12th INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS OF HISTORICAL CONSTRUCTIONS

SAHC 2021

Online event, 29 Sep - 1 Oct, 2021

P. Roca, L. Pelà and C. Molins (Eds.)



A publication of:

International Centre for Numerical Methods in Engineering (CIMNE) Barcelona, Spain



ISBN: 978-84-123222-0-0

Printed by: Artes Gráficas Torres S.L., Huelva 9, 08940 Cornellà de Llobregat, Spain

12th INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS OF HISTORICAL CONSTRUCTIONS

SAHC 2021

Online event, 29 Sep - 1 Oct, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	7
Supporting Organizations	9
Organizers and Committees	
Sponsors	
Summary	
Contents	
Presented Sessions	
Authors Index	3661

PREFACE

The International Conference on Structural Analysis of Historical Constructions (SAHC) was first celebrated in Barcelona in 1995, followed by a second edition also in Barcelona in 1998. Since then, nine subsequent editions have been organized in different countries of Europe, America and Asia. The SAHC conference series is intended to offer a forum allowing engineers, architects and all experts to share and disseminate state-of-art knowledge and novel contributions on principles, methods and technologies for the study and conservation of heritage structures. Through all its successful past editions, the SAHC conference has become one of the topmost periodical opportunities for scientific exchange, dissemination and networking in the field.

During the last decades the study and conservation of historical structures has attained high technological and scientific standards. Today's practice involves the combination of innovative non-destructive inspection technologies, sophisticated monitoring systems and advanced numerical models for structural analysis. More than ever, it is understood that the studies must be performed by interdisciplinary teams integrating wide expertise (engineering, architecture, history, archeology, geophysics, chemistry...). Moreover, the holistic nature of the studies, and the need to encompass and combine the different scales of the problem –the materials, the structures, the building aggregates, and the territory – are now increasingly acknowledged. Due to all this, the study of historical structures is still facing very strong challenges that can only be addressed through sound international scientific cooperation.

Taking these ideas in mind, the 12th edition of the SAHC conference aimed at creating a new opportunity for the exchange and discussion of novel concepts, technologies and practical experiences on the study, conservation and management of historical constructions.

The present proceedings include the papers presented to the conference, which was finally celebrated on September 29-30 and October 1, 2021, in an on-line mode due to the word sanitary emergency situation created by the Covid-19 pandemic.

The conference included the following topics: history of construction and building technology; inspection methods, non-destructive techniques and laboratory testing; numerical modeling and structural analysis; structural health monitoring; repair and strengthening strategies and techniques; conservation of 20th c. architectural heritage; seismic analysis and retrofit; vulnerability and risk analysis and interdisciplinary projects and case studies.

The SAHC 2021 conference has been possible thanks to the large contribution of the scientific committee and reviewer panel who took care of selecting and review the papers submitted. The contribution of the different sponsors and supporting organizations is also acknowledged. Above all, the conference has been possible thanks to all the authors who have contributed with very valuable papers despite the difficulties caused by the world pandemic. New editions of the conference are already planned in normal face-to-face formats which, in the upcoming years, will provide new opportunities for sharing valuable knowledge and experience on structural conservation, as well as for keeping alive and fulfilling the purpose and aims of the SAHC conference series.

The Organizing Committee

PREFACE

The International Conference on Structural Analysis of Historical Constructions (SAHC) was first celebrated in Barcelona in 1995, followed by a second edition also in Barcelona in 1998. Since then, nine subsequent editions have been organized in different countries of Europe, America and Asia. The SAHC conference series is intended to offer a forum allowing engineers, architects and all experts to share and disseminate state-of-art knowledge and novel contributions on principles, methods and technologies for the study and conservation of heritage structures. Through all its successful past editions, the SAHC conference has become one of the topmost periodical opportunities for scientific exchange, dissemination and networking in the field.

During the last decades the study and conservation of historical structures has attained high technological and scientific standards. Today's practice involves the combination of innovative non-destructive inspection technologies, sophisticated monitoring systems and advanced numerical models for structural analysis. More than ever, it is understood that the studies must be performed by interdisciplinary teams integrating wide expertise (engineering, architecture, history, archeology, geophysics, chemistry...). Moreover, the holistic nature of the studies, and the need to encompass and combine the different scales of the problem –the materials, the structures, the building aggregates, and the territory – are now increasingly acknowledged. Due to all this, the study of historical structures is still facing very strong challenges that can only be addressed through sound international scientific cooperation.

Taking these ideas in mind, the 12th edition of the SAHC conference aimed at creating a new opportunity for the exchange and discussion of novel concepts, technologies and practical experiences on the study, conservation and management of historical constructions.

The present proceedings include the papers presented to the conference, which was finally celebrated on September 29-30 and October 1, 2021, in an on-line mode due to the word sanitary emergency situation created by the Covid-19 pandemic.

The conference included the following topics: history of construction and building technology; inspection methods, non-destructive techniques and laboratory testing; numerical modeling and structural analysis; structural health monitoring; repair and strengthening strategies and techniques; conservation of 20th c. architectural heritage; seismic analysis and retrofit; vulnerability and risk analysis and interdisciplinary projects and case studies.

The SAHC 2021 conference has been possible thanks to the large contribution of the scientific committee and reviewer panel who took care of selecting and review the papers submitted. The contribution of the different sponsors and supporting organizations is also acknowledged. Above all, the conference has been possible thanks to all the authors who have contributed with very valuable papers despite the difficulties caused by the world pandemic. New editions of the conference are already planned in normal face-to-face formats which, in the upcoming years, will provide new opportunities for sharing valuable knowledge and experience on structural conservation, as well as for keeping alive and fulfilling the purpose and aims of the SAHC conference series.

