

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Chaos, Solitons and Fractals



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/chaos

Dynamics of dissipative structures in coherently-driven Kerr cavities with a parabolic potential

Yifan Sun^{a,*}, Pedro Parra-Rivas^a, Mario Ferraro^a, Fabio Mangini^a, Stefan Wabnitz^{a,b}

^a Dipartimento di Ingegneria dell'Informazione, Elettronica e Telecomunicazioni, Sapienza Universita di Roma, Via Eudossiana 18, Roma, 00184, Italy ^b CNR-INO, Istituto Nazionale di Ottica, Via Campi Flegrei 34, Pozzuoli, 80078, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Solitons Breathers Chaos Kerr cavity Bifurcation diagram Phase diagram Parabolic potential

ABSTRACT

By means of a modified Lugiato–Lefever equation model, we investigate the nonlinear dynamics of dissipative wave structures in coherently-driven Kerr cavities with a parabolic potential. This potential stabilizes the system dynamics, leading to the generation of robust dissipative solitons in the positive detuning regime, and of higher-order solitons in the negative detuning regime. In order to understand the underlying mechanisms which are responsible for these high-order states, we decompose the field on the basis of linear eigenmodes of the system. This permits to investigate the resulting nonlinear mode coupling processes. By increasing the external pumping, one observes the emergence of high-order breathers and chaoticons. Our modal content analysis reveals that breathers are dominated by modes of corresponding orders, while chaoticons exhibit proper chaotic dynamics. We characterize the evolution of dissipative structures by using bifurcation diagrams, and confirm their stability by combining linear stability analysis results with numerical simulations. Finally, we draw phase diagrams that summarize the complex dynamics landscape, obtained by varying the pump, the detuning, and the strength of the potential.

1. Introduction

Over the past decade, the generation and manipulation of dissipative temporal Kerr solitons (DKS) [1] has become an increasingly important topic in photonics, particularly for their applications to fiber lasers and coherent frequency comb generation [2–4]. Dissipative solitons differ from their conservative counterparts, as they require a balance between internal dissipation and external energy flow, in addition to the counterbalance between dispersion and nonlinearity. DKS dynamics and stability have been extensively analyzed within the mean-field approximation, whereby passive Kerr resonators are described by the Lugiato-Lefever equation (LLE), which is a type of driven and damped nonlinear Schroedinger equation [5-7]. A variety of DKS can be formed in cavities operated in both the anomalous or in the normal dispersion regime [8-10]. As the pump intensity increases, DKS undergo different types of instabilities, leading to complex spatiotemporal dynamics, which can be either periodic (i.e., breathers) or chaotic [11-15].

High-order effects, such as third-order dispersion, may considerably reduce the extension of unstable parameter regions, in favor of static DKS, and ultimately lead to the appearance of new types of localized states, as well as to the coexistence of bright and dark DKS [16–18]. Furthermore, the Raman effect also plays a role in the dynamics of

localized states [19]. Some modulation techniques may provide an additional degree of freedom to control and even suppress instabilities. Specifically, the spatiotemporal dynamics can be controlled by introducing inhomogeneity in pumping: an intensity or a phase modulation of the driving field [20–28]. The implementation of intensity inhomogeneous pumping offers several advantages: it permits to enhance the pump-to-soliton conversion efficiency [29], and to expand the stability region of localized solutions [30,31]. Moreover, utilizing a pump with an inhomogeneous phase profile leads to shifts in the soliton's temporal position [22,23,27,32] and offers additional deterministic pathways for DKS generation [23].

Another approach for incorporating inhomogeneous parameters in Kerr resonators is to introduce a direct modulation within the cavity, such as a longitudinally periodic modulation of the resonator dispersion [33]. Alternatively, one can directly modulate the intracavity field by incorporating an intracavity phase modulator [34–38]. In this case, one obtains the so-called *synthetic dimension* [36,37]. Both inhomogeneous pumping and internal modulation could impose a phase modulation on the cavity field, thus creating an effective periodic potential that temporally confines optical pulses and enables precise control over spatiotemporal cavity dynamics, including the emergence of dissipative states. Together with the stabilization of

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2023.114064

Received 2 May 2023; Received in revised form 10 August 2023; Accepted 13 September 2023

0960-0779/© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* yifan.sun@uniroma1.it (Y. Sun).

chaotic states [39], the potential may also lead to the emergence of *chimera*-like states [35,40,41]. Recently, we have discovered that these unstable dynamical states could be stabilized by adding a temporal parabolic potential in both 1D [41] and 3D settings [42].

In this work, we investigate the complex nonlinear dynamics arising in coherently driven nonlinear Kerr cavities with synchronous phase modulation which is described through a parabolic potential in time. We find that the potential impacts on the dynamics of the system in an unexpected manner, leading to multiple robust high-order DKS that exist only for a particular parameter set. We investigate the origin of these higher-order DKS in the linear and nonlinear regimes of the system. We show that, the emergence of these high-order states, which are of nonlinear nature, can be understood from a purely linear mode analysis. In addition, in the strong pump regime, we show the existence of different high-order breathers, which may be either symmetric or asymmetric in time, and we find that their dynamics can be elucidated from their linear mode components. Furthermore, we observe localized spatiotemporal chaotic states, also known as chaoticons or chimera states [43]. Finally, we summarize the observed complex dynamics by means of phase diagrams, which highlight the different types of system behavior.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce the mean-field model that we are going to use for describing our system. Section 3 is dedicated to performing a phenomenological comparison of stable solitons under different conditions: specifically, in the absence or in the presence of a parabolic potential. In Section 4, the origin of high-order DKS is analyzed by means of the linear eigenmodes of the cavity. In Section 5, we perform a bifurcation analysis of such states, by including nonlinearity. Section 6 introduces breather solutions of different orders within a bifurcation diagram, and Section 7 demonstrates the chaotic nature of the chaoticons via the computation of the Lyapunov exponents of the modal energies. Later, in Sections 8 and 9, we unveil the organization of the different dynamical states in the form of phase diagrams. Finally, Section 10 presents the main conclusions of our paper.

2. Model and normalization

In the mean-field approximation, the optical field envelope $\tilde{A}(\tau, t)$ of the electric field circulating within the ring resonator, in the presence of synchronous phase modulation [see Fig. 1(a)] is governed by the modified Lugiato–Lefever equation (LLE) [36,41]:

$$it_{R}\frac{\partial\tilde{A}}{\partial\tilde{t}} - \frac{\beta_{2}L}{2}\frac{\partial^{2}\tilde{A}}{\partial\tilde{\tau}^{2}} + \gamma L|\tilde{A}|^{2}\tilde{A} = -i\frac{\alpha}{2}\tilde{A} + i\sqrt{\kappa P_{in}} + [\theta - V(\tilde{\tau})]\tilde{A}$$
(1)

where $t_{\rm R}$ is the round-trip time of pulses in the resonator, $\tilde{\tau}$ is a fast time, which describes the temporal profile of the intracavity waveform, in a reference frame moving with the group velocity of light, \tilde{t} is a slow time, a continuous version of the time measuring intervals between successive round trips in the cavity, β_2 is second-order or chromatic dispersion, *L* is the cavity length, γ is the nonlinear coefficient per round-trip, α is the loss per round-trip, $P_{\rm in}$ input power of the homogeneous pumping, κ is the coupling coefficient from the pump into the cavity, θ is s the mean-value of the phase detuning between the driving field and a cavity resonance, and $V(\tilde{\tau})$ represents the action of synchronous phase modulation.

