Bioresource Technology* 158: 336–342.
- Arras W, Hussain A, Hausler R, et al. (2019) Mesophilic, thermophilic and hyperthermophilic acidogenic fermentation of food waste in batch: Effect of inoculum source. *Waste Management* 87: 279–287.
- Arslan D, Steinbusch KJJ, Diels L, et al. (2016) Selective short-chain carboxylates production: A review of control mechanisms to direct mixed culture fermentations. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology* 46: 592–634.
- Arslan D, Zhang Y, Steinbusch KJJ, et al. (2017) In-situ carboxylate recovery and simultaneous pH control with tailor-configured bipolar membrane electrodialysis during continuous mixed culture fermentation. *Separation* and Purification Technology 175: 27–35
- Aryal N, Kvist T, Ammam F, et al. (2018) An overview of microbial biogas enrichment. *Bioresource Technology* 264: 359–369.
- Atasoy M, Owusu-Agyeman I, Plaza E, et al. (2018) Bio-based volatile fatty acid production and recovery from waste streams: Current status and future challenges. *Bioresource Technology* 268: 773–786.
- Bastidas-Oyanedel JR, Bonk F, Thomsen MH, et al. (2015) Dark fermentation biorefinery in the present and future (bio)chemical industry. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology* 14(3): 473–498.
- Bhatt AH, Ren ZJ and Ling T (2020) Value proposition of untapped wet wastes: Carboxylic acid production through anaerobic digestion. *Cell Press* 23: 101221.
- Bugnicourt E, Cinelli P, Lazzeri A, et al. (2014) Polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA): Review of synthesis, characteristics, processing and potential applications in packaging. *Express Polymer Letters* 8: 791–808.
- Bundhoo MAZ and Mohee R (2016) Inhibition of dark fermentative biohydrogen production: A review. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 41: 6713–6733.
- Cappai G, De Gioannis G, Friargiu M, et al. (2014) An experimental study on fermentative H2 production from food waste as affected by pH. *Waste Management* 34: 1510–1519.
- Cappai G, De Gioannis G, Muntoni A, et al. (2018) Biohydrogen production from food waste: Influence of the inoculum-to-substrate ratio. *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 10: 4506.
- Cardeña R, Moreno-Andrade I and Buitrón G (2018) Improvement of the bioelectrochemical hydrogen production from food waste fermentation effluent using a novel start-up strategy. *Journal of Chemical Technology* and Biotechnology 93: 878–886.
- Castillo Martinez FA, Balciunas EM, Salgado JM, et al. (2013) Lactic acid properties, applications and production: A review. *Trends in Food Science and Technology* 30: 70–83
- CataSaady NM (2013) Homoacetogenesis during hydrogen production by mixed cultures dark fermentation: Unresolved challenge. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 38: 13172–13191.
- Cerrillo M, Viñas M and Bonmatí A (2016) Removal of volatile fatty acids and ammonia recovery from unstable anaerobic digesters with a microbial electrolysis cell. *Bioresource Technology* 219: 348–356.
- Chang HN, Kim NJ, Kang J, et al. (2010) Biomass-derived volatile fatty acid platform for fuels and chemicals. *Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering* 15: 1–10.
- Cheah YK, Vidal-Antich C, Dosta J, et al. (2019) Volatile fatty acid production from mesophilic acidogenic fermentation of organic fraction of municipal solid waste and food waste under acidic and alkaline pH. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 26: 35509–35522.
- Chen Y, Luo J, Yan Y, et al. (2013a) Enhancement of propionic acid fraction in volatile fatty acids produced from sludge fermentation by the use of

food waste and Propionibacterium acidipropionici. *Water Research* 47: 615–622.

- Chen Y, Li X, Zheng X, et al. (2013b) Enhanced production of short-chain fatty acid by co-fermentation of waste activated sludge and kitchen waste under alkaline conditions and its application to microbial fuel cells. *Applied Energy* 102: 1197–1204.
- Chi Z, Zheng Y, Ma J, et al. (2011) Oleaginous yeast Cryptococcus curvatus culture with dark fermentation hydrogen production efflent as feedstock for microbial lipid production. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 36: 9542–9550.
- Choi JDR, Chang HN and Han JI (2011) Performance of microbial fuel cell with volatile fatty acids from food wastes. *Biotechnology Letters* 33: 705–714.
