



Clinical, neuropathological, and genetic characterization of *STUB1* variants in cerebellar ataxias: a frequent cause of predominant cognitive impairment

Thomas Roux, MD¹, Mathieu Barbier, PhD¹, Mélanie Papin, MS^{1,2}, Claire-Sophie Davoine, BS^{1,2}, Sabrina Sayah, PhD¹, Giulia Coarelli, MD¹, Perrine Charles, MD, PhD³, Cecilia Marelli, MD, PhD⁴, Livia Parodi, PhD¹, Christine Tranchant, MD⁵, Cyril Goizet, MD, PhD⁶, Stephan Klebe, MD⁷, Ebba Lohmann, MD⁸, Lionel Van Maldergem, MD, PhD⁹, Christine van Broeckhoven, PhD¹⁰, Marie Coutelier, PhD^{1,2}, Christelle Tesson, PhD¹, Giovanni Stevanin, PhD^{1,2}, Charles Duyckaerts, MD, PhD¹, Alexis Brice, MD¹, Alexandra Durr, MD, PhD¹⁰ and SPATAX network

Purpose: Pathogenic variants in *STUB1* were initially described in autosomal recessive spinocerebellar ataxia type 16 and dominant cerebellar ataxia with cerebellar cognitive dysfunction (SCA48).

Methods: We analyzed a large series of 440 index cerebellar ataxia cases, mostly with dominant inheritance.

Results: *STUB1* variants were detected in 50 patients. Age at onset and severity were remarkably variable. Cognitive impairment, predominantly frontal syndrome, was observed in 54% of *STUB1* variant carriers, including five families with Huntington or frontotemporal dementia disease–like phenotypes associated with ataxia, while no *STUB1* variant was found in 115 patients with frontotemporal dementia. We report neuropathological findings of a *STUB1* heterozygous patient, showing massive loss of Purkinje cells in the vermis and major loss in the cerebellar hemispheres without atrophy of the pons, hippocampus, or cerebral cortex. This screening of *STUB1* variants revealed new features: (1) the majority of patients were women (70%) and (2) "second hits" in *AFG3L2*, *PRKCG*, and *TBP* were detected in three families suggesting synergic effects.

Conclusion: Our results reveal an unexpectedly frequent (7%) implication of *STUB1* among dominantly inherited cerebellar ataxias, and suggest that the penetrance of *STUB1* variants could be modulated by other factors, including sex and variants in other ataxia-related genes.

Genetics in Medicine (2020) 22:1851–1862; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-020-0899-x

Keywords: *STUB1*; spinocerebellar ataxia; cognitive impairment; SCA48; SCAR16

INTRODUCTION

The *STUB1* gene (STIP1 Homology And U-Box Containing Protein 1) encodes the CHIP protein containing tetratricopeptide repeats and a U-box that functions as a ubiquitin ligase/cochaperone, involved in the cellular protein quality control system. In 2013, homozygous and compound heterozygous variants in *STUB1* were detected in three families.¹ Subsequently, it was demonstrated that *STUB1* pathogenic variants caused autosomal recessive spinocerebellar ataxia type 16 (SCAR16).² Cognitive impairment has been described in several *STUB1* patients, either inaugural or up to 20 years after onset.³ Variants in this gene were further described in a dominant form of spinocerebellar ataxia, named SCA48.⁴ Moreover, a cerebellar cognitive affective syndrome (CCAS), described in patients with lesions confined to the cerebellum, was detected in this family.^{5,6}

Novel *STUB1* pathogenic variants in two and eight Italian families respectively with a complex syndrome characterized by ataxia and cognitive-psychiatric disorder have been separately reported by the same group.^{7,8} Thus, *STUB1* has

Alexandra Durr (alexandra.durr@icm-institute.org)

These authors contributed equally: Thomas Roux, Mathieu Barbier, Mélanie Papin

Submitted 20 March 2020; accepted: 26 June 2020 Published online: 27 July 2020

¹Sorbonne Université, Institut du Cerveau-Paris Brain Institute (ICM), AP-HP, INSERM, CNRS, University Hospital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France; ²EPHE, PSL Research University, Neurogenetics Group, Paris, France; ³Genetic Department, University Hospital Pitié-Salpêtrière, AP-HP, Paris, France; ⁴Expert center for Neurogenetic Diseases, Department of Neurology, CHU Gui de Chauliac, MMDN, Univ Montpellier, INSERM, EPHE, Montpellier, France; ⁵Neurological Department University Hospital Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France; ⁶University Bordeaux, Laboratoire MRGM, INSERM U1211, Centre de Référence Neurogénétique, Service de Génétique Médicale, CHU Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France; ⁷University Hospital Essen, Department of Neurology, Essen, Germany; ⁸Department of Neurodegenerative Diseases, Hertie Institute for Clinical Brain Research, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany; ⁹Université de Franche-Comté, Centre de Génétique Humaine, Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire, Besançon, France; ¹⁰Neurodegenerative Brain Diseases Group, VIB Center for Molecular Neurology, Laboratory of Neurogenetics, Institute Born-Bunge and Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium. Correspondence:

been linked to either recessive or dominant forms of ataxia with cognitive impairment and, in a single family, associated with hypogonatropic hypogonadism. We screened a large series of families with cerebellar ataxia, frontotemporal dementia (FTD), or Huntington-like disease for *STUB1* variants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Screening of patients with cerebellar ataxia

In total, 440 families, including 491 patients were recruited as part of the SPATAX cohort (https://spatax.wordpress.com/). They were examined by at least one member of the SPATAX network listed at the end of the paper and clinically assessed using a standardized evaluation form (https://spatax.files.wordpress.com/2013/09/fichecliniquespatax-eurospa-2011.

pdf). Autosomal dominant inheritance was defined by the presence of at least one other affected individual among parents or children of the index case in 320 cases. Sixty-two families were suggestive of a recessive model of inheritance (32 with reported consanguinity), and 58 were "isolated" cases. All patients gave written informed consent, and blood samples were collected in accordance with local French regulations (Paris Necker ethics committee approval [RBM 01–29 and RBM 03–48] to A.B. and A.D.). DNA was extracted using classical procedures. Polyglutamine expansions in *ATXN1, 2, 3, 7, TBP, CACNA1A*, and *ATN1* were excluded in all patients.

FTD and Huntington-like disease cohort

The 115 FTD patients were consecutively recruited by experts from the French clinical and genetic research network on FTD/FTD–amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). The diagnoses of FTD were based on international diagnostic criteria.^{9,10} We also included four cases that did not have expansions in *HTT* or *JPH3* or variants in other genes included in a panel that we used to identify the genetic cause of Huntington-like disease cases.¹¹

Genetic analysis

A screening of *STUB1* genetic variants was performed on 440 index cases who had previously undergone a gene panel screening, of which 324 have been reported in Coutelier et al.¹² The remaining families underwent exome sequencing (n = 111) or genome sequencing (n = 5). The mean coverage of *STUB1* in the ataxia cohort was 230× and >20× for all samples. Rare variants predicted to be pathogenic were filtered (minor allele frequency [MAF] <0.001 in the gnomAD database 2.1.1 (https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/); Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion [CADD phred] score >20).¹³ All variants were validated by Sanger sequencing. The segregation of *STUB1* pathogenic variants was performed in ten families in which at least two individuals were available. Primers used to confirm variations and for segregation analyses are presented in Supplementary Table 1.

