

# Spatial Modulation of Vibrational and Luminescence Properties of Monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> Using a GaAs Nanowire Array

Akshay Balgarkashi, Valerio Piazza, Jakub Jasiński, Riccardo Frisenda, Alessandro Surrente, Michał Baranowski, Mirjana Dimitrievska, Didem Dede, Wonjong Kim, Lucas Güniat, Jean-Baptiste Leran, Andres Castellanos-Gomez, Paulina Plochcka and Anna Fontcuberta i Morral, *Member, IEEE*

**Abstract**—The integration of transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) with non-planar substrates such as nanopillars provides a way to spatially modify the optical properties mainly through the localized strain. Similar studies to date have utilized insulating SiO<sub>2</sub> nanopillars. Here, we combine monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> with free standing GaAs nanowires (NWs), in views of coupling their semiconducting properties. We find that monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> exhibits three different configurations: pierced, wrapped and tent-like. We demonstrate how to identify the configurations by optical microscopy and elucidate the impact on the vibrational and luminescence characteristics by confocal spectroscopy mapping. In particular, we highlight the increase of intensity and shift due to the photonic properties of nanowires and increase in dielectric screening associated with the GaAs NW. This work signifies the first step towards the use of vertical III-V NW arrays as a versatile platform for spatially engineering the optical properties of TMDs.

**Index Terms**—nanowires, photoluminescence, Raman spectroscopy, transition-metal dichalcogenides.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Two-dimensional materials have emerged as a promising new platform for optoelectronic applications such as transistors [1], solar cells [2], detectors [3] and single photon emitters [4]–[6]. Monolayer (ML) transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), in particular, show interesting optical, electronic and mechanical properties, including direct bandgap [7], large exciton binding energies [8] and spin and

valley-selective optical transitions [9]. The ease of various transfer methods [10] has enabled integration with a high variety of substrates, including non-planar surfaces [5], [11]–[15].

The attributes of the interface with the substrate exert a direct influence on the ML-TMD characteristics, due to the monolayer character. For example, non-planar substrates spatially modulate the strain. This modulation can be exercised using different nanostructures such as nanoparticles and nanopillar/NWs [12], [14], [15]. In addition, Fermi level alignment with the substrate alters the carrier concentration in TMDs through charge exchange [16]. This provides the ability to modulate the carrier concentration in TMDs by changing the substrate characteristics.

The integration of ML-TMDs with patterned non-planar substrates constitutes an appealing platform to engineer ML-TMDs. In particular, it has been shown that nanopillars induce a localized strain, resulting into a local change of the band structure. This can either induce exciton funneling at low temperatures [17] or efficient exciton to trion conversion at room temperature [18] in the direction of the nanopillar tip, where the strain is maximum. This enables efficient charge collection, desirable for bright single photon emission. Following this strategy, nanopillars were used to controllably create single photon emitters in ML-TMDs such as WSe<sub>2</sub> [5], [13]. To the best of our knowledge, most works have used insulating SiO<sub>2</sub> nanopillars. The SiO<sub>2</sub> is not ideal in this

Manuscript received November 29, 2021. (Write the date on which you submitted your paper for review.)

Authors thank funding from SNSF via projects 196948. This work is part of a project that has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 765075, project LIMQUET. ACG acknowledges funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement no. 755655, ERC-StG 2017 project 2D-TOPSENSE). M.B. appreciates the support from National Science Centre Poland within the SONATA BIS program (Grant No. 2020/38/E/ST3/00194).

Akshay Balgarkashi, Valerio Piazza, Mirjana Dimitrievska, Didem Dede, Lucas Güniat and Jean-Baptiste Leran and Anna Fontcuberta i Morral are with Laboratory of Semiconductor Materials, Institute of Materials, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne 1015, Switzerland (email: [akshay.balgarkashi@epfl.ch](mailto:akshay.balgarkashi@epfl.ch); [valerio.piazza@epfl.ch](mailto:valerio.piazza@epfl.ch); [mirjana.dimitrievska@epfl.ch](mailto:mirjana.dimitrievska@epfl.ch); [didem.dede@epfl.ch](mailto:didem.dede@epfl.ch); [lucas.guniat@epfl.ch](mailto:lucas.guniat@epfl.ch); [jean-baptiste.leran@epfl.ch](mailto:jean-baptiste.leran@epfl.ch)). Anna Fontcuberta i Morral is also with the

Institute of Physics, EPFL, Lausanne 1015, Switzerland (corresponding author; email: [anna.fontcuberta-morral@epfl.ch](mailto:anna.fontcuberta-morral@epfl.ch)). Wonjong Kim was with Laboratory of Semiconductor Materials, Institute of Materials, EPFL, Lausanne 1015, Switzerland. He is now with ASM International (email: [wonjong.kim@asm.com](mailto:wonjong.kim@asm.com)).

