### Check for updates

### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Anne Marit Sponaas, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway

REVIEWED BY Michael C. Burger, Goethe University Frankfurt, Germany Darel Martínez, University of Geneva, Switzerland

\*CORRESPONDENCE Angela Mastronuzzi Mangela.mastronuzzi@opbg.net

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Cancer Immunity and Immunotherapy, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 11 January 2023 ACCEPTED 09 March 2023 PUBLISHED 21 March 2023

#### CITATION

Del Baldo G, Del Bufalo F, Pinacchio C, Carai A, Quintarelli C, De Angelis B, Merli P, Cacchione A, Locatelli F and Mastronuzzi A (2023) The peculiar challenge of bringing CAR-T cells into the brain: Perspectives in the clinical application to the treatment of pediatric central nervous system tumors. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1142597. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1142597

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Del Baldo, Del Bufalo, Pinacchio, Carai, Quintarelli, De Angelis, Merli, Cacchione, Locatelli and Mastronuzzi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## The peculiar challenge of bringing CAR-T cells into the brain: Perspectives in the clinical application to the treatment of pediatric central nervous system tumors

Giada Del Baldo<sup>1,2</sup>, Francesca Del Bufalo<sup>1</sup>, Claudia Pinacchio<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Carai<sup>3</sup>, Concetta Quintarelli<sup>1</sup>, Biagio De Angelis<sup>1</sup>, Pietro Merli<sup>1</sup>, Antonella Cacchione<sup>1</sup>, Franco Locatelli<sup>1,4</sup> and Angela Mastronuzzi<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatric Haematology and Oncology, and Cell and Gene Therapy Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, Scientific Institute for Reasearch, Hospitalization and Healthcare (IRCCS), Rome, Italy, <sup>2</sup>Department of Experimental Medicine, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy, <sup>3</sup>Department of Neurosciences, Neurosurgery Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital, Scientific Institute for Reasearch, Hospitalization and Healthcare (IRCCS), Rome, Italy, <sup>4</sup>Department of Life Sciences and Public Health, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy

Childhood malignant brain tumors remain a significant cause of death in the pediatric population, despite the use of aggressive multimodal treatments. New therapeutic approaches are urgently needed for these patients in order to improve prognosis, while reducing side effects and long-term sequelae of the treatment. Immunotherapy is an attractive option and, in particular, the use of gene-modified T cells expressing a chimeric antigen receptor (CAR-T cells) represents a promising approach. Major hurdles in the clinical application of this approach in neuro-oncology, however, exist. The peculiar location of brain tumors leads to both a difficulty of access to the tumor mass, shielded by the blood-brain barrier (BBB), and to an increased risk of potentially life-threatening neurotoxicity, due to the primary location of the disease in the CNS and the low intracranial volume reserve. There are no unequivocal data on the best way of CAR-T cell administration. Multiple trials exploring the use of CD19 CAR-T cells for hematologic malignancies proved that genetically engineered T cells can cross the BBB, suggesting that systemically administered CAR-T cell can be used in the neuro-oncology setting. Intrathecal and intra-tumoral delivery can be easily managed with local implantable devices, suitable also for a more precise neuro-monitoring. The identification of specific approaches of neuromonitoring is of utmost importance in these patients. In the present review, we highlight the most relevant potential challenges associated with the application of CAR-T cell therapy in pediatric brain cancers, focusing on the evaluation of the best route of delivery, the peculiar risk of neurotoxicity and the related neuro-monitoring.

### KEYWORDS

pediatric brain tumors, CAR-T cells, blood-brain barrier, glymphatic system, neurotoxicity

### 1 Introduction

Brain tumors are the most common solid tumors in childhood (1). Treatment and prognosis depend on the histological type and molecular profile of the neoplasm, ranging from a watch-and-wait approach after initial surgery, for low-grade tumors, to toxic therapeutic approaches including chemotherapy and radiotherapy in high-grade tumors. Despite aggressive multimodal treatment, high-grade central nervous system (CNS) tumors remain the leading cause of childhood cancer-related death (2). Many subtypes, such as high-grade gliomas (HGG) and diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas (DIPGs), continue to have a dismal prognosis, with 5-year overall survival <20% and 5%, respectively (3). These tumors are characterized by a strong intrinsic genetic and phenotypic heterogeneity, recently proved to be involved in the enhanced tumorigenicity and resistance to therapy typical of these tumors (4), explaining the difficulty of eradicating the disease.

Furthermore, the intensive treatment approaches conventionally in use, in particular the brain irradiation, are associated with devastating long-term morbidities, including endocrine, psychiatric, cognitive and developmental disorders and neurological impairment, as well as secondary tumors (5). Overall, these findings underscore the still unmet need to identify innovative treatment strategies to improve the outcome of children affected by high-grade CNS tumors, sparing them from the burden of long-term sequelae.

Immunotherapies, exploiting the capacity of immune system to attack cancer cells, have become the focus of a wide stream of translational research. The advances in cancer immunotherapy have improved outcomes for several human cancers, and in some cases have produced dramatic responses in patients highly refractory to all conventional treatments (6, 7). The most remarkable success of immunotherapies resulted in significant improvement of overall survival in phase II–III trials in some tumors, such as melanoma (7) and leukemia (8).

In adult cancers, high mutational burden and expression of immune checkpoint molecules have been shown to correlate with response to certain immunotherapy approaches, especially checkpoint inhibitors (9). Some of the most clinically aggressive pediatric brain tumors, including DIPG, HGG and medulloblastoma (MBL), do not show a highly immunosuppressive nor inflammatory immune tumor microenvironment (TME), representing immunologically 'cold' tumors (10, 11). Moreover, the TME tends to vary among the different types of pediatric brain tumors (12). In recent years, our knowledge of molecular patterns of pediatric CNS tumors has increased, as result of high-resolution genomic, epigenetic and transcriptomic profiling, allowing a more specific classification of these tumors. Interestingly, large-scale sequencing studies of pediatric tumors identified novel driver genetic mutations, but underscored that pediatric cancers, including brain tumors, typically have very few somatic mutations (13, 14). This low mutational burden is thought to represent the reason for the lower immunogenicity demonstrated by pediatric cancers, as compared to adult cancers (15), and for the unsatisfactory results obtained with checkpoint inhibitors (16).

Discouraging results observed with immune checkpoint inhibition in high-grade tumors have oriented interest on other types of immunotherapy and several evidences encourage the development of new strategies for treatment of brain tumors, ranging from monoclonal antibodies (mAb) to cellular therapy.

Chimeric antigen receptor T cell (CAR-T) therapy provided unprecedented results in relapsed/refractory B-cell acute leukemia and high-grade B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) (17). These outstanding results opened up the interest of CAR-T cell therapy also in solid tumors, but, unfortunately, not with comparable results (18). Major limitations of CAR-T cells in solid cancer include: i) the heterogeneous target antigen expression, ii) the difficult trafficking and penetration of CAR-T cells into the tumor, iii) the low CAR-T cell expansion and persistence due to immunosuppressive and hypoxic tumor microenvironment (19, 20). All these conditions are even more pronounced in brain tumors (21). In addition, several specific challenges exist in targeting CNS tumors with immunotherapy, such as the peculiarity of the brain location, the presence of the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and the risks associated with the occurrence of an inflammatory reaction in the CNS. All these aspects will be outlined in the present review.

### 2 Chimeric antigen receptors

Chimeric antigen receptors derive by the combination of the Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC)-independent antigen recognition due to the ScFV portion of mAb and the effector

function of T cells, originally ideated by Eshhar et al. in 1989 (22). It is based on the engineering of T cells to express a chimeric molecule resulting from the fusion of the heavy and light chain variable regions of a mAb to the cytotoxic zeta chain ( $\zeta$ ) of a T cell receptor (TCR) (first generation CAR) (Figure 1) (23). Using this strategy, it is possible to target a wide range of tumor-associated targets expressed on the surface of tumor cells and to potentially overcome the low immunogenicity of tumors related to the low levels of antigen and their poor presentation by MHC molecules. The advantages of CAR-T cells over mAb are multiple and rely on the ability of CAR-T cells to self-amplify upon activation and to better distribute in the tissues. Moreover, cytokines secretion upon T-cell activation associated with the tumor antigen encounter recruits additional components of the immune system, improving the anti-tumor response. Lastly, CAR-T cells may enter the memory pool and provide long lasting protection against tumor re-growth.