- Ciani M, Comitini F and Mannazzu I (2008) Fermentation. In Sven Erik Jørgensen and Brian D. Fath (Editor-in-Chief), Ecological Processes. Vol. [2] of Encyclopedia of Ecology, 5 vols. pp. 1548–1557 Oxford: Elsevier.
- Colombo B, Favini F, Scaglia B, et al. (2017) Enhanced polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) production from the organic fraction of municipal solid waste by using mixed microbial culture. *Biotechnology for Biofuels* 10: 201.
- Dahiya S, Kumar AN, Shanthi Sravan J, et al. (2018) Food waste biorefinery: Sustainable strategy for circular bioeconomy. *Bioresource Technology* 248: 2–12.
- Dahiya S, Sarkar O, Swamy YV, et al. (2015) Acidogenic fermentation of food waste for volatile fatty acid production with co-generation of biohydrogen. *Bioresource Technology* 182: 103–113.
- De Gioannis G, Muntoni A, Polettini A, et al. (2013) A review of dark fermentative hydrogen production from biodegradable municipal waste fractions. *Waste Management* 33.: 1345–1361.
- De Gioannis G, Muntoni A, Polettini A, et al. (2017) Energy recovery from one- and two-stage anaerobic digestion of food waste. *Waste Management* 68: 595–602.
- Deng YJ and Wang SY (2016) Synergistic growth in bacteria depends on substrate complexity. *Journal of Microbiology* 54: 23–30.
- Dessi P, Asunis F, Ravishankar H, et al. (2020) Fermentative hydrogen production from cheese whey with in-line, concentration gradient-driven butyric acid extraction. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 45: 24453–24466.
- Dessi P, Rovira-Alsina L, Sánchez C, et al. (2021) Microbial electrosynthesis: Towards sustainable biorefineries for production of green chemicals from CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. *Biotechnology Advances* 46: 107675.
- Dessie W, Zhang W, Xin F, et al. (2018) Succinic acid production from fruit and vegetable wastes hydrolyzed by on-site enzyme mixtures through solid state fermentation. *Bioresource Technology* 247: 1177–1180.
- Dong L, Zhenhong Y, Yongming S, et al. (2009) Hydrogen production characteristics of the organic fraction of municipal solid wastes by anaerobic mixed culture fermentation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 34: 812–820.
- Easterling ER, French WT, Hernandez R, et al. (2009) The effect of glycerol as a sole and secondary substrate on the growth and fatty acid composition of *Rhodotorula glutinis*. *Bioresource Technology* 100: 356–361.
- Elefsiniotis P, Wareham DG and Smith MO (2004) Use of volatile fatty acids from an acid-phase digester for denitrification. *Journal of Biotechnology* 114: 289–297.
- Eshtaya MK, Rahman NA and Hassan MA (2013) Bioconversion of restaurant waste into polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) by recombinant *E. coli* through anaerobic digestion. *International Journal of Environment and Waste Management* 11: 27–37.
- Fei Q, Chang HN, Shang L, et al. (2011) The effect of volatile fatty acids as a sole carbon source on lipid accumulation by *Cryptococcus albidus* for biodiesel production. *Bioresource Technology* 102: 2695–2701.
- Feng L, Chen Y and Zheng X (2009) Enhancement of waste activated sludge protein conversion and volatile fatty acids accumulation during waste activated sludge anaerobic fermentation by carbohydrate substrate addition: The effect of pH. *Environmental Science and Technology* 43: 4373–4380.
- Feng L, Yan Y and Chen Y (2011) Co-fermentation of waste activated sludge with food waste for short-chain fatty acids production: Effect of pH at ambient temperature. *Frontiers of Environmental Science and Engineering in China* 5: 623–632.

- Feng X-J, Zhang H-B, Liu H-Z, et al. (2016) Recovery processes of organic acids from fermentation broths in the biomass-based industry. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* 26: 1–8.
- Gao R, Li Z, Zhou X, et al. (2017) Oleaginous yeast Yarrowia lipolytica culture with synthetic and food waste-derived volatile fatty acids for lipid production. *Biotechnology for Biofuels* 10: 247.
- Garcia NH, Strazzera G, Frison N, et al. (2018) Volatile fatty acids production from household food waste. *Chemical Engineering Transactions* 64: 103–108.