The Organizing Committee

SUPPORTING ORGANIZATIONS



Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC), Barcelona, Spain



Center for Numerical Methods in Engineering (CIMNE), UPC, Spain



International Council on Monuments and Sites (ICOMOS)



International Scientific Committee for Analysis and Restoration of Structures of Architectural Heritage of ICOMOS (ICOMOS / ISCARSAH)

ORGANIZERS AND COMMITTEES

Organizing Committee









Luca Pelà Technical University of Catalonia





Paulo Lourenço University of Minho

Claudio Modena University of Padova

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

National Members

- Jose M. Adam, Polytechnic University of Valencia
- Ernest Bernat, Polytechnic University of Catalonia
- Pedro Calderón, Polytechnic University of Valencia
- Miguel Cervera, Polytechnic University of Catalonia
- Victor Compán, University of Seville
- Leire Garmendia, University of the Basque Country
- Lluis Gil, Polytechnic University of Catalonia
- Pilar Giráldez, University of Barcelona
- Salvador Ivorra, University of Alicante
- Miquel Llorens, University of Girona
- Ignacio Lombillo, University of Cantabria
- Camilla Mileto, Polytechnic University of Valencia
- Javier Mosteiro, Technical University of Madrid
- Belén Riveiro, University of Vigo
- Savvas Saloustros, Polytechnic University of Catalonia
- Fernando Vegas, Polytechnic University of Valencia
- Marius Vendrell, University of Barcelona

International Members

- Rafael Aguilar, Pontifical Catholic University of Peru
- Takayoshi Aoki, Nagoya City University
- Alessandra Aprile, University of Ferrara
- Oriol Arnau, National Autonomous University of Mexico
- Görün Arun, Yildiz Technical University
- Hiram Badillo, Autonomous University of Zacatecas
- Andrea Benedetti, University of Bologna
- Rita Bento, University of Lisbon
- Katrin Beyer, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Lausanne
- Rubén Boroschek, University of Chile
- Guido Camata, University of Chieti-Pescara
- Claudia Cancino, Getty Conservation Institute
- Eva Coïsson, University of Parma
- Dina D'Ayala, University College London
- Gianmarco de Felice, Roma Tre University
- Gianfranco de Matteis, University of Campania
- Matthew DeJong, University of California at Berkeley
- Milos Drdácký, Institute of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics
- Khalid El Harrouni, National School of Architecture
- Ahmed Elyamani, Cairo University
- Yohei Endo, Shinshu University
- Mariana Esponda, Carleton University
- Antonio Formisano, University of Naples Federico II
- Dora Foti, University of Bari
- Enrico Garbin, University of Padova
- Giorgia Giardina, University of Cambridge

- Toshikazu Hanazato, Mie University
- Mehrdad Hejazi, University of Isfahan
- Marcela Hurtado, Federico Santa María Technical University
- Jason Ingham, University of Auckland
- Wolfram Jager, Techincal University Dresden
- Stephen J. Kelley, SJK Inc.
- Debra Laefer, New York University
- Sergio Lagomarsino, University of Genova
- Alessandra Marini, University of Bergamo
- Guillermo Martínez, Michoacan University of Saint Nicholas of Hidalgo
- Arun Menon, Indian Institute of Technology Madras
- Gabriele Milani, Polytechnic University of Milan
- John Ochsendorf, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
- Daniel Oliveira, University of Minho
- Fernando Peña, National Autonomous University of Mexico
- Andrea Penna, University of Pavia
- Maurizio Piazza, University of Trento
- Mariapaola Riggio, Oregon State University
- Jan Rots, Delft University of Technology
- Antonella Saisi, Polytechnic University of Milan
- Cristián Sandoval, Pontifical Catholic University of Chile
- Vasilis Sarhosis, The University of Leeds
- Yaacov Schaffer, Israel Antiquities Authority
- Nigel Shrive, University of Calgary
- Marek Sklodowski, Institute of Fundamental Technological Research
- Pierre Smars, National Yunlin University of Science and Technology
- Luigi Sorrentino, Sapienza University of Rome
- Enrico Spacone, University of Chieti-Pescara
- Nicola Tarque, Pontifical Catholic University of Peru
- Adrienn Tomor, University of the West of England
- Daniel Torrealva, Pontifical Catholic University of Peru
- Maria Rosa Valluzzi, University of Padova
- Koenraad Van Balen, KU Leuven
- Humberto Varum, University of Porto
- Els Verstrynge, KU Leuven
- Elizabeth Vintzileou, National Technical University of Athens
- Roko Žarnić, University of Ljubljana

REVIEWER PANEL

- Daniela Addessi
- Maurizio Angelillo
- Jesus Bairán
- Elisa Bertolesi
- Maria Bostenaru
- Giuseppe Brando
- Manuel Buitrago Moreno
- Albert Cabané
- Chiara Calderini
- Ivo Caliò
- Lorenzo Cantini
- Silvia Caprili
- Giuliana Cardani
- Claudia Casapulla
- Rosario Ceravolo
- Francesca Ceroni
- Francesco Clementi
- Camilla Colla
- Cossima Cornadó
- Sara Dimovska
- Anastasios Drougkas
- Chiara Ferrero
- Virginia Flores Sasso
- Aguinaldo Fraddosio
- Donald Friedman
- Stefano Galassi
- Larisa García-Ramonda
- Lucia Garijo
- Carmelo Gentile
- Lorenzo Jurina

- Philip Karklbrenner
- David López
- Jose Machado
- Nirvan Makoond
- Nuno Mendes
- Andronki Miltiadou
- Bernt Mittnacht
- Tom Morrison
- Marius Mosoarca
- Juan Murcia
- Federica Ottoni
- Bartolomeo Pantò
- Marisa Pecce
- Chiara Pepi
- Elisa Poletti
- Bora Pulatsu
- Enrico Quagliarini
- Luisa Rovero
- Nicola Ruggieri
- Santiago Sanchez
- Mario Santana
- Michel Schuller
- Jorge Segura
- Vincenzo Sepe
- Alberto Taliercio
- Dimitris Theodossopoulos
- Filippo Ubertini
- Giuseppina Uva
- Graça Vasconcelos
- Maria Belén Jiménez

SPONSORS

PRO_SAM is a plugin which connects PRO_SAP with SAM II solver, a powerful tool for pushover analysis of new and existing structures.

SOLVER RELIABILITY

SAM II, conceived by Prof. Magenes, Eng. Manzini and Eng. Morandi, is a well-known and robust non-linear solver highly referenced in international literature.

CODES OF PRACTICE

Eurocode 8, Italian codes.

MATERIALS

Unreinforced and reinforced masonry, reinforced concrete and generic linear materials.