Engagement of the CAR by its ligand on the tumor cell results in tyrosine phosphorylation of immune-receptor activation motifs present in the cytoplasmic domain, initiating T-cell signaling and specific tumor cell lysis *via* the perforin/granzyme pathways. However, tumors rarely express costimulatory molecules. The presence of the signal 1 ( $\zeta$  chain of the TCR) in the absence of the signal 2 (costimulatory molecules signaling) enables CAR-T cells to be activated and kill tumor cells, but not to proliferate and expand. This observation led to the development of secondgeneration CARs incorporating signaling domains of the T-cell costimulatory molecules in tandem with the CD3  $\zeta$  chain, so that, upon ligation, T cells both kill and proliferate (24). Intracytoplasmic

signaling domains of CD28, CD134 (OX40), CD137 (4-1BB), inducible costimulatory (ICOS), CD27, DAP10 or CD244 (2B4), in various combinations, have been used (25, 26). However, it is well known that, in the setting of solid tumors, second generation CARs do not always expand properly after infusion into patients, and it is well accepted that antitumor efficacy requires adequate expansion and persistence in vivo (27). Understanding how the CAR structure influences these properties is therefore key for the future designs of CAR-T cells. An example is represented by the evidence of the primary role of T-cell exhaustion in limiting the antitumor efficacy of T cells in the setting of chronic antigen exposure (28, 29). Recently, the central role of the CAR structure in predisposing chronic T-cell activation and exhaustion has been demonstrated, proving that CD28 co-stimulation augments, whereas 4-1BB costimulation reduces, exhaustion induced by persistent CAR signaling (30). In the search for the optimal design for a CAR, even more potent constructs were developed, containing two costimulatory domains (Figure 1). These so-called third generation CAR constructs provide more potent proliferation in response to tumors than either first or second generations; moreover, higher T-cell resistance to several tumor evasion strategies was observed (31). However, while the superiority of second-generation CAR over the first has been clearly proved, whether the incorporation of additional costimulatory domains in the third generation provides further benefits remains to be definitely documented (27). The optimal design of a given CAR remains an area of active investigation and should be empirically evaluated for the treatment of different malignancies (32).



# 3 CAR-T cells antigens for CNS tumors

In contrast to hematological diseases, brain tumors are characterized by antigenic heterogeneity on the cell surface, with B7-H3 and GD2 being the most consistently expressed antigens (33).

CAR-T cells were designed for different type of antigens, all of these tested in pre-clinical and/or clinical trials: epidermal growth factor receptor variant III (EGFRvIII), human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), interleukin 13 receptor alpha 2 subunit (IL13R 2), EGFR806, B7-H3 and disganglioside-GD2.

In a recent study published by Haydar et al. the mean antigen expression on tumor cells obtained from pediatric brain tumor patient-derived orthotopic xenograft models was 68% for B7-H3, 74.1% for GD2, 37.5% for IL-13R $\alpha$ 2, 50.1% for EphA2 and 36.1% for HER2 (33).

Recently, new potential targets, such as CXCL5/CXCL6 and PTK7, were explored (34, 35). The heterogenous antigen expression prompted the use of simultaneous multiple targeting that demonstrate increase antitumor potency, reducing the possibility of tumor escape in pre-clinical mouse models (36–39).

CAR-T cells showed *in vitro* anti-tumor activity against glioblastoma and medulloblastoma by targeting HER2, EGFR806 and B7-H3. Phase I clinical trials are now ongoing to study the efficacy of these antigen-specific CAR-T cells in children and young adults with recurrent or refractory CNS tumors.

An overview of published and ongoing phase 1 clinical trial on CAR-T cell for pediatric brain is detailed in Table 1.

## 4 Trafficking through BBB and glymphatic system: Has the brain still to be considered an immune sanctuary?

The BBB is a permeability barrier characterized by the connection, through tight junctions, of endothelial cells with the luminal and abluminal membranes lining the capillaries of the brain (40). The crucial role of BBB consists in protecting the brain from pathogens and finely tuning the brain homeostasis. Thanks to its low permeability, BBB prevents the entry of circulating ionic substances, large molecules and immune cells into the brain, as well as the passage of numerous therapeutic agents (41, 42). Therefore, T-cell penetration into the brain parenchyma involves complex mechanisms. Resting T cells do not cross the BBB, but traffic from meningeal blood vessels into the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), where they can gain access to the brain parenchyma via the pia mater or choroid plexus. On the contrary, activated T cells seem to be able to traverse the capillary tight junctions of the BBB (43). The entry routes of lymphocytes and antigen presenting cells in the CNS are essentially three, namely: 1) via the post-capillary venules into the perivascular space; 2) by extravasation through the choroid

TABLE 1	Overview	on CAR-T	cells	clinical	trial	for	pediatric	brain	tumors.
---------	----------	----------	-------	----------	-------	-----	-----------	-------	---------

Route of delivery Center Target Tumor type NCT04185038 Seattle Children's Hospital B7H3 Locoregional Diffuse intrinsic pontine Recruiting glioma/diffuse midline glioma and recurrent or refractory pediatric CNS tumors NCT03500991 Seattle Children's Hospital HER2 Locoregional HER2-positive Recruiting recurrent/refractory pediatric CNS tumors NCT03638167 EGFR806 Seattle Children's Hospital Locoregional EGFR-positive recurrent or Recruiting refractory pediatric CNS tumors NCT04510051 City of Hope Medical Center IL13Rα2 Intraventricularly Recurrent or refractory Recruiting malignant glioma NCT04099797 GD2 Baylor College of Medicine Intravenously GD2-positive Recruiting brain tumors NCT02442297 Baylor College of Medicine HER 2 Locoregional HER2-positive Recruiting brain tumors NCT04196413 Stanford University GD2 Intravenously or DIPG and DMG Recruiting intracerebroventricularly NCT01109095 HER2 Closed Baylor College of Medicine Intravenously Recurrent or progressive HER 2 positive glioblastoma NCT02208362 City of Hope Medical Center IL13R $\alpha$ 2 Locoregional Recurrent/refractory high-grade glioma Closed NCT04903080 HER2 Pediatric Brain Tumor Intravenously Ependymoma Recruiting Consortium

plexus of the ventricles into the CSF; or 3) through superficial leptomeningeal vessels into the subarachnoid space (Figure 2) (44). The first step in the recruitment of T-cells is represented by the binding of integrins  $\alpha 4\beta 1$  and lymphocyte associated antigen-1 (LFA-1) expressed on activated T-cells to the adhesion molecules known as vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM1) and intracellular cell adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM1) on endothelial cells, respectively (45). In the CNS, adhesion of T-cells to endothelial cells also involves a specific adhesion molecule, the activated leukocyte adhesion molecule (ALCAM), which binds CD6 on mature T-cells (46). The ligand-binding interactions lead to conformational changes in T-cells that promote their crossing through the endothelial lining into the perivascular space. Activated Tcells must ultimately traverse the glia limitans to enter the brain parenchyma. Subsequently, the penetration of T-cells into the brain parenchyma is regulated by matrix metalloproteases (MMPs) and other soluble factors, including tumor necrosis factor-α (TNFα), IL-12, TGFβ, and IL-6 (47, 48). The lymphocytes then exit the brain, to reach deep cervical lymph nodes, exploiting a recently discovered system of lymphatics in the meninges (49). These observations imply that T cells administered by systemic infusion can have access to tumors via the CSF and choroid plexus, overcoming the dogma that considers the brain as an immune sanctuary (49, 50). Indeed, this evidence is the basis for the encouraging results demonstrated in the neuroimmunology field using CAR-T cells, vaccines, and other forms of immunotherapy (50, 51). These approaches have the ability to target the tumor tissues, while sparing the normal surrounding brain parenchyma. Two advantages make CAR-T cells particularly

attractive for brain tumors, as compared to other immunotherapy approaches: a) they do not require a functional systemic immune response, thanks to their intrinsic antitumor cytotoxicity; b) their efficacy does not correlate with the tumor mutational burden, depending upon the expression of the target antigen only to be activated (50, 52). Multiple trials using intravenously (i.v.) infused CD19-directed CAR-T cells have proven that CAR-T cells can cross the BBB, as they have been detected in the CSF *via* flow-cytometry and immunofluorescence post-treatment, suggesting that systemically administered CAR-T cell can be used in the neurooncology setting (12, 40).

Another system known to play a central role as guardian of the brain, beside BBB, is the glymphatic system. It is a distinct and privileged mechanism of CNS protection represented by a glialdependent waste clearance pathway, replacing lymphatic vessels and draining away soluble waste proteins and metabolic products (53). Together with the BBB, glia limitans regulates lymphocyte entry into the brain (45). Specifically, microglia represents the essential myeloid resident population of the brain microenvironment, the equivalent of tissue macrophages in the CNS (54, 55). In the absence of any inflammatory stimuli, it origins from yolk sac myeloid progenitors and is crucial for brain development and for maintaining the sterility of CNS (56). When an inflammatory trigger occurs, circulating monocytes intervene to support microglia with mechanisms and functions not yet fully understood (57). Finally, microglia drains to the cervical lymph node via the glymphatic system running face to face to dural venous sinuses (58). To the best of our knowledge, no studies have analyzed microglia in the pediatric population, both in pathologic settings and in the healthy



Route of entry of 1-cells and CAR-1 cells in the brain. Three main routes have been described and are depicted: 1) via the post-capillary venules into the perivascular space; 2) by extravasation through the choroid plexus of the ventricles into the CSF; or 3) through superficial leptomeningeal vessels into the subarachnoid space.

developing brain. Future research may exploit analysis of RNA sequencing to identify microglial subpopulation, potentially informing future strategies for microglia-targeted immunotherapy.

