

# INCLUSIVE CITIES AND REGIONS *TERRITOIRES INCLUSIFS*

14° Biennale of European Towns and Town Planners, Naples

Edited by  
Marichela Sepe

## **#Parallel Workshop**



INU Edizioni

Accademia  
Collana diretta da  
Francesco Domenico Moccia

Comitato scientifico:

Giuseppe De Luca, Università di Firenze

Paolo La Greca, Università di Catania

Brian Muller, University of Colorado Boulder

Marichela Sepe, CNR

Loris Servillo, Università di Lovanio

Silvia Viviani, INU

Athena Yiannakou, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki

Yodan Rofe, Università Ben Gurion di Negev

Oriol Nel·lo, Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona

Alessandro Sgobbo, Università Federico II

I volumi pubblicati in questa collana sono  
preventivamente sottoposti ad una doppia procedura di 'peer review'

Progetto grafico  
Valeria Coppola

Prodotto da  
INU Edizioni Srl  
Via Castro Dei Volsci 14  
00179 Roma  
Tel. 06 68134341 / 335-5487645  
inued@inuedizioni.it  
www.inuedizioni.com  
Iscrizione CCAA 81 4890/95  
Iscrizione al Tribunale di Roma 3563/95

Copyright  
INU Edizioni Srl  
È possibile riprodurre testi o immagini con espressa citazione della fonte

Finito di stampare  
Preprint Aprile 2024  
Officine Grafiche Francesco Giannini & Figli SpA

In copertina  
Hug. Napoli, San Francesco di Paola colonnades.  
(source: Marichela Sepe' archive)

INCLUSIVE CITIES AND REGIONS  
*TERRITOIRES INCLUSIFS*

14° Biennale of European Towns and Town Planners, Naples

Edited by  
Marichela Sepe

preprint  
**#Parallel Workshop**

INU Edizioni



Creating our futures

**ECTP-CEU**

European Council of Spatial Planners  
Conseil européen des urbanistes

**INU**

Istituto Nazionale  
di Urbanistica



14th BIENNALE  
OF EUROPEAN TOWNS  
AND TOWN PLANNERS  
**NAPOLI**

**Inclusive cities and regions/Territoires inclusifs**

22-24 April 2024 | DIARC University of Naples Federico II



## INCLUSIVE CITIES AND REGIONS/TERRITOIRES INCLUSIFS

Edited by Marichela Sepe

### Contents

#### Foreword

- 1 *Inclusive Places and People*

#### Introductions

- 3 The policies of inclusion and the advocacy of urban civilization  
*Michele Talia*
- 5 Inclusion dilemmas  
*Francesco Domenico Moccia*
- 7 From Migration and Inclusion to Inclusive cities and Regions  
*Giuseppe De Luca*

#### Keynote speeches

- 9 HOUSING CRISIS – after 1980's settlements reconversion and 2024 simplification  
*João Teixeira*
- 17 Social housing and benefits in Austria: an approach in creating inclusive and just settlements  
*Gerhard Vittinghoff*
- 13 ECTP-CEU's vision on inclusive cities and regions – territoires inclusifs  
*Markus Herdofer*
- 15 Inclusivity in an adaptive planning system  
*Janet Askew*

## I PART - Parallel Workshops

- 17 1. Regional issues and regional disparity
- 77 2. Metropolitan or city proposals
- 111 3. Urban regeneration and Public Spaces
- 327 4. Migration and cultural inclusion
- 353 5. Cultural heritage
- 421 6. Resilience and adaptation
- 507 7. New economic approaches, such as the circular economy
- 521 8. IT and the use of artificial intelligence in planning
- 557 9. Ports, airports and other infrastructures
- 581 10. Underground space

## II PART - Special Workshops

- 595 About Spatial Inclusivity. A perspective from URBinLAB, Lisbon
- 607 Enhancing urban regeneration and spatial justice with Nature-Based Solution
- 629 Inclusive public spaces for water cities facing climate change
- 653 "Italian UNESCO Chairs' vision and actions
- 665 A Transdisciplinary Approach to Placemaking and Inclusivity: COST Action Dynamics of Placemaking
- 679 Inclusive city Ecosystems
- 691 Youthbanism: for a New Generation of Urbanistsz
- 697 Fragile geographies.
- 719 Green Oasis for the 15 minutes city model
- 739 Making/unmaking urban circular economies with 'otherness'
- 753 Public space for inclusive cities: the Biennial of Public Space
- 771 Universal accessibility and university education, the knowledge network
- 783 Findings and Evidences from the PNRR project RETURN
- 799 River Contracts as voluntary and negotiated planning tools





## I PART

### Parallel Workshops

#### 1. REGIONAL ISSUES AND REGIONAL DISPARITY

*Coordinator:* Laura Fregolent

*Co-coordinator:* Valeria Lingua and Donato Di Ludovico

*Discussant:* Géza Salamin

*Co-discussant:* Maurizio Carta

- 18** Population concentration. Quantitative characterization by concentration indexes  
*Ricardo Alvira*
- 20** Weak Demand Areas in Italy. A mapping method according to the decree Transport Ministerial Decree n. 157/2018  
*Vito Martelliano, Carmelo Antonuccio*
- 22** Basilicata Climate Adaptation Strategy: The Province of Potenza contribution to EU Mission on Adaptation to CC  
*Alessandro Attolico, Rosalia Smaldone, Francesco Scorza, Rachele Vanessa Gatto, Simone Corrado*
- 24** Local Development in the Inner Areas. The Dominance of Tourism in SNAI Framework Programme Agreements  
*Francesco Conti*
- 26** Cultural Ecosystem Services and Community Engagement. The experience of Madonie Inner Area  
*Annalisa Giampino, Filippo Schilleci, Stefania Crobe*
- 28** From Patrick Geddes to the territorialist approach: the genealogy of inclusive planning  
*Federico Diodato*
- 30** Collaborative maintenance of housing quality for social, cultural and economic innovation in marginalised areas  
*Katia Fabbicatti, Michela Di Palo, Eleonora Petroni*
- 32** "Ecopolitan areas" as post-metropolitan model towards the ecological transition  
*Sandro Fabbro, Claudia Faraone*
- 34** Early school leaving and family risk factor  
*Melania Verde, Grazia Falzarano*
- 36** Territorial regeneration in non-metropolitan urban regions: a proposal for definition, strategies and tools of interventions  
*Giulia Fini, Marcello Modica*
- 38** Regeneration of fragile territories through the reactivation of local communities: a review of Italian best practices  
*Valeria Lingua, Valeria Francioli*
- 40** New actors and infrastructures for the Economic & Spatial inclusion and innovation within the European Research Area. A mapping in Italy and in Veneto Region  
*Vito Garramone, Carlo Federico Dall'Omo, Francesco Musco*
- 42** Exploring Tourism Ecosystem in planning practice  
*Rachele Vanessa Gatto, Simone Corrado, Giovanna Andrulli, Carmen Ligrani, Maria Teresa Ferrarese, Teresa Palladino, Francesca Perrone, Francesco Scorza*
- 44** Inter-municipal plan for an inner area. An experiment for fourteen municipalities in Garfagnana, Tuscany  
*Benedetta Biaggini, Giannino Biaggini, Riccardo Luca Breschi, Andrea Giraldi*
- 46** Insular regions and MaaS concept. Assessing spatial accessibility in Sardinia (Italy)  
*Mara Ladu, Ginevra Balletto, Tanja Congiu, Gianfranco Fancello*
- 48** Observing the youth housing crisis  
*Mariella Annese, Maria Raffaella Lamacchia, Nicola Martinelli*
- 50** The Territorial Plan (PTAV) of Forli-Cesena. A navigation map to generate system policies  
*Martina Massari, Valentina Orioli, Altea Panebianco*
- 52** Fight regional disparities by regulating the practice of collaborative housing. An approach to counteract speculative dynamics in the territories  
*Margherita Meta*

- 54** Settlement sustainability in less developed regions, a priority for regional balanced development. In providing a RBM framework for evaluating spatial plans  
*Samaneh Niazkhani, Esfandiar Zebardast, Angela Million*
- 56** Regional regeneration in disaster-affected areas  
*Giulia Pasetti, Donato Di Ludovico*
- 58** Soil sealing as ecosystem services' inhibitor. Processing of satellite data for coastal zone analysis  
*Annunziata Palermo, Lucia Chieffallo, Natalia Rispoli*
- 60** A gender issue in the urban policy  
*Lucia Saganeiti, Lorena Fiorini*
- 62** Flexible geography or instability? Changing spaces in Hungarian spatial planning  
*Géza Salamin, Márton Péti*
- 64** Building alliances for territorial rebalancing processes. A case study in Val d'Agri  
*Stefania Oppido, Valeria Catanese, Giuseppe Pace, Maria Scalisi, Gabriella Esposito*
- 66** Basilicata Climate Adaptation Strategy: A GEODESIGN Experimental Approach  
*Francesco Scorza, Rachele Vanessa Gatto, Simone Corrado*
- 68** Macro-territorial disparities analysis with a data-driven approach  
*Alessandro Seravalli, Davide Magurno, Mirko Gregorelli*
- 70** Regional foresight for an inclusive local decision making  
*Rosaria Trovato*
- 72** "España puede" and "Italia domani" against social fragmentation. Territorial planning approach's similarities and differences  
*Annunziata Palermo, Maria Columna Gracia Gómez, Gaetano Tucci, Lucia Chieffallo*
- 74** Exploring innovative strategies and approaches arising from EU funds, mechanisms and tools to counter regional and local disparities  
*Rodrigo Vielmo Moura*

## **Parallel Workshops**

### **2. METROPOLITAN OR CITY PROPOSALS**

*Coordinator: Martin Reents*

*Co-coordinator: Gianfranco Fiora Fiora, Carlo Gerundo*

*Discussant: Kent Håkull*

*Co-Discussant: Douglas Gordon*

- 78** Planning an eco-neighbourhood in Attica  
*Despina Amolohiti, Dimelli Despina*
- 80** Urban study of the Central Axis of Petržalka  
*Bohumil Kováč, Michal Czafík, Karol Görner, Lucia Štefancová Ladislav Benček, Štefan Hromada, Zdenko Kováč*
- 82** Territorial analysis tools for the construction of the Strategic Plan for Sustainable Development of Sappada - IT  
*Alessandro Massarente, Alessandro Tessari, Karla Cavallari*
- 84** Identifying and mapping proximity of services for students: a case study in the university city of Bologna  
*Silvia Bartolucci, Elisa Conticelli, Angela Santangelo*
- 86** The Search for planning a Global South metropolis: The Case of the 2014 São Paulo Master Plan, Brazil  
*Eduardo Alberto Cuscé Nobre*
- 88** Debt as Spatial Practice  
*Antonio di Campli*
- 90** LABMET - Metropolitan Innovation Laboratory of the Metropolitan City of Cagliari  
*Nicolò Fenu, Isabella Ligia, Paolo Mereu*
- 92** Urban and peri-urban agriculture (UPA): from benefits to planning. Results from EFUA H2020 project  
*Claudia Cassatella, Enrico Gottero*
- 94** APRIPISTA: Sustainable Urban Mobility Plan of Gagnano  
*Maria Somma, Andrea Graziano, Danny van Beusekom, Luca Zampieron, Teresa Abagnale, Aniello D'Auria*