LOCAL FAILURE MECHANISMS

Automatic geometry interfacing with PRO_CineM for kinematic linear and non-linear analyses.

LINEAR ANALYSIS

Automatic generation of plate and shell linear model from the equivalent frame.

FREE

PRO_SAM is free for students, scholars or scientific research.

Asdea Software S.r.I. is part of the burgeoning ASDEA brand, which includes ASDEA S.r.I. and ASDEA Hardware. We are a software development company staffed with engineers, researchers, and software developers. Our goal is to provide innovative software solutions customized for clients and of original in-house design for numerical simulation and data visualization. We are the company behind the revolutionary software STKO (Scientific ToolKit for OpenSees). More than just a simple GUI, STKO features a Python scripting interface, meaning that users can customize and program the already powerful pre and postprocessors as needed, harnessing the full power of OpenSees.

CALSENS develops state-of-the-art fiber-optic sensors and designs, deploys and operates structural health monitoring (SHM) solutions to monitor bridges, buildings and vehicles (ships, airplanes, UAV), among other structures. Our services are based on constant research and innovation, creating products and services at the frontier of knowledge.

CALSENS services cover the full process of monitoring. Starting from the modelling of structural behavior and choice of control parameters, continuing with the election, design, fabrication and installation of the sensors and sensing system, until the processing, interpretation and evaluation of the data.

CALSENS has a multidisciplinary team with a high degree of expertise in the fields of civil engineering, photonic technologies, signal processing, materials engineering or computing.





Kerakoll is the international leader in the GreenBuilding sector, providing solutions that safeguard the health of both the environment and the people.



The company mission is embrace and promote GreenBuilding as the new low environmental impact approach to building and promote higher quality homes around the world through the use of eco-friendly building materials and innovative solutions.

Since 1968 – when the Group was founded in Sassuolo– Kerakoll has been pursuing a clear course of development in Italian and international markets for building materials, that has taken the company to the forefront of the GreenBuilding industry and to a level of technological supremacy famous around the globe.

S.T.A. DATA, founded in 1982 by Adriano Castagnone, civil and structural engineer since 1978, and pioneer of scientific software for structural engineering, is composed of more than 20 people, all highly qualified professionals. Our aim is to offer software for structural calculation that alallow designers to face everyday work with simplicity and effectiveness.

S.T.A. DATA offers 3Muri Project, developed specifically for masonry.

In fact, it is not a generic Finite Element software adapted for masonry structures; 3Muri Project was born from the specific research for these structures and captures all the characteristics to obtain a safe and reliable calculation of historical, exhisting and new buildings.

IRS is a smart Engineering, Research and Development company founded by a group of engineers in 1993. IRS Structural Health Monitoring division designs, develops and integrates automated systems for mechanical and structural monitoring. Thanks to technological innovation, advanced modeling and design as well as professional production and after sales service provide a complete suite of structural health monitoring solutions. Monitoring version are both portable version for laboratory tests and one shot structural assessments and long term and in situ applications like historical sites, buildings, bridges, dams and tunnels. IRS is part of a group of companies including Measureit, with whom provides consultancy and sales of precision sensor and data acquisition systems.





SUMMARY

PRESENTED SESSIONS

Conservation of 20th c. architectural heritage	47
History of construction and building technology	200
Inspection methods, non-destructive techniques and laboratory testing	481
Interdisciplinary projects and case studies	873
Management of heritage structures and conservation strategies	1514
Numerical modeling and structural analysis	1675
Repair and strengthening strategies and techniques	2439
Resilience of historic areas to climate change and hazard events	2746
Seismic analysis and retrofit	2846
Structural health monitoring	3206
Vulnerability and risk analysis	3390

CLAUDIUS AQUEDUCT IN ROME - KINEMATIC ANALYSES AND EMPIRICAL EXPERIENCES FOR THE DEFINITION OF STRUCTURAL RESTORATION INTERVENTIONS

FABRIZIO DE CESARIS¹

¹ Sapienza, Università di Roma, Piazza Borghese 9, 00186 Rome, Italy e-mail: fabrizio.decesaris@uniroma1.it, www.uniroma1.it

Keywords: Historical Structure, Masonry, Structural restoration, Consolidation, Empirical study, Reinforcement minimization.

Abstract. Present work summarizes a study on the stability of some parts of the Claudius Aqueduct in Rome (1st century AD). The project provides empirical applications linked to the definition of minimal interventions for the structural restoration of a section of the structure near Capannelle. Results of the kinematic analyzes conducted and of experiment with a physical model representing the structure of the upper hydraulic conduit (speco) are reported. Ultimately, hypothetical minimal interventions are outlined for the protection of the structure and at the same time of the image and usability of the Aqueduct.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Historical outline

The Claudius Aqueduct (Caligola, 37-41 AD, Claudio, 41-54 AD) has been operating since 47 AD. C. It crosses the hills and the plain of the Roman countryside, with an artificial route 45 miles long (over 66 km) which joins the natural one of the Aniene Valley. The hilly upper section has many examples of hydraulic and structural engineering, which are necessary to divert water through the hills. The lower section - about a quarter of the structure – is instead completely emerging from the countryside plan that becomes almost flat approaching to Rome and the Tiber Valley. From Capannelle towards Rome, the height of the conduit quickly arises from the countryside ground-level, quickly reaching a height around 20 m above the elevation profile of the soil. The hydraulic canal was kept at a remarkably high altitude over the city to facilitate the subsequent parceling and branching towards buildings and baths. In fact, nowadays in the countryside it constitutes a primary presence for several kilometers, up to the Roman Aurelian Walls (270 - 275), which incorporate the Aqueduct for substantial stretches (fig. 1, left).



Figure 1: Historical image of the Aqueduct in a late 19th century watercolor. The typical cross section of the upper part of the Aqueduct is re-represented (archway, Claudius and Anius Novus water hydraulic conduit).

The masonries of the Claudio aqueduct were built exclusively in blocks, mostly of peperino, which is a volcanic sedimentary stone. Even though the architectural design of the arches has a rational rhythm, the size and quality of the blocks is completely heterogeneous in shape, size and quality. Modification, consolidation and reinforcement works already started in the 1st century. The constructive phases of the structure are characterized by the almost immediate overlap of the Anius Novus conduct. The use of the existing supporting structure for a double channeling follows other previous examples, but the Anius Novus is made of rubble masonry with sides in *opus mixtum* and is conserved only in minimal residual portions (fig. 1, right).

