

OVERDETERMINED PROBLEMS AND RELATIVE CHEEGER SETS IN UNBOUNDED DOMAINS

Danilo Gregorin AFONSO, Alessandro IACOPEPPI, Filomena PACELLA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study a partially overdetermined mixed boundary value problem for domains Ω contained in an unbounded set \mathcal{C} . We introduce the notion of Cheeger set relative to \mathcal{C} and show that if a domain $\Omega \subset \mathcal{C}$ admits a solution of the overdetermined problem, then it coincides with its relative Cheeger set. We also study the related problem of characterizing constant mean curvature surfaces Γ inside \mathcal{C} . In the case when \mathcal{C} is a cylinder we obtain further results whenever the relative boundary of Ω or the surface Γ is a graph on the base of the cylinder.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given an unbounded Lipschitz domain $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 2$, we consider a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathcal{C}$ and denote by Γ_Ω its *relative (to \mathcal{C}) boundary*, i.e., $\Gamma_\Omega = \partial\Omega \cap \mathcal{C}$. Then we set $\Gamma_{1,\Omega} = \partial\Omega \cap \partial\mathcal{C}$ and assume that $\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(\Gamma_{1,\Omega}) > 0$, where \mathcal{H}_{N-1} denotes the $(N-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure.

We study the partially overdetermined mixed boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = 1 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_\Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_{1,\Omega} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = -c < 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_\Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant and ν denotes the exterior unit normal, which is defined on the regular part of $\partial\Omega$. The question we address is to determine the domains $\Omega \subset \mathcal{C}$ for which (1.1) admits a solutions. Obviously, this depends on the given container \mathcal{C} .

A related question is to characterize the surfaces Γ in the set \mathcal{C} with constant mean curvature (CMC, in short). More precisely, we consider CMC smooth, bounded, connected, orientable $(N-1)$ -dimensional manifolds Γ inside \mathcal{C} whose boundary is contained in $\partial\mathcal{C}$ and intersects $\partial\mathcal{C}$ orthogonally. The characterization of such surfaces also depends on the set \mathcal{C} .

Both questions were addressed in [15] (see also [16]) in the case when \mathcal{C} is a cone. The overdetermined problem for more general equations in cones was considered in [4].

When the cone is convex, the results of [15] completely characterize the domains Ω for which (1.1) admits a solutions, as well as the CMC surfaces which intersect $\partial\mathcal{C}$ orthogonally: they are either spherical sectors centered at the vertex of the cone or half-balls lying on a flat portion of $\partial\mathcal{C}$. For nonconvex cones a similar characterization has been proved,

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J25, 35N25, 49Q10, 53A10.

Key words and phrases. Overdetermined elliptic problem, shape optimization in unbounded domains, cartesian graphs, constant mean curvature surfaces.

Acknowledgements. Research partially supported by Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM).

but only for CMC radial graphs ([15, 16]). On the other side, nonradiality results have been proved for a class of nonconvex cones ([7]).

In this paper we study the aforementioned questions when \mathcal{C} is a general unbounded smooth set. More precise results will be obtained when the unbounded set \mathcal{C} is a cylinder in \mathbb{R}^N spanned by a smooth bounded domain $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. We denote it by \mathcal{C}_ω , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{C}_\omega := \omega \times (0, +\infty) = \{x = (x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : x' \in \omega, x_N \in (0, +\infty)\}. \quad (1.2)$$

In this case we obtain a result about CMC surfaces $\Gamma = \Gamma_\varphi$ inside \mathcal{C}_ω which are graphs of smooth functions φ defined on $\bar{\omega}$. We prove that if Γ_φ meets $\partial\mathcal{C}$ orthogonally, then the mean curvature of Γ_φ is necessarily 0 and Γ_φ is the graph of a constant function (see Proposition 2.1).

Then we consider the Lipschitz domain

$$\Omega_\varphi := \{(x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : x' \in \omega, x_N < \varphi(x')\} \subset \mathcal{C}_\omega$$

and study the overdetermined problem (1.1) in Ω_φ . In view of Proposition 2.1 and the results in cones, it would be natural to conjecture that the domains Ω_φ for which (1.1) admits a solution are the ones corresponding to a function $\varphi \equiv h$ for some $h > 0$, so that Ω_φ is the bounded cylinder $\omega \times (0, h)$. In particular, Γ_φ would have zero mean curvature. We are not able to prove this but we show some partial results.

In the case when \mathcal{C} is a general Lipschitz unbounded domain we introduce the definition of relative (to \mathcal{C}) Cheeger set for a domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. It generalizes the classical one which goes back to [3] (see also [13, 17]). Then we show that, if \mathcal{C} is convex, any bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathcal{C}$ for which (1.1) admits a solution coincides with its relative Cheeger set. This is an interesting geometric property of Ω which sheds light on the connections between overdetermined and isoperimetric problems. We also show some properties of relative self-Cheeger sets.

