

# **Arrhythmic risk stratification in patients with left ventricular ring-like scar**

<span id="page-0-5"></span><span id="page-0-4"></span><span id="page-0-3"></span><span id="page-0-1"></span>**Vanda Parisi1,2,3† , Maddalena Graziosi1,3† , Luis R. Lopes4,5 , Antonio De Luca<sup>6</sup> , Ferdinando Pasquale1,3 , Giacomo Tini <sup>7</sup> , Mattia Targetti <sup>8</sup> , Maria R. Cueto4,9 ,**  Ana R. Moura<sup>4,10</sup>, Raffaello Ditaranto<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Camilla Torlasco<sup>11</sup>, Nevio Taglieri<sup>1,3</sup>, Elena Nardi<sup>2</sup>, Luigi Lovato<sup>12</sup>, João B. Augusto<sup>5,13,14</sup>, Nazzareno Galiè<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Lia Crotti <sup>® 3,11,15</sup>, Alessio Gasperetti<sup>16</sup>, Mauro Biffi<sup>1,3</sup>, Camillo Autore<sup>7</sup>, **Marco Merlo <sup>6</sup> , Iacopo Olivotto17, Gianfranco Sinagra6 , Perry M. Elliott4,5‡ , and Elena Biagini 1,3 \*‡**

<span id="page-0-2"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>[1](#page-0-0)</sup>Cardiology Unit, Cardiac Thoracic and Vascular Department, IRCCS Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Bologna, Via Giuseppe Massarenti 9, 40138 Bologna, Italy; <sup>[2](#page-0-1)</sup>Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences (DIMEC), University of Bologna, Via Irnerio 49, 40126 Bologna, Italy; <sup>3</sup>European Reference Network for Rare, Low Prevalence and Complex Diseases of the Heart-ERN GUARD-Heart; <sup>4</sup>Barts Heart Centre, St Bartholomew's Hospital, W Smithfield, London EC1A 7BE, UK; <sup>5</sup>Institute of Cardiovascular Science, University College London, Gower St, London WC1E [6](#page-0-2)BT, UK; <sup>6</sup>Cardiovascular Department, Azienda Sanitaria Universitaria Giuliano Isontina and University of Trieste, Via Pietro Valdoni [7](#page-0-3), 34149 Trieste, Italy; <sup>7</sup>Cardiology, Department of Clinical and Molecular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Psychology, Sapienza University, Viale Regina Elena 324, 00161 Rome, Italy; <sup>[8](#page-0-4)</sup>Cardiomyopathy Unit, Careggi University Hospital, Largo Brambilla 3, 50134 Florence, Italy; <sup>9</sup>Heart Failure and Cardiomyopathies Clinic, Germans Trias i Pujol University Hospital, Carretera de Canyet, 08916 Badalona, Barcelona, Spain; <sup>10</sup>Unidade Local de Saúde de Matosinhos, Rua Dr. Eduardo Torres 4464-513 Senhora da Hora, Portugal; <sup>11</sup>IRCCS, Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Department of Cardiology, Cardiomyopathy Unit, San Luca Hospital, Piazzale Brescia 20, 20149 Milan, Italy; <sup>12</sup>Pediatric and Adult Cardio-Thoracic and Vascular, Onco-Hematologic and Emergency Radiology Unit, IRCCS Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Bologna, Via Giuseppe Massarenti 9, 40138 Bologna, Italy; <sup>13</sup>Cardiology Department, Hospital Prof Doutor Fernando Fonseca, IC19 276, 2720-276 Amadora, Portugal; <sup>14</sup>Católica Medical School, Universidade Católica Portuguesa, Estr. Octávio Pato, 2635-631 Rio de Mouro, Lisbon, Portugal; <sup>15</sup>Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milano-Bicocca, Piazza dell'Ateneo Nuovo 1, 20126 Milan, Italy; <sup>16</sup>Division of Cardiology, School of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, 600 N. Wolfe St. Blalock 545, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA; and <sup>[17](#page-0-2)</sup>Meyer Children Hospital and Careggi University Hospital, University of Florence, Viale Gaetano Pieraccini 24, 50139 Florence, Italy

*Received 29 May 2024; revised 28 July 2024; accepted 7 October 2024; online publish-ahead-of-print 1 November 2024*



[\\* C](#page-0-0)orresponding author. Tel: +39 051 2144483, Fax: +39 051 6363411, Email: [elena.biagini73@gmail.com](mailto:elena.biagini73@gmail.com)

‡ These authors share last authorship.

<sup>†</sup> These authors share first authorship.

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License [\(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact reprints@oup.com for reprints and translation rights for reprints. All other permissions can be obtained through our RightsLink service via the Permissions link on the article page on our site—for further information please contact journals.permissions@oup.com.

