



Article Effect of Head-Up/-Down Tilt on ECG Segments and Myocardial Temporal Dispersion in Healthy Subjects

Gianfranco Piccirillo ¹, Federica Moscucci ^{2,*}, Ilaria Di Diego ¹, Martina Mezzadri ¹, Cristina Caltabiano ¹, Myriam Carnovale ¹, Andrea Corrao ¹, Ilaria Lospinuso ¹, Sara Stefano ¹, Claudia Scinicariello ¹, Marco Giuffrè ¹, Valerio De Santis ¹, Susanna Sciomer ¹, Pietro Rossi ³, Emiliano Fiori ⁴ and Damiano Magrì ⁴

- ¹ Department of Clinical and Internal Medicine, Anesthesiology and Cardiovascular Sciences, Policlinico Umberto I, "Sapienza" University of Rome, Viale del Policlinico, 00161 Rome, Italy
- ² Department of Internal Medicine and Medical Specialties, Policlinico Umberto I, Viale del Policlinico, 00161 Rome, Italy
- ³ Arrhythmology Unit, Fatebenefratelli Hospital Isola Tiberina-Gemelli Isola, 00186 Rome, Italy
- ⁴ Department of Clinical and Molecular Medicine, Sant'Andrea Hospital, "Sapienza" University of Rome, 00198 Rome, Italy
- Correspondence: dr.moscucci.uniroma1@gmail.com

Simple Summary: The autonomic nervous system (ANS) modulates the oscillation of electrocardiogram segments and their intervals so much that its balance could be investigated throughout the heart rate variability analysis. It is noteworthy that the ANS is able to modulate the myocardial repolarization phase too. Head-up/-down tilt test modifies acutely the ANS balance by means of a deactivation of the cardiopulmonary reflexes. The present study examines the influence of headup/-down tilt on a number of ECG segments, assuming that the cardiopulmonary postural reflexes modulate most of the ECG interval oscillations in terms of their lengths and short-period temporal dispersion. The T wave amplitude diminished during head-up tilt and significantly correlated with the left ventricular end-systolic volume.

Abstract: The head-up/-down tilt test acutely modifies the autonomic nervous system balance throughout a deactivation of the cardiopulmonary reflexes. The present study examines the influence of head-up/-down tilt on a number of ECG segments. A total of 20 healthy subjects underwent a 5 min ECG and noninvasive hemodynamic bio-impedance recording, during free and controlled breathing, lying at (a) 0° ; (b) -45° , tilting up at 45° , and tilting up at 90° . Heart rate variability power spectral analysis was obtained throughout some ECG intervals: P-P (P), P-Q (PQ), P_eQ (from the end of P to Q wave), Q-R peak (QR intervals), Q-R-S (QRS), Q-T peak (QT_p), Q-T end (QT_e), ST_p, ST_e, T peak-T end (T_e), and, eventually, the T_eP segments (from the end of T to the next P waves). Results: In all study conditions, the Low Frequency/High Frequency_{PP} and LF_{PP normalized units (nu) were significantly lower than the LF/HF_{RR} and LF_{RRnu}, respectively. Conversely, the HF_{PP} and HF_{PPnu} were significantly higher in all study conditions. ST_e, QT_p, and QT_e were significantly related to the PP and RR intervals, whereas the T wave amplitude was inversely related to the standard deviations of all the myocardial repolarization variables and to the left ventricular end-systolic volume (LVEDV). The T wave amplitude diminished during head-up tilt and significantly correlated with the LVEDV.}

Keywords: head-up tilt test; autonomic nervous system; heart rate variability; T wave amplitude

1. Introduction

The autonomic nervous system (ANS) modulates the oscillations of the heart rate, intra-atrial, atrio-ventricular, and intra-ventricular conductions as well as myocardial repolarization. Although sinus node activity is not properly identifiable on a surface electrocardiogram (ECG) since it precedes the P wave, it is measured roughly in terms of R-R intervals [1,2]. It is noteworthy that each ECG segment (P-Q, P-R, S-T, and T-P), as well as



Citation: Piccirillo, G.; Moscucci, F.; Di Diego, I.; Mezzadri, M.; Caltabiano, C.; Carnovale, M.; Corrao, A.; Lospinuso, I.; Stefano, S.; Scinicariello, C.; et al. Effect of Head-Up/-Down Tilt on ECG Segments and Myocardial Temporal Dispersion in Healthy Subjects. *Biology* **2023**, *12*, 960. https:// doi.org/10.3390/biology12070960

Academic Editors: Filip Kukić, Jay Dawes, Robin Orr and Katie M. Heinrich

Received: 8 March 2023 Revised: 19 June 2023 Accepted: 20 June 2023 Published: 5 July 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). ECG intervals (RR, PP, P wave, Q-R-S, Q-T), slightly oscillate due to the ANS control, which modulates sinus node activity, affecting the ECG's spectral components and coherences. Accordingly, in a recent study [3] on healthy and chronic heart failure subjects, a significant relationship has been described between both P-Q and PP coherence at rest and between the left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and atrial volume. Similarly, in an animal experimental model, an inverse relationship has been found between left stellate ganglion nerve activity and the temporal dispersion of the segments Pe-Q, i.e., from the end of P to the Q waves, whereas the same parameter was positively related to the vagal nerve activity [4]. Adding further support to the abovementioned close relationships, an increase in temporal dispersion of the P wave and P-Q segments during tilt test-induced asystole is clear [5]. Conversely, with respect to the link between the ANS and myocardial temporal dispersion, evidence in an animal experimental model has already been provided, specifically about the increase in Q-T/R-R coherence during high levels of left stellate ganglion activity [6] as well as about the direct relationship between the short-term variability of the T peak to T end interval (Te) and left stellate ganglion activity [7]. Furthermore, the close relationship between the circadian rhythm of the repolarization phase and the sympathovagal circadian cycle has been demonstrated [8]. Finally, passive orthostatism, as obtained by means of a tilt test, is equally able to elicit a sympathetic drive and to induce a myocardial temporal dispersion reduction, as well as high levels of sympathetic stress, as obtained by an exercise test, increasing the Q-T and Te standard deviation [9].