The presence of a synchronous phase modulation has been generally modeled by introducing a cosine-type potential of the form $V(\tilde{\tau}) = J_{\rm M} \cos(\Omega_{\rm M} \tilde{\tau})$, where $\Omega_{\rm M}$ and $J_{\rm M}$ are the modulation frequency and the depth of the phase modulator, respectively [35,36]. This potential can be Taylor-expanded as $V(\tilde{\tau}) = J_{\rm M}(1 - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\rm M}^2\tilde{\tau}^2 + \frac{1}{24}\Omega_{\rm M}^4\tilde{\tau}^4) + \dots$. Here we focus on the limited regime $\Omega_{\rm M}\tilde{\tau} \ll 1$, where the potential is approximately expressed as

$$V(\tilde{\tau}) = J_{\rm M}(1 - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\rm M}^2 \tilde{\tau}^2).$$
 (2)



Fig. 1. The physical model Eq. (4) could be applied to a passive coherently-driven cavity in (a) and to a bottle resonator in (b).

In this regime, Eq. (1) can be rewritten, by using the normalized scale transformations and dimensionless parameters

$$t = i\alpha/2t_R,$$

$$\tau = \tilde{\tau}\sqrt{\alpha/|\beta_2|L},$$

$$A = \tilde{A}\sqrt{2\gamma L/\alpha},$$

$$P = \sqrt{8\kappa P_{\rm in}\gamma L/\alpha^3},$$

$$\delta = 2(\theta - J_{\rm M})/\alpha,$$

$$C = J_{\rm M}\Omega_{\rm M}^2|\beta_2|L/\alpha^2,$$
(3)

as the dimensionless equation

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} = i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau^2} A - iC\tau^2 A + i|A|^2 A - (1+i\delta)A + P, \tag{4}$$

where three dimensionless variables govern the dynamics of the cavity: the cavity detuning δ , the pump *P*, and the potential strength *C*. It is important to emphasize that the shape of the potential can be easily tailored by designing the voltage profile driving the electrooptic modulator (EOM) [44], thus effectively eliminating the high-order terms in phase modulation. However, the exploration of high-order effects, such as third-order dispersion and cubic phase modulation, remains a significant avenue for future work.

It is worth noting that Eq. (4) can also be utilized to describe bottle microresonators [see Fig. 1(b)] [45–47], where the potential term results from the bump shape of the bottle structure or the inhomogeneous pump beam. In this case, one needs to replace the fast time variable τ with the spatial variable *x*. In both cases, parabolic potentials create Hermite-Gaussian modes in the temporal or spatial domain [see Fig. 1]. Besides, parabolic potentials have been previously considered in conservative systems for studying, e.g., vortex solitons in Bose–Einstein condensates [48,49], and in dissipative systems for studying mode-locked nanolasers [50,51], multimode fiber lasers [52], and for stabilizing 3D solitons [42].

To compute the solutions of Eq. (4), we have performed direct numerical simulations by means of a pseudo-spectral method, and numerical path continuation through the software package AUTO-07p [53].

To apply the last method, Eq. (4) has to be recast into a fifth-order ordinary differential equation (ODE), as we describe in Appendix A. After that, the linear stability analysis of the previous computed solutions is obtained by solving the linear eigenvalue problem associated with Eq. (4).

3. Influence of the potential on dissipative soliton dynamics

Let us start by considering the impact of the potential on the cavity dynamics. This is done by comparing different solutions, with



Fig. 2. In the left panels, we compare the field power profile $|A(\tau)|^2$ in the absence of the potential (C = 0, red δ -scan) in (a), or in the presence of the potential (C = 1, red δ -scan) in (b) and (C = 1, blue δ -scan) in (c), when varying the detuning δ . In the center and right panels, we trace the field intensity $|A(\tau)|^2$, with or without the potential, for selected values of the cavity detuning δ : green curves refer to C = 0, red ϵ -scan, and blue curves to C = 1, blue ϵ -scan; $\delta = 4$ in (i,i), $\delta = 0$ in (iii), $\delta = -2$ in (iv), $\delta = -8$ in (v), $\delta = -12$ in (vi). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

or without the potential. The colormap in Fig. 2(a) shows the steadystate field power of numerical solutions of Eq. (4) without the potential term $-iC\tau^2 A$ (setting C = 0), when the cavity detuning δ gradually increases for P = 2.5. Here we call it a red δ -scan, since it corresponds to increasing the wavelength (red-shift) of the pump. This red δ -scan process is carried out in the following manner: we use a final steadystate solution (a solution until convergence is obtained) $A(\tau, t = t_{\text{final}})$ with a detuning δ_n as the initial condition $A(\tau, t = 0)$ with the new detuning δ_{n+1} , where $\delta_{n+1} > \delta_n$. In this way, one may obtain all the steady-state solutions (instead of transient solutions), with a significant reduction of the convergence time in simulations. Fig. 2(a) shows that the intra-cavity field starts from the homogeneous solution at $\delta = -15$: when the detuning increases, $|A(\tau)|^2$ increases from 0.03 up to 1.17 at $\delta = -0.9$ in the region I [see the marks on the top of Fig. 2(a)]. By further increasing δ , Turing patterns emerge in region II between $-0.9 \leq \delta \leq 0.2$, then chaotic patterns appear in region III between $0.2 < \delta < 3.2$. Finally, the occurrence of stable time-localized dissipative soliton solutions is observed in region IV, between $3.3 \le \delta \le 7.7$.

However, when the parabolic potential $-iC\tau^2 A$ is included, different dynamics are discovered. Fig. 2(b) shows a red δ -scan, analogous to the one depicted in Fig. 2(a), but now for C = 1. As we can observe, the presence of the potential leads to a clear difference in the intracavity dynamics. To better compare these two scenarios, in Fig. 2(i–vi) we plot the power distribution $|A(\tau)|^2$ of the different states for the same parameter set, with the potential (see red curves) and without the potential (see green curves). For the stable soliton regime, the profiles are very similar, except that the homogeneous background is suppressed by the potential [see Fig. 2(i,ii)]. In addition, the parabolic potential also stabilizes the unstable regimes of region II and III in Fig. 2(a), leading to the formation of a stable soliton [see Fig. 2(iii), for $\delta = 0$]. We have reported this stabilization in a previous work [41].

More interestingly, the potential also extends the stable DKS existence into a large negative detuning region [see Fig. 2(b)]: without the potential, only homogeneous steady-states exist [cfr. with Fig. 2(a)]. Figures Fig. 2(iv)-(vi) compare these two scenarios (plotted by the green curves for C = 0 and the red curves for C = 1) for $\delta = -2, -8, -12$, respectively. The difference in DKS order manifests in the number of side peaks that are present in each state: as we can see, the number of peaks changes when increasing δ in Fig. 2(b). Note that,

when decreasing δ , the power of the DKS side peaks varies significantly with their order.