The *STUB1* gene was analyzed by exome sequencing in 115 patients with FTD. The mean coverage of *STUB1* in the FTD

cohort was 46.9×, and >20× for all samples. The same filtering of rare variants used in the ataxia cohort was also applied to the four additional HD-like cases in which the mean coverage of STUB1 was $113 \times$ and >20× for all patients.

Neuropathology

A systematic sampling of the cerebellum, brainstem, and hemisphere was performed. Three-micron-thick sections were deparaffinized and stained with hematein–eosin. Slides were immune-stained with a Ventana-Roche BenchMark ULTRA IHC/ISH System. The following antibodies were used: antiubiquitin (polyclonal, Dako), p62 (3/p62 LCK Ligand, BD Transduction Laboratory), polyglutamine (1C2, Euromedex), TDP43 (polyclonal, Euromedex), phosphorylated TDP43 (1D3, Millipore), A β (6F3D Dako), and Tau (AT8 Thermo Electron).

Ethics statement

All patients gave written informed consent, and blood samples were collected in accordance with local French regulations (Paris Necker ethics committee approval [RBM 01–29 and RBM 03–48] to A.B. and A.D., CPP Ile de France II ethics committee approval [RBM 02–59] to Isabelle Le Ber). All written patient consents were archived. Brain removal was performed as part of the program "Brain Donation for Research" (National Neuro-CEB Brain Bank, GIE Neuro-CEB BB-0033–00011).

RESULTS

Screening of *STUB1* variations in SCA, FTD, and HD-like cohorts

We screened 440 families who previously underwent exome sequencing or gene panel analysis for STUB1 genetic variants. Twenty-six different variants were observed in 30/440 families, of which 22 were absent from and 5 were ultrarare in public databases, predicted to be deleterious (Table 1). The STUB1 variants were mostly missense pathogenic variants, along with a number of substitutions, including stop-gains, and frameshift variants (Table 1). The mean CADD score was 29.67 (from 23.1 to 43). Four were previously reported in patients with either SCAR16 or SCA48 and four new variations affected amino acids that have been previously shown to be mutated and associated with SCAR16 or SCA48,^{1,4,8,12,14-17} (Table 1, Fig. 1). Variants were located throughout the coding sequence, without evidence of any genotype-phenotype correlation (Fig. 1). Of note, five STUB1 variants were recurrent in unrelated families, all absent from the gnomAD database or ultrarare.

Clinical data of 50 patients harboring *STUB1* variants are presented in Table 2; most were French, with the exception of 3 Belgian, 2 Italian, 1 German, and 1 British. Most patients were women (36/50; 72%). Inheritance was dominant in 23 families, transmission mostly by affected mothers and in four families only one generation was affected, with several affected sibs and three isolated cases. The mean age at onset was 40.0 ± 13.8 years, ranging from 17 to 74, and was similar

Table 1 List a allele freque	of <i>STUB1</i> variances in the g	ants (NM_005861,4/NP_005852 jnomAD database (European n	2) detected in Ion-Finnish po	30 probands w pulation).	ith the corresp	oonding con	sequences, prec	diction of path	rogenicity, and minor
Family	rs ID	Chromosome 16 genomic change (GRCh38)	cDNA change	Amino acid change	Variant type	CADD score	PolyPhen prediction ^a	SIFT prediction ^a	MAF EUR gnomAD v2.1.1/v3 ^b
SAL-345	I	g. 730661 G>C	c.136 G>C	p.A46P	Missense	24	Δ	۵	Absent
AAD-541 SAL-2352	rs757849639	g.680671 A>G	c.146 A>G	p.Y49C	Missense	32	Δ	Δ	0.000031
AAD-987	I	g.681162 C>T	c.170 C>T	p.P57L	Missense	31	Δ	Δ	Absent
AAD-262 AAD-452 AAD-575	rs690016544	g.681186 A>G	c.194 A>G	p.N65S	Missense	26.1	۵	۵	Absent
15S0349 AAD-879	I	g.681198 G>A	c.206 G>A	р.С69Ү	Missense	34	D	D	Absent
AAD-869	I	g.681213 A>C	c.221 A>C	p.Q74P	Missense	31	D	۵	Absent
AAD-755	1	g.681227 G>C	c.235 G>C	p.A79P	Missense	33	Δ	Δ	Absent
AAR-579	I	g.681293 G>C	c.301 G>C	p.G101R	Missense	34	D	۵	Absent
AAD-075	1	g.681330 C>A	c.338 C>A	p.A113D	Missense	29	Δ		Absent
SAL-399–91	rs762574295	g.681352 T>C	c.358+2 T>C	I	Splice donor	34	I	I	Absent
SAL-399-729	I	g.681438 C>T	c.359 C>T	p.A120V ^c	Missense	35	D	Δ	Absent
AAD-1013 AAD-412	rs779647632	g.681504–681507	c.426_428del	p.K143del	Inframe deletion	I	I	I	Absent
AAD-525	rs587777342	g.681519 G>A	c.440 G>A	p.W147*	Nonsense	43	I	I	<1.10 ^{e-5}
AAD-391	rs11642472	g.681539 C>T	c.460 C>T	p.R154C	Missense	28.2	D	Δ	Absent
14GX000693	I	g.681581 C>T	c.502 C>T	p.L168F	Missense	28.3	Δ	Δ	Absent
AAD-845	rs369941408	g.681812 C>T	c.544 C>T	p.R182*	Nonsense	40	1	I	0.000015
SAL-338	I	g.681864 G>T	c.596 G>T	p.C199F ^d	Missense	24.2	в	Δ	Absent
SAL-399-729	rs1481222863	g.682039 T>C	c.632 T>C	p.M211T ^c	Missense	24.5	В	Δ	Absent
SAL-338	rs762468667	g.682042 A>G	c.635 A>G	p.D212G ^d	Missense	24.8	в		Absent
AAD-787	rs755255559	g.682072 G>A	c.665 G>A	p.R222K	Missense	26.3	D	в	0.000033
AAR-30	1	g.682186 C>G	c.691 C>G	p.L231V ^e	Missense	24.5	Δ		Absent
AAD-756 AAD-252	rs751656037	g.682223 C>T	c.728 C>T	p.P243L	Missense	26.9	Δ	Δ	0.000015
AAR-257	I	g.682363 G>C	c.787–1 G>C	1	Splice acceptor	28.5	1	ı	Absent
AAD-984	I	g.682371 G>A	c.794 G>A	p.G265D	Missense	26.7	D	Δ	Absent
AAD-600	I	g.682400–682401	c.824delT	p.L275RfsTer11	Frameshift deletion	I	I	I	Absent
AAD-804	1	g.682426 C>G	c.849 C>G	p.N283K	Missense	23.1	۵	۵	Absent
cDNA compleme ^a Predicted to be (^b Variants present ^c Composite heter ^d Variants in <i>cis</i> . ^e Homozygous var	ntary DNA, <i>MAF</i> rr deleterious (D) or t in v2.1.1 and/or v. ozygote variants. iants.	inor allele frequency. enign (B). 3.							

