

CONNECTIVITY and **CREATIVITY** in times of **CONFLICT**

Cumulus Antwerp



2023

Cumulus conference: Connectivity and Creativity in times of Conflict Hosted by the Faculty of Design Sciences, University of Antwerp, Belgium, on April 12-15, 2023 Conference website: Cumulusantwerp2023.org

Published by Cumulus

Cumulus the Global Association of Art and Design Education and Research. Aalto University, School of Arts, Design and Architecture PO BOX 31000, FI-00076 Aalto www.cumulusassociation.org

This publication bears the GPRC label (Guaranteed Peer Reviewed content).

ISSN 2490-046X No. 10

Cumulus Conference Proceedings Series Editor-in-Chief: Cumulus President Lorenzo Imbesi

Publications in the Series

01/17 Kolding, REDO

- 02/17 Bengaluru, Letters to the Future 03/18 Paris, To get there: designing together
- 04/18 Wuxi, Diffused Transition & Design Opportunities 05/19 Rovaniemi, Around the Campfire - Resilience and Intelligence
- 06/19 Bogotá, The Design After
- 07/21 Rome, Design Culture(s) Volume #1, Volume #2
- 08/23 Guayaquil, Arts imagining communities to come
- 09/23 Detroit, Design for Adaptation
- 10/23 Antwerp, Connectivity and Creativity in times of Conflict

Academia Press Coupure Rechts 88 9000 Gent België

www.academiapress.be

Academia Press is a subsidiary of Lannoo Publishers.

ISBN 978 94 014 9647 6 D/2023/45/341 NUR 656/658

Kristof Vaes & Jouke Verlinden (editors) Connectivity and Creativity in times of Conflict. Cumulus Conference Proceedings Antwerp 2023 Gent, Academia Press, 2023, 783 p.

Layout: Keppie & Keppie

© University of Antwerp,

- © Cumulus Association International Association of Universities and Colleges of Art, Design and Media.
- © Kristof Vaes & Jouke Verlinden

© Lannoo Publishers





Preface

Piciace	
Connectivity and Creativity in times of Conflict -	
conference proceedings	VI
Cumulus president's message - Design for	IX
Adaptation in Times of Complexity	IA
Track 1	
Nature positive/design for transformation	1
Editorial	2
Design methodology	
Scenario-building through a systemic lens: a new perspective on tools and methods to design	
for sustainability transitions	4
Intimacy/integrity: a framework for thinking about	-
epistemological styles in design activity	9
Democratizing design: the development of	
a 'Design for Do-It-Yourself' framework	15
The power of imagination: immersive and experiential	
counterfactuals to engage with sustainability	20
Applying human-centered system design to	
the development of a tool for service innovation	25
Pulse approach: integral design project management	
to empower transformative processes	30
Research on design sketch from different disciplines:	0-
overview and directions	35
Researching the invisible: troubling qualitative researc	n 41
design through information architecture	41
Design education	
T+ designers: a case for transdisciplinarity	
in design higher education by way of a South	
African case study	46
Materiality, commons, and design education	51
Representing and shaping regenerative futures:	
a context-specific approach to art and design education.	58
Creative strategies for the learning spaces	00
of the future	62
Implementing SDGs in a product design curriculum,	
or: the value of tap water	67
Design materialization	
Yutaka: how do we prototype the transformative	
change towards nature positive designs with soil	72
Material experience: the future of material selection	
• · · · ·	

for product design	77
Discerning modes of design in ecological restoration	82
From visual to multisensory: how does intangible	
cultural heritage of traditional costume self-remodel	
in digital interactive environment?	87

Designing sustainable furniture: guidelines to promote furniture life cycle design 94

Biophilic approaches in design

Biophilic design for remote studying environments: analysis of case studies involving a collaboration between ergonomics and environmental psychology

Bioreceptive interfaces for biophilic urban resilience	103
Artificial nature: possibilities for mycelial composite	
material design	109
Botanical design: exploring the application of	
parametric plants in furniture	113

Eco-social transitions

Systemic Design Oriented Leadership (SDOL) – a co-created play for eco-social leadership develop)-
ment with the methods of Systems Thinking	118
Design for transformation: unlock competencies	
for coping complexity	122
Change agents: designers interpreting 'the social'	
and 'social' interpretations of design	127
The changing role of designers	
in transition processes	132

Fashion innovations

Fashion design matter: the role of design in guiding	
a sustainable transformation in Europe	137
Convincing fashion consumers to go green:	
a brand communication problem?	142
Prototype dialogues; re-balancing design thinking	
through negotiations with fabrics, form and future	148
Future fashion: new and ancient systems at the inter	-
section of anthropology, ecology and innovation.	152

Urban design & citizen inclusion

Design fiction localised	158
Transit Oriented Development used to formulate des	ign
guidelines for an improved bus network in Malaysia	163
Exploring sustainable ecosystems in the "15-minute"	
urban living circle—take Shanghai Urban Space	
Season 2021 as an example	169
The Unified Citizen Engagement Approach: a design-	
oriented framework for involving citizens in the	
energy transition	174

Design & digitisation

Designing for Viral Infection Awareness through	
PLAYMUTATION	179
Gamifying the low impact customer solution design	183
Connecting to the future; using serious games and	
scenario development for responsible design	189
About utopias, apocalypses, respawning and zombies	3
and how understanding images of space and time	
may inform design for sustainable behaviour	194

Track 2

Digital futures/hybrid reality 199 Editorial 200

New crafts and craftspeople

Fashion Craftsmanship 4.0. Learning experience aboutIndustry 4.0 technologies for hybrid digital fashion-techproducts, processes, and business model design202Crafting hybrid workflows for the design of augmentedtextile artefacts210

Distance: digital immersive technologies and craft	
engagement	214
Notions of hybrid craft production: conversations	
and small-scale experiments in digital fabrication	219

Research through design in the cyber-physical era

Digital synesthesia in product design. Building a	
vocabulary of physical interactions for a sensible	
quantified self	223
Digital content that offers experience of listening	
to crystallized music	228
The body can not be thought: the 'disabled body'	
as a catalyst to develop new paradigms for	
human-computer integration.	232
Metaphysical Instruments: prototypes for hybrid	
and live music-making	236

Redefining the role of design(ers)

Virtual skin: co-creating 3D materials with synesthet	ic
artificial intelligence	241
Cabinets of curiosities for the postcolony II: tokens:	
collections I-V	245
Speculating futures in an age of nostalgia	250
Computational thinking in design and fabrication	
for augmented and accessible museums.	254