## 5 Strategies of delivery of CAR-T cells into the brain

Despite the documented ability of i.v. administered CAR-T cells to cross the BBB, targeted delivery of T cells at the level of the CNS is an attractive option to reduce systemic toxicity and increase CAR-T cell homing and concentration at tumor site.

Alternative strategies to directly deliver CAR-T cells to the CNS include: spinal intrathecal infusion, intraventricular infusion, intratumoral injection and disruption of the BBB by focal ultrasound.

Spinal intrathecal delivery is a well-known strategy in pediatric oncology (59): intermittent infusion of chemotherapy by lumbar puncture is a well-established therapy in pediatric oncology services and is well tolerated. Moreover, implantable chronic infusion devices are available, with different indications. A spinal subarachnoid catheter can be easily implanted and connected either to a subcutaneous reservoir for intermittent percutaneous injection or to a more sophisticated subcutaneous programmable infusion pump (60, 61).

An alternative option is represented by a subcutaneous implantable ventricular access device, a subcutaneous reservoir, connected to an intraventricular catheter that can be punctured under sterile technique (62, 63).

A further advantage of intrathecal infusion by implantable devices is the possibility to use them to obtain CSF samples easily. Potential drawbacks of intrathecal infusion include CNS infection, especially in the setting of subcutaneous implantable devices, and hemorrhage.

An additional strategy to circumvent the BBB consists in the intra-tumoral delivery of therapeutics. Recently, promising results have been reported using convection enhanced delivery (CED) systems, even in children (64, 65). CED is based on the concept of bulk flow, namely the tridimensional diffusion of molecules within the brain, pushed by a constant gentle pressure exerted by a microinjection pump. Injection catheters can be precisely positioned by stereotactic technique and connected to an implantable multi-channel infusion device (66). Coupling CED with computer simulation approaches has resulted in the possibility to accurately foresee the volumetric distribution of therapeutics within the brain, reaching a considerable target volume and a desirable volume shape when multiple injection catheters are positioned. Potential limitations include availability of the neurosurgical technical expertise to safely and precisely implant intra-tumoral catheters, possible clogging of infusion catheters with the therapeutic cell suspension and local complications, such as infection, CSF leak and bleeding. In addition, the effect of bulk flow on mass transfer might result in transient worsening of neurological signs and symptoms, limiting infusion speed. In fact, the accurate spatial distribution of injected therapy typical of CED might not be necessary for CAR-T cells.

Localized BBB modulation by focal ultrasound (FUS) has been recently proposed in the neuro-oncology field to increase the concentration of systemically infused chemotherapy at the tumor site (67, 68). FUS has the ability to induce an increase in the permeability of the BBB with the advantage of spatial selectivity within the CNS. This technique is based on the transcranial delivery of low frequency ultrasounds that determine oscillations of microbubbles, finally determining a temporary and reversible disruption of endothelial tight junctions, a phenomenon known as sonication (69). This concept can be applied both to magnetic resonance integrated systems, in which spatial targeting of the ultrasounds is guided by real time imaging, or to implantable subcutaneous docking systems, to which an external ultrasound source is connected whenever sonication is required. A limitation of FUS is the limited extension of sonication volumes that might require multiple treatment sessions and multiple subcutaneous docking systems implantation. Moreover, even if there is a growing number of preclinical experiences documenting its effectiveness in BBB disruption, there is little evidence to prove clinical benefit in neuro-oncology, especially in children (70).

Research using patient-derived orthotopic xenograft models showed that CAR T cell trafficking into the tumor site is not affected by the route of administration. However, loco-regional delivery provides greater antitumor activity and lower systemic proinflammatory cytokine production in these models (33). Studies comparing delivery routes in preclinical models of glioblastoma (GBM) have shown that local delivery outperforms systemic delivery of CAR-T cells. Intratumor administered IL13R $\alpha$ 2-CAR-T cells resulted in long-term survival in orthotopic GBM models, whereas IV delivery provided no significant benefit over control (71). Furthermore, when comparing locoregional delivery routes in a multifocal GBM model, intraventricular infusion exhibited improved targeting of multifocal disease (71).

The clinical experiences reported to date confirm these preclinical observations. Intrathecal (into the resected cavity of the tumor through a catheter device) and intraventricular administration of CAR-T cells were tested in a patient with multifocal GBM, proving well tolerated, without cytokine release syndrome (CRS) or severe neurotoxicity (52). Interestingly, the intraventricular delivery resulted into a better control of the disease, probably due to the improved trafficking to sites of multifocal disease by the delivery of cells into the cerebrospinal fluid. Similarly, the experience of Majzner et al. shows that the intracerebral route of administration of GD2-CAR T cells is feasible and associated with less systemic toxicity as compared to the intravenous administration (72).

The ideal application route might depend also from the molecular target. In particular, antigens with wide expression in normal tissues (i.e. HER2 and B7H3) may be associated with a significantly reduced toxicity after intracerebral administration (19). Overall, the evidence currently available from the few clinical experience favors the loco-regional administration. As mentioned above, pre-clinical and clinical experience demonstrated that loco-regional delivery of second-generation IL13R $\alpha$ 2-CAR T cells is safe and well-tolerated, with no evidence of dose levels toxicities (19, 71). Systemic administration of HER2

CAR T cells showed an increased risk of severe pulmonary toxicities (73, 74). Conversely, the safety of the loco-regional administration of HER-2 CAR T cells has been demonstrated in the BrainChild-01 trial (75): multiple administration have shown to be feasible and tolerated and associated with peritumoral edema in MRI and increased pro-inflammatory cytokine production in CSF, consistent with CAR T-cell activity (76). GD2 infusion has been evaluated both loco-regionally and intravenously in several trials for brain tumors (see Table 1). As previously mentioned, Majzner et al. recently published a clinical experience with GD2 CAR-T cells in 4 cases of H3K27M-mutated diffuse midline gliomas. They found that intraventricular administration was associated with less systemic toxicity, high levels of cytokines and decreased immunosuppressive cell populations in CSF, compared with intravenous delivery (72). Concerning B7-H3, it is expressed on the surface of several normal tissues but the administration of B7H3-directed CAR T cells, either loco-regionally or systemically, was not associated with evidence of on-target/off-tumor toxicity in a preclinical, orthotopic mouse model (33, 77). Last, EGFRvIII antigen is not expressed in healthy tissue (78) and i.v. administration is not related to severe sides effects (73, 79, 80). Moreover, infiltrating anti-EGFRvIII CAR T cells were detected in the tumors of the patients after i.v. administration (80).

Several obstacles impair the homing of T cells in solid tumors and will also likely be faced in CNS tumors, regardless the limitation of the BBB. The dense extracellular matrix (ECM) of the tumor forms a physical barrier to the infiltration of CAR-T cells. Innovative strategies, based on engineering of T cells to express enzymes with lytic activity, including heparanase, have been proposed to overcome this obstacle (37, 38). Several groups have also explored the possibility of disrupting physical barriers in solid tumors developing CAR-T cells able to recognize antigens expressed on stromal cells, such as the fibroblast activation protein (FAP) (39, 81). Another strategy to improve T-cell infiltration relies on the deep characterization of the chemokine pattern associated with the different tumors and the generation of CAR-T cells expressing the relative chemokine receptors (CCRs) to enhance the tumor homing (81-83). Lastly, Adachi and colleagues developed a CAR-T cell construct able to produce IL-7 and CCL19, both implicated in the recruitment of T cells from the bloodstream in the T-cell zone of lymphoid organs. These modified CAR-T cells resulted in a higher anti-tumor activity and increased tumor infiltration (84).

Finally, the application route might depend from the cell product. In the era of adoptive cell immunotherapy several cell products are being considered. Even if autologous CAR-T cells are the only cell product currently tested in brain tumors, other cell products are emerging in the pre-clinical field and for other clinical applications (i.e. CD19-positive hematological malignancies). In particular, irradiated CAR-NK cells derived from a cell line have been explored *in vitro* and validated *in vivo* against CD19 malignant cells (85). Despite the known low risk of toxicity compared with T cells, CAR-NK cells have some important limitations such as: short life-time, reduced cytotoxicity *in vivo* (85). We can hypothesize that these intrinsic characteristics would favor their use *via* loco-regional delivery, although some clinical evidence on the use of CAR-NK

cells have shown promising results (86). An interesting advantage of NK cells relies on the possibility of deriving the product from allogeneic donors, as an "off the shelf product" (87, 88).