Jakub Jasinski, Alessandro Surrente and Michał Baranowski and Paulina Plochcka are with Department of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Fundamental Problems of Technology, Wrocław University of Science and Technology, 50-370, Wrocław, Poland (email: [jakub.jasinski@pwr.edu.pl](mailto:jakub.jasinski@pwr.edu.pl); [alessandro.surrente@pwr.edu.pl](mailto:alessandro.surrente@pwr.edu.pl); [michal.baranowski@pwr.edu.pl](mailto:michal.baranowski@pwr.edu.pl)). Paulina Plochcka is also with Laboratoire National des Champs Magnétiques Intenses, UPR 3228, CNRS-UGA-UPS-INSA, 31400 Toulouse, France (email: [paulina.plochcka@lncmi.cnrs.fr](mailto:paulina.plochcka@lncmi.cnrs.fr)).

Riccardo Frisenda and Andres Castellanos-Gomez are with Materials Science Factory, Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid (ICMM-CSIC), Madrid, E-28049 Spain (email: [riccardo.frisenda@csic.es](mailto:riccardo.frisenda@csic.es); [andres.castellanos@csic.es](mailto:andres.castellanos@csic.es)).

application since it contains trapped charges, which creates a fluctuating dielectric environment. This causes spectral and intensity fluctuations in the optical emission of the localized emitters [19]. Epitaxially grown III-V substrates, on the other hand, can provide a cleaner charge environment at the interface with ML-TMDs due to the absence




Fig. 1. Schematic (top) and corresponding SEM image (bottom) of a GaAs NW array (a) after growth, (b) after Ga droplet removal and (c) after MoS<sub>2</sub> flake stamping

of trapped charges [19]. In the form of nanowire (NW) arrays, III-V substrates can be used to create localized strain in TMDs. Additionally, the aspect ratio and pitch of the NW arrays can be modulated to systematically vary the strain. In addition, doping of III-V NWs provides an additional path towards the modification of the potential landscape in the ML-TMD [20], [21].

In this work, we combine ML-MoS<sub>2</sub> with GaAs NWs on silicon. By performing optical and atomic force microscopy maps on the same regions we identify three different configurations that the ML-MoS<sub>2</sub> adopts. We then acquire Raman and photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopy maps to elucidate the effect of NWs on the properties of the ML-MoS<sub>2</sub>.

## II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We start by providing an overview of the studied system. Fig. 1 shows a schematic drawing and the corresponding scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of a GaAs NW array at each stage of the sample preparation. GaAs NW arrays were grown by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) on Si substrates, following [22], [23]. Fig. 1(a) corresponds to the NW array after growth. The NWs are arranged in a square lattice of 1.6 μm side. The measured diameter and height of the NWs are ~55 nm and 300 nm respectively. The inset highlights a representative GaAs NW with Ga droplet on top. Prior to flake transfer, the Ga droplets were etched away in 37% HCl solution (fig. 1(b)). We feature a representative single NW in the inset of fig. 1(b) where the Ga droplet is clearly absent. The GaAs NWs were exposed to atmospheric environment less than 2 days prior to the ML transfer. Based on previous publications on GaAs epilayer, we expect formation of ultra-thin GaOx (1 nm around) on the lateral surface [24]. ML MoS<sub>2</sub> flake was then transferred on the GaAs NW array. Fig. 1(c) depicts the SEM image of the NW array after transfer of a ML MoS<sub>2</sub>. The inset shows a single NW with a flake on top. In this particular case, the flake is suspended

on the NW tip forming a tent-like structure. More details on NW growth and flake transfer can be found in the Methods section. Monolayers were identified from the optical contrast and from the optical transmission of the flake prior to transfer and confirmed by Raman spectroscopy. The frequency difference between the in-plane and out-of-plane Raman peaks for a ML was found to be 20 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Supplementary information fig. SI-1) in agreement with previous studies [25].