The aim of the present study, conducted in healthy subjects, was to accurately describe the physiological behavior of a number of ECG and noninvasive hemodynamic parameters in response to an ANS deactivation induced by different passive graded head-up/-down tests. Data acquired will be useful to program artificial intelligence and machine learning instruments in order to better stratify patients' risk during stroke, myocardial infarction, acutely decompensated chronic heart failure, or atrial fibrillation.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Protocol

For the study purpose, only healthy volunteers were enrolled in the present analysis. All participants underwent a short-term (5 min) single-lead ECG and noninvasive hemodynamic recordings (PhysioFlow; Manatec Biomedical, Poissy, France) lying supine over the tilt-table during free breathing (rest) and during controlled breathing (15 breaths/minutes) lying with the following tilt angles: (a) lying supine at 0° (0° L-d); (b) lying down at -45° (-45° T), tilting up at 45° (45° T), and tilting up 90° (90° T). The order of the study phases was randomly chosen [10] and each one was always preceded and followed by a rest session of 5 min. Hemodynamic recordings have been double-checked by echocardiographic tests and twelve leads surface ECG. The PhysioFlow system provided a self-correction to minimize artifacts and noises.

Patients were randomly assigned to different study phases, as shown in Figure 1.

ECG signals were acquired and digitalized with a custom-designed card (National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA) at a sampling frequency of 500 Hz. Measurements used for the ECG segments and interval analysis were detected automatically by a classic adaptive first derivative/threshold algorithm and a template method [5,11] (Figure 2).

A specifically designed and produced system for data acquisition, storage, and analysis was developed with the LabView program (National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA). An expert cardiologist (GP) checked the different ECG intervals and segments, automatically marked by the software and, when needed, manually corrected the mistakes [3–5,11,12].

The mean and standard deviation ($_{SD}$) values of the following ECG intervals and segments were calculated: R-R intervals (RR); P-P intervals (PP), from the beginning of two consecutive P waves; P wave intervals (P), from the start to the end of a single P wave; P-Q intervals (PQ), from the start of P to the Q waves; P-Q segments (P_eQ), from the end of P to Q waves; Q-R intervals (QR), from Q to the peak of R waves; Q-R-S intervals (QRS), from Q to S waves; Q-T peak intervals (QT_p), from Q to the peak of T waves; Q-T end (QT_e),

from Q to end of T waves; ST peak segments (ST_p) , from S to the peak of T waves; ST end segments (ST_e) , from S to the end of T waves; T peak T end intervals (T_e) , from peak to end of T waves; T end P interval (T_eP) , from the end of T to start of P waves (Figure 3).



5-minutes ECG – Non-invasive Hemodynamic Recordings

Figure 1. Random assignment to different study phases.



Figure 2. Template of ECG signals (II lead) during the different study phases. Note the T wave amplitude was flatter during passive positive standing with 45° (45° T, red) and 90° (90° T, blue) tilt table angle and it was sharper during head-down (-45° T, green) and rest (brown) in comparison to lay-down (0° L-d, purple).



Figure 3. Cont.



Figure 3. Segments and intervals obtained during the different study phases (**A**): rest (lay-down), controlled breathing (lay-down), head-down -45° tilt angle (-45° T), head-up 45° tilt angle (45° T), and head-up 90° tilt angle (90° T) (**B**).

Power spectral analyses with an autoregressive algorithm for all study variables have been performed to obtain the main three spectral components from PP and RR variability [7,13–16] very low-frequency power (VLF), between 0 and 0.04 hertz equivalents (Hz); low-frequency power (LF), between 0.04 and 0.15 (Hz); and high-frequency power (HF). The ratios between LF and HF (LF/HF) and the total power (TP) were also calculated, the latter being the total area under the spectra (i.e., the variance of the examined variable). Eventually, the absolute power in the LF and HF normalized units (nu) [7,13–16] and the spectral coherence between different variables were obtained [6,11,17], the latter expressing the mutual influence between two variables (ranging from 0 to 1).

Bioimpedance cardiography was used to evaluate short-term non-invasive hemodynamic variations during different study phases. Specifically, we recorded the stroke volume (SV), cardiac output (CO), systemic peripheral resistances (SPR), left ventricular end-diastolic volume (LVEDV), left ventricular end-systolic volume (LVESV), and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) [18–24].

2.2. Statistical Analysis

Data were reported as mean \pm standard deviation or as median and interquartile range, respectively, for normal and skewed distribution data. We used the ANOVA for repeated measures to compare the studied variable (rest, 0° L-d, -45° T, 45° T, and 90° T) for the normally distributed variables and Friedmann and Wilcoxon's tests for those non-normally distributed. Linear regression analysis was used to evaluate the influence between beat-to-beat PP or RR and all study variables (more than 40,000 points) and the influence of the amplitude of T on standard deviation repolarization of data. We also calculated the Pearson/Spearman correlation coefficients between noninvasive hemodynamic and ECG data, considering altogether the different study phases.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS-PC+ (SPSS-PC+ Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) packages. All tests were two-sided. A p value less than or equal to 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

3. Results

A total of 20 healthy subjects (mean age: 35 ± 14 years, 75% male) concluded the protocol. Table 1 shows in detail data from PP power spectral analysis measured in each of the five study phases and possible differences with respect to the data from RR power spectral analysis (Table 1).

In particular, the LF/HF_{PP} and LF_{PPnu} were significantly lower than LF/HF_{RR} and LF_{RRnu}, respectively, whereas the HF_{PP} and HF_{PPnu} were significantly higher than the same spectral components calculated on the RR (Table 1). All spectral components expressed in normalized power as well as the LF/HF ratio showed the most significant changes during the different study phases. It was noteworthy that all study phases, except for the "rest" one, were obtained during controlled breathing at 15 breaths per minute. This aspect explains why the central frequency at rest was significantly different from all other study phases. In all study conditions, the P-PP, PQ-PP, and T_eP-PP coherences were significantly higher than the same coherences obtained with RR (numerical data not extensively reported), with the P-PP and PQ-PP coherences being significantly higher during the 90° T phase in comparison to the other study conditions.