We delay the precise statement of the results and the comments on the proofs to the corresponding sections.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we study CMC surfaces in cylinders. In Section 3 we introduce the relative Cheeger problem and prove some properties of relative Cheeger sets. We also provide a bound on the first eigenvalue of the mixed boundary value problem for the Laplacian in terms of the Cheeger constant. The overdetermined problem is studied in Section 4.

2. CMC CARTESIAN GRAPHS

Let $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ be a smooth bounded domain and let $\mathcal{C}_\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be the half-cylinder spanned by ω , i.e., $\mathcal{C}_\omega = \omega \times (0, +\infty)$. We denote by $\partial\mathcal{C}_\omega^+$ the lateral part of $\partial\mathcal{C}_\omega$, i.e.,

$$\partial\mathcal{C}_\omega^+ := \partial\mathcal{C}_\omega \cap \{x_N > 0\}.$$

Let $\varphi \in C^2(\bar{\omega})$ be such that $\varphi > 0$ in $\bar{\omega}$ and consider the associated cartesian graph

$$\Gamma_\varphi := \{(x', x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : x' \in \omega, x_N = \varphi(x')\}.$$

By construction we have that $\Gamma_\varphi \subset \mathcal{C}_\omega$ and Γ_φ meets $\partial\mathcal{C}_\omega$ only on $\partial\mathcal{C}_\omega^+$. The main result of this section is the following:

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that Γ_φ is a CMC surface which meets $\partial\mathcal{C}_\omega^+$ orthogonally. Then Γ_φ is a minimal hypersurface and φ is a constant function.*

Proof. Since Γ_φ is a smooth cartesian graph, then the mean curvature of Γ_φ (with respect to the exterior unit normal ν_{Γ_φ}) is given by

$$H_{\Gamma_\varphi} = -\frac{1}{N-1} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla \varphi}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \varphi|^2}} \right) \quad (2.1)$$

and, as H_{Γ_φ} is constant, then integrating (2.1) over ω we deduce that

$$(N-1)H_{\Gamma_\varphi}|\omega| = - \int_\omega \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla \varphi}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \varphi|^2}} \right) dx'. \quad (2.2)$$

On the other hand, by the divergence theorem we have

$$\int_\omega \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla \varphi}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \varphi|^2}} \right) dx' = \int_{\partial\omega} \frac{\nabla \varphi \cdot \nu_{\partial\omega}}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \varphi|^2}} d\sigma, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\nu_{\partial\omega}$ is the unit outward normal to $\partial\omega$. Let us observe that since Γ_φ meets $\partial\mathcal{C}_\omega^+$ orthogonally, then for all $x \in \bar{\Gamma}_\varphi \cap \partial\mathcal{C}_\omega$ it holds

$$\nu_{\Gamma_\varphi}(x) \cdot \nu_{\partial\mathcal{C}_\omega^+}(x) = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Moreover, as Γ_φ is a cartesian graph, for all $x = (x', x_N) \in \bar{\Gamma}_\varphi$ we have

$$\nu_{\Gamma_\varphi}(x) = \nu_{\Gamma_\varphi}(x', \varphi(x')) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \varphi(x')|^2}} \begin{bmatrix} -\nabla \varphi(x') \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.5)$$

In particular, since $\partial\mathcal{C}_\omega^+$ is a cylinder spanned by $\partial\omega$ we have $\nu_{\partial\mathcal{C}_\omega^+}(x) = (\nu_{\partial\omega}(x'), 0)$ for all $x = (x', x_N) \in \partial\mathcal{C}_\omega^+$. From these considerations, (2.4) and (2.5) we readily obtain that

$$\nabla \varphi(x') \cdot \nu_{\partial\omega}(x') = 0 \quad \forall x \in \partial\omega. \quad (2.6)$$

Hence, combining (2.2), (2.3) and (2.6) we deduce that

$$H_{\Gamma_\varphi} = 0.$$

To conclude it remains to prove that φ is a constant function. Since $H_{\Gamma_\varphi} = 0$, then from (2.1), integrating by parts and taking into account (2.6) it follows that

$$\int_\omega \frac{\nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \psi}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \varphi|^2}} dx' = \int_{\partial\omega} \psi \frac{\nabla \varphi \cdot \nu_{\partial\omega}}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \varphi|^2}} d\sigma = 0 \quad \forall \psi \in C^1(\bar{\omega}).$$

Finally, choosing $\psi = \varphi$ we readily obtain that

$$\int_\omega \frac{|\nabla \varphi|^2}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \varphi|^2}} dx' = 0,$$

which implies $|\nabla \varphi|^2 = 0$ on ω . As ω is connected, we get that φ is a constant function. \square

Finally we prove the following Minkowski formula for graphs, which is interesting in itself and will be used in Section 4.