- 96** Integrating Mobility Infrastructure, a European Topic. URBACT RiConnect network. Rethinking infrastructure for better metropolises  
*Joan Caba, Anna Majoral, Judith Recio and Mikel Berra, Noemí Martínez, Stela Salinas, Elena Argelich, Roland Krebs, Rosa Rull*
- 98** Territorial approach to river restoration: an investigation into multi-governance  
*Chiara Marasà*
- 100** City and health through improved school routes  
*Carmen Mota, Susana Aznar*
- 102** ITI/SUD tools and inclusivity. Urban regeneration in the Municipality of Piraeus  
*Emmanouela Tsagaki-Rekleitou*
- 104** Urban air mobility. From sectoral infrastructure to an integrated vision towards improved inclusion  
*Carlo Valorani, Maria Elisabetta Cattaruzza*
- 106** Right to the city through urban entropy and enthalpy. Life and death of the city  
*Fernando Visedo Manzanares*
- 108** The transformation of the coal and steel region  
*Ondrej Vyslouzil, Pavel Rihak, Vaclav Palicka*
- 110** Wheelchair sharing system – a place for people with difficulties walking in Portugal’s mobility public policies  
*Joana Coimbra, Paulo Silva*
- 114** Protected Areas and urban regeneration. An ÉcoQuartier in the Calanques National Park’s Adhesion Area  
*Laura Ricci, Alessandra Addressi*
- 116** Territories of reconstruction. New paradigms for the regeneration in Central Italy 2016  
*Francesco Alberti*
- 118** Campo Laudato si’ Caserta. Masterplan for the restoration and urban regeneration of the former Macricon area  
*Alvisi Kirimoto*
- 120** Urban exploration as a co-narration practice: the case of “Per Luoghi Comuni: Ex-Qualcosa” in San Giovanni a Teduccio, East Naples  
*Giorgia Arillotta, Sabrina Sacco, Piero Zizzania*
- 122** Green and Blue Infrastructure and Urban Planning  
*Alessandra Barresi*
- 124** Mobility for Urban Public Space. A study case between Naples and Barcelona  
*Adriana Bernieri*
- 126** Performing collective action for cultural urban regeneration projects. Early notes from a Barad and Schechner diffractive analysis  
*Vittoria Biasiucci, Maria Patrizia Vittoria*
- 128** Urban Regeneration and the Role of the Private Sector  
*Sveva Bocchini*
- 130** Designing with time. Chrono-urbanism and some of its declinations  
*Chiara Bocchino*
- 132** ROME: Capital of the CIPS  
*Francesco Bonanni*
- 134** Development games in the neglected urban areas  
*Aleksandra Jadach-Sepiolo, Maciej Borsa*

### **Parallel Workshops**

## **3. URBAN REGENERATION AND PUBLIC SPACES**

*Coordinator: Marichela Sepe*

- 112** Inclusive governance for water areas and coastal landscapes. Participatory planning in the Phlegraean Fields”  
*Antonio Acierno, Alessandra Pagliano, Ivan Pistone*

- 136** Urban regeneration and public space between the gaps of the dense city  
*Francesca Calace, Stefania Assenti*
- 138** Reclaiming Public space. The role of Cultural Heritage sites in Urban transformation  
*Greta Caliendo*
- 140** (how to) Draw a process. An Architectural storytelling of a social innovation case  
*Arianna Camellato*
- 142** “From the edge to the castle: sustainable mobility and connections of the third millennium” Municipality of Falconara Marittima - Ancona - Italy. The winning project of the two-stage design competition and drafting of the technical and economic feasibility study  
*Massimo Canesin, Omero Bassotti*
- 144** EMPaThY Spaces: creative ecosystem for a transfeminist city  
*Francesca Carion, Gaia Del Giudice, Marta Moracci, Federica Morra, Maria Scalisi, Livia Russo, Stefania Oppido, Stefania Ragozino, Gabriella Esposito de Vita*
- 146** IN.KIOSTRO. A Community Hub in the former St. Michael’s Cloister in Anacapri  
*Maria Cerreta, Laura Di Tommaso*
- 148** The “Villa del Sole” project. A green area without greenery anymore...  
*Teresa Cilona*
- 150** Tricase Porto | Hub of sea and land  
*F.Cofano, A. Montinaro, L. Beccarisi, S. Giammaruco, G.Coppola, P. Portaluri, V. Calabrese, C. Carluccio, A. Giammaruco, L. Sodano, A. De Nitto, F. Tinella,, P. Durante, Sara Sicuro*
- 152** Sport as a tool for urban and social regeneration.The story of the project: CivitaSnova  
*Marta Cognigni, Davide Allegri*
- 154** Towards a city of good dwelling  
*Paolo Colarossi, Francesco Colarossi, Sharon Anna Somma*
- 156** Inclusive Public City pilot project. Co-generative experimentations for design innovation  
*Angela Colucci, Anna Schellino, Antonella Cuppari*
- 158** Integrated design for urban and public space regeneration in the territories of Southern Italy  
*Chiara Corazziere, Vincenzo Gioffrè*
- 160** Urban public space at multiple risk.The Neapolitan case study of Via Caracciolo  
*Candida Cuturi e Marichela Sepe*
- 162** Urban production processes and the role of public space as a connective tissue: the case of Brandoa and Alfornelos, Lisbon  
*Maria Manuela da Fonte, Filipa Serpa, Ariana Marques*
- 164** Exploring the role of inclusion in railway hubs: a literature review over the last decade.  
*Ludovica Dangelo, Marika Fior*
- 166** The Playgrounds and the City. Towards the Reconstruction of Urban Life  
*Felice De Silva, Manuela Antoniciello*
- 168** Environmental Recovery and Urban Regeneration of the areas of significant national interest at the time of the PNRR. The commissioning of the Bagnoli-Coroglio area  
*Viviana Di Capua*
- 170** Designing cities for seniors: a vision of inclusivity. A Case Study in Vizille, France  
*Cecilia Di Marco*
- 172** Mouro’s Fountain square renewal. A nature-based solution public space  
*María Fandiño Iglesias*
- 174** ‘FUTURA’: A Strategic Masterplan for Salsomaggiore Terme  
*Emilio Faroldi, Maria Pilar Vettori*

- 176** Urban Regeneration in Italy: Unraveling the Legal Patchwork – Navigating Diverse Laws and Shaping a Unified Future  
*Annamaria Felli, Francesco Zullo, Chiara Cattani, Cristina Montaldi, Emilio Marziali, Gianni Di Pietro*
- 178** An Ecosystem-Service based approach for Spatial Planning: Strategies for the city of Varese  
*Federico Ghirardelli, Silvia Ronchi, Stefano Salata, Beatrice Mosso, Andrea Arcidiacono, Laura Pogliani*
- 180** Designing inclusion. Redefining paradigms, categories, and methods  
*Santiago Gomes, Javier Fernández Castro*
- 182** How much public space has my city got to offer?  
*Luciano G. Alfaya, Patricia Muniz-Nunez*
- 184** Regenerate collective spaces in social housing. A research and a case study in Metropolitan Area of Naples  
*Claudia De Biase, Giuseppe Guida, Chiara Bocchino, Antonetta Napolitano*
- 186** Future-proof community centres and its transformative role in large housing estates  
*Thomas Helfen*
- 188** Large Mine Sites Regeneration from the Perspective of Gender Health Perception. A case study of Xishan, Taiyuan, Shanxi Province, China  
*Zhizhong Hong, Ester Higuera García*
- 190** Improving the Livability of Contemporary Cities. The Role of Sports Infrastructure in Urban Regeneration  
*Ilva Hoxhaj*
- 192** Regeneration of disused areas: towards a new generation  
*Rosaria Iodice*
- 194** Development games in the neglected urban areas  
*Aleksandra Jadach-Sepiolo, Maciej Borsa*
- 196** Regeneration of Abandoned Transportation Landscapes within GI Framework for Sustainable, Inclusive and Resilient European Cities  
*Bilge Kobak*
- 198** Gewerbehöfe, an enduring legacy in Berlin. Unveiling the GoWest project  
*Christoph Kohl*
- 200** Delivering inclusivity and climate justice in our cities. TEK-Technology Entertainment and Knowledge District in Bologna  
*Stefania Anghinelli, Serena Girani, Chiara Introzzi, Sara Lodrini*
- 202** Urban Regeneration in the Contemporary Compact City  
*Enrico Losardo, Irene Poli*
- 204** From gray to green infrastructure. Rethinking the Lecco's waterfront  
*Nerantzia Tzortzi, Maria Stella Lux, Aurora Bosia*
- 206** Urban walks as a cognitive tool at the service of urban plans and for the promotion of sustainability in Catania  
*Gaetano Giovanni Daniele Manuele*
- 208** Religious Archaeology. New opportunities for urban attractiveness  
*Andrea Marcuccetti*
- 210** The railway line as territorial activation tool  
*Immacolata Paciolla, Amelia Laura Maris Ile, Ivan Negri, Danila Della Monica, Gianfranca Mastroianni*
- 212** "Il Borgo di Francesco", a biophilic design case study  
*Guglielmo Minervino, Antonio Caperna, Stefano Serafini, Bonaventura Poppiti*
- 214** Enhancement of urban green, the establishment of urban gardens and the recovery of abandoned land  
*Giovanni Misasi, Teresa Pandolfi, Domenico Passarelli*
- 216** Post-socialist New Belgrade blocks as a potential for inclusive territory  
*Nikola Mitrović*
- 218** Experimental governance and urban fragilities: Making cities through social-health therapies  
*Walter Molinaro*

- 220** Does the design of public spaces foster spatial inclusion?  
A survey on six roman squares  
*Francesca Paola Mondelli*
- 222** Art and culture to rediscover the city's spaces, between identity and memory. Outside the school, to re-appropriate the territory by exploring the city through its monuments and squares  
*Letizia Montalbano, Graziella Zizzo*
- 224** Territorial Regeneration of Mondeggi country estate.  
A project of inclusivity and regeneration  
*Laura Nanni*
- 226** Territorial sustainable regeneration: a case study  
*Claudia De Biase, Giada Limongi, Antonetta Napolitano*
- 228** PINQuA | RInaTA Paolo VI - Integrated Proposal for the regeneration and naturalization of the Paolo VI suburb of Taranto. The renovation and re-naturalization of Piazza della Liberazione  
*Simona Sasso, Francesca E. Nesca*
- 230** My Neighbourhood, My Street. Promoting active participation of citizens in the management of public spaces in Vila Nova de Gaia  
*Cristiana Nóbrega, Margarida Rocha, Catarina Pydzinska Azevedo*
- 232** The role of public space in the relationship between museum and contemporary city: the case of Barcelona  
*Manuel Orabona*
- 234** Exploring the potential of the 15-minute city model in the eastern neighbourhoods of Naples  
*Maria Federica Palestino, Stefano Cuntò*
- 236** Co-design public spaces of proximity The case of Rome's former fair  
*Livia Papagni, Leonardo Perna, Manuele Sun, Elena Tablò*
- 238** Towards a child-friendly city barriers to urban independence for children aged 7-10  
*Beata Patuszyńska*
- 240** Design for inclusivity. Guidelines for inclusive public space design  
*Luca Vandini, Annalaura Ciampi, Monica Malori, Enrica Perotti*
- 242** Reclaim and Exploring New Energy Scenarios for Eastern European Landscapes  
*Nicola Petaccia*
- 244** Integrating expertise in the circular urban project  
*Marina Rigillo, Libera Amenta, Anna Attademo, Sara Piccirillo, Rosaria Iodice, Federica Paragliola*
- 246** Completion and upgrade of the market and office complex within the framework of the Coordinated Plan for the improvement of the Tamburi district in the city of Taranto  
*Simona Sasso, Vincenzo Piccolo, Mariana Recchia*
- 248** Sustainable regeneration of public spaces for social inclusion in the western part of Santa Maria Capua Vetere  
*Antonio Acierno, Ivan Pistone*
- 250** Urban Planning and Design Journals in Europe. A new season?  
*Marco Mareggi, Laura Pogliani*
- 252** Inclusivity and public lighting of public spaces at night  
*Antonella Radicchi, Dietrich Henckel*
- 254** Integrating Equitable Climate Adaptation into Green Urban Regeneration. Infrastructural spaces as Inclusive and Nature-based Commons  
*Alessandro Raffa, Annalisa Percoco*
- 256** Redevelopment and upgrade of existing sports facilities within the framework of the Coordinated Plan for the improvement of the Tamburi district  
*Simona Sasso, Vincenzo Piccolo, Mariana Recchia*
- 258** Urban co-existence. Luigi Cosenza's ex Regional Milk Factory and Cupa Perillo's communities  
*Lorenzo Renzullo*
- 260** The street: walking and staying  
*Leonardo Rignanese*
- 262** Stories of proximity and collective spaces. Design History and urban regeneration of the 'Torrevecchia' neighbourhood in Rome  
*Flavio Graviglia, Aurora Riviezzo*