- Garcia-Aguirre J, Aymerich E, González-Mtnez de Goñi J, et al. (2017) Selective VFA production potential from organic waste streams: Assessing temperature and pH influence. *Bioresource Technology* 244: 1081–1088.
- Ghimire A, Valentino S, Frunzo L, et al. (2015) Biohydrogen production from food waste by coupling semi-continuous dark-photofermentation and residue post-treatment to anaerobic digestion: A synergy for energy recovery. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 40: 16045–16055.
- Ghosh S, Dairkee UK, Chowdhury R, et al. (2017) Hydrogen from food processing wastes via photofermentation using purple non-sulfur bacteria (PNSB): A review. *Energy Conversion and Management* 141: 299–314.
- Gui MM, Lee KT and Bhatia S (2008) Feasibility of edible oil vs. non-edible oil vs. waste edible oil as biodiesel feedstock. *Energy* 33: 1646–1653.
- Hafid HS, Rahman NAA, Omar FN, et al. (2010) A comparative study of organic acids production from kitchen wastes and simulated kitchen waste. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* 4: 639–645.
- Hafuka A, Sakaida K, Satoh H, et al. (2011) Effect of feeding regimens on polyhydroxybutyrate production from food wastes by *Cupriavidus necator. Bioresource Technology* 102: 3551–3553
- Hassan GK, Massanet-Nicolau J, Dinsdale R, et al. (2019) A novel method for increasing biohydrogen production from food waste using electrodialysis. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 44: 14715–14720.
- Hawkes FR, Dinsdale R, Hawkes DL, et al. (2002) Sustainable fermentative hydrogen production: Challenges for process optimisation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 27: 1339–1347.
- He M, Sun Y, Zou D, et al. (2012) Influence of temperature on hydrolysis acidification of food waste. *Proceedia Environmental Sciences* 16: 85–94.
- He X, Yin J, Liu J, et al. (2019) Characteristics of acidogenic fermentation for volatile fatty acid production from food waste at high concentrations of NaCl. *Bioresource Technology* 271: 244–250.
- Hornik K, Zeileis A and Hothorn T (2006) Unbiased recursive partitioning: A conditional inference framework. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* 15: 651–674.
- Hothorn T and Zeileis A (2015) Partykit: A modular toolkit for recursive partytioning in R. Journal of Machine Learning Research 16: 3905–3909.
- Hussain A, Filiatrault M and Guiot SR (2017) Acidogenic digestion of food waste in a thermophilic leach bed reactor: Effect of pH and leachate recirculation rate on hydrolysis and volatile fatty acid production. *Bioresource Technology* 245: 1–9.
- Infantes D, González Del Campo A, Villaseñor J, et al. (2011) Influence of pH, temperature and volatile fatty acids on hydrogen production by acidogenic fermentation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 36: 15595–15601.
- Jankowska E, Chwialkowska J, Stodolny M, et al. (2017) Volatile fatty acids production during mixed culture fermentation: The impact of substrate complexity and pH. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 326: 901–910.
- Jia J, Tang Y, Liu B, et al. (2013) Electricity generation from food wastes and microbial community structure in microbial fuel cells. *Bioresource Technology* 144: 94–99.
- Jiang J, Zhang Y, Li K, et al. (2013) Volatile fatty acids production from food waste: Effects of pH, temperature, and organic loading rate. *Bioresource Technology* 143: 525–530.
- Jiang J, Zhao Q, Zhang J, et al. (2009) Electricity generation from bio-treatment of sewage sludge with microbial fuel cell. *Bioresource Technology* 100: 5808–5812.
- Jones RJ, Massanet-Nicolau J, Mulder MJJ, et al. (2017) Increased biohydrogen yields, volatile fatty acid production and substrate utilisation rates via the electrodialysis of a continually fed sucrose fermenter. *Bioresource Technology* 229: 46–52

- Khan MA, Ngo HH, Guo WS, et al. (2016) Optimization of process parameters for production of volatile fatty acid, biohydrogen and methane from anaerobic digestion. *Bioresource Technology* 219: 738–748.
- Khanal SK, Chen WH, Li L, et al. (2004) Biological hydrogen production: Effects of pH and intermediate products. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 29: 1123–1131.
- Kim E, Shin SG, Jannat MAH, et al. (2017) Use of food waste-recycling wastewater as an alternative carbon source for denitrification process: A full-scale study. *Bioresource Technology* 245: 1016–1021.