- Lay summary Left ventricular (LV) ring-like scar represents the cardiac magnetic resonance expression of different genetic substrates and several clinical scenarios. Arrhythmic risk stratification predictors are still not well understood. In this study,
	- LV ring-like scar exhibits a high rate of ventricular arrhythmias, particularly in the presence of electrocardiogram abnormalities (anterior Q waves and QRS enlargement) together with increased LV volumes
	- Other commonly used risk predictors (such as LV systolic function) did not add significant prognostic information

#### **Graphical Abstract** Multicentre cohort of 115 patients with LV ring-like scar and one among: P/LP genetic variant **Family history** ACM diagnosis according to  $(73%)$ of cardiomyopathy 2024 European Task Force LV ring-like scar  $(18%)$  $(9%)$ 19% LAEs (SCD, aborted SCD, SVT) after a median follow up of 4.6 years (3.8 events/100 patients/year) **Independent predictive variables for LAEs Abnormal ECG &** Multivariate analysis **AEs predictive variables** P value **Abnormal ECG &** Survival 64% HR (95% CI) HIGH ≥1 LAEs predictive variable Survival 50% **Anterior Q waves** 4.642 (1.296-16.628) 0.018  $42%$ **FEBRATES** QRS, ms 1.030 (1.014-1.046)  $<0.001$ Normal ECO NO<sub>7</sub> Survival 100% **LVEDVi CMR** 1.011 (1.001-1.021)  $0.040$ per each ml/m2 increase Created with BioRender.com

. ACM, arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy; LAEs, major adverse arrhythmic cardiac events; LV, left ventricular; LVEDVi, left ventricular end-diastolic volume index; P/LP, pathogenic/likely pathogenic; SCD, sudden cardiac death, SVT, sustained ventricular tachycardia.

**Keywords** Cardiomyopathy • Ring-like scar • Cardiac magnetic resonance • Electrocardiogram • Prognosis • Risk stratification • Arrhythmias

### **Introduction**

Contrast-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging has become a mainstay in cardiological clinical practice, particularly concerning cardiomyopathies, with implications for diagnosis and prognosis. Many studies and meta-analyses have shown that the presence, extent, and pattern of distribution of myocardial fibrosis detected by late gadolinium enhancement (LGE), provide independent prognostic <span id="page-1-1"></span><span id="page-1-0"></span>information beyond left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) in nonischaemic cardiomyopathies $1-4$ . In addition, the greater use of CMR has resulted in the identification of a new spectrum of heart muscle disease phenotypes. One such phenotype, the 'ring-like scar pattern' affecting contiguous segments of the left ventricle (LV) has attracted particular attention. Initially described as the phenotypic manifestation of a specific genetic substrate, represented by desmoplakin (*DSP*) and filamin  $C$  (*FLNC*) mutations,<sup>[5](#page-10-0)</sup> emerging data have shown that the genetic

<span id="page-2-0"></span>basis of this phenotype may be more heterogeneous.<sup>[6](#page-10-0)</sup> Nowadays, the LV ring-like scar is considered a highly specific characteristic of LV arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy, and represents a major diagnostic criterion in the recently published European Task Force Consensus Report.<sup>7</sup> This approach focuses the attention to inherited heart muscle diseases with a genetic substrate and familial involvement. Furthermore, ring-like LV scars are associated with an increased risk of ventricular arrhythmias in patients with dilated non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy  $(DCM)<sup>8</sup>$  $(DCM)<sup>8</sup>$  $(DCM)<sup>8</sup>$  as well as non-dilated LV phenotypes.<sup>9</sup> However, whether it is possible to further stratify the arrhythmic risk among patients showing this CMR-based phenotype has not been investigated so far.

<span id="page-2-2"></span>Against this background, we aimed to perform a comprehensive characterization of patients with LV ring-like scar, in terms of clinical, instrumental, and genetic features, to ultimately evaluate whether specific risk factors of life-threatening arrhythmic events (LAEs) exist in individuals with this peculiar entity.

### **Methods**

This was a multicentre, retrospective, observational study evaluating patients with non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy from 6 different tertiary care referral Centres: IRCCS University Hospital of Bologna (Italy); St Bartholomew's Hospital, London (UK); IRCCS Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Milan (Italy); University Hospital of Trieste (Italy); Careggi University Hospital, Florence (Italy); Sant' Andrea Hospital, Sapienza University, Rome (Italy).

The study included patients with a ring-like LV scar pattern detected with CMR, defined as  $\geq 3$  contiguous segments with sub-epicardial/midwall LGE in the same slice (with or without fatty infiltration) *and* at least one of the following criteria: a positive genetic test for a cardiomyopathy associated gene;<sup>[10](#page-10-0)</sup>  $\geq$  1 patient in the same family with a cardiomyopathy diagnosis (either DCM, arrhythmogenic right ventricular (RV) cardiomyopathy, or LV ring-like scar); borderline/definitive arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy diagnosis (right, left, or biventricular) according to 2024 European Task Force report. $7$  Patients with a major arrhythmic event [sudden cardiac death (SCD) as first presentation, sustained ventricular tachycardia (VT) requiring emergency department admission, aborted SCD due to ventricular fibrillation] before the date of the study entry were excluded.

<span id="page-2-4"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span>Ischaemic heart disease was ruled out with a pre-test probability assessment including patient risk factors and non-invasive or invasive test, when necessary, according to the accepted current clinical recommendations.<sup>[11](#page-10-0)</sup> Phenocopies (such as cardiac sarcoidosis, systemic sclerosis) were excluded based on a comprehensive clinical/multimodality evaluation and even with myocardial biopsy when needed. Patients with a previous episode of acute myocarditis were included if they fulfilled the study inclusion criteria.

Baseline demographic characteristics, medical history, symptoms, 12-lead electrocardiogram, transthoracic echocardiogram, genetic analysis, CMR, device therapy, and follow-up information were extracted at all the participating centres from clinical datasets sharing the same methodology. Follow-up started from the date of LV ring-like scar diagnosis. A minimum follow-up length was not required.

