1	Computed tomography-based identification of ganglionated plexi to guide
2	cardioneuroablation for vasovagal syncope
3	
4	Pietro Francia ^{§*} , MD, Daniel Viveros [§] , MD, Giulio Falasconi [§] , MD,
5	David Soto-Iglesias [§] , PhD, Juan Fernández-Armenta [¶] , MD,
6	Diego Penela [§] , MD, PhD, Antonio Berruezo [§] , MD, PhD
7 8	[§] Arrhythmia Department, Teknon Heart Institute, Teknon Medical Center, Barcelona, Spain
9 10	* Cardiology Unit, Department of Clinical and Molecular Medicine, Sant'Andrea Hospital, University
11	Sapienza, Rome, Italy
12	[¶] Puerta del Mar University Hospital, Cádiz, Spain
13 14	Running title: Fat pads-guided CNA
15	Funding: there are no sources of funding to disclose
16	Conflicts of interest: P.F. received speaker fees from Boston Scientific and research grants from
17	Abbott and Boston Scientific. A.B. is stockholder of Galgo Medical. D.SI. is an employee of
18	Biosense Webster Inc. All other authors declared no conflicts of interest.
19	
20	Address for correspondence
21	Antonio Berruezo, MD, PhD.
22	Arrhythmia Department, Teknon Heart Institute
23	Teknon Medical Center, Barcelona, Spain
24	E-mail: antonio.berruezo@quironsalud.es
25	© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. This is an

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

1	Cardioneuroablation (CNA) is an effective treatment for cardio-inhibitory vaso-vagal syncope
2	(CI-VVS) that is under evaluation as a potential alternative to cardiac pacing in selected patients ¹ . The
3	cornerstone of CNA is targeting groups of autonomic ganglia known as ganglionated plexi (GPs)
4	embedded in epicardial fat pads (EFP) that are interconnected via intrinsic nerves with the sino-atrial
5	and atrio-ventricular nodes. GPs are commonly identified by high frequency stimulation (HFS),
6	fractionated electrogram (fEGM), or based on anatomical landmarks ¹⁻⁵ . Although varying in efficacy,
7	these approaches may be hampered by limited sensitivity and specificity, unintended AF induction, and
8	interpatient variability. CT-assisted CNA ⁶ and CT-based identification of EFP to target GPs during AF
9	ablation ⁷ have been reported. We sought to assess feasibility of CT-based EFP-guided CNA in patients
10	with CI-VVS.
11	We enrolled 12 patients (6 males, 53±13 years) with multiple episodes of CI-VVS. Ten had head-up
12	tilt-test (HUT) with cardioinhibitory response (type 2A in 3 patients, type 2B in 5, mixed response in
13	2). One patient had a negative HUT and one did not undergo the test. These two patients experienced
14	spontaneous transient symptomatic high-degree AV block. Patients underwent EP study, including
15	atropine test, that ruled out organic sinus and AV node dysfunction. After discussion with patients on
16	management options, CNA was proposed prior to committing to pacing. The study was approved from
17	the Ethic Committee and informed consent was obtained.
18	Contrast-enhanced CTs displaying right, left atrial (LA) anatomy, aorta and EFP near the area of
19	anticipated GPs ⁸ with attenuation -190 to -30 HU were segmented and exported using ADAS3D
20	software (Galgo Inc). The following GPs were identified as EFP: left superior GP (LSGP) between left
21	superior pulmonary vein (PV) and LA appendage, Marshall tract GP (MTGP) in the carina between left
22	PVs, left inferior GP (LIGP) posteriorly to the left inferior PV, inferior paraseptal ganglionated plexus
23	(IPSGP) between the posterior wall of LA and coronary sinus, superior paraseptal ganglionated plexus

(SPSGP) between the right superior PV and superior vena cava, right inferior GP (RIGP) between the
 two right PVs, and aorta-superior vena cava GP (Ao-SVC GP).

3 On the day of CNA, anatomical map of the LA was acquired using CARTO 3 (Biosense Webster,

- 4 Diamond Bar, CA) and fused with CT. EFP areas were searched for fEGMs (≥4 deflections) but
- 5 ablated independently from fragmentation. The ablation end-point for each GP was defined as abolition
- 6 of RF-induced vagal response for left PV GPs, an increase in basal HR ≥25% for SPSGP, RIGP and
- 7 Ao-SVC GPs, and shortening of AH interval for IPSGP. In case RF over EFP did not elicit autonomic
- 8 response, we targeted the closest GP area based on anatomical landmarks. Left PV GPs were ablated
- 9 first, followed by right PV GPs, IPSGP, and Ao-SVC GP.
- 10 A total of 84 EFP were ablated in 12 patients (median RF applications: 2, IQR:1-3; time:57 s, IQR:33-
- 11 77). Response to RF for each EFP is shown in **Figure 1**. Overall, 25 (30%) EFP responded to RF.
- 12 SPSGP displayed the highest rate of RF response (100%) and the most consistent correlation between
- 13 EFP position and post-RF HR increase (Figure 1). Five additional GPs (6%) responded close but
- 14 outside the EFP, at the level of the predicted area (EFP-RF distance: 5.5±1 mm). Therefore, out of 25
- 15 GPs that responded to RF, 20 (80%) were effectively ablated over the EFP. RF delivery did not induce
- 16 any response in 54 (64%) GPs either at the level of the EFP or at the predicted anatomical location.
- 17 After CNA, atropine was administered showing flattened HR response (from 75±24 to 78±24 bpm)
- 18 without substantial changes of sinus or AV node function in all but one patient, who still displayed
- significant HR increase (from 72 to 126 bpm). There were no procedure-related complications. Nine
 patients had follow-up >4 months (median:5, IQR:4-9), without syncope recurrences.
- 21 This study shows that CT-based EFP-guided CNA for CI-VVS is feasible, can assist RF delivery with
- high precision, and has the potential to overcome the interpatient variability that affects CNA when
- 23 performed solely by anatomic landmarks. Some EFP may not show autonomic response to RF due to
- 24 previous successful ablation of a GP concealing subsequent GPs' response. Alternatively, some CT-

