Soft Matter

PAPER

Cite this: *Soft Matter,* 2018, 14, 6128

Received 6th March 2018, Accepted 21st June 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8sm00468d

rsc.li/soft-matter-journal

Introduction

Simple heteropolymer models provide a candidate explanation for the formation of intermediate- and large-scale domains in prokaryotic and eukaryotic chromatin.1–5 Such domains may be defined as extended contiguous regions along the DNA chain in which the DNA interacts preferentially with sites of the same domain. As such, they appear as squared blocks in the contact matrix of the polymer, which is measurable by chromosome capture and sequencing techniques.³ The interaction between chromosome-bound proteins provides a simple description of such domain formation. For example, in mammals, the protein CTCF has been shown to form dimers 8 that can stabilize chromatin loops. In bacteria, the proteins H-NS and MatP have the same bridging capabilities.^{4,9} More complex mechanisms,

Spontaneous domain formation in disordered copolymers as a mechanism for chromosome structuring†

Matteo Negri, \ddagger ^a Marco Gherardi, \mathbf{D}^{a} Guido Tiana \mathbf{D}^{ab} and Marco Cosentino Lagomarsino D^{*cde}

Motivated by the problem of domain formation in chromosomes, we studied a co-polymer model where only a subset of the monomers feel attractive interactions. These monomers are displaced randomly from a regularly-spaced pattern, thus introducing some quenched disorder in the system. Previous work has shown that in the case of regularly-spaced interacting monomers this chain can fold into structures characterized by multiple distinct domains of consecutive segments. In each domain, attractive interactions are balanced by the entropy cost of forming loops. We show by advanced replicaexchange simulations that adding disorder in the position of the interacting monomers further stabilizes these domains. The model suggests that the partitioning of the chain into well-defined domains of consecutive monomers is a spontaneous property of heteropolymers. In the case of chromosomes, evolution could have acted on the spacing of interacting monomers to modulate in a simple way the underlying domains for functional reasons. **PAPER**
 Published on 28 Spontaneous domain formation in disordered
 CRU UNIVERSE ASSEMATE:
 CRU UNIVERSE ASSEMATE AND CONSUMERS ASSEMATE AND CONSUMERS ASSEMATE AND MATGE CONSUMERS ASSEMATE AND MATGE CONSUMERS AND MAT

such as loop extrusion^{6,7} may also contribute to explaining domains formation.

One main question is what drives domain identity, size and stability, and to what extent intra-specific interactions are needed to form domains. In other words, while it is reasonable to think that the domain formation is mediated by proteins that are bound to chromatin and that interact with each other, we do not know how many species are needed to program a certain number of domains into a polymer.³ Since there are thousands of domains at different scales in mammalian genomes, trivially associating one-to-one interactions would require the presence of thousands of different types of intra-specific DNAbinding proteins. It is more reasonable to think that only a small number of proteins is responsible for the interactions between the chromatin sites.

Focusing on the direct interaction between chromatin structure factors, various kinds of heteropolymer models^{3,10-12} have been proposed, to explain various aspects of domain formation, specification and stability. In particular, ''epigenomic'' blockcopolymer models using different families of biologically justified interacting proteins with intra-specific bindings have succeeded in capturing several properties of chromosomal domains.^{3,13} Perhaps the simplest model is a polymer chain in which equally-spaced monomers attract monomers of the same type. $12,14$ This is a specific type of co-polymer model in which only one of the two chemical species exerts attractive interactions (and the linear density of this species is typically

^a Department of Physics, Universitá degli Studi di Milano, via Celoria 16,

²⁰¹³³ Milano, Italy. E-mail: guido.tiana@unimi.it

 b Center for Complexity and Biosystems and Department of Physics, Universita´ degli Studi di Milano and INFN, via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy

^c Sorbonne Université, Univ Paris 06, UMR 7238,

Computational and Quantitative Biology, 4 Place Jussieu, Paris, France

^d CNRS, UMR 7238, Paris, France

^e IFOM FIRC Institute for Molecular Oncology, Via Adamello 16, 20139 Milan, Italy. E-mail: marco.cosentino-lagomarsino@ifom.eu

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/ c8sm00468d

[‡] Current address: Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy.

Paper Soft Matter Soft Matter (1999) and the set of the

considered to be low). This model shows that multiple-domain states are possible without any intra-specific interaction.¹⁴ In such states, the polymer is collapsed into a multiple rosette configuration. Analytical arguments support the hypothesis that such multi-domain phase is stable, and due to the tradeoff between the surface-tension cost of keeping a core of bridging proteins and the entropy cost of the arms of the rosette states.

