SpringerBriefs in Electrical and Computer Engineering

Control, Automation and Robotics

Series Editors

Tamer Başar, Coordinated Science Laboratory, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, USA Miroslav Krstic, La Jolla, CA, USA **SpringerBriefs in Control, Automation and Robotics** presents concise summaries of theoretical research and practical applications. Featuring compact, authored volumes of 50 to 125 pages, the series covers a range of research, report and instructional content. Typical topics might include:

- a timely report of state-of-the art analytical techniques;
- a bridge between new research results published in journal articles and a contextual literature review;
- a novel development in control theory or state-of-the-art development in robotics;
- an in-depth case study or application example;
- a presentation of core concepts that students must understand in order to make independent contributions; or
- a summation/expansion of material presented at a recent workshop, symposium or keynote address.

SpringerBriefs in Control, Automation and Robotics allows authors to present their ideas and readers to absorb them with minimal time investment, and are published as part of Springer's e-Book collection, with millions of users worldwide. In addition, Briefs are available for individual print and electronic purchase.

Springer Briefs in a nutshell

- 50-125 published pages, including all tables, figures, and references;
- softcover binding;
- publication within 9–12 weeks after acceptance of complete manuscript;
- copyright is retained by author;
- authored titles only no contributed titles; and
- versions in print, eBook, and MyCopy.

Indexed by Engineering Index.

Publishing Ethics: Researchers should conduct their research from research proposal to publication in line with best practices and codes of conduct of relevant professional bodies and/or national and international regulatory bodies. For more details on individual ethics matters please see: https://www.springer.com/gp/authors-editors/journal-author/helpdesk/publishing-ethics/14214

More information about this subseries at http://www.springer.com/series/10198

Claudia Califano · Claude H. Moog

Nonlinear Time-Delay Systems

A Geometric Approach



Claudia Califano Dipartimento di Ingegneria Informatica, Automatica e Gestionale "Antonio Ruberti" Università di Roma La Sapienza Rome, Italy Claude H. Moog Laboratoire des Sciences du Numérique de Nantes CNRS Nantes, France

ISSN 2191-8112ISSN 2191-8120(electronic)SpringerBriefs in Electrical and Computer EngineeringISSN 2192-6786ISSN 2192-6794(electronic)SpringerBriefs in Control, Automation and RoboticsISBN 978-3-030-72025-4ISBN 978-3-030-72026-1(eBook)https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-72026-1ISBN 978-3-030-72026-1ISBN 978-3-030-72026-1

Mathematics Subject Classification: 93C10, 93B05, 93B27, 93B18, 93B50, 93B52

© The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Preface

This book is devoted to nonlinear time-delay control systems. Although they include the class of linear time-delay systems, the specific mathematical tools valid (only) for this subclass of systems will not be developed or used herein.

Thus, in this introductory chapter, a sketch is given of what can be found elsewhere (Richard 2003) and which will not be considered herein.

Linear Time-Delay Systems

For the subclass of linear time-delay systems in continuous time, the use of the Laplace transform yields quasi-polynomials in the Laplace variable *s* and in e^{-s} Gu et al. (2003), Michiels et al. (2007), Niculescu (2001). Among those systems, one may distinguish betweem the so-called retarded systems (Fridman 2014) described by differential equations where the highest differentiation order of the output, or the state, is not delayed, and the so-called neutral systems (Fridman 2001) whose equations involve delayed values of the highest differentiation order of the output, or the state.

Thus, the Laplace transform still enables an input–output analysis of linear time-delay systems (Olgac and Sipahi 2002, Sipahi et al. 2011). This approach can hardly be extended to more general nonlinear time-delay systems which are the main focus of this book. Finite dimension approximations may be appealing, but have a limited interest due to stability issues (Insperger 2015).

Discrete-time linear systems with unknown delays are under interest in Shi et al. (1999). Discrete-time linear systems with varying delays are under interest with an *ad hoc* predictor design in Mazenc (2008).

Stability analysis and stabilization of linear time-delay systems require general tools derived from the Lyapunov theory as in Fridman (2001), Kharitonov and Zhabko (2003).

Stability of linear systems with switching delays is tackled in Mazenc (2021) using trajectory-based methods and the so-called sup-delay inequalities.