identified EFP might not contain GPs, as we didn't use direct methods (i.e. extracardiac vagal
stimulation) to prove EFP innervation. Of note, we did not target areas with vagal innervation (i.e., left
interatrial septum) ⁹ not associated with EFP. Further larger studies with longer follow-up are required
to improve CT-based identification of GPs and our understanding of GP pathophysiology.

			RF RESPONSE	
Δ		Over EFP	Outside EFP	No resp
	 Ao-SVC GP Volume: 434±94 mm³ fEGM: 1 (8%) 	1/12 (8%)	1/12 (8%)	10/12 (84%)
5.6 mm	LSGP Volume: 152±20 mm ³ fEGM: 7 (58%)	7/12 (58%)	1/12 (8%)	4/12 (34%)
	MTGP Volume: 173±31 mm ³ fEGM: 3 (25%)	0/12 (0%)	0/12 (0%)	12/12 (100%)
	Volume: 174±35 mm ³ fEGM: 2 (17%)	2/12 (16%)	0/12 (0%)	10/12 (84%)
B	SPSGP Volume: 481±104 mm fFGM: 4 (33%)	³ 10/12 (84%)	2/12 (12%)	0/12 (0%)
	RIGP Volume: 218±55 mm ³ fEGM: 2 (17%)	2/12 (16%)	0/12 (0%)	10/12 (84%)
	IPSGP Volume: 426±63 mm ³ fEGM: 2 (17%)	3/12 (25%)	1/12 (8%)	8/12 (67%)

Figure 1. Anatomic localization of EFP and corresponding GPs. For each GP, RF response is reported
according to the application site (over EFP, outside EFP, no response). A. Disagreement between EFP
and effective RF site at the level of the Ao-SVC GP. B. In the same patient, effective RF over the EFP
at the level of SPSGP.

1 References

-
п.
/
_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

[1]	Pachon JC, Pachon EI, Lobo TJ, Pachon MZ, Vargas RN, Jatene AD. "Cardioneuroablation"		
new trea	atment for neurocardiogenic syncope, functional AV block and sinus dysfunction using catheter		
RF-ablation. <i>Europace</i> 2005; 7 : 1-13.			
[2]	Vandenberk B, Lei LY, Ballantyne B, Vickers D, Liang Z, Sheldon RS, et al.		
Cardioneuroablation for vasovagal syncope: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Heart Rhythm			
2022.			
[3]	Pachon JC, Pachon EI, Cunha Pachon MZ, Lobo TJ, Santillana TG. Catheter ablation of severe		
neurally	meditated reflex (neurocardiogenic or vasovagal) syncope: cardioneuroablation long-term		
results.	Europace 2011; 13 : 1231-1242.		
[4]	Pachon M JC, Pachon M EI, Lobo TJ, Pachon MZ, Vargas RN, Pachon DQ, et al. A new		
treatment for atrial fibrillation based on spectral analysis to guide the catheter RF-ablation. Europace			
2004; 6: 590-601.			
[5]	Aksu T, De Potter T, John L, Osorio J, Singh D, Alyesh D, et al. Procedural and short-term		
results o	of electroanatomic-mapping-guided ganglionated plexus ablation by first-time operators: A		
multicenter study. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2022; 33: 117-122.			
[6]	Debruyne P, Rossenbacker T, Collienne C, Roosen J, Ector B, Janssens L, et al. Unifocal Right-		
Sided A	blation Treatment for Neurally Mediated Syncope and Functional Sinus Node Dysfunction		
Under Computed Tomographic Guidance. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol 2018; 11: e006604.			
[7]	Markman TM, Khoshknab M, Santangeli P, Marchlinski FE, Nazarian S. Feasibility of		
Comput	ted Tomography-Guided Cardioneuroablation for Atrial Fibrillation. JACC Clin Electrophysiol		
2022; 8 : 1449-1450.			

[8] Aksu T, Gupta D, D'Avila A, Morillo CA. Cardioneuroablation for vasovagal syncope and
 atrioventricular block: A step-by-step guide. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2022; 33: 2205-2212.
 [9] Pachon-M EI, Pachon-Mateos JC, Higuti C, Santillana-P TG, Lobo T, Pachon C, et al. Relation
 of Fractionated Atrial Potentials With the Vagal Innervation Evaluated by Extracardiac Vagal
 Stimulation During Cardioneuroablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2020; 13: e007900.

6

7

8 9

RF Response Over EFP **Outside EFP** No resp А Ao-SVC GP 1/12 1/12 10/12 434±94 mm³ Volume (8%) (8%) (84%) fEGM 1 (8%) LSGP 7/12 1/12 4/12 152±20 mm³ Volume (58%) (8%) (34%) **fEGM** 7 (58%) MTGP 0/12 (0%) 0/12 12/12 Volume 173±31 mm³ (100%) (0%) **fEGM** 3 (25%) LIGP 0/12 10/12 174±35 mm³ Volume (84%) **fEGM** В SPSGP (84%) R RIGP 10/12 (84%) 218±55 mm³ 2 (17%) IPSGP Graphical Abstract 170x118 mm (3.1 x DPI)