Here, we use replica-exchange Monte Carlo (MC) simulations to explore the equilibrium states of the disordered version of this model, where the interacting monomers are not equally spaced, but arranged randomly along the backbone in a fixed (quenched) configuration. We ask about the role played by these disordered interactions into the thermodynamic stability of the collapsed states with one and multiple domains, i.e., contiguous regions of the polymers where segments tend to be in frequent proximity. We also address the possible role of the disorder into localizing the domains in a specific region of the chain, which may lead to pre-programmed spatial domains without intra-specific interactions. Note that domains are defined in our work strictly with respect to the model we study, and that our considerations may apply to different biological contexts where sparse attractive interactions may emerge due to different mechanisms, and give rise to compartmentalized structures with different properties (e.g. so-called topological domains in eukaryotes, macrodomains in bacteria, or transcription factories^{1,3,15}). **Public considered to be the public considerations** in the public consideration of the consideration and the consideration of the conside

Importantly, we are not proposing an alternative model to existing ones claiming that this is a unique explanation for the formation of so-called ''topological domains'' in chromosomes. Rather, our purpose is to investigate the generic consequences (simply based on polymer physics) of a minimal set of hypotheses concerning the interactions the structuring of the chromosomal fiber. Our basic hypothesis is that the chain is composed of more than one type of interacting units. From the physical point of view, this is the simplest model capable of giving nontrivial results (the phase diagram of a homopolymer is well known and does not display domains).

Model

We study a coarse-grained model consisting in a polymer made of N consecutive monomers represented as hard-sphere beads of radius R_{HC} (see Fig. 1). Each monomer represents a region of the chromosome, and the size can be defined at will to describe the fiber at any resolution $(e.g., from the finest experimental)$ resolution of \sim kb to describe topological associating domains, to that of Mb to describe chromosomal compartments). In this model, bead i can interact with bead j with an attractive shortranged square well potential u_{ij} :

$$
u_{ij} = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } r_{ij} < R_{\text{HC}} \\ B_{ij} & \text{if } R_{\text{HC}} < r_{ij} < R \\ 0 & \text{if } r_{ij} > R, \end{cases}
$$

Fig. 1 Sketch of the model used in this work. The polymer is made of two types of monomers. Short-ranged attractive monomers (red) are separated by regions of non-attractive ones (light-blue). The position of attractive monomers is fixed at distance extracted from a Gaussian distribution, and attractive monomers are fixed during each simulation (quenched disorder). Monomers are described as hard-sphere beads, joint by inextensible links.

where r_{ij} is the distance between the beads, R_{HC} is the hard-core radius, R is the range of the interaction and B_{ii} is the interaction energy, which depends on the types of the monomers i and j . In order to represent bridging interactions, we place p attractive monomers along the chain (see Fig. 1). Therefore, the interaction energy is

$$
B_{ij} = \begin{cases} -\varepsilon & \text{if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are attractive monomers} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ since the interaction is always attractive.

Using square-well potentials makes the MC calculations easier and faster than using smooth short-ranged potential. The uncrossability of the polymer chain is guaranteed by the hard-core repulsion, whose range is $R_{\text{HC}} = 0.472\lambda$. The distance λ between consecutive beads is maintained fixed by the MC moves, and sets the microscopic length scale, with respect to which all the lengths of the model are measured. The choice of R and R_{HC} comes by inference from the model discussed in ref. 16. It corresponds to the value that optimizes the agreement with experimental 5C data. In absence of any specific information about the interaction between loci, we have employed those values assuming that they reflect the physical interaction range between the reactive units of chromatin. The small interaction range is realistic, since we expect it to originate from nanometer-sized proteins interacting with a larger fiber.

We first studied regular co-polymers, in which interacting monomers are placed every p other monomers which only repel each other by hard-core repulsion. Subsequently, we studied a disordered model in which the (quenched) position of these interacting monomers is displaced by a random quantity with prescribed variance from the reference position.

The simulations are performed with an off-lattice MC algorithm whose degrees of freedom are the angles and dihedrals of the chain, updated with flip and pivot moves through a Metropolis acceptance rule, to ensure an effective sampling of the canonical ensemble. The algorithm is implemented in a freely-distributed code.¹⁷ To improve the efficiency of the algorithm to sample equilibrium conformations also at low temperatures, the MC algorithm is used in its parallel-tempering variant, in which 16 replicas of the system are simulated in parallel at increasing temperature, and the conformations of adjacent temperatures are exchanged every 1000 MC step with a Metropolis-like acceptance rule.¹⁸ The thermodynamic quantities are then calculated with a weighted-histogram technique.¹⁹

Results

Multi-domain states in absence of disorder are stable

In the case of equally-spaced attractive points, theoretical arguments support the claim that multi-domain states are thermodynamically stable.¹⁴ To test this hypothesis, we simulated polymers from $N = 129$ up to $N = 513$ monomers with the parallel-tempering algorithm until the quantities of interest reached convergence, keeping constant the density of interacting monomers $\eta = p/N$. As shown in Fig. 2, polymers with $N = 129$ monomers collapse into a single domain, while the polymers of length $N = 256$ and $N = 513$ collapse into a multiple-domain state similar to rosettes. Rosettes are formed by consecutive strands of the chain. In this range of N , the number of domains seems to depend linearly on p , as suggested in ref. 14. The collapse for all values on N happens near a temperature of $T \simeq 0.47\varepsilon$ (see Fig. 2). No phase similar to a random globule, in which the contacts are not correlated with the distance of the interacting monomers along the chain, was observed (such regime is expected for the regime of high p/N , not studied here see ref. 14). All the rosette-like and multiple-rosette configurations appear to be thermodynamically stable below the coil–globule transition temperature (see Fig. S1, ESI†). Soft Matter Weissenburst only, to mean an Wieslam Compute (compute the plane with higher anomatop to the mean of the mean of