We have also implemented a δ -blue scan by progressively reducing the detuning δ from a large detuning value $\delta = 10$. The result is illustrated in Fig. 2(c). The individual examples with corresponding δ are plotted as blue curves in Fig. 2(i-vi), respectively. For $\delta > 2.6$, a small amplitude localized state, which does not exist in the δ -red scan, appears [see, e.g., the one depicted in blue in Fig. 2(ii)]. This state corresponds to a modification of the homogeneous background state. For $\delta < 2.6$, the DKS appearance follows the same sequence as in Fig. 2(b) [see the states plotted by the red and blue curves in Fig. 2(iii) for $\delta = 0$]. When decreasing δ even further, DKS of different order coexist for the same range of δ values (e.g., for $-2.1 < \delta <$ -1.8), as we can appreciate from the discrepancy between Fig. 2(b) and Fig. 2(c) [see in more detail Fig. 2(iv) for $\delta = -2$]. All of these states are quite robust attractors of the system.

At this stage, we may ask ourselves a few questions, such as: how are these different DKS organized from a bifurcation perspective, and how does their nature change with the control parameters of the system? Our main aim in the rest of this paper is to answer, step by step, these two questions.

4. Modal analysis in the absence of Kerr nonlinearity

In this section, we will analyze the linear eigenmodes of the cavity field, which give rise to the high-order resonant states, enabling us to uncover some of the essential features of the system. Subsequently, we will derive the coupled mode equations of the model from Eq. (4) to demonstrate the different pumping rates for each mode. Finally, we will examine the linear resonance of the cavity by ignoring the Kerr effect.

Let us first ignore, besides nonlinearity, also the effects of pumping, dissipation, and cavity detuning. This leads to the linear eigenvalue equation

$$\hat{H}_0 \psi_n(\tau) = \mathrm{i}\delta_n \psi_n(\tau),\tag{5}$$

where $\hat{H}_0 = i\partial_{\tau}^2 - iC\tau^2$ is a linear operator, accounting for the presence of second-order or chromatic dispersion and the parabolic potential: ψ_n and δ_n are the corresponding eigenmodes and eigenvalues. The



Fig. 3. (a) Hermite–Gauss eigenmodes $\psi_n(\tau)$ (solid curves) located in correspondence of their eigenvalues δ_n , and the parabolic potential $-C\tau^2$ (dashed black curves) of the system, when C = 1. (b) Linear stable solutions $|A(\tau)|^2$ in the absence of the Kerr effect, vs. detuning δ . (c) Even mode excitation efficiency $M_{nor,2n}$ (plotted by circles) and total energy of solutions, as in panel (b), vs. detuning δ . (d) Modal energies $|C_n|^2$ vs. detuning δ , by decomposing the solutions in (b) on the eigenmodes in (a).

latter determine the resonance frequences which are equally spaced and given by

$$\delta_n = -2\sqrt{C(n+1/2)}.$$
 (6)

The former are Hermite-Gaussian (HG) functions, given by

$$\psi_n(\tau) = (2^n n!)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left(-\frac{\tau^2}{2a_\tau^2}\right) H_n\left(\frac{\tau}{a_\tau}\right)$$
(7)

where the scaling factor is

$$a_{\tau} = C^{-1/4},$$
 (8)

and H_n is the Hermite polynomial. The relation between eigenfunctions $\psi_n(\tau)$, eigenvalues δ_n and the parabolic potential $-iC\tau^2$ is illustrated in Fig. 3(a).

Eigenmodes $\psi_n(\tau)$ satisfy the orthonormality condition

$$\int \psi_n(\tau)\psi_m(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau = \delta_{nm},$$

and since they form a complete orthogonal basis, any time evolution solution $A(\tau, t)$ of Eq. (4) can be expanded on the HG mode basis,

$$A(\tau,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} C_n(t) e^{i\delta_n t} \psi_n(\tau),$$
(9)

where the mode complex amplitude reads

$$C_n(t)e^{i\delta_n t} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A(\tau, t)\psi_n(\tau)d\tau,$$
(10)

and the mode energy corresponds to $|C_n|^2$.

To continue further with our modal analysis, let us focus on how the pump influences the evolution of each mode separately. To do so, we first substitute Eq. (9) into Eq. (4) and project on the mode ψ_n . This

leads [see Appendix B] to a set of ODEs

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}C_n(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = e^{-i\delta_n t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P\psi_n(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau + e^{-i\delta_n t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} i|A|^2 A\psi_n(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau - e^{-i\delta_n t} (1+i\delta)C_n.$$
(11)

Note that these ODEs govern the mode dynamics, which is equivalent to the field dynamics in Eq. (4). Now each ODE governs the dynamics of one mode, while the interaction of modes results from the nonlinear coupling term [the second term in Eq. (11)].

The first term in Eq. (11) corresponds to the pumping rate into each mode. Ignoring the factor leading to a phase change, the pumping rate

$$M_n(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P\psi_n(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau, \qquad (12)$$

depends on the mode amplitude distribution [see Fig. 3(a)]. From this, we can define the *mode excitation efficiency* via the normalized pumping rate $M_{\text{nor},n} = M_n/M_1$.

It should be noted that the pumping rate for each eigenmode differs, as demonstrated in Eq. (12). For the τ -asymmetric odd modes (n = 1, 3, 5, ...), combined with coherent pumping, one obtains a pumping rate of $M_{\text{nor},2n+1} = 0$ for the odd modes, since opposite amplitude components with respect to $\tau = 0$ cancel their contributions to the mode excitation efficiency. In other words, the homogeneous coherent pumping actively suppresses the asymmetric eigenmodes, leading to the predominance of symmetric properties in most of the system's solutions. For even-symmetric modes (n = 0, 2, 4, ...), in contrast, the pumping rates $M_{\text{nor},2n}$ are non-vanishing, and they decrease with the eigenvalue δ_{2n} (i.e., increasing n), as depicted by the circles in Fig. 3(c).

The differences in the mode excitation ratios can be confirmed by performing numerical simulations of Eq. (4), without the nonlinear Kerr term $i|A|^2A$. To do so, we compute the steady-state solutions of the system as a function of δ for P = 2.5. The field power distribution $|A(\tau)|^2$ resulting from these computations is depicted in Fig. 3(b). The shape of this distribution is associated with the mode shapes of Fig. 3(a). As a result, the field power distribution expands its temporal range as δ decreases, and it is symmetric with respect to $\tau = 0$. The similarity between Fig. 3(a) and Fig. 3(b) indicates that the resonant contribution predominantly comes from even modes, while odd modes are suppressed.

This is more obvious when we plot the corresponding total field energy $E(\delta) = \iint |A(\tau, \delta)|^2 d\tau$ [see the black curve in Fig. 3(c)]. Here, the resonance peaks only appear at δ values corresponding to the even mode eigenvalues. When compared with the excitation efficiency $M_{\text{nor},2n}$, these peaks exhibit a very similar tendency. Furthermore, we can decompose all of the linear states on the HG mode basis by using Eq. (10). The results are depicted in Fig. 3(d), where the mode energies $|C_n|^2$ are traced vs. detuning δ . From here, we can conclude that the linear cavity resonances are related to the presence of even HG modes only, since all odd modes are left unexcited by the pump.