Proposition 2.2. *Assume that Γ_φ meets $\partial\mathcal{C}_\omega^+$ orthogonally. Then*

$$\int_{\Gamma_\varphi} H_{\Gamma_\varphi} \langle x_N e_N, \nu \rangle d\sigma = \frac{1}{N-1} \int_\omega \frac{|\nabla \varphi|^2}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla \varphi|^2}} dx', \quad (2.7)$$

where $e_N = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Proof. Since Γ_φ is the cartesian graph associated to φ we have

$$d\sigma = \sqrt{1 + |\nabla\varphi|^2} dx'. \quad (2.8)$$

Exploiting (2.1) and (2.5) and integrating by parts we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma_\varphi} H_{\Gamma_\varphi} \langle x_N e_N, \nu \rangle d\sigma &= -\frac{1}{N-1} \int_\omega \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla\varphi}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla\varphi|^2}} \right) \varphi dx' \\ &= \frac{1}{N-1} \left(\int_\omega \frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla\varphi|^2}} dx' - \int_{\partial\omega} \varphi \frac{\nabla\varphi \cdot \nu_{\partial\omega}}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla\varphi|^2}} d\sigma_{\partial\omega} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Finally, since Γ_φ intersects $\partial\mathcal{C}_\omega^+$ orthogonally, then from (2.6) we infer that the last integral in (2.9) is zero, and (2.7) readily follows. \square

3. RELATIVE CHEEGER SETS

Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an unbounded Lipschitz domain. Let us recall the definition of relative perimeter.

Definition 3.1. The *relative (to \mathcal{C}) perimeter* of a set $E \subset \mathcal{C}$ is

$$P_{\mathcal{C}}(E) := |D\chi_E|_{\mathcal{C}}(E) = \sup \left\{ \int_E \operatorname{div} \psi dx : \psi \in C_c^1(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{R}^N), \|\psi\|_\infty \leq 1 \right\},$$

where χ_E is the characteristic function of E . Of course, a set is said to be of *finite (relative) perimeter* when $P_{\mathcal{C}}(E) < +\infty$.

Note that if $\Gamma_E := \partial E \cap \mathcal{C}$ is Lipschitz, then

$$P_{\mathcal{C}}(E) = \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(\Gamma_E) = \int_{\Gamma_E} 1 d\sigma. \quad (3.1)$$

Let $|E|$ denote the Lebesgue measure of E , which will also be called the volume of E . Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and set, as in Section 1, $\Gamma_\Omega := \partial\Omega \cap \mathcal{C}$.

Definition 3.2. The *relative (to \mathcal{C}) Cheeger constant* of $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is

$$h_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega) := \inf_{E \subseteq \Omega} \frac{P_{\mathcal{C}}(E)}{|E|}.$$

The sets which attain the minimum will be called the *relative (to \mathcal{C}) Cheeger sets* of Ω . If Ω itself is a Cheeger set, then Ω is said to be *self-Cheeger*.

The problem of finding $h_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega)$ and the associated Cheeger set (or sets) has many interesting motivations and applications. The Cheeger constant $h(\Omega)$ first appeared in a bound for the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on manifolds ([3]); see [9] for the link with the spectral theory for the p -Laplacian. See [11] and the references therein for fracture problems in mechanics of materials; [8] for a landslide problem; [2] for a generalization of the Cheeger problem in image processing. Regarding the Cheeger problem on its own, see [1] for a study via p -torsion functions; [10] for a characterization of Cheeger sets of convex plane domains. The surveys [17, 13] provide a nice overview.

We now show some properties of relative Cheeger sets.

Proposition 3.3 (Existence). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathcal{C}$ be a bounded domain such that Γ_Ω is Lipschitz. Then there exists at least one Cheeger set for Ω .*

Proof. The proof is a straightforward adaptation of the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [17]. The important thing to note is that the test functions φ have compact support in \mathcal{C} , so they don't "see" the boundary of the container. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be a cone with vertex at the origin, i.e., $\mathcal{C} = \{tx : x \in D, t \in (0, +\infty)\}$ where D is a domain on the unit sphere S^{N-1} . Let $\Omega \subset \mathcal{C}$ be a bounded domain such that Γ_Ω is Lipschitz. If E is a Cheeger set for Ω , then $\partial E \cap \Gamma_\Omega \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. We adapt the proof of [17, Proposition 3.5]. Note that the fact that the cone is invariant by dilation plays a crucial role in the proof.