Table 2 supplies a detailed list of all ECG intervals and segments data recorded during the five study phases. Obviously, the shortest RR and PP intervals were recorded during the 90° T phases, whereas the lowest were obtained at the -45° T phase.

	Rest	0° L-d	-45° Tilt	45° Tilt	90° Tilt	p Value	
	Controlled Breathing						
TP _{PP} , ms ²	1166 (2217)	1246 (637)	1603 (3614)	1739 (1221)	1308 (1612)	ns	
<i>p</i> value: TP _{PP} vs. TP _{RR}	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.001		
VLF _{PP} , ms ²	580 (845)	377 (637)	810 (2116)	784 (796)	780 (707)	ns	
<i>p</i> value: VLF _{PP} vs. VLF _{RR}	0.05	ns	0.05	0.05	ns		
LF_{PP} , ms ²	251 (1252) @	285 (344) #@	366 (977)	437 (534)	487 (727)	< 0.05	
<i>p</i> value: LF _{PP} vs. LF _{RR}	0.05	ns	ns	ns	ns		
LF _{PP} CF, Hz	0.10 ± 0.02	0.10 ± 0.02	0.10 ± 0.02	0.09 ± 0.02	0.09 ± 0.02	ns	
<i>p</i> value: LF _{PP} vs. LF _{RR} CF	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns		
HF _{PP} , ms ²	167 (484) *@	219 (661) §	278 (32) 🕌	164 (96) •	100 (110)	< 0.001	
<i>p</i> value: HF _{PP} vs. HF _{RR}	< 0.001	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.001		
HF _{PP} CF, Hz	0.30 ± 0.09 @*@@	0.25 ± 0.00	0.25 ± 0.06	0.25 ± 0.06	0.25 ± 0.09	< 0.05	
<i>p</i> value: HF _{PP} vs. HF _{RR} CF	ns	ns	ns	0.001	ns		
LF/HF _{PP} ,	2.30 (2.37) @*@@	0.98 (1.14) @@§§	1.33 (1.27) 🕂	2.57 (2.02) •	4.43 (3.76)	< 0.001	
<i>p</i> value: LF/HF _{PP} vs. LF/HF _{RR}	0.001	0.05	0.001	0.05	0.05		
LF _{PP} , nu	64 (25) @@	46 (27) @@§§	51 (29) 🗰	64 (22) •	71 (26)	< 0.001	
<i>p</i> value: LF _{PP} vs. LF _{RR} nu	0.001	0.05	0.001	0.001	0.05		
HF _{PP} , nu	31 (20) @@	45 (25) @@§	37 (19) 📲	24 (17) •	16 (8)	< 0.001	
<i>p</i> value: HF _{PP} vs. HF _{RR} nu	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.001		

Table 1. Power spectral analysis of P-P interval variability in different study phases.

L-d: lay down position; PP: P-P interval; TP: total power; VLF: very low frequency; LF: low frequency; LF CF: LF central frequency; HF: high frequency; HF CF: HF central frequency; LF/HF: LF, HF ratio; nu: normalized units; ns: not statistically significant, p value > 0.05. p < 0.05 rest vs. 0° lie-down; @@ p < 0.001 rest vs. 0° lie-down; p < 0.05 rest vs. -45° tilt; @ p < 0.05 rest vs. 45° tilt; @@ p < 0.001 rest vs. 45° tilt; @ p < 0.05 rest vs. 90° tilt; @ p < 0.05 rest vs. 90° tilt; @ p < 0.05 o° lie-down vs. 45° tilt; @@ p < 0.001 o° lie-down vs. 45° tilt; # p < 0.05 o° lie-down vs. 45° tilt; # p < 0.05 o° lie-down vs. 45° tilt; # $p < 0.05 - 45^{\circ}$ tilt; # $p < 0.05 - 45^{\circ}$ tilt; $p < 0.05 + 45^{\circ}$ tilt; p <

Table 2. ECG interval data in different study phases.