- 264** Environment, landscape, territory and community. Community Projects and River Contracts: taking care of the commons  
*Paola Rizzuto, Ferdinando Verardi, Antonio Leone, Domenico Passarelli*
- 266** The radical inclusivity of the pattern language approach  
*Yodan Rofé*
- 268** Making Place. Exploring potentials and limitations of temporary urbanism in Hong Kong  
*Francesco Rossini*
- 270** Living in the City in the Third Age  
*Maria Argenti, Anna Bruna Menghini, Francesca Sarno*
- 272** STEP UP - Walkability for Women in Milan. A Data-driven Approach to Assess the Level of Walkability for Women  
*Rawad Choubassi, Lamia Abdelfattah, Andrea Gorrini, Federico Messa, Lily Scarponi, Catalina Valenzuela, Gerardo Carpentieri, Carmen Guida, Valerio Martinelli, Tonia Stiuso, Floriana Zucaro, Florencia Natalia Andreola, Laura Da Re, Azzurra Muzzonigro, Carlos Cañas, Eleonora Gargiulo, Jim Walker*
- 274** Associations and Community Planning Processes: a case study  
*Alfredo Pensabene, Marco Picone, Valeria Scavone*
- 276** PINQuA: integrated actions to increase spatial and social cohesion in Taranto's Paolo VI neighbourhood  
*Simona Sasso, Federica Mitrangolo, Antonio Angelini, Alessandro Sangermano, Angelo Semidai, Francesca Nesca*
- 278** The DE-Sign Urban Lab. The pilot case of the City of Cosenza and energy efficiency as a driver for social inclusion, resilience and integrated urban regeneration  
*Domenico Passarelli, Ferdinando Verardi, Ilaria Bertini, Ilaria Sergi, Maria Anna Segreto, Guido Maurizio Urbani*
- 280** Urban regeneration and inclusive public spaces. A project for the new urban park in San Lorenzo Village in L'Aquila  
*Camilla Sette*
- 282** Controversial multi-actor urban regeneration practices: The case of the historic centre of Palermo  
*Vincenzo Todaro, Salvatore Siringo*
- 284** GREENERize Post Industrial Landscape. From the industrial city to the urban ecosystem  
*Luisa Smeragliuolo Perrotta, Nahuel Garcia Pastor*
- 286** (In)effectiveness of planning: which perspective for Pietralata?  
*Sharon Anna Somma, Romina D'Ascanio, Ana Luiza Milanese, Anna Laura Palazzo*
- 288** The project of a pedestrian network for a city on a human scale. Reggio Calabria no longer unsustainable  
*Domenico Passarelli, Francesco Suraci, Antonio Macchione*
- 290** Revitalizing urban spaces. A synergistic approach between school spaces and the city  
*Nicola Martinelli, Roberta Tenerelli*
- 292** A green line for the FS Torre Annunziata – Cannello. Regeneration of the territory through a linear park  
*Anna Terracciano*
- 294** Slow Urban Planning. Improvising a performative urbanism or a social reanimation of the abandoned city of Tribsees!  
*Ton Matton*
- 296** The Convivialità Urbana Award  
*Grazia Torre, Chiara Franchetti*
- 298** City space and children's skills. New uses of urban space in the Noce neighborhood of Palermo  
*Ferdinando Trapani*
- 300** Student Housing as a driver of Urban Regeneration. The case of Libertà district in Bari  
*Giovanna Mangialardi, Nicola Martinelli, Angelica Triggiano*
- 302** How will we live together?  
*Davide Tumiati*
- 304** An inclusive and breathing intergenerational city. New green spaces on the underground area of the Ferrovie Nord in Busto Arsizio  
*Michele Ugolini, Caterina Gallizioli, Beatrice Basile, Francesca Ripamonti, Francesco Occhiuto, Maria Maddalena Reggio, Amanzio Farris*

- 306** Urban regeneration as a paradigm of public city project: experimentation of an intervention model  
*Marilù Vaccaro*
- 308** ParticipatiOn Wheels. A playful tool for urban participatory planning  
*Eirini Vallindra, Georgia Manousogiannaki*
- 310** Social Return on Investment (SROI) applications on urban planning for conscious decision-making. The VARCITIES project approach  
*Elisa-Elena Vasiliu, Sara Biancifiori, Adriano Bisello*
- 312** The regeneration of semi-confined public spaces in disadvantaged contexts for social inclusion and the well-being of children  
*Anna Rita Villano, Donatella Diano, Katia Fabbri*
- 314** How to do urban regeneration with certification protocols for a more inclusive sustainable urban district  
*Marco Volpatti, Elena Mazzola, Marta Carla Bottero, Adriano Bisello*
- 316** Multiple benefits analysis to support urban regeneration. A practical tool to support project implementation and acceptance  
*Irene Bertolami, Marco Volpatti, Adriano Bisello*
- 318** Quarter at the former Flower Market Berlin  
*Ulrike Böhm, Katja Benfer, Cyrus Zahiri*
- 320** Shaping the inclusive participatory processes for urban transformation. Grunwaldzka Avenue Development Study, Gdańsk, Poland  
*Piotr Lorens*
- 322** ESG principles and urban regeneration. A framework for sustainable cities  
*Antonio Bocca, Lorenzo Massimiano*
- 323** Innovative practices for sustainable development of resistant communities  
*Emanuela Coppola, Gaia Del Giudice, Eleonora Giovane di Girasole*

- 324** Social enterprises as activators of innovation processes. The case of Liberitutti in Barriera di Milano, Torino  
*Daniele Caccherano, Erika Mattarella, Elena Camilla Pede, Flaviano Zandonai*
- 325** The Participatory GIS as a tool to support decision-making processes  
*Simona Stella, Massimo Clemente*

### **Parallel Workshops**

## **4. MIGRATION AND CULTURAL INCLUSION**

*Coordinator: Angela Barbanente*

*Co-coordinator: Gilda Berruti*

*Discussant: Marçel Pidalà*

- 328** A realistic geography of the presence of migrants in the city of Naples: shop owners and street vendors  
*Antonia Arena*
- 330** Cidade de acolhimento\_host city  
*Ana Bordalo, José Carvalho, Vitor Alves*
- 332** The gender gap in participatory processes: exploring the River Agreement as a tool for investigation  
*Elisa Caruso*
- 334** The cross-border urban space. Urban forms and territorial identities in European cross-border cities  
*Fabiola Cristalli*
- 336** From formal to informal in the border city. Miserabilia. The tax-selective formalism crumbles  
*Silvia Dalzero*
- 338** Designing the city of poor  
*Andrea Di Giovanni*
- 340** Neo-Population: A Potential Remedy for the Depopulation Crisis in Inner Regions  
*Diksha Dody*



- 342** Intersecting Rights: Immigrants in Urban Realities  
*Maryam Karimi, Grazia Concilio, Aliaksandra Rameika, Giorgia Trasciani*
- 344** Migration, Social Transformations and Impact on Urban Attractiveness: Riace as a Model of Inclusive Urban Planning  
*Silvia Paldino, Ferdinando Verardi*
- 346** Mental Mapping for New Urban Perspectives  
*Sanja Platisa*
- 348** The inclusion of migrants through gastronomic and cultural markets  
*Manuel Sanches*
- 350** Embracing social and cultural Diversity in Eleusis: Exploring Possible Composite Tourism experiences After the ECOC 2021 title  
*Panagiota Tsolakaki, Sofia Avgerinou Kolonias*
- 352** Scenario's planning participative workshop as tools for inclusive policies for inhabitants and citizens  
*Andrea Marçel Pidalà*
- Parallel Workshops**
- 5. CULTURAL HERITAGE**  
*Coordinator: Maria Somma*  
*Co-coordinator: Carlo Gerundo*  
*Discussant: Martin Baloga*
- 354** PINQuA: the conversion of an abandoned Palazzo into student housing to regenerate a portion of Taranto's Città Vecchia  
*Simona Sasso, Giovanni Carbotti, Antonio Angelini*
- 356** Historic Urban Landscape and Cultural Diversities  
*Mariarosaria Angrisano, Ferdinando Verardi*
- 358** Restoration and enhancement of the Historic Gardens of the Monumental Complex of the ex-Monastery of Saints Severino and Sossio in Naples  
*Alessandro Seravalli, Paola Caselli*
- 360** Assessing the reuse compatibility of cultural heritage for the built environment regeneration  
*Francesca Ciampa, Stefania De Medici*
- 362** Unveiling Urban Narratives. The "Pop Up City" project in the city of Formia, Italy  
*Diana Ciufo*
- 364** Visual Sensitivity: towards more culturally-inclusive planning approaches  
*Jessica Comino*
- 366** BNB-COMMON. "Bnbificazione" of cities  
*Silvia Dalzero*
- 368** The Future of Post Extractive Mining Ruins  
*René Davids*
- 370** Forms and signs of the city drawing (aesthetic lexie)  
*Andrea Donelli*
- 372** Approaching "Athenian Modernism" with sensitivity in the linear park of Fokionos Negri Street  
*Georgina Eleftheraki*
- 374** Cultural accessibility to overcome physical, cognitive and sensorial barriers  
*Maurizio Francesco Errigo, Irene Poli*
- 376** Coastal heritage for adaptive and inclusive strategies. The case of the Trabocchi Coast along the mid-Adriatic  
*Lia Fedele, Angelica Nanni*
- 378** Effects of religious practices on the urban planning through time on the case of Rome and Christianity  
*Daria Fontana*
- 380** Participatory incubator of cultural initiatives within a local development strategy for the "Sicani" area in Sicily  
*Alejandro Gana-Núñez, Vincenzo Todaro*