However, the second hydraulic line does not appear to be the cause of the reinforcement works. In fact, these works were carried out in sections and entailed huge funding already in 71 and 81. After that, the consolidations continued in the 2nd century, during Hadrian and Severian Ages, with the

reinforcement of other segments made with well executed *opus testaceum* and in 4th century the works were continued with the characteristic inhomogeneity of building materials. The maintenance continued until the 10th century when the structure was substantially abandoned. Subsequently, the construction was also systematically attacked to obtain material with a consequent strong reduction in discontinuous sections. Especially for the construction of the Felice Aqueduct in 16th century, which runs parallel to the Claudius aqueduct ^[1,2,5,6].

1.2 Context and purpose of the study

The starting point for this study was a consultation requested by the Archaeological Superintendence. Emergency intervention was needed for a portion of the Aqueduct located in the Appia Antica park near Capannelle. In this section, problems occurred both for the safety of the population and for the loss of original materials.¹ The works were aimed at the direct elimination of structural problems by avoiding the incipient collapse of unstable portions as the supports for fractured roof lintels and the local repair of unstable parts. Work has also been planned to mitigate the conditions that accelerate the degradation of materials, such as infiltrations and aggressive vegetation that would have caused new and serious damage to the structures.



Figure 2: View of the hydraulic conduit in the end part of this section of the Claudius Aqueduct. The broken lintel is prop up by a metal support and in the upper part there is a residue of the Anius Novus upper structure. On the left, a recent anti-seismic intervention on an adjacent section.

During the consultancy, some particular aspects were investigated, concerning the conduit and the relative lytic covering architraves. These investigations did not lead to direct operational conclusions.

¹ The restoration was guided by Arch. Giacomo Restante (W.D.), by Arch. Stefano Antonetti (P.M.) and by Dr. Antonella Bonini (archaeologist) and performed by DucaleRestauro S.r.l. from Venice (specialized company). Thanks to Prof. Cesare Tocci (Politecnico di Torino) for adding value to this project through his inspiring suggestions and his great availability.

Indeed, the funding just covered the disposing of temporary interventions, but we hope that our studies will be useful for a future conservative maintenance works.

The attention has been focused on the critical conditions of the duct related to the state of the lytic roof lintels that have many fractures. On this occasion, the cracks of the arches corresponding to the pillars from 1st to 31st were documented and shoring-up devices present were also reported.

The different elements of deterioration can hardly be interpreted in a sufficiently simple systematic framework that adequately reflects the overall behavior of the structure.

The vibrations induced by the earthquakes that occurred in the two millennia of existence of the monument or the settlements of the grounds and walls have caused damage. However, the respective influence of the numerous parameters interfering in the behavior of the monument can hardly be defined. The most important parameters are: heights of springer of the ducts covering, which are different from in every part; the lack of homogeneity of the material and the degradation; the conditions of the soil; the structural responses, altered locally by the degradation.

The study aimed rather to identify possible methods of intervention on the stone roofs of the hydraulic conduits, using a symptomatic treatment logic. Starting from the critical conditions of the building, the negative effects brought about by degradation and discontinuities were identified to locally mitigate the consequences and to improve the original reactive capacities of the monument. This section's degradation conditions reflect those generally found in other surviving portions of the ancient infrastructure and the considerations carried out - exceeding the specific features of the section under investigation - can also be considered extensible to other portions of the Aqueduct.

To complete the study, some operational possibilities are proposed, which are aimed at improving the structural capacity of the monument, awaiting subsequent possibilities for intervention. In fact, it is also suggested, in order to standardize future interventions to aim also at the objective of a characterization that constitutes a unique and identifiable trace for the work to be carried out in the coming years.

2. MONUMENT DETERIORATION CONSISTENCE AND STATUS

From the consistency point of view, the hydraulic conduit can be described as a tunnel with two vertical and parallel walls made of stone blocks laid in semi-horizontal layers, surmounted by a lithic plate formed by lintels made up of squared, irregular blocks, placed side by side. On both sides of the lintels there are small protrusions on external facing, as a continuous frame that defines the front. Stone elements of this frame are not always whole; probably in most cases, on one or both ends, the portions that make up the projection of the architraves that cover the span and rest only on a part of the thickness of the longitudinal partitions have been added.

Almost all the wall elements were made with uneven quality peperino. To build the horizontal lintels were chosen materials of greater consistency, well cemented; whereas in the vertical masonries there are also blocks obtained from less cohesive peppers, tending to degrade with greater ease. All the elements that make up the two walls show signs of erosion on externals sides caused by atmospheric agents and stresses. These masonries were initially prepared with a sort of *anathyrosis* (i.e. with the well-worked ashlars on the edges and with a less finish accurate on the central surface of the face in view), currently have edges often worn, sometimes chipped. A less advanced deterioration is observed on the more protected internal face. The elements that make up the section of the duct are affected by cracking phenomena characterized essentially by a pseudo-longitudinal

orientation of the water conduit and the roof lintels. The longitudinal discontinuities of the arches are visible from the outside from below, while inside they are often hidden by the stratification of the limestone collected at the base of the duct, but are revealed by the detachment of the horizontal layer of limestone and the original screed related to the internal facing of the walls, due to the relative location.



Figure 3: Survey of the state of cracking degradation in the different sections of the portion of Aqueduct analyzed, edited by Arch. P. Agrimi and re-elaborate by the author (left); inclinable plane used in the experimentation (kindly provided by DucaleRestauro S.r.l.) with the scheme adopted (right).

On this occasion, taking advantage of the special condition of accessibility to the tunnel, the survey focused above all on the cracked condition of the ceiling, considered the most critical issue. The mapping of the cracking of the lithic elements covering the roof have been extended to the entire section - arches from 1st to 31st), also localizing the presence of the support devices already adopted or introduced on this occasion. In addition, was detected the final section, where it is possible to appreciate the thicknesses of the elements constituting the span.