Stability and Stabilization of Nonlinear Time-Delay Systems

Some of the most significant historic results obtained for the general class of nonlinear time-delay systems are about the analysis of their stability, thanks to a generalization of the Lyapunov theory, the so-called Krasovskii-type approach (Gu et al. 2003). This approach can hardly be circumvented even in the case of linear time-delay systems (Fridman 2001).

Early design problems for nonlinear time-delay control systems focus on the stabilization. Considering a positive definite functional, the stabilizing control has to render its time derivative negative definite. Advanced control methods as backstepping make use of Lyapunov–Razumikhin–Krasovskii-type theorems as in Battilotti (2020), Krstic and Bekiaris-Liberis (2012), Mazenc and Bliman (2006), Pepe and Jiang (2006). Also, delay-free nonlinear systems may be subject to a delayed state feedback whose stability is tackled in Mazenc et al. (2008). Nonlinear observer designs for systems subject to delayed output measurements are found in Battilotti (2020), Van Assche (2011).

Discrete-time nonlinear systems including delays on the input are considered in Ushio (1996). A reduction process is introduced to define a delay-free system with equivalent stability properties in Mattioni et al. (2018), Mattioni et al. (2021).

Content

This book is essentially devoted to the so-called structure of nonlinear time-delay systems. Thanks to adapted (non-commutative) algebraic mathematical tools, one is able to follow the main features valid for delay-free systems displayed in Conte (2007) which are extended to the time-delay case under interest.

The structure of a system includes notions as controllability, observability, and the related decompositions. The so-called structural control problems are based on inversion techniques like feedback linearization Oguchi et al. (2002), disturbance decoupling (Moog et al. 2000, Velasco et al. 1997) or noninteracting control.

More precisely, geometric tools such as the Lie Bracket are adapted for the class of nonlinear time-delay systems involving a finite number of commensurable delays. Thanks to these tools, the very first criterion for accessibility is provided for this class of systems. Specialized to linear time-delay systems, it corresponds to weak controllability (Fliess 1998).

The realization problem was partially solved for delay-free nonlinear systems either in the continuous-time case in Crouch (1995) or in the discrete-time case (Kotta, et al. 2001, Monaco and Normand-Cyrot 1984). The realization problem is tackled in this book in relation to strongly or weakly observable retarded-type or neutral-type state equations or input–output equations. The notions of order of a system and whether it is of neutral or retarded type are questioned since a higher order retarded-type realization may admit a lower order neutral-type realization as well, both being either weakly observable or strongly observable.

As for control design problems, the exact linearization using feedback is one mainstream to control delay-free nonlinear systems. The same path is followed in this book, although causality of the feedback adds a new constraint and new conditions for the solvability of the problem.