- 382** Mapping the Intangible: an interdisciplinary exploration of transhumance cultural landscape  
*Ilaria Gesualdi, Mariacristina Mona*
- 384** Local development and the regeneration of the historic architectural heritage: case studies of historic buildings in Taranto  
*Simona Sasso, Vincenzo Piccolo, Cristina Giannico*
- 386** Visualizing Cities: Making Cultural Heritage Inclusive  
*Kristin Love Huffman, Andrea Giordano*
- 388** Resilience as a cultural heritage value. Planning scenarios for the Libarna archaeological site  
*Michela Benente, Ombretta Caldarice, Benedetta Giudice, Irene Ruiz Bazán*
- 390** The Historic Town as vector of the urban development  
*Sofia Avgerinou Kolonias, Alvaro Gómez-Ferrer Bayo*
- 392** Recreating agricultural production to restore a heritage landscape. The Reine's Vineyard WHS (Turin, Italy)  
*Claudia Cassatella, Enrico Gottero*
- 394** Historic infrastructure and urban regeneration. Bottom-up approach for an identity-inclusive city  
*Paola N. Imbesi*
- 396** Food Plants Consumed and Grown in Salvador, Brazil  
*Isabel Maria Madaleno*
- 398** Tecniche Sapienti Suite. Mapping the legacy of Italian women designers  
*Claudia Mattogno*
- 400** BARIUM. A new model of knowledge and preservation of the city  
*Nicola Cavallera, Dario Monsellato, Nicolò Montuori, Francesco Paolo Protomastro*
- 402** Landscape design as an extension of welfare  
*Marco Patruno*
- 404** Cultural heritage as an interpretative framework to address new global challenges  
*Thomas Pepino*
- 406** Cultural Heritage and UN Agenda 2030 Territorialization through Innovative Inclusive Tourism Strategies  
*Gabriella Pultrone*
- 408** The Cultural Heritage of Cordoba and Naples boost Climate and Social sustainability actions  
*Rosa Romano, Marika Fior, Gaia Redaelli*
- 410** Cultural heritage as a tool of resilience and adaptation in minor historic centers planning strategies  
*Fiammetta Fanizza, Francesco Rotondo*
- 412** Sicani: where marginality meets cultural heritage through the place-based approach  
*Desiree Saladino*
- 414** Policy and regeneration of Italian historic villages  
*Camilla Salve, Alessandra Tosone, Donato di Ludovico*
- 416** The new archaeological park of Liternum as a booster for urban regeneration  
*Francesco Stefano Sammarco, Anna Terracciano*
- 418** From the International Documents for the Protection of Cultural Heritage to the project lines of Integrated Restoration of historical settlements  
*Caterina Sergi*

### Parallel Workshops

## **6. RESILIENCE AND ADAPTATION**

*Coordinator: Claudia Cassatella*

*Co-coordinator: Emanuela Coppola*

*Discussant: Domenico Passarelli*

*Co-discussant: Massimo Sargolini*

**422** Magna Græcia 2049. An example of Ecological Transition process to build a spatial, social and economic resilient inclusion  
*William Marco Aiello*

**424** #Manilva Plan n'2030 towards a Local Urban Agenda  
*n'UNDO.org*

- 426** A new methodology for multi-sector and harmonized urban and energy planning in small and medium-sized cities  
*Alice Borsari, Adriano Bisello*
- 428** Climate Justice and Spatial Planning  
*Richard Blyth*
- 430** A contribution to assess urban open spaces under the point of view of urban heat islands  
*Marzia Lai, Alessandra Casu*
- 432** Urban strategic projects towards resilient climateproof towns: some proposals for Lisbon waterfront  
*Alessandra Casu, Guglielmo Ricciardi, Ilenia Zaccagna*
- 434** Increasing climate resilience through participatory adaptive planning  
*Lucia Chieffallo, Annunziata Palermo*
- 436** Aniene. The other river. From climate adaptation actions to urban regeneration: the making of an Environmental Centrality  
*Laura Di Fiandra, Marika Fior, Paolo Galuzzi*
- 438** Boosting the local energy transition. The Living Lab of León (Spain)  
*Alejandra Duarte Montes, Miguel Fernández-Maroto, Juan Luis de las Rivas*
- 440** A simulation-based tool for environmentally hazard-conscious urban design  
*Federico Eugeni, Gennaro Zanfardino, Donato Di Ludovico*
- 442** A new terraced waterfront on the Mar Piccolo within the framework of the Coordinated Plan for the improvement of the Tamburi district in the city of Taranto  
*Simona Sasso, Vincenzo Piccolo, Valentina Falcone*
- 444** Regeneration and redesign of public spaces within the framework of the Coordinated Plan for the improvement of the Tamburi district in the city of Taranto  
*Simona Sasso, Vincenzo Piccolo, Valentina Falcone*
- 446** Regi Lagni and hydraulic mitigation works. Adaptation and new uses in the regeneration project for the S. Sossio basin in Marigliano (NA)  
*Anna Terracciano, Giovanna Ferramosca*
- 448** From the “Intelligent Buildings” program to the new “Intelligent Cities” urban design methodology  
*G. Tiziana Gallo*
- 450** Evaluating Urban Walkability. A Thermal Comfort Based Approach  
*Alessandro Pedrazzoli, Simone Grasso, Giulia Ceccarelli, Marianna Zuretti, Dante Presicce, Rawad Choubassi*
- 452** Digital Seascapes: Can we use digital technologies for engaging coastal communities in building marine citizenship?  
*Ashita Gupta, Katharine Willis*
- 454** Integrating Human-Centric Care and Accessibility: Designing Inclusive Residential Spaces for Special Children in Economically Underdeveloped Regions of China  
*Zhouyan He*
- 456** Sustainable reconstruction of the Ahr valley in Rhineland-Palatinate, Germany  
*Svenja Knuffke, Lutz Kraemer-Heid*
- 458** Mapping deficit areas for adaptation planning. Insights from flood protection ecosystem service in an Italian case study  
*Alessandra Longo, Linda Zardo, Davide Longato*
- 460** Policies, Strategies, and Projects for Sustainable Urban Regeneration. A comparative analysis of France and Germany  
*Laura Ricci, Carmela Mariano, Marsia Marino*
- 462** A participatory approach to increase urban resilience while addressing seismic vulnerability in six Adriatic-Ionian countries  
*Giulia Marzani, Angela Santangelo, Simona Tondelli*
- 464** Renewable Energy Communities: a strategy for energy transition. The Municipality of Rome’s experience  
*Fabiana Cambiaso, Giuseppe Milano*

- 466** Taranto Green Belt: building a resilient city through the re-naturalization of public areas and the enhancement of local environmental assets  
*Simona Sasso, Federica Mitrangolo, Alessandro Sangermano, Simone Marchesi*
- 468** The Barrow Blueway. A Spatial Plan for Sustainable Tourism Development  
*Helen Mulhall*
- 470** The evolving spatial practices, in physical and virtual public spaces, amidst the COVID-19 crisis: increased risk and reflexivity among Arab Druze women in Israel  
*Sally Nabwani Kawass, Efrat Eizenberg, Yosef Jabareen*
- 472** Land use transformations and the new ecological values: from conflict to innovation  
*Olga Giovanna Paparusso*
- 474** Heat waves and Urban Adaptation: the potential of public amenities as climate shelters  
*Elena Camilla Pede, Luca Staricco*
- 476** Data-driven planning research methodologies to guide the trajectories of urban and territorial transitions, fostering heightened resilience and sustainability  
*Francesca Moraci, Carmelina Bevilacqua, Pasquale Pizzimenti*
- 478** The Community Engaged-Research for the Transitions to Inclusive and Just Cities. Reflections from an experience in Canada  
*Elisa Privitera*
- 480** The scales of territory  
*Sonia Puente Landázuri, Teresa Táboas Veleiro, Matxalen Acasuso Atutxa, Natalia Brener Maceiras, Elvira Carregado Pazos, Mariángel López, Amado and Aurea Soto Vázquez*
- 482** The new Urban Forest in Tamburi: a Phytoremediation project at the core of Coordinated Plan for the regeneration of the district  
*Simona Sasso, Mariana Recchia*
- 484** Enhancing Climate Resilience. The Metropolitan Perspective in Italian spatial planning system  
*Vittoria Ridolfi, Filippo Magni*
- 486** Voluntary planning as a key approach for climate responsive measures  
*Luigi Santopietro, Filomena Pietrapertosa, Monica Salvia, Francesco Scorza*
- 488** Resilience processes in mountain areas: the innovative experience in the Ligurian Alps  
*Federica Corrado, Gemma Santoro*
- 490** Restoration of the Aragonian walls and Seafront promenade: projects between past and future in Taranto  
*Simona Sasso, Vincenzo Piccolo, Cristina Giannico*
- 492** Identification of suitable sites for Green Hydrogen Production from petroleum wastewater  
*Rossella Scorzelli, Shiva Rahmani, Michela Delfino, Francesco Scorza, Beniamino Murgante*
- 494** Risk-oriented knowledge of urban settlements towards climate adaptation  
*Antonio Sferratore, Sara Verde, Maria Fabrizia Clemente, Mario Losasso*
- 496** Overcoming contamination in urban context. Phytoremediation in the Metropolitan area of Milan  
*Maria Chiara Pastore, Claudia Parenti, Lucia Ludovici, Laura Sibani*
- 498** Urban networks and environmental resilience. The role of fractal urban aggregate  
*Ferdinando Verardi*
- 500** Beyond the conflict. Planning a logistics area with an OOO approach  
*Mattia Bertin, Eugenia Vincenti*
- 502** Urban Climate Change. Mitigation, Adaptation, and Disaster Risk Reduction – a Review on their joint use for Spatial Resilience  
*Silvio Cristiano, Carlo Pisano*

- 503** Human approach for the third millennium  
*Maria Lodovica Delendi*
- 504** Urban forestry project in L'Aquila: urban regeneration and green reconnection  
*Chiara Di Dato*
- 505** Supporting Critical Entities Resilience to natural risks through urban planning  
*Veronica Gazzola*

- 518** Sustainable Urban Energy Communities. A model for eco-social regeneration of Mediterranean metropolis  
*Alessandro Sgobbo*

### **Parallel Workshops**

## **8. IT AND THE USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN PLANNING**

*Coordinator: Adriano Bisello*

*Co-coordinator: Michele Grimaldi*

*Discussant: José María Ezquiaga*

### **Parallel Workshops**

## **7. NEW ECONOMIC APPROACHES, SUCH AS THE CIRCULAR ECONOMY**

*Coordinator: Luc-Émile Bouche-Florin*

*Co-Coordinator: Alessandro Sgobbo*

*Discussant: Vít Řezáč*

- 508** PROPELing a Social Cooperative into a Positive Energy District  
*Nicolas Caballero, Irene Bertolami, Elisa Vasiliu, Giovanni Dalle Nogare, Adriano Bisello*
- 510** Exploring transition to Circular Economy: a collaborative design approach for innovative urban hubs for waste management  
*Chiara Castellano Federica Paragliola, Maria Fabrizia Clemente, Ermina Attaianese, Marina Rigillo*
- 512** Circular Economy strategies for Adaptive Reuse of Borgo San Leonardo in Carlentini  
*Carla Di Giorgio, Maria Rita Pinto, Pasquale De Toro*
- 514** Inclusive approaches for circular soil management and territorial restoration in marginalized areas  
*Elena Ferraioli*
- 516** Governance and management of European rural development policies: new perspectives for the circular economy in Sardinia  
*Anna Maria Colavitti, Virginia Onnis and Sergio Serra*
- 522** Generative Urban Design (GUD): Towards the Post-Urban Project  
*Fabio Andreassi, Fabio Naselli, Cinzia Barbara Bellone*
- 524** Inclusiveness and participation: artificial intelligence as a planning tool  
*Monica Buonocore*
- 526** App for an inclusive P.E.B.A. Crowdmapping architectural barriers with an IT tool  
*Francesco Carbone, Maria Venditti*
- 528** Community validation in generative-AI mapping. The pilot project fAIr by OSM and Microsoft in Uganda, Tanzania, Kenya and Nigeria  
*Chiara Centanaro, Emanuele Sommariva*
- 530** Beyond Boundaries: GeoAI for Informed Urban and Territorial Decision-Making  
*Simone Corrado, Francesco Scorza*
- 532** Alternative Public Space. A Community-Driven Future  
*Mustapha El Moussaoui*
- 534** Cities and New Science: Challenges and Opportunities of AI in Planning  
*Paolo Fusero, Maura Mantelli, Lorenzo Massimiano*