5. Bifurcation structure of high-order dissipative Kerr solitons

At this point, we are ready to introduce the Kerr nonlinearity $i|A|^2A$, which permits us to analyze the emergence of DKS, and nonlinear modifications of cavity resonances. The Kerr effect introduces an intensity-dependent phase shift, equivalent to altering the detuning as $\delta' = \delta - |A|^2$ [see Eq. (4)]. This is particularly pronounced in resonance peaks due to their relative higher intensities, necessitating a larger detuning δ to effectively mitigate the phase modifications. This adjustment results in a noticeable shift in the resonance peak positions, manifesting as $\delta = |A|^2 + \delta'$, ultimately leading to their tilting in the positive detuning direction or the emergence of bistabilities. An example of this nonlinear resonance is illustrated in Fig. 4(a) for P = 2.5. To compute this bifurcation diagram, we need to follow a



Fig. 4. Bifurcation diagram vs. δ , showing the total energy of stable (unstable) solutions by solid black (pink dot) curves in (a), and their mode energies $|C_n|^2$ in (b) for the parameters (P, C) = (2.5, 1). For comparison, linear solutions in the absence of the Kerr effect are also plotted by the dashed gray curve in (a). The regime of bistable solutions, created by fold bifurcations (F), are marked by the transparent colored regions. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

path-parameter continuation approach, which allows us to numerically compute the nonlinear steady-state solutions, such as DKS, of the cavity field. Indeed, each point on the diagram of Fig. 4(a) corresponds to a different DKS state. The linear stability of these states is shown by using solid (dashed) lines for stable (unstable) states.

For a better comparison, in Fig. 4(a) we also plot the linear resonances by using a dashed gray curve. The resonance peaks associated with the DKS not only shift, but also tilt towards positive values of δ . Such tilts may lead to the appearance of bistable regions, where two stable states coexist [see the transparent red and blue regions in Fig. 4(a)]. The DKS modify along this bifurcation diagram, as depicted in Fig. 4(i)-(iii). The examples of the small and high-amplitude localized states (i).1 and (ii).2 in the red region are plotted in Fig. 4(i), respectively. The main bistable region extends between the fold (F) bifurcations F_{1.1} and F_{1.2}, which are connected through an unstable DKS branch [see the dashed pink line in Fig. 4(a)]. When decreasing δ , the high-amplitude DKS become unstable at $F_{2,2}$ and start to nucleate two side peaks. Following up the resonance, the side peaks grow larger in amplitude, until eventually the DKS stabilizes at F2.1. Two examples of DKS in the narrow blue region, are plotted in Fig. 4(ii) for $\delta = -2$. The δ interval between these points corresponds to a second bistable DKS region. By decreasing δ even further, the DKS morphology becomes more complex, since new subsidiary peaks appear. An example of such states is shown in Fig. 4(iii).

At this point, we may make use of what we learned in Section 4, and analyze the DKS by projecting them on the HG modes, all along the nonlinear resonances of Fig. 4(a). By doing that, we compute the modification of the mode energy $|C_n|^2$ as a function of δ , for different mode orders (*n*) [see Fig. 4(b)]. This leads to observing that all DKS have multimode components, although, for every resonance



Fig. 5. Bifurcation diagram vs. δ , showing the total energy of stable (unstable) solitons by solid black (pink dot) curves in (a), and the mode energy $|C_1|^2$ in (c) for the parameters (P, C) = (3.5, 1). A zoomed region between $-4 \le \delta \le 5.1$ in (a) is shown in (b). Fold and Hopf bifurcation points are marked at corresponding positions by F and H. The individual breather solutions at different δ are marked by the points (i–vii), and are plotted in Fig. 6. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

peak, there is always a single mode that dominates. Moreover, for two stable solutions between any two consecutive fold bifurcations, there is a sharp change of modal energy with respect to the corresponding resonance modes. For example, the two solutions (ii).1 and (ii).2 in Fig. 4(a,ii), located on the second resonance peak, where the mode n = 2 is dominant, exhibit different modal contents. The same holds for the first resonance peak.

As we will see in Sections 6 and 8, by increasing the pump amplitude *P*, one increases the overall field power, until new bistable regions appear, leading to more complex spatiotemporal dynamics.

6. Breathers of different orders

In the standard LLE model [i.e., Eq. (4) with C = 0], by increasing *P*, different dynamical instabilities arise, which lead to the formation of time-evolving breathers and chaos [54,55]. In this section, we will explore how the potential modifies such dynamics, as well as the appearance of high-order breathers.

Fig. 5(a) shows the DKS bifurcation diagram for P = 3.5. Although it is similar to that shown in Fig. 5(a), now the resonance peaks tilt even for lower values of δ , leading to the formation of fold bifurcations F_3 , F_4 at the third and fourth resonance peaks. For the first two resonance peaks, the linear stability analysis shows that DKS states undergo several Hopf bifurcations ($H_{1,1}$, $H_{1,2}$, H_2) leading to the emergence of breathing dynamics.

Let us take a closer look at this dynamical regime [see the close-up view of the region $-4 \le \delta \le 5$ shown in Fig. 5(b)]. When decreasing



Fig. 6. Different breathers, corresponding the bifurcation diagram in Fig. 5, are plotted here for (i,ii) $\delta = -2$, (iii) $\delta = -1$, (v) $\delta = -1$, (v) $\delta = -0.5$, (vi) $\delta = 0.5$, when P = 3.5, C = 1. Sub-figures on the top, middle, and bottom panels, marked by (1), (2) and (3), respectively, correspond to the time evolution of power $|A(\tau, t)|^2$, total energy $\iint |A(\tau, t)|^2 d\tau$ and modal energies $|C_n(t)|^2$, and to the time evolution of real and imaginary parts of the mode amplitudes $C_n(t)$, for each δ in (i–vii).

 δ , the first instability that appears is H_{1,1}. Here stable single-peak DKS destabilize, leading to breather states such as that of Fig. 6(i.1), where we show the evolution of $|A(\tau, t)|^2$ with *t* for $\delta = -2.4$. To represent the modification of the breathers with δ , in Fig. 5 we plot (by using purple dots) the maxima and minima of the breather energy evolution vs. time *t*: $E(t) = \int |A(\tau, t)|^2 d\tau$. This also allows us to distinguish among different breathing behaviors.

The power of the breather at $\tau = 0$, i.e., $|A(\tau = 0, t)|^2$, exhibits periodic oscillations, as it can be appreciated in Fig. 6(i.1). Fig. 6(i.2) shows the periodic temporal evolution of the breather energy *E*, and the modal energies of the first three modes (i.e., $|C_{0,1,2}|^2$) by using black, red, dark green and blue curves, respectively. These periodic oscillations correspond to the nearby trajectories which are depicted in the reduced phase space plane {Re[$C_n(t)$], Im[$C_n(t)$]} that is shown in Fig. 6(i.3).