## 6 Pediatric brain tumor-specific toxicities: Beyond common neurotoxicity and possible strategies of monitoring and treatment

The potential for CAR-T cell therapies to induce life-threatening neurotoxicity has been long-appreciated by researchers in the field (89, 90), but CNS-directed CAR-T cell therapies could induce additional neurologic damage as collateral effects of inflammation arising in the setting of tumor-directed therapy. By targeting tumors that have infiltrated critical brain structures, adoptive T-cell therapies induce inflammation, leading to tumoral and peritumoral edema. This localized edema can alone induce neurologic symptoms. If edema leads to mass effect and compromises blood or cerebrospinal fluid circulation, ischemia or life-threatening increases in intracranial pressure can rapidly develop.

Recently, neurotoxicity correlated to the use of immunotherapies has been renamed as immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome (ICANS), and it is the second most frequent event after CRS. Described in about 37% of the patients treated with CD19-CAR-T cells for B-cell malignancies (91), it is characterized by a wide spectrum of neurological impairments, ranging from mild to severe manifestations, including headache, dizziness, irritability, memory loss, aphasia, tremor until seizures and global encephalopathy (6, 91– 94). In patients with brain tumors, neurotoxicity can be a more challenging side effect than in patients with leukemia due to the peculiar primary site of the disease in CNS. Therefore, treating CNS tumors with CAR-T cells imposes to carefully consider these specific toxicity scenarios.

Infiltration of tumor tissue by immune cells is an expected and desired effect of the treatment. In fact, transient tumor volume increase, a phenomenon known as pseudo-progression, has been largely described with immunotherapies (95). However, this mass effect has to be taken into account when selecting potential candidates. The intracranial volume reserve (i.e. the ability to tolerate an expanding mass inside the skull) is limited, especially in patients with CNS malignancies, who already experience an increase of the intracranial volume related to the mass itself and the surrounding edema. Unfortunately, there is little data available in the literature to aid in defining with sufficient precision the intracranial volume reserve in a specific patient. Post-mortem studies suggest it accounts for about 5% on the total cranial volume in young adults, thus being equivalent to about 65-75 ml, with smaller volumes found in females (96). Volume reserve might be significantly lower in children and patients with pre-existing intracranial mass lesions.

Most of the compensatory volume following the presence of a growing intracranial mass is secondary to CSF redistribution. The compensation ability of intracranial pressure depends not only on volume itself, but also on volume change/time rate: a slow growing large mass is clinically much better tolerated than a fast-growing smaller lesion. Tumor location deserves a careful evaluation when considering CAR-T cell treatment in CNS tumors. Intracranial mass effect increase will potentially be more intimidating for deep seated lesions (midline thalamic tumors, brainstem and posterior fossa location) where the risk of trans-falcine and trans-tentorial pressure cones determining brain herniation and hydrocephalus is higher. The ideal, opposite scenario would be a leptomeningeal disseminated disease, with a much lower potential for intracranial hypertension development. Although the risks of CNS inflammation also involve the adults, it represents a peculiar issue in the pediatric setting because of the different intracranial volume reserve. In particular, in younger children, the unclosed cranial suture allows for a larger reserve which, on one side, offers a greater adaptation to the increase of intracranial pressure, but, on the other side, is responsible for the more insidious symptoms associated to the occurrence of intracranial hypertension. These elements make the identification of a more sophisticated and early monitoring system particularly relevant in the pediatric setting.

Despite limited possibilities for determining non-invasively the CSF compensatory volume, there is evidence in the literature about the predictive value of invasive intracranial pressure waves analysis. Specific wave morphology might suggest a reduction of the buffer volume, prompting appropriate therapeutic countermeasures (97).

Several strategies can be hypothesized in order to manage this possibly severe side effect of the treatment. A ventricular access device might be inserted before infusion of CAR-T cells and used both to directly assess intracranial pressure waves and to remove determined CSF volumes, if appropriate to improve intracranial pressure management. Moreover, whenever a high risk of decompensation secondary to CAR-T cell treatment is suspected based on significant mass effect of a CNS lesion, preemptive surgical debulking might be an option, in selected cases. Lastly, in case of need of aggressive treatment of intracranial pressure, surgical decompressive procedures might be considered. However, as wellknown from neurotrauma and malignant stroke literature, functional outcomes might be unacceptable, raising ethical issues for invasive maneuvers in fragile patients with an overall dismal prognosis (98–101).

Other strategies can be considered in order to reduce the risk of severe, life-threatening increase of intracranial pressure secondary to pseudo-progression. The sequential administration of low doses of CAR-T cells might result in a lower tumor infarction with CAR-T cells and a relatively slow and progressive tumor lysis, reducing the risk of a sudden intracranial hypertension. In addition, the use of different cell platform, with a lower persistence over time and a reduced inflammatory profile upon activation, such as NK cells, could reduce the risk associated with the infusion of these cells. Similarly, the use of transiently expressed CAR-T cells, generated by RNA electroporation, might represent a valuable risk mitigation strategy. Lastly, the introduction of a suicide gene (i.e. inducible caspase 9 or Herpes Simplex Virus-1 Thymidine Kinase) (102, 103), capable to rapidly induce the apoptosis of CAR-T cells and, thus, to mitigate the inflammation and the pseudo-progression, represents an attractive option for increasing the safety profile of the approach.

## 7 Clinical translation in future

As already mentioned, the hurdles to be overcome in order to develop CAR T cell approaches for brain tumors are many and insidious, including, but not limited to: i) tumor heterogeneity, ii) limited persistence and trafficking, iii) adaptive immune resistance, iv) immunosuppressive microenvironment. Many efforts still need to be made to obtain concrete results for future neuro-oncology applications.

Recent molecular characterization of gliomas and other highgrade tumors of the central nervous system have led to a better understanding of the heterogeneity of these diseases and the complex mechanism of interaction between tumor cells and microenvironment (104–106). Constructs targeting multiple antigens simultaneously can be more effective but certainly more challenging, being associated with higher risk of off-tumor toxicity (36, 107). The validation and application of these targets in the clinical setting is a daunting challenge that requires the joint work of multidisciplinary clinical teams and laboratory researchers to achieve the best results.

To reduce CAR T resistance in brain tissue, various alternative approaches could be considered, for example: CAR T cells designed to co-express dominant-negative TGF $\beta$ RII, which lock up TGF $\beta$  signaling within the engineered T cells (108); EBV specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes transduced with TGF $\beta$ RII with the aim to produce cytokines and maintain cytolytic response (109); chimera CAR T cells expressing a fusion molecule, including IL-4 ectodomain and IL-7 endodomain, promoting T-cell proliferation and maintaining antitumor effect (110).

To prolong the persistence, CAR T cells can be armed to deliver cytokines, with the goal of supporting T persistence and remodeling TME toward pro-inflammatory environment (111–116).

Moreover, combination therapies to improve CAR T cell function have been investigated recently. Targeting immune inhibitory antigens such as PD-1, PD-L1 and CTLA-4 with either nivolumab, pembrolizumab or ipilimumab have been tested in clinical studies focused on GBM, showing promising results in terms of both safety/feasibility and anti-tumor activity (117–121). Oncolytic viruses genetically modified to target a suppressive TME (122, 123) and agonist antibodies specific for the 4-1BB costimulatory receptor can also potentially amplify CAR T cell efficacy by counteracting the immune-suppressive microenvironment (124). In addition, the hypoxia transcription factor HIF-1a subdomain can be incorporated in a CAR construct to reduce on-target off-tumor toxicity, ensuring CAR T cells activation only under the hypoxic conditions characteristic of the TME (125).

Lastly, although limited data are available in brain tumors, strategies to improve T cell trafficking and infiltration by engineering the cells to express tumor homing receptors are promising, as demonstrated in other types of solid tumors. In particular, CD70-specific CAR T cells expressing CXCR1 and CXCR2 have demonstrated improved T cell trafficking and efficacy, in preclinical models of GBM (126). Additional promising pathways that can be exploited to enhance CAR T cell accumulation at tumor site are represented by endothelial adhesion molecules and vascular cytokines (114, 127).