Usability and performance of innovations

Usability and UX evaluation of an online interactive	
virtual learning environment: a case study of Wales'	260
Virtual Hospital Design perspectives for the future of work in	260
Industry 5.0 environment: the digital and physical	
space in Augmented Reality uses	266
Assessing the impact of immersive versus desktop	200
virtual reality shopping experiences in the fashion	
industry metaverse	271
A pilot study with the Shaper Origin to determine	211
the learning curve of augmented fabrication	276
Design for and with extended reality	
Introducing the material experience concept in the	
metaverse and in virtual environments	280
Balancing authenticity and creativity: A VR system	
design for assisting in ceramic creation.	287
What is the furniture in the Metaverse for?	292
Design for and with digital fabrication	
Craft in the age of robots	299
Light it up: designing electronic textile with a light	
as a design material	304
Strategy for knowledge transfer in AM as a hybrid	
process chain towards a transition from prototyping	-
to commercialisation	309
Speculative tinkering on circular design materials	~
through 3D printing	317
Flaws as features, new perspectives for developing	000
an additive manufacturing design language	322

The digital on urban scale

Designing smart product-service systems for smart	
cities with 5G technology: the Polaris case study	328

Fantastical reality: designing virtual urban space through extended reality The Metapolis – cities between a ripple and a blur	333 338
Towards data activation and engagement within a smart city	345
Technology driven design education	
Teaching design of technologies for collaborative interaction - an emerging pedagogical framewor A mixed-method approach: virtual reality to co-cre	
future higher education workspaces in a post	
COVID-19 academic environment	357
An attempt to integrate AI-based techniques into year design representation course	first 363
Digital fashion	
The emperor is naked: deconstructed materiality	
in fashion NFTs Dematerializing fashion- improving design-led sus	368 Stoi
nable and hybrid retail experiences via digital twi Fashion archive as a meta medium: unfolding desi	ns 372
knowledge through media technologies	379
Fashion and the metaverse: from omni-channel	
to direct-to-avatar	384
Twools 2	
Track 3 Handle with care/inclusivity	389
Editorial	390
Design for/as communication	000
Encouraging humanitarian assistance in conflict z	ones
through animated public service announcement	s 392
The design of an engaging focus group discussion	
toolkit involving school-aged children following	
urotherapy	397
Inclusive Transformation of age-friendly commun based on digital technology support	402
Taking care of the elderly through the tools of the	402
animated communication design: a useful and	
ethical imperative	408
Pee poo period. Exploring the intersection betwee	n
shame, bodily fluids, and sustainable design	413
Design for diverse users	
Feminist value sensitive design of self-tracking	
technology based on female body data	419
Spatial "mutual altruism" as a relationship of care	
for homeless people. How design impacts social	
re-integration	425
I'll be there for you: exploring a sense of belonging	400
to enhance student engagement Inclusive design in the context of performative ge	429 nder
through product form	433
Landing the internship: the role of gender in finding	
	-

Feminist value sensitive design of self-tracking technology based on female body data Spatial "mutual altruism" as a relationship of care	419
for homeless people. How design impacts social	
re-integration	425
I'll be there for you: exploring a sense of belonging	
to enhance student engagement	429
Inclusive design in the context of performative gend	ler
through product form	433
Landing the internship: the role of gender in finding	
ID internships	438
Object as the tool of recovery - Examining material	
culture of young refugees in Hungary for trauma	
processing	443
The food delivery industry and its lack of care in	
gender equality: the speculative case of 'GiGi'	448
Winning at more than a game! A storytelling board	
game concept to raise awareness about refugees'	
language barriers	455

Care(ful) spaces

Cities for all: co-design interventions on urban featur	es
using inclusive technology	461
Separating Ccovid from non-covid: spatial adaptation	าร
in existing hospital buildings	466
Wayfinding is caring	471
Explore vacant public spaces regeneration to facilitation	te
minor's activities and education under inclusive	
design principles	475
Human-space relationships as narrative processes	
for inclusivity	480
Urban darkness: human experience of atmosphere	
and fear	485
Daily social interactions of hawkers as a catalyst to	
actuating bottom-up spatial justice: experience	
from Hong Kong	489
The city of care through walkability and proximity.	
Researching on and with Generation Alpha on	
urban walkability assessment	494
Hinges, passages and comfort	499
Renewal of urban ecological transportation network	
based on inclusivity design — Take Sydney's	
"Livable Green Network" plan as an example	504
How to take care of the Antwerp modernist social	
housing of Alfons Francken? And how do this housi	ng
blocks take care of its changing population?	510
Inclusive innovation: a study of creative furniture	
design for urban community public space	515
Co-creating care(ful) design	

Co-creating care(ful) design

oo-creating care(rui) design	
Health, care and prosthetics: co-design methodologie	es
in the case of autofabricantes	519
See the unseen: a co-creation design process for	
children with incarcerated parents	524
The power of photovoice: AI support provides voicing	5
opportunities for children in sex education	529
Co-design for the common good: a holistic approach	
to workspace projects	533
Co-designing neighbourhood identities. How to share	3
memories and experiences towards a common	
sense of belonging	538

Design(ers) & learning

Universal design for learning as an inclusive teaching	
methodology for an African art and culture course	
in Ghana	544
Material-led thinking as a practice of care: a strategy	
from art and design education	550
Artful care for self and others in daily	
design practice	555
Material metaphors: method for physicalising	
relations and experiences	560
Design ethos	
A South African approach towards a caring design	
practice	565
Weighing the tensions of nostalgia, necessity,	
and care in contemplating the future of the	

and care in contemplating the future of the	
Nigerian design-scape	570
Food as a form of care: designing social innovative	
processes and practices	575

Designing with posthuman kinship: from posthuman	
theory to human-non human collaborative design	
approaches 5	580
Beyond empathy: how curiosity leads to greater care 5	585

Inclusive approaches to intangible cultural heritage

Convention versus contemporaneity: the affordance	S
of design-led mediation towards sustaining an ance	es-
tral cycle of linen making in Castelões, Portugal	590
Combining care for planet, people and culture	
towards circularity	594
Media art creation process using digitized archetype	
of Korean traditional dance movement	600
Envisioning design strategies for intangible cultural	
heritage activation	604
Sustaining traditional crafts and techniques	