- 536** Digital platforms for urban issues and governance: dilemmas and challenges. An overview  
*Vito Garramone*
- 538** Addressing urban retail in data models. Measuring change in a dynamic activity that impacts urban life  
*Barros Guerton, Javier*
- 540** Eco-Fusion: Bridging Architecture and Nature in Urban Design  
*Panagiota Koulali, Anastasios Tellios*
- 542** Cybernetic Urbanism: Digital Twins on Artificial Neural Network  
*Angelica Rocco*
- 544** The Regional Digital Twin as support for innovative multi-risk planning  
*Sara Sacco, Federico Eugeni, Donato Di Ludovico*
- 546** Beyond Data Extractivism: Convivial Approach in AI-Enhanced Urban Planning  
*Sabrina Sacco*
- 548** Augmented reality as an innovative tool for citizen participation in planning: Results of the 5G-CityVisAR research project  
*Marco Volpatti, Marta Carla Bottero, Adriano Bisello*
- 550** Algorithms based on the Casual Loop Diagram to identify problems supporting the urban regeneration process  
*Marco Volpatti, Marta Carla Bottero, Adriano Bisello*
- 552** Informative city modeling and augmented reality: the City Augmented Reality for the Environment-CARE project  
*Ida Zingariello, Romano Fistola*
- 554** A “phigital ecomuseum” of the territorial heritage for the House of Emerging Technologies of Campobasso (MolisCTE)  
*Luciano De Bonis, Giovanni Ottaviano*
- 555** AI approach of emotion detection for multi-risk analysis in urban context  
*Vittorio Miraglia, Barbara Cardone, Ferdinando Di Martino*

## **Parallel Workshops**

### **9. PORTS, AIRPORTS AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURES**

*Coordinator: Angel Burov*

*Co-coordinator: Eleonora Giovene*

*Discussant: Lidiia Chyzhevska*

*Co-discussant: Massimo Clemente*

- 558** An Alternative Sustainable Future for Vlora: An Integrated Decision-Support System for a Green Smart Port City  
*Giuditta Mikhail, Gaetano Tortorella, Andrea Paglialunga, Giuseppe D’Aniello, Massimo Savino, Maria Cerreta*
- 560** City-Port interface planning. An integrated approach applied to Brindisi  
*Giuseppe Ciciriello, Francesca Calace*
- 562** Port heritage enhancement for sustainable development: the case of Naples, Salerno and Castellammare port cities  
*Massimo Clemente, Gaia Daldanise, Benedetta Ettore, Eleonora Giovene di Girasole*
- 564** Integrating UAV Infrastructures in Urban and Rural Landscapes: A Framework for Sustainable and Inclusive Development  
*Stefano Cunietti, Chiara Sammarco, Ilaria Ferrando, Domenico Sguerso*
- 565** Integration between land-use and climate action for port cities. The case study of Port of Hamburg  
*Irina Di Ruocco*
- 568** The culture of integration for the sustainable development of port cities. The case of Livorno as a national best practice  
*Massimo Clemente, Benedetta Ettore*
- 570** Exploring unrevealed land-maritime borders in the Eastern Mediterranean through infrastructures  
*Carlotta Giordani*
- 572** Between protection and land development: evaluation of a strategy  
*Federica Isola, Federica Leone*

- 574** Plan of the Tourist Port Network of Sardinia  
*Italo Meloni, Massimiliano Ponti, Giuliana Caruso, Massimiliano Mongelli*
- 576** Strategies, functional taxonomy, and typologies for planning city-port interaction areas. The complex relationship between city and port: towards integrated planning  
*Carmen Mariano, Maria Racioppi*
- 578** The role of railways toward sustainable and cohesive territories. An integrated approach for the territorial impact assessment  
*Mario Tartaglia, Serena Martini, Chiara Ravagnan, Chiara Amato*

## Parallel Workshops

### **10. UNDERGROUND SPACE**

*Coordinator: Bjorn Bonello*

*Co-Coordinator: Markus Hedorfer*

*Discussant: Elizabeth Reynolds*

- 582** VCHM – Venice Central Hub Mestre  
*Gianfranco Gramola, Markus Hedorfer, Matteo Montagner, Donatella Schiuma, Roberto Misceo*
- 584** Landscape of disruption. Outcome of telluric actions in the territory of Rome  
*Ilaria Maurelli*
- 586** Underground Built Heritage as catalyser of urban regeneration. Case-studies in the Naples Metropolitan area  
*Giuseppe Pace, Gabriella Esposito, Luisa Fatigati*
- 588** It's getting crowded: Managing underground resource utilization through a network perspective  
*Katrin Pakizer, Fabienne Sierro*
- 590** Including all the layers – making better places from the (under) ground up  
*Elizabeth Reynolds*
- 592** Potential of public underground car park reconversions in European cities  
*Rosina Vinyes- Ballbé*





# Foreword

## Inclusive Places and People

*Marichela Sepe\**

The Biennial of European Towns and Town Planners is an event of the European Council of Urban Planners ECTP-CEU which aims at discussing the main issues in the European debate relating to urban planning by sharing them with urban planners, architects, engineers, economists, sociologists, historians of architecture, citizens, politicians, private and non-governmental organizations (NGOs).

Since the first edition in 1995, problems have been debated at the Biennials, experiences have been exhibited and alternative solutions have been proposed.

The theme chosen for the 14<sup>th</sup> Edition, organized from 22 to 24 April 2024 in Naples in the offices of the Department of Architecture of the Federico II University, with the INU – Italian National Institute of Urban Planning as the main organizer, is Inclusive Cities and Regions/ Territoires inclusifs. Inclusion is understood in its multiple declinations that define the 10 general themes of this Biennial – Regional issues and regional disparity, Metropolitan or city proposals, Urban regeneration and Public Spaces, Migration and cultural inclusion, Cultural heritage, Resilience and adaptation, New economic approaches, IT and the use of artificial intelligence in planning, Ports, airports and other infrastructures, Underground space – and in further ones proposed by the participants who further specify the general themes namely About Spatial Inclusivity, Urban regeneration and spatial justice with Nature-Based Solution, Inclusive public spaces for water cities facing climate change, “Italian UNESCO Chairs’ vision and actions, A Transdisciplinary Approach to Placemaking and Inclusivity: COST Action Dynamics of Placemaking, Inclusive city Ecosystems, Youthbanism for a New Generation of Urbanists, Fragile geographies. Green Oasis for the 15 minutes city model, Making/unmaking urban circular economies with ‘otherness’, Public space for inclusive cities: the Biennial of Public Space, Universal accessibility and university education, the knowledge network, Findings and Evidences from the PNRR project RETURN, and River Contracts as voluntary and negotiated planning tools.

The works presented by administrators, professionals, academics and researchers who responded to the call concern projects, policies and research that have international interest and, at the same time, attention to the local, all at different scales.

It is possible, from this vastness of topics, to understand the broad discussion that resulted, outlining new interested subjects and involved actors, as well as new possible intersections of themes.

The theme of inclusion is in fact recalled in all the United Nation 17 Sustainable Goals, as well as in the principles of the New Urban Agenda adopted in 2016 during the III Un-Habitat Conference in Quito to underline the need for a holistic vision of this concept.

Among these, the principle 37. “We commit ourselves to promoting safe, inclusive, accessible, green and quality public spaces, including streets, sidewalks and cycling lanes, squares, waterfront areas, gardens and parks, that are multifunctional areas for social interaction and inclusion, human health and well-being, economic exchange and cultural expression and dialogue among a wide diversity of people and cultures, and that are designed and managed to ensure human development and build peaceful, inclusive and participatory societies, as well as to promote living together, connectivity and social inclusion”; and the principle 40. “We commit ourselves to embracing diversity in cities and human settlements, to strengthening social cohesion, intercultural dialogue and understanding, tolerance, mutual respect, gender equality, innovation, entrepreneurship, inclusion, identity and safety, and the dignity of all people, as well as to fostering liveability and a vibrant urban economy. We also commit ourselves to taking steps to ensure that our local institutions promote pluralism and peaceful coexistence within increasingly heterogeneous and multicultural societies”.

In this sense, inclusion must be understood as a set of actions aimed not only at ensuring that each place and/or person is considered adequately within societies and territories,

\* 14<sup>th</sup> Biennale Local Chair, DICEA-Sapienza Università di Roma, marichela.sepe@uniroma1.it

but also at modifying any prejudices that could – in some way – discriminate against places and /or people compared to others. Accordingly, the actions – in their broadest sense – must be both material and immaterial; any policy, if is not accompanied by a participation process within all the involved – even potentially – subjects will not have lasting effects over time.

Accessibility and the elimination of architectural barriers, for example, will be able to guarantee that people with disabilities can enter in a place but not that they wholly feel comfortable and accepted in it if, for example, in addition to being able to access that space, no recreational activities are available, that they can access and make them all feel truly included.

Likewise, urban planning projects aimed at making geographically internal places more inclusive in terms of physical connections will not be able to have the expected results if this is not preceded by actions aimed at creating a greater attachment of people to those places in terms of memory and proximity. traditions.

And this attitude will also have to refer to physical infrastructures and – even more – to the more innovative virtual ones – such as the artificial intelligence – which will increasingly have to support people with different abilities in an equity perspective.

Finally, I return to those who consult this catalogue the wealth of contents expressed in the 14th edition of the Biennale to use, share, and rework them, each for their own interests.

# Introductions

## The policies of inclusion and the advocacy of urban civilization

*Michele Talia\**

The European city has been a great incubator of human history for many centuries. It is still the driving center of economic, social and cultural development, but after playing a fundamental role in socialization, it now seems to have lost its ability to foster aggregation and social inclusion.

This withdrawal from exercising a fundamental role in developing the capacity to adapt to social change and the new challenges of contemporary society occurs paradoxically at the very time when the urban condition tends to constitute the dominant character of our continent. In the European Union, more than two-thirds of the population now lives in urban areas, and this corresponds to a concentration of 85 percent of GDP and about 80 percent of energy consumption.

Since social networks have a significant impact on the formation of the identity of local communities, the crisis of integration and social inclusion processes risks translating at the same time into a profound alteration of identity processes.

As social networks have a significant impact on individual and collective identity, the crisis of social integration and inclusion processes is likely to simultaneously result in a profound alteration of self-representation patterns.

The main crisis spots are caused by the deterioration of relations between the urban center and the periphery, where there is growing social polarization, driven by de-industrialization and increasingly extensive globalization processes. Here we are witnessing the emptying of entire urban suburbs of productive activities and urban provisions that could have played an essential role in fostering improved urban quality and greater social cohesion.