### 8 Conclusions

CAR-T cells are emerging as a promising treatment for pediatric brain tumors, raising specific challenges for the management of these delicate patients. Published evidences are still extremely scarce, but they offer the opportunity to start a careful, although still largely speculative, consideration of the peculiar issues associated to the administration of this treatment in a peculiar location such as the brain. Although there is no unequivocal evidence on the best route of delivery, systemically administered CAR-T cells can be used in the neuro-oncology setting, thanks to the increasing evidence of T-cell ability to infiltrate CNS. However, intrathecal and/or intra-tumoral delivery can be easily managed, due to implantable devices, and early evidence suggests that it might represent a more efficient and less toxic option for these diseases.

Concerns related to the peculiar toxicities associated with the treatment of these tumors need to be carefully evaluated and properly handled thanks to a multi-disciplinary approach. Advances in the monitoring and managing of intracranial pressure, a scrupulous selection of the patients and the optimization of the CAR products, by the incorporation of a suicide gene, as well as by the development of products with a limited half-life, mitigate these risks, making the approach more manageable.

Progress in immunotherapy is raising optimism in the neuro-oncology field. The integration of advanced preclinical studies in current clinical practice may truly bring the promise of CAR-T cell therapy to the conventional armamentarium of the neuro-oncologist.

### .References

1. Pollack IF, Agnihotri S, Broniscer A. Childhood brain tumors: current management, biological insights, and future directions: JNSPG 75th anniversary invited review article. *J Neurosurgery: Pediatr* (2019) 23:261–73. doi: 10.3171/2018.10.PEDS18377

2. Mochizuki AY, Frost IM, Mastrodimos MB, Plant AS, Wang AC, Moore TB, et al. Precision medicine in pediatric neurooncology: A review. ACS Chem Neurosci (2018) 9:11–28. doi: 10.1021/acschemneuro.7b00388

3. Hassan H, Pinches A, Picton SV, Phillips RS. Survival rates and prognostic predictors of high grade brain stem gliomas in childhood: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Neurooncol* (2017) 135:13–20. doi: 10.1007/s11060-017-2546-1

4. Vinci M, Burford A, Molinari V, Kessler K, Popov S, Clarke M, et al. Functional diversity and cooperativity between subclonal populations of pediatric glioblastoma and diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma cells. *Nat Med* (2018) 24:1204–15. doi: 10.1038/ s41591-018-0086-7

5. Fischer C, Petriccione M, Donzelli M, Pottenger E. Improving care in pediatric neuro-oncology patients: An overview of the unique needs of children with brain tumors. J Child Neurol (2016) 31:488–505. doi: 10.1177/0883073815597756

6. Maude SL, Laetsch TW, Buechner J, Rives S, Boyer M, Bittencourt H, et al. Tisagenlecleucel in children and young adults with b-cell lymphoblastic leukemia. N Engl J Med (2018) 378:439-48. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1709866

7. Wolchok JD, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, Rutkowski P, Grob JJ, Cowey CL. Overall survival with combined nivolumab and ipilimumab in advanced melanoma. *N Engl J Med* (2017) 377(14):1345–56. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1709684

8. Rafei H, Kantarjian HM, Jabbour EJ. Recent advances in the treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma* (2019) 60:2606-21. doi: 10.1080/10428194.2019.1605071

9. Samstein RM, Lee C-H, Shoushtari AN, Hellmann MD, Shen R, Janjigian YY, et al. Tumor mutational load predicts survival after immunotherapy across multiple cancer types. *Nat Genet* (2019) 51:202–6. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0312-8

### Author contributions

AM and FL conceptualized the work. GB and FB wrote the manuscript. CP, PM, ACac contributed to data collection and figures realization. ACar, CQ, BA, FL and AM contributed to the finishing of the work and revised it critically for important intellectual content. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### Acknowledgments

The authors thank Megan Eckley for helping in the English final version.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

10. Jones DTW, Banito A, Grünewald TGP, Haber M, Jäger N, Kool M, et al. Molecular characteristics and therapeutic vulnerabilities across paediatric solid tumours. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2019) 19:420–38. doi: 10.1038/s41568-019-0169-x

11. Rutledge WC, Kong J, Gao J, Gutman DA, Cooper LAD, Appin C, et al. Tumorinfiltrating lymphocytes in glioblastoma are associated with specific genomic alterations and related to transcriptional class. *Clin Cancer Res* (2013) 19:4951–60. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-0551

12. Patterson JD, Henson JC, Breese RO, Bielamowicz KJ, Rodriguez A. CAR-T cell therapy for pediatric brain tumors. *Front Oncol* (2020) 10:1582. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2020.01582

13. Downing JR, Wilson RK, Zhang J, Mardis ER, Pui C-H, Ding L, et al. The pediatric cancer genome project. *Nat Genet* (2012) 44:619–22. doi: 10.1038/ng.2287

14. PedBrain-Seq Project ICGC, MMML-Seq Project ICGC, Gröbner SN, Worst BC, Weischenfeldt J, Buchhalter I, et al. The landscape of genomic alterations across childhood cancers. *Nature* (2018) 555:321–7. doi: 10.1038/nature25480

15. Majzner RG, Heitzeneder S, Mackall CL. Harnessing the immunotherapy revolution for the treatment of childhood cancers. *Cancer Cell* (2017) 31:476–85. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2017.03.002

16. Park JA, Cheung N-KV. Limitations and opportunities for immune checkpoint inhibitors in pediatric malignancies. *Cancer Treat Rev* (2017) 58:22–33. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2017.05.006

17. Sheykhhasan M, Manoochehri H, Dama P. Use of CAR-T cell for acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) treatment: A review study. *Cancer Gene Ther* (2022) 29:1080–96. doi: 10.1038/s41417-021-00418-1

18. Dai H, Wang Y, Lu X, Han W. Chimeric antigen receptors modified T-cells for cancer therapy. J Natl Cancer Inst (2016) 108:djv439. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djv439

19. Akhavan D, Alizadeh D, Wang D, Weist MR, Shepphird JK, Brown CE. CAR-T cells for brain tumors: Lessons learned and road ahead. *Immunol Rev* (2019) 290:60–84. doi: 10.1111/imr.12773

20. Tormoen GW, Crittenden MR, Gough MJ. Role of the immunosuppressive microenvironment in immunotherapy. *Adv Radiat Oncol* (2018) 3:520–6. doi: 10.1016/ j.adro.2018.08.018

21. Quail DF, Joyce JA. The microenvironmental landscape of brain tumors. *Cancer Cell* (2017) 31:326–41. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2017.02.009

22. Gross G, Waks T, Eshhar Z. Expression of immunoglobulin-t-cell receptor chimeric molecules as functional receptors with antibody-type specificity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (1989) 86:10024–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.86.24.10024

23. Eshhar Z, Waks T, GROSSt G, Schindler DG. Specific activation and targeting of cytotoxic lymphocytes through chimeric single chains consisting of antibody-binding domains and the y or c subunits of the immunoglobulin and T-cell receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (1993) 90(2):720–4. doi: 10.1073/pnas.90.2.720

24. Pule M, Finney H, Lawson A. Artificial T-cell receptors. *Cytotherapy* (2003) 5:211–26. doi: 10.1080/14653240310001488

25. Maher J, Brentjens RJ, Gunset G, Rivière I, Sadelain M. Human T-lymphocyte cytotoxicity and proliferation directed by a single chimeric TCR $\zeta$  /CD28 receptor. *Nat Biotechnol* (2002) 20:70–5. doi: 10.1038/nbt0102-70

26. Imai C, Mihara K, Andreansky M, Nicholson IC, Pui C-H, Geiger TL, et al. Chimeric receptors with 4-1BB signaling capacity provoke potent cytotoxicity against acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia* (2004) 18:676–84. doi: 10.1038/sj.leu.2403302

27. Savoldo B, Ramos CA, Liu E, Mims MP, Keating MJ, Carrum G, et al. CD28 costimulation improves expansion and persistence of chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells in lymphoma patients. *J Clin Invest* (2011) 121:1822-6. doi: 10.1172/JCI46110

28. Wherry EJ. T Cell exhaustion. Nat Immunol (2011) 12:492–9. doi: 10.1038/ ni.2035

29. Woo S-R, Turnis ME, Goldberg MV, Bankoti J, Selby M, Nirschl CJ, et al. Immune inhibitory molecules LAG-3 and PD-1 synergistically regulate T-cell function to promote tumoral immune escape. *Cancer Res* (2012) 72:917–27. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-1620

30. Long AH, Haso WM, Shern JF, Wanhainen KM, Murgai M, Ingaramo M, et al. 4-1BB costimulation ameliorates T cell exhaustion induced by tonic signaling of chimeric antigen receptors. *Nat Med* (2015) 21:581–90. doi: 10.1038/nm.3838

31. Loskog A, Giandomenico V, Rossig C, Pule M, Dotti G, Brenner MK. Addition of the CD28 signaling domain to chimeric T-cell receptors enhances chimeric T-cell resistance to T regulatory cells. *Leukemia* (2006) 20:1819–28. doi: 10.1038/ sj.leu.2404366

