# **LONGITUDINAL LOSS OF LANDAU DAMPING IN THE CERN SUPER PROTON SYNCHROTRON AT 200 GeV**

L. Intelisano<sup>∗1</sup>, H. Damerau, I. Karpov, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland <sup>1</sup> also at Sapienza Università di Roma, Rome, Italy

#### *Abstract*

Landau damping plays a crucial role in preserving singlebunch stability. In view of delivering the beam to the High-luminosity LHC (HL-LHC), the Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS) must double the intensity per bunch. In this intensity range, the loss of Landau damping (LLD) in the longitudinal plane can pose an important performance limitation. Observation of the beam response to a rigid-bunch dipole perturbation is a common technique in studying the LLD. This contribution presents measurements for a single bunch at 200 GeV in a double-harmonic RF system with a higher harmonic voltage at four times the fundamental RF frequency, showing the impact on Landau damping. Beyond the analytical estimates, the observations are moreover compared to the results from novel stability criteria implemented in the semi-analytical code MELODY, as well as with macroparticle simulation in BLonD.

## **INTRODUCTION**

The stability of particle beams in hadron synchrotrons is a critical issue for achieving high-intensity and quality beams. One of the most effective mechanisms for maintaining beam stability is Landau damping [1]. In the longitudinal plane, the synchrotron frequency spread of individual particles, caused by the non-linear voltage of the RF system, establishes this damping mechanism, which was studied for many years [2–11]. To enhance beam stability, a common technique is to employ a higher harmonic RF system, which can modify the synchrotron frequency spread. For example, the Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS) at CERN is equipped with 200 MHz and 800 MHz RF systems, operating in phase at the bunch position, resulting in the so-called bunch shortening mode (BSM) to suppress longitudinal instabilities [12].

Analytical expressions for the loss of Landau damping (LLD) threshold in the single harmonic RF case have been derived [13] using the Lebedev equation [2] they are confirmed by numerical calculations with the code MELODY [14] and macroparticle simulations with BLonD [15]. The predictions are consistent with available beam measurements, and it has also been observed that the beam response to a rigid-dipole perturbation is strongly influenced by Landau damping. Recently, studies have been extended to a specific configuration of the double harmonic RF system, and a new analytic expression has been proposed [16].

This contribution presents beam-based measurements performed in the SPS to estimate the LLD threshold. The findings are compared with calculations using the MELODY code and macroparticle tracking using BLonD.

## **LOSS OF LANDAU DAMPING**

The LLD occurs when the coherent synchrotron frequency of a bunch moves out from the incoherent frequency band. An analytical expression for the LLD threshold has been proposed in BSM, based on refined estimates of the synchrotron frequency distribution [16]. This expression is designed explicitly for particle distributions that are modeled by a binomial family, denoted as:

$$
\lambda(\phi) \propto \left(1 - \frac{U_{\text{tot}}(\phi)}{U_{\text{tot}}(\phi_{\text{max}})}\right)^{\mu + 1/2}, \qquad (1)
$$

where  $U_{\text{tot}}(\phi)$  is the total RF potential well including intensity effects, and  $\phi_{\text{max}}$  is the maximum phase deviation in the bunch. Equation 1 is applicable to a wide range of realistic bunch distributions in proton synchrotrons, depending on  $\mu$ . Assuming the SPS configuration, the LLD threshold can be expressed as:

$$
N_{\rm th} \propto \frac{1 + 64r}{\mu(\mu + 1)(1 + 4r)^{1/2}} \frac{\phi_{\rm max}^4}{\text{Im}Z_{\rm eff}f_c},\tag{2}
$$

where r and Im $Z_{\text{eff}}$  represent the voltage ratio and the effective impedance model with cutoff frequency  $f_c$ . Similar to the single RF (SRF) case [13], the LLD threshold in the double-harmonic RF system is highly sensitive to the fourth power of the bunch length, depending on the voltage ratios.