The fractures of the lintels caused structural failures with relative displacements due to the reduction of the continuity constraint and to the assumption of a different equilibrium condition, often characterized by relative sliding and lower degrees of safety. The effects of these stability adjustments

have induced the justified apprehension that recommended the immediate insertion of the internal support props to avoid the feared collapse of the lintels, taking advantage on the unused tunnel and its poor visibility from outside (fig. 2).

These supports are temporary and replicate a type of device already used in the last century, which is made of vertical metal supports, T-shaped with wooden planks that mediate the contrast of the architraves towards the intrados and under the support of the central upright on *cocciopesto* of the duct or on secular sediments limestone.

As can be seen in fig. 3, the fracturing of the lintels is widespread, but not homogeneous. Some elements appear intact (yellow) and others interrupted by the discontinuity that is sometimes positioned in the center (green) and often near one of the edges (orange). The presence of multiple fractures is rarely observed and sporadic is the case of an actual partial collapse; this temporary balance has recommended and allowed, in the past and at present, the insertion of the metallic supports to prevent a progression of deformation phenomena and possible collapses.

3. DEFINITION OF THE STUDY MODEL

The models studied have been defined based on the survey, with the approximations necessary to make the section as representative as possible. Only transverse vulnerability is considered, assuming as certain an adequate capacity in the longitudinal direction.

The side walls show critical issues related to aging and the lack of homogeneity of the material, which appear more relevant outside where the masonries are not protected by coatings and by the condition of a closed environment. To consider this generalized reduction of the resistant section, a retraction of the hinges on all the joints was introduced in the analyses.

On the other hand, the deterioration of roof lintels is mainly constituted by fractures that generally passing through the whole wall section. The material is overall well preserved because it is protected by the remains of the Anius Novus structure and by the reduced exposure of the confined environment of the duct. The fractures that cross the thickness of the lintels on pseudo-vertical planes appear to be the most incident element of static degradation. The roof of the hydraulic conduit can be assimilated to a series of simply-supported beams and the observed fractures transform the lintel into a sort of natural straight arch, which is supported as an arch set on the side walls and with mounts almost equal to the thickness itself.

In summary: some lintels have preserved monolithic shapes, but largely show fractures passing through the entire thickness, with regular orientation or levels with different configurations. The scheme in fig. 5 shows fractures represented simultaneously but, in general, only one is present on the same element and the scheme must also be considered in the symmetrical version. The lesions are of type A, B, or C: in case B, a portion of the lintel takes on a larger size than the other - the center of gravity is within the gap between the walls), and normally give in D before finding an adequate contrast in the natural arch. Type C is the fracture with the greatest risks due to the very reduced support section and because the lesion is not visible. Therefore, the possible presence of these discontinuities is not appreciable in the performed survey, but it is only hypothesized during the study comparing other portions of the Aqueduct. The T cuts are sometimes original, but are not always present and symmetrical on both ends of the same slab; it is possible to observe them only in the sections in which the building has lost its continuity and, consequently, they do not appear in the survey carried out as they cannot be identified.

Overall, there is a rather varied set of situations that has forced us to consider different schemes to

represent the current state of the structure, made uneven by the different degradation conditions induced by the fractures. A basic scheme was taken from the longitudinal section, which is traced back to a typical section (fig. 5, above left). On this scheme different models of structural behavior have been hypothesized. In fact, two types of structural problems are highlighted by examining the conditions of the water conduit: the first one is related to the stability of the lintels fractured in ordinary conditions and the second depends on the ability of the structure to resist seismic stresses.

In the first case, vertical supports have been introduced, to ensure the stability of the architraves subject to their weight and to that of the masses above. In the second case, only recently, in another section of the Aqueduct, have been inserted frame devices which are, however, particularly invasive, even if not visible from the outside (fig. 1. right).

In a broader analysis, other critical issues presented by the Aqueduct structure must be taken into consideration, such as the tendency to longitudinal segregation of the arches with divergence into two portions, the general deterioration of the pylons, the unevenness of the state of degradation of the blocks, etc.

These types of deterioration are already studied in other previous interventions ^[4] and there are forthcoming contributions. Within the limits of this analysis, only the portion of the hydraulic conduit was considered; downstream of the local checks, the specific conditions influenced by the tax quota were considered, variable in relation to the elevation of the soil, since the level of the duct set at an almost constant altitude. In order to simplify, other specific conditions, varying from section to section, have not been considered. For example, the portions related to the remains of the above structures of the Anius Novus.

The following figures show the most significant and therefore considered schemes (fig. 4). The kinematic mechanisms analyzed are essentially based on the hypothesis of the formation of hinges in the absence of sliding, prevented by friction. Only in case study 11 it was possible to consider the sliding between bodies 3 and 4.

In figure 6, the same mechanisms have been reconsidered with a retraction of all the hinges, activated simulating both the classic local subsidence on the axis of the hinge and the general state of degradation of the blocks that is widespread even if not homogeneous.

The values determined for the two cases can be considered as limits: an upper one - which is difficult to consider as a realistic result - and a lower one, more useful because it is precautionary, but sometimes more congruent with the state of degradation of the blocks.



Figure 4: Synoptic framework of the kinematic mechanisms examined.

According to the studies performed during Nineties by Antonino Giuffrè and Vittorio Ceradini ^[3], the work on these models was conducted with kinematic and empirical analysis. So, it was possible to make an immediate comparison with a real modeling in 1:10 scale, with elements of 5 cm thick, corresponding to 50 cm. The models were subjected to static stresses, equivalent to the seismic stress condition, simulated by rotating the support surface of the models. That determines an inclination of the system whereby the vertical weight can ideally be broken down into two components similar to those induced - in real conditions - simultaneously by gravity and seismic actions (one normal to the plane and one parallel to it). The relationship between the two components is like that between the force of gravity and the seismic thrust (fig. 3, right).



Figure 5: Representative scheme of the lintel and of the typologically repeated fractures (above, left); indication for the tie bars location (above, right); the survey and the used scheme (bottom, left); the tie bars proposed and already used in other sections of the aqueduct (right).

4. RESULTS OF THE KINEMATIC STUDY AND OF THE EXPERIMENTATION

The results of the graphical-numerical modeling and of the direct experimentation have been summarized in the following tables.