ROUX et al



Fig. 1 Variants of STUB1 detected in 30 families. The CHIP protein (NP_005852.2) harbors three-tetratricopeptide repeat domains (TPR1–3) and a U-box domain acting as a ubiquitin ligase. Variants detected in our cohort are indicated according to their location on the protein sequence. Novel variants are shown above the protein structure and variants that have been described elsewhere are shown below. In italics: amino acid positions already associated with SCA48 or SCAR16 elsewhere. In green: substitutions with recessive inheritance. In red: amino acid changes detected in unrelated patients.

in 29 women and 11 men (44.1 \pm 13.7 vs. 39.3 \pm 11.6, p = 0.310). In heterozygous carriers, the age at onset ranged from 23 to 74 years with high variability. In one family (SAL-338), the heterozygous father was unaffected at 65 years of age indicating age-dependent penetrance.

Symptoms at onset were unsteadiness in most (79%; 39/49), associated with dysarthria in 46% (18/39). Strikingly, cognitive impairment, mostly dysexecutive, was observed and sometimes predominant in 54% (26/48) of the patients. One patient with intellectual deficit (SAL-399-729-1) harbored compound heterozygous variants. The evaluation of cognitive impairment by the neurologists at bedside concluded to the presence of a frontal syndrome with predominant behavioral changes for 14/26 patients (disinhibition, aggressiveness) but no evident aphasia, memory loss, or apraxia. These behavioral changes were reminiscent of what is generally observed in patients with Huntington disease (HD) and indeed, HD was suspected in three families based on clinical symptoms (Table 2). Pyramidal signs were reported in 43% of patients. There was no wasting or sensory loss. Movement disorders such as myoclonus, dystonic postures or chorea, were present in 57%. Diplopia, ptosis, nystagmus, or saccadic pursuit was sometimes present but ophthalmoplegia was rare. The stage of disability varied from 1 to 6. Patients with onset before age 40 (n = 14) showed a more severe functional involvement than those with later onset (disability stage 3.1 vs. 2.8, p = 0.008), although with a longer follow-up in patients affected before 40 years of age (9.7 vs. 7.1 years, p = 0.45).

The most significant difference appeared to be between patients from two families with recessive inheritance (one compound heterozygote and one homozygote *STUB1* variant carrier) relative to those with dominant inheritance. Patients biallelic for *STUB1* variants were younger at onset (19.3 vs. 42.4, p < 0.05) and their symptoms tended to be more severe than those who were heterozygous (disability stage 5.3 vs. 2.9, p = 0.40, despite a similar disease duration of 8.2 vs. 10 years). However, cognitive impairment was observed in both groups.

1854

Given the cognitive phenotype of patients with *STUB1* pathogenic variants, we analyzed exome sequencing data from 115 patients with FTD for pathogenic variants in this gene. The same criteria for variants selection were applied. For 13 patients, an FTD gene had been previously identified (*C9orf72, GRN, MAPT, TARDPB,* and *VCP*). Contrary to what was observed in the patients with ataxia, we detected no potential *STUB1* candidate variant in the FTD patients. Overall, these findings favor the strong enrichment of rare *STUB1* variations in SCAs relative to FTD (30/440 vs. 0/115, Fisher's exact test p = 0.0017). No variant was found in the exome sequencing data of four HD-like families, for which there was no ataxia associated with chorea.

Aside from the identification of *STUB1* pathogenic variants in exome sequencing or gene panels, we detected potential second hits in five families. In one of the two families carrying *STUB1* p.Y49C (AAD-541, Supplementary Fig. 1A), a previously unreported variant of *AFG3L2* (rs753000167, p.A484P, CADD = 33, MAF gnomAD < 1.10^{e-5}), a gene associated with SCA28, was found to segregate with the disease (Supplementary Fig. 1A). However, the phenotype of this family is not typical of SCA28, given the cognitive impairment and lack of ophthalmoplegia. In addition, the same *STUB1* variant was found in another family (SAL-2352). This favors *STUB1* as the causal gene. Nevertheless, it cannot be ruled out that the *AFG3L2* variant, which cosegregates with the *STUB1* variant, could contribute to the phenotype.

In a second family (AAD-452, Supplementary Fig. 1C), a variant was found in *PRKCG* (SCA14, p.H347R, CADD = 20.3, absent from gnomAD). We previously published this substitution as a variant of unknown significance (VUS).¹² The additional *STUB1* variant found in this patient (p.N65S) has already been associated with SCAR16 and described to impair the ability of CHIP to ubiquitinate heat shock protein 70 in vitro and to decrease CHIP protein levels in the fibroblasts of variants carriers.¹⁶

Two families (AAD-075 and AAD-391) were previously screened for the CAG/CAA repeats in TBP (SCA17) and found to have a number of repeats close to or at the threshold of a positive diagnosis for SCA17. Probands of families AAD-075 (STUB1 p.A113D, CADD = 29; absent from gnomAD) and AAD-391 (STUB1 p.R154C, CADD = 28.2, absent from gnomAD) carried 41 and 46 CAG/CAA repeats, respectively. It is known that the CAG/CAA repeat length in the TBP gene is highly variable and the number of glutamines encoded by CAG/CAA repeats ranges from 25 to 42 in the US population, excluding SCA17 in family AAD-075.18 The detection of 46 repeats in cases from family AAD-391 and the presence of positive neuronal intranuclear inclusions containing polyglutamines in a neuropathological case led us to previously consider this family as SCA17.¹⁹ However, there is growing evidence that not only the size of the CAG/CAA repeat but also the size of the pure (CAG)_n play a major role in defining the pathological threshold.²⁰ Therefore, the TBP expansion in family AAD-391 may be causal or act in concert with the STUB1 variant (Supplementary Fig. 1B).