<span id="page-2-6"></span><span id="page-2-5"></span>All the electrocardiograms (ECGs) were retrospectively analysed by expert cardiologist at each centre, blinded to clinical and outcome data. Among conventional ECG parameters, rhythm, heart rate, QRS axis, PR, QRS, Bazett-corrected QT (QTc) intervals, and bundle branch block were evaluated. Pre-specified ECG abnormalities were also recorded: negative  $T$  waves; epsilon waves; $^{12}$  $^{12}$  $^{12}$  low QRS voltages (defined as nadir-to-peak QRS amplitudes <10 mm in all precordial leads and as nadir-to-peak QRS amplitudes <5 mm in all the limb leads); pathologic Q waves, defined as ≥1/3 of R wave in depth and/or ≥0.04 s in duration in at least two contiguous leads; QRS fragmentation, a RsR' pattern ≤120 ms in two contiguous leads, and/or R/S waves notching. QRS duration was considered broad if QRS  $\geq$  110 ms.<sup>[13](#page-10-0)</sup>

<span id="page-2-8"></span><span id="page-2-7"></span>Cardiac magnetic resonance was performed using a 1.5T or 3T cardiac– phased array receiver coil, ECG gating, and breath-hold technique, accord-ing to standardized protocols.<sup>[16](#page-10-0)</sup> Cine images were obtained using steady-state free precession (SSFP) pulse sequence. Intramyocardial fatty infiltration was assessed by T1 or proton density weighted imaging, while  $T_2$ -weighted images were used for the detection of myocardial oedema. LGE imaging was acquired 10 min after intravenous administration of 0.1–0.2 mmol/kg of gadolinium-based contrast agent, using segmented  $T_1$ -weighted inversion recovery gradient echo or phase sensitive pulse sequences, individually adjusting the inversion time to optimize nulling of normal myocardium. Non-ischaemic LV fibrosis was assessed by expert readers and defined as areas with increased signal intensity following administration of contrast medium in two phase-encoding directions in two orthogonal planes and localized in sub-epicardium/midwall. LGE location was described according to the 17-segment model from the American Heart Association.<sup>[17](#page-10-0)</sup> The number of involved segments was counted. Right ventricular LGE was reported as either present or absent.

<span id="page-2-9"></span>All patients underwent genetic testing, which was performed using different sequencing technologies and gene panels reflecting the standard practice at the time of testing in each centre. DNA variants were interpreted according to the current American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics criteria.<sup>[10](#page-10-0)</sup> Patients harbouring pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants (P/LP) were considered genotype positive.

<span id="page-2-3"></span>All patients gave written informed consent. The study was approved by the local Ethics Committee of the participating centres and was conducted in accordance with the principles of the most recent revision of the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### **Endpoint**

The study endpoint was defined as a composite of LAEs including: (i) SCD, an unexpected fatal event occurring within 1 h of the onset of symptoms in an apparently healthy subject (or when the victim was in good health 24 h before the event, if not witnessed); (ii) aborted SCD, an appropriate implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) intervention (shock or antitachycardia pacing) for ventricular arrhythmias or a non-fatal ventricular fibrillation; and (iii) sustained VT, a ventricular rhythm lasting at least 30 s and/ or causing haemodynamic instability (i.e. severe hypotension with systolic blood pressure <90 mmHg and syncope) and requiring cardioversion. Follow-up ended at the date of primary endpoint or on 31 December 2022.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Distribution of continuous variables was assessed by the Shapiro–Wilk test. All were not normally distributed. Accordingly, continuous variables are expressed as median [interquartile range (IQR)]; groups were compared using the Mann–Whitney *U* test or the Kruskal–Wallis test, as appropriate. Categorical variables are expressed as counts (percentage) and were compared with  $\chi^2$  or Fisher's test as appropriate. Event-free survival for the study endpoint was estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method and survival between groups was compared by means of the log-rank test. Multivariable Cox proportional hazard model was used to identify independent predictors for the study endpoint. Candidate variables were selected by a multivariable stepwise backward method entering those variables statistically significant (*P* < 0.05) on univariable analysis. Those variables retained were then entered in the final multivariable Cox proportional hazard model. The results are presented as hazard ratios (HRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The discriminatory power of the model was reported as Harrell's C statistic. Two-tailed *P*–values ≤0.05 defined the statistical



**Figure 1** Selection of the study population. ACM, arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy; LV, left ventricular; P/LP, pathogenic/likely pathogenic; SCD, sudden cardiac death.

significance. All analyses were performed with STATA 14.0 software (STATA Corporation, College Station, TX, USA).

### **Results**

### **Overall cohort**

One hundred and forty-nine patients were evaluated; after exclusions, the final study cohort was composed of 115 patients (*Figure 1* shows the study flow chart). Baseline clinical, ECG, and CMR characteristics of the entire population and according to the endpoint status are listed in *[Table 1](#page-4-0)*. [Supplementary material online,](http://academic.oup.com/eurjpc/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/eurjpc/zwae353#supplementary-data) *Table S1* shows main baseline characteristics according to the inclusion criteria for study entry. Overall, 48 patients were females (42%), median age at diagnosis was 39 years (IQR 28–52); half of the patients (53%) were probands. Fifteen patients had a history of myocarditis before the LV ring-like scar diagnosis. The median LVEF at CMR was 48% (IQR 38–57); LVEF was normal in 51 (44%) patients, mildly reduced in 40 patients (35%), and severely reduced in 24 (21%). The median CMR LV enddiastolic indexed volume (LVEDVi) was 101 mL/m<sup>2</sup> (IQR 81-122). Wall motion abnormalities were present in the LV in 63% and in the RV in 34%. Late gadolinium enhancement involved more frequently the LV inferior, inferolateral, and anterolateral segments. Right ventricular LGE was present in 17% of the cases, while LV or RV fatty