Figure 6 reports some of the results obtained with the study of the mechanisms in terms of load multiplier necessary for the activation of the mechanism; the values are obtained considering the activated hinges set back by 5 cm to give a general account of both the effects of the load concentrations and the degradation of the material. In the study, the homologous values were also calculated considering intact hinges, but for brevity they were not reported.

Figure 7 lists the results obtained empirically in the different cases already examined with numerical analysis. The photographs show the mechanism that occurred in the experiment.

All the data obtained for a possible comparison have been reported in Figure 8. Moreover, the data in terms of acceleration - calculated according to the Italian standard - have been added, with a different level of knowledge and relative Fc, at different altitudes in correspondence with the altimetry of the different ground levels crossed by the aqueduct. In many cases the mechanism is implemented in the theoretically foreseen manner; sometimes there is a discrepancy with respect to the starting hypotheses which highlights the possibility of sliding which, as mentioned, have not been taken into consideration in the numerical analysis - with the exception of case 11.

Generally, the results in terms of multiplier - determined in relation to the inclination reached at the time of priming - follow the numerical forecasts, however assuming a magnitude between the two limit values, with intact or backward hinges.

Study case 4 does not have a corresponding application. The mechanism that actually occurs is the same considered successively as case 11: the central hinge does not be formed because the sliding occurs between the two segments, which remain integral to the respective abutments and the projection of the center of gravity does not come out from the support of the slab. This process does not produce a natural arch effect by anticipating the activation of the kinematic chain. In the case study 11, the activation occurs with a multiplier equivalent to the one determined without considering the gripping work, as if the force exchanged by the two parts that remain united does not actually exchange at the time of the activation. The two portions - abutment and straight arch - separately tested, have two different multipliers (upper left, and lower right). They can be activated together with a multiplier that averages the portion values, highlighting the reciprocal exchange of forces.

Also in study cases 6, 8 and 9 the mechanism presents sliding that anticipates the trigger: in case 6 by horizontal sliding of the small block 5; in cases 8 and 9 the weight conditions cause the slumping of the major block of lintel, which slides in order to be supported in the key section, thereby producing a slightly higher thrust than expected; subsequently the vertical sliding occurs again and the natural arch takes on an even lower mount and therefore contributes to the early activation of the mechanism. In the first moment the collapse concerns the projecting block and does not produce the collapse of the wall, which, however, initially caves in, but then - at the end of the thrust in correspondence with the collapse of the horizontal block - maintains the position reached.

In case 12 an artifice was attempted to verify the response of the whole structure if the sliding had been delayed. Thanks to the sliding preventing of the sections in contact in the centerline, a mechanism similar to case 4 occurs. This mechanism brings back values of greater capacity even if not comparable with those the mechanism initially provided, but are not feasible

Generally, there is a discreet natural capacity of the original hydraulic conduit which, however, decreases with the fracturing of the roofing slab. This justifies the persistence of the structure over time. However, the lintels today appear fractured probably for causes not related to seismic stresses. In most cases, the stability situation with ordinary conditions do not cause collapse of the coverage. The necessity to find more stable configurations - especially where the fracture is close to the supports - produces those small slips deeply observed. The structures vulnerability towards the seismic event is quite evident in case of partial losses and fractured elements. It follows the requirement to intervene especially in the case of fractures that are not central and when the phenomenon affects contiguous lintels.



Figure 6: Summary of the expected results with activated retracted hinges.

Cinematismo 01	Cinematismo 02	Cinematismo 03	Cinematismo 07	Cinematismo 08	Cinematismo 09
Alfa = 0,306	Alfa = 0,264	Alfa = 0,240	Alfa = 0,144	Alfa = 0,133	Alfa = 0,123
Cinematismo 04	Cinematismo 05	Cinematismo 06	Cinematismo 10	Cinematismo 11	Cinematismo 12
Alfa = 0,218	Alfa = 0,153	Alfa = 0,133	Alfa = 0,128	Alfa = 0,218	Alfa = 0,287

Figure 7: Synthesis of experimental results with physical model.