			_	wasting LL	-		oss			d sense				hological	<i>TBP</i> 46 CAG, Ilbar	spastic gait				(MAPT, neg),	ılbar			ions			-	aslias		
	Other		TBP 38/4	<i>HTT</i> neg,	Wasting I		Hearing lo	Scoliosis		Decreased				Neuropat	<i>HTT</i> neg, pseudobu	HTT neg	FTLD like		10	FTLD like C90RF72 wasting l	Pseudobu			Fasciculat		Epilepsy	Decreased	VIDIATION	Eniloney	rpirepsy
	Abnormal movements		Myoclonus	Chorea	1	Myoclonus	11	Dystonia, retrocollis			Tremor		Hypokinesia, facial chorea	Diffuse chorea, facial dystonia	Hypokinesia	At age 57 chorea, dementia	Hypokinesia, mvokvmia		Axial hypertonus	Dystonia	Dysphonia			Dystonia neck	5	Head tremor Tremor,	picalilyoddii	Tremor		
	EPR		No	No ++	No absent	Yes	No ++ No	Yes ++	Yes	Yes	No	No	+ No	No; ++	Q	No ++	No ++	No	Yes +/-	No ++	No ++	No	+ No +	No	Yes +	No + Yes ++	Yes ++	No		res +
	Oculomotor		S	1	Ny, S	÷	Ny, O No	Ny, S	Ny, S	Ny, S	S	Ny	S	No	S	No	Ny		No	N	No	Di, Pt	A, SS	SS	Di, N, S	N, S, O S, SS	s, 0	SS, A		NO
	Cognitive impairment		No	Yes	No	Yes (apathy, behavior)	No Yes (MMSE 22/30)	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes (MMSE 22/30)	Yes, aggressive, behavioral	Yes (frontal, MMSE 27/30)	Yes (frontal, behavior)	Yes (CCAS, MMSE 27/30. grasping)	- -	Yes (MMSE 8/30)	Yes (frontal behavior, apraxia)	Yes (CCAS)	Yes (frontal)	Yes (frontal, behavior)	No	No	No Yes (apathy)	No	No		res
variants.	Disability stage 1–7 (SARA)		3 (12)	4	9		۳. ۲	5	m	4	ſ	ε	ß	ε	m	m	2	5		2 (5 at age 69)	m	2 (7,5)	ъ	1 (7)	3 (12)	3 (11,5) 2 (11)	1 (6,5)	4		n
th STUB1 v	Signs at onset		U, wainht locc	Py	n	U, Dy	C		U, Dy, Py	U, Dy, Py	⊃	∍	C, U	U, D, C		D			U, Dy	C, weight loss	U, Dy	U, Dy, C	U, Dy	П	Л	u, Dy, Py U		D	4	u, uy
from 30 families wi	Age at examination (age at death), years		49	55 (67)	59	33	59 56	43	32	39	33	69	53	57 (62)	44 (45)	47 (58)	58	44	63	53	61	41	49	58	36	34 51	68	52	(53
50 patients	Age at onset, years		44	40	30	27	51 55	30	29	23	29	60	38	47	30	30	52	27	53	40	51	38	41		25	30 50			07	40
cs of !	Sex		Σ	≥	Σ	≥	33	≥	≥	≥	Σ	≥	≥	≥	≥	Σ	≥	≥	≥	Σ	≥	≥	≥	≥	Σ	≥≥	≥	≥	147	>
ical characteristi	Family history	ole heterozygotes	AD (daughter of	AD (mother dementia at 70)	AR	AR consanguinity	AD AD (sister of AAD	AD-262-4) AD-262-4)	AR	AR (sister of SAL- 338-003)	AR (brother of SAL 338-003)	AD (affected mother. died at 72)	AD (mother, died at age 73)	AD (mother, died at	AD (father dementia, died at 53)	Isolated	AD (affected mother. died at 65)	AD (mother died at 54)	AD (Son affected at 39)	AD (affected mother at age 60)	AD (sister of AAD- 541–19)	AD (daughter of AAD 541–19)	AD (affected mother)	AD (mother dementia)	AD (daughter of AAR-579–1)	AD (son) AD (sister of	AD (sister of	AD (mother affected	AD (mothor	אם (וווסחובו
Table 2 Clir	Ð	Patients: simp	AAD-075-24	AAD-075-21	AAD-252-3	AAR-257-1	AAD-262–4 AAD-262–8	AAD-262-11	SAL- 338-003	SAL- 338-004	SAL- 338-005	SAL- 345-008	SAL- 345-013	SAL- 345-015	AAD-391-11	SAL-399–91	AAD-412-6	AAD-452-14	AAD-525-11	AAD-541–19	AAD-541-17	AAD-541-20	AAD-575-20	AAR-579-1	AAR-579–3	AAR-579–5 AAR-579–8	AAR-579-10	AAD-600-3	A A D 766 17	

ARTICLE

Table 2 cont	inued										
Ð	Family history	Sex	Age at onset, years	Age at examination (age at death), years	Signs at onset	Disability stage 1–7 (SARA)	Cognitive impairment	Oculomotor	EPR	Abnormal movements	Other
AAD-787-7	AD (affected mother, died at 79)	z	50	52	U, Dy	m	No	S, SS	Yes ++	Tremor, orthostatic hypotension	
AAD-804-7	AD (affected mother, died at 77)	≥	48	61	Dy	2	No	No	No	5	
AAD-8455	Isolated	≥	65	76	U	ŝ	Yes (frontal, CCAS, MMSE 18/30)		No		CJD-like
AAD-869-1	AD (mother affected at age 73)	≥	65	66	U, Dy	4	Yes	A	No		Facial dysmorphia
AAD-879–11	AD (mother affected at 50, died at 72)	Σ	44	54	c, U	2 (7,5)	Yes	S	No		Cataract
AAD-984-1	AD (affected mother, died at 79)	≥	67	76	U, Dy	5 (18,5)	Yes (MMSE 21/30, BREF 10/18)	S, SS	No	FXTAS-like	Cataract
AAD-987-1	AD (affected mother, died at 85)	Σ	60	71	D	2	Yes (impulsive, aggressive, BREF 9/ 18)	Di, Pt	Yes +/-	Tremor, rigidity	
AAD-9872	AD (daughter of AAD-987–1)	≥	40	44	U, Dy	2	No	Di, Pt	No	Hypokinesia	
AAD-987-3	AD (daughter)	≥	40	42	U, Dy	1 (4)	No	No	No ++		
AAD-1013-1	AD (mother affected at age 51)	≥	27	30	Ē	2 (4,5)	No	N, S	No	Cervical dystonia	
AAD-1013-2	AD (brother)	Σ	Asymptomatic	28	I	1 (4,5)	No	S	No		
SAL-2352-9	AD	≥		51	υ	-			No	Dystonia	
SAL- 2352–14	AD (brother of SAL- 2352–9)	Σ	37	45	U, Dy	3 (12,5)	Yes (behavior)	S, SS, A	No	Chorea, myoclonus	
SAL- 2352–29	AD (niece of SAL- 2352–9)	≥	37	45	U, Dy	3 (12)	Yes (behavior)	SS, A	No	Chorea, myoclonus	
SAL- 2352–16	AD (sister of SAL- 2352–9)	≥									
15S0349	Isolated	≥	74	66	Л	9	Yes	N, SS, O	No	Tremor, hypokinesia	
14GX000693	Isolated	N			Л		Yes (mild)				
Patients: com	pound heterozygote	or hoi	mozygotes								
SAL- 399–729–1	AR	Σ	20	29		6 (27)	Yes (IQ 55)	N, S	No		
SAL- 399–729–2	AR (sister)	≥	21	27		m	Yes		Yes	Voice and neck dystonia	
AAR-30-5	Isolated	Σ	17	29 (37)		7	Yes (MMSE 9/30)		Yes		Hypogonadism, Urinary incontinence Dysphagia
Blank cells indic	ate missing data.		AB sufference	DDFF consistent hout	a official office of the second of the secon	and and and and a	tions of socialities in an		apolar coao	iti officertic officertic	

A oculomotor apraxia, AD autosomal dominant, AR autosomal recessive, BREF rapid battery evaluation of executive functions, C cognitive impairment, CCAS cerebellar cognitive affective syndrome, CJD Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease, consang consanguinity, Di diplopia, Dy dysarthria, EPR extensor plantar response, MMSE Mini Mental State Examination (max best 30), Ny nystagmus, O ophthalmoplegia, Pt ptosis, S saccadic pursuit, SARA Scale for the Assessment and Rating of Ataxia (max worse 40), SS slow saccades, U unsteadiness.

ARTICLE



Fig. 2 Cerebral magnetic resonance image (MRI) of two patients of family AAD-541 with the *STUB1* p.Y49C variant. (a–e) T1-weighted sagittal brain MRI slices. (a–c) Index case with atrophy of the cerebellum (a,b), vermis (a), and hemispheres (b) and no atrophy of the cortex (c). (d–e) Similar cerebellar atrophy in the second patient (his daughter).