For longer chains ($N > 129$), after a first collapse at higher temperature, the polymer displays a second collapse at lower

Fig. 2 Energy density for co-polymers with an ordered pattern of interacting monomers. In these simulations the density of interacting monomers $\eta = p/N$ was kept constant to the value 1/16. Simulations were performed with $\varepsilon = 2.4$, $R = 0.77$, $\lambda = 1.42$ for 3×10^9 Monte Carlo sweeps.

temperature from a phase with higher number of domains to a phase with a lower number of domains (e.g., see Fig. 2, red solid curve). While the first energy jump displays features similar to a first-order phase transition, as suggested in ref. 14, the fusion of two domains resembles a nucleation-like phenomenon, and we speculate that this could be similar to a second order phase transition. The low-temperature phases are difficult to sample for longer polymers and thus we could not equilibrate the chain with $N = 513$ below $T = 0.14\varepsilon$. Although we have seen in this range of low temperatures conformations with three and two rosettes, we are not able to assess if they are equilibrium states. Equally, we could not equilibrate the system at even lower temperatures, at which we expect the equilibrium state to form a single rosette, because this is certainly the zero-temperature equilibrium state of the system.

Summing up, our results indicate that new stable multidomain phases become available with increasing system size, and that the system can cross several hierarchical levels of organization with decreasing number of domains as equilibrium states as the temperature is decreased, before collapsing into a single domain.

Disorder enhances the stability of multi-domain configurations

Starting from polymers with equally spaced monomers (placed every η^{-1} other monomers), we generate an ensemble of quenched polymers with disordered spacing between interacting monomers by displacing such monomer by a (discretized) Gaussian random variable of zero mean and variance σ . For σ = 0 we recover the ordered case, while for $\sigma \gtrsim \eta^{-1}$ we expect a nearly uniform distribution of interacting monomers, with no memory of the reference configuration.

The outcome of the model depends on the specific realization of a stochastic variable, setting the distribution of interacting beads on the chain. The study of a single realization is not necessarily insightful, because its behavior may depend on the detail of that specific realization. The correct way to investigate the system is thus to generate several realizations of the stochastic positioning of the interacting beads, obtaining some properties (energies, distances, etc.) for each realization, then asking whether the averages of these properties at fixed single realizations typically agree or differ. If the averages over single realizations typically agree, the observables are called ''self-averaging''. In this case, the average over the disorder is representative of a typical situation. As a rule, extensive quantities, such as the internal energy, are self-averaging, as predicted by a classic argument given by Brout.20 More precisely, Brout's argument states that in a disordered system with finite-ranged interactions, all the quantities that result from the sum of some property of the system over all its parts (such as e.g. the potential energy) are self-averaging. However, this argument cannot be applied straightforwardly to disordered polymers, and we verified explicitly in two cases (σ = 7 and σ = 16, using four realizations of the disorder) that the energy curves and the number of rosettes do not depend on the specific realization of the disorder (see Fig. S2 in the ESI†).

We then performed equilibrium simulations with η^{-1} = 15 and σ varying from 0 to 32 for $N = 257$. In all these cases,

Fig. 3 Disorder in the positioning of the interacting monomers shifts the domain-formation transition towards higher temperatures. The plot shows collapse curves of internal energy of a polymer of length $N = 257$ monomers. Each dashed curve relates to a different value of the variance σ . Two snapshots at the same temperature are highlighted comparing the case of regularly-spaced interacting monomers (A) to the disordered case (B): while the former is clearly in a coil state, the latter appears collapsed into a two-domain state. This is also visible in the specific heat vs. kT/ε plot (inset), in which the peak corresponding to the transition point smoothens and shifts towards higher temperatures in presence of disorder. The simulations were performed with $N = 257$, $\eta = 17/257$, $R = 0.77$, $\lambda = 1.42$, 1.8×10^9 MC moves.

as shown in Fig. 3, we observe a transition from a random coil at high temperatures to multi-rosette states. The transition becomes less sharp with increasing σ . Moreover, the disorder has the unexpected effect of stabilizing the multi-domain phase, as the transition temperatures become higher. This effect is accompanied by a broadening of the range of temperatures in which the multiple domain phase is stable, roughly proportional to σ . The inset of Fig. 3 shows the specific heat of the system, whose peaks are associated with the transitions. Two peaks in the specific heat are typically visible in this plot, corresponding, respectively, to the collapse from coil to multi-domain state (high temperature) and to the transition from two-domain state to one-domain state (low temperature). These peaks shift apart at increasing σ . The disorder smoothens the collapse curve of the higher transition only, since the height of the specific-heat peak decreases with σ , while it becomes wider. This suggests that the transition from coil to multiple-domain states may no longer be switch-like, due to the emergence of domains of different size at different temperatures.