Rome, Italy Nantes, France Claudia Califano Claude H. Moog

Contents

1.1The Class of Systems1.2Integrability1.3Geometric Behavior1.4Accessibility and Observability Properties1.5Notation1.6Recalls on Non-commutative Algebra2Geometric Tools for Time-Delay Systems2.1The Initialization of the Time-Delay System Versus the Initialization of the Delay-Free Extended System2.2Non-independence of the Inputs of the Extended System2.3The Differential Form Representation2.4Generalized Lie Derivative and Generalized Lie Bracket2.5Some Remarks on the Polynomial Lie Bracket2.6The Action of Static State Feedback Laws2.7The Action of Static State Feedback Laws2.8Problems3The Geometric Framework—Results on Integrability3.1Some Remarks on Left and Right Integrability3.2Integrability of a Right-Submodule3.2.1Involutivity of a Right-Submodule Versus its Integrability3.2.2Smallest 0-Integrable Right-Submodule Containing $\Delta(\delta]$. 1
1.2Integrability1.3Geometric Behavior1.4Accessibility and Observability Properties1.5Notation1.6Recalls on Non-commutative Algebra2Geometric Tools for Time-Delay Systems2.1The Initialization of the Time-Delay System Versus the Initialization of the Delay-Free Extended System2.2Non-independence of the Inputs of the Extended System2.3The Differential Form Representation2.4Generalized Lie Derivative and Generalized Lie Bracket2.5Some Remarks on the Polynomial Lie Bracket2.6The Action of Changes of Coordinates2.7The Action of Static State Feedback Laws2.8Problems3The Geometric Framework—Results on Integrability3.1Some Remarks on Left and Right Integrability3.2Integrability of a Right-Submodule3.2.1Involutivity of a Right-Submodule3.2.2Smallest 0-Integrable Right-Submodule Containing $\Delta(\delta]$. 1
1.3 Geometric Behavior 1.4 Accessibility and Observability Properties 1.5 Notation 1.6 Recalls on Non-commutative Algebra 2 Geometric Tools for Time-Delay Systems 2.1 The Initialization of the Time-Delay System Versus the Initialization of the Delay-Free Extended System 2.2 Non-independence of the Inputs of the Extended System 2.3 The Differential Form Representation 2.4 Generalized Lie Derivative and Generalized Lie Bracket 2.5 Some Remarks on the Polynomial Lie Bracket 2.6 The Action of Changes of Coordinates 2.7 The Action of Static State Feedback Laws 2.8 Problems 3.1 Some Remarks on Left and Right Integrability 3.2 Integrability of a Right-Submodule 3.2.1 Involutivity of a Right-Submodule 3.2.1 Involutivity of a Right-Submodule 3.2.2 Smallest 0-Integrable Right-Submodule Containing $\Delta(\delta]$. 5
1.4Accessibility and Observability Properties1.5Notation1.6Recalls on Non-commutative Algebra2Geometric Tools for Time-Delay Systems2.1The Initialization of the Time-Delay System Versus the Initialization of the Delay-Free Extended System2.1The Initialization of the Delay-Free Extended System2.2Non-independence of the Inputs of the Extended System2.3The Differential Form Representation2.4Generalized Lie Derivative and Generalized Lie Bracket2.5Some Remarks on the Polynomial Lie Bracket2.6The Action of Changes of Coordinates2.7The Action of Static State Feedback Laws2.8Problems3The Geometric Framework—Results on Integrability3.1Some Remarks on Left and Right Integrability3.2.1Involutivity of a Right-Submodule3.2.2Smallest 0-Integrable Right-Submodule Containing $\Delta(\delta]$. 5
 1.5 Notation. 1.6 Recalls on Non-commutative Algebra 2 Geometric Tools for Time-Delay Systems 2.1 The Initialization of the Time-Delay System Versus the Initialization of the Delay-Free Extended System 2.2 Non-independence of the Inputs of the Extended System 2.3 The Differential Form Representation. 2.4 Generalized Lie Derivative and Generalized Lie Bracket. 2.5 Some Remarks on the Polynomial Lie Bracket. 2.6 The Action of Changes of Coordinates 2.7 The Action of Static State Feedback Laws 2.8 Problems 3 The Geometric Framework—Results on Integrability 3.1 Some Remarks on Left and Right Integrability 3.2.1 Involutivity of a Right-Submodule Versus its Integrability. 3.2.2 Smallest 0-Integrable Right-Submodule Containing Δ(δ) 	. 6
 1.6 Recalls on Non-commutative Algebra	. 8
 2 Geometric Tools for Time-Delay Systems 2.1 The Initialization of the Time-Delay System Versus the Initialization of the Delay-Free Extended System 2.2 Non-independence of the Inputs of the Extended System 2.3 The Differential Form Representation 2.4 Generalized Lie Derivative and Generalized Lie Bracket 2.5 Some Remarks on the Polynomial Lie Bracket 2.6 The Action of Changes of Coordinates 2.7 The Action of Static State Feedback Laws 2.8 Problems 3 The Geometric Framework—Results on Integrability 3.1 Some Remarks on Left and Right Integrability 3.2.1 Involutivity of a Right-Submodule Versus its Integrability 3.2.2 Smallest 0-Integrable Right-Submodule Containing Δ(δ) 	. 10