	Rest	0° L-d	-45° Tilt	45° Tilt	90° Tilt	p Value
	Controlled Breathing					
RR, ms	845 ± 114 **++	840 ± 106 ##§§	912 ± 123 ₩₩	825 ± 130 ••	697 ± 94	< 0.001
RR _{SD} , ms	34 (29)	35 (25)	40 (40)	41 (17)	36 (20)	ns
PP, ms	845 ± 114 **++	$841 \pm 106 \text{ ##}$	$917 \pm 120 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +$	825 ± 130 ••	697 ± 94	< 0.001
PP _{SD} , ms	34 (30)	35 (24)	40 (41)	42 (15)	37 (20)	ns
P, ms	123 ± 9 H	$123\pm12~\text{#}$ §	$121 \pm 11 \parallel$	121 ± 10 •	114 ± 10	< 0.001
P _{SD} , ms	8 (2)	8 (2)	9 (4)	9 (3)	9 (2)	ns
P _e Q, ms	55 ± 14 ++	59 ± 15 §	$59 \pm 13 +$	55 ± 14 \bullet	47 ± 14	< 0.001
P _e Q _{SD} , ms	7 (3)	6 (3)	8 (3)	7 (4)	7 (3)	ns
PQ, ms	179 ± 17 ++	$186\pm23~\$\$$	$182 \pm 18 \blacksquare$	$177\pm18 \bullet \bullet$	161 ± 16	< 0.001
PQ _{SD} ,	7 (3)	7 (3)	7 (4)	8 (4)	8 (3)	ns
QR, ms	34 ± 9	35 ± 9	35 ± 9	35 ± 10	35 ± 10	ns
QR _{SD} , ms	3 (3)	4 (4)	4 (5)	4 (4)	4 (5)	ns
QRS, ms	66 ± 17	66 ± 17	67 ± 17	65 ± 19	64 ± 19	ns
QRS _{SD} , ms	5 (4)	5 (4)	6 (5)	6 (4)	6 (5)	ns
QT _p , ms	$284 \pm 20 * 1$	$284\pm18~\text{\#}$ §§	298 ± 33 +##	282 ± 22 $ullet$	268 ± 23	< 0.001
QT _{pSD} ,	6 (3) 🗆 🕂	6 (4) §	7 (4)	8 (5)	8 (3)	< 0.001
QT _e , ms	$370 \pm 29 * + +$	$369 \pm 32 \#$ §	$385 \pm 26 = 100$	$369\pm26 \bullet \bullet$	343 ± 32	< 0.001
QT _{eSD} , ms	7 (3) ┤*□↓	7 (2) #§	8 (3)	8 (4)	8 (5)	< 0.001
ST _p , ms	218 ± 29 \downarrow	$219\pm26\text{\#s}$	$226 \pm 32 \parallel$	217 ± 28 ••	204 ± 28	< 0.001
ST _{pSD} , ms	6 (2) +	6 (4) §	7 (3)	6 (3) •	7 (2)	< 0.05
ST _e , ms	$308 \pm 33 * 11$	$308\pm30~\text{#}$ §	$318 \pm 32 \pm 0.001$	$303\pm32 \bullet \bullet$	284 ± 29	< 0.001
ST _{eSD} , ms	6 (3) *+	6 (3) #§	7 (3)	7 (3) •	8 (3)	< 0.05
T _e , ms	87 ± 12	90 ± 12	92 ± 10	86 ± 10	86 ± 25	ns
T _{eSD} , ms	7 (2) *□∔	7 (2) #§	8 (2)	8 (3) •	9 (3)	< 0.001
TeP, ms	$301 \pm 86 * + +$	293 ± 74 ##§§	362 ± 89 ┿┿	$291\pm97\bullet\bullet$	196 ± 70	< 0.001
$T_e P_{SD}$	34 (29)_	36 (23)	40 (39)	41 (13)	37 (17)	ns
T, μVolt	297 (348) □++	313 (233) §§	298 (202) ‡##	266 (196) •	200 (127)	< 0.001

L-d: lay down position; * p < 0.05 rest vs. -45° tilt; ** p < 0.05 rest vs. -45° tilt, +45° tilt and 90° tilt; $\downarrow p < 0.05$ rest vs. 45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ rest vs. 90° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ rest vs. 90° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ rest vs. 90° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ rest vs. 90° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ rest vs. 90° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ rest vs. 90° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ rest vs. 90° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ rest vs. 90° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ rest vs. 90° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; B < 0.05 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; B < 0.05 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. -45° tilt; $\Box \downarrow p < 0.05$ 0° lie-down vs. $-45^$

 $p < 0.05 - 45^{\circ}$ tilt vs. 45° tilt; $p < 0.001 - 45^{\circ}$ tilt vs. 45° tilt; $p < 0.05 - 45^{\circ}$ tilt vs. 90° tilt; $p < 0.001 - 45^{\circ}$ tilt vs. 90° tilt; $p < 0.05 + 45^{\circ}$ tilt vs. 90° tilt; $p < 0.05 + 45^{\circ}$ tilt vs. 90° tilt; $p < 0.001 + 45^{\circ}$ tilt vs. 90° tilt vs. 90

The P, PQ, and P_eQ intervals were significantly shorter during the 90° T phase than in all other study conditions. Conversely, the QR and QRS intervals as well as P_{SD}, P_eQ_{SD}, PQ_{SD}, QR_{SD}, and QRS_{SD} did not change significantly among the different study conditions. The lowest T wave amplitude was observed during the 90° T phase, whereas the highest one has been found during the 0° L-d phase. The shortest values for QT_p, QT_e, ST_p, and ST_e were obtained during the 90° T phase, while most of the myocardial repolarization variables were longer at -45° than rest, 0° L-d, and 45° T. Interestingly Te remained substantially unchanged under all the study phases. During the 90° T phase, most of the myocardial temporal dispersion repolarization variables (i.e., the standard deviation for all QT and ST segments analyzed) were significantly higher than at rest. During the 90° T phase, the value of the T_eP segment was the shortest.

Table 3 shows the results from the multiple linear regression analysis between the PP and RR intervals and all the other ECG data. Besides the expected impact of the heart rate changes, the strongest relationships have been found between all RR or PP intervals and ST_e (PP or RR, r: 0.62), QT_p (PP, r: 0.64; RR, r: 0.65), QT_e (PP, r: 0.74; RR, r: 0.75), and T_eP (PP, r: 0.97; RR, r: 0.96), (Table 3) (Figure 4).

Table 3. Multiple linear mixed regression analyses between beat-to-beat PP or RR intervals and other ECG Data.

	r	Slope	Intercept	p Value			
	РР						
Р	0.21	22.80	101.89	< 0.001			
PeQ	0.13	0.02	41.97	< 0.001			
PQ	0.24	0.04	143.78	< 0.001			
QR	0.08	0.01	39.76	< 0.001			
QRS	0.10	0.01	54.95	< 0.001			
QTp	0.64	0.11	191.04	< 0.001			
QTe	0.74	0.16	239.45	< 0.001			
STp	0.48	0.10	136.08	< 0.001			
STe	0.62	0.15	184.50	< 0.001			
Te	0.40	0.05	48.44	< 0.001			
T _e P	0.97	0.80	-383.24	< 0.001			
	r	Slope	Intercept	p Value			
	RR						
Р	0.24	0.03	99.15	< 0.001			
P _e Q	0.12	0.02	41.86	< 0.001			
PQ	0.26	0.04	141.02	< 0.001			
QR	0.08	001	38.81	< 0.001			
QRS	0.10	0.01	54.89	< 0.001			
QTp	0.65	0.11	190.47	< 0.001			
QTe	0.75	0.16	238.65	< 0.001			
STp	0.48	0.10	135.59	< 0.001			
STe	0.62	0.15	183.77	< 0.001			
T _e	0.40	0.05	48.21	< 0.001			
T _e P	0.96	0.80	-379.78	< 0.001			

R-R intervals (RR); P-P intervals (PP), from the beginning of two consecutive P waves; P wave intervals (P), from the start to the end of a single P wave; P-Q intervals (PQ), from the start of P to the Q waves; P-Q segments (P_eQ), from the end of P to Q waves; Q-R intervals (QR), from Q to the peak of R waves; Q-R-S intervals (QRS), from Q to S waves; Q-T peak intervals (QT_p), from Q to the peak of T waves; Q-T end (QT_e), from Q to end of T waves; ST peak segments (ST_p), from S to the peak of T waves; ST end segments (ST_e), from S to the end of T waves. Tend-P intervals (T_eP), from the end of T to start of P waves.