Craft for care, design for life. Heritage contemporary enhancement and communication design tools as a resource for social changes, fostering diversity and inclusion 610 Embroidered heritage: a design-led visual ethnography of traditional Palestinian motifs 615

Adaptation of the built environment

Design for Ukraine's heritage: engaging international	
students during times of war through design	
activism	619
The technical compatibility of vertical greening with	
built heritage	624
New design models for proximity retail and senior	
inclusion	628
Investigating spatial patterns of green infrastructure	
at built heritage sites in Antwerp, Belgium	632
From architecture to community: adaptive reuse	
as social practice	636

Participation and role of communities

randolpation and role of commandes	
Methodology and evaluation of digital assets	
reconstruction of cultural heritage with visitor	
participation in museum	642
Community heritage: an immersive approach to	
disaster resilience	646
Caring for human diversity and built heritage through	۱
design: a multiple case study enquiry	651
Poster abstracts	656
Adding value to the future through design and	
entrepreneurship: PLACE	657
A video game for emotion regulation of	
medical students	658
Video game design for ecological impacts	659
Dwell and move, change ensues	660
Transposing timelines	661
Artificial intelligence-aided type design for	
Chinese script	662
Design and reconstruction of the new interest youth	
community in china in the post-epidemic era	663
Sound E-scape: an interactive, digital application	
for music therapy and soundscape generation	664
Development of existing biophilic interior design	
definition	665

Design-driven approaches to human augmentation. An exploratory study	666
Designing with people: creating a multi-level	000
interdisciplinary design education environment	
for more inclusion	667
Material connotations: meta-structure research	
of practice based projects with invasive species	
plant waste	668
From collecting natural objects to presenting the	
future anthropocene: exhibition design for the	
anthropocene theme in museums	669
Catacombs: refuge on the border of the virtual and	
the real	670
Hybrid specimens: Phygital artefacts at the intersecti	on
of analogue + digital crafts	671
Content management system in mapping movable	
objects	672
FlavourGame: interaction design in hybrid games	673
Bibliometrics in circular design visual representation	674
Inclusivity as a hype phenomenon in advertising	675
Inclusion in recruiting	676
Values, design and educational project: contemporary	У
projections	677
Project Hope : the creative revolution mural, a human	
singularity approach	678
More-than-human ways of thinking through	
felting wool	679
"Care strategies to strengthen heritage structures	
as a community asset during the pandemic:	
the case of Bahay Nakpil-Bautista"	680
A novel offloading insole system designed	
for healthcare	681
Towards an embodied expression of pandemic	
nodes & networks in the age of social distancing	682
Cumulus Phd network	683
Evolution of 'Mashrabiya' in the Middle East & North	
Africa - traditional wood carving technique revival	684
Exploring the potential of material innovation to	
revitalize traditional crafts in Egypt	687
An overview of design suggestions for contemporary	
theatrical VR productions	690
Polymath interpolation in transdisciplinary open-	
ended design – design for conservation	693
Implementation of design culture as a strategic	
innovation through design-oriented industrial	
conversion and product diversification	696
Sustainable transformation of age-friendly	
community centres based on transition design	700
Parametric Joinery. Development of a system	
of configurable joints	704
Designing a ward inventory for a sustainable	
healthcare. Framework for healthcare providers of	
configurations among disposable medical devices,	

clinical procedures, and medical equipment in	
the neonatology department.	707
A safe space of creativity-designing with vulnerable	
female communities	711
The direction of wayfinding. From the identification	
of a place to the expression of its meaning.	715

	Human augmentation: the role of design in the design of on-body interfaces for cognitive-sensorial	
	wellbeing	718
	A conception toward design narratives for innovation	721
	Home away from home - The role of design methods	
	in processing trauma of forced migration and loss	
	of place	725
	Decoloniality and healing: confronting inter-	
	generational trauma/ideologies through	
	architectural preservation and education	728
	The ephemerality of an organic material and its	
	implications: a context specific study with invasive	
	exotic species (Japanese knotweed) waste in Genk,	
	Belgium	731
	-	734
	Feeling the future car: designing for driving pleasure	
	in the era of co-driving	737
	Mediterranean landscapes in emergency: nature	
	and culture	739
	Key Performance Indicators for measuring and	100
	evaluating users' sensory perceptions and behaviors	3
	in learning spaces in higher design education	- 742
	Textile handcraft making and women creators'	
	psychological well-being: a narrative review	746
	Cross-case analysis on the integration of extended	
	reality (XR) with the design and planning of the built	
	environment	750
	Ecosystem services: an interpretive paradigm of	
	urban and territorial heritage. Strategies, guidelines,	
	and vision for sustainable cities	754
	Characteristic analysis of future-oriented design	
	based on cognitive context theory	757
	Digital wellbeing and design	760
	Appropriation and appreciation of Austrian and	
	Indonesian puppetry	763
	Reinventing the gastronomic experience: using	
	interactive digital environments to raise awareness	
	of food-related cultural heritage	766
	Developing cultural heritage sustainability from	
	the perspective of participatory sentimental	
	souvenir design	770
	How does design intervention promote sustainable	
	rural transition: an analytical framework based	
	on the multi-level perspective model	774
	Designing future hybrid creative space using digital	
	tools in educational institutions and organizations	777
Re	eviewers	781



Biophilic design for remote studying environments: analysis of case studies involving a collaboration between ergonomics and environmental psychology

Giovanni Inglese¹, Alessandro Lorenzo Mura², Susana Alves², Marino Bonaiuto², Teresa Villani¹

¹Department of Planning, Design, and Technology of Architecture, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy giovanni.inglese@uniroma1.it teresa.villani@uniroma1.it ²Department of Developmental and Social Psychology, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy Department of Psychology of Development and Socialisation Processes, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy alessandrolorenzo.mura@uniroma1.it