<i>a</i>	12200000000000000000000000000000000000	10100			1000			-	100000	1740		-	a								24112		-
1 - 4 - 5 - 1	Cinematismo 01	Molt a	% massas	a (Fo 1,35a	a (Fo 120	a (Fo 1.00	(a/g)/q	Z(m)	H[m]	G	1	a(')	10.10	Cinematismo 07	Mok A % mass	aa (Fo 1,35a (Fo 1,2	0a (Fc 1,00	(a/g)/q	Z[m]	H[m]	G	5	a()
- Long & much	completo	0,33	0,891	0,276	0,310	0,372	0,114	4,376	5,360	1,200	0,176	0,288	1	incompleto fratti sato a destra	0.194 0.66	0.215 0.24	0.291	0.154	4 442	5 360	1200	0.176	0.293
	CONTRACT /	0.07		0.010	0.050			20,000	22,360	1,200	0,514	0,316	1 10 10		0,104 0,00	0,610 0,64	. 0,601	9,114	20.000	22,360	1200	0.514	0.316
二十十 首	compieto	0,27	6 0,831	0,230	0,258	0,310	0,114	4,375	5,360	1,200	0,1/6	0,288	가 좀 물	07.02 - Cinematismo con architrave							Chine .		
[]] - A. (Dis has seen in and		Incin []	0.007			(arg)	20,000	22,360	1,200	U,514	0,316	1.1月 3日。	incompleto fratturato a destra	0,087 0,66	7 0,097 0,10	0,131	0,114	4,442	5,360	1,200	0,176	0,293
10.0	Pasurato spermerkae	0,00	0 17,000	0,231			0,261	_	_	_	_			20.00	Inclin. [(alg)	20,000	22,360	1,200	0,514	0,316
(r	Distance of the second se	1 Marks	•/	10-1-20	10.100	1000	(ale)la	21-1	High	8		- 1 ² 1		Hisultato sperimentale	0,144 8,20	0,143		0,227		_	_	_	
1	02 01 - Constrations and authits are defense	0.29	2 massas	0.246	0 377	0 232	Largirq	4 262	E 260	1200	0.576	0.000	scorrimento vert corpo	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>							1121		-
- and the state	CL. UT - Calentarismo curtaruna avenuoro	0,23	5 0,301	0,240	0,211	0,332	0,194	9,200	22,380	1 200	0,170	0,200	10	Unematismo U0 08.01 - Cinamatismo pop audide sue	Molt A % mass	aa (Fo 1,35a (Fo 1,2	Ua (Fo 1,00	{a/g/q	2{m]	Himi	6	1	a()
A 10 10	02.02 a Cinamationo con archivava idente.	0.24	0 0.901	0.197	0.222	0.266	0.154	4.253	5 360	1200	0,014	0,310	- 111	incompleto fratturato a destra	0.243 0.68	5 0.263 0.29	0.355	0.114	4.318	5 360	1200	0.176	0.285
1.03	OC.OL. OR REINBORING CONTRICTION OF TRUCTO	0,54	Insta Pl	0,101	0,644	0,200	[slat	20,000	22,360	1200	0.514	0.316	THE REPORT						20.000	22.360	1,200	0.514	0.316
12 million	Risultato specimentale	0.25	14,800	0.258	_		0.227			Cares			1 1 1 1	08.02 - Cinematismo con architrave									
		-			_				_	_			「「「「」」「「」」「「」」」	incompleto fratturato a destra	0,190 0,69	5 0,202 0,22	0,273	0,114	4,318	5,360	1,200	0,176	0,285
1	Cnematumo 03	Maka	2 massas	alfe135	Ec 120	Ec 100	(alalla	Ziml	Him	G	1	all	A	N 64 11 11	Inclin [π.		(alg)	20,000	22,360	1,200	0,514	0,316
01: 1081	03.01 - Cinematismo con architrave						In Bed	erind	trid	-				Hisultato spermentale	0,133 7.60	0,133		0,227	_	_	_	_	
7 14 4 1	fratturato e incompleto	0.26	7 0.830	0.238	0.268	0.321	0.114	4,318	5.360	1,200	0,176	0.285	scorrimento vert corpo	Construction = 00	I Make Income	10 100 10 10	10.00	7.1.1	31-1	141-3	-	-	- (7)
								20,000	22,360	1,200	0.514	0,316	Al	09.01 - Cinemat. con architrave completo	PIOLA 74 mass	sa (ro 1,35a (ro 1,2	ua (FC (,00	larging	< full	ritut	6		aU
- 图 图	03.02 - Cinematismo con architrave												TALASAS	fratturato a destra e a sinistra	0,215 0,63	5 0,251 0,28	0,339	0,114	4,375	5,360	1,200	0,176	0,288
	fratturato e incompleto	0.2%	2 0.830	0,189	0.213	0.255	0.114	4,318	5.360	1,200	0,176	0,285							20,000	22,360	1,200	0,514	0,316
	and the second	19170	incin []	Sec.			(alg)	20,000	22,360	1,200	0,514	0,316	1 图 图	09.02 - Cinemat. con architrave completo									
44 44	Risultato sperimentale	0.24	0 13,500	0,236			0,227		11120002				「「間」間」	fratturato a destra e a sinistra	0,167 0,64	5 0,192 0,21	0,259	0,114	4,375	5,360	1,200	0,176	0,288
aggiungendo corpo 5: i	nnesco leggermente ritardato (13,7)									_		-		P. 1	Inclin, [(alg)	20,000	22,350	1,200	0,514	0,316
-K	Cinematismo 04	Molt &	% massai	a (Fc 135	a lfc 120	a 0° c 100	(#g)/q	Z[m]	Him	G	1	a()		Hisuitato spelimentale	0,123 7.00	0,122		0,227	_	-	-	-	
	04.01- Catemarismo con aronitrave	0.44	0.700	0.400	0 500	0.000	0.444	4 570	E 960	1000	0.776	0.000	scorrimento vert corpo	Con massa su 3 (resti Anio Nuovo)	0218 12.00	0,209		Colonia.	71-1	UL.1			- (2)
TABLE AND	compario manurario ai carino	0,94	2 0,100	0,400	0,526	0,632	0,04	9,510	22,300	1,200	0,110	0,302	1	10.01 - Cnemat, con architrave completo	PIOLA 74 mass	aa (ro 1,35a (ro 1,2	ua (re (uu	Largird	<141	ritut	0		a()
	04.02 - Cinematismo con architrave							20,000	22,000	(400	0,014	0,510	-17.3/21.	fratturato a de, a se e sul muro	0,161 0,58	0,202 0,22	0,273	0,114	4,517	5,360	1,200	0,176	0,298
	completo fratturato al centio	0.30	8 0.701	0.326	0.367	0.440	0.114	4.578	5.360	1200	0.176	0.302							20,000	22,360	1,200	0,514	0,316
			lincin [1]				(a/g)	20.000	22.360	1,200	0.514	0.316	- 18 L	10.02 - Cinemat. con architrave completo									
	Risultato sperimentale	0,22	2 12,500	0.218			0.227							fratturato a dx, a sx e sul muro	0.074 0.58	3 0,093 0,10	0,126	0,114	4,517	5,350	1,200	0,1/6	0,298
4		- abou	Constant of the local division of the										- inches	Dire it ano specimentale	Inclin, t	0.127		(arg)	20,000	22,300	1,200	0,514	0,510
	Cinematismo 05	Molt #	% massas	a (Fc 1.35)	Fc 120	. (Fc 100	(a/g/g	Z[m]	H[m]	G	t	a()		Costenero apreniner nere	0,60 1.00	0,621		0,221		-	-		_
al motor	05.01 - Cinematismo con architrave	110000											Section and Section	Cinematismo 11	Mot A % mass	a (Fo 135a (Fo 12	Da (Fo 100	(alalla	Z[m]	H[m]	G		all)
- In the second second	incompleto fratturato al centro	0,20	3 0,757	0,198	0,223	0,268	0,114	4,442	5,360	1,200	0,176	0,293	1	11.01 - Cinemat, con architrave completo				server a					
								20,000	22,360	1,200	0,514	0,316	- Lange and	fratturato in mezzeria (tutto)	0.275 0.40	8 0,502 0,56	5 0,678	0,114	4,730	5,360	1,200	0,176	0,312
*	US.U2 - Cinematismo con architrave																		20,000	22,360	1,200	0,514	0,3%
+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	incompleto tratturato al centro.	0,07	8 0,758	0,076	0,086	0,103	0,114	9,992	5,350	1,200	8,176	0,293	· ·	TLU2 - Linemat, con architrave completo	0.757 0.40	0.075 0.50		0.894	4 700	F 250	1 000	0.000	0.010
Le ace	Dire it ato considerant site	0.5	Incin. []	0.52			(arg)	20,000	22,300	1,200	0,514	0,310		national and in the esterila (natio)	0,251 0,40	0,415 0,55	0,042	[alo]	20,000	22,350	1200	0,110	0,312
	Production aprenenciate.	. M. 13.	0,700	.0,152		_	.0,221		_	_	_		100000	Risultato sperimentale	0,218 12.30	0.215		0.227	20,000	55,000	1,200	0,014	0,000
8	10 inemations DE	Mak a	Manager	- (Fe 1 35	. IF - 1 20	+ (E = 100	Intalla	71m1	hiles]	6		- (1)	B	Carbon Control				tion (-	-	
. And the second	06.01 - Cinematismo con architrave	PIOR A	2+ miassas	a ir o 1.556	a (r 0 1,20	a a o 100	reditd	eimi	inim)	9		-	7 2	Cinematismo 12	Molt A % mass	a (Fc 1,35a (Fc 1,2	0a (Fc 1,00	(a/g)/q	Z[m]	H[m]	G	_t	a(')
-1. 13/61	incompleto fratturato a sinistra	0.30	2 0.695	0.322	0.363	0,435	0.114	4,442	5,360	1,200	0,176	0,293	- inside	12.01 - Cinematismo con architrave									
								20,000	22,360	1,200	0,514	0,316	Trans and	compreto tratturato al centro, sconimento	0,324 0,51	0,463 0,52	0,626	0,114	9,642	0,620	1,200	0,206	0,248
- 10 ·	06.02 - Cinematismo con architrave												1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	12.02 - Cinematismo con architrave					20,000	23,620	1,200	0,530	0,233
	incompleto fratturato a sinistra	0,15/	0 0,696	0,160	0,180	0,216	0,114	4,442	5,360	1,200	0,176	0,293		completo fratturato al centro, scorrimento	0.242 0.54	2 0.331 0.37	0.446	0,114	4,642	6.620	1,200	0.206	0.248
1. 111	an a financia a constante		Incin [1		100000		(alg)	20,000	22,360	1,200	0,514	0,316			Inclin. [(alg)	20,000	23,620	1,200	0,536	0,299
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Risultato sperimentale	0,13	3 7,600	0,133			0,227						TENNES AND	Risultato sperimentale	0,287 16.00	0,279		0,227					
											_				the second								