The subsequent section outlines the experimental evaluation of the LLD threshold prediction made by a selfconsistent semi-analytical calculation using MELODY. The code implements the latest beam coupling impedance model of the SPS [17] along with the one-turn delay feedback model [18, 19]. However, as is shown in Eq. (2), the accuracy of the threshold prediction is directly correlated with the precision of the impedance model and its cutoff frequency,  $f_c$ .

#### **SINGLE RF**

Based on the machine parameters outlined in Table 1, this section will present the principal outcomes acquired from beam-based measurements in the SPS. After injecting a single bunch from the Proton Synchrotron (PS) at a total energy of 26 GeV, the beam is accelerated to an energy of 200 GeV, minimizing space charge contributions. Within a few milliseconds of reaching the flat-top energy, the bunch is excited by a dipole kick, allowing it to oscillate in a rigid bucket. Throughout the process, the beam phase loop [20] was disabled. The evolution of the bunch offset was obtained by measuring the phase difference between the beam pickup

WEPA: Wednesday Poster Session: WEPA<br>MC5.D06: Coherent and Incoherent Instabilities Measurements and Countermeasures 2669 WEPA013

<sup>∗</sup> leandro.intelisano@cern.ch

Table 1: Accelerator Parameters of the SPS at Flat-Top



signal and the sum of the cavity voltages (i.e., phase-loop error [21]), as shown in Fig. 1. When the beam intensity is



Figure 1: Bunch phase offset evolution with different intensities obtained measuring the phase-loop error in SRF. The red lines represent the envelopes of the traces computed using a Hilbert transform.

below the LLD threshold (e.g., blue line), the bunch oscillations rapidly lose their coherence, followed by subsequent slow decoherence. However, phase oscillations persist above the LLD threshold (e.g., purple line), and their amplitudes depend on the bunch intensity. This relationship between residual oscillation amplitude and intensity is attributed to crossing the LLD threshold. Below this intensity threshold, damping is dominant.

In the SRF configuration, measurements were conducted [similarly to [22]] to acquire various bunch intensities ranging from  $3.0 \times 10^{10}$  to  $7.0 \times 10^{10}$ , in increments of ~  $0.5 \times 10^{10}$ . Figure 2 shows the time evolution of the bunch phase oscillation amplitude (color coding), following a dipole excitation, for different intensities. The oscillation amplitude from turn-by-turn bunch offset was extracted using a Hilbert transform [23]. The measured profiles were fitted with Eq. (1) and subsequently provided as input to simulations. In particular, Fig. 2c is obtained with a selfconsistent semi-analytical approach (also used in [13, 24]) performed by means of MELODY, whereas, Fig. 2b is derived from a conventional macroparticle tracking simulation with BLonD. Both methods assume accelerator parameters according to Table 1, including the SPS impedance model.



(c) MELODY.

Figure 2: Time evolution of the normalized bunch phase oscillation amplitude (color coding) after a dipole excitation in the SRF. The measurement results (2a) are compared with outcome of BLonD (2b) and MELODY (2c) analysis for different intensities. The MELODY prediction of the LLD threshold at  $N_{\text{th}} \approx 6.1 \times 10^{10}$  is shown in a red dashed line.

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the CC BY 4.0 licence (© 2022). Any distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s), title of the work, publisher, and DOI.

തത്ത Content from this work may be used under the terms of the CC BY 4.0 licence (© 2022). Any distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s), title of the work, publisher, and DOI



Figure 3: Measured time evolution of the bunch phase oscillation, normalized by the kick strength, in BSM for different intensities with voltage ratio  $r = 0.1$ .

The two approaches exhibit similar behavior and agree well with the LLD threshold predicted by MELODY (red dashed line). However, the residual oscillation amplitude depicted by measurement in Fig.2a results in being larger than from the simulations. The resulting LLD threshold predictions of  $N_{\text{th}}^{\text{SRF}}$  = 6.13 × 10<sup>10</sup> overestimates the measurements.

The SPS impedance model was developed for many years based on measurements and simulations of accelerator components [25, 26]. Nevertheless, obtaining accurate impedance behavior at high frequencies presents significant challenges. As illustrated by Eq. (2), the LLD threshold highly depends on the cutoff frequency  $f_c$ . Consequently, inaccuracies in the impedance model or in its cutoff frequency have a significant impact on the computed threshold.