The main crisis spots are caused by the deterioration of relations between urban center

and periphery, where there is growing social polarization, driven by de-industrialization and increasingly extensive globalization processes.

In particular, we are witnessing the desertification of entire urban districts, whose productive activities and urbanistic endowments could have played an essential role in fostering improved urban quality and increased social cohesion.

Among the dynamics between the center and the suburbs that the post-industrial crisis has accelerated is a growing impetus to "gentrification," namely the "colonization" of degraded urban areas by economically affluent individuals or households. The cost of such processes is the expulsion of residents, the geometric increase in property values, and the radical change in its social composition.

Therefore, globalization is creating a new emerging class of managers, politicians, scientists, artists, entertainment and sports people who represent a cosmopolitan bourgeoisie that moves easily and marks global cities with its presence. At the same time, it is still cities that absorb most of the impact of emigration from the global South.

Spatial mobility thus concerns the extremes of social classes: on the one hand, the globalized bourgeoisie, on the other hand, people fleeing poverty and wars and settling in the old, pre-existing urban fabric, often affected by deindustrialization and loss of social cohesion.

Although it has happened that public policies have attempted to counter the processes of marginalization, not only the current polarization of economic welfare, but also a crisis in the welfare system that appears beyond repair and a European Union increasingly alarmed by the entry of massive flows of migrants cause us to look with concern at the future of the city on our continent.

\* President of the National Institute of Town Planning

For all these reasons, the main topics proposed for discussion by 14th Biennial of European Cities and Town Planners urge us to imagine a different tomorrow, in which the widespread tendency towards the regeneration of the public city can contribute to a paradigm shift, capable of guaranteeing social integration and putting the best energies of society back into motion. To get out of the crisis, we need to change the idea of the city, reconstructing the public city and urban welfare, and identifying some possible paths that could be adopted to make urban society more fair and cohesive.

Starting from an initial consideration of the values and meanings to underpin the redevelopment and regeneration strategies, the policy framework can be articulated to encompass the main welfare measures experienced in Europe today:

- a) pursuit of the fundamental objectives of safety and urban well-being;
- b) containment of land consumption;
- c) implementation of actions to combat climate change;
- d) enhancement of sustainable mobility;
- e) improvement of the conditions of accessibility to the public city;
- f) development of policies aimed at fostering social inclusion;
- g) involvement of residents in the identification of urban policy targets and in decision-making processes.

In welcoming the participants to the Biennial in Naples, I believe it is appropriate to underline the importance of a comparison and exchange of good practices that can be valuable not only to promote the sharing of knowledge and experiences, but also to lay the foundations of new European partnerships and policies aimed at strengthening social inclusion and living with immigration.

# Inclusion dilemmas

*Francesco Domenico Moccia\**

Inclusion topic is a central aim of European Union and its member state territorial policy and, in the meantime, one of the more controverse concept because it lies in a conflicting arena of opposite economic political theory. Neoliberals consider inclusion as a by-product of growth reached with the so-called spill down effect. Only if a country increases wealth, can provide to the wellness of all its citizens and reduce economic and social exclusion and include stigmatized spaces where they live. Criticism on this process highlight polarization effects of economic development. An ESPON study in 2007 explains the lack of correlation between polycentrism and growth in Europe with the privilege of central European regions (blue banana) and monocentric capital metropolises in capturing globalization benefits. So, in contrast with market rationality, welfare state should take responsibility in caring of secluded people and space. In Italy, the passage from the large coalition of Draghi to the Meloni right wing governments follows this oscillation of focus on support to low-income families (citizen income) in contrast with deregulation for firms, targeted to GDP increase.

All statements about sustainable development – politic is full of oxymorons – requests equilibrium among social, economic, and environmental field. However, planners knows that real programs hardly can pursue that equilibrium: on the contrary, may generate conflicts, sometimes as unexpected effect. Fiscal incentives to homeowners to better energetic and ecological house performances eventually privileged the wealthier families increasing exclusion. Similarly, regeneration of neighbourhoods driven by private developers where real estate value increase may assure a resilient and sustainable space just to affluent families, raising divide and reach in the city space. Competitive mode of financial resources allocation met some criticism on the bases of privilege of the stronger public and private applicants in winning the grants. Poor people as well as small municipalities lacking technical expertise and personnel are disadvantaged while

the much needed of help. So, while competition give more probability in the efficient improvement of interventions, it feeds the better and let lagging the worst places. To favour the last a planning mode is preferred so that territorial disequilibrium is analysed and, with a combined process bottom-up and top-down, strategies are elaborated helping local resources to emerge with the guidance of professionals, technical assistance of upper tears government organizations. An exemplar of this methodology is the National Strategy of Inner Areas. An apparent paradox of inclusion policy is the place-based approach because it theorizes that inclusion is obtained through development of differences. Its acceptance means a conception of inclusion made of differences, linked by complementarity and cooperation instead of uniformity. While the economic reason of integrated territorial development is based on differences of local resource like territorial capital, knowledge, and assets to develop with tailored solutions in different types of territories, the result is competitiveness for places, a long-term and unique function in the urban and regional network.

Territorial Agenda 2030 advocate for two territorial priorities for Europa: just and green. Two conflicting ends are at work: European territory is pushed to ecological and digital transition, now in a more felt global competition, on one end, and to spatial cohesion, on the other, trying to conceal two opposites. Weakness of cohesion policy is evident in the increasing opposition to European Commission directives, linked to populism politic spread in European counties, and culminating in Brexit. A growing number of studies are analysing personal and contextual factors related to this criticism and mapping a geography of discontent. In places that have been left behind, where there is a long-term economic and industrial decline, brain drain, either the more developed or often dynamic large cities or simply the least developed regions, anti-EU voting is on the rise. It seems that the more recent exclusion harder it is felt, also behind classical dualisms centre/periphery, rural/city, metropolis/town. This research speaks about difficulties of building a European polity because that is at stake in complex dynamics of entrance and

\* INU general secretary [fdmoccia@gmail.com](mailto:fdmoccia@gmail.com)

exit, acceptance, and refusal of the Union as expression of approval or disapproval of its policy: territorial policy included. Inclusion comes from the Latin *includere* composed of *in-* inside and *cludere* close, and planners are authorized to extend the some meaning to space where segregated neighbourhoods and put-apart territories suffering exclusion. Although a strategic objective for nowadays Europe Union, inclusion is not a final achievement, rather a starting point. Pulling inside an open society builder of millenary complex city and regions cannot avoid conflict calling for a planner's main action of problem solver and dialogue facilitator. This task made more difficult by redundancy of communication of our time where – says Habermas – even identification of public deliberative issues object of civil society sphere conversation is at stake and rational discourse for comprehension among diversities is hard. It implies that one time we are gathered we should comprehend each other.

# From Migration & Inclusion to Inclusive cities and Regions

Giuseppe De Luca\*

*Inclusive cities and regions* are the keywords around which the *14th Biennale of European Towns and Town Planners revolves*, organised by the *European Council of Spatial Planners-Conseil Européen des Urbanistes (ETCP-CEU)*, with *Istituto Nazionale di Urbanistica (INU)*, as the main co-organiser, and along with *Associazione Nazionale degli Urbanisti Italiani (ASSURB)*.

The discussion about Inclusion as the theme for the Biennale started several years ago in the ECTP-CEU Working Groups. It was proposed in the introductory report of 6th November 2018. Since then, the proposal has been progressively improved, with a time schedule that needed to be updated several times. The debate was linked on the one hand to migrations generated by difficult geopolitical and economic conditions, and on the other to the effects of extreme climate events and the outcomes that these were beginning to manifest on European territory, also in terms of public awareness and political perception. The Covid-19 pandemic directs the debate around the fragile conditions of cities and territories, leading to the *Re-Start Europe Manifesto Declaration* (in 2020) for an inclusive and just post-covid future for all communities. Important document, organised into 24 points, the most important of which is No. 6, titled as follows: "The shared long-term European goal for more inclusive, just and sustainable development has been put at risk".

However, the time to implement this was short, very short. Just in February 2022, this discussion crossed paths with the brutal aggression of the Russian armed forces against Ukraine. A large European country is being exposed in nearly every region to a situation that was absent from the European continent since the end of the second world war. The scenario changes significantly and with it a new vision based on inclusiveness begins to take shape in the main places of contemporaneity: cities and regions.

The change in perspective is important, because it moves from the study of a phenomenon

to the study of the space within which it takes shape. It is related to the role that cities and regions, and thus their instruments of government but also forms of governance, can play in responding to the new contemporaneity.

Cities play a crucial role in the organisation of human society, providing opportunities for work, education, entertainment and cultural exchange. They are centres of innovation, creativity and economic development, but can also face challenges such as pollution, traffic congestion, limited access to housing and resources, as well as social inequalities. The regions also play a role, not in the sense of institutional areas, but rather in the sense of large areas. While cities tend to focus on urban life and the management of challenges specific to urban areas, regions have a broader and more integrated perspective that includes both urban and rural areas within their territory. Both play crucial roles in people's lives and the organisation of society, albeit in slightly different ways.

Inclusivity has been divided into ten sub-themes, yet, from reading the contributions received, the keywords that intersect them all are, in my view, seven and are held together by a single practical approach: that of cooperative governance.

*Diversity and Respect*: Inclusive cities celebrate diversity and recognize the value of different cultures, languages, and perspectives. They promote respect and understanding among residents, fostering a sense of belonging for all.

*Equitable Access*: prioritise equal access to essential services such as healthcare, education, transportation, housing, and employment. They work to eliminate barriers that prevent marginalised groups from fully participating in society.

*Social Inclusion*: promote social inclusion by addressing issues of discrimination, poverty, and inequality. They implement policies and programmes that empower marginalised communities and promote social justice.

\* INU-ASSURB, University of Florence, giuseppe.deluca@unifi.it

*Accessibility:* ensure that public spaces, buildings, transportation systems, and information are accessible to people of all ages and abilities, including those with disabilities.

*Community Engagement:* for actively engage residents in decision-making processes and encourage participation from diverse voices. They foster a sense of community ownership and collaboration in shaping the city's future.

*Affordability:* address affordability challenges by implementing policies to ensure that housing, transportation, and other essential services are affordable for residents of all income levels.

*Safety and Well-being:* prioritise the safety and well-being of all residents, working to create environments where everyone feels secure and protected from violence, discrimination, and other forms of harm.

Overall, inclusive cities and regions recognise that diversity is a strength and are committed to building communities where everyone has the opportunity to thrive and contribute to the collective prosperity. Co-operative governance consists of the mechanisms, processes, and structures through which decisions are made and resources are allocated in cities, metropolitan areas, and broader regions. It involves the coordination of various actors, including government agencies, local authorities, community organizations, businesses, and residents, to address the challenges and opportunities facing urban and regional areas.

This is the main result that emerges from the studies and research presented in this Biennale.



# Keynote speeches

## HOUSING CRISIS – after 1980's settlements reconversion and 2024 simplification

*João Teixeira, Portugal*

There are two periods of housing crisis in Portugal, that suggest solutions for the future, tackling immediate needs of affordable housing in Portugal:

- The illegal settlements constructed in the 1960's and 1970's and their reconversion in the 1980, based on *Vale de Milhaços* neighborhood reconversion.
- the Socialist Government solution, adopted in the beginning of 2024: simplification of processes, along with social housing construction.