Finally, we detected a second homozygous variant in family AAR-030, in accordance with the supposed mode of inheritance. This substitution, located in *ATM* (rs587779876, p.E299G), was reported as a VUS in the ClinVar database. Moreover, the homozygous p.L231V *STUB1* variant explains the phenotype of ataxia with hypogonadism, Gordon Holmes–like syndrome. The transmitting parent and grand-parent carried the *STUB1* variant without obvious ataxic or cognitive impairment at age 58 and 72, respectively. In addition, we did not detect any elevation of alpha-fetoprotein, the hallmark of ataxia telangiectasia, or immunological disorder, ruling out *ATM* as a causal gene in this family.

Case reports

Family AAD-541 (Supplementary Fig. 1A)

The index case, a 70-year-old man, presented with psychiatric symptoms, such as aggressive behavior, emotional indifference, and apathy at age 40. At first neurological examination at age 53, the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) was 24/30 and a frontal syndrome was noted. There was no apraxia, aphasia, or memory loss. Neurological examination revealed an ataxic gait, dysmetria of the upper limbs, and cerebellar dysarthria. He stopped working at age 54. On follow-up at age 70, neuropsychological evaluation showed a severe CCAS. The frontal syndrome was at the forefront, with dorsolateral and orbitofrontal features. The patient had a severe dysexecutive syndrome (BREF 6/18) and affective deficits, with behavioral impairment and irritability. Moreover, the evaluation underlined attentional impairment with working memory deficits. Neurological examination showed ataxic gait, dysmetria of the four limbs, oculomotor signs with a saccadic pursuit and multidirectional nystagmus, and severe dysarthria without aphasia. The Scale for the Assessment and Rating of Ataxia (SARA) score was 26 (maximum worse score 40). There was no ptosis or ophthalmoplegia, or pyramidal syndrome or motor deficit. Cerebral magnetic resonance image (MRI) showed global cerebellar atrophy (Fig. 2).

The symptoms of his daughter, a 43-year-old woman, started at age 38 with dysarthria. She experienced a depressive syndrome for two years. Neuropsychological evaluation showed clear dysexecutive syndrome with a deficit in lexical access and slower information processing. Orbitofrontal features were also present, with apathy and emotional recognition impairment. Spatial cognition deficits were noted, with visual construction impairment. Other cognitive functions were preserved. Ocular movement recordings showed no cerebellar features but an increase of errors in the antisaccades test, suggestive of a frontal syndrome. There was no ptosis, ophthalmoplegia, or pyramidal signs. Her cerebral MRI also showed clear cerebellar atrophy (Fig. 2). As mentioned above a variant in AFG3L2 (p.A484P) was reported, as well as a variant in STUB1 (p.Y49C), which both segregated with the disease (Supplementary Fig. 1A).

Family SAL-2352

The index case was a 51-year-old woman at onset with behavior impairment, emotional lability, and irritability characterized as frontal syndrome. She presented with chorea associated with mild dystonia, mild plastic rigidity, and mild gait ataxia. Reflexes were elevated in the lower limbs without a Babinski sign. The first hypothesis was HD but genetic testing for CAG repeat expansion in *HTT* was normal. She was re-evaluated two years later and showed additional unsteadiness and dysarthria.

Her niece presented with similar symptoms with onset at age 37, mild chorea, myoclonus, and plastic rigidity in the upper limbs. A cerebellar syndrome was observed with unsteadiness, dysarthria, saccadic pursuit, slow saccades, and oculomotor apraxia. Her SARA score was 12.5/40. Behavioral changes were also present. In this family, we detected the same *STUB1* variant (p.Y49C) as that in family AAD-541 was detected, these two family being unrelated.

Neuropathological case (family SAL-345): clinical and neuropathological description

A second family presented with a Huntington-like phenotype. The index case reported irritability and aggressiveness with onset at 47 years of age. Her clinical examination also showed diffuse chorea, facial dystonia, mild rigidity in the right upper limb, and a cerebellar syndrome, with unsteadiness and dysarthria. She died at age 62 after severe status epilepticus secondary to brain trauma after a fall from her height. The brain was examined (Fig. 3).

Her sister presented with similar symptoms. Onset was reported at age 38. First examination at age 45 showed an extrapyramidal syndrome with hypokinesia and axial rigidity (without DOPA sensibility), facial chorea, cognitive impairment (MMSE 23/30, Mattis Dementia Rating Scale 120), a cerebellar syndrome with unsteadiness, saccadic pursuit, and dysarthria. She further developed scoliosis at age 55, a pyramidal syndrome in the lower limbs, with increased reflexes and moderate spasticity, and epilepsy at 64. Her brain MRI showed cerebellar atrophy, diffuse cortical atrophy, and atrophy of the pons. She died at age 73.

Her aunt also presented a cerebellar syndrome with unsteadiness and nystagmus with onset at age 60. In this family, exome sequencing revealed the presence of a *STUB1* variant (p.A46P), currently absent from gnomAD with a CADD = 24.

The cerebellum was severely atrophic. The atrophy was severe in the vermis and less marked in the hemispheres. The inferior, middle, and superior cerebellar peduncles were normal, as well as the pontine nuclei. At microscopic examination, the loss of Purkinje cells was nearly complete in the vermis and extensive in the hemispheres; "empty baskets" were numerous. Bergmann glia were hyperplastic and hypertrophic. Axonal torpedoes were observed in the granular layer. Neuronal loss in the granular layer of the cerebellum was of variable severity predominating at the top of the lobules and immediately under the Purkinje cell layer. The dentate nucleus was normal. The olive was moderately affected with mild neuronal loss, and a few hypertrophic neurons, some being vacuolated (fenestrated); astrogliosis was marked. The presence of rare extrapyramidal pigments provided a direct evidence of mild neuronal loss in the substantia nigra. The subthalamic nucleus, the striatum, and the pallidum were normal. The hippocampus was macroscopically normal; at microscopic examination, the numerical density of neurons was normal. Tau (AT8) immunohistochemistry revealed infrequent pretangles and a few neuropil threads. The cerebral cortex was not atrophic. There was no neuronal loss. Rare p62 nuclear inclusions were seen in the frontal cortex and hippocampus (Fig. 3). No inclusions were seen after immunostaining with antibodies against polygluta-mine (1C2 antibody), Tau (AT8), TDP-43 (polyclonal Euromedex), PrP (12F10), ubiquitin (polyclonal Dako), p62 (Clone 3/P62 Becton Dickinson), or α -synuclein (5G4).

DISCUSSION

We report a very large *STUB1* screening of 440 ataxic families that revealed 26 variants of *STUB1*, in 30 families accounting for 7% of this cerebellar cohort. The pathogenic variants were all absent from public databases or ultrarare variants and predicted to be pathogenic.

Our study revealed an unexpectedly high rate of STUB1 variations in a large ataxia cohort. It is unlikely that we found rare variants in this gene by chance. First, we did not detect any rare variants in an additional series of 115 patients with FTD. Second, we selected STUB1 loss-of-function/missense variants with a CADD > 20 and a MAF below 0.001 in the gnomAD project v2.1.1/v3. In the gnomAD databases (both v2.2 and v3), the frequency of rare STUB1 variant carriers is \approx 0.0014, which is significantly lower than in our ataxia cohort (chi-square test p < 0.0001). Third, we detected five recurrent pathogenic variants in unrelated patients with ataxia. These pathogenic variants are absent from gnomAD (p.N65S, p.C69Y, and pK143del) or very rare (p.Y49C and p.P243L, MAF \leq 0.00003), the p.P243L and p.N65S variants having already been reported in SCAR16.^{16,21} Overall, there is a significant enrichment of rare STUB1 variants predicted to be pathogenic.