For the sake of contradiction, suppose that $d(\partial E, \Gamma_\Omega) \geq \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$. Then we can find some $t > 1$ such that the set

$$tE := \{x \in \mathcal{C} : t^{-1}x \in E\}$$

is still in Ω . By the change of variables formula we have

$$|tE| = \int_{tE} 1 \, dx = \int_E t^N \, dx = t^N |E|.$$

To compute the perimeter one proceeds as follows. By the definition of relative perimeter, the chain rule and the change of variables formula we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathcal{C}}(tE) &= \sup \left\{ \int_{tE} \operatorname{div} \psi(x) \, dx : \psi \in C_c^1(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{R}^N), \|\psi\|_\infty \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \int_E \operatorname{div}(\psi(t^{-1}x)) t^N \, dx : \psi \in C_c^1(\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{R}^N), \|\psi\|_\infty \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= t^{N-1} P_{\mathcal{C}}(E). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the set of functions where we compute the supremum is the same, but when computing the divergence we must take into account the dilation of the argument.

Now it remains to observe that

$$\frac{P_{\mathcal{C}}(tE)}{|tE|} = \frac{t^{N-1} P_{\mathcal{C}}(E)}{t^N |E|} < h_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega),$$

which contradicts the definitions of (relative) Cheeger constant and Cheeger set. \square

We can prove a similar result in cylinders.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ and let \mathcal{C}_ω be the cylinder spanned by ω . Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathcal{C}_\omega$ is a bounded domain such that Γ_Ω is a connected surface whose projection into \mathbb{R}^{N-1} is exactly ω . If some relative Cheeger set E_f of Ω is defined by the graph of a function f on ω , i.e., $E_f = \{(x', x_N) \in \mathcal{C}_\omega : 0 < x_N < f(x')\}$, then $\Gamma_{E_f} \cap \Gamma_\Omega \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Note that $\Gamma_{E_f} = \partial E_f \cap \mathcal{C}$ is just the graph Γ_f of the function f . If $\Gamma_f \cap \Gamma_\Omega = \emptyset$, considering the domain $E_{f+\delta}$ defined by the function $f + \delta$, for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small so that $E_{f+\delta} \subseteq \Omega$, we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(\Gamma_{f+\delta})}{|E_{f+\delta}|} = \frac{\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(\Gamma_f)}{|E_{f+\delta}|} < \frac{\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(\Gamma_f)}{|E_f|},$$

contradicting the definition of a Cheeger set. \square

Note that in the previous proof we can allow f to be zero at some strict subset of ω .

Remark 3.6. The Cheeger constant can be obtained by minimization in the class of smooth subdomains of Ω , see the proof of Theorem 1 in [14] and the references therein.

When \mathcal{C} is a cylinder and Ω_φ is the domain defined by the graph of a function $\varphi \in C^2(\bar{\omega})$ we have the following result:

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ be a smooth bounded domain and consider the cylinder C_ω spanned by ω . Let $\varphi \in C^2(\bar{\omega})$ be a positive function and assume that Γ_φ meets ∂C_ω orthogonally. If Ω_φ is self-Cheeger, then*

$$H_{\Gamma_\varphi} \leq \frac{P_{C_\omega}(\Omega_\varphi)}{(N-1)|\Omega_\varphi|} \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. For any C^1 function $v \leq 0$ on $\bar{\omega}$, the relative perimeter of the perturbed domain $\Omega_{\varphi+tv}$ is given by (recall (3.1) and (2.8)):

$$\begin{aligned} p_v(t) &:= P_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega_{\varphi+tv}) = \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(\Gamma_{\varphi+tv}) \\ &= \int_{\omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla\varphi + t\nabla v|^2} \, dx'. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

We also define

$$V_v(t) := |\Omega_{\varphi+tv}| = \int_{\omega} \varphi + tv \, dx'. \quad (3.4)$$

Here we are considering t small enough for these quantities to make sense in the container, that is, $\varphi + tv \geq 0$.

If Ω_φ is self-Cheeger, then

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{p_v(t)}{V_v(t)} \right) \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{p'_v(t)V_v(t) - p_v(t)V'_v(t)}{(V_v(t))^2} \right|_{t=0} \geq 0 \quad (3.5)$$

for all negative $v \in C^1(\bar{\omega})$.

