Free groups with involution satisfying a *-group identity*

Gregory T. Lee, Sudarshan K. Sehgal and Ernesto Spinelli December 24, 2020

Abstract

Let G be a nonabelian free group with involution *. In the present note, we show that G satisfies a *-group identity if and only if * is the classical involution, given by $g^* = g^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$.

MSC: 20E05.

Keywords: Free group, involution, group identity.

1 Introduction

Let G be a group. We say that G satisfies a group identity if there exists a nontrivial word $w(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ in the free group $\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots \rangle$ such that $w(g_1, \ldots, g_n) = 1$ for all $g_i \in G$. For instance, an abelian group satisfies the identity $x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}x_1x_2 = 1$, whereas a nonabelian free group cannot satisfy a group identity.

Recall that an involution on G is a function $*: G \to G$ satisfying $(gh)^* = h^*g^*$ and $(g^*)^* = g$ for all $g, h \in G$. One example that is always present is the classical involution, given by $g^* = g^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$. The free group $\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots \rangle$ has an involution given by $x_1^* = x_2, x_3^* = x_4$, and so on. Renumbering, we obtain the free group with involution, $\langle x_1, x_1^*, x_2, x_2^*, \ldots \rangle$. We say that a group G with involution satisfies a *-group identity (or *-GI)

^{*}This work was supported in part by NSERC of Canada. The paper was written while the first named author was visiting the University of Alberta, and he thanks the members of the mathematics department for their warm hospitality.

if there exists a nontrivial word $w(x_1, x_1^*, \ldots, x_n, x_n^*) \in \langle x_1, x_1^*, \ldots \rangle$ such that $w(g_1, g_1^*, \ldots, g_n, g_n^*) = 1$ for all $g_i \in G$.

Inspired by the work of Amitsur [1], where it was shown that if a ring with involution satisfies a *-polynomial identity, then it satisfies a polynomial identity, Giambruno, Polcino Milies and Sehgal [3] looked at the group ring FG of a torsion group with involution G over an infinite field F. They demonstrated that if the unit group of FG satisfies a *-GI (under the involution linearly extended from the one on G), then the symmetric units of FG satisfy a group identity. Here, an element g is said to be symmetric if $g^* = g$. This does not, in general, imply that the entire unit group satisfies a group identity.

Let us now consider the case of a nonabelian free group G with involution. As the composition of two involutions is an automorphism, and every automorphism of G commutes with the classical involution, we see that the involutions of G are found by composing the classical involution with an automorphism of order at most 2. For example, if $G = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle$, then we can obtain an automorphism σ of order 2 by letting $\sigma(x_1) = x_1$, $\sigma(x_2) = x_2^{-1}$, $\sigma(x_3) = x_4$ and $\sigma(x_4) = x_3$. Composing with the classical involution, we get $x_1^* = x_1^{-1}$, $x_2^* = x_2$, $x_3^* = x_4^{-1}$ and $x_4^* = x_3^{-1}$. Then, for instance, $(x_1x_3)^* = x_4^{-1}x_1^{-1}$. In fact, Dyer and Scott [2, Theorem 3] have classified the automorphisms of prime order of a free group.

If the involution on G is classical, then it is immediately obvious that G satisfies the *-GI $x_1x_1^*$. Our purpose here is to demonstrate that the classical involution is rather special in that sense, for if the involution is anything else, then G will not satisfy any *-GI at all. In analogy to the main theorem of [3], we obtain the following result.

Theorem. Let G be a nonabelian free group having an involution *. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. G satisfies a *-GI,
- 2. the symmetric elements of G satisfy a group identity, and
- 3. * is the classical involution.

2 Proof of the theorem

Throughout, let G be a nonabelian free group with involution *.

Lemma 1. If G has noncommuting symmetric elements a and b, then G does not satisfy a *-GI.