Strikingly, there was a clear deviation of the sex ratio among STUB1 patients and their transmitting parent, in favor of women (70% and 94%, respectively). This observation raises the question of the sex-dependent penetrance of STUB1 pathogenic variants. Of note, 48% (36/75) of women were asymptomatic among siblings in STUB1 families vs. 65% (30/46) in men (chi-square test p = 0.06). Although not all individuals were genotyped for STUB1 pathogenic variants, this observation is in line with the study of Lieto et al. In their study 8/11 patients were women, with a single case of incomplete penetrance in a male obligate carrier.⁸ A systematic screening of all relatives in STUB1 families would allow to confirm this hypothesis. Gene expression data for STUB1 in the GTEx database (GTEx Analysis Release V8; https://gtexportal.org/home/) show that, conversely to most noncerebral tissues tested, the expression of STUB1 tends to be lower in women than men in the brain, notably in the cerebellum. As CHIP levels are much lower in the fibroblasts of STUB1 variant carriers, women with lower amounts of CHIP in brain tissues may be more sensitive to haploinsufficiency. Heterozygous carriers showed significantly later onset and a less severe disease than compound heterozygous or homozygous STUB1 variant carriers. This suggests that the underlying mechanism is haploinsufficiency.



Fig. 3 Neuropathology of SAL-345–015 who carried the *STUB1* p.A46P variant. (a–d) Hematoxylin–eosin staining showing cerebellar changes. (a) Vermis showing the loss of Purkinje cells and prominent Bergmann glia. The Purkinje cell layer is indicated by an asterisk. Two empty baskets are shown by the arrowheads and prominent Bergmann glia by arrows. Scale bar = $50 \,\mu$ m. (b) Vermis showing the loss of granule neurons, responsible for the spongiotic aspect of the granular layer (e.g., in the region indicated by the arrows). Scale bar = $50 \,\mu$ m. (c) Cerebellar hemisphere, showing a pyknotic nucleus in a Purkinje cell (arrow). Scale bar = $20 \,\mu$ m. (d) Cerebellar hemisphere showing a "torpedo" (arrow) below the cell body of a Purkinje cell. Scale bar = $20 \,\mu$ m. (e, f) Hematein–eosin staining of the dentate nucleus and substantia nigra. (e) Dentate nucleus, showing numerous neurons visible (arrowheads). There is also evidence of astrogliosis (increased number of astrocytes with clear nucleus). Scale bar = $50 \,\mu$ m. (f) Substantia nigra, showing extraneuronal melanin pigments (arrows) and evidence of neuronal loss. Scale bar = $30 \,\mu$ m. (g, h) Hippocampus and neocortex. (g) AT8 tau immunohistochemistry showing two pretangles in the CA1 sector of the cornu ammonis. Scale bar = $30 \,\mu$ m. (h) Superior temporal gyrus (Brodmann area 22) showing normal numerical density of the neurons and type 2 Alzheimer gliosis: clear astrocytic nuclei are visible (arrow). Hematein–eosin stain. Scale bar = $30 \,\mu$ m.

The fact that variants discovered in a recessive form of the disease give rise to a milder and later phenotype in a heterozygous state is reminiscent of variants of *GRID2* and *SPG7*.^{22,23} For *GRID2* pathogenic variants, the phenotype spans from mild adult onset in the heterozygous state, less pronounced in women, to congenital onset ataxia in the homozygous state. For *SPG7*, we described mildly affected parents from compound heterozygous children.

Very strikingly, 54% of patients who were evaluated presented with cognitive impairment. Dementia is rarely seen as a prominent sign in SCAs caused by CAG-triplet repeat expansions. In contrast, SCAs not caused by polyglutamine expansions show slower disease progression and cognitive impairment is more common.²⁴ Frontal dysfunction has been observed in patients with only cerebellar lesions as described by Schmahmann concerning the features of the CCAS.^{5,6} The cerebellum has been shown to play a key role in social cognition and patients with cerebellar disorders find it difficult to attribute emotions to facial expression.^{25,26} Cognitive decline has been associated with STUB1 pathogenic variants.^{4,8} Cerebellar MRIs and neuropathological examinations did not reveal frontal or general cortical atrophy. Such preservation of the frontal lobe, in contrast to the severe atrophy of the cerebellum in both SCAR16 and SCA48 patients, favors CCAS.^{2,4,8,17} Moreover, the absence of any STUB1 variant in 115 FTD patients suggests a specific frontal lobe-like impairment associated with ataxia.

STUB1 pathogenic variants were detected in SCA patients without CAG repeat expansions in *ATN1*, *ATXN1*, *ATXN2*, *ATXN3*, *CACNA1A*, *ATXN7*, and *TBP*. In a few families, a potential second hit could have been involved in the disease as well. We found an additional *STUB1* p.R154C variant in patient who carried 46 repeats in *TBP*. Interestingly, this variant segregated with the 46 CAG expansion in the aunt of our case in this large Belgian family (Supplementary Fig. 1B). Other DNA samples were not available. The neuropathology of the aunt, carrying both pathogenic variants, showed numerous 1C2 inclusions and major cerebellar atrophy with a normal pons.¹⁹ The latter phenotype was also found in our neuropathological case lacking inclusions.

Another intriguing case was the heterozygous p.N65S *STUB1* variant detected in three families. This variant was already reported as causal leading to SCAR16 in three children from the same family, with disease onset before two years of age.¹⁶ Here, we show that heterozygous carriers may become symptomatic later with the age at onset ranging from 27 up to 55 years. Notably, the patient with the earliest age at onset, of 27 years, also harbored an additional *PRKCG* p.H347R variant (Supplementary Fig. 1C). Thus, such early onset may have been due to the synergistic action of two variants.

Previously published neuropathological features in a patient with recessive or dominant *STUB1*-linked ataxia were similar to ours.^{14,27} Major loss of Purkinje cells and a severe decrease in neurons of the granular layer in the cerebellum, but a preserved cerebral cortex were notably noticed. We could not

confirm the STUB1 product mislocalization in absence of CHIP protein assessment. Cortical atrophy and nuclear inclusions were identified only in the family with the combined *TBP/STUB1* pathogenic variants, probably due to CAG repeat expansion in TBP.¹⁹

This study strongly suggests that *STUB1* genetic variations may represent a relatively common cause of dominant cerebellar ataxia, either alone or in combination with another ataxia-related gene variant. The frequent cognitive features (frontal syndrome and behavioral impairments as observed in HD) associated with cerebellar ataxia are a hallmark of this form. Thus, physicians should be aware of the involvement of *STUB1* in families with the association of ataxia and cognitive decline.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-020-0899-x) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Anonymized data from this study will be shared by request from any qualified investigator.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are very grateful to the patients and their families for participating. Many thanks to Ludivine Chamard, Pierre Clavelou, Nathalie Daluzeau, Didier Deffond, Bernard Floccard, Pascal Labouret, Isabelle Le Ber, Roberto Marconi, Pascal Menage, Michel Obadia, Elisabeth Ollagnon Roman, Anne-Marie Ouvrard-Hernandez, Michel Rendu, Vincent de la Sayette, Xavier Soulages, Philippe Svrin, Maya Tchikvildazé, Jacques Touchon, Urielle Ullmann, Fausto Viader, Francois Viallet, and Marc Wagner, who referred cases. Many thanks to the Pitié-Salpêtrière Brain and DNA and cell banks. Members of the SPATAX network are listed in Supplementary data. Funding provided by Grand Prix Lamonica de Neurologie (Académie des Sciences).