We also considered the average contact probability between monomers as a function of their distance $|i - j|$ along the chain, which is typically measured from genome contact maps. $1,3$ Fig. 4 compares this function for the case of equally-spaced and disordered interacting monomers. In the ordered case (σ = 0), the spacing with the closest interacting induces oscillations in the function, but the overall trend agrees with a power law with

Fig. 4 The model shows power-law like scaling of the contact probability with arc-length distance. The plot shows the mean logarithm of the contact probability obtained from simulations. The average is performed over configurations and over all monomers i and j, whose inter-monomer distance is $|i - j|$. The different curves correspond to the case of ordered interacting monomers ($\sigma = 0$, orange points) and disordered interacting monomers, σ = 16 at temperatures T = 0.49 (red), T = 0.50 (cyan), T = 0.52 (green and purple, for two different realizations of the disorder), $T = 0.53$ (blue). The solid lines are linear fits, giving slopes (exponents) 0.53 for $\sigma = 0$, 0.72 for σ = 16 at T = 0.49, 0.87 at T = 0.50, 1.01 and 1.05 at T = 0.52 and 1.86 at $T = 0.53$.

exponent close to 0.5 for values of $|i-j|$ up to distances comparable to N (and therefore affected by finite-size effects). Disordered chains display exponents that increase with the temperature between, 0.7 and 1 in the multi-rosette phase, and up to 1.9 in the coil region (this value is comparable to the expectation for a self-avoiding chain). The exponents appear to depend weakly on the specific realization of the disorder (cf. purple and green symbols in Fig. 4).

Scaling argument for the entropy of a disordered star polymer

In order give some theoretical support to the computational result that the multi-rosette configurations are thermodynamically stable in presence of disorder, we generalized the scaling argument given in ref. 14. In a configuration made of q rosettes, each domain has a core made of p/q monomers and a corona made of p/q loops. Each rosette is approximated as a star polymer made of $f = p/q$ arms. This description allows a simple estimate of the entropic contribution of the corona to the free energy. In absence of disorder the leading term in this contribution is $f^{3/2}$. The energetic contribution to the free energy is the surface tension of each core, which is proportional to the surface of a single core $(p/q)^{2/3}$ multiplied by the number of domains. Therefore, the free energy in absence of disorder reads

$$
\beta \Delta F \simeq p^{3/2} q^{-1/2} + \varepsilon(p)^{2/3} q^{1/3}, \tag{1}
$$

where $\beta = 1/k_BT$ sets the energy scale. This equation can be minimized with respect to the number of domains q , to find the number of rosettes at equilibrium

$$
q_{\text{eq}} \sim \varepsilon^{-6/5}.\tag{2}
$$

We now estimate how ΔF changes for disordered distributions of attractive points in the polymer. At fixed rosette state, the changes in the positions of the attractive monomers along the chain do not affect the energetic term, so we need to compute only the entropic term for a rosette with loops of random length. To do this, we approximate the disordered rosette to a star polymer with arms of random length, and use the blob model for star polymers 21,22 to describe the system with a mean-field ansatz (see the sketch in Fig. S3, ESI†). Here we omit intermediate calculations, which can be found in the ESI,† Section S1. To account for the different lengths of the arms, we impose that the number of arms f is a decreasing function of the radius, Soft Matter We reach the View Article properties of the Constrained Develops for diversion of the policies of the constrained on α is the constrained by University (1) α is the constrained by the constrained by the

$$
f(r) = f_0 \left(\frac{r}{b}\right)^{-\gamma},\tag{3}
$$

where b is the radius of the core of the star and $\gamma \geq 0$. It is possible to show that this is equivalent to a power-law distribution of the distance between consecutive attractive monomers (see ESI,† Section S1, last paragraph). This assumption does not correspond to the displacements of the attractive points from equally-spaced positions used in our simulations, and is motivated mainly by the ease of carrying out the calculation.

We can now plug eqn (3) into a scaling argument similar to the one found in ref. 22. This calculation gives a leading term in the entropy that is identical to the one in absence of disorder,

$$
\Delta F_{\text{entropic}} \simeq f_0 \left[S - \gamma f_0^{-1/2} \frac{S^2}{2} + \frac{\gamma^2}{4} f_0^{-1} \frac{S^3}{3} \right] \tag{4}
$$

with

$$
S \simeq \frac{2}{\gamma} f_0^{1/2},
$$

which implies

$$
\Delta F_{\text{entropic}} \simeq (f_0)^{3/2}.
$$
 (5)

Thus, this argument supports the existence of stable states in presence of disorder in the attractive points, and predicts that the disorder does not change the leading term in the entropy of the rosettes, and the collapse is qualitatively the same. Since the leading-order term of the entropy is unaffected in the extreme power-law spacing between attracting monomers along the chain, we also expect that this prediction applies for more compact distributions of the spacing between possible attractive points, such as the one used in our simulations. Indeed, we find that the collapsed phase of the polymer of length $N = 257$ exhibits two domains for all values of σ we tested, just as the model in absence of disorder.