# **BUNCH SHORTENING MODE**

This section extends the studies to BSM configuration to evaluate the relative change of the LLD threshold when transitioning from an SRF to a double-harmonic RF system for the case of the fourth harmonic RF.

Figure 3 illustrates the measured time evolution of the normalized bunch phase oscillation amplitude, relative to the kick strength, in BSM for a voltage ratio of  $r = 0.1$ . A significant benefit can be observed when employing a doubleharmonic RF system as compared to the SRF case shown in Fig. 2a. In particular, the usage of BSM results in an increase of approximately ∼ 6 in terms of the LLD threshold. However, direct comparison is not straightforward since beam conditions at significantly higher intensities differ. In particular, Fig 4 shows the measured bunch profile with the corresponding binomial fit (1) in orange. Moving from the SRF scenario (top) to the BSM case (bottom), it is visible a reduction in bunch length and a noticeable change in bunch shape (lower  $\mu$ ). Moreover, the theoretically predicted LLD threshold in BSM is, similarly to the SRF case, overestimated  $N_{\text{th}}^{\text{BSM}} \approx 77 \times 10^{10}$ .



Figure 4: Comparison between measured line density in SRF (top) and BSM (bottom). The binomial fit [Eq. (1)] of the profile is depicted in orange.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Landau damping is one of the most effective methods for stabilizing coherent beam instabilities in hadron synchrotrons. Recent investigations have led to new analytical criteria for predicting the LLD threshold, which can be applied to double-harmonic RF systems operating above the transition energy. In this work, the kick-response technique was applied to experimentally study LLD threshold in the SPS. In particular, the threshold increase for doubleharmonic RF configurations has been demonstrated. The findings were benchmarked with a self-consistent semianalytical calculation using MELODY and macroparticle tracking simulation with BLonD. Both methods exhibit excellent agreement. However, discrepancies between the measurements and simulations may indicate imperfections in the SPS beam coupling impedance model. Further investigations will focus on refined measurements as well as theoretical benchmarks.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors would like to express their gratitude for the precious contribution provided by Simon Albright, Stephane Cettour Cave, Alexandre Lasheen, and the SPS operations team, who offered helpful insights and constant support throughout this work.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] L. D. Landau, "On the vibrations of the electronic plasma", *J. Phys. (USSR)*, vol. 10, p. 25, 1946.
- [2] A. N. Lebedev, "Coherent synchrotron oscillations in the presence of a space charge", *At. Energyy*, vol. 25, no. 2, p. 851, 1968. doi:10.1007/BF01121037
- [3] F. J. Sacherer, "A longitudinal stability criterion for bunched beams", *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* vol. 20, p. 825, 1973. doi:10.1109/TNS.1973.4327254
- [4] F. Sacherer, "Methods for computing bunched-beam instabilities", CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, Tech. Rep. No. CERN-SI-INT-BR-72-5, 1972.
- [5] A. Hofmann and F. Pedersen, "Bunches with local elliptic energy distributions", *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* vol. 26, p. 3526, 1979. doi:10.1109/TNS.1979.4330088
- [6] G. Besnier, "Stabilite des oscillations longitudinales d'un faisceau groupe se propageant dans une chambre a vide d'impedance reactive", *Nucl. Instrum. Methods*, vol. 164, p. 235, 1979. doi:10.1016/0029-554X(79)90241-6
- [7] Y. H. Chin, K. Satoh, and K. Yokoya, "Instability of a bunched beam with synchrotron frequency spread", *Part. Accel*, vol. 13, p. 45, 1983.