By reducing δ even further [see Fig. 5(b), which provides a magnified view of a region in Fig. Fig. 5(a)], τ -asymmetric breathers appear within the δ -interval 1.23 $\leq \delta \leq$ 2.4: e.g., see Fig. 6(ii.2), when $\delta =$ 2.4. At the frontier between these symmetric and asymmetric states, we find a narrow region where they coexist [see the close-up view in Fig. 5(b)]. While the evolution of modes $\psi_{0,2}$ is similar for both symmetric and asymmetric states, the contribution of the odd mode ψ_1 only appears in the latter [see the purple curve in Fig. 6(ii.2) and (b.3)]. Therefore, asymmetric breathers can be easily identified by tracing out the odd modal energy components. To better clarify this point, in Fig. 5(c) we plot the modification of $|C_1(t)|^2$ with δ for all oscillatory states. Thus, when $|C_1(t)|^2 = 0$ the DKS state is symmetric, and asymmetric otherwise. One clearly notices that, by reducing δ , the asymmetric breathers become symmetric again at $\delta = 1.23$. The last breather dies out at H_{1,2} ($\delta = 0.78$), and then a single-peak DKS persist until F_{2,2} $(\delta = -1)$ [see Fig. 5(b)].

Asymmetric breathers emerge due to a balance between the mode suppression of homogeneous pumping and asymmetric mode excitation by Kerr nonlinearity. Therefore, these breathers usually appear when the pumping is relatively strong, and δ approaches a regime between two adjacent symmetric modes, where the asymmetric modes are favored.

The breathers arising from H_2 undergo a Feigenbaum-type of diagram, as shown in purple in Fig. 5(a),(b), where a period-doubling cascade eventually leads to temporal chaos [55,56]. In these states, the energy component that is associated with ψ_2 is well populated,

as shown in Fig. 6(iii) for $\delta = -2$. Owing to the contribution of asymmetric modes, the breather exhibits zigzag-type-like oscillations, where most of the energy on one side is exchanged with the other side [see Fig. 6(iii.1)]. For these breathers, the energy exchange between modes is much larger than its total energy fluctuation [see Fig. 6(iii.2)]. Therefore, in the region between H₂ and P₁, fluctuations of the total energy are very small [see Fig. 5(b)], while the modal energy fluctuations (e.g., associated with ψ_1) are relatively large [see Fig. 5(c)]. For $\delta < \delta_{H_2}$, ψ_2 is dominant, and DKS are similar to those depicted in red in Fig. 4(ii).

By further increasing δ , a period-doubling bifurcation (P₂) occurs at $\delta = -0.85$ [see Fig. 5(b)], and the breather diagram splits into four branches. Two examples of symmetric and asymmetric breathers in this regime are shown in Fig. 6(v,vi) for $\delta = -0.5$, 0, respectively. The period-doubling process continues, and eventually the cavity states evolve into the chaos-like breather states shown in Fig. 6(vii) for $\delta = 0.5$. Between F_{2,2} and H_{1,2} [see Fig. 5(b)], breather states coexist with DKS, which are eventually met by continuously increasing δ . It is noteworthy that the bifurcation diagram depicts the emergence of dissipative soliton chaos through a period-doubling route.

7. Chaoticons

In previous sections, we have discussed the emergence and features of DKS and breathers of different orders. However, another type of dynamical state exists, which is dramatically different from the former two. In prior work, we named such types of states as *chaoticons* [42], by following Ref. [43]. In this section, we perform a more detailed analysis of such states, and confirm their chaotic nature by calculating their Lyapunov exponents [57].

In order to better address the study of these states, in Fig. 7(a) we show a bifurcation diagram where the modification of the field energy with *P* is illustrated for $\delta = 0.8$. For small *P*, the system exhibits stable DKS, which exist below the Hopf bifurcation point H_{1,1}. After crossing this point, symmetric breathers arise and persist in the interval 2.6 < *P* < 3.5, until reaching H_{1,2}. Note that these bifurcations correspond to those shown in Figs. 4(a) and 5(a). Here we are just considering a different slice of the (δ , *P*)-parameter space (see Section 8).

At $H_{1,2}$, DKS stabilize again, and remain stable until C_2 ($P \approx 4.6$). After this point, the total field energy exhibits significant random fluctuations [see Fig. 7(a)], in contrast to DKS and breathers. These random



Fig. 7. Bifurcation diagram, showing the total field energy vs. *P* in (a), and the largest Lyapunov exponent of modal energy associated with mode 0 (red circles) and mode 2 (blue circles) in (b) vs. *P*, when $\delta = 0.8$ and C = 1. The zoomed region in (b) is shown in (c). An example of the time evolution of the field $|A|^2$ is shown in panel (d); the total energy (black curve), and modal energies $|C_{0,1,2}|^2$ (red, dark green, blue curves) are shown in panel (e), where P = 4.7. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

fluctuations are characteristics of spatiotemporal chaotic behavior. An example of such a state is shown in Fig. 7(d), where we plot the time evolution of the field power $|A|^2$ for P = 4.7. The chaoticon exhibits an irregular evolution, which is very different from the breathers case, as shown in Fig. 6.

This irregular behavior can also be observed by plotting the time evolution of the total and the modal energies, *E* and $|C_n(t)|^2$, respectively [see Fig. 7(e)]. The formation of this dynamical state results from the localization effect that the potential has on the spatiotemporal chaotic dynamics, which is observed when C = 0 [55,58]. Note that in Fig. 7(a) chaoticons and stable DKS coexist in the interval $4.5 \le P \le 4.6$.

The Lyapunov exponents, which are obtained by linearizing the dynamics of finite-dimensional systems (in ODEs) around a given trajectory, can be used to measure the growth rate of generic small perturbations around such a trajectory, and therefore determine its chaotic nature [59,60]. Although our system is infinite-dimensional (i.e., a PDE), its modal structure allows us to apply the previous approach in order to characterize the states that we have found. To do this, we need to run a sufficiently long simulation, until all solutions

stabilize. In this way, we can obtain the time evolution of the mode energies $|C_n|^2$ [see for example, Fig. 6(i,2) and Fig. 7(e)]: from these, we may compute their Lyapunov exponents.

Fig. 7(b) shows the modification of the largest Lyapunov exponent associated with modal energies $|C_0(t)|^2$ (i.e., λ_0 , see red \circ) and $|C_2(t)|^2$ (i.e., λ_2 , blue \circ) along the bifurcation diagram of Fig. 7(a). The theory states that steady-state attractors, such as solitons, are characterized by negative exponents. Whereas complex behaviors, such as our chaoticons, exhibit positive exponents; time-periodic states (e.g., breathers) have near zero Lyapunov exponents [60]. According to this theory, Fig. 7(b) clearly shows the existence of three different regimes (I–III), which we describe below:

- I : DKS exist below $H_{1,1}$, as confirmed by a negative exponent;
- II : breathers (between $H_{1,1}$ and $H_{1,2}$) have almost zero exponents (< 0.03);
- III : chaoticons exists for P > 4.5, as confirmed by their large positive exponents (> 45).

Note that these calculations are in perfect agreement with the bifurcation analysis shown in Fig. 7(a).

It is worth highlighting that while in our work the chaotic localized states are achieved by phase modulation, similar dynamics have been demonstrated by modulating the driving field amplitude [40].

8. Influence of pump: δ vs. *P* phase diagram

So far, we have discussed the bifurcation structure of the solutions of Eq. (4) by slicing the parameter space in two different ways. First, in Sections 5 and 6 we have fixed the pump to the values P = 2.5, 3.5, and we studied the modification of the dynamics when varying δ . Later, in Section 7 we have fixed the detuning ($\delta = 0.8$), and analyzed the modification of the stability of DKS when varying the pump strength *P*. In this section, we unveil the organization of the different dynamical states of the system in the (δ , *P*)-parameter phase diagram for C = 1.