32. Gill S, Maus MV, Porter DL. Chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy: 25years in the making. *Blood Rev* (2016) 30:157–67. doi: 10.1016/j.blre.2015.10.003

33. Haydar D, Houke H, Chiang J, Yi Z, Odé Z, Caldwell K, et al. Cell-surface antigen profiling of pediatric brain tumors: B7-H3 is consistently expressed and can be targeted *via* local or systemic CAR T-cell delivery. *Neuro Oncol* (2021) 23(6):999–1011. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noaa278

34. Golan H, Shukrun R, Caspi R, Vax E, Pode-Shakked N, Goldberg S, et al. *In vivo* expansion of cancer stemness affords novel cancer stem cell targets: Malignant rhabdoid tumor as an example. *Stem Cell Rep* (2018) 11:795–810. doi: 10.1016/j.stemcr.2018.07.010

35. Katoh M. Antibody-drug conjugate targeting protein tyrosine kinase 7, a receptor tyrosine kinase-like molecule involved in WNT and vascular endothelial growth factor signaling: Effects on cancer stem cells, tumor microenvironment and whole-body homeostasis. *Ann Transl Med* (2017) 5:462. doi: 10.21037/atm.2017.09.11

36. Hegde M, Mukherjee M, Grada Z, Pignata A, Landi D, Navai SA, et al. Tandem CAR-T cells targeting HER2 and IL13R02 mitigate tumor antigen escape. *J Clin Invest* (2016) 126:3036–52. doi: 10.1172/JCI83416

37. Lanitis E, Irving M, Coukos G. Targeting the tumor vasculature to enhance T cell activity. *Curr Opin Immunol* (2015) 33:55–63. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2015.01.011

38. Caruana I, Savoldo B, Hoyos V, Weber G, Liu H, Kim ES, et al. Heparanase promotes tumor infiltration and antitumor activity of CAR-redirected T lymphocytes. *Nat Med* (2015) 21:524–9. doi: 10.1038/nm.3833

39. Wang L-CS, Lo A, Scholler J, Sun J, Majumdar RS, Kapoor V, et al. Targeting fibroblast activation protein in tumor stroma with chimeric antigen receptor T cells can inhibit tumor growth and augment host immunity without severe toxicity. *Cancer Immunol Res* (2014) 2:154–66. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-13-0027

40. Galea I, Bernardes-Silva M, Forse PA, van Rooijen N, Liblau RS, Perry VH. An antigen-specific pathway for CD8 T cells across the blood-brain barrier. *J Exp Med* (2007) 204:2023–30. doi: 10.1084/jem.20070064

41. Balda MS, Flores-Maldonado C, Cereijido M, Matter K. Multiple domains of occludin are involved in the regulation of paracellular permeability. *J Cell Biochem* (2000) 78:85–96. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-4644(20000701)78:1<85::AID-JCB8>3.0.CO;2-F

42. Razpotnik R, Novak N, Čurin Šerbec V, Rajcevic U. Targeting malignant brain tumors with antibodies. *Front Immunol* (2017) 8:1181. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.01181

43. Engelhardt B. Regulation of immune cell entry into the central nervous system. *Results Probl Cell Differ* (2006) 43:259–80. doi: 10.1007/400\_020

44. Wilson EH, Weninger W, Hunter CA. Trafficking of immune cells in the central nervous system. *J Clin Invest* (2010) 120:1368–79. doi: 10.1172/JCI41911

45. Engelhardt B, Ransohoff RM. Capture, crawl, cross: The T cell code to breach the blood-brain barriers. *Trends Immunol* (2012) 33:579–89. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2012.07.004

46. Cayrol R, Wosik K, Berard JL, Dodelet-Devillers A, Ifergan I, Kebir H, et al. Activated leukocyte cell adhesion molecule promotes leukocyte trafficking into the central nervous system. *Nat Immunol* (2008) 9:137–45. doi: 10.1038/ni1551

47. Dohgu S, Takata F, Yamauchi A, Nakagawa S, Egawa T, Naito M, et al. Brain pericytes contribute to the induction and up-regulation of blood-brain barrier functions through transforming growth factor-beta production. *Brain Res* (2005) 1038:208–15. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2005.01.027

48. Wyss-Coray T, Lin C, Sanan DA, Mucke L, Masliah E. Chronic overproduction of transforming growth factor-beta1 by astrocytes promotes alzheimer's disease-like microvascular degeneration in transgenic mice. *Am J Pathol* (2000) 156:139–50. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9440(10)64713-x

49. Schläger C, Körner H, Krueger M, Vidoli S, Haberl M, Mielke D, et al. Effector T-cell trafficking between the leptomeninges and the cerebrospinal fluid. *Nature* (2016) 530:349–53. doi: 10.1038/nature16939

50. Sampson JH, Maus MV, June CH. Immunotherapy for brain tumors. J Clin Oncol (2017) 20;35(21):2450-6. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2017.72.8089

51. Sayour E, Mitchell D. Immunotherapy for pediatric brain tumors. *Brain Sci* (2017) 7:137. doi: 10.3390/brainsci7100137

52. Brown CE, Alizadeh D, Starr R, Weng L, Wagner JR, Naranjo A, et al. Regression of glioblastoma after chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy. *N Engl J Med* (2016) 375:2561–9. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1610497

53. Benveniste H, Liu X, Koundal S, Sanggaard S, Lee H, Wardlaw J. The glymphatic system and waste clearance with brain aging: A review. *Gerontology* (2019) 65:106–19. doi: 10.1159/000490349

54. Li Q, Barres BA. Microglia and macrophages in brain homeostasis and disease. Nat Rev Immunol (2018) 18:225-42. doi: 10.1038/nri.2017.125

55. Prinz M, Priller J. The role of peripheral immune cells in the CNS in steady state and disease. *Nat Neurosci* (2017) 20:136–44. doi: 10.1038/nn.4475

56. Ajami B, Bennett JL, Krieger C, McNagny KM, Rossi FMV. Infiltrating monocytes trigger EAE progression, but do not contribute to the resident microglia pool. *Nat Neurosci* (2011) 14:1142–9. doi: 10.1038/nn.2887

57. Bennett FC, Bennett ML, Yaqoob F, Mulinyawe SB, Grant GA, Hayden Gephart M, et al. A combination of ontogeny and CNS environment establishes microglial identity. *Neuron* (2018) 98:1170–1183.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2018.05.014

58. Louveau A, Smirnov I, Keyes TJ, Eccles JD, Rouhani SJ, Peske JD, et al. Structural and functional features of central nervous system lymphatic vessels. *Nature* (2015) 523:337-41. doi: 10.1038/nature14432

59. Pompe RS, von Bueren AO, Mynarek M, von Hoff K, Friedrich C, Kwiecien R, et al. Intraventricular methotrexate as part of primary therapy for children with infant and/or metastatic medulloblastoma: Feasibility, acute toxicity and evidence for efficacy. *Eur J Cancer* (2015) 51:2634–42. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2015.08.009

60. Motta F, Antonello CE. Analysis of complications in 430 consecutive pediatric patients treated with intrathecal baclofen therapy: 14-year experience. *J Neurosurg Pediatr* (2014) 13:301–6. doi: 10.3171/2013.11.PEDS13253

61. Flotats-Bastardas M, Linsler S, Zemlin M, Meyer S. Nusinersen administration *Via* an intrathecal port in a 16-Year-Old spinal muscular atrophy patient with profound scoliosis. *Pediatr Neurosurg* (2020) 55:54–7. doi: 10.1159/000504058

62. Sandberg DI, Kerr ML. Ventricular access device placement in the fourth ventricle to treat malignant fourth ventricle brain tumors: Technical note. *Childs Nerv Syst* (2016) 32:703–7. doi: 10.1007/s00381-015-2969-y

63. Kramer K, Smith M, Souweidane MM. Safety profile of long-term intraventricular access devices in pediatric patients receiving radioimmunotherapy for central nervous system malignancies: Ommaya safety radioimmunotherapy. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* (2014) 61:1590–2. doi: 10.1002/pbc.25080

64. Barua NU, Gill SS, Love S. Convection-enhanced drug delivery to the brain: Therapeutic potential and neuropathological considerations. *Brain Pathol* (2014) 24:117–27. doi: 10.1111/bpa.12082

65. Lewis O, Woolley M, Johnson D, Rosser A, Barua NU, Bienemann AS, et al. Chronic, intermittent convection-enhanced delivery devices. *J Neurosci Methods* (2016) 259:47–56. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2015.11.008