- [8] V. I. Balbekov and S. V. Ivanov, "The Influence of Chamber Inductance on the Threshold of Longitudinal Bunched Beam Instability", in *Proc. EPAC'90*, Nice, France, Jun. 1990, pp. 1566–1569.
- [9] O. Boine-Frankenheim and T. Shukla, "Space charge effects in bunches for different RF wave forms", *Phys. Rev. Spec. Top. Accel. Beams*, vol. 8, p. 034201, 2005. doi:10.1103/PhysRevSTAB.8.034201
- [10] O. Boine-Frankenheim and O. Chorniy, "Stability of coherent synchrotron oscillations with space charge", *Phys. Rev. Spec. Top. Accel. Beams*, vol. 10, p. 104202, 2007. doi:10.1103/PhysRevSTAB.10.104202
- [11] A. Burov, "Van Kampen modes for bunch longitudinal motion", in *Proc. 46th ICFA Advanced Beam Dynamics Workshop on High-Intensity and High-Brightness Hadron Beams*, Morschach, Switzerland, 2010.
- [12] E. N. Shaposhnikova, T. Bohl, and T. P. R. Linnecar, "Beam Transfer Functions and Beam Stabilisation in a Double RF System", in *Proc. PAC'05*, Knoxville, TN, USA, May 2005, paper MPPP033, p. 230. doi:10.1109/PAC.2005.1591090
- [13] I. Karpov, T. Argyropoulos, and E. Shaposhnikova, "Thresholds for loss of landau damping in longitudinal plane", *Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams*, vol. 24, p. 011002, 2021. doi:10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.24.011002
- [14] I. Karpov, Matrix Equations for LOngitudinal beam DYnamics (MELODY) code, https://gitlab.cern.ch/ikarpov/melody
- [15] H. Timko *et al.*, "Beam Longitudinal Dynamics Simulation Suite BLonD", 2022. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2206.08148
- [16] L. Intelisano, H. Damerau, and I. Karpov, "Threshold for loss of longitudinal Landau damping in double harmonic RF systems", in *Proc. 64th ICFA Advanced Beam Dynamics Workshop on High-Intensity High Brightness Hadron Beams*, Batavia, IL, USA, 2021 pp. 95–99. doi:10.18429/JACoW-HB2021-MOP15
- [17] CERN SPS Longitudinal Impedance Model, https:// gitlab.cern.ch/longitudinal-impedance/SPS
- [18] F. J. G. Guarch, P. Baudrenghien, and J. M. M. Arostegui, "A new beam synchronous processing architecture with a fixed frequency processing clock. Application to transient beam loading compensation in the CERN SPS machine", *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. Sect. A*, vol. 988, p. 164, 2021. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2020.164894
- [19] One Turn Delay Feedback static model, https://gitlab. cern.ch/ikarpov/sps\_otfb\_static\_model
- [20] P. Baudrenghien, "Low-level RF Part I: Longitudinal dynamics and beam-based loops in synchrotrons", *CAS: RF for Acc.*, Ebeltoft, Denmark, p. 341, 2010. doi:10.5170/CERN-2011-007.341
- [21] A. Spierer *et al.*, "The CERN SPS Low Level RF: The Beam-Control", in *Proc. IPAC'22*, Bangkok, Thailand, Jun. 2022, pp. 895–898. doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2022-TUPOST021
- [22] L. Intelisano, H. Damerau, and I. Karpov, "Measurements" of longitudinal Loss of Landau damping in the CERN Proton Synchrotron", presented at IPAC'23, Venice, Italy, 2023, paper WEPA012, this conference.
- [23] S. L. Hahn, "Hilbert Transforms in Signal Processing", *Artech House*, Norwood, MA, USA, 1996.
- [24] Y. I. Alexahin, "On the Landau damping and decoherence of transverse dipole oscillations in colliding beams", *Part. Accel.*, vol. 59, p. 43, 1998.
- [25] A. Lasheen, T. Argyropoulos, T. Bohl, J. F. Esteban Müller, H. Timko, and E. Shaposhnikova, "Beam measurement of the high frequency impedance sources with long bunches in the CERN Super Proton Synchrotron", in *Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams*, vol. 21, p. 034401, 2018. doi:10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.21.03440
- [26] J. V. Campelo *et al.*, "An Extended SPS Longitudinal Impedance Model", in *Proc. IPAC'15*, Richmond, VA, USA, May 2015, pp. 360–362. doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2015-MOPJE035