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 1 Baseline clinical, electrocardiogram, cardiac magnetic resonance, and genetic characteristics of the study population**

#### **Table 1** *Continued*



AV, atrioventricular; CMR, cardiac magnetic resonance; DCM, dilated cardiomyopathy; DSP, desmoplakin; FLNC, filamin C; HNDLV, hypokinetic non-dilated left ventricle; IV, intraventricular; LAEs, life-threatening arrhythmic events; LAFB, left anterior fascicular block; LBBB, left bundle branch block; LGE, late gadolinium enhancement; LV, left ventricle; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEDVi, left ventricular end-diastolic volume index; LVH, left ventricular hypertrophy; NSVT, non-sustained ventricular tachycardia; NYHA, New York Heart Association; P/LP, pathogenic/likely pathogenic; RBBB, right bundle branch block; RV, right ventricle; TWI, T-wave inversion; VEBs, ventricular ectopic beats; WMA, wall motion abnormalities.

 $^{\rm a}$ LVEDVi was categorized based on the median values of patients reaching the study endpoint (≥123 mL/m<sup>2</sup> in men and ≥103 mL/m<sup>2</sup> in women).

infiltration was observed in 34% and 8% of the patients, respectively. Signs of myocardial inflammation at  $T_2$ -weighted images were reported in 15 patients.

Most of the population (84 patients, 73%) harboured a P/LP variant and were considered genotype positive: the most frequent involved genes were *DSP* and *FLNC* (64% and 21%, respectively); the rest had other desmosomal or non-desmosomal P/LP variants (plakophilin-2, *PKP2*: *n* = 3; desmoglein-2, *DSG2*: *n* = 3; desmin, *DES*: *n* = 3; myosin heavy chain 7 *MYH7*: *n* = 2; ryanodine receptor-2 *RYR2*, dystrophin *DMD n* = 1). [Supplementary material online,](http://academic.oup.com/eurjpc/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/eurjpc/zwae353#supplementary-data) *Table S2* shows the P/LP variants list.

### **Predictors of study endpoint and risk stratification**

During the study follow-up, endpoint-free survival estimate was 60% (95% CI 0.441–0.734; see [Supplementary material online,](http://academic.oup.com/eurjpc/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/eurjpc/zwae353#supplementary-data) *F*igure *S1*). At a median follow-up of 4.6 years (IQR 1.7–8.4), survival free from LAEs was 84% (95% CI 0.75–0.95). Twenty-two patients (19%) experienced LAEs (3.8 events/100 patients/years): 2 patients had SCD, 2 aborted SCD, 2 patients had sustained VT with haemodynamic instability, and 16 of the 78 patients with an ICD (20%) were appropriately treated for ventricular arrhythmias (13 with ICD shock and 3 exclusively with anti-tachycardia pacing). Six patients with an ICD experienced device-related complications, including inappropriate ICD therapies (8%). One patient who met the study endpoint due to an episode of sustained VT died later because of cancer.

Patients who experienced LAEs were more likely to have a DCM phenotype, to have been diagnosed with HF, and to be symptomatic at baseline [New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class >1]. The 17 patients (15%) who had a normal ECG did not experienced LAEs during follow-up. Patients with LAEs had a lower LVEF (49 vs. 40%, *P* = 0.016) and a larger LVEDVi (95 vs. 122 mL/m<sup>2</sup>, *P* = 0.007). The rate of LGE involvement for each cardiac segment was similar between patients experiencing and not experiencing LAEs (*[Figure 2A](#page-6-0)*); no significant differences in terms of circumferential LGE distribution (all the six segments in the same LV short axis slice) were observed. No significant associations were found between LGE longitudinal distribution and LAEs ( $P = 0.112$ ; *[Figure 2B](#page-6-0)*). There was no association between the genotype and the study endpoint (*[Table 1](#page-4-0)*).

*[Table 2](#page-7-0)* shows the variables related to the occurrence of LAEs. After a backward stepwise method selection, entering only variables statistically significant on univariable analysis, three variables were selected. On multivariable analysis, the presence of Q waves in anterior leads, QRS length, and LVEDVi at CMR, were associated to the risk of LAEs (HR 1.030, 95% CI 1.014–1.046, *P* < 0.001; HR 4.642, 95% CI 1.296– 16.628, *P* = 0.018; HR 1.011, 95% CI 1.001–1.021 per mL/m<sup>2</sup> increase, *P* = 0.040, respectively), with Harrell's *C* index of 0.796 (*[Table 3](#page-8-0)*).