 2.1 The Initialization of the Time-Delay System Versus the Initialization of the Delay-Free Extended System	. 15
the Initialization of the Delay-Free Extended System2.2Non-independence of the Inputs of the Extended System2.3The Differential Form Representation2.4Generalized Lie Derivative and Generalized Lie Bracket2.5Some Remarks on the Polynomial Lie Bracket2.6The Action of Changes of Coordinates2.7The Action of Static State Feedback Laws2.8Problems3The Geometric Framework—Results on Integrability3.1Some Remarks on Left and Right Integrability3.2.1Involutivity of a Right-Submodule3.2.2Smallest 0-Integrable Right-Submodule Containing $\Delta(\delta]$ $\Delta(\delta]$	
2.2 Non-independence of the Inputs of the Extended System 2.3 The Differential Form Representation 2.4 Generalized Lie Derivative and Generalized Lie Bracket 2.5 Some Remarks on the Polynomial Lie Bracket 2.6 The Action of Changes of Coordinates 2.7 The Action of Static State Feedback Laws 2.8 Problems 3 The Geometric Framework—Results on Integrability 3.1 Some Remarks on Left and Right Integrability 3.2.1 Involutivity of a Right-Submodule 3.2.2 Smallest 0-Integrable Right-Submodule Containing $\Delta(\delta]$. 16
2.3 The Differential Form Representation 2.4 Generalized Lie Derivative and Generalized Lie Bracket 2.5 Some Remarks on the Polynomial Lie Bracket 2.6 The Action of Changes of Coordinates 2.7 The Action of Static State Feedback Laws 2.8 Problems 3 The Geometric Framework—Results on Integrability 3.1 Some Remarks on Left and Right Integrability 3.2.1 Involutivity of a Right-Submodule 3.2.2 Smallest 0-Integrable Right-Submodule Containing $\Delta(\delta]$. 20
 2.4 Generalized Lie Derivative and Generalized Lie Bracket. 2.5 Some Remarks on the Polynomial Lie Bracket. 2.6 The Action of Changes of Coordinates 2.7 The Action of Static State Feedback Laws. 2.8 Problems 3 The Geometric Framework—Results on Integrability. 3.1 Some Remarks on Left and Right Integrability. 3.2 Integrability of a Right-Submodule 3.2.1 Involutivity of a Right-Submodule Versus its Integrability. 3.2.2 Smallest 0-Integrable Right-Submodule Containing Δ(δ) 	. 21
 2.5 Some Remarks on the Polynomial Lie Bracket. 2.6 The Action of Changes of Coordinates 2.7 The Action of Static State Feedback Laws 2.8 Problems 3 The Geometric Framework—Results on Integrability 3.1 Some Remarks on Left and Right Integrability 3.2 Integrability of a Right-Submodule 3.2.1 Involutivity of a Right-Submodule Versus its Integrability 3.2.2 Smallest 0-Integrable Right-Submodule Containing Δ(δ) 	. 23
 2.6 The Action of Changes of Coordinates	. 27
 2.7 The Action of Static State Feedback Laws	. 31
 2.8 Problems	. 34
 3 The Geometric Framework—Results on Integrability 3.1 Some Remarks on Left and Right Integrability 3.2 Integrability of a Right-Submodule 3.2.1 Involutivity of a Right-Submodule Versus its Integrability 3.2.2 Smallest 0-Integrable Right-Submodule Containing Δ(δ) 	. 36
 3.1 Some Remarks on Left and Right Integrability	. 37
 3.2 Integrability of a Right-Submodule	. 38
 3.2.1 Involutivity of a Right-Submodule Versus its Integrability	. 39
Integrability	
3.2.2 Smallest 0-Integrable Right-Submodule Containing $\Delta(\delta]$. 40
$\Delta(\delta] \dots \dots$	
	. 43
3.2.3 <i>p</i> -integrability	. 45
3.2.4 Bicausal Change of Coordinates	. 46
3.3 Integrability of a Left-Submodule	. 48
3.4 Problems	. 55

4	Acc	essibility of Nonlinear Time-Delay Systems	57
	4.1	The Accessibility Submodules in the Delay Context	60
	4.2	A Canonical Decomposition with Respect to Accessibility	63
	4.3	On the Computation of the Accessibility Submodules	67
	4.4	On <i>t</i> -Accessibility of Time-Delay Systems	70
	4.5	Problems	72
5	Obs	ervability	75
	5.1	Decomposing with Respect to Observability	77
		5.1.1 The Case of Autonomous Systems	78
	5.2	On Regular Observability for Time-Delay Systems	79
	5.3	Problems	83
6	Арр	lications of Integrability	85
	6.1	Characterization of the Chained Form with Delays	85
	6.2	Input–Output Feedback Linearization	89
		6.2.1 Introductory Examples	89
		6.2.2 Static Output Feedback Solutions	90
		6.2.3 Hybrid Output Feedback Solutions	92
	6.3	Input-State Linearization	93
		6.3.1 Introductory Example	94
		6.3.2 Solution	94
	6.4	Normal Form	95
	6.5	Problems	96
Se	ries F	Editor Biographies	99
Re	feren	ces	101