In Fig. 8(a) we show, in a 3D representation, how the *E* vs. δ bifurcation diagrams modify when the pump strength *P* changes. For *P* < 1.03, there are four resonance peaks with low amplitude along δ , which are located around the linear eigenvalues δ_n of the system. By increasing the strength of the pump, the first pair of fold points F_{1,1} and F_{1,2} is generated at the first resonance peak. These are represented by the red curve F₁. By further increasing *P*, at higher resonance peaks, new fold bifurcation pairs nucleate marked by blue F₂, green F₃, orange F₄, and brown F₅ curves. The pink regions show the unstable regimes, and are limited by different Hopf bifurcations (see purple curves H_m, where *m* = 1, 2, 3, 4).

The parameter space can also be characterized by projecting Fig. 8(a) into the (δ, P) -plane. This leads to the (δ, P) -phase diagram which is shown in Fig. 8(b), where we can easily differentiate several regions of different dynamical behavior [see video S1 in the Supplementary Materials]. By inspecting this diagram, we may notice that the appearance of folds $F_{2,m}$, $F_{3,m}$, $F_{4,m}$, $F_{5,m}$ with m = 1, 2 (therefore, of DKS bistable regions) at high-order resonance peaks requires larger values of the pump *P*; the bistable regions that they enclose widen with increasing *P*; so does the DKS energy.

Within each bistable region, two DKS of different order coexists for the same set of parameters, as illustrated in the five insets in Fig. 8(b). In each case, the higher-order DKS on each upper branch of the bifurcation diagram has two significant side peaks, when compared with the corresponding lower-order DKS located on the bottom branch.

With increasing *P*, the high-order DKS (on the top branch of each resonance peak) becomes unstable. This leads to the formation of breathers in the unstable regions, which are separated by the Hopf bifurcation curves (see purple lines). Examples of this type of breathers are illustrated in Fig. 6(i,ii). The type of breathers which are located in the region surrounded by H_2 , on the second resonance peak, is shown in Fig. 6(iii–vii). Similarly, higher-order breathers also appear at the



Fig. 8. Bifurcation diagrams of field energy vs. detuning δ for different pump strength *P* in (a). Gray (pink) regions represent the stable soliton solutions of different orders (unstable solutions: breathers, chaoticons). The fold-points-connected curves ($F_{m,1}$ and $F_{m,2}$), the Hopf-points-connected curves (H_m), and the curves of chaoticon onset (C_m) at each resonance peak *m* in (a) are represented in the phase diagram in (b), which is the top view of (a). The solid (dashed) curve represents that the curve is at the top (lower) layer. On the one hand, increasing pumping folds the phase diagram, leading to the formation of bistable solutions, including solitons, breathers, and chaoticons). On the other hand, varying the detuning changes the solution order at different resonances surrounding each peak. For comparison, fold bifurcations $F_{0,1}$ and $F_{0,2}$ and Hopf bifurcation H_0 of the original LLE model (C = 0) are also plotted by the gray and shallow purple curves. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

top branches of the third and fourth resonance peaks, respectively, and they are marked by the curves H_3 , and H_4 [see Fig. 8(b)].

Chaoticons are located inside the region which is separated by the curves $F_{2,2}$ and C_2 (yellow dashed) on the second resonance peak, and the region between the curves $F_{3,2}$ and C_3 on the third resonance peak. One can expect that for a larger pump, this state will appear in a region of higher-order resonances.

Let us compare the results of the model of Eq. (4) with the original LLE model [i.e., Eq. (4) with C = 0]. In Fig. 8, we have added the fold bifurcation (see the gray curves $F_{0,1}$, $F_{0,2}$) and Hopf bifurcation (see the shallow purple curve H_0) of solutions of the LLE model, where no parabolic phase modulation is present. This comparison allows us to clearly observe the effects of adding the parabolic phase potential:

- I : In the low detuning regime, Turing patterns and chaos (see the full phase diagram in Ref. [58]) are partially removed by phase modulation;
- II : The breather states are stabilized by phase modulation, as seen from the shifts of the Hopf bifurcation (from H_0 to $H_{1,1}$) to a lower detuning value;
- III : Parabolic phase modulation leads to the emergence of high-order soliton resonances, which are totally absent when C = 0.

9. Influence of potential strength: δ vs. \sqrt{C} phase diagram

The parabolic potential strength *C* [see Eq. (4)] has a very important impact on the system dynamics, since *C* not only modifies the system eigenvalues, but also varies the temporal width of the different states. Here, we discuss how the potential strength modifies the dynamics of the system, and we illustrate our findings by means of a (δ, \sqrt{C}) -phase diagram for fixed *P*. We have chosen \sqrt{C} and not *C*, since the eigenvalues have a linear dependence on \sqrt{C} [see Eq. (6)].

Fig. 9(a) shows the modification of *E* vs. δ bifurcation diagrams when changing \sqrt{C} , for *P* = 3.5. Fig. 9(b), in contrast, shows the projection of Fig. 9(a) onto the (δ, \sqrt{C}) -plane. As we can see, the

position of resonance peaks linearly increases with \sqrt{C} , which is consistent with our theoretical expectations [see Eq. (6)]. However, the size of bistable regions (see fold bifurcations) remains almost fixed, since the tilting largely depends on the field peak power. Moreover, with increasing \sqrt{C} , the breathing regions gradually shrink, and eventually, they disappear. This indicates the relevance that the potential has in suppressing oscillatory instabilities and stabilizing DKS.

From another perspective, the reduction of \sqrt{C} impacts the system in different ways, including the widening of the DKS unstable regions, the positive tilting of high-order resonances, and the broadening of the DKS states. Furthermore, by decreasing *C*, high-order resonance peaks converge to the same loci, except for the fundamental one. This transition may link to the homoclinic snaking type of structure which is observed for C = 0 [10,61], although the confirmation of this conjecture needs further investigations.

10. Conclusions

In this work, we delve into the complex dynamics of dissipative wave structures in coherently-driven nonlinear Kerr cavities with a parabolic potential. The dynamics are governed by a modified version of the Lugiato–Lefever equation. We find that the potential significantly alters the system behavior. To show this, we have compared the stable states of the Kerr cavities in the absence and in the presence of the parabolic potential, by scanning the cavity detuning.

Our results have revealed that the potential may stabilize complex spatiotemporal wave dynamics in favor of static DKS. Furthermore, we have discovered that the potential is also behind the emergence of DKS of higher orders. To understand the formation of these higher-order DKS, we have first analyzed the linear eigenmodes of the system, and found that odd modes are suppressed owing to the coherent pumping. Whereas even modes are favored, exhibiting different excitation efficiencies.

The inclusion of the nonlinear Kerr effect tilts the resonance of these eigenmodes (towards a positive detuning), leading to bistable



Fig. 9. (a) Bifurcation diagrams of field energy vs. detuning δ for different potential strength \sqrt{C} in (a), when P = 3.5. (b) Phase diagram vs. detuning δ and potential strength \sqrt{C} , which is the top view of (a). The notations are the same as Fig. 8. The importance of the potential in suppressing oscillatory instabilities and stabilizing DKS becomes apparent as \sqrt{C} increases. As \sqrt{C} decreases, the high-order resonance peaks converge to the same location, with the exception of the fundamental peak.