s.alves@uniroma1.it

marino.bonaiuto@uniroma1.it

Abstract

In our post-industrial society, although the remarkable technological advancement allows us endless possibilities, the maturity of the debate on environmental sustainability requires new design, production and consumption strategies, and a radical revision of the concept of development. Our lifestyles increasingly lead us to spend much of our time indoors, and this condition, exacerbated by conflicts and pandemic, has turned the spotlight on the importance of accessibility to the natural environment, put in risk by growing urbanization and global urban population, the upscaling of environmental degradation and the challenges posed by climate change. In this context, Designers are challenged to question new ways of establishing connections with nature that will contribute to mitigate, cope, and adapt to environmental and societal challenges while at the same time helping people to develop new capabilities in their given contexts. Biophilic Design emphasizes the need to maintain, enhance and restore the beneficial experience of nature in the built environment. Even if it's based on scientific evidence from several studies in the fields of psychology, medicine and social sciences, which demonstrate that exposure to natural environments or related elements has a positive impact on human health, further reflections are needed on how these inputs can be embodied in environments and artifacts by the Design disciplines. Based on these assumptions, the theme of the Psychology and Cognitive Ergonomics course within the bachelor's degree program in Industrial Design at Sapienza University of Rome was developed. Through an interdisciplinary approach Human-Centred Design and Environmental Psychology were combined to enquire about the use of biophilic elements within remote studying environments, a widely used practice following the closures of schools and universities caused by the Covid-19 pandemic. A combination of methods and tools from both disciplines were used to test Biophilic Design hypotheses that, on the one hand, ensure optimal ergonomic usability and, on the other hand, through their regenerative qualities, promote psychological well-being and reduce stress symptoms related to remote study activities and social isolation. The analysis of these case studies allowed us to reflect on Biophilic Product Design and their role in remote studying environments. We conclude by advocating the use of interdisciplinary practices in which Design, combined with Environmental Psychology becomes a promoter of more desirable domestic environments and of a future in which a symbiotic relationship with nature is established.

Author keywords

Biophilic Design, Human-Centred Design, Remote Studying, Environmental Psychology

Introduction

The growing urbanization and the continuous increase of the world's urban population have led to a direct and indirect (McDonald et al., 2020) impact on biodiversity (Turner et al., 2004). Environmental degradation and the challenges of climate change make access to greenery and nature a restricted privilege. In today's 'indoor generation,' most human activities occur within an enclosed space (Kelly & Fussel, 2019). This condition has been further exacerbated by the conflicts our society is experiencing and the global pandemic. Following the drastic incidence of Covid-19 since March 2020, the Italian government has implemented strict measures to stem the pandemic spread through rigid lockdowns. Since the pandemic, the world of work has radically changed, with a massive reliance on remote working for all those activities that did not require an unavoidable work presence. However, one of the areas most affected by the implementation of restrictive measures was the educational sector. All Italian schools and universities were forced to implement distance learning (e-learning or remote learning) throughout the most acute phase of the emergency. This forced transition has

entailed not only a restructuring of teaching methods, now characterized by the unavoidable use of digital technologies and means of communication (such as Zoom, Teams, Meet, etc.) but to a complete restructuring of home environments, now dedicated to the activity of studying or working remotely. In addition to this, of course, one of the main consequences of lockdowns has been the inability to leave except for stringent reasons (Corley et al., 2021; Dzhambov et al., 2021), thus limiting people's ability to have contact with natural environments (e.g., Garrido-Cumbrera et al., 2022; Mintz et al., 2021). Therefore, finding strategies to implement natural elements within the home environment became necessary.

The biophilia theory and biophilic design

The term "biophilia" can be summarized as "the innately emotional affiliation of human beings to other living organisms" (Wilson, 1993, p. 31). The concept was introduced by Erich Fromm (1964/1976) and has been popularised in the work of Kellert & Wilson (1993), who affirm that human evolutionary history has produced "the innate tendency to focus on life and lifelike processes" and the need to "affiliate with other forms of life". Biophilia has been conceptualized as a sign of mental and physical health, with supporting evidence showing that natural settings (compared to built settings) are preferred and lead to positive health outcomes, such as restoration from mental fatigue and stress. Building on the theory of biophilia, the biophilic design seeks to use nature in the design of indoor and outdoor environments (as well as in the design of artifacts) to promote people's health and well-being. Biophilic Design stresses the need to maintain, improve and restore the beneficial experience of nature in the built environment. It represents a sustainable approach with financial advantages across healthcare, education, retail, workplace, and community environments (Browning et al., 2012). Kellert & Calabrese (2015) have proposed design strategies for successfully applying biophilic design in diverse contexts, such as educational settings (Alves, Betrabet Gulwadi & Nilsson, 2022). The basic components of the biophilic design framework are: direct (e.g., having indoor plants), indirect experience of nature (e.g., watching nature), and the experience of space and place (e.g., prospect and refuge). Drawing from empirical evidence, biophilic design patterns are not formulas; they are hypotheses to be tested and should be thought of as another tool in the designer's toolkit - meant to inform, guide, and assist in the design process (Ryan et al., 2014).

The psychological impact of biophilic design

Biophilia is one of the aspects most touched upon in the study of this predisposition concerns the natural elements directly or indirectly experienced by the individual. The positive effect of exposure to natural environments and stimuli in promoting psychological well-being has been widely studied (Bratman et al., 2019). The term restoration refers to the recovery or regeneration of mental resources used in daily activities (Harting, 2004). The two main theories concerning restorativeness are the attention restoration theory (ART; Kaplan & Kaplan, 1989), according to which natural environments do not require direct attentional effort, thus allowing for a reduction in the use of cognitive resources (Jiang et al., 2020), and the stress recovery theory (SRT; Urlich, 1983), which draws on a psycho-evolutionary perspective, and postulates that the natural environment allows for a reduction in arousal and stress levels (Chang et al., 2021). Direct experience in natural environments is not the only strategy to activate the regenerative process. Implementing natural elements (real or reproduced) in indoor environments through biophilic design can allow one to experience effects similar to those activated by immersion in natural environments. Natural or artificial light with similar characteristics, implementation of natural materials such as wood or stone, natural sounds, and implementation of indoor plants or other reproductions of natural environments are just some of the elements most frequently found in the literature (Bolten & Barbiero, 2020; Browing et al., 2014; Kellert, 2008; 2018; Sturgeon, 2017). Research based on the implementation of biophilic design has reported results consistent with the literature on restorativeness in natural environments (Aristizabal et al., 2021; Cole et al., 2021; Determan et al., 2019; Jiang et al., 2021; Yin et al., 2020), thus confirming the possibility of being able to effectively integrate these types of elements into built and indoor environments as well, with positive effects on psychological well-being.

Aim of the study

Based on these assumptions, this paper intends to report an empirical study promoted during the Psychology and Cognitive Ergonomics course within the bachelor's degree program in Industrial Design at Sapienza University of Rome. Through an interdisciplinary approach, Human-Centred Design and Environmental Psychology set out to promote the use of Biophilic Design to improve remote studying environments, a widely used practice following the closures of schools and universities caused by the pandemic by Covid-19. The aim is to illustrate a possible methodology within Design education and to bring to greater maturity the reflections on Biophilic Product Design to extend our scientific community's knowledge and promote interdisciplinary practices.