DISCLOSURE

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

REFERENCES

- Shi Y, Wang J, Li J-D, et al. Identification of CHIP as a novel causative gene for autosomal recessive cerebellar ataxia. PLoS One. 2013;8: e81884.
- Shi C-H, Schisler JC, Rubel CE, et al. Ataxia and hypogonadism caused by the loss of ubiquitin ligase activity of the U box protein CHIP. Hum Mol Genet. 2014;23:1013–1024.
- Gazulla J, Izquierdo-Alvarez S, Sierra-Martínez E, Marta-Moreno ME, Alvarez S. Inaugural cognitive decline, late disease onset and novel STUB1 variants in SCAR16. Neurol Sci. 2018;39:2231–2233.
- Genis D, Ortega-Cubero S, San Nicolás H, et al. Heterozygous STUB1 mutation causes familial ataxia with cognitive affective syndrome (SCA48). Neurology. 2018;91:e1988.

- Schmahmann JD. The cerebellum and cognition. Neurosci Lett. 2019; 688:62–75.
- Schmahmann JD, Sherman JC. The cerebellar cognitive affective syndrome. Brain. 1998;121(Pt 4):561–579.
- De Michele G, Lieto M, Galatolo D, et al. Spinocerebellar ataxia 48 presenting with ataxia associated with cognitive, psychiatric, and extrapyramidal features: a report of two Italian families. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2019;65:91–96.
- Lieto M, Riso V, Galatolo D, et al. The complex phenotype of spinocerebellar ataxia type 48 in eight unrelated Italian families. Eur J Neurol. 2020;27:498–505.
- 9. Gorno-Tempini ML, Hillis AE, Weintraub S, et al. Classification of primary progressive aphasia and its variants. Neurology. 2011;76:1006–1014.
- Rascovsky K, Hodges JR, Knopman D, et al. Sensitivity of revised diagnostic criteria for the behavioural variant of frontotemporal dementia. Brain. 2011;134(Pt 9):2456–2477.
- Mariani L-L, Tesson C, Charles P, et al. Expanding the spectrum of genes Involved in Huntington disease using a combined clinical and genetic approach. JAMA Neurol. 2016;73:1105–1114.
- Coutelier M, Coarelli G, Monin M-L, et al. A panel study on patients with dominant cerebellar ataxia highlights the frequency of channelopathies. Brain. 2017;140:1579–1594.
- Kircher M, Witten DM, Jain P, O'Roak BJ, Cooper GM, Shendure J. A general framework for estimating the relative pathogenicity of human genetic variants. Nat Genet. 2014;46:310–315.
- Bettencourt C, de Yébenes JG, López-Sendón JL, et al. Clinical and neuropathological features of spastic ataxia in a Spanish family with novel compound heterozygous mutations in STUB1. Cerebellum. 2015; 14:378–381.
- Hayer SN, Deconinck T, Bender B, et al. STUB1/CHIP mutations cause Gordon Holmes syndrome as part of a widespread multisystemic neurodegeneration: evidence from four novel mutations. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2017;12:31.
- Heimdal K, Sanchez-Guixé M, Aukrust I, et al. STUB1 mutations in autosomal recessive ataxias—evidence for mutation-specific clinical heterogeneity. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2014;9:146.

- Synofzik M, Schüle R, Schulze M, et al. Phenotype and frequency of STUB1 mutations: next-generation screenings in Caucasian ataxia and spastic paraplegia cohorts. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2014;9:57.
- Gostout B, Liu Q, Sommer SS. "Cryptic" repeating triplets of purines and pyrimidines (cRRY(i)) are frequent and polymorphic: analysis of coding cRRY(i) in the proopiomelanocortin (POMC) and TATA-binding protein (TBP) genes. Am J Hum Genet. 1993;52:1182–1190.
- Fujigasaki H, Martin JJ, De Deyn PP, et al. CAG repeat expansion in the TATA box-binding protein gene causes autosomal dominant cerebellar ataxia. Brain. 2001;124(Pt 10):1939–1947.
- Genetic Modifiers of Huntington's Disease (GeM-HD) Consortium. CAG repeat not polyglutamine length determines timing of Huntington's disease onset. Cell. 2019;178:887–900.e14.
- Madrigal SC, McNeil Z, Sanchez-Hodge R, et al. Changes in protein function underlie the disease spectrum in patients with CHIP mutations. J Biol Chem. 2019;294:19236–19245.
- Coutelier M, Burglen L, Mundwiller E, et al. GRID2 mutations span from congenital to mild adult-onset cerebellar ataxia. Neurology. 2015;84: 1751–1759.
- Klebe S, Depienne C, Gerber S, et al. Spastic paraplegia gene 7 in patients with spasticity and/or optic neuropathy. Brain. 2012;135(Pt 10):2980–2993.
- 24. Durr A. Autosomal dominant cerebellar ataxias: polyglutamine expansions and beyond. Lancet Neurol. 2010;9:885–894.
- Hoche F, Guell X, Sherman JC, Vangel MG, Schmahmann JD. Cerebellar contribution to social cognition. Cerebellum. 2016;15: 732–743.
- Sayah S, Rotgé J-Y, Francisque H, et al. Personality and neuropsychological profiles in Friedreich ataxia. Cerebellum. 2018;17:204–212.
- Chen D-H, Latimer C, Yagi M, et al. Heterozygous STUB1 missense variants cause ataxia, cognitive decline, and STUB1 mislocalization. Neurol Genet. 2020;6:1–13.

SPATAX network

Alexandra Durr¹¹, Giovanni Stevanin¹¹, Alexis Brice¹¹, Frédéric Darios¹¹, Sylvie Forlani¹¹, Pitié-Salpêtrière Site¹², Guillaume Banneau¹², Cécile Cazeneuve¹², Perrine Charles¹³, Charles Duyckaerts¹⁴, Bertrand Fontaine¹⁴, Jean-Philippe Azulay¹⁴, Odile Boesfplug-Tanguy^{15,16}, Cyril Goizet¹⁷, Didier Hanneguin¹⁸, Jamilé Hazan¹⁹, Andrea Burgo²⁰, Christophe Verny²¹, Michel Koenig²², Pierre Labauge²³, Cecilia Marelli²⁴, Karine N'guyen²⁵, Diana Rodriguez²⁶, Soraya Belarbi²⁷, Abdelmadjid Hamri²⁸, Meriem Tazir²⁹, Sylvia Boesch³⁰, Massimo Pandolfo³¹, Jardim Laura³², Velina Guergueltcheva³³, Ivalo Tournev³³, Olga Lucia Pedraza Linarès³⁴, Jørgen E. Nielsen³⁵, Kirsten Svenstrup³⁶, Maha Zaki³⁷, Peter Bauer³⁸, Lüdger Schöls³⁹, Rebecca Schüle³⁹, Alexander Lossos⁴⁰, Maria-Teresa Bassi⁴¹, Manuela Basso⁴², Enrico Bertini⁴³, Alfredo Brusco⁴⁴, Carlo Casali⁴⁵, Giorgio Casari⁴⁶, Chiara Criscuolo⁴⁷, Alessandro Filla⁴⁸, Laura Orsi⁴⁹, Filippo M. Santorelli⁵⁰, Enza Maria Valente⁵¹, Marinela Vavla⁵², Giovanni Vazza⁵³, André Megarbane⁵⁴, Ali Benomar⁵⁵, Berry Kremer⁵⁶, Willeke Van Roon-Mom⁵⁷, Richard Roxburgh⁵⁸, Anne Kjersti Erichsen⁵⁹, Chantal Tallaksen⁵⁹, Isabel Alonso⁶⁰, Paula Coutinho⁶¹, José Léal Loureiro⁶¹, Jorge Sequeiros⁶², Mustapha Salih⁶³, Vladimir S Kostic⁶⁴, Idoia Rouco Axpe⁶⁵, Liena Elsayed⁶⁶, Martin Arce Paucar⁶⁷, Samir Roumani⁶⁸, Soong Bing-Wen⁶⁹, Evan Reid⁷⁰, Nethisinghe Suran⁷¹, Thomas Warner⁷² and Nicholas Wood⁷³