In order to rationalize why the simulations show a shift of the transition towards higher temperatures, which is not predicted by the above argument, we can notice that the above argument only considers the star-polymer contribution to the free energy. We can also compare the typical value of the loop entropy in presence and absence of disorder, but at fixed η . In absence of disorder the total entropy of p loops of length N/p is

$$
S_{\text{tot}} \sim p \log(N/p). \tag{6}
$$

For sufficiently small disorder (*i.e.* when σ is much smaller than η^{-1}), there are p loops of random length $l_i = |x_i - x_{i+1}|$, where x_i and x_{i+1} are the positions of two consecutive attractive monomers. If the distribution of x_i is Gaussian, the distribution of l_i is still a Gaussian with mean $\langle l_i \rangle = N/p$. Thus, we can compute the total entropy for the system in presence of disorder:

$$
S_{\text{tot}}^{\text{dis}} \sim \sum_{i=1}^{p} \log(l_i). \tag{7}
$$

We can rewrite eqn (7) to obtain a relation with S_{tot} :

$$
S_{\text{tot}}^{\text{dis}} \simeq p \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{1}{p} \log(l_i)
$$

$$
\simeq p \langle \log(l_i) \rangle < p \log \langle l_i \rangle
$$

where in the last line we used the Jensen inequality for concave functions. This means that

$$
S_{\rm tot}^{\rm dis} < S_{\rm tot},
$$

namely that the entropy cost for p loops decreases in the disordered model, so that the transition temperature increases. For the same reason, allowing for collapsed states with multiple domains, one can also speculate that the transition becomes broader because different regions of the polymer with different local densities of attractive monomers start to collapse at different temperatures.

Localization of domains caused by disorder

In long ordered co-polymers with equally-spaced attracting monomers, the positions of the domains are invariant for translations along the chain, and they are free to move along the chain (see Fig. 5, left column). Different equilibrium conformations can break this symmetry, displaying domains at specific positions, but the equilibrium contact map averages out the domains, re-establishing the translational symmetry (cf. the lowest-left contact map in Fig. 5). Only a small effect due to the finiteness of the chain is observable at the polymer ends; this would further reduce in longer polymers.

Disorder in the spacing of the attracting monomers has the effect of localizing the domains. To illustrate this point, the three rightmost columns of Fig. 5 show the result of simulations performed with a realization of disorder with $\sigma = 3$ and two realizations with $\sigma = 16$, choosing $N = 257$ and $p = 17$. Disorder breaks the translational symmetry of the system, favouring the stabilization of domains in specific regions of the chain. As a consequence, the average map is no longer uniform. For example, at $\sigma = 3$ ($\eta \sigma \simeq 0.2$), contact maps show with high probability a two-blocks structure (Fig. 5, second column, bottom panel) that contributes to specify the average map (shown below).

As shown in the case $\sigma = 16$ ($\eta \sigma \simeq 1$ last two columns of Fig. 5), the degree of localization depends on the specific realization of the disorder. The figure shows two contact maps of conformations obtained with two different realizations of the same distribution of disorder. In the first realization,

Fig. 5 A disordered distribution of interactions can localize the domains along the chain. The figure shows contact matrices for different conformations of a polymer made of $N = 257$ monomers and $p = 17$ interacting monomers (in green) distant 16 monomers from each other. The lowest contact maps are the equilibrium average of the system. Each column is obtained with a specific realization of the disorder, while the two columns with $\sigma = 16$ are obtained, respectively, with two different realizations of the placement of interacting beads. These simulations were performed with $N = 257$, $\eta = 17/257$, $R = 0.77$, $\lambda = 1.42$, 1.8×10^9 Monte Carlo sweeps. The replicas used in this image are at the temperature $k_B T/\epsilon = 0.3$.

the two-block structure has well-defined borders that correspond the regions around monomer 25 and 110. Instead, the second realization of the same distribution does not show a clear compartmentalization into two fixed spatial domains, and reallocation of attractive points is observed around a coarse-grained nearly equally-spaced structure of organizing centers. The degree of localization of the domains does not seem to depend trivially on the organization of the interacting monomers into linear clusters along the chain (green dots along the diagonal of Fig. 5). In some cases the interacting monomers act as aggregation points, in some cases they appear to act as ''spacers'', reminiscent of the behavior of CTCF as an epigenomic barrier.²³ In the case σ = 3, the displacement from the ordered case is small, but still there is a higher degree of localization than the case $\sigma = 16$ shown in the rightmost column, where there is a more marked partitioning of interacting monomers. Thus, the degree of localization appears to result from a complex balancing between energy and entropy, and cannot be easily predicted from the location of the interacting monomers.

Discussion and conclusions

Our model can be regarded as a null model to investigate how evolution could establish specific chromosomal structures that are segregated into domains, in order, for example, to diversify the transcriptional control on the genes. Our result is that if different loci of the chromosome interact differently, the system has a natural tendency to organize into domains. The disordered arrangement of sparse interacting points increases this tendency and can cause the emergence of localized domains of different sizes.