- Kim HJ, Kim SH, Choi YG, et al. (2006) Effect of enzymatic pretreatment on acid fermentation of food waste. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology* 81: 974–980
- Kim MS, Na JG, Lee MK, et al. (2016) More value from food waste: Lactic acid and biogas recovery. *Water Research* 96: 208–216
- Komemoto K, Lim YG, Nagao N, et al. (2009) Effect of temperature on VFA's and biogas production in anaerobic solubilization of food waste. *Waste Management* 29: 2950–2955.
- Lee HS, Salerno MB and Rittmann BE (2008) Thermodynamic evaluation on H2 production in glucose fermentation. *Environmental Science and Technology* 42: 2401–2407.
- Lee WS, Chua ASM, Yeoh HK, et al. (2014) A review of the production and applications of waste-derived volatile fatty acids. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 235: 83–99.
- Lenin Babu M, Venkata Subhash G, Sarma PN, et al. (2013) Bio-electrolytic conversion of acidogenic effluents to biohydrogen: An integration strategy for higher substrate conversion and product recovery. *Bioresource Technology* 133: 322–331.
- Leung CCJ, Cheung ASY, Zhang AYZ, et al. (2012) Utilisation of waste bread for fermentative succinic acid production. *Biochemical Engineering Journal* 65: 10–15.
- Li X, Chen Y, Zhao S, et al. (2014) Lactic acid accumulation from sludge and food waste to improve the yield of propionic acid-enriched VFA. *Biochemical Engineering Journal* 84: 28–35.
- Lim SJ, Choi DW, Lee WG, et al. (2000) Volatile fatty acids production from food wastes and its application to biological nutrient removal. *Bioprocess Engineering* 22: 543–545.
- Lim SJ, Kim BJ, Jeong CM, et al. (2008) Anaerobic organic acid production of food waste in once-a-day feeding and drawing-off bioreactor. *Bioresource Technology* 99: 7866–7874.
- Lin CY and Lay CH (2004) Carbon/nitrogen-ratio effect on fermentative hydrogen production by mixed microflora. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 29(1): 41–45.
- Liu H, Wang J, Wang A, et al. (2011) Chemical inhibitors of methanogenesis and putative applications. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 89: 1333–1340.
- Liu J, Huang X, Chen R, et al. (2017a) Efficient bioconversion of high-content volatile fatty acids into microbial lipids by *Cryptococcus curvatus* ATCC 20509. *Bioresource Technology* 239: 394–401.
- Liu N, Wang Q, Jiang J, et al. (2017b) Effects of salt and oil concentrations on volatile fatty acid generation in food waste fermentation. *Renewable Energy* 113: 1523–1528.
- Liu W, Huang S, Zhou A, et al. (2012) Hydrogen generation in microbial electrolysis cell feeding with fermentation liquid of waste activated sludge. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 37: 13859–13864.
- Micolucci F, Gottardo M, Bolzonella D, et al. (2020) Pilot-scale multi-purposes approach for volatile fatty acid production, hydrogen and methane from an automatic controlled anaerobic process. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 277: 124297.
- Modestra JA, Babu ML and Mohan SV (2015) Electro-fermentation of real-field acidogenic spent wash effluents for additional biohydrogen production with simultaneous treatment in a microbial electrolysis cell. *Separation and Purification Technology* 150: 308–315.
- Mohanakrishna G, Venkata Mohan S and Sarma PN (2010) Utilizing acidrich effluents of fermentative hydrogen production process as substrate for harnessing bioelectricity: An integrative approach. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 35: 3440–3449.
- Moqsud MA, Omine K, Yasufuku N, et al. (2014) Bioelectricity from kitchen and bamboo waste in a microbial fuel cell. *Waste Management and Research* 32: 124–130.

- Moretto G, Russo I, Bolzonella D, et al. (2020) An urban biorefinery for food waste and biological sludge conversion into polyhydroxyalkanoates and biogas. *Water Research* 170.
- Market Research Report (2021) Carboxylic Acid Market Research Report by Product, by Applicatiion - Global Forecast to 2025 - Cumulative Impact of COVID-19. ID: 5913737, 194.
- Moretto G, Valentino F, Pavan P, et al. (2019) Optimization of urban waste fermentation for volatile fatty acids production. *Waste Management* 92: 21–29.