### A. Configuration Identification

We turn now to the identification of the configurations adopted by the ML-MoS<sub>2</sub> on the NWs. Fig. 2(a) shows an overview bright-field optical image of the monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> flake on NWs. A magnified optical image from the bottom left corner of the array is shown in fig. 2(b), which is marked by a black dashed square in (a). The dotted lines in fig. 2(b) mark the edge of the NW array. Three different optical contrasts were identified. We have highlighted the three representative examples with colored dashed lines and further magnified them in the inset of fig. 2(b). We label them type-I, II and III. They are respectively characterized by a half dark/bright, full bright and full dark spot.




Fig. 2. (a) Bright-field optical image of the MoS<sub>2</sub> flake after transfer to GaAs NW array. (b) Magnified optical image from the bottom left corner of the NW array as indicated in (a). The insets show magnified views of NWs with three different types of optical contrast labeled as type-I, II and III. (c) A 3-D AFM image from the area denoted by yellow box in (b). The dotted rectangles denote the three different configurations of the flake on NWs. 3-D AFM and SEM images, respectively, of (d)-(e) type-I and (f)-(g) type-II configurations as indicated by red and blue dotted rectangles in (c).

In order to understand the topology of the flake on the NW array, the sample was studied by atomic force microscopy (AFM) and SEM. Fig. 2(c) shows a three-dimensional view of the AFM image taken from the bottom left corner of the NW array identified with a yellow box. The AFM measurement shown in fig. 2(c) clearly reveals that the flake does not lie identically on all NWs. Type-I and II configurations appear spiky, while configuration III exhibits a shorter tent-like shape.

The latter corresponds to shorter NW structures that might have been broken during the transfer process. More details of type-III NWs can be found in Supplementary information fig. SI-2. A closer look on the type-I and type-II configurations is shown in figs. 2(d)-(g), represented by 3-D AFM and SEM images. For the type-I configuration, the flake is conformal to the NWs as evident in figs. 2(d) and (e). Moreover, the flake is disconnected from rest of the flake around the NW on most sides. The flake tends to wrap around the NW.

3-D AFM and SEM images of type I and II configurations are shown for direct comparison in figs. 2(d) and (f), and (e) and (g), respectively. The flake appears to be pierced by the NWs in the case of type-II configuration. This is evident in the SEM image of fig. 2(g). The pierced flake mostly lies close to the bottom of the NW. We observe a clear correlation between the optical contrast and the flake topology on a NW. The optical contrast found in the optical microscopy can be used to reliably identify the flake configuration on the NWs. Statistics on a small region of the array is provided in Supplementary Information fig. SI-3. Most of the NWs exhibit type-II (pierced) configuration while type-I and III constitute a small proportion of the NWs in the array. Out of 124 NWs, on average type-I and III constitute 10% each, while the rest are found to be type-II.

In the areas between the NWs, the flake lies in contact with the substrate. We think this is because of the large NW pitch, in contrast to the reduced NW diameter. In some regions, the flake exhibits ripple-like lines which may have been produced during the transfer process [11]. We also identify some regions of the flake in between the NWs which appear to be folded. Borders of folded regions may appear as faint black lines in the optical image in fig. 2(b). We think that folding may have occurred during the transfer process. For further confirmation, a representative SEM image of a folded region is provided in fig. SI-4. For future studies, we suggest to explore the transfer of wafer size monolayers in the attempt of improving the controllability of the ML-on-NW configurations throughout the array [26], [27].

configuration labeled as “folded” (points 3, 4 and 7), “tent-like” (points 11, 12, 13 and 14), “pierced” (points 1, 5, 9) and wrap-around (points 2, 6, 8 and 10). “ref” indicates a reference point on the ML outside the array. (c) and (d) show the Raman maps representing  $E_{2g}$  and  $A_{1g}$  peak positions acquired from the area depicted in the optical image. The black dashed lines in (a), (c) and (d) indicate edges of the NW array.

### B. Optical Spectroscopy

We now address the effect of the monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> configuration on the vibrational and luminescence properties. Several aspects may be of influence, such as strain and charge transfer/interface with the substrate [12], [28]. Raman spectroscopy is a non-destructive tool to study strain and electronic charge effects in 2-D materials [29], [30]. In particular, it is well-known that the in-plane Raman mode ( $E_{2g}$ ) of MoS<sub>2</sub> is sensitive to strain [31] while the out-of-plane Raman mode ( $A_{1g}$ ) is more responsive to variations in the carrier concentration [32].