According to the study results, the population was then divided into three categories of risk, as follows: Group 1, normal ECG; Group 2, abnormal ECG and no LAEs predictive variables; Group 3, abnormal ECG and at least one LAEs predictive variable (anterior Q waves,  $\text{QRS} \geq 1$ 110 ms), LVEDVi $\geq$  the sex-specific cut-off based on the median LVEDVi of the population ( $\geq$ 123 mL/m<sup>2</sup> in males and  $\geq$ 103 mL/m<sup>2</sup> in females). Kaplan–Meier survival curves showed significantly different event-free survival ( $log$ -rank test  $= 0.015$ ) in the three groups: patients with a normal ECG had 100% survival at the end of the study follow-up, patients with no LAEs predictive variables had 64% (95% CI 0.360– 0.8267) survival, and patients with at least 1 LAEs predictive variable

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

56%

34%



**p** value (comparison between each region) = not significant

**Basa** 

Mid

**Apical** 

had 50% (95% CI 0.297–0.678) survival (*[Figure 3](#page-9-0)*). Group 3 had an increased risk of LAEs compared with Group 2 (HR 2.767, 95% CI 1.082–7.078, *P* = 0.034). [Supplementary material online,](http://academic.oup.com/eurjpc/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/eurjpc/zwae353#supplementary-data) *Table S3* shows, as expected, that Group 3 had a worse clinical profile in terms of NYHA class and LV function; however, it is worth noting that both LGE extension and follow-up were comparable between the three study Groups.

Basa

Mid

Apical

### **Discussion**

This is the first study to explore predictors of arrhythmic events in genotyped patients with a LV ring-like scar phenotype. Patients with this phenotype exhibited a high rate of major arrhythmic events, but those

with a normal ECG had none. Independent predictors of LAEs included anterior Q waves, QRS length, and LVEDVi.

45%

55%

<span id="page-6-2"></span><span id="page-6-1"></span>The high proportion of patients experiencing arrhythmic events in our cohort is consistent with previous studies. In a recent evaluation of 1673 patients with non-ischaemic DCM (almost 40% with an LVEF <35%), the reported 5-year cumulative incidence of the composite endpoint of SCD or appropriate ICD shock was 12% in those with LGE, compared with 5% of those without LGE.<sup>[18](#page-10-0)</sup> In another cohort with DCM and mild-to-moderate LV systolic dysfunction<sup>[19](#page-10-0)</sup> there was a 9-fold increased risk of SCD in the group with midwall LGE. More recently, the prognostic significance of the LV ring-like pattern of fibrosis was evaluated in patients with apparently idiopathic non-sustained VT.<sup>[9](#page-10-0)</sup> Compared to individuals without LGE and to those with a non-ring like

#### <span id="page-7-0"></span>**Table 2 Univariable analysis for the predictors of the study endpoint**



<span id="page-8-0"></span>

AV, atrioventricular; CMR, cardiac magnetic resonance; DCM, dilated cardiomyopathy; DSP, desmoplakin; FLNC, filamin C; HNDLV, hypokinetic non-dilated left ventricle; LGE, late gadolinium enhancement; LV, left ventricle; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEDVi, left ventricular end-diastolic volume index; LVH, left ventricular hypertrophy; NSVT, non-sustained ventricular tachycardia; NYHA, New York Heart Association; P/LP, pathogenic/likely pathogenic; RBBB, right bundle branch block; RV, right ventricle; TWI, T-wave inversion; VEBs, ventricular ectopic beats; WMA, wall motion abnormalities.

<sup>a</sup>Variables entered in the backward stepwise Cox regression selection method.

 $^{\rm b}$ LVEDVi was categorized based on the median values of patients reaching the study endpoint (≥123 mL/m<sup>2</sup> in men and ≥103 mL/m<sup>2</sup> in women).

#### **Table 3 Multivariate analysis for the predictors of the study endpoint**

![](_page_8_Picture_457.jpeg)

QRS interval and LVEDVi are modelled as continuous variables.

CMR, cardiac magnetic resonance; LVEDVi, left ventricular end-diastolic volume index.

pattern, patients with sub-epicardial/midwall LV ring-like scar had an almost 3-fold increase in the incidence of the composite endpoint of all-cause death and malignant arrhythmic events.

<span id="page-8-3"></span><span id="page-8-2"></span><span id="page-8-1"></span>Electrocardiographic pathological Q waves are observed in 20–30% of patients with DCM, mostly in anterior and lateral leads.<sup>20</sup> In a cohort of nearly 6000 autopsied SCD victims from the Fingesture study,<sup>[21](#page-10-0)</sup> pathological Q waves, wider and fragmented QRS complexes, and TWI were associated with the amount of myocardial fibrosis in both ischaemic and non-ischaemic heart disease. Moreover, Pelli *et al*. [22](#page-10-0) demonstrated that pathological Q waves, and specifically anterior Q waves, were strongly associated with higher benefit from ICD treatment and were predictors of a lower all-cause mortality.

<span id="page-8-4"></span>The role of QRS width in risk stratification of DCM is well recognized, and it is included in risk models for predicting life-threatening arrhyth-mias.<sup>[23](#page-10-0)</sup> Recently, Marume et al.<sup>[24](#page-10-0)</sup> showed that in the subset of patients with LVEF ≤ 35%, the combination of LGE and wide QRS (defined in that study as  $QRS \ge 120$  ms) provided additional information over myocardial fibrosis alone, improving the selection for primary prevention ICD implantation. In our population, we observed a less severe degree of cardiac remodelling, expressed in terms of LV volumes and QRS width.