DKS of different orders. By projecting the DKS on the eigenmodes, we demonstrated that the mode components of high-order DKS are primarily supported by the mode energy of corresponding orders.

Increasing the pump strength unveils the presence of breathers of different types and orders. Among them, asymmetric breathers emerge, as a result of the interaction of odd modes. In addition, we have discovered the existence of chaoticons (i.e., localized spatiotemporal chaotic states), which have been characterized in terms of Lyapunov exponents.

To summarize all these complex dynamics, we have computed several phase diagrams in the (δ, P) and (δ, \sqrt{C}) -parameter space, which permits to organize and classify the different regimes and states of the dissipative wave structures.

It is worth mentioning that such internal phase modulation introduces inhomogeneity in the system, by breaking the translation invariance and leading to the emergence of new dynamics. Finally, potential experimental observations of these dynamics, in comparison with numerical predictions, may be achieved by adopting the scheme presented in Ref. [36], by substituting the synchronous cosinusoidal periodic phase modulation with a parabolic modulation.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Yifan Sun: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Data curation, Formal analysis, Visualization, Validation, Writing – original draft. **Pedro Parra-Rivas:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. **Mario Ferraro:** Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. **Fabio Mangini:** Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. **Stefan Wabnitz:** Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by European Research Council (740355), Marie Sklodowska-Curie Actions (101064614,101023717), Sapienza University of Rome Additional Activity for MSCA (EFFILOCKER), Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca (R18SPB8227).

Appendix A. Spatial dynamical system for path-numerical continuation

This appendix shows how the path-continuation method is used to compute the bifurcations diagrams of this work by using AUTO-07p [53].

Inserting

$$A(\tau) = u(\tau) + iv(\tau) \tag{A.1}$$

into Eq. (4), we obtain the coupled equations

$$\partial_{\tau} u = -\partial_{\tau}^{2} v - u - (u^{2} + v^{2})v + \delta v + C\tau^{2}v + P,$$

$$\partial_{\tau} v = +\partial_{\tau}^{2} u - v + (u^{2} + v^{2})u - \delta u - C\tau^{2}u.$$
(A.2)

For steady-state solutions, these equations satisfy $\partial_t u = \partial_t v = 0$; therefore, they are solutions of the ordinary differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} -d_{\tau}^{2}v - u - (u^{2} + v^{2})v + \delta v + C\tau^{2}v + P &= 0, \\ d_{\tau}^{2}u - v + (u^{2} + v^{2})u - \delta u - C\tau^{2}u &= 0, \end{aligned}$$
(A.3)

where $d_{\tau}^2 \equiv \frac{d^2}{d\tau^2}$. By defining the new variables $u_1 = u$, $u_2 = v$, $u_3 = d_{\tau}u = d_{\tau}u_1$ and $u_4 = d_{\tau}v = d_{\tau}u_2$, $u_5 = \tau$, the system of Eqs. (A.3) can be recast as the dynamical system:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\tau}u_{1} &= u_{3}, \\ d_{\tau}u_{2} &= u_{4}, \\ d_{\tau}u_{3} &= -u_{1} - (u_{1}^{2} + u_{2}^{2})v + \delta u_{2} + Cu_{5}^{2}u_{2} + P, \\ d_{\tau}u_{4} &= -u_{2} + (u_{1}^{2} + u_{2}^{2})u - \delta u_{1} - Cu_{5}^{2}u_{1}, \\ d_{\tau}u_{5} &= 1. \end{aligned}$$
(A.4)

Due to the symmetry of the steady-state solutions, we can consider Neumann boundary conditions on just the half of domain, and write

$$u_{3}(0) = d_{\tau}u(0) = 0,$$

$$u_{3}(R) = d_{\tau}u(R) = 0,$$

$$u_{4}(0) = d_{\tau}v(0) = 0,$$

$$u_{4}(R) = d_{\tau}v(R) = 0,$$

$$u_{5}(0) = 0,$$
(A.5)

where *R* is the half of domain size. The boundary value problem defined by Eq. (A.4) and the boundary conditions (A.5) can then be solved using AUTO-07p, as described in Ref. [62].

Appendix B. Ordinary differential equations of the modal

This appendix shows the derivation of the set of modal differential equations [Eq. (11)] from the partial differential equation [Eq. (4)].

First, we simplify some terms in Eq. (4). To do so, substituting Eq. (9) into the left hand side of Eq. (4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{N} C_n(t) e^{i\delta_n t} \psi_n(\tau) \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{\mathrm{d}C_n(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} e^{i\delta_n t} \psi_n(\tau) + \sum_{n=0}^{N} C_n(t) e^{i\delta_n t} \cdot i\delta_n \psi_n(\tau). \end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

By substituting Eq. (9) into the first two terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (4), and using Eq. (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_0 A &= \hat{H}_0 \sum_{n=0}^N C_n(t) e^{i\delta_n t} \psi_n(\tau) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N C_n(t) e^{i\delta_n t} \cdot \hat{H}_0 \psi_n(\tau) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N C_n(t) e^{i\delta_n t} \cdot i\delta_n \psi_n(\tau). \end{aligned}$$
(B.2)

Then, we substitute Eqs. (B.1) and (B.2) into Eq. (4), and implementing algebraic simplification, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}C_n(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} e^{i\delta_n t} \psi_n(\tau) = \hat{H}_1 A + P, \tag{B.3}$$

where $\hat{H}_1 = i|A|^2 - (1 + i\delta)$. Next, we project Eq. (B.3) on mode *n*,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}C_m(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} e^{i\delta_m t} \psi_m(\tau) \psi_n(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\hat{H}_1 A + P\right] \psi_n(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau. \tag{B.4}$$

Finally, by doing the simplification, we end up with the set of coupled mode ODEs of the model

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}C_n(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = e^{-i\delta_n t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P\psi_n(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau + e^{-i\delta_n t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{i}|A|^2 A\psi_n(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau - e^{-i\delta_n t} (1+\mathrm{i}\delta)C_n$$
(B.5)

where each ODE governs the dynamics of an individual mode while being phase-coupled through the field intensity $|A|^2$.

Appendix C. Supplementary data

Supplementary material related to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2023.114064.

References

- [1] Wabnitz S. Opt Lett 1993;18(8):601-3.
- [2] Akhmediev N, Ankiewicz A, editors. Dissipative solitons: From optics to biology and medicine. Lecture notes in physics, Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag; 2008.
- [3] Herr T, Brasch V, Jost JD, Wang CY, Kondratiev NM, Gorodetsky ML, et al. Nat Photonics 2014;8(2):145–52.
- [4] Pasquazi A, Peccianti M, Razzari L, Moss DJ, Coen S, Erkintalo M, et al. Phys Rep 2018;729:1–81.
- [5] Haelterman M, Trillo S, Wabnitz S. Opt Commun 1992;91(5):401-7.
- [6] Hansson T, Modotto D, Wabnitz S. Phys Rev A 2013;88:023819.
- [7] Chembo YK, Gomila D, Tlidi M, Menyuk CR. Eur Phys J D 2017;71(11):299.
- [8] Parra-Rivas P, Knobloch E, Gomila D, Gelens L. Phys Rev A 2016;93(6):063839.
- [9] Parra-Rivas P, Gomila D, Gelens L, Knobloch E. Phys Rev E 2018;97(4):042204.
- [10] Parra-Rivas P, Knobloch E, Gelens L, Gomila D. IMA J Appl Math 2021;86(5):856–95.
- [11] Leo F, Coen S, Kockaert P, Gorza S-P, Emplit P, Haelterman M. Nat Photonics 2010;4(7):471–6.
- [12] Anderson M, Leo F, Coen S, Erkintalo M, Murdoch SG. Optica 2016;3(10):1071– 4.