Fig. 3 presents the Raman mapping results of the sample shown in Fig. 2. Fig. 3(a) corresponds to the optical image of the region investigated. The numbers correspond to representative points where the spectra were extracted. Fig. 3(b) displays these selected Raman spectra, which have been grouped according to their configuration. These were identified from the optical contrast as discussed in the previous section. The groups are labeled as “folded” (points 3, 4 and 7), type III “tent-like” (points 11, 12, 13 and 14), type II “pierced” (points 1, 5, 9) and type I wrap-around (points 2, 6, 8 and 10), respectively. As a reference, the Raman spectrum acquired from a point on the ML outside the NW array is also provided. This point is denoted as “ref” in fig. 3. Figs. 3(c) and (d) correspond to the maps of  $E_{2g}$  and  $A_{1g}$  Raman peak positions acquired from the same area as the optical image. The black dashed lines indicate the edges of the NW array.

We now compare each group of spectra with the reference. The symbols in each spectrum indicate the raw data and solid lines are the corresponding Lorentzian fits. Results of the fits have been listed in the Supplementary information Table-1. For the reference spectrum, the  $E_{2g}$  and  $A_{1g}$  peak positions are located at 385.9 and 406.4  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  respectively with a frequency difference,  $\Delta f \sim 20.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  compatible with a monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> [25]. Spectra from points 3, 4 and 7 corresponds to regions where the MoS<sub>2</sub> has been folded. The spectra exhibit a redshift of the  $E_{2g}$  mode ( $\sim 1.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) and a blueshift of the  $A_{1g}$  mode ( $\sim 1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). The frequency difference between the two modes at these points was found to be 22.5  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . Additionally, enhanced intensity of the Raman peaks is observed for this configuration, when compared to the reference. Both of these factors are consistent with a multilayer behavior [25], [33]. Such regions can also be identified in the Raman maps of fig. 3(c) and (d) with a blue and green texture which indicates an increase in  $\Delta f$ .

The second group of spectra represents a “tent-like” configuration. The Raman spectra at these points (11, 12, 13 and 14) are characterized by a downshift in both the  $E_{2g}$  and  $A_{1g}$  modes. The  $E_{2g}$  mode redshifts by 0.7  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . This is attributed to a tensile strain induced by the NW in the ML flake. In this configuration, the flake is supported by the NW and forms a tent. Such tent-like structures on SiO<sub>2</sub> nanopillars have




Fig. 3: (a) Optical image of region of the MoS<sub>2</sub> flake on NWs mapped in Raman measurements. (b) Raman spectra corresponding to the points indicated in the optical image in (a). The spectra are grouped according to the flake



been shown to induce a tensile strain in the monolayer [12]. In addition, the  $A_{1g}$  mode also redshifts by  $0.9 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . We attribute this to either an unintentional doping or charge transfer in the flake from the underlying substrate [34].

In the third group of spectra (1, 5 and 9), the flake has been “pierced” by the NWs. The Raman peak positions in spectra from points 1 and 5 are similar to the reference and do not show any considerable shift. Only in the case of point 9, a small redshift in both the Raman modes is observed. Similarly, for the fourth group of spectra (points 2, 6, 8 and 10) labels as “wrap-around,” the shift at each point is slightly different. For instance, points 2 and 10 are characterized by a redshift of the  $E_{2g}^1$  ( $\sim 1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) mode compared to the reference, whereas for points 6 and 8, the  $E_{2g}^1$  peak position is similar to the reference. In case of the  $A_{1g}$  mode, points 2 and 8 show a redshift ( $\sim 0.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) in comparison to the reference peak, while for points 6 and 10, no considerable shift in the  $A_{1g}$  peak position is observed. From the peak shifts observed above, the Raman spectra for “pierced” and “wrap-around” configurations do not show a clear trend. There is a point-to-point variation even within these two groups. This is in contrast to the “folded” and “tent-like” configurations which showed a clear multilayer behavior and redshift in both the Raman peaks, respectively. This is an interesting observation which we attribute to the fact that the “pierced” and “wrap-around” configurations are more disordered compared to the other two configurations. For example, when a flake is pierced by the NW, it can either be fully or partially pierced. A fully pierced flake will then lie flat on the substrate around the NW. For a partially pierced flake, the topography will be different from NW to NW depending on how the flake gets pierced.