### *Vale de Milhaços housing development*

*Vale de Milhaços* is located 22 km *Península de Setúbal* (south of Lisbon). In the 1960's several big enterprises were inaugurated in south of Lisbon, such as Nacional Steel Industry in 1961 and Margueira Shipyard in 1967, creating thousands of new jobs. Also, the Bridge, connecting Lisbon to the South bank, was built in 1966. The accessibility from *Vale de Milhaços* to Lisbon was reduced from 2 hours to 30 minutes. The demand for houses and land for construction was much bigger than supply. Illegal settlements appeared, and later, illegal constructions. Government couldn't control the situation.

*Vale de Milhaços* was an illegal allotment with plots around 300 sqm to 2000 sqm, along informal streets, without infrastructures or social equipment's. The reconversion of *Vale de Milhaços* illegal settlement and construction was based in the following principles:

- A General Plan developed involving new landowners in public meetings, later approved by the Municipality.
- A local technical team was created, paid by the Municipality, to implement the Plan, to help house owners to adapt existing houses according to legislation, to inform the local population about the Plan, and to control construction.
- The larger plots were destined for public equipment's.
- The owners of small lots had to give up 10% of the land area, when possible, for social equipment's and infrastructures.

- The costs of Infrastructures (street paving, water, sewage, electricity, and telephone infrastructures) were paid by the new owners of plots and houses, with installments during five years.
- Infrastructures projects and related works were coordinated by the technical team.
- The illegal promotor had to give away all the land owned and pay a fine for the illegal allotment.

Currently the accessibility has been increased with bus network and the new railway. The nearest station is located 700 meters from *Vale de Milhaços*.

Reconversion and rehabilitation were a very successful operation. It is a 128 hectares neighborhood with 5000 homes, taking advantage of urban infrastructure, domestic waste collection service, public transport, and social facilities: a kindergarten, two public schools (primary and secondary), a private school, a sports center, an Eco Museum, green areas, an urban park, as well as stores for everyday supplies. A house located in *Vale de Milhaços* can be worth between €300.000 (€1.500/sqm), and €700.000 (€3.000/sqm). The vision, the reconversion plan and the coordination of team's work were carried out by Professor Costa Lobo, one of the founders of ECTP-CEU.

### 2020 housing crisis

To solve the 2020 housing crisis, the Portuguese Government took several decisions during 2023/24, namely a new generation of housing policies (NGHP), with the following objectives:

- Respond to families living in severe housing shortages.
- Guarantee access to housing for all those who have no access to the market.
- Create the conditions for rehabilitation to be the main form of intervention in building and urban development.
- Promote social and territorial inclusion, along with housing choice opportunities.

The main measures to achieve these objectives are:

- To simplify the approval process for construction projects.
- The investment of 3.090 million Euros to rehabilitate and built new homes at affordable costs, financed by the Recovery and Resilience Facility, RRF, corresponding to 26.000 homes.
- To exempt from housing taxes, until 2029, the owners who give up tourist accommodation in favor of urban rental.
- To reduce housing rental taxes at affordable costs.
- To reduce or release real estate capital gains on the sale of second homes or land, to repay a loan for own and permanent housing.

Now and in the future

Recent policy became very expensive and takes times to implement. It doesn't ensure population involvement, by side public minimum participation.

Complementarity between housing policy and new urbanization plans can be strong solution, adequate to present needs:

- Locally, several new municipal, or part municipal developments could ensure large and diversify offer of affordable housing, as claim often by general population.
- New urbanization plans of municipal initiative, producing plot at affordable price, give the possibility to families invest in their own home over several years mobilizes savings and reduces the State's expense on housing development.
- It also allows tailor made projects, able to evolve through time adequate to family needs, adopting different sustainable solutions (Light steel framing, Light wooden framing, among others).

When the nature of the intervention takes the form of self-construction, the intervention of a specialized team is essential during the urbanization plan, design, and construction.

New urbanization plans of municipal initiative, benefiting from lessons learned, appear as a solution to be largely explored in Portuguese reality.

# Social housing and benefits in Austria: an approach in creating inclusive and just settlements

*Gerhard Vittinghoff MRTPI\**

Austrian housing policy has maintained many features of the post-war housing policy scheme, especially the growing importance of limited-profit housing associations and the focus on supply-side subsidies are mayor tenants of this approach. The affordability has been promoted by reducing the costs of housing through low interest public loans and grants to ensure appropriate supply outcomes and relies far less on demand assistance than other systems.

The universalistic approach towards social housing avoids marginalization and stigmatization of the social housing segment and its tenants. Regulation plays a strong and prescriptive role in the Austrian model.

Specific land use instruments are in place and these include zoning categories which define well suited areas predominantly dedicated to subsidised Housing. These special areas are well situated within the urban framework. In identifying these areas, uniform and transparent allocation criteria allow for a good social mix in social housing estates. At the same time, affordable rents boost purchasing power. The large share of social housing contributes towards more affordable prices for a major proportion of the entire housing market.

Moreover, the sustained efforts towards “gentle urban renewal”, too, play a role towards keeping housing in general affordable and also safeguard employment in the construction industry. This reflects a long tradition in Vienna and is likewise strongly supported by the population – a historic achievement that must be preserved for future generations.

Promoting a social mix in neighborhoods and preventing ghettoization has always been a priority of urban governance. In Vienna, municipal housing and affordable housing conducted by Limited Profit Housing Associations (LPHA) are scattered across the city.

Compared to other cities the segregation in Vienna has remained relatively low. The local government sees the long-standing tradition of social housing construction as safeguards of good social mix. Social housing makes up 42% of the total housing stock and about 60% of all Vienna households live in social housing apartments, thus the city government remains in control of a large part of housing in the city. There are income limits to determine who can apply for social housing.

Promoting diversity is the major focus and it aims to bring together heterogeneity of various forms of living, working and various concepts of life, understandings of gender roles, values as well as economic, religious, language and cultural backgrounds. The focus is on co-creation of strategies at the local level and bringing various stakeholders into decision-making process such as public institutions, private enterprises, property owners, investors, civil society and individual residents.

\* Austrian Federal Chamber of Engineers – Spatial Planning, buero@vittinghoff.at



# ECTP-CEU's vision on inclusive cities and regions – territoires inclusifs

Markus Herdofer\*

Before entering more properly into the theme of this year's Biennale's and how it was conceived and brought forward by our organisation, I would like to spend a few words about the organisation itself.

The European Council of Spatial Planners — ECTP-CEU, in French Conseil européen des urbanistes — is an umbrella organisation that brings together the professional planners' associations and institutes in Europe. Currently, we have managed to recruit members from about 30 countries. It has been founded in 1985 as the successor organisation of the town planners' liaison committee at the European Communities. Later it has opened its door to all 46 countries of the Council of Europe.

Our goal is to promote spatial planning as a distinct profession. For many years, this profession has been encroached upon by other specialists convinced of their competence in this field. The truth is that approaching spatial planning scientifically makes it a separate profession. Moreover it must become a *robust* profession, where 'robust' means that it is clearly identifiable, clearly recognised by society and with clearly defined competences. Therefore, it must have, at least in Europe, a continent-wide common, full-grade initial education framework with common academic and professional titles, cross-border recognition and a common CPD framework for continuous professional development.

Being a member of such an association as ours has the advantage of being able to share ideas and knowledge about our mistakes and learn from each other. To achieve this, we promote a professional approach to spatial planning. Part of this involves fostering mutual understanding among urban planners from different European countries. Despite the strong differences between planning systems in Europe, our workshops and conferences have shown very well how similar the problems are that our colleagues must tackle every day in their professional work. Also, the solutions that our professional

knowledge and expertise suggest are very similar in all our countries. Translation from these possible solutions into planning regulations and norms, which are at the centre of many national and regional planning systems, is a challenge we are facing in ECTP-CEU's formal and informal meetings. Another important aspect in our association's work is communicating to other people, such as decision-makers, stakeholders, citizens and, at the highest level, lawmakers, the importance of a correct approach to spatial planning, with professionally skilled colleagues and interdisciplinary planning process teams. We are making slow progress and hope for more successes in this aspect in the near future. We also believe that young students and young professionals are an important part needed to achieve this goal. So in our vision, we want young planners to collaborate with the European Council of Spatial Planners. An opportunity to do this is through our annual Young Planners' Workshop where students, junior and senior planners can meet and exchange their opinions and experience.

The ECTP-CEU and its members are actively getting involved in these issues. We have published case studies of good practice for post-Covid recovery. Exchanging ideas and comparing good and bad practice within an umbrella non-governmental organisation offers security to countries who are facing challenging situations — politically and environmentally. Planners in Ukraine are talking to other European countries, including the ECTP-CEU, about the post-war re-construction of their country, and these links will surely be of benefit. Conferences held by ECTP-CEU offer support to members on issues as diverse as social justice, migration, climate justice, marine spatial planning, and planning for those areas on the edge of Europe. In 2022, young planners addressed how to regenerate the mass housing neighbourhoods of our recent past. In 2023, the first conference was held about small island planning, based in Malta where the issues of migration, density, over-development, heritage were high on the agenda; and in complete contrast, our second conference for members and young planners was in Gdańsk, the theme being 'transforming cities'.

\* ECTP-CEU, President, secretariat@ectp-ceu.eu, markus.hedorfer@urbanisti.it

Inclusion, inclusivity or inclusiveness is present in all these considerations. I think it is correct to say that the European and global planners' professional community is dealing with these aspects for many years now, and that, like in other aspects, decision-makers and lawmakers discover them much later than we do despite our efforts. Recently, we have tried to define — without any claim to completeness — six different kinds of inclusion.

Social inclusion, which is probably the most commonly perceived idea of inclusion, i.e., an inclusive process which involves all members of society in decision-making, regardless of race, social standing, age, ability, gender etc. at all levels of governance and for this to be incorporated into the laws and policies at the appropriate scale).

Spatial inclusion at different levels — neighbourhood, urban, metropolitan, regional, in which places are planned and designed to include everyone, to allow equal access to city spaces, public open space, housing, transport, affordable health, amenities and infrastructure.

Migratory inclusion to welcome and/or settle short-term, long-term or permanent new citizens, and to investigate case studies in which migrants have been successfully incorporated into the society that they join.

Cultural inclusion — planning for different ethnic communities and ensuring that the identity and heritage of a place is con-served with sensitivity.

Economic inclusion – planning for employment and commercial developments which reflect the changing economic landscape and the very different nature of work in the 21<sup>st</sup> century. How can planners ensure that all workers of whatever occupation have equal access to good working conditions, including the location of industry?

Finally, Inclusion to overcome conflict situations, such as natural vs. human landscape, heritage vs. contemporaneity, risk vs. resilience, linearity vs. circularity, permanent vs. temporary residents, port/airport vs. city/region.

# Inclusivity in an adaptive planning system

*Janet Askew\**

The power of the citizen is confronting all planners across Europe. Inclusivity is a critical concern of spatial planners, and in the UK, public participation in policy and decision-making has been enshrined in law since 1968. Planners, aided by communities and civil society, have been experimenting with how best to achieve inclusive physical, social, and economic environments for all parts of society. In 2024, we have a better understanding of inclusivity.

Has the adaptive, indicative planning system of the UK hindered or helped with the ambitions to involve citizens in decision-making? Does this system allow for a more inclusive society? What has changed? How has it evolved since 1968?