<span id="page-8-5"></span>In our study, LV size (in terms of LVEDVi) was independently associated with LAEs, either as a continuous variable or corrected for sex. Comparable results were observed by Guaricci *et al*. [25](#page-10-0) who described the multicentre cohort from the DERIVATE registry and evaluated the additional prognostic value of a CMR-based risk score in patients with

<span id="page-8-6"></span>LVEF  $<$  50%. The authors found that only male sex, midwall LGE in  $>$  3 segments and increased LVEDVi were independent predictors of the arrhythmic endpoint, while age and LVEF were poor predictors. In this context, increased LVEDVi may be a marker of adverse LV remodelling, which carries a higher arrhythmic potential by itself, even without systolic dysfunction.<sup>[26](#page-10-0)</sup> Recently, Balaban et al.<sup>[27](#page-10-0)</sup> investigated a threedimensional (3D) computational approach to quantify the LV remodelling in a cohort of 156 patients with DCM and LGE and derived a novel shape score (LV arrhythmic score), which was predictive of arrhythmic events, even after adjustment for LVEF, NYHA functional class, ICD, and CRT treatment.

<span id="page-8-7"></span>In a cohort of 1000 patients with non-ischaemic dilated cardiomyopathy, Klem *et al*. [28](#page-10-0) observed that whereas LVEF and myocardial scar were both strong independent predictors of all-cause death, cardiac death, and HF events, only LGE remained a strong independent predict-or of SCD. Chen et al.<sup>[8](#page-10-0)</sup> reported a higher incidence of VT with LV ring-like scar compared with other LGE patterns and demonstrated no correlation between the incidence of VT and LVEF, underlying the inadequacy of LVEF as a predictor of SCD. Our study expands these concepts, evaluating a specific subset of patients with a significant fibrotic burden, and confirms that there is a cohort of patients without significant LV systolic dysfunction at risk of malignant arrhythmias.

In our population, no significant associations with LAEs were found regarding LV scar localization and extension. This could be explained by the homogeneous and high total burden of LGE with a preferential involvement of inferolateral segments. Moreover, other mechanisms of arrhythmic instability beyond fibrosis could be assumed.

<span id="page-8-9"></span><span id="page-8-8"></span>As far as we know, this is the first study examining the relation between LV ring-like scar, genotype, and arrhythmic outcomes. In our cohort, *DSP* and *FLNC* were the most frequently involved genes, a result in line with the genotype-phenotype correlations already described by Augusto *et al*. [5](#page-10-0) who reported the 'ring of fibrosis' as a specific imaging hallmark for *DSP/FLNC* genotypes. These genes are both associated with higher rate of malignant ventricular arrhythmias and SCD,<sup>[29](#page-10-0),[30](#page-10-0)</sup> even with only mild-to-moderate systolic dysfunction.<sup>[31](#page-10-0),[32](#page-10-0)</sup> We did not find any relevant associations between genetic status and LAEs. In particular, the lower rate of LAEs observed in *DSP/FLNC* patients in our study should not be considered contradictory, since our population is different from those of previous investigations including patients with LV ring-like scar. On the contrary, our findings highlight that the LV ring-like scar phenotype may be shared by a heterogenous

<span id="page-9-0"></span>![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 3 Kaplan–Meier curve for the survival estimate according to the study model. LAEs, major adverse arrhythmic events.

genetic substrate, and emphasize the need to identify new arrhythmic risk factors of this peculiar entity.

<span id="page-9-1"></span>Finally, a considerable proportion of patients from our population had a normal ECG (15%), consistently with another cohort of patients with LVEF < 50%.[33](#page-10-0) In a recent study from Brunetti *et al*. [34](#page-10-0) including 75 athletes with normal ECG/echocardiogram and undergoing CMR for ventricular arrhythmia evaluation, the prevalence of LV scar was 40% ( $n = 30$ ) and its presence could be predicted by ventricular arrhythmia reproducibility at exercise test. Taken together, these findings underline that a normal ECG does not exclude the presence of significant myocardial structural alterations, an important consideration in relatives, in whom the absence of ECG alterations should not obviate the need for a complete cardiological evaluation including CMR. In our study, patients with no ECG abnormalities showed a good prognosis. However, in these patients a regular ECG monitoring could be useful to detect disease progression.

#### **Limitations**

The results of the present study should be interpreted in light of some limitations. Due to its retrospective nature, it is not immune to sources of biases.

The study involved tertiary referral centres for cardiomyopathies management, potentially leading to selection and/or referral bias in patients characteristics.

Moreover, site-based bias in the scoring of CMR findings cannot be ruled out. Yet, the absence of a core lab for CMR assessment prevented us from performing a deeper LV scar evaluations, such as the total scar mass or border zone mass analysis.

Similarly to previous investigations, our study presents a certain degree of heterogeneity in the genetic next-generation sequencing panels, reflecting both differences between centres and changes in the knowledge of genetics overtime. However, all patients underwent an extensive gene panel analysis, including not only desmosomal genes but also other genes known to be associated with a dilated/arrhythmogenic phenotype.

Finally, due to the limited sample size the study might be underpowered to detect other significant relationships with the endpoint. For example, patients with only basal LV LGE did not experience any LAEs during the study follow-up, whereas those with any LV apical involvement showed higher rate of the study endpoint, although not statistically significant. Moreover, the limited cohort size hindered us from conducting sub-group analysis that included the 3 study risk factors independently. Yet, although we used a restrictive approach for variable selection, due to the relative low rate of events in our study the risk of model overfitting cannot be ruled out. However, to our knowledge, no previous data were available regarding risk stratification among patients with the LV ring-like scar phenotype.

### **Conclusions**

Left ventricular ring-like scar represents a CMR-based feature common to different genetic substrates, which includes several clinical presentations and a broad spectrum of phenotypes. In this study, the LV ring-like scar was associated with a high rate of malignant arrhythmias events, especially in the presence of anterior Q waves, QRS enlargement, and increased LVEDVi, whereas a normal ECG seemed to identify those at lower risk of arrhythmic events. On the other hand, LVEF and other commonly used risk factors did not add relevant prognostic information.