Method

Participants

The research sample comprised 521 college students from different Italian universities who voluntarily completed the questionnaire. They were aged 18 to 38 (M = 20.93; SD = 2.20); 232 were men (44.5%), 282 were women (54.1%), and 7 preferred not to answer (1.4%). 466 students were enrolled in a bachelor's or single-cycle degree program (89.4%) and 55 in a master's degree program (10.6%).

Tools and procedure

The research was conducted in full compliance with the Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct of the American Psychological Association (APA) and was authorized by the Ethics Committee of the Sapienza University of Rome. The study was conducted in April 2022, when Italian universities, due to the Covid-19 pandemic, continued implementing a hybrid teaching mode with in-person and remote lectures. During an initial administration, participants were asked to think about the home environment where they performed their remote study activities (didactic or self-study) and to complete a self-report questionnaire. The first instrument was the Perceived biophilic qualities in remote studying (ad hoc): the measure includes 19 items referred to the main sources of Biophilia found in the literature (e.g., Bolten & Barbiero, 2020; Browning et al. 2014; Kellert, 2008; Kellert 2018; Table 1. escriptive statistics, bivariate correlations and Alpha in diagonal. Descriptive statistics, bivariate correlations and Cronbach's Alpha in diagonal.

Variable	N	м	SD	S	к	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1. General Perceived Biophilia	521	3.20	.61	03	01	.81						
2. Direct Experience	521	3.65	.69	36	01	.89***	.81					
3. Indirect Experience	521	2.39	.81	.43	.02	.58***	.22***	.88				
4. Prospect and Refuge	521	3.19	1.23	02	86	.73***	.60***	.15***	.72			
5.Restorativeness	521	3.27	.72	18	.12	.41***	.36***	.25***	.25***	.72		
6. Engagement	521	4.43	.95	39	.17	.18***	.15***	.12**	.12**	.26***	.88	
7. Stress	521	2.35	.41	.16	.36	08*	10*	04	02	18***	08	.75

Note: * = p < .05; ** = p < .01; *** = p < .001; M = Mean; SD = Standard deviation; S = Skewness; K = Kurtosis.

Sturgeon, 2017) divided into the 3 categories identified by Kellert and Calabrese (2015), namely direct experience with nature (10 items; Alpha = .81), indirect experience (6 items; Alpha = .68), and the experience of space and place (3 items; Alpha = .88). Also, an overall perceived Biophilia score was calculated (Alpha: .82). The psychological variables concernedPerceived restorativeness (5 items; Alpha: .72; Korpela et al. 2008), Student Engagement (UWES-9; 9 items; Alpha: .88; Schaufeli & Bakker, 2003; 2004),and Student Stress - Effort-Reward Imbalance student questionnaire (12 items; Alpha: .75; Wege et al., 2017) For all these measures, the response scales consist of a 5-step Likert scale (from 1 = "Completely disagree" to 7 = "Fully agree"), except for the Engagement rating scale, for which a 7-step Likert from "never" to "always" was used. Socio-demographic data (gender, age, and degree course level) were also collected. The same participants were asked to fill out a second questionnaire containing an ergonomic checklist for objectively assessing all the biophilic elements with which they relate, directly or indirectly, during remote studying activity and that, therefore, could contribute to their satisfaction and requirements needs related to certain needs classes such as Safety, Well-being, Usability, Appearance, Management (UNI 8289:1981). For each requirements class, the requirements classes have been identified (UNI 8290-2:1983) to which the items of the Checklist refer by proposing questions for the verification of the qualitative and quantitative characteristics of the elements observed, starting from minimum inclusive safety performance (Villani et al., 2021) thresholds defined by regulatory references related to health and safety at work agile (L. n. 81/2017, art. 18-23), to the usability (D.M. 236/89) and from "good technique" criteria correlated with people anthropometric data.

Results

Relationship between perceived biophilic qualities and psychological variables

Jamovi v.2.2.5 statistical software was used to analyze frequencies, descriptive statistics, and correlations among psychological variables. The mean scores, univariate normality, and bivariate correlations between the variables under research are shown in Table 1. According to the skewness and kurtosis values, which are all between -1 and +1, the normality assumption was not violated. From the correlation analysis, the overall indicator of perceived Biophilia was found to be correlated with perceived restorativeness (r = 0.41; p < .001), engagement (r = 0.18; p < .001), and stress (r = -0.08; p < .05), demonstrating how the perception of natural elements can help regenerate students' cognitive resources, promoting their engagement in the educational activity, by reducing stress-related symptoms. Regarding the specific sources of Biophilia, direct experience of natural elements, whether internal or external to the study environment, reported the highest correlation indices, correlating positively with restorativeness (r = 0.36; p < .001) and engagement (r = 0.15; p < .001) and negatively with stress (r = -0.10 < .05). Indirect experience with nature, prospect, and refuge reported same correlation indices with restorativeness (r = 0.25; p < .001) and engagement (r = 0.12; p < .01). Unexpectedly these two sources of perceived biophilia did not correlate with perceived stress (r = -0.04; p = n.s.) (r = -0.02; p = n.s.).

Objective perception of biophilic-related elements

The ergonomic checklist results about biophilic elements have been analyzed on three levels: biophilic elements in general (one general index), level of individual needs classes (6 indices), and level of individual requirement classes (15 indices). Each of these three refers to the individual elements of