Many communities found a voice in the 1960s, when protests were happening all over Europe. In the UK, people were calling for higher levels of involvement in the planning of their areas. In the 1970s, there were reversals of policies which aimed to demolish communities and their homes. In London, a famous community protest against the demolition of the historic Covent Garden won the battle to retain and regenerate the old market and Opera House, now one of the most successful tourist honeypots.

The early days concentrated on community and individual involvement in meetings, small focus groups, role play, leaflets and advertisements seeking comments on planning applications and policies. There were some exemplary community projects where local people put forward their ideas for a neighbourhood, and radical planners who invented different ways of engaging the public. How to do this has dominated the literature for many years, and UK practice has ranged from radical ideas of citizen control to mere symbolic reassurance or meaningless consultation. It is recognised that methods of consultation and participation do not necessarily reach so called 'hard to reach' groups, people who would not normally get involved in local or national planning issues. Who contributes? Who has the loudest voice? Who hears? Who listens? What changes?

Planners have engaged with these issues for over 50 years, and in that time, citizen involvement has evolved. It is arguably more participatory now than before, partly because the UK adaptive planning system makes room for a more inclusive approach.

The planning system of the UK requires public involvement at every stage, depending heavily on negotiation through all stages. In policy-making, there is a hierarchy of plans from national to local to neighbourhood, and at each level there are time limits on inviting public and stakeholder comment – objection or support. The main arena for large-scale public participation is the local plan - the statutory development plan, to which land holders, developers, civil groups, public bodies, utilities, and the public contribute. Neighbourhood planning directly involves communities who participate in the allocation of land in their district, but it must conform with higher plans. There is considerable interest and participation when permission is sought to develop or change the use of land or buildings, and it is in this stage that the public and other stakeholders can have real influence. There is usually extensive negotiation over the details of design plus any value capture payments.

The adaptive system is inclusive because decision-making on how to use the land or building is discretionary – the final decision being made only at the point of granting planning permission for a development. There are no rigid, legally binding plans, nor is the permission granted in perpetuity – it only lasts for 5 years - after which it lapses.

In the 21st century, methods of involving stakeholders have been honed. There is a widening recognition that stakeholders in planning involve many different interests with unique characteristics, which planners need to accommodate. Diversity and inclusivity are higher on the agenda, targeted at certain hard-to-reach groups. Civil society, especially environmental groups are amongst the loudest, along with other interest groups who lobby hard for their interests, including developers, who nevertheless, accept that public consultation is embedded in the system.

\* ECTP-CEU Past-President

Women have long argued for the city to be more suited to their needs. Glasgow has just voted to become the first feminist city, concentrating on public spaces, safety, lighting, public toilets, access. Disability is recognised as a necessity for planning differently. Belfast has a disability strategy for the city. How do we plan for the aged? Age-friendly places demonstrate adaptation for older people. In Taiwan, whole towns are designated as age-friendly. The RTPI publishes guidance on dementia-friendly environments, along with recognition of people with neurological conditions, such as autism, showing how the city needs to cater for their complex needs. The United Nations campaigns for better environments for children. Cardiff has recently become the first UK city to be a UNICEF child-friendly city. LGBTQ groups seek their own spaces, and symbolic road crossings are seen in cities as far apart as Vancouver in Canada and Derry in Northern Ireland.

In conclusion, the indicative or adaptive system of the UK offers more opportunities to be inclusive than more rigid imperative systems might do. Planning in the UK, known for its discretion and negotiation at every stage of policy and decision-making, has significantly adapted its approach towards a more inclusive approach in the 21st century.



# Parallel Workshop

## 6. Resilience and adaptation

*Coordinator:*

Claudia Cassatella

*Co-cordinator*

Emanuela Coppola

*Discussant*

Domenico Passarelli

*Co-discussant*

Massimo Sargolini

# Policies, Strategies, and Projects for Sustainable Urban Regeneration

## A comparative analysis of France and Germany

Laura Ricci, Carmela Mariano, Marsia Marino\*

The profound changes in the contemporary city, resulting from processes of urbanization, have recently led to a profound difference between the crisis of today's city compared to that which originated from the second half of the last century. Indeed, the emergence of environmental issues related to the climate crisis has added to the already structural socio-economic ones (Marino, 2023), emphasizing the urgency of activating policies, strategies, procedures, and tools capable of providing integrated responses to environmental regeneration, social revitalization, cultural and economic valorisation of the city, prioritizing the realization of a new urban welfare to ensure local communities' rights to health, education, public mobility, housing, environment, and more broadly to the city (Ricci, 2021). The integrative nature of urban regeneration, as defined by the European Community and underlying the *European Urban Agenda* (EC, 2016), finds broad convergence in community policies for promoting the sustainability and efficiency of cities from a Smart perspective (Giffinger, Gudrun, 2010), and finds operational references in the *Green New Deal* (EC, 2019), the *Just Transition Fund* (European Parliament, 2021), and the *Horizon Europe 2021/2027 Program* (EU, 2021). Furthermore, the *New Leipzig Charter* (European Parliament, 2020) – adopted during informal ministerial meetings organized on November 30, 2020, under the German presidency of the Council – inaugurates a new phase of implementation of the Urban Agenda for the EU. The revision of the Charter provides a key tool for sustainable urban development in Europe and emphasizes that cities must establish strategies for integrated and sustainable urban development and ensure their implementation for the city, from its functional areas to its neighbourhoods, according to a triple level of actions, aggregated under the following headings/goals: “just”, “green”, and “productive”.

With “just city”, the transformative power of cities capable of offering equal opportunities and environmental justice for all is emphasized; with “green city”, reference is made to the need to transform cities through actions aimed at combating global warming and ensur-

ing high environmental quality through the sustainable use of air, water, soil; with “productive city”, the urgency of implementing, through the transformation of cities, a model of diversified economy that provides jobs, while ensuring a solid financial basis for sustainable urban development, is emphasized.

The theme, in the Italian national context, also constitutes a transversal objective of the *National Recovery and Resilience Plan* (PNRR, 2021), which, in Mission 5 “Inclusion and cohesion”, component “Urban regeneration and social housing”, pursues the objective of regenerating degraded areas primarily focusing on green innovation and sustainability. In this regard, the contribution is part of the thematic contextualization activities of the research “New rules, parameters, indicators, operational references of the urban plan for an eco-sustainable approach to urban regeneration” (Principal Investigator Prof. Laura Ricci), funded within the framework of the PNRR, and aims to build an interpretative framework of policies, guidelines, procedures, strategies, and projects on urban regeneration in the European context, adopting an ecological-integrated approach.

Therefore, considering the aforementioned community guidelines on sustainable urban development and the principles of integration, multilevel governance, participation, and shared creation, sanctioned by the renewal of the Leipzig Charter, the contribution proposes an analysis of the French and German contexts in order to:

- investigate the different national interpretations of European guidelines and highlight the interrelations between community policies and national urban regeneration strategies;
- understand the project implications of national strategies at the local level through the analysis of some best practices and verify their alignment with the three objectives of the new Leipzig Charter.

Regarding the French case, the analysis of the urban regeneration intervention in the Val d’Aran (Toulouse) (Fig. 1) is proposed, within the framework of the *Nouveau Programme National de Renouveau Urbain* (ANRU, 2019), which – following the *Programme National de Rénovation Urbaine* (ANRU, 2004) – envisages a profound transformation of 450 “fragile” neighborhoods in terms of environmental and socioeconomic challenges, identified as “priority” intervention areas.

As for the German case, the analysis of the Pioneer Park project (Hanau) (Fig. 2) is proposed, within the framework of the program *Wachstum und nachhaltige Erneuerung* (Growth and sustainable renewal) aimed at designing more livable neighborhoods (Bundesministerium des Innern, für Bau und Heimat, 2021).

\* Sapienza University of Rome, PDTA Department, marsia.marino@uniroma1.it



**Fig. 1.**  
*A detailed view of the urban regeneration project in Val d'Aran (Toulouse)*



**Fig. 2.**  
*An aerial view of Pioneer Park urban regeneration project (Hanau)*

The Biennial of European Towns and Town Planners is an event of the European Council of Urban Planners ECTP-CEU which aims at discussing the main issues in the European debate relating to urban planning by sharing them with urban planners, architects, engineers, economists, sociologists, historians of architecture, citizens, politicians, private and non-governmental organizations (NGOs).

The theme chosen for the 14<sup>th</sup> Edition, organized from 22 to 24 April 2024 in Naples with the INU as the main organizer, is Inclusive Cities and Regions/ Territoires inclusifs. Inclusion is understood in its multiple declinations that define the 10 general themes of this Biennial - Regional issues and regional disparity, Metropolitan or city proposals, Urban regeneration and Public Spaces, Migration and cultural inclusion, Cultural heritage, Resilience and adaptation, New economic approaches, IT and the use of artificial intelligence in planning, Ports, airports and other infrastructures, Underground space – and in further ones proposed by the participants who further specify the general themes namely About Spatial Inclusivity, Urban regeneration and spatial justice with Nature-Based Solution, Inclusive public spaces for water cities facing climate change, “Italian UNESCO Chairs’ vision and actions, A Transdisciplinary Approach to Placemaking and Inclusivity: COST Action Dynamics of Placemaking, Inclusive city Ecosystems, Youthbanism for a New Generation of Urbanists, Fragile geographies. Visions, projects and studies to mitigate and adapt to environmental and anthropogenic risk. Green Oasis for the 15 minutes city model, Making/unmaking urban circular economies with ‘otherness’, Public space for inclusive cities: the Biennial of Public Space, Universal accessibility and university education, the knowledge network, Findings and Evidences from the PNRR project RETURN, and River Contracts as voluntary and negotiated planning tools.

The works contained in this Catalogue, presented by administrators, professionals, academics, and researchers concern projects, policies and research that have international interest and, at the same time, attention to the local, all at different scales.

It is possible, from this vastness of topics, to understand the broad discussion that resulted, outlining new interested subjects and involved actors, as well as new possible intersections of themes.

Marichela Sepe is Associate Professor at the DICEA Sapienza Università di Roma. She has also joined the ISMed-CNR and the DiARC-University Federico II. In 2013 she has been visiting Professor in the Peking University and held lectures in the Peking, Wuhan and Xi’an Universities. Her research interests include: urban design and planning; place identity; healthy city; livable public spaces; creative urban regeneration; multimedia. On these topics, she has published several national and international journal articles, conference papers, books and book chapters.

Currently she is Responsible of the Urban Impact Unit of the “SUMMA” PRIN 2020, and member of the COST Dynamics of placemaking, and Writing Urban Places. She is responsible of the Scientific Laboratory “Geodesign and Urbandesign” of LUPT University Federico II.

Sepe is President of the Biennial of Public Space Association, Vice President of INU Campania section and member of the national INU Governing Board, coordinator of the GUDesign network, member of the Eura Governing Board and member of Urban Design Group. In 2014-2023 she won: the Ardito-Desio Award for the paper presented at Ipsapa 2014, 2016 and 2018 Conference; the Urban Planning Literature Award of the Italian National Urban Planning Institute (INU) in 2014, 2015 and 2017 and the 2023 Horizon Europe Sapienza Award.

*In the cover*

Hug. Napoli, San Francesco di Paola colonnades.

(source: Marichela Sepe’ archive)

*Formato digitale*

ISBN 978-88-7603- (eBook) € 0,0