Our extensive MC simulations give access to the equilibrium properties of polymers up to up to $N = 513$, characterized by a small linear density of fixed attractive monomers, which can be equally spaced or disordered. Both in the ordered and disordered case, the phase diagram of the polymer displays a hightemperature coil phase and a sharp transition to globular phases with multi-rosette structures. The states with different number of rosettes are clearly separated from each other by jumps in the internal energy which resemble first-order transitions. At highest temperatures we observe states with the largest number of rosettes, and this number decreases with the temperature to the one-rosette zero-temperature state. Although the system size is limited in our simulations by the high computational cost of equilibrating the system, we can speculate that a hierarchy of states exists with varying number of rosettes. The maximum observed number, $n_{\text{max}} \simeq N/128$, is reached just below the coil–globule transition temperature. The observed rosettes have the specific feature of involving monomers that are close along the chain.

The formation of rosette-like domains is a form of microphase separation (MPS), which in the thermodynamic limit is known to take place in ordered co-polymers and to produce well-defined structures with few allowed symmetries (lamellar, hexagonal and cubic) in the vicinity of the homogeneous phase.²⁴ If disorder is added in the position of the chemical species, mean-field calculations by de Gennes show that the MPS phase is suppressed in favour of a glassy state.²⁵ Beyond mean field, Shakhnovich and coworkers showed that fluctuations reduce the glassy temperature re-establishing the MPS, 26 by a perturbative approach in the number of neighbouring monomers common to different conformations of the chain (disregarded in the mean field). Our results suggest that correlations between neighbouring monomers play an important role in defining the phase diagram of this polymer. Although the size of our polymers is limited by computational constrains, the rosette-like domains we observed are formed by consecutive segments of the chain, and suggest that effect of correlations could be much larger than that suggested by the perturbative approach. In fact, while the latter predicts a phase diagram with a second-order transition from a disordered globule to MPS, we observe what looks like a first-order transition from a random coil directly to a domain-separated phase. Moreover, at increasing disorder, the range of temperatures at which MPS occurs increases not only because the freezing temperature decrease, as predicted in ref. 26, but also because the high-energy states are affected (as observed in ref. 27), increasing the coil–globule transition temperature.

Whether this behaviour is a result of the finiteness of the chain or is a feature that survives in the thermodynamic limit, we cannot tell based on our simulations, which are necessarily limited in terms of the size of the chain. However, the scaling arguments that support the simulations are not expected to fail in the large-N limit, suggesting that the phase diagram we propose is stable with respect to N.

An important effect of the disorder is that of localizing the structural domains in the chain, analogously to what happens with spin diffusion in the presence of impurities.²⁸ While the system, at least in the thermodynamic limit, is invariant for translation of the domains, and consequently its average equilibrium contact map is uniform, in presence of quenched disorder the domains can become localized, resulting in a block equilibrium contact map. The detailed pattern of blocks, and even how well-defined they are, does not appear to be a selfaveraging quantity, and depends on the specific positioning of

the interacting monomers. These properties do not seem to be easily predicted from the knowledge of the exact realization of disorder, in agreement with the general observation that the identification of the equilibrium states of disordered systems is a NP-hard problem.²⁹

The results obtained with this simple co-polymer model can be useful to get some insight in the structural organization of chromosomes,³⁰ which display a hierarchical set of nested domains.³¹ Little is known about the actual molecular mechanisms responsible for the formation of domains, at different length scales, in the chromatin fiber and several models were proposed to account for such an organization. Some years ago it was suggested that they are the result of the rapid collapse of the fiber into a non-equilibrium crumpled globule.³² A model that generates blocks that are similar to the smallest-scale domains observed in chromatin is the loop-extrusion, based on the hypothesis that the interaction between regions of the fiber are mediated by an active, ATP-fueled protein complex. $6,7$ In other, equilibrium, models, such as the one we study here, the number of domains is determined by the number of different interacting species, $33,34$ and the formation of domains is essentially energy-driven. With the present simple model we showed that it is not necessary to resort to very complicated ingredients, but the balance between entropy and energy is sufficient to generate stable and localized domains even with a single type of interacting protein. The phenomenology of the disorder stabilizing the multi-domain phase is reminiscent of the ''order by disorder'' phenomenon, whereby a particular low-entropy state is selected. It is therefore interesting to quantify the selection of specific regions organized into domains by specific realizations of the interaction points.¹² As we previously pointed out,¹⁴ for the ordered case the transition to the collapsed state made of one or more domains is first order, in contrast with the second-order like coil-to-globule (''theta'') transition of a self-attracting homopolymer. In presence of disorder in the interaction points, this transition ''smoothens'' due to the existence of domains of different sizes that collapse at different energies or temperatures. However, looking at the susceptibility shows that this transition still keeps the key properties of a first-order transition, and, for example, coexistence is expected (and observed in simulations) around the critical point. Similar considerations are expected to apply to more complex models in the literature where multiple species are present 3,13 or where binding points can move.¹⁰ Soft Matter Website Engine the thermal sample which resemble flucturely this this change in the published by the formula on the control of the cont

> Considering chromosomes, confinement may be an important ingredient of the system. However, even in case of strong confinement (which is not sure to be justified empirically) we believe that our results should apply at sufficiently short length scales compared to the total size of the genome $(e.g.$ Mbp in the genome of a higher eukaryote). Indeed, if we considered a relatively short scale compared to the full polymer, the local structure of the segment under study would be uncorrelated to the structure of the spatially neighboring segments belonging to the rest of the fiber.