Figure 4. Correlation between PP or RR intervals with repolarization data, QTe (from q to the end of T wave), QTP (from q to the peak of T wave), and Te (from the peak to the end of T wave).

The logarithm of the T wave amplitude was inversely related to the logarithm of standard deviations of all myocardial repolarization variables regardless of the study phase (logT wave amplitude-ST_{pSD}, r: 0.24, *p* < 0.001; logT wave amplitude-ST_{eSD}, r: 0.3, *p* < 0.001; logT wave amplitude-QT_{pSD}, r: 0.37, *p* < 0.001; logT wave amplitude-QT_{eSD}, r: 0.38, *p* < 0.001; logT wave amplitude-T_{eSD}, r: 0.59, *p* < 0.001; (Figure 5).

Regarding the noninvasive hemodynamic measurements, during the 90° T phase, the stroke volume significantly decreased compared to other study conditions (63 ± 22 mL versus rest: 84 ± 24 mL; 0° L-d: 82 ± 23 mL; -45° T: 81 ± 26 mL; 45° T: 73 ± 22 mL, p < 0.05 for all), with the cardiac output remaining almost unchanged (i.e., a physiological counterbalance between stroke volume and heart rate). Eventually, the left ventricular end-diastolic volume during the 90° T phase was significantly lower (114 ± 44 mL) than at rest (130 ± 35 mL, p < 0.05) and during 0° L-d (128 ± 34 mL, p < 0.05). A positive relationship was found between the left ventricular end-systolic volume and QR segments (r: -0.35, p < 0.001) and a negative one between the T wave amplitude and the left ventricular end-systolic volume considering all the study conditions together (r: -0.25, p < 0.05) (Figure 6).



Figure 5. Correlation between T wave amplitude and repolarization temporal dispersion data, the logarithm of voltage (mV), the logarithm of the standard deviation of QTp (QTpSD) (**left** panel), QTe (QTeSD) (**middle** panel), and Te (from the peak to the end of T wave) (**right** panel).



Figure 6. Correlation between left ventricular end-systolic volume or T wave amplitude (μ V) (**left** panel) and QR interval (from the q to the R-wave) (ms) (**right** panel).

4. Discussion

The present study aimed to describe in detail, in a physiological setting, the behavior of a large number of ECG parameters as well as of the main hemodynamic variables in response to a sympathovagal imbalance induced by different graded head-up/-down tests. Accordingly, the main findings might be summarized as follows: (a) PP or RR spectral components of variability do not completely overlap in their changes; (b) most of the short-period temporal dispersion variables are influenced by the sympathovagal imbalance induced by the head-up/down tilt maneuver; (c) heart rate changes do not impact identically on the different ECG variables; (d) passive standings induce a T wave amplitude flattening and an increase in the myocardial repolarization dispersion markers; and (e) the T wave amplitude is inversely related to the end-systolic volume obtained across all the different study phases.

4.1. PP and RR Variability and Coherences

Present data overlap with those obtained in previous studies dealing with HRV power spectral analysis during tilt. Specifically, during the maximal sympathetic drive (90° T phase), we demonstrated an increased LF_{PP} nu, LF_{RR} nu, LF/HF_{PP} , and LF/HF_{RR} with

a concomitant reduction in HF_{PPnu}, HF_{RRnu}, as well as PP and RR intervals shortening. These data suggest a sympathetic prevalence. Indeed, the normalized LF and HF power are well-known markers of sympathetic and vagal modulation of the sinus node activity, respectively [15–19]. Thus, our findings support the passive standing as a maneuver able to induce a significant sympathetic stimulation and parasympathetic inhibition and, contextually, they confirm the PP and RR power spectral components as useful noninvasive ANS balance markers. The abovementioned phenomenon was observed both at 90° and 45° tilt angles. Being at 45° T somewhat mitigated than at 90° T. This behavior suggests a gradualness of sympathetic activation and vagal inhibition in response to the tilt-table angle [25]. Conversely, during the -45° T phase, we registered a significant increase in PP and RR intervals as well as in HF_{PPnu} with a concomitant reduction in LF/HF_{PP} and LF/HF_{RR} , this behavior likely indicating a vagal sinus node activity increase [26]. Of note, albeit the PP and RR variability (i.e., standard deviation) were equal, their normalized power of the spectral components and the corresponding values of LF/HF and HF were different. Particularly, the HF_{PP} was significantly higher than HF_{RR} , and LF/HF_{PP} and LF_{PPnu} were significantly lower than the respective components obtained from RR spectral analysis.