- [13] Liu Z, Ouali M, Coulibaly S, Clerc MG, Taki M, Tlidi M. Opt Lett 2017;42(6):1063–6.
- [14] Lucas E, Karpov M, Guo H, Gorodetsky ML, Kippenberg TJ. Nature Commun 2017;8(1):736.
- [15] Bao C, Xuan Y, Wang C, Fülöp A, Leaird DE, Torres-Company V, et al. Phys Rev Lett 2018;121(25):257401.
- [16] Tlidi M, Gelens L. Opt Lett 2010;35(3):306-8.
- [17] Parra-Rivas P, Gomila D, Gelens L. Phys Rev A 2017;95(5):053863.
- [18] Li Z, Xu Y, Coen S, Murdoch SG, Erkintalo M. Optica 2020;7(9):1195-203.
- [19] Parra-Rivas P, Coulibaly S, Clerc MG, Tlidi M. Phys Rev A 2021;103:013507.
- [20] Wabnitz S. J Opt Soc Amer B 1996;13(12):2739-49.
- [21] Odent V, Tlidi M, Clerc MG, Glorieux P, Louvergneaux E. Phys Rev A 2014;90:011806.
- [22] Jang JK, Erkintalo M, Coen S, Murdoch SG. Nature Commun 2015/06/24;6(1):7370.
- [23] Taheri H, Eftekhar AA, Wiesenfeld K, Adibi A. IEEE Photon J 2015;7(2):1-9.
- [24] Cole DC, Stone JR, Erkintalo M, Yang KY, Yi X, Vahala KJ, et al. Optica 2018;5:1304.
- [25] Hendry I, Garbin B, Murdoch SG, Coen S, Erkintalo M. Phys Rev A 2019;100:023829.
- [26] Tabbert F, Gurevich S, Panajotov K, Tlidi M. Chaos Solitons Fractals 2021;152:111317.
- [27] Talenti FR, Sun Y, Parra-Rivas P, Hansson T, Wabnitz S. Opt Commun 2023;129773.
- [28] Erkintalo M, Murdoch SG, Coen S. J R Soc N Z 2022;52:149-67.
- [29] Obrzud E, Lecomte S, Herr T. Nat Photonics 2017/09/01;11(9):600-7.
- [30] Tabbert F, Frohoff-Hülsmann T, Panajotov K, Tlidi M, Gurevich SV. Phys Rev A 2019;100:013818.
- [31] Tabbert F, Gurevich SV, Panajotov K, Tlidi M. In: Brenig L, Brilliantov N, Tlidi M, editors. Nonequilibrium thermodynamics and fluctuation kinetics: Modern trends and open questions. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2022, p. 247–69.
- [32] Pan J, Xu C, Wu Z, Zhang J, Huang T, Shum PP. Front Optoelectron 2022/04/27;15(1):14.
- [33] Copie F, Conforti M, Kudlinski A, Mussot A, Trillo S. Phys Rev Lett 2016;116:143901.
- [34] Mecozzi A, Moores JD, Haus HA, Lai Y. J Opt Soc Amer B 1992;9(8):1350-7.
- [35] Tusnin AK, Tikan AM, Kippenberg TJ. Phys Rev A 2020;102:023518.
- [36] Englebert N, Goldman N, Erkintalo M, Mostaan N, Gorza S-P, Leo F, et al. Nat Phys 2023.
- [37] Yuan L, Dutt A, Fan S. APL Photonics 2021;6(7):071102.
- [38] Bersch C, Onishchukov G, Peschel U. Phys Rev Lett 2012;109(09):093903.
- [39] Lobanov VE, Lihachev GV, Pavlov NG, Cherenkov AV, Kippenberg TJ, Gorodetsky ML. Opt Express 2016;24:27382.
- [40] Nielsen AU, Xu Y, Todd C, Ferré M, Clerc MG, Coen S, et al. Phys Rev Lett 2021;127:123901.
- [41] Sun Y, Parra-Rivas P, Ferraro M, Mangini F, Zitelli M, Jauberteau R, et al. Opt Lett 2022;47:6353.
- [42] Sun Y, Parra-Rivas P, Milián C, Kartashov YV, Ferraro M, Mangini F, Jauberteau R, Talenti FR, Wabnitz S. Phys Rev Lett 2023;131(13):137201.
- [43] Verschueren N, Bortolozzo U, Clerc MG, Residori S. Philos Trans R Soc Lond Ser A Math Phys Eng Sci 2014;372(2027):20140011.
- [44] Copie F, Suret P, Randoux S. Opt Commun 2023;545:129647.
- [45] Oreshnikov I, Skryabin DV. Opt Express 2017;25:10306-11.
- [46] Kartashov YV, Gorodetsky ML, Kudlinski A, Skryabin DV. Opt Lett 2018;43:2680.
- [47] Sumetsky M. Prog Ouantum Electron 2019:64:1–30.
- [48] Malomed BA, Lederer F, Mazilu D, Mihalache D. Phys Lett A 2007;361(4):336– 40.
- [49] Lashkin VM. Phys Rev A 2008;78(3):033603.
- [50] Sun Y, Combrié S, Bretenaker F, Rossi AD. Phys Rev Lett 2019;123:233901.
- [51] Sun Y, Combrié S, Rossi AD, Bretenaker F. Phys Rev A 2020;102:043503.
- [52] Kalashnikov VL, Wabnitz S. Laser Phys Lett 2022;19(10):105101.
- [53] Doedel EJ, Oldeman BE, Champneys AR, Dercole F, Fairgrieve T, Kuznetsov YA, et al. Dept Comput Sci Concordia Univ Montr 2007.
- [54] Leo F, Gelens L, Emplit P, Haelterman M, Coen S. Opt Express 2013;21(7):9180– 91.
- [55] Parra-Rivas P, Gomila D, Matías MA, Coen S, Gelens L. Phys Rev A 2014;89(4):043813.
- [56] Ferré MA, Clerc MG, Coulibally S, Rojas R, Tlidi M. Eur Phys J D 2017/06/22;71(6):172.
- [57] Rosenstein MT, Collins JJ, Luca CJD. Physica D 1993;65:117-34.
- [58] Godey C, Balakireva IV, Coillet A, Chembo YK. Phys Rev A 2014;89:063814.
 [59] Manneville P. Chaos The interplay between stochastic and deterministic
- behaviour. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 1995.
- [60] Clerc MG, Verschueren N. Phys Rev E 2013;88(5):052916,.
- [61] Gomila D, Scroggie AJ, Firth WJ. Physica D 2007;227(1):70–7.
- [62] Doedel E, Keller HB, Kernevez JP. Int J Bifurcation Chaos 1991;1(04):745-72.