> Finally, a feature of chromosomes that emerges from experimental data and that was widely studied in the past years is that

the contact probability between pairs of regions of the same chromosome roughly scales with their genomic distance with a power law controlled by an atypical exponent that is variable, but typically lower than 1.5, behavior that is unexpected for simple homopolymers at equilibrium.^{35,36} Also in this case several physical mechanism were proposed.^{6,35,37,38} Our results suggest that even a simple model as the one we propose here produces equilibrium contact probability functions that can be fitted with power laws of genomic distance, with exponents that are lower than those of homopolymers, and in overall agreement with the trends of experimental data. In our model, the slopes of this contact probability depend on the disorder strength and on the stabilization energy of the domains. Published on 28 June 2018. Downloaded by the same of t

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to M. Nicodemi for useful discussions. MCL and MG acknowledge support from the International Human Frontier Science Program Organization, grant RGY0070/2014.

References

- 1 M. V. Imakaev, G. Fudenberg and L. A. Mirny, Modeling chromosomes: beyond pretty pictures, FEBS Lett., 2015, 589(20partA), 3031–3036.
- 2 M. Cosentino Lagomarsino, O. Espéli and I. Junier, From structure to function of bacterial chromosomes: evolutionary perspectives and ideas for new experiments, FEBS Lett., 2015, 589, 2996–3004.
- 3 M. Nicodemi and A. Pombo, Models of chromosome structure, Curr. Opin. Cell Biol., 2014, 28, 90–95.
- 4 R. T. Dame, O. J. Kalmykowa and D. C. Grainger, Chromosomal macrodomains and associated proteins: implications for dna organization and replication in gram negative bacteria, PLoS Genet., 2011, 7, e1002123.
- 5 W. Schwarzer, N. Abdennur, A. Goloborodko, A. Pekowska, G. Fudenberg, Y. Loe-Mie, N. A. Fonseca, W. Huber, C. H. Haering and L. Mirny, et al., Two independent modes of chromatin organization revealed by cohesin removal, Nature, 2017, 551(7678), 51.
- 6 G. Fudenberg, M. Imakaev, C. Lu, A. Goloborodko, N. Abdennur and L. A. Mirny, Formation of chromosomal domains by loop extrusion, Cell Rep., 2016, 15(9), 2038–2049.
- 7 A. Goloborodko, J. F. Marko and L. A. Mirny, Chromosome compaction by active loop extrusion, Biophys. J., 2016, 110(10), 2162–2168.
- 8 V. Pant, S. Kurukuti, E. Pugacheva, S. Shamsuddin, P. Mariano, R. Renkawitz, E. Klenova, V. Lobanenkov and R. Ohlsson, Mutation of a single ctcf target site within the h19 imprinting control region leads to loss of igf2 imprinting and complex patterns of de novo methylation upon

maternal inheritance, Mol. Cell. Biol., 2004, 24(8), 3497–3504.