Since $(V_v(t))^2$ is always positive, we have that

$$p'_v(0)V_v(0) - p_v(0)V'_v(0) \geq 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Simple computations yield

$$p'_v(t) = \int_{\omega} \frac{\nabla\varphi \cdot \nabla v + t|\nabla v|^2}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla\varphi + t\nabla v|^2}} \, dx' \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$V'_v(t) = \int_{\omega} v \, dx'. \quad (3.8)$$

Then

$$p'_v(0)V_v(0) - p_v(0)V'_v(0) = \int_{\omega} \frac{\nabla\varphi \cdot \nabla v}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla\varphi|^2}} \, dx' |\Omega_\varphi| - P_{C_\omega}(\Omega_\varphi) \int_{\omega} v \, dx'. \quad (3.9)$$

Integrating by parts and using the divergence theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\omega} \frac{\nabla\varphi \cdot \nabla v}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla\varphi|^2}} \, dx' &= \int_{\omega} \operatorname{div} \left(v \frac{\nabla\varphi}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla\varphi|^2}} \right) \, dx' - \int_{\omega} v \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla\varphi}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla\varphi|^2}} \right) \, dx' \\ &= \int_{\partial\omega} v \frac{\nabla\varphi \cdot \nu_{\partial\omega}}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla\varphi|^2}} \, dx' - \int_{\omega} v \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla\varphi}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla\varphi|^2}} \right) \, dx' \\ &= - \int_{\omega} v \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla\varphi}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla\varphi|^2}} \right) \, dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

since Γ_φ meets $\partial\mathcal{C}_\omega$ orthogonally (see the proof of Proposition 2.1). Then, substituting (3.10) into (3.9) and taking into account (3.6) we get

$$\int_\omega v \left(|\Omega_\varphi| \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla\varphi}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\varphi|^2}} \right) + P_{\mathcal{C}_\omega}(\Omega_\varphi) \right) dx' \leq 0 \quad (3.11)$$

for every negative $v \in C^1(\bar{\omega})$. Hence

$$0 \leq |\Omega_\varphi| \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla\varphi}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\varphi|^2}} \right) + P_{\mathcal{C}_\omega}(\Omega_\varphi) = -(N-1)H_{\Gamma_\Omega}|\Omega_\varphi| + P_{\mathcal{C}_\omega}(\Omega_\varphi), \quad (3.12)$$

from which (3.2) readily follows. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ be a smooth bounded domain and consider the cylinder \mathcal{C}_ω spanned by ω . Let $\varphi \in C^2(\bar{\omega})$ be a positive function. Assume that $|\nabla\varphi| \geq \delta$ on $\bar{\omega}$, for some $\delta > 0$. Then Ω_φ cannot be self-Cheeger.*

Proof. Again we apply the idea of perturbing φ , but now the aim is to find a specific function $v \leq 0$ in $C^1(\bar{\omega})$ for which

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{p_v(t)}{V_v(t)} \right) \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{p'_v(t)V_v(t) - p_v(t)V'_v(t)}{(V_v(t))^2} \right|_{t=0} < 0. \quad (3.13)$$

Indeed this will imply that

$$\frac{P_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega_{\varphi+tv})}{|\Omega_{\varphi+tv}|} < \frac{P_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega)}{|\Omega|} \quad (3.14)$$

for every $t < \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

As $(V_v(t))^2$ is always positive, the problem reduces to finding v such that

$$p'_v(0)V_v(0) - p_v(0)V'_v(0) < 0.$$

Take

$$v(x') = -e^{\alpha\varphi(x')}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is a constant to be chosen later. Then

$$\nabla v(x') = -\alpha e^{\alpha\varphi(x')} \nabla\varphi(x')$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p'_v(0)V_v(0) - p_v(0)V'_v(0) &= \int_\omega -\alpha|\Omega_\varphi|e^{\alpha\varphi} \frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\varphi|^2}} dx' + \int_\omega P_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega_\varphi)e^{\alpha\varphi} dx' \\ &\leq \int_\omega e^{\alpha\varphi} \left(P_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega_\varphi) - \alpha|\Omega_\varphi| \frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\varphi|^2}} \right) dx'. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\nabla\varphi|$ is bounded on $\bar{\omega}$ (because φ is smooth) and $|\nabla\varphi| \geq \delta$ on $\bar{\omega}$, choosing α big enough such that

$$P_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega_\varphi) - \alpha|\Omega_\varphi| \frac{\delta^2}{\sqrt{1+\|\nabla\varphi\|_\infty^2}} < 0$$

we easily conclude. \square

We now go back to the case when \mathcal{C} is a general unbounded Lipschitz domain and conclude this section with an application.

Assume that Γ_Ω is smooth and consider the eigenvalue problem with mixed boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_\Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_{1,\Omega} \end{cases}. \quad (3.15)$$

Let $H_0^1(\Omega \cup \Gamma_{1,\Omega})$ be the closure in $H^1(\Omega)$ of the space $C_c^1(\Omega \cup \Gamma_{1,\Omega})$. It is the natural space to study problem (3.15), for it coincides with the space of functions in $H^1(\Omega)$ whose trace vanishes on Γ_Ω .