4.2. P Wave and Atrioventricular Conduction Variability

We observed an intra-atrial conduction increase during the 90° T phase (i.e., maximal sympathetic stimulation) and an opposite behavior during the rest and 0° L-d phases. The same behavior was observed for the PQ and P_eQ segments, which were shortened significantly during the 90° T phase. Particularly, the P_eQ segment, closely depending on atrioventricular conduction system function (AV node, His bundle, bundle branch, Purkinje fibers), diminished by about 15–20% in comparison to the rest and 0° L-d phases. Thus, our data suggest that the maximum effect on PQ segments (PQ = P + P_eQ) of the sympathetic stress is limited to the P_eQ (i.e., the atrioventricular node and His-Purkinje system), with the result quite expected given the wide sympathetic innervation reported at this level [27]. Eventually, the P, P_eQ, and PQ segments were weakly influenced by heart rate, the latter exerting its influence predominantly on the myocardial repolarization phase [28]. Indeed, the ANS activity does not impact the short period P, P_eQ, and PQ temporal dispersion, as expressed in terms of standard deviation, in the healthy subject, whereas it is possible that it happens in some settings of high risk for atrial fibrillation or supraventricular brady/tachyarrhythmias [7,13–16,29]

4.3. Intrinsicoid Deflection, Intraventricular Conduction, and Repolarization Variability

According to the present findings, both QR and QRS intervals, also called "R-peak time" or "intrinsicoid deflection" and "intraventricular conduction", and their variability (in terms of standard deviation) seem not to be modulated by the ANS activity due to the fact that they remained almost unchanged during all the five study phases. Notwithstanding, the intrinsicoid deflection obtained by unipolar leads (V5–V6) has been suggested as a possible non-invasive electrical marker of sudden cardiac death [30,31]. In the actual study, we calculated the R-peak time just on the II lead and in a healthy setting and analyzed this variable only in terms of its possible oscillatory behavior within the P-QRS-T complex. Of note, the R-peak time was found to relate to the left ventricular end-systolic volume, suggesting that this parameter might be influenced by the myocardial structure rather than by the ANS itself [30].

Conversely, the myocardial repolarization length and its variability are known to be strongly influenced by both the ANS [6–9,11] and the heart rate [32]. Accordingly, the heart rate correction is widely accepted for the QT segment corrections, albeit it still remains controversial for T_e , which seems less influenced by the RR segment [33,34]. Particularly, the shortest QT_p , QT_e , ST_p , and ST_e were observed during the 90° T phase (i.e., maximal postural sympathetic stimulation) while they increased maximally during the -45° T phase (i.e., maximal postural vagal stimulation). It is noteworthy that just the T_e remained unchanged in all study conditions, reinforcing the leading idea about its poor dependency

on the ANS balance. On the other hand, the myocardial temporal dispersion, expressed in terms of standard deviation values, was always significantly higher during sympathetic drive with the highest QT_{pSD} , QT_{eSD} , ST_{pSD} , ST_{eSD} , and T_{eSD} observed during the 90° T phase. Moreover, the T_{eSD} was also influenced by the vagal stimulus, being significantly increased during the -45° T phase. Notably, the same behavior was observed for the QT_{eSD} and ST_{eSD} but, given that QT_{pSD} and JT_{pSD} were not different, this finding seemed to be driven by the T_{eSD} . Eventually, the T_eP_{SD} , which is the square root of the variance (or Total Power) of this variable, was equal to PP_{SD} and RR_{SD}, suggesting a de facto overlap between the RR or PP variability and the T_eP variability. Accordingly, the coherence between RR or PP and T_eP was optimal in all study conditions.

4.4. T Wave Amplitude

Recent studies reported that T wave amplitude might influence the measures of repolarization variability [11,35]. Specifically, the variability was found to be inversely related to the T wave amplitude and, in order to clarify this controversial issue [36], we also investigated and confirmed an inverse relationship between T wave amplitude and QT_{pSD} , QT_{eSD} , and T_{eSD} . Furthermore, we found that the T wave template was flatter during the 45° T and 90° T phases with a T wave amplitude lower with respect to the other study phases. In such a context, we want to emphasize that T amplitude and end-systolic volume were inversely related, thus allowing us to speculate that this behavior might depend on a redistribution of the myocardial blood flow during the systole.

4.5. Clinical Applications

The clinical application of these findings may be particularly interesting in the field of acute and chronic heart failure. Indeed, we know that during acutely decompensated chronic heart failure an important portion of the ANS is unbalanced with a hyper arousal of the sympathetic nervous system. The modulation of ANS activity and the influence that the patient's position seems to have during recumbent or passive orthostatism on the ventricular filling pressures could guide the clinician in the diagnostic and therapeutic choices. Moreover, the use of these data in artificial intelligence and machine learning instruments will enhance the diagnostic power of ECG analysis, improving patients' prognosis (sudden cardiac death, acutely decompensated chronic heart failure, etc.).

5. Conclusions

The present physiological study allows a better understanding of the close relationship between the surface ECG and the autonomic nervous system modulation. Indeed, adopting the short-term PP and RR variability power spectral analysis, it describes the ANS activity oscillations induced by postural deactivation of the cardiopulmonary reflexes during different passive graded head-up/-down tilt tests. Contextually, it supplied a detailed picture of the ECG intervals and hemodynamic parameter changes as well as their relationships in response to the abovementioned ANS oscillations.

6. Limitations

This study aims to evaluate the interactions between the autonomic nervous system, ventricular filling pressures, and electrocardiographic variations from a physiological point of view. This aspect obviously makes the clinical applicability limited. However, the study lays the foundations for a more in-depth understanding of the mechanisms that regulate the activity of the ANS, thus guaranteeing the possibility of future studies in pathological conditions (heart failure, acute myocardial infarction, acute pulmonary edema, etc.) to try new diagnostic and therapeutic approaches.

The small sample size requires future and larger studies in order to validate the data obtained.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, G.P., F.M., P.R. and D.M.; methodology, G.P., F.M., S.S. (Sara Stefano), P.R. and D.M.; software, G.P. and P.R.; validation, G.P., F.M., S.S. (Susanna Sciomer), P.R. and D.M.; formal analysis, G.P., F.M. and I.L.; investigation, I.L., M.M., C.C., I.D.D., M.C., A.C., S.S. (Sara Stefano), C.S., M.G., E.F. and V.D.S.; resources, G.P., F.M., P.R. and D.M.; data curation, G.P. and F.M.; writing—original draft preparation, G.P., F.M. and D.M.; writing—review and editing, F.M. and D.M.; visualization, S.S. (Susanna Sciomer) and P.R.; supervision, G.P. and F.M.; project administration, G.P., F.M., S.S. (Susanna Sciomer), P.R., E.F. and D.M.; funding acquisition, n/a. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Policlinico Umberto I (protocol number 940/17). The research was carried out with the respect of Helsinki Declaration Principles.