- 9 M. C. Noom, W. W. Navarre, T. Oshima, G. J. Wuite and R. T. Dame, H-ns promotes looped domain formation in the bacterial chromosome, Curr. Biol., 2007, 17(21), R913–R914.
- 10 C. A. Brackley, J. Johnson, S. Kelly, P. R. Cook and D. Marenduzzo, Simulated binding of transcription factors to active and inactive regions folds human chromosomes into loops, rosettes and topological domains, Nucleic Acids Res., 2016, 44(8), 3503–3512.
- 11 L. I. Nazarov, M. V. Tamm, V. A. Avetisov and S. K. Nechaev, A statistical model of intra-chromosome contact maps, Soft Matter, 2015, 11(5), 1019-1025.
- 12 I. Junier, O. Martin and F. Képès, Spatial and topological organization of dna chains induced by gene co-localization, PLoS Comput. Biol., 2010, 6(2), e1000678.
- 13 J. D. Olarte-Plata, N. Haddad, C. Vaillant and D. Jost, The folding landscape of the epigenome, Phys. Biol., 2016, 13, 026001.
- 14 V. F. Scolari and M. Cosentino Lagomarsino, Combined collapse by bridging and self-adhesion in a prototypical polymer model inspired by the bacterial nucleoid, Soft Matter, 2015, 11(9), 1677–1687.
- 15 V. G. Benza, B. Bassetti, K. D. Dorfman, V. F. Scolari, K. Bromek, P. Cicuta and M. Cosentino Lagomarsino, Physical descriptions of the bacterial nucleoid at large scales, and their biological implications, Rep. Prog. Phys., 2012, 75, 076602.
- 16 L. Giorgetti, R. Galupa, E. P. Nora, T. Piolot, F. Lam, J. Dekker, G. Tiana and E. Heard, Predictive Polymer Modeling Reveals Coupled Fluctuations in Chromosome Conformation and Transcription, Cell, 2014, 157(4), 950–963.
- 17 G. Tiana, F. Villa, Y. Zhan, R. Capelli, C. Paissoni, P. Sormanni, E. Heard, L. Giorgetti and R. Meloni, Montegrappa: an iterative Monte Carlo program to optimize biomolecular potentials in simplified models, Comput. Phys. Commun., 2015, 186, 93–104.
- 18 R. H. Swendsen and J.-S. Wang, Replica monte carlo simulation of spin-glasses, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1986, 57(21), 2607.
- 19 A. M. Ferrenberg and R. H. Swendsen, Optimized monte carlo data analysis, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1989, 63(12), 1195.
- 20 R. Brout, Statistical Mechanical Theory of a Random Ferromagnetic System, Phys. Rev., 1959, 115, 824.
- 21 M. Daoud and J. Cotton, Star shaped polymers: a model for the conformation and its concentration dependence, J. Phys., 1982, 43(3), 531–538.
- 22 T. Witten and P. Pincus, Colloid stabilization by long grafted polymers, Macromolecules, 1986, 19(10), 2509–2513.
- 23 C. T. Ong and V. G. Corces, CTCF: An Architectural Protein Bridging Genome Topology and Function, Nat. Rev. Genet., 2014, 15(4), 234–246.
- 24 L. Leibler, Theory of Microphase Separation in Block Copolymers, Macromolecules, 1980, 13, 1602–1617.
- 25 P. G. de Gennes, Theory of long-range correlations in polymer melts, Faraday Discuss. Chem. Soc., 1979, 68, 96–103.
- 26 C. D. Sfatos, A. M. Gutin and E. I. Shakhnovich, Phase diagram of random copolymers, *Phys. Rev. E*, 1993, $48(1)$, 465–475.
- 27 G. Tiana and L. Sutto, Equilibrium properties of realistic random heteropolymers and their relevance for globular and naturally unfolded proteins, Phys. Rev. E, 2011, 84, 061910.
- 28 P. W. Anderson, Absence of Diffusion in Certain Random Lattices, Phys. Rev., 1958, 109, 1492–1505.
- 29 F. Barahona, On the computational complexity of Ising spin glass models, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 1982, 15, 3241–3253.
- 30 L. Ringrose, Epigenetics and Systems Biology, Academic Press, 2017.
- 31 Y. Zhan, L. Mariani, I. Barozzi, E. G. Schulz, N. Blüthgen, M. Stadler, G. Tiana and L. Giorgetti, Reciprocal insulation analysis of Hi-C data shows that TADs represent a functionally but not structurally privileged scale in the hierarchical folding of chromosomes, Genome Res., 2017, 27, 479–490.
- 32 L. A. Mirny, The fractal globule as a model of chromatin architecture in the cell, Chromosome Res., 2011, 19(1), 37–51.
- 33 D. Jost, P. Carrivain, G. Cavalli and C. Vaillant, Modeling epigenome folding: formation and dynamics of topologically associated chromatin domains, Nucleic Acids Res., 2014, 42, 9553–9561.
- 34 S. Bianco, A. M. Chiariello, C. Annunziatella, A. Esposito and M. Nicodemi, Predicting chromatin architecture from

models of polymer physics, Chromosome Res., 2017, 25, 25–34.

- 35 E. Lieberman-Aiden, N. L. van Berkum, L. Williams, M. Imakaev, T. Ragoczy, A. Telling, I. Amit, B. R. Lajoie, P. J. Sabo, M. O. Dorschner, R. Sandstrom, B. Bernstein, M. A. Bender, M. Groudine, A. Gnirke, J. Stamatoyannopoulos, L. A. Mirny, E. S. Lander and J. Dekker, Comprehensive mapping of long-range interactions reveals folding principles of the human genome, Science, 2009, 326, 289–293.
- 36 A. L. Sanborn, S. S. P. Rao, S.-C. Huang, N. C. Durand, M. H. Huntley, A. I. Jewett, I. D. Bochkov, D. Chinnappan, A. Cutkosky, J. Li, K. P. Geeting, A. Gnirke, A. Melnikov, D. McKenna, E. K. Stamenova, E. S. Lander and E. L. Aiden, Chromatin extrusion explains key features of loop and domain formation in wild-type and engineered genomes, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2015, 112, E6456–E6465. Soft Matter Web Article and Article and Article 2013. At the state of polymer physics, Grownesse Measure 2017, 23 digitary and Distribution (Published Distribution (Published Distribution (Published Distribution (Publishe
	- 37 M. Barbieri, M. Chotalia, J. Fraser, L.-M. Lavitas, J. Dostie, A. Pombo and M. Nicodemi, Complexity of chromatin folding is captured by the strings and binders switch model, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2012, 109, 16173–16178.
	- 38 Y. Zhan, L. Giorgetti and G. Tiana, Looping probability of random heteropolymers helps to understand the scaling properties of biopolymers, Phys. Rev. E, 2016, 94, 032402.