Needs class - Security 32% 57% 11% Requirement class - Fire safety 30% 58% 12% Requirement class - Safety of use 34% 56% 10% Needs class - Well-being 35% 36% 29% Requirements class - Thermal comfort 41% 21% 37% Requirements class - Acoustic well-being 28% 55% 17% Requirements class - Visual well-being 51% 38% 11% Requirements class - Olfactory well-being 46% 47% 7% Needs class - Usability 45% 37% 18% Requirements class - Accessibility 14% 56% 30% Requirements class - Furnishability 58% 23% 19% Requirements class - Furnishability 58% 23% 19% Requirements class - Communicativeness 84% 9% 7% Needs class - Appearance 41% 47% 12% Needs class - Privacy 38% 49% 13% Requirements Class - Spatial Privacy <	Class	Yes	No	NR
Requirement class - Safety of use34%56%10%Needs class - Well-being35%36%29%Requirements class - Thermal comfort41%21%37%Requirements class - Acoustic well-being28%55%17%Requirements class - Visual well-being51%38%11%Requirements class - Olfactory well-being46%47%7%Needs class - Usability45%37%18%Requirements class - Olfactory well-being46%47%7%Needs class - Usability45%37%18%Requirements class - Accessibility14%56%30%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Flexibility41%50%9%Requirements class - Communicativeness84%9%7%Needs class - Appearance41%47%12%Needs class - Privacy38%49%13%Requirements Class - Spatial Privacy55%31%14%Needs class - Management77%16%12%Requirements Class - Functional Privacy20%66%14%Needs class - Management77%16%12%	Needs class - Security	32%	57%	11%
Needs class - Well-being35%36%29%Requirements class - Thermal comfort41%21%37%Requirements class - Acoustic well-being28%55%17%Requirements class - Visual well-being51%38%11%Requirements class - Olfactory well-being46%47%7%Needs class - Usability45%37%18%Requirements class - Olfactory well-being46%47%7%Needs class - Usability45%37%18%Requirements class - Accessibility14%56%30%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Flexibility41%50%9%Requirements class - Communicativeness84%9%7%Needs class - Appearance41%47%12%Needs class - Privacy38%49%13%Requirements Class - Spatial Privacy55%31%14%Needs class - Management77%16%12%Requirements Class - Management77%16%12%	Requirement class – Fire safety	30%	58%	12%
Requirements class - Thermal comfort41%21%37%Requirements class - Acoustic well-being28%55%17%Requirements class - Visual well-being51%38%11%Requirements class - Olfactory well-being46%47%7%Needs class - Usability45%37%18%Requirements class - Accessibility14%56%30%Requirements class - Accessibility14%56%30%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Furnishability45%40%15%Requirements class - Communicativeness84%9%7%Needs class - Appearance41%47%12%Needs class - Privacy38%49%13%Requirements Class - Spatial Privacy55%31%14%Needs class - Management77%16%12%Requirements Class - Functional Privacy20%66%14%Needs class - Management77%16%12%Requirements Class - Maintainability65%22%13%	Requirement class - Safety of use	34%	56%	10%
Requirements class - Acoustic well-being28%55%17%Requirements class - Visual well-being51%38%11%Requirements class - Olfactory well-being46%47%7%Needs class - Usability45%37%18%Requirements class - Accessibility14%56%30%Requirements class - Accessibility14%56%30%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Flexibility41%50%9%Requirements class - Sepatial Privacy45%40%15%Needs class - Appearance41%47%12%Needs class - Privacy38%49%13%Requirements Class - Spatial Privacy20%66%14%Needs class - Management77%16%12%Requirements Class - Management77%16%12%	Needs class - Well-being	35%	36%	29%
Requirements class - Visual well-being51%38%11%Requirements class - Olfactory well-being46%47%7%Needs class - Usability45%37%18%Requirements class - Accessibility14%56%30%Requirements class - Accessibility14%56%30%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Furnishability41%50%9%Requirements class - Furnishability41%50%9%Requirements class - Communicativeness84%9%7%Needs class - Appearance41%47%12%Needs class - Appearance41%47%13%Requirements Class - Spatial Privacy55%31%14%Needs class - Management77%16%12%Requirements Class - Management77%16%12%	Requirements class – Thermal comfort	41%	21%	37%
Requirements class - Olfactory well-being46%47%7%Needs class - Usability45%37%18%Requirements class - Accessibility14%56%30%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Flexibility41%50%9%Requirements class - Communicativeness84%9%7%Needs class - Appearance41%47%12%Needs class - Privacy38%49%13%Requirements Class - Spatial Privacy55%31%14%Needs class - Management77%16%12%Requirements Class - Maintainability65%22%13%	Requirements class - Acoustic well-being	28%	55%	17%
Needs class – Usability45%37%18%Requirements class - Accessibility14%56%30%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Furnishability41%50%9%Requirements class - Flexibility41%50%9%Requirements class - Communicativeness84%9%7%Needs class - Appearance41%47%12%Needs class - Privacy38%49%13%Requirements Class - Spatial Privacy55%31%14%Needs class - Management77%16%12%Requirements Class - Maintainability65%22%13%	Requirements class - Visual well-being	51%	38%	11%
Requirements class - Accessibility14%56%30%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Flexibility41%50%9%Requirements class - Flexibility41%50%9%Requirements class - Usability45%40%15%Requirements class - Communicativeness84%9%7%Needs class - Appearance41%47%12%Needs class - Privacy38%49%13%Requirements Class - Spatial Privacy55%31%14%Needs class - Management77%16%12%Requirements Class - Maintainability65%22%13%	Requirements class - Olfactory well-being	46%	47%	7%
Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Flexibility41%50%9%Requirements class - Usability45%40%15%Requirements class - Communicativeness84%9%7%Needs class - Appearance41%47%12%Needs class - Privacy38%49%13%Requirements Class - Spatial Privacy55%31%14%Requirements Class - Functional Privacy20%66%14%Needs class - Management77%16%12%Requirements Class - Maintainability65%22%13%	Needs class – Usability	45%	37%	18%
Requirements class - Furnishability58%23%19%Requirements class - Flexibility41%50%9%Requirements class - Usability45%40%15%Requirements class - Communicativeness84%9%7%Needs class - Appearance41%47%12%Needs class - Privacy38%49%13%Requirements Class - Spatial Privacy55%31%14%Requirements Class - Functional Privacy20%66%14%Needs class - Management77%16%12%Requirements Class - Maintainability65%22%13%	Requirements class - Accessibility	14%	56%	30%
Requirements class - Flexibility41%50%9%Requirements class - Usability45%40%15%Requirements class - Communicativeness84%9%7%Needs class - Appearance41%47%12%Needs class - Privacy38%49%13%Requirements Class - Spatial Privacy55%31%14%Requirements Class - Functional Privacy20%66%14%Needs class - Management77%16%12%Requirements Class - Maintainability65%22%13%	Requirements class - Furnishability	58%	23%	19%
Requirements class - Usability45%40%15%Requirements class - Communicativeness84%9%7%Needs class - Appearance41%47%12%Needs class - Privacy38%49%13%Requirements Class - Spatial Privacy55%31%14%Requirements Class - Functional Privacy20%66%14%Needs class - Management77%16%12%Requirements Class - Management77%16%13%	Requirements class - Furnishability	58%	23%	19%
Requirements class - Communicativeness84%9%7%Needs class - Appearance41%47%12%Needs class - Privacy38%49%13%Requirements Class - Spatial Privacy55%31%14%Requirements Class - Functional Privacy20%66%14%Needs class - Management77%16%12%Requirements Class - Maintainability65%22%13%	Requirements class - Flexibility	41%	50%	9%
Needs class - Appearance41%47%12%Needs class - Privacy38%49%13%Requirements Class - Spatial Privacy55%31%14%Requirements Class - Functional Privacy20%66%14%Needs class - Management77%16%12%Requirements Class - Maintainability65%22%13%	Requirements class - Usability	45%	40%	15%
Needs class - Privacy38%49%13%Requirements Class - Spatial Privacy55%31%14%Requirements Class - Functional Privacy20%66%14%Needs class - Management77%16%12%Requirements Class - Maintainability65%22%13%	Requirements class - Communicativeness	84%	9%	7%
Requirements Class - Spatial Privacy55%31%14%Requirements Class - Functional Privacy20%66%14%Needs class - Management77%16%12%Requirements Class - Maintainability65%22%13%	Needs class – Appearance	41%	47%	12%
Requirements Class - Functional Privacy20%66%14%Needs class - Management77%16%12%Requirements Class - Maintainability65%22%13%	Needs class – Privacy	38%	49%	13%
Needs class - Management77%16%12%Requirements Class - Maintainability65%22%13%	Requirements Class - Spatial Privacy	55%	31%	14%
Requirements Class - Maintainability65%22%13%	Requirements Class - Functional Privacy	20%	66%	14%
	Needs class – Management	77%	16%	12%
Requirements Class - Cleanability 79% 10% 11%	Requirements Class - Maintainability	65%	22%	13%
	Requirements Class - Cleanability	79%	10%	11%