## **Supplementary material**

[Supplementary material](http://academic.oup.com/eurjpc/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/eurjpc/zwae353#supplementary-data) is available at *European Journal of Preventive Cardiology*.

### **Acknowledgements**

The authors acknowledge Silvia Castelletti for her contribution in the data set compilation.

### **Author contribution**

V.P., M.G., E.B., F.P., and R.D. contributed to the conception and design of the work. V.P., A.D.L., G.T., M.T., M.R.C., A.R.M., C.T., and J.B.A. contributed <span id="page-10-0"></span>to the acquisition of data for the work. N.T. and E.N. contributed to the interpretation and analysis of results. V.P. and M.G. drafted the manuscript. L.R.L., F.P., L.L., N.G., L.C., A.G., M.B., C.A., M.M., I.O., G.S., P.M.E., and E.B. critically revised the manuscript. All gave final approval and agree to be accountable for all aspects of work ensuring integrity and accuracy.

#### **Funding**

The work reported in this publication was funded by the Italian Ministry of Health, RC-2024-2789983 project.

**Conflict of interest:** none declared.

#### **Data availability**

The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

#### **References**

- [1.](#page-1-0) Scott PA, Rosengarten JA, Curzen NP, Morgan JM. Late gadolinium enhancement cardiac magnetic resonance imaging for the prediction of ventricular tachyarrhythmic events: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2013;**15**:1019–1027.
- [2.](#page-1-0) Kuruvilla S, Adenaw N, Katwal AB, Lipinski MJ, Kramer CM, Salerno M. Late gadolinium enhancement on cardiac magnetic resonance predicts adverse cardiovascular outcomes in nonischemic cardiomyopathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2014;**7**:250–258.
- [3.](#page-1-0) Di Marco A, Anguera I, Schmitt M, Klem I, Neilan T, White JA, *et al.* Late gadolinium enhancement and the risk for ventricular arrhythmias or sudden death in dilated cardiomyopathy: systematic review and meta-analysis. *JACC Heart Fail* 2017;**5**:28–38.
- [4.](#page-1-0) Becker MAJ, Cornel JH, van de Ven PM, van Rossum AC, Allaart CP, Germans T. The prognostic value of late gadolinium-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy: a review and meta-analysis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2018;**11**:1274–1284.
- [5.](#page-1-1) Augusto JB, Eiros R, Nakou E, Moura-Ferreira S, Treibel TA, Captur G, *et al.* Dilated cardiomyopathy and arrhythmogenic left ventricular cardiomyopathy: a comprehensive genotype-imaging phenotype study. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2020;**21**:326–336.
- [6.](#page-2-0) Segura-Rodríguez D, Bermúdez-Jiménez FJ, Carriel V, López-Fernández S, González-Molina M, Oyonarte Ramírez JM, *et al.* Myocardial fibrosis in arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy: a genotype-phenotype correlation study. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2020;**21**:378–386.
- [7.](#page-2-1) Corrado D, Anastasakis A, Basso C, Bauce B, Blomström-Lundqvist C, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, *et al.* Proposed diagnostic criteria for arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy: European Task Force consensus report. *Int J Cardiol* 2024;**395**:131447.
- [8.](#page-2-2) Chen W, Qian W, Zhang X, Li D, Qian Z, Xu H, *et al.* Ring-like late gadolinium enhancement for predicting ventricular tachyarrhythmias in non-ischaemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2021;**22**:1130–1138.
- [9.](#page-2-2) Muser D, Nucifora G, Pieroni M, Castro SA, Casado Arroyo R, Maeda S, *et al.*  Prognostic value of nonischemic ringlike left ventricular scar in patients with apparently idiopathic nonsustained ventricular arrhythmias. *Circulation* 2021;**143**:1359–1373.
- [10](#page-2-3). Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, *et al.* Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet Med* 2015;**17**:405–424.
- [11](#page-2-4). Vrints C, Andreotti F, Koskinas KC, Rossello X, Adamo M, Ainslie J, *et al.* 2024 ESC guidelines for the management of chronic coronary syndromes: developed by the task force for the management of chronic coronary syndromes of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) endorsed by the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). *Eur Heart J* 2024;**45**:3415–3537.
- [12](#page-2-5). Marcus FI, McKenna WJ, Sherrill D, Basso C, Bauce B, Bluemke DA, *et al.* Diagnosis of arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia. *Circulation* 2010;**121**: 1533–1541.
- [13](#page-2-6). Surawicz B, Childers R, Deal BJ, Gettes LS. AHA/ACCF/HRS recommendations for the standardization and interpretation of the electrocardiogram. *Circulation* 2009;**119**: e241–e250.
- [14](#page-2-7). Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, Afilalo J, Armstrong A, Ernande L, *et al.*  Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2015;**16**:233–270.
- [15](#page-2-7). Arbelo E, Protonotarios A, Gimeno JR, Arbustini E, Barriales-Villa R, Basso C, *et al.* 2023 ESC guidelines for the management of cardiomyopathies. *Eur Heart J* 2023;**44**: 3503–3626.
- [16](#page-2-8). Kramer CM, Barkhausen I, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, Flamm SD, Kim RJ, Nagel E, Standardized cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) protocols: 2020 update. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2020;**22**:17.