- Nathao C, Sirisukpoka U and Pisutpaisal N (2013) Production of hydrogen and methane by one and two stage fermentation of food waste. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 38: 15764–15769.
- Nielsen C, Rahman A, Rehman AU, et al. (2017) Food waste conversion to microbial polyhydroxyalkanoates. *Microbial Biotechnology* 10: 1338–1352.
- Oh JI, Lee J, Lin KYA, et al. (2018) Biogas production from food waste via anaerobic digestion with wood chips. *Energy and Environment* 29: 1365–1372.
- Omar FN, Rahman NA, Hafid HS, et al. (2011) Utilization of kitchen waste for the production of green thermoplastic polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) by *Cupriavidus necator* CCGUG 52238. *African Journal of Microbiology Research* 5: 2873–2879.
- O-Thong S, Mamimin C and Prasertsan P (2018) Biohythane production from organic wastes by two-stage anaerobic fermentation technology.
   In: Nageswara-Rao M and Soneji JR (eds) Advances in Biofuels and Bioenergy. London, UK: IntechOpen, pp. 83–116.
- Outram V and Zhang Y (2018) Solvent-free membrane extraction of volatile fatty acids from acidogenic fermentation. *Bioresource Technology* 270: 400–408.
- Pan J, Chen X, Sheng K, et al. (2013) Effect of ammonia on biohydrogen production from food waste via anaerobic fermentation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 38: 12747–12754.
- Papanikolaou S, Galiotou-Panayotou M, Fakas S, et al. (2007) Lipid production by oleaginous Mucorales cultivated on renewable carbon sources. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology* 109: 1060–1070.
- Patel A, Hrůzová K, Rova U, et al. (2019) Sustainable biorefinery concept for biofuel production through holistic volarization of food waste. *Bioresource Technology* 294: 122247.
- Puig S, Serra M, Coma M, et al. (2011) Simultaneous domestic wastewater treatment and renewable energy production using microbial fuel cells (MFCs). *Water Science and Technology* 64: 904–909.
- Ratledge C (2004) Fatty acid biosynthesis in microorganisms being used for single cell oil production. *Biochimie* 86: 807–815.
- Raza ZA, Abid S and Banat IM (2018) Polyhydroxyalkanoates: Characteristics, production, recent developments and applications. *International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation* 126: 45–56.
- Rebecchi S, Pinelli D, Bertin L, et al. (2016) Volatile fatty acids recovery from the effluent of an acidogenic digestion process fed with grape pomace by adsorption on ion exchange resins. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 306: 629–639.
- Ren N, Guo W, Liu B, et al. (2011) Biological hydrogen production by dark fermentation: Challenges and prospects towards scaled-up production. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 22: 365–370.
- Reungsang A, Zhong N, Yang Y, et al. (2018) Hydrogen from photo fermentation. In: *Bioreactors for Microbial Biomass and Energy, Springer Singapore*. pp. 221–317.
- Reyhanitash E, Kersten SRA and Schuur B (2017) Recovery of volatile fatty acids from fermented wastewater by adsorption. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry and Engineering* 5: 9176–9184.
- Rikame SS, Mungray AA and Mungray AK (2012) Electricity generation from acidogenic food waste leachate using dual chamber mediator less microbial fuel cell. *International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation* 75: 131–137.
- Rivera I, Buitrón G, Bakonyi P, et al. (2015) Hydrogen production in a microbial electrolysis cell fed with a dark fermentation effluent. *Journal of Applied Electrochemistry* 45: 1223–1229.
- Rodriguez-Perez S, Serrano A, Pantión AA, et al. (2018) Challenges of scaling-up PHA production from waste streams: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management* 205: 215–230.

- Roy S and Das D (2016) Biohythane production from organic wastes: present state of art. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 23: 9391– 9410.
- Sabapathy PC, Devaraj S, Meixner K, et al. (2020) Recent developments in Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) production: A review. *Bioresource Technology* 306: 123–132.
- Sahu O (2019) Sustainable and clean treatment of industrial wastewater with microbial fuel cell. *Results in Engineering* 4: 100053.
- Sekoai PT, Ghimire A, Ezeokoli OT, et al. (2021) Valorization of volatile fatty acids from the dark fermentation waste Streams-A promising pathway for a biorefinery concept. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 143: 110971.