the checklist that, aggregated, return these summary indices. Generally, it has emerged that inside the remote study sites/ workstations, there are no biophilic elements in 40% of cases inside the remote study sites/workstations. The results of the other two levels are shown in Table 2.

Examples of biophilic design

The psychological and ergonomic results were subsequently considered as a basis for developing the design proposals. The ergonomic approach to the project, with its ability to evaluate the multiplicity of variables that define the interaction between people and what they relate to (Tosi, 2018), has been combined with the philosophy of Human Centered Design. The biophilic design solutions presented by students vary in the use of materials, shapes, and textures in their aim to stimulate sensory perception, improve well-being and meet ergonomic requirements. Moreover, to prevent the solutions of these new designers from being replaced by superficial imitations, a more holistic and sustainable approach has been stimulated (Stevens et al., 2020), promoting the use of solutions respectful of Nature and also from the point of view of materials and production processes.

The data collected by the Ame group showed that as the appearance variable increases, the level of perceived stress decreases while the person's interest increases. On the other hand, an increase in olfactory well-being, linked to air quality, leads to a psychological reduction in stress. Finally, good usability within the smart studying workplace positively influences the subject's performance, increases well-being and engagement, and reduces stress and burnout. They proposed a cactus-shaped desk module that performs several functions, including tidying up cables, pen holders, and air freshener. This product is intended to improve classes of needs such as Safety, Wellness, Usability, and Management, stimulating the perception of natural elements on different sensory levels. The material they use for production is Ecoallene, derived from the processing and recycling of poly-bonded waste, which is colorable, versatile, infinitely recyclable, and suitable for various types of molding.

Instead, the Palma group, in order to improve their situation, worked on the correlation of ergonomic well-being on mental and physical health and on how colors and materials reminiscent of nature increase the sense of belonging to a place and, consequently, the desire to spend more time there. So they designed Ceppo, a footrest whose materials and shapes recall a tree trunk. In particular, it improves the ergonomics of the study station and stimulates sight and touch during the remote study activity through natural materials such as wood and stabilized lawns.

The Cinquis group started from the point of view of implementing acoustic well-being, usability, appearance, and safety, which were found to be lacking in the questionnaire, and from the psychological point of view, improved direct experience with nature, in particular through sound, because of the direct effects they have on restorativeness and engagement. They worked on evoking nature at a sound level, designing a speaker that recalls the organic shapes of a shell, also associating on a semantic level the act of bringing the shell to the ear to listen to the sea. In addition to reminding marine environments, the speaker emits white noises that stimulate concentration during one's study time.



Figure 1. Jungle-IT by Marmotas, rendering

In the last case, however, the ergonomics checklist showed that visual comfort, usability, accessibility, and flexibility were lacking, so they implemented them in their remote studying station. From a psychological point of view, they instead worked on enhancing the indirect experience with nature through shapes and colors, as it increases the organizational potential of the user, the positive relationship between the user and the room, and a general sense of security. So, with the *Jungle-IT* (Figure 1), Marmotas group brings the jungle into the remote study station. The system, consisting of simple elements such as wooden profiles, rope, cork leaves, and S-shaped steel hooks, offers the possibility of a support/shelf where to place books and also serves as a bulletin board, allowing to reorder sheets and notes.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study shows the positive impact of using natural elements in promoting ergonomic and psychological well-being in remote studying settings. Design, with its ability to act both on a micro- and macro-scale, can encourage the implementation of biophilic elements, particularly in those contexts where direct contact with green spaces has been diminished, such as in large cities or metropolises, but also extreme context like the Fourth Environment. It can help to cope with traumatic and unpredictable events, such as the Covid-19 pandemic and conflicts, that makes immersion in green spaces difficult. The collaboration between two different perspectives, such as Psychology and Design, shows how Biophilic Design can be put into practice: it allows both the analysis of objective requirements for design and the consideration of students' subjective needs and perceptions. The benefit of this interaction is that it allows the construction of more desirable remote studying environments centered on humans and their real needs and a symbiotic relationship with nature. Biophilia alone is not enough to generate automatically sustainable solutions in this context. It is therefore important to provide constant input to students on how to make this reconnection with nature not only aesthetic but the result of a conscious practice at every level. To face today's challenges, using the green "as a sole legitimization of an otherwise unsustainable project is not enough," as Celine Baumann states (Block, 2019). There is, therefore, a need to find more holistic and enlightening solutions by broadening the scope and examining the challenge in a wider context and at different scales (Scalisi & Ness, 2022). Developing a new unity with nature is necessary, a paradigm shift from "human on nature" to "human and nature".