66. Barua NU, Woolley M, Bienemann AS, Johnson DE, Lewis O, Wyatt MJ, et al. Intermittent convection-enhanced delivery to the brain through a novel transcutaneous bone-anchored port. *J Neurosci Methods* (2013) 214:223–32. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2013.02.007

67. Etame AB, Diaz RJ, Smith CA, Mainprize TG, Hynynen K, Rutka JT. Focused ultrasound disruption of the blood-brain barrier: A new frontier for therapeutic delivery in molecular neurooncology. *Neurosurg Focus* (2012) 32:E3. doi: 10.3171/2011.10.FOCUS11252

68. Lamsam L, Johnson E, Connolly ID, Wintermark M, Hayden Gephart M. A review of potential applications of MR-guided focused ultrasound for targeting brain tumor therapy. *Neurosurg Focus* (2018) 44:E10. doi: 10.3171/2017.11.FOCUS17620

69. Beccaria K, Canney M, Bouchoux G, Desseaux C, Grill J, Heimberger AB, et al. Ultrasound-induced blood-brain barrier disruption for the treatment of gliomas and other primary CNS tumors. *Cancer Lett* (2020) 479:13–22. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2020.02.013

70. Dréan A, Lemaire N, Bouchoux G, Goldwirt L, Canney M, Goli L, et al. Temporary blood-brain barrier disruption by low intensity pulsed ultrasound increases carboplatin delivery and efficacy in preclinical models of glioblastoma. *J Neurooncol* (2019) 144:33–41. doi: 10.1007/s11060-019-03204-0

71. Brown CE, Aguilar B, Starr R, Yang X, Chang W-C, Weng L, et al. Optimization of IL13Rα2-targeted chimeric antigen receptor T cells for improved anti-tumor efficacy against glioblastoma. *Mol Ther* (2018) 26:31–44. doi: 10.1016/j.ymthe.2017.10.002

72. Majzner RG, Ramakrishna S, Yeom KW, Patel S, Chinnasamy H, Schultz LM, et al. GD2-CAR-T cell therapy for H3K27M-mutated diffuse midline gliomas. *Nature* (2022) 603:934–41. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-04489-4

73. Goff SL, Morgan RA, Yang JC, Sherry RM, Robbins PF, Restifo NP, et al. Pilot trial of adoptive transfer of chimeric antigen receptor-transduced T cells targeting EGFRvIII in patients with glioblastoma. *J Immunother* (2019) 42(4):126–35. doi: 10.1097/CII.000000000000260

74. Liu R, Qu Y, Chen L, Pu J, Ma S, Zhang X, et al. Genomic copy number gains of ErbB family members predict poor clinical outcomes in glioma patients. *Oncotarget* (2017) 8(54):92275–88. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.21228

75. Vitanza NA, Johnson AJ, Wilson AL, Brown C, Yokoyama JK, Künkele A, et al. Locoregional infusion of HER2-specific CAR T cells in children and young adults with recurrent or refractory CNS tumors: An interim analysis. *Nat Med* (2021) 27(9):1544–52. doi: 10.1038/s41591-021-01404-8

76. Haydar D, Ibañez-Vega J, Krenciute G. T-Cell immunotherapy for pediatric high-grade gliomas: New insights to overcoming therapeutic challenges. *Front Oncol* (2021) 11:718030. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.718030

77. Majzner RG, Theruvath JL, Nellan A, Heitzeneder S, Cui Y, Mount CW, et al. CAR T cells targeting B7-H3, a pan-cancer antigen, demonstrate potent preclinical activity against pediatric solid tumors and brain tumors. *Clin Cancer Res* (2019) 25 (8):2560-74. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-0432

78. Boccalatte F, Mina R, Aroldi A, Leone S, Suryadevara CM, Placantonakis DG, et al. Advances and hurdles in CAR T cell immune therapy for solid tumors. *Cancers* (2022) 14(20):5108. doi: 10.3390/cancers14205108

79. Morgan RA, Johnson LA, Davis JL, Zheng Z, Woolard KD, Reap EA, et al. Recognition of glioma stem cells by genetically modified T cells targeting EGFRvIII and development of adoptive cell therapy for glioma. *Hum Gene Ther* (2012) 23(10):1043–53. doi: 10.1089/hum.2012.041

80. O'Rourke DM, Nasrallah MP, Desai A, Melenhorst JJ, Mansfield K, Morrissette JJD, et al. A single dose of peripherally infused EGFRvIII-directed CAR T cells mediates antigen loss and induces adaptive resistance in patients with recurrent glioblastoma. *Sci Transl Med* (2017) 9(399):eaaa0984. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaa0984

81. Moon EK, Carpenito C, Sun J, Wang L-CS, Kapoor V, Predina J, et al. Expression of a functional CCR2 receptor enhances tumor localization and tumor eradication by retargeted human T cells expressing a mesothelin-specific chimeric antibody receptor. *Clin Cancer Res* (2011) 17:4719–30. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-111-0351

82. Idorn M, Thor Straten P. Chemokine receptors and exercise to tackle the inadequacy of T cell homing to the tumor site. *Cells* (2018) 7:108. doi: 10.3390/ cells7080108

83. Rapp M, Grassmann S, Chaloupka M, Layritz P, Kruger S, Ormanns S, et al. C-c chemokine receptor type-4 transduction of T cells enhances interaction with dendritic cells, tumor infiltration and therapeutic efficacy of adoptive T cell transfer. *Oncoimmunology* (2016) 5:e1105428. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2015.1105428

84. Adachi K, Kano Y, Nagai T, Okuyama N, Sakoda Y, Tamada K. IL-7 and CCL19 expression in CAR-T cells improves immune cell infiltration and CAR-T cell survival in the tumor. *Nat Biotechnol* (2018) 36:346–51. doi: 10.1038/nbt.4086

85. Liu Q, Xu Y, Mou J, Tang K, Fu X, Li Y, et al. Irradiated chimeric antigen receptor engineered NK-92MI cells show effective cytotoxicity against CD19+ malignancy in a mouse model. *Cytotherapy* (2020) 22(10):552-62. doi: 10.1016/ j.jcyt.2020.06.003

86. Fares J, Davis ZB, Rechberger JS, Toll SA, Schwartz JD, Daniels DJ, et al. Advances in NK cell therapy for brain tumors. *NPJ Precis Oncol* (2023) 7(1):17. doi: 10.1038/s41698-023-00356-1

87. Kloess S, Kretschmer A, Stahl L, Fricke S, Koehl U. CAR-expressing natural killer cells for cancer retargeting. *Transfus Med Hemother* (2019) 46(1):4–13. doi: 10.1159/000495771

88. Caruso S, De Angelis B, Del Bufalo F, Ciccone R, Donsante S, Volpe G, et al. Safe and effective off-the-shelf immunotherapy based on CAR.CD123-NK cells for the treatment of acute myeloid leukaemia. *J Hematol Oncol* (2022) 15:163. doi: 10.1186/ s13045-022-01376-3

89. Gonzalez Castro LN, Dietrich J. Evaluation and management of chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell-associated neurotoxicity. *Neurooncol Pract* (2021) 8:259-65. doi: 10.1093/nop/npaa080

90. Chou CK, Turtle CJ. Assessment and management of cytokine release syndrome and neurotoxicity following CD19 CAR-T cell therapy. *Expert Opin Biol Ther* (2020) 20:653–64. doi: 10.1080/14712598.2020.1729735

91. Grigor EJM, Fergusson D, Kekre N, Montroy J, Atkins H, Seftel MD, et al. Risks and benefits of chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR-T) therapy in cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Transfus Med Rev* (2019) 33:98–110. doi: 10.1016/j.tmrv.2019.01.005 92. Maude SL, Frey N, Shaw PA, Aplenc R, Barrett DM, Bunin NJ, et al. Chimeric antigen receptor T cells for sustained remissions in leukemia. *N Engl J Med* (2014) 371:1507–17. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1407222

93. Turtle CJ, Hanafi L-A, Berger C, Gooley TA, Cherian S, Hudecek M, et al. CD19 CAR-T cells of defined CD4+:CD8+ composition in adult b cell ALL patients. *J Clin Invest* (2016) 126:2123–38. doi: 10.1172/JCI85309

94. Li G, Mitra SS, Monje M, Henrich KN, Bangs CD, Nitta RT, et al. Expression of epidermal growth factor variant III (EGFRvIII) in pediatric diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas. *J Neurooncol* (2012) 108:395–402. doi: 10.1007/s11060-012-0842-3

95. Wolchok JD, Hoos A, O'Day S, Weber JS, Hamid O, Lebbe C, et al. Guidelines for the evaluation of immune therapy activity in solid tumors: Immune-related response criteria. *Clin Cancer Res* (2009) 15:7412–20. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-1624