Informed Consent Statement: All subjects gave written informed consent to participate in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data underlying this article will be shared upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no personal/industrial conflicts of interest concerning this paper.

References

- 1. Plappert, F.; Wallman, M.; Abdollahpur, M.; Platonov, P.G.; Östenson, S.; Sandberg, F. An atrioventricular node model incorporating autonomic tone. *Front. Physiol.* **2022**, *13*, 976468. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Koskela, J.K.; Tahvanainen, A.; Tikkakoski, A.J.; Kangas, P.; Uitto, M.; Viik, J.; Kähönen, M.; Mustonen, J.; Pörsti, I. Resting heart rate predicts cardiac autonomic modulation during passive head-up tilt in subjects without cardiovascular diseases. *Scand. Cardiovasc. J.* 2022, *56*, 138–147. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Piccirillo, G.; Moscucci, F.; Fiorucci, C.; D'Alessandro, G.; Pascucci, M.; Magrì, D. P wave analysis and left ventricular systolic function in chronic heart failure. Possible insights form the P wave—PP interval spectral coherence. *Minerva Cardioangiol.* 2016, 64, 525–533. [PubMed]
- Piccirillo, G.; Magrì, D.; D'Alessandro, G.; Fiorucci, C.; Moscucci, F.; Di Iorio, C.; Mastropietri, F.; Parrotta, I.; Ogawa, M.; Lin, S.F.; et al. Oscillatory behavior of P wave duration and PR interval in experimental congestive heart failure: A preliminary study. *Physiol. Meas.* 2018, *39*, 035010. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Piccirillo, G.; Moscucci, F.; Fiorucci, C.; Di Iorio, C.; Mastropietri, F.; Magrì, D. Time- and frequency-domain analysis of beat to beat P-wave duration, PR interval and RR interval can predict asystole as form of syncope during head-up tilt. *Physiol. Meas.* 2016, *37*, 1910–1924. [CrossRef]
- Piccirillo, G.; Magrì, D.; Ogawa, M.; Song, J.; Chong, V.J.; Han, S.; Joung, B.; Choi, E.-K.; Hwang, S.; Chen, L.-S.; et al. Autonomic nervous system activity measured directly and QT interval variability in normal and pacing-induced tachycardia heart failure dogs. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 2009, 54, 840–850. [CrossRef]
- Piccirillo, G.; Magrì, D.; Pappadà, M.A.; Maruotti, A.; Ogawa, M.; Han, S.; Joung, B.; Rossi, P.; Nguyen, B.L.; Lin, S.-F.; et al. Autonomic nerve activity and the short-term variability of the Tpeak-Tend interval in dogs with pacing-induced heart failure. *Heart Rhythm* 2012, 9, 2044–2050. [CrossRef]
- Piccirillo, G.; Moscucci, F.; D'Alessandro, G.; Pascucci, M.; Rossi, P.; Han, S.; Chen, L.S.; Lin, S.-F.; Chen, P.-S.; Magrì, D. Myocardial repolarization dispersion and autonomic nerve activity in a canine experimental acute myocardial infarction model. *Heart Rhythm* 2014, 11, 110–118. [CrossRef]
- Piccirillo, G.; Moscucci, F.; Di Iorio, C.; Fabietti, M.; Mastropietri, F.; Crapanzano, D.; Bertani, G.; Sabatino, T.; Zaccagnini, G.; Crapanzano, D.; et al. Time- and frequency-domain analysis of repolarization phase during recovery from exercise in healthy subjects. *Pacing Clin. Electrophysiol.* 2020, 43, 1096–1103. [CrossRef]
- 10. Porta, A.; Tobaldini, E.; Gnecchi-Ruscone, T.; Montano, N. RT variability unrelated to heart period and respiration progressively increases during graded head-up tilt. *Am. J. Physiology. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **2010**, *298*, H1406–H1414. [CrossRef]
- Baumert, M.; Porta, A.; Vos, M.A.; Malik, M.; Couderc, J.P.; Laguna, P.; Piccirillo, G.; Smith, G.L.; Tereshchenko, L.G.; Volders, P.G. QT interval variability in body surface ECG: Measurement, physiological basis, and clinical value: Position statement and consensus guidance endorsed by the European Heart Rhythm Association jointly with the ESC Working Group on Cardiac Cellular Electrophysiology. *EP Eur.* 2016, *18*, 925–944.
- 12. Piccirillo, G.; Moscucci, F.; Magrì, D. Air Pollution Role as Risk Factor of Cardioinhibitory Carotid Hypersensitivity. *Atmosphere* **2022**, *13*, 123. [CrossRef]
- Anonymous. Heart rate variability: Standards of measurement, physiological interpretation, and clinical use. Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. *Eur. Heart J.* 1996, 17, 354–381. [CrossRef]