References

- Alves, S.; Betrabet Gulwadi, G.; Nilsson, P. (2022). An exploration of how biophilic attributes on campuses might support student connectedness to nature, others, and self. Frontiers in Psychology, 12 <u>https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fpsyg.2021.793175/full</u>
- Aristizabal, S., Byun, K., Porter, P., Clements, N., Campanella, C., Li, L., Mullan, A., Ly, S., Senerat, A., Nenadic, I. Z., Browning, W. D., Loftness, V., & Bauer, B. (2021).
 Biophilic office design: Exploring the impact of a multisensory approach on human well-being. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 77, 101682. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2021.101682</u>
- Berto, R., & Barbiero, G. (2017). The Biophilic Quality Index. A Tool to Improve a Building from "Green" to Restorative. Visions for Sustainability, (8). DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.13135/2384-8677/2333</u>
- Berto, R., & Pasini, M. (2007). Una scala per la misura della Restorativeness dei luoghi. Una scala per la misura della Restorativeness dei luoghi, 1000-1016.
- Block, I. (2019), "Greenery is often 'sole legitimisa- tion' for unsustainable buildings says Céline Baumann", in *dezeen*, 31/10/2019. [Online] Available at: dezeen. com/2019/10/31/celine-baumann-landscape-architecture/ [Accessed 10 January 2023].
- Browning, W.D., Kallianpurkar, N.K., Ryan, C.O., Labruto, L. (2012). The economics of Biophilia: why designing with nature in mind makes financial sense, 2015 edn. Terrapin Bright Green LLC, New York http://www.lmla.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/The-Economics-of-Biophilia_Terrapin-Bright-Green-2012e.pdf
- Cole, L. B., Coleman, S., & Scannell, L. (2021). Place attachment in green buildings: Making the connections. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 74, 101558. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2021.101558</u>
- Fromm, E. (1964/1976). The Heart of Man: Its Genius for Good and Evil. New York, NY: Harper and Row.
- Heschong, L, Wright, R L, & Okura, S (2002) Daylighting impacts on human performance in school Journal of the Illuminating Engineering Society, 31(2), 101-111 https://doi.org/10.1080/00994480.2002.10748396
- Kellert, S. R., & Calabrese, E. (2015). The practice of biophilic design. London: Terrapin Bright LLC, 3, 21.
- Kellert, S. R., Heerwagen, J., & Mador, M. (2011). *Biophilic design: the theory, science and practice of bringing buildings to life.* John Wiley & Sons.
- Kellert, S. R. & Wilson, E. O. (1993). (Eds.). The Biophilia Hypothesis. Island Press: Washington, DC.
- Kelly, F. J., & Fussell, J. C. (2019). Improving indoor air quality, health and performance within environments where people live, travel, learn and work. *Atmospheric Environment*, 200, 90-109. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.11.058</u>

- McDonald, R. I., Mansur, A. V., Ascensão, F., Crossman, K., Elmqvist, T., Gonzalez, A., ... & Ziter, C. (2020). Research gaps in knowledge of the impact of urban growth on biodiversity. *Nature Sustainability*, 3(1), 16-24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> s41893-019-0436-6
- Oberti, I., Lecci, M. (2019). "When the green enters the buildings: the beneficial impacts on the users", in Sustainable mediterranean construction. land culture, research and technology, Vol. 9, pp. 57-61, Luciano Editore, Napoli. <u>https://hdl.handle. net/11311/1127597</u>
- Ryan, C. O., Browning, W. D., Clancy, J. O., Andrews, S. L., & Kallianpurkar, N. B. (2014). Biophilic design patterns: emerging nature-based parameters for health and wellbeing in the built environment. ArchNet-IJAR: International Journal of Architectural Research, 8(2), 62. https://earthwise.education/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/ Biophilicdesign-patterns.pdf
- Sayuti, N., Montana-Hoyos, C., & Bonollo, E. (2015). A study of furniture design incorporating living organisms with particular reference to biophilic and emotional design criteria. Academic Journal of Science, 4(1), 75-106. <u>http://www.university</u> <u>publications.net/ajs/0401/pdf/DE4C321.pdf</u>
- Scalisi, F., & Ness, D. (2022). Symbiosis of greenery with built form. A holistic, systems, multi-level approach. AGATHÓN/ International Journal of Architecture, Art and Design, 11, 26-39. 10.19229/2464-9309/1122022
- Stevens, L., Kopnina, H., Mulder, K., & De Vries, M. (2021). Biomimicry design thinking education: A base-line exercise in preconceptions of biological analogies. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 31, 797-814. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-020-09574-1</u>
- Terrapin Bright Green LLC (2012) The Economics of Biophilia: Why designing with nature in mind makes financial sense Retrieved March 2014, from http://www.terrapinbrightgreen.com/publications/
- Turner, W. R., Nakamura, T., & Dinetti, M. (2004). Global urbanization and the separation of humans from nature. *Bioscience*, 54(6), 585-590. <u>https://doi.org/10.1641/ 0006-3568(2004)054[0585:GUATS0]2.0.C0;2</u>
- Ulrich, R (1983) View through a window may influence recovery from surgery Science, 224: 420-421 DOI: 10.1126/science.6143402
- Villani, T. Romagnoli, F., Zanut, S. (2021), Tutto è dentro casa: smart working e sicurezza inclusiva. Strumenti di verifica della sicurezza in ambito domestico/All is at home: smart working and inclusive safety. Home safety assessment tools, Ergonomia, Organo Ufficiale della S.I.E Società Italiana di Ergonomia, n. 22, pp.20-43. http:// www.societadiergonomia.it/wp-content/uploads/2014/07/Rivista-n.22-def.pdf Wilson, E. Q. (1984). Biophilia. In Biophilia. Harvard university press.
- Wolfs, E. L. (2015). Biophilic design and Bio-collaboration: Applications and implications in the field of Industrial Design. Archives of Design Research, 28(1), 71-89. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.15187/adr.2015.02.113.1.71</u>



This book contains academic papers and posters of the Cumulus Antwerp conference, held in Antwerp on 12-15 April 2023. The Cumulus community, designers, artists, and educators were invited to submit contributions on how culture and creative industry can offer resilience, consolation, and innovation models on human scale, in line with the conference theme 'Connectivity and Creativity in times of Conflict'.

The contributions were double blind reviewed in the tracks

- 1) Nature positive/Design for transformation,
- 2) Digital futures/Hybrid reality,
- 3) Handle with care/Inclusivity, and
- 4) PhD network.





Editors: Kristof Vaes & Jouke Verlinden