96. Maxeiner H, Behnke M. Intracranial volume, brain volume, reserve volume and morphological signs of increased intracranial pressure-a post-mortem analysis. *Leg Med (Tokyo)* (2008) 10:293–300. doi: 10.1016/j.legalmed.2008.04.001

97. Kasprowicz M, Lalou DA, Czosnyka M, Garnett M, Czosnyka Z. Intracranial pressure, its components and cerebrospinal fluid pressure-volume compensation. *Acta Neurol Scand* (2016) 134:168–80. doi: 10.1111/ane.12541

98. Hutchinson PJ, Jalloh I, Helmy A, Carpenter KLH, Rostami E, Bellander B-M, et al. Consensus statement from the 2014 international microdialysis forum. *Intensive Care Med* (2015) 41:1517–28. doi: 10.1007/s00134-015-3930-y

99. Young AMH, Kolias AG, Hutchinson PJ. Decompressive craniectomy for traumatic intracranial hypertension: application in children. *Childs Nerv Syst* (2017) 33:1745–50. doi: 10.1007/s00381-017-3534-7

100. Kolias AG, Kirkpatrick PJ, Hutchinson PJ. Decompressive craniectomy: Past, present and future. *Nat Rev Neurol* (2013) 9:405–15. doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2013.106

101. Beez T, Munoz-Bendix C, Steiger H-J, Beseoglu K. Decompressive craniectomy for acute ischemic stroke. *Crit Care* (2019) 23:209. doi: 10.1186/s13054-019-2490-x

102. Yagyu S, Hoyos V, Del Bufalo F, Brenner MK. An inducible caspase-9 suicide gene to improve the safety of therapy using human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Mol Ther* (2015) 23:1475–85. doi: 10.1038/mt.2015.100

103. Black ME, Newcomb TG, Wilson HM, Loeb LA. Creation of drug-specific herpes simplex virus type 1 thymidine kinase mutants for gene therapy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (1996) 93:3525–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.93.8.3525

104. Suvà ML, Tirosh I. Single-cell RNA sequencing in cancer: Lessons learned and emerging challenges. *Mol Cell* (2019) 75(1):7–12. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2019.05.003

105. Neftel C, Laffy J, Filbin MG, Hara T, Shore ME, Rahme GJ, et al. An integrative model of cellular states, plasticity, and genetics for glioblastoma. *Cell* (2019) 178 (4):835–849.e21. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.06.024

106. Hara T, Chanoch-Myers R, Mathewson ND, Myskiw C, Atta L, Bussema L, et al. Interactions between cancer cells and immune cells drive transitions to mesenchymal-like states in glioblastoma. *Cancer Cell* (2021) 39(6):779–792.e11. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2021.05.002

107. Bielamowicz K, Fousek K, Byrd TT, Samaha H, Mukherjee M, Aware N, et al. Trivalent CAR T cells overcome interpatient antigenic variability in glioblastoma. *Neuro Oncol* (2018) 20(4):506–18. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/nox182

108. Kloss CC, Lee J, Zhang A, Chen F, Melenhorst JJ, Lacey SF, et al. Dominantnegative TGF- $\beta$  receptor enhances PSMA-targeted human CAR T cell proliferation and augments prostate cancer eradication. *Mol Ther* (2018) 26(7):1855–66. doi: 10.1016/ j.ymthe.2018.05.003

109. Bollard CM, Rössig C, Calonge MJ, Huls MH, Wagner HJ, Massague J, et al. Adapting a transforming growth factor beta-related tumor protection strategy to enhance antitumor immunity. *Blood* (2002) 99(9):3179–87. doi: 10.1182/blood.v99.9.3179

110. Mohammed S, Sukumaran S, Bajgain P, Watanabe N, Heslop HE, Rooney CM, et al. Improving chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cell function by reversing the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment of pancreatic cancer. *Mol Ther* (2017) 25(1):249–58. doi: 10.1016/j.ymthe.2016.10.016

111. Shum T, Omer B, Tashiro H, Kruse RL, Wagner DL, Parikh K, et al. Constitutive signaling from an engineered IL7 receptor promotes durable tumor elimination by tumor-redirected T cells. *Cancer Discovery* (2017) 7(11):1238–47. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-17-0538

112. Hurton LV, Singh H, Najjar AM, Switzer KC, Mi T, Maiti S, et al. Tethered IL-15 augments antitumor activity and promotes a stem-cell memory subset in tumorspecific T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2016) 113(48):E7788–97. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.1610544113

113. Tang L, Zheng Y, Melo MB, Mabardi L, Castaño AP, Xie YQ, et al. Enhancing T cell therapy through TCR-signaling-responsive nanoparticle drug delivery. *Nat Biotechnol* (2018) 36(8):707–16. doi: 10.1038/nbt.4181

114. Chinnasamy D, Yu Z, Kerkar SP, Zhang L, Morgan RA, Restifo NP, et al. Local delivery of interleukin-12 using T cells targeting VEGF receptor-2 eradicates multiple vascularized tumors in mice. *Clin Cancer Res* (2012) 18(6):1672–83. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-3050

115. Alizadeh D, Wong RA, Yang X, Wang D, Pecoraro JR, Kuo CF, et al. IL15 enhances CAR-T cell antitumor activity by reducing mTORC1 activity and preserving their stem cell memory phenotype. *Cancer Immunol Res* (2019) 7(5):759–72. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-18-0466

116. Cieri N, Camisa B, Cocchiarella F, Forcato M, Oliveira G, Provasi E, et al. IL-7 and IL-15 instruct the generation of human memory stem T cells from naive precursors. *Blood* (2013) 121(4):573-84. doi: 10.1182/blood-2012-05-431718

117. Chong EA, Melenhorst JJ, Lacey SF, Ambrose DE, Gonzalez V, Levine BL, et al. PD-1 blockade modulates chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-modified T cells: Refueling the CAR. *Blood* (2017) 129(8):1039–41. doi: 10.1182/blood-2016-09-738245

118. Reardon DA, Gokhale PC, Klein SR, Ligon KL, Rodig SJ, Ramkissoon SH, et al. Glioblastoma eradication following immune checkpoint blockade in an orthotopic, immunocompetent model. *Cancer Immunol Res* (2016) 4(2):124–35. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-15-0151

119. Fecci PE, Ochiai H, Mitchell DA, Grossi PM, Sweeney AE, Archer GE, et al. Systemic CTLA-4 blockade ameliorates glioma-induced changes to the CD4+ T cell compartment without affecting regulatory T-cell function. *Clin Cancer Res* (2007) 13 (7):2158–67. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-2070

120. Schalper KA, Rodriguez-Ruiz ME, Diez-Valle R, López-Janeiro A, Porciuncula A, Idoate MA, et al. Neoadjuvant nivolumab modifies the tumor immune microenvironment in resectable glioblastoma. *Nat Med* (2019) 25(3):470-6. doi: 10.1038/s41591-018-0339-5

121. Ren J, Liu X, Fang C, Jiang S, June CH, Zhao Y. Multiplex genome editing to generate universal CAR T cells resistant to PD1 inhibition. *Clin Cancer Res* (2017) 23 (9):2255–66. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-16-1300

122. Lichty BD, Breitbach CJ, Stojdl DF, Bell JC. Going viral with cancer immunotherapy. Nat Rev Cancer (2014) 14(8):559–67. doi: 10.1038/nrc3770

123. Rosewell Shaw A, Porter CE, Watanabe N, Tanoue K, Sikora A, Gottschalk S, et al. Adenovirotherapy delivering cytokine and checkpoint inhibitor augments CAR T cells against metastatic head and neck cancer. *Mol Ther* (2017) 25(11):2440–51. doi: 10.1016/j.ymthe.2017.09.010

124. Mardiana S, John LB, Henderson MA, Slaney CY, von Scheidt B, Giuffrida I, et al. A multifunctional role for adjuvant anti-4-1BB therapy in augmenting antitumor response by chimeric antigen receptor T cells. *Cancer Res* (2017) 77(6):1296–309. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-1831

125. Juillerat A, Marechal A, Filhol JM, Valogne Y, Valton J, Duclert A, et al. An oxygen sensitive self-decision making engineered CAR T-cell. *Sci Rep* (2017) 7:39833. doi: 10.1038/srep39833

126. Jin L, Ge H, Long Y, Yang C, Chang YE, Mu L, et al. CD70, a novel target of CAR T-cell therapy for gliomas. *Neuro Oncol* (2018) 20(1):55–65. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/nox116

127. Wallstabe L, Mades A, Frenz S, Einsele H, Rader C, Hudecek M. CAR T cells targeting  $\alpha\nu\beta3$  integrin are effective against advanced cancer in preclinical models. *Adv Cell Gene Ther* (2018) 1(2):e11. doi: 10.1002/acg2.11