- [17](#page-2-9). Cerqueira MD, Weissman NJ, Dilsizian V, Jacobs AK, Kaul S, Laskey WK, *et al.*  Standardized myocardial sementation and nomenclature for tomographic imaging of the heart: a statement for healthcare professionals from the Cardiac Imaging Committee of the Council on Clinical Cardiology of the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2002;**105**:539–542.
- [18](#page-6-1). Alba AC, Gaztañaga J, Foroutan F, Thavendiranathan P, Merlo M, Alonso-Rodriguez D, *et al.* Prognostic value of late gadolinium enhancement for the prediction of cardiovascular outcomes in dilated cardiomyopathy: an international, multi-institutional study of the MINICOR group. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2020;**13**:e010105.
- [19](#page-6-2). Halliday BP, Gulati A, Ali A, Guha K, Newsome S, Arzanauskaite M, *et al.* Association between midwall late gadolinium enhancement and sudden cardiac death in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy and mild and moderate left ventricular systolic dysfunction. *Circulation* 2017;**135**:2106–2115.
- [20](#page-8-1). Finocchiaro G, Merlo M, Sheikh N, De Angelis G, Papadakis M, Olivotto I, *et al.* The electrocardiogram in the diagnosis and management of patients with dilated cardiomyopathy. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2020;**22**:1097–1107.
- [21](#page-8-2). Holmström L, Haukilahti A, Vähätalo J, Kenttä T, Appel H, Kiviniemi A, *et al.*  Electrocardiographic associations with myocardial fibrosis among sudden cardiac death victims. *Heart* 2020;**106**:1001–1006.
- [22](#page-8-3). Pelli A, Juhani Junttila M, Kenttä TV, Schlögl S, Zabel M, Malik M, *et al.* Q waves are the strongest electrocardiographic variable associated with primary prophylactic implantable cardioverter-defibrillator benefit: a prospective multicentre study. *Europace* 2022; **24**:774–783.
- [23](#page-8-4). Kayvanpour E, Sammani A, Sedaghat-Hamedani F, Lehmann DH, Broezel A, Koelemenoglu J, *et al.* A novel risk model for predicting potentially life-threatening arrhythmias in non-ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM-SVA risk). *Int J Cardiol*  2021;**339**:75–82.
- [24](#page-8-4). Marume K, Noguchi T, Tateishi E, Morita Y, Kamakura T, Ishibashi K, *et al.* Mortality and sudden cardiac death risk stratification using the noninvasive combination of wide QRS duration and late gadolinium enhancement in idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2018;**11**:1–17.
- [25](#page-8-5). Guaricci AI, Masci PG, Muscogiuri G, Guglielmo M, Baggiano A, Fusini L, *et al.* Cardiac magnetic resonance for prophylactic implantable-cardioverter defibrillator therapy in non-ischaemic dilated cardiomyopathy: an international registry. *Europace* 2021;**23**: 1072–1083.
- [26](#page-8-6). Konstam MA, Kramer DG, Patel AR, Maron MS, Udelson JE. Left ventricular remodeling in heart failure: current concepts in clinical significance and assessment. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2011;**4**:98–108.
- [27](#page-8-6). Balaban G, Halliday BP, Hammersley D, Rinaldi CA, Prasad SK, Bishop MJ, *et al.* Left ventricular shape predicts arrhythmic risk in fibrotic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Europace*  2022;**24**:1137–1147.
- [28](#page-8-7). Klem I, Klein M, Khan M, Yang EY, Nabi F, Ivanov A, *et al.* Relationship of LVEF and myocardial scar to long-term mortality risk and mode of death in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* 2021;**143**:1343–1358.
- [29](#page-8-8). Ortiz-Genga MF, Cuenca S, Dal Ferro M, Zorio E, Salgado-Aranda R, Climent V, *et al.*  Truncating FLNC mutations are associated with high-risk dilated and arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathies. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2016;**68**:2440–2451.
- [30](#page-8-8). Gigli M, Stolfo D, Graw SL, Merlo M, Gregorio C, Nee Chen S, *et al.* Phenotypic expression, natural history, and risk stratification of cardiomyopathy caused by filamin C truncating variants. *Circulation* 2021;**144**:1600–1611.
- [31](#page-8-9). Smith ED, Lakdawala NK, Papoutsidakis N, Aubert G, Mazzanti A, McCanta AC, *et al.*  Desmoplakin cardiomyopathy, a fibrotic and inflammatory form of cardiomyopathy distinct from typical dilated or arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* 2020;**141**:1872–1884.
- [32](#page-8-9). Akhtar MM, Lorenzini M, Pavlou M, Ochoa JP, O'Mahony C, Restrepo-Cordoba MA, *et al.* Association of left ventricular systolic dysfunction among carriers of truncating variants in filamin C with frequent ventricular arrhythmia and end-stage heart failure. *JAMA Cardiol* 2021;**6**:891–901.
- [33](#page-9-1). Merlo M, Zaffalon D, Stolfo D, Altinier A, Barbati G, Zecchin M, *et al.* ECG in dilated cardiomyopathy: specific findings and long-term prognostic significance. *J Cardiovasc Med* 2019;**20**:450–458.
- [34](#page-9-1). Brunetti G, Graziano F, Cavigli L, Cipriani A, D'Ascenzi F, Bauce B, *et al.* Reproducibility of ventricular arrhythmias at exercise testing for prediction of non-ischaemic left ventricular scar in athletes. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2023;**30**:107–116.