Proof. We may as well let $G = \langle a, b \rangle$, which is a free group of rank 2. If G satisfies a *-GI, then by [3, Lemma 1], it satisfies one of the form $w(x, x^*) = 1$. Notice that $(ab)^* = ba$, $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1}$ and $((ab)^*)^{-1} = a^{-1}b^{-1}$. Letting x = ab, we note that no cancellation is possible, since x and x^{-1} do not occur together in w, and neither do x^* and $(x^*)^{-1}$. Therefore, $w(ab, (ab)^*) \neq 1$. \square

Lemma 2. If G has noncommuting elements a and b satisfying $a^* = a$, $b^* = b^{-1}$, then G does not satisfy a *-GI.

Proof. We can assume that $G = \langle a, b \rangle$, a free group of rank 2. Then we note that a and bab^{-1} are symmetric and do not commute. Apply Lemma 1. \square

Lemma 3. Suppose that * is not the classical involution. If x is in any free generating set of G, and $x^* = x^{-1}$, then G does not satisfy a * -GI.

Proof. As * cannot act as the classical involution upon the entire free generating set, let y be another generator such that $y^* \neq y^{-1}$. If x and yy^* do not commute, then Lemma 2 finishes the proof. Therefore, assume that they do commute. Then, since x is part of the generating set, $yy^* = x^i$, for some integer i. However, * fixes yy^* and inverts x^i . Therefore, $yy^* = 1$, which is impossible.

Let us now prove the main result.

Proof of Theorem. If * is the classical involution, then since G is torsion-free, its only symmetric element is the identity. Therefore, in this case, the symmetric elements of G satisfy every group identity, so (3) implies (2). To show that (2) implies (1), we note that if the symmetric elements satisfy $w(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 1$, then G satisfies $w(x_1x_1^*, \ldots, x_nx_n^*) = 1$. Thus, it remains only to show that (1) implies (3). To this end, suppose that G satisfies a *-GI, but * is not the classical involution.

Taking any element x of a free generating set, Lemma 3 tells us that $x^* \neq x^{-1}$. Let y be any element of G that does not centralize xx^* . If xx^* and yy^* do not commute, then Lemma 1 finishes the proof, so assume that they do commute. Then there exists $z \in G$ such that $xx^* = z^m$ and $yy^* = z^n$, for some integers m and n. By choosing z judiciously, we may assume that m and n are relatively prime. Then, since z^m and z^n are symmetric, so is

z. Furthermore, $y^* = y^{-1}z^n$. Therefore, the group $\langle y, z \rangle$ is *-invariant. If y commutes with z, then it commutes with $z^m = xx^*$, giving us a contradiction. Recalling that z is symmetric, we may therefore assume that $G = \langle y, z \rangle$, a free group of rank 2.

If z and $y^2(y^2)^*$ do not commute, then by Lemma 1, we are done, so assume that they commute. As z is part of the free generating set, $y^2(y^2)^* = z^i$, for some integer i. But also,

$$y^{2}(y^{*})^{2} = y(yy^{*})y^{-1}(yy^{*}) = yz^{n}y^{-1}z^{n}.$$

Therefore, $yz^ny^{-1}z^{n-i}=1$. As y and z are free generators, this can only happen if n=0. But in this case, $yy^*=z^0=1$, hence $y^*=y^{-1}$. Lemma 3 completes the proof.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank the referee for providing several suggestions to improve our manuscript.

References

- [1] S.A. Amitsur, *Identities in rings with involution*, Israel J. Math. **7** (1969), 63–68.
- [2] J.L. Dyer, G.P. Scott, *Periodic automorphisms of free groups*, Comm. Algebra **3** (1975), 195–201.
- [3] A. Giambruno, C. Polcino Milies, S.K. Sehgal, Star-group identities and groups of units, Arch. Math. 95 (2010), 501–508.

Gregory T. Lee, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Lakehead University, Thunder Bay, Ontario P7B 5E1, Canada glee@lakeheadu.ca

Sudarshan K. Sehgal, Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2G1, Canada s.sehgal@ualberta.ca

Ernesto Spinelli, Dipartimento di Matematica "G. Castelnuovo", Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza", P.le Aldo Moro n.5 00185-ROME, Italy spinelli@mat.uniroma1.it