The spectral theory for this problem is analogous to the one for the Dirichlet-Laplacian¹. In particular, the eigenvalues are all positive, form an increasing divergent sequence and the first eigenfunction is positive. Moreover, the first eigenvalue is characterized by

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) = \min_{v \in H_0^1(\Omega \cup \Gamma_{1,\Omega}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx}{\int_\Omega v^2 dx}.$$

Theorem 3.9. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathcal{C}$ be a bounded domain such that Γ_Ω is Lipschitz. Then*

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) \geq \frac{h_{\mathcal{C}}^2(\Omega)}{4}.$$

Proof. We adapt the proof presented for the Dirichlet- p -Laplacian in the Appendix of [12]

Let $w \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \cup \Gamma_{1,\Omega})$ and $E_t = \{x \in \Omega : w(x) > t\}$. By the classical coarea formula, by the definition of $h_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega)$ and Cavalieri's principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |\nabla w| dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_{N-1}(w^{-1}(t)) dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(w^{-1}(t))}{|E_t|} |E_t| dt \\ &\geq h_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega) \int_\Omega |w| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$h_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega) \leq \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla w| dx}{\int_\Omega w dx} \quad \forall w \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \cup \Gamma_{1,\Omega}), \quad (3.16)$$

and by density (3.16) holds also in $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega \cup \Gamma_{1,\Omega})$.

Now let $v \in H_0^1(\Omega \cup \Gamma_{1,\Omega})$. By Hölder's inequality, it follows that $v^2 \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega \cup \Gamma_{1,\Omega})$. Indeed

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla(v^2)| dx = 2 \int_\Omega |v| |\nabla v| dx \leq 2 \|v\|_2 \|\nabla v\|_2.$$

By (3.16) it follows that

$$h_{\mathcal{C}}(\Omega) \leq \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla(v^2)| dx}{\int_\Omega v^2 dx} \leq 2 \frac{\|v\|_2 \|\nabla v\|_2}{\|v\|_2^2} = 2 \frac{\|\nabla v\|_2}{\|v\|_2}.$$

We conclude the proof by taking into account the variational characterization of the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1(\Omega)$. \square

¹See [5, Section 1.4] for the spectral theory of mixed boundary value problems in a more general setting.

4. THE OVERDETERMINED PROBLEM

Let \mathcal{C} be an unbounded Lipschitz domain and $\Omega \subset \mathcal{C}$ be a bounded domain with smooth relative boundary Γ_Ω .

For a solution u of (1.1) we define

$$P(x) = |Du(x)|^2 + \frac{2}{N}u(x), \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}. \quad (4.1)$$

This function (sometimes called P-function) is often used in the study of overdetermined problems ([18, 15, 6]).

We can give a bound on the curvature of Γ_Ω , with the aid of the following

Lemma 4.1. *If \mathcal{C} is convex and u is a solution of (1.1), then either $P \equiv c^2$ in $\overline{\Omega}$ or $\frac{\partial P}{\partial \nu} > 0$ on Γ_Ω .*

Proof. Direct computations yield

$$DP = 2D^2uDu + \frac{2}{N}Du \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\Delta P = 2\|D^2u\|^2 + 2\langle Du, D(\Delta u) \rangle - \frac{2}{N} = 2 \left(\|D^2u\|^2 - \frac{(\Delta u)^2}{N} \right), \quad (4.3)$$

since $\Delta u = -1$. Here D^2u denotes the Hessian matrix of u and $\|D^2u\|^2$ is the sum of the squares of the elements of D^2u . By [15, inequality (2.4)] it then follows that

$$\Delta P \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (4.4)$$

Moreover, by the boundary conditions for u we obtain the following boundary conditions for P :

$$P \equiv c^2 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_\Omega, \quad \frac{\partial P}{\partial \nu} = 2\langle D^2uDu, \nu \rangle \quad \text{on } \Gamma_{1,\Omega}. \quad (4.5)$$

From the convexity assumption on \mathcal{C} we have that the second fundamental form $h(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\partial\mathcal{C}$ is positive semidefinite at any regular point. On the other hand, by the Neumann condition $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0$ on $\Gamma_{1,\Omega}$ we deduce that Du is tangent to $\Gamma_{1,\Omega}$. Thus, denoting by N_u the vector field differentiating along the direction Du , i.e.,

$$N_u = \sum_{k=1}^N u_k(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k},$$

we obtain

$$0 = N_u(\langle Du, \nu \rangle) = \sum_{j,k=1}^N u_k u_{kj} \nu_j + h(Du, Du) \geq \langle D^2uDu, \nu \rangle.$$