¹¹Sorbonne Université, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris, France; ¹²UF de Neurogénétique Moléculaire et Cellulaire, Paris, France; ¹³Consultation de Génétique Clinique, Paris, France; ¹⁴Service de Neurologie et Pathologies du Mouvement Hôpital d'Adultes de la Timone, Marseille, France; ¹⁵Service de neurologie pédiatrique et des maladies métaboliques–Hôpital Robert Debré, Paris, France; ¹⁶UMR Inserm 931 Faculté de Médecine, Clermont-Ferrand, France; ¹⁷Consultation de Neurogénétique CHU de Bordeaux, Hôpital Pellegrin, Bordeaux, France; ¹⁸Unité de Génétique Clinique Hôpital Charles Nicolle, Rouen, France; ¹⁹INSERM U952 Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France; ²⁰Laboratoire Structure-activités des biomolécules, Inserm 829, Université d'Evry-Val d'Essonne, Essonne, France; ²¹Service de Génétique Département de Neurologie, Unité Charcot, CHU d'Angers, Angers, France; ²²Laboratoire de génétique moléculaire, IURC–Institut Universitaire de Recherche Clinique, Montpellier cedex 5, France; ²³Service de Neurologie Hôpital Caremeau, Place du Professeur Debré, Nîmes, France; ²⁴Service de Neurologie Comportementale et CMRR, CHRU Gui de Chauliac, Montpellier, France; ²⁵Département de Génétique Médicale Unité de Génétique Clinique, Hôpital d'Enfants de la Timone, Marseille, France; ²⁶Service de Neuropédiatrie et Pathologie du Développement Hôpital Armand Trousseau-La Roche-Guyon, Paris, France; ²⁷Service de Neurologie CHU Mustapha, Place du 1er Mai, Algiers, Algeria; ²⁸Service de Neurologie Hôpital Benbadis. Constantine, Algeria; ²⁹Service de Neurologie CHU Mustapha, Place du 1er Mai, Algiers, Algeria; ³⁰Neurology, Medical University, Innsbruck, Austria; ³¹Service de Neurologie Université Libre de Bruxelles, Hôpital Erasme, Route de Lennik 808, Brussels, Belgium; ³²Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Grande do Sul, Brazil; ³³Clinic of Neurology, University Hospital Alexandrovska, Sofia, Bulgaria; ³⁴Departamento Neurociencias Faculté de Médecine, Cra. 18 No. 88-17 (Cons. 305), FUCS, HIUSJ, Bogota, Colombia; ³⁵Department of Medical Genetics Section of Neurogenetics, The Panum Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; ³⁶Section of Neurogenetics, The Panum Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; ³⁷Clinical Genetics Department, Human Genetics and Genome Research Division, National Research Centre, Cairo, Egypt; ³⁸Institute of Medical Genetics and Applied Genomics, University of Tübingen, Tubingen, Germany; ³⁹Department of Neurology and Hertie-Institute for Clinical Brain Research University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany; ⁴⁰Department of Neurology Hadassah-Hebrew University Hospital, Ein Kerem, Jerusalem, Israel; ⁴¹Eugenio Medea, Bosisio Parini, Italy; ⁴²Center for Integrative Biology (CIBIO), University of Trento, Povo, Italy; ⁴³Molecular Medicine & Department of Neurosciences Osp. Bambino Gesù, Roma, ⁴Department of Genetics Biology and Biochemistry University of Turin, Torino, Italy; ⁴⁵Medico-Surgical Sciences and Italy; 4 Biotechnologies, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy; ⁴⁶Vita-Salute San Raffaele University and Human Molecular Genetics Unit San Raffaele Scientific Institute, DIBIT, Milano, Italy; ⁴⁷Clinica Neurologica, Università Degli Studi Di Napoli Federico II, Napoli, Italy; ⁴⁸Dipartimento Di Scienze Neurologiche Clinica Neurologica, Università Degli Studi Di Napoli Federico II, Napoli, Italy; ⁴⁹Department of Neuroscience and Mental Health–Neurological Clinic 1, Universitary Hospital Torino, Turin, Italy; ⁵⁰Molecolare e Malattie Neuromusculari, Neurogenetics Laboratory UOC Neurodegenetic e Medicina, Istituto di Neuropsichiatria Infantile (INPE), IRCCS Fondazione Stella Maris, Calambrone, Italy; ⁵¹Istituto di Genetica Medica « CSS Mendel » Viala Regina Margherita 261, Roma, Italy; ⁵²IRCCS Eugenio Medea Associazione La Nostra Famiglia, Conegliano, Italy; ⁵³Università degli Studi di Padova, Padua, Italy; ⁵⁴Unité de génétique Médicale Université Saint-Joseph Faculté de Médecine, Campus des Sciences Médicales, Rue de Damas, Beirut, Lebanon; ⁵⁵Service de Neurologie B Laboratoire de Neurogénétique, Hôpital des Spécialités, Rabat Instituts, Rabat, Morocco; ⁵⁶H.P. Lebanon; "Service de Neurologie B Laboratoire de Neurogenetique, Hopital des Specialites, Rabat Instituts, Rabat, Morocco; "H.P. H. Department of Human Genetics Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Radboud, The Netherlands; ⁵⁷Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands; ⁵⁸Neurology Department, Auckland City Hospital, Auckland, New Zealand; ⁵⁹Department of Neurology Ullevål University Hospital, Oslo, Norway; ⁶⁰UniGENe, IBMC University of Porto, Porto, Portugal; ⁶¹Serviço de Neurologia Hospital S. Sebastião, Santa Maria da Feira, Portugal; ⁶²UniGENe, IBMC University of Porto, Porto, Porto, Portugal; ⁶³A.M. Department of Pediatrics (39) College of Medicine and KKUH, King Khalid University Hospital, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; ⁶⁴Institute of Neurology Clinical Centre of Serbia, Belgrade, Serbia; ⁶⁵BioCruces Health Research Center Institute, Barakaldo, Spain; ⁶⁶Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, University of Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan; ⁶⁷Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska University, Stockholm, Sweden; ⁶⁸Service of Neurology, Damascus, Syria; ⁶⁹National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan; ⁷⁰Department of Medical Genetics University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom; ⁷¹UCL Institute of Neurology London, London, United Kingdom; ⁷²University Department of Clinical Neurosciences Royal Free and University College Medical School, University College, London, United Kingdom; ⁷³Neurogenetics, Institute of Neurology University Department of Clinical Neurology, The National Hospital, Queen Square, London, United Kingdom.