- Sharma P, Gaur VK, Kim SH, et al. (2020) Microbial strategies for biotransforming food waste into resources. *Bioresource Technology* 299: 122580.
- Shen D, Yin J, Yu X, et al. (2017) Acidogenic fermentation characteristics of different types of protein-rich substrates in food waste to produce volatile fatty acids. *Bioresource Technology* 227: 125–132.
- Shen L, Hu H, Ji H, et al. (2014) Production of poly(hydroxybutyratehydroxyvalerate) from waste organics by the two-stage process: Focus on the intermediate volatile fatty acids. *Bioresource Technology* 166: 194–200.
- Shin HS, Youn JH and Kim SH (2004) Hydrogen production from food waste in anaerobic mesophilic and thermophilic acidogenesis. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 29: 1355–1363.
- Silva FC, Serafim LS, Nadais H, et al. (2013) Acidogenic fermentation towards valorisation of organic waste streams into volatile fatty acids. *Chemical and Biochemical Engineering Quarterly* 27: 467–476.
- Soomro AF, Ni Z, Ying L, et al. (2019) The effect of ISR on OFMSW during acidogenic fermentation for the production of AD precursor: Kinetics and synergies. RSC Advances 9: 18147–18156.
- Strazzera G, Battista F, Garcia NH, et al. (2018) Volatile fatty acids production from food wastes for biorefinery platforms: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management* 226: 278–288
- Steen EJ, Kang Y, Bokinsky G, et al. (2010) Microbial production of fattyacid-derived fuels and chemicals from plant biomass. *Nature* 463: 559– 562.
- Sun Z, Li M, Qi Q, et al. (2014) Mixed food waste as renewable feedstock in succinic acid fermentation. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology* 174: 1822–1833.
- Tang J, Wang X, Hu Y, et al. (2016) Lactic acid fermentation from food waste with indigenous microbiota: Effects of pH, temperature and high OLR. *Waste Management* 52: 278–285.
- Teigiserova DA, Hamelin L and Thomsen M (2019) Review of high-value food waste and food residues biorefineries with focus on unavoidable wastes from processing. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 149: 413–426.
- Teng SX, Tong ZH, Li WW, et al. (2010) Electricity generation from mixed volatile fatty acids using microbial fuel cells. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 87: 2365–2372.
- Tsang YF, Kumar V, Samadar P, et al. (2019) Production of bioplastic through food waste valorization. *Environment International* 127: 625–644.
- Vajpeyi S and Chandran K (2015) Microbial conversion of synthetic and food waste-derived volatile fatty acids to lipids. *Bioresource Technology* 188: 49–55.
- Valdez-Vazquez I and Poggi-Varaldo HM (2009) Alkalinity and high total solids affecting H2 production from organic solid waste by anaerobic consortia. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 34: 3639–3646.
- Valentino F, Gottardo M, Micolucci F, et al. (2018) Organic fraction of municipal solid waste recovery by conversion into added-value polyhydroxyalkanoates and biogas. ACS Sustainable Chemistry and Engineering 6: 16375–16385.
- Valentino F, Moretto G, Lorini L, et al. (2019) Pilot-scale polyhydroxyalkanoate production from combined treatment of organic fraction of municipal solid waste and sewage sludge. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research* 58: 12149–12158.
- Venkata Mohan S, Rohit MV, Amulya K, et al. (2019) Acidogenic Biohydrogen Production Integrated With Biorefinery Approach. In: Biohydrogen (Second Edition), Elsevier B.V., 369–381.

- Venkateswar Reddy M and Venkata Mohan S (2012) Influence of aerobic and anoxic microenvironments on polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA) production from food waste and acidogenic effluents using aerobic consortia. *Bioresource Technology* 103: 313–321.
- Voelklein MA, Jacob A, O' Shea R, et al. (2016) Assessment of increasing loading rate on two-stage digestion of food waste. *Bioresource Technology* 202: 172–180.
- Wang K, Yin J, Shen D, et al. (2014) Anaerobic digestion of food waste for volatile fatty acids (VFAs) production with different types of inoculum: Effect of pH. *Bioresource Technology* 161: 395–401.
- Wang X and Zhao Y cai (2009) A bench scale study of fermentative hydrogen and methane production from food waste in integrated two-stage process. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 34: 245–254.