Hence the function P satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta P \geq 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ P = c^2 & \text{on } \Gamma_\Omega \\ \frac{\partial P}{\partial \nu} \leq 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_{1,\Omega} \end{cases}. \quad (4.6)$$

By the maximum principle for the mixed boundary value problem (see [5, Section 1.2.1] or [15, Corollary 2.3]) we obtain $P \leq c^2$ in Ω . Then the strong maximum principle applies, so that either $P \equiv c^2$ in Ω or $P < c^2$ in Ω . In this last case, by Hopf's Lemma we get $\frac{\partial P}{\partial \nu} > 0$ on Γ_Ω . \square

Let $H(x)$ denote the mean curvature at a point $x \in \Gamma_\Omega$.

Proposition 4.2. *If \mathcal{C} is convex and there exists a solution for (1.1) in Ω , then either*

$$H(x) < \frac{1}{Nc} \quad (4.7)$$

or

$$H(x) \equiv \frac{1}{Nc} \quad (4.8)$$

on for every $x \in \Gamma_\Omega$.

Proof. The proof of [6, Lemma 3.3] can be easily adapted to our setting. Denoting for brevity $u_\nu = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$, exploiting that $-\Delta u = 1$ and arguing as in [6, Lemma 3.3] we have

$$u_{\nu\nu} - (N-1)cH(x) = -1 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_\Omega. \quad (4.9)$$

Consider the two possible cases given by Lemma 4.1. In the inequality case,

$$P_\nu = 2u_\nu u_{\nu\nu} + \frac{2}{N}u_\nu > 0. \quad (4.10)$$

Dividing (4.10) by $2u_\nu$ and combining with (4.9) we obtain

$$H(x) < \frac{1}{Nc}.$$

The case of equality in Lemma 4.1 develops similarly. \square

As anticipated, we show that solutions of the overdetermined problem are (relatively) self-Cheeger:

Theorem 4.3. *If \mathcal{C} is convex and there exists a solution u for (1.1) in Ω , then $|Du| \leq c$ in $\overline{\Omega}$, c as in (1.1), and Ω is self-Cheeger.*

Proof. Recall from the proof of Lemma 4.1 that

$$P(x) = |Du(x)|^2 + \frac{2}{N}u(x) \leq c^2$$

in $\overline{\Omega}$. By the maximum principle we have that u is positive, and thus we obtain that $|Du| \leq c$ in $\overline{\Omega}$. It then follows that for any smooth subdomain $E \subset \Omega$ (recall Remark 3.6) we have

$$|E| = \int_E -\Delta u \, dx = \int_{\partial E} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \, d\sigma \leq c\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(\partial E \cap \mathcal{C})$$

because $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0$ on $\Gamma_{1,\Omega}$.

Now, integrating (1.1) in Ω we have

$$|\Omega| = - \int_\Omega \Delta u \, dx = - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \, d\sigma = \int_{\Gamma_\Omega} c \, d\sigma = c\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(\Gamma_\Omega). \quad (4.11)$$

Hence

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(\Gamma_\Omega)}{|\Omega|} = \frac{1}{c} \leq \frac{\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(\partial E \cap \mathcal{C})}{|E|}.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Remark 4.4. The previous theorem allows to study the geometrical properties of the bounded domains Ω which admit a solution of the overdetermined problem (1.1), by looking at those of the self-Cheeger sets. In particular, we point out those provided by Theorems 3.7 and 3.8.

We conclude with a result which applies when $\Omega = \Omega_\varphi$ is defined by the graph of a function φ as in Section 3. It gives a bound for the gradient of φ , whenever Ω_φ admits a solution of the overdetermined problem (1.1).

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ be a convex smooth bounded domain and $\varphi \in C^2(\bar{\omega})$ be a positive function. If Γ_φ meets $\partial\mathcal{C}_\omega$ orthogonally and Ω_φ admits a solution to the overdetermined problem (1.1), then we have a bound from above for $|\nabla\varphi|$:*

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(\Gamma_\varphi)}{N} < \int_\omega \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\varphi|^2}} dx'. \quad (4.12)$$