- Piccirillo, G.; Moscucci, F.; Pascucci, M.; Pappadà, M.A.; D'Alessandro, G.; Rossi, P.; Quaglione, R.; Di Barba, D.; Barillà, F.; Magrì, D.; et al. Influence of aging and chronic heart failure on temporal dispersion of myocardial repolarization. *Clin. Interv. Aging* 2013, *8*, 293–300. [CrossRef]
- 15. Piccirillo, G.; Ogawa, M.; Song, J.; Chong, V.J.; Joung, B.; Han, S.; Magrì, D.; Chen, L.S.; Lin, S.F.; Chen, P.-S. Power spectral analysis of heart rate variability and autonomic nervous system activity measured directly in healthy dogs and dogs with tachycardia-induced heart failure. *Heart Rhythm* **2009**, *6*, 546–552. [CrossRef]
- 16. Piccirillo, G.; Rossi, P.; Mitra, M.; Quaglione, R.; Dell'Armi, A.; Di Barba, D.; Maisto, D.; Lizio, A.; Barillà, F.; Magrì, D. Indexes of temporal myocardial repolarization dispersion and sudden cardiac death in heart failure: Any difference? *Ann. Noninvasive Electrocardiol.* **2013**, *18*, 130–139. [CrossRef]
- 17. Berger, R.D.; Kasper, E.K.; Baughman, K.L.; Marban, E.; Calkins, H.; Tomaselli, G.F. Beat-to-beat QT interval variability: Novel evidence for repolarization lability in ischemic and nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* **1997**, *96*, 1557–1565. [CrossRef]
- Charloux, A.; Lonsdorfer-Wolf, E.; Richard, R.; Lampert, E.; Oswald-Mammosser, M.; Mettauer, B.; Geny, B.; Lonsdorfer, J. A new impedance cardiograph device for the non-invasive evaluation of cardiac output at rest and during exercise: Comparison with the "direct" Fick method. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 2000, *82*, 313–320. [CrossRef]
- 19. Hsu, A.R.; Barnholt, K.E.; Grundmann, N.K.; Lin, J.H.; McCallum, S.W.; Friedlander, A.L. Sildenafil improves cardiac output and exercise performance during acute hypoxia, but not normoxia. *J. Appl. Physiol.* **2006**, *100*, 2031–2040. [CrossRef]
- Lepretre, P.M.; Koralsztein, J.P.; Billat, V.L. Effect of exercise intensity on relationship between VO_{2max} and cardiac output. *Med. Sci. Sport. Exerc.* 2004, *36*, 1357–1363. [CrossRef]
- Tonelli, A.R.; Alnuaimat, H.; Li, N.; Carrie, R.; Mubarak, K.K. Value of impedance cardiography in patients studied for pulmonary hypertension. *Lung* 2011, 189, 369–375. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gordon, N.; Abbiss, C.R.; Maiorana, A.J.; Marston, K.J.; Peiffer, J.J. Intrarater reliability and agreement of the physioflow bioimpendance cardiography device during rest, moderate and High-intensity exercise. *Kinesiology* 2018, 50 (Suppl. 1), 140–149.
- Leão, R.N.; Silva, P.M.D. Impedance Cardiography in the Evaluation of Patients with Arterial Hypertension. Int. J. Cardiovasc. Sci. 2019, 32, 61–69. [CrossRef]
- 24. Anand, G.; Yu, Y.; Lowe, A.; Kalra, A. Bioimpedance analysis as a tool for hemodynamic monitoring: Overview, methods and challenges. *Physiol. Meas.* **2021**, *42*, 03TR01. [CrossRef]
- Montano, N.; Ruscone, T.G.; Porta, A.; Lombardi, F.; Pagani, M.; Malliani, A. Power spectrum analysis of heart rate variability to assess the changes in sympathovagal balance during graded orthostatic tilt. *Circulation* 1994, 90, 1826–1831. [CrossRef]
- Naylor, J.M.; Chow, C.M.; McLean, A.S.; Heard, R.C.; Avolio, A. Cardiovascular responses to short-term head-down positioning in healthy young and older adults. *Physiother. Res. Int.* 2005, 10, 32–47. [CrossRef]
- 27. Shen, M.J.; Zipes, D.P. Role of the autonomic nervous system in modulating cardiac arrhythmias. *Circ. Res.* **2014**, *114*, 1004–1021. [CrossRef]
- Toman, O.; Hnatkova, K.; Smetana, P.; Huster, K.M.; Šišáková, M.; Barthel, P.; Novotný, T.; Schmidt, G.; Malik, M. Physiologic heart rate dependency of the PQ interval and its sex differences. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, 10, 2551. [CrossRef]
- Rossi, P.; Cauti, F.M.; Limite, L.R.; Iaia, L.; Allegretti, G.; Di Renzi, P.; Longa, G.D.; Quaglione, R.; Piccirillo, G.; Bianchi, S. Interatrial conduction times in paroxysmal atrial fibrillation patients with normal atrial volume and their correlation with areas of local prolonged bipolar electrograms. *J. Electrocardiol.* 2020, *58*, 19–26. [CrossRef]
- 30. Aiken, A.V.; Goldhaber, J.I.; Chugh, S.S. Delayed intrinsicoid deflection: Electrocardiographic harbinger of heart disease. *Ann. Noninvasive Electrocardiol.* **2022**, *27*, e12940. [CrossRef]
- O'Neal, W.T.; Qureshi, W.T.; Nazarian, S.; Kawel-Boehm, N.; Bluemke, D.A.; Lima, J.A.; Soliman, E.Z. Electrocardiographic Time to Intrinsicoid Deflection and Heart Failure: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Clin. Cardiol.* 2016, 39, 531–536. [CrossRef]
- 32. Shattock, M.J.; Park, K.C.; Yang, H.Y.; Lee, A.W.C.; Niederer, S.; MacLeod, K.T.; Winter, J. Restitution slope is principally determined by steady-state action potential duration. *Cardiovasc. Res.* **2017**, *113*, 817–828. [CrossRef]
- 33. Smetana, P.; Batchvarov, V.; Hnatkova, K.; John Camm, A.; Malik, M. Sex differences in the rate dependence of the T wave descending limb. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 2003, *58*, 549–554. [CrossRef]
- Andersen, M.P.; Xue, J.Q.; Graff, C.; Kanters, J.K.; Toft, E.; Struijk, J.J. New descriptors of T wave morphology are independent of heart rate. J. Electrocardiol. 2008, 41, 557–561. [CrossRef]
- Hasan, M.A.; Abbott, D.; Baumert, M. Relation between beat-to-beat QT interval variability and T wave amplitude in healthy subjects. *Ann. Noninvasive Electrocardiol.* 2012, 17, 195–203. [CrossRef]
- Vrtovec, B.; Starc, V.; Starc, R. Beat-to-beat QT interval variability in coronary patients. J. Electrocardiol. 2000, 33, 119–125. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.