- Wen Q, Ji Y, Hao Y, et al. (2018) Effect of sodium chloride on polyhydroxyalkanoate production from food waste fermentation leachate under different organic loading rate. *Bioresource Technology* 267: 133–140.
- Wu QL, Guo WQ, Zheng HS, et al. (2016) Enhancement of volatile fatty acid production by co-fermentation of food waste and excess sludge without pH control: The mechanism and microbial community analyses. *Bioresource Technology* 216: 653–660.
- Wu Y, Ma H, Zheng M, et al. (2015) Lactic acid production from acidogenic fermentation of fruit and vegetable wastes. *Bioresource Technology* 191: 53–58.
- Xu F, Li Yangyang Ge X, et al. (2018) Anaerobic digestion of food waste - Challenges and opportunities. *Bioresource Technology* 247: 1047– 1058.
- Xu SY, Karthikeyan OP, Selvam A, et al. (2012) Effect of inoculum to substrate ratio on the hydrolysis and acidification of food waste in leach bed reactor. *Bioresource Technology* 126: 425–430.
- Yan BH, Selvam A, Xu SY, et al. (2014) A novel way to utilize hydrogen and carbon dioxide in acidogenic reactor through homoacetogenesis. *Bioresource Technology* 159: 249–257.
- Yin J, Wang K, Yang Y, et al. (2014) Improving production of volatile fatty acids from food waste fermentation by hydrothermal pretreatment. *Bioresource Technology* 171: 323–329.
- Yin J, Yu X, Wang K, et al. (2016a) Acidogenic fermentation of the main substrates of food waste to produce volatile fatty acids. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 41: 21713–21720.

- Yin J, Yu X, Zhang Y, et al. (2016b) Enhancement of acidogenic fermentation for volatile fatty acid production from food waste: Effect of redox potential and inoculum. *Bioresource Technology* 216: 996–1003.
- Yu P, Tu W, Wu M, et al. (2021) Pilot-scale fermentation of urban food waste for volatile fatty acids production: The importance of pH. *Bioresource Technology* 332: 125116.
- Yuan H, Chen Y, Zhang H, et al. (2006) Improved bioproduction of shortchain fatty acids (SCFAs) from excess sludge under alkaline conditions. *Environmental Science and Technology* 40: 2025–2029.
- Yun YM, Lee MK, Im SW, et al. (2018) Biohydrogen production from food waste: Current status, limitations, and future perspectives. *Bioresource Technology* 248: 79–87.
- Zhang AYZ, Sun Z, Leung CCJ, et al. (2013) Valorisation of bakery waste for succinic acid production. *Green Chemistry* 15: 690–695.
- Zhang C and Chen Y (2009) Simultaneous nitrogen and phosphorus recovery from sludge-fermentation liquid mixture and application of the fermentation liquid to enhance municipal wastewater biological nutrient removal. *Environmental Science and Technology* 43: 6164–6170.
- Zhang Y, Wang XC, Cheng Z, et al. (2016) Effect of fermentation liquid from food waste as a carbon source for enhancing denitrification in wastewater treatment. *Chemosphere* 144: 689–696.
- Zhang ZP, Show KY, Tay JH, et al. (2008) Biohydrogen production with anaerobic fluidized bed reactors: A comparison of biofilm-based and granulebased systems. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 33: 1559–1564.
- Zhao JH, Zhang B and Cai WM (2006) Influence of temperature on hydrolysis and acidogenesis of kitchen wastes in two-phase anaerobic digestion. *Huanjing Kexue/Environmental Science* 27: 1682–1686.
- Zhou M, Yan B, Wong JWC, et al. (2018) Enhanced volatile fatty acids production from anaerobic fermentation of food waste: A mini-review focusing on acidogenic metabolic pathways. *Bioresource Technology* 248: 68–78.
- Zhu H, Parker W, Basnar R, et al. (2009) Buffer requirements for enhanced hydrogen production in acidogenic digestion of food wastes. *Bioresource Technology* 100: 5097–5102.
- Zhu Y and Yang ST (2004) Effect of pH on metabolic pathway shift in fermentation of xylose by *Clostridium tyrobutyricum*. *Journal of Biotechnology* 110: 143–157.
- Zong W, Yu R, Zhang P, et al. (2009) Efficient hydrogen gas production from cassava and food waste by a two-step process of dark fermentation and photo-fermentation. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 33: 1458–1463.