Proof. From the Minkowski formula (2.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma_\varphi} H_{\Gamma_\varphi} \langle x_N e_N, \nu \rangle d\sigma &= \frac{1}{N-1} \int_\omega \frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\varphi|^2}} dx' \\ &= \frac{1}{N-1} \int_\omega \frac{1+|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\varphi|^2}} dx' - \frac{1}{N-1} \int_\omega \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\varphi|^2}} dx' \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(\Gamma_\varphi)}{N-1} - \frac{1}{N-1} \int_\omega \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\varphi|^2}} dx'. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Now, from the bound on H_{Γ_φ} given by Proposition 4.2 (observe that the case (4.8), i.e. $H_{\Gamma_\varphi} \equiv \frac{1}{Nc}$, cannot happen, in view of Proposition 2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma_\varphi} H_{\Gamma_\varphi} \langle x_N e_N, \nu \rangle d\sigma &< \int_{\Gamma_\varphi} \frac{\langle x_N e_N, \nu \rangle}{Nc} d\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{Nc} \int_\omega \varphi dx' \\ &= \frac{|\Omega_\varphi|}{Nc} = \frac{\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(\Gamma_\varphi)}{N}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

by (4.11). Finally, combining (4.13) and (4.14) we get

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(\Gamma_\varphi)}{N-1} - \frac{1}{N-1} \int_\omega \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla\varphi|^2}} dx' < \frac{\mathcal{H}_{N-1}(\Gamma_\varphi)}{N},$$

and (4.12) readily follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] BUENO, H., AND ERCOLE, G. Solutions of the Cheeger problem via torsion functions. *arXiv:1011.3070v2* (2011).
- [2] CASELLES, V., FACCIOLO, G., AND MEINHARDT, E. Anisotropic cheeger sets and applications. *SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences* 2, 4 (jan 2009), 1211–1254.
- [3] CHEEGER, J. *Problems in Analysis, A Symposium in Honor of Salomon Bochner*. Princeton University Press, 1970, ch. A lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian, pp. 195–199.
- [4] CIRAIOLO, G., AND RONCORONI, A. Serrin’s type overdetermined problems in convex cones. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations* 59, 1 (jan 2020).
- [5] DAMASCELLI, L., AND PACELLA, F. *Morse Index of Solutions of Nonlinear Elliptic Equations*. De Gruyter, 2019.
- [6] FRAGALÀ, I., GAZZOLA, F., AND KAWOHL, B. Overdetermined problems with possibly degenerate ellipticity, a geometric approach. *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 254, 1 (mar 2006), 117–132.
- [7] IACOPETTI, A., PACELLA, F., AND WETH, T. Existence of nonradial domains for overdetermined and isoperimetric problems in nonconvex cones. *arXiv:2111.09817[math.AP]* (2021).
- [8] IONESCU, I. R., AND LACHAND-ROBERT, T. Generalized Cheeger sets related to landslides. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations* 23, 2 (jun 2005), 227–249.
- [9] KAWOHL, B., AND FRIDMAN, V. Isoperimetric estimates for the first eigenvalue of the p -Laplace operator and the Cheeger constant. *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae* (2003).
- [10] KAWOHL, B., AND LACHAND-ROBERT, T. Characterization of Cheeger sets for convex subsets of the plane. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* (2006).

- [11] KELLER, J. B. Plate failure under pressure. *SIAM Review* (1980).
- [12] LEFTON, L., AND WEI, D. Numerical approximation of the first eigenpair of the p -Laplacian using finite elements and the penalty method. *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization* 18, 3-4 (jan 1997), 389–399.
- [13] LEONARDI, G. P. An overview of the Cheeger problem. *arXiv:1504.06264[math.OA]* (2015).
- [14] LIONS, P.-L., AND PACELLA, F. Isoperimetric inequalities for convex cones. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 109, 2 (1990), 477–477.
- [15] PACELLA, F., AND TRALLI, G. Overdetermined problems and constant mean curvature surfaces in cones. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 36 (2020), 841–867.
- [16] PACELLA, F., AND TRALLI, G. Isoperimetric cones and minimal solutions of partial overdetermined problems. *Publ. Mat.* 65 (2021), 61–81.
- [17] PARINI, E. An introduction to the Cheeger problem. *Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications* (2011).
- [18] WEINBERGER, H. F. Remark on a preceding paper by Serrin. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* 43 (1971), 319–320.

(Danilo Gregorin Afonso) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA GUIDO CASTELNUOVO, SAPIENZA UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA, PIAZZALE ALDO MORO 5, 00185 ROMA, ITALY

Email address: `gregorinafonso@mat.uniroma1.it`

(Alessandro Iacopetti) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “G. PEANO”, UNIVERSITÀ DI TORINO, VIA CARLO ALBERTO 10, 10123 TORINO, ITALY

Email address: `alessandro.iacopetti@unito.it`

(Filomena Pacella) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA GUIDO CASTELNUOVO, SAPIENZA UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA, PIAZZALE ALDO MORO 5, 00185 ROMA, ITALY

Email address: `pacella@mat.uniroma1.it`