Figure 8: Summary and comparison of the results obtained with the kinematic analyzes and with the direct experimentation, related to the seismic accelerations of collapse assessed according to the Italian legislation.

5. CONCLUSIONS ON THE PRACTICAL ASPECTS OF THE INTERVENTION

In conclusion, to obtain an improvement in capacity, can be inserted devices capable of supporting the roofing slab tracing the architrave back to its original monolithic nature. The measure can be implemented by inserting titanium bars - or stainless steel - which should exert a modest compressive force, sufficient to avoid rotations and also vertical translations in the fractured sections (fig. 5, above right). The failure due to shear force near the supports is, in fact, one of the most penalizing consequences of the structural deterioration for the wood. The proposed intervention could be a substitute for the internal supports and allow full suitability of the duct. The insertion of titanium bars would not be burdensome for the lithic material as it requires the execution of small diameter holes, which can be made without difficulties. This intervention certainly appears suggestive since it allows to find a structural stability close to the original one, because it doesn't introduce invasive elements in the cavity.

The safety margin would probably be lower than those obtainable with the insertion of metal frames (fig. 2, right). However, the intervention would result minimal formal and functional invasiveness, not obstructing visibility and accessibility of the conduit. The bar - constituting a sort of tie rod - would allow the arched behavior with limited thrust of the lintel, whether it is intact (precautionary intent) or fractured (improvement intent). The presence of intact lintels allows a containing effect, even on fractured ones, provided that the latter are suitably interspersed with healthy ones. Consideration that introduces various intervention management possibilities by grading the number of bars to be inserted. End-plate anchors, as already verified in previous interventions, can easily be disguised. Once inserted, the bar can be activated with forcing, producing an axial force of minimum intensity. The same force can be transferred to the lithic straight arch by anchoring with mortar or resin injection; otherwise it can be possible to operate with dry techniques to obtain maximum reversibility. The bar can be activated by forcing the small end-plate anchors, one of which is fixed and the other can be screwed using a threaded sleeve.

REFERENCES

- Arizza, M. and Santolini Giordani, R. L'acquedotto Claudio: restauri antichi e moderni. In: S. Francocci (Ed.): *Materiali e Tecniche. Esperienze di restauro a confronto*, Conference proceedings (Nepi, novembre 29th 2008), Davide Ghaleb Editore (2011), pp. 11-15.
- [2] Ashby, T. *Gli acquedotti dell'antica Roma*. Trasl. by A. Aiosa Gambardella [Ashby, T. *The Aqueducts of Ancient Rome*. Richmond I. A. (Ed.), Clarendon Press (1935)], Quasar (1991).
- [3] Ceradini, V. Indagini sperimentali su modelli di opera muraria. In: A. Giuffrè (Ed.): *Sicurezza e Conservazione dei centri storici Il caso Ortigia*, Editori Laterza (1993).
- [4] De Cesaris F. and Vergantini L. Acquedotto Claudio: interventi di rinforzo statico e di restauro di alcune arcate nel Parco degli Acquedotti. In: S. Francocci (Ed.): *Materiali e Tecniche*. *Esperienze di restauro a confronto*, Conference proceedings (Nepi, novembre 29th, 2008), Davide Ghaleb Editore (2011), pp. 16-31.
- [5] Orsini, B. Comentario di Sesto Giulio Frontino degli acquedotti della citta' di Roma con note e figure illustrato da Baldassarre Orsini professore delle belle arti e direttore dell'accademia del disegno di Perugia, Stamperia camerale di Carlo Baduel (1805).
- [6] van Deman, E. B. *The building of the Roman aqueducts*. McGrath Pub. (1973; reprinted in its original form by Carnegie Institution 1934).