We thus note that the strain profile in each case will be complex and can either be partially or fully relaxed implying non-uniform shifts in the  $E_{2g}^1$  peak. A similar reasoning can be made in case of the wrap-around configuration with variations in how the flake wraps around the NW. Likewise, the shifts in  $A_{1g}$  peak will also depend on how the flake interacts with the substrate. The flake is either in contact with the  $\text{SiO}_2$  substrate or GaAs NWs or both. This again implies non-uniform shifts in the  $A_{1g}$  peak. At this point, individual effects for these two configurations cannot be distinguished. Although variations in the Raman peaks, in general, provide a proof of strain and charge transfer induced due to coupling between the ML  $\text{MoS}_2$  and GaAs NWs.

We now turn to correlate the flake configuration with the luminescence properties using confocal PL spectroscopy. Fig. 4 presents the confocal PL mapping of the ML  $\text{MoS}_2$  on GaAs NWs. Fig. 4(a) shows an optical image of the region mapped in the PL measurements. Fig. 4(b) and (c) show the PL energy and intensity maps, respectively, corresponding to the area shown in (a). The dashed black lines indicate edges of the NW array. Fig. 4(d) shows the PL spectra extracted from the points indicated in figs. 4(a) and (b) and correspond to the points studied in the Raman measurements except for the reference. The reference point on the ML used for Raman spectral comparison (denoted as “ref (Raman)”) is close to the edge of the NW array. The PL emission peak from the ML shows a

blueshift as we approach the edges of the NW array. An additional reference point is taken far away from the array, denoted as “ref (PL).”




Fig. 4. (a) An optical image of the region mapped in the PL measurements. (b) and (c) show the PL energy and intensity maps, respectively, corresponding to the area shown in (a). The dashed black lines indicate edges of the NW array. (d) PL spectra extracted from the points indicated in (a) and (b), corresponding to the points used in the Raman measurements except the reference. A new reference point is taken for PL spectral comparison, denoted as “ref (PL).” (e) An intensity linescan across the cross-section indicated by a dashed yellow line in (c).

We now compare the PL spectra from  $\text{MoS}_2$  on NWs with the reference. As shown in fig. 4(d), the PL spectra are characterized by two main features: a dominant peak centered around 1.9 eV and a broad band in the range of 1.6-1.85 eV. The peak at 1.9 eV is attributed to excitonic emission in ML  $\text{MoS}_2$  [35]. The PL emission shows a blueshift of 10-15 meV throughout the whole nanowire area, i.e., independently of the configurations of the ML on top of the NWs, in comparison to the reference. The origin of this blueshift will be discussed in detail below. The broad emission band in the range of 1.6-1.85 eV is attributed to the L-band emission caused by the existence of passivated S-vacancies [36]. S-vacancies in exfoliated single layers of  $\text{MoS}_2$  are usually passivated by oxygen atoms which are present either as part of adsorbates or as substitutional atoms [32]. In our case, this band is observed in all PL spectra, without any changes between the ML  $\text{MoS}_2$  on NWs or reference.

The luminescence properties of monolayer 2-D materials can be affected due to (i) strain [37], (ii) charge transfer [16], (iii) defect passivation [38] and (iv) dielectric screening [39]. Below we make a systematic discussion on how these effects might contribute to the origin of the blue shift of 1.9 eV PL peak observed in our case.

**Strain and charge transfer:** We observe only a small shift of the  $E_{2g}^1$  and  $A_{1g}$  Raman modes in the ML  $\text{MoS}_2$  on NWs. This indicates a marginal effect of strain and doping on the PL

spectra of ML MoS<sub>2</sub> on NWs. However, considering that there is no systematic trend in the shift of the Raman peaks in the ML MoS<sub>2</sub> on NWs (fig. 3), it is unlikely that this is the main cause of the consistent blue shift of the 1.9 eV peak that is observed in ML MoS<sub>2</sub> on NWs.

**Defect passivation:** The physical adsorption of water and oxygen molecules at S-vacancies on MoS<sub>2</sub> may lead to passivation of defects and introduce p-type doping compared to ideal MoS<sub>2</sub> surface [40]. This leads to a trion to exciton conversion and could result in a blueshift of the PL peak. A blueshift of 40 meV is observed even for a thin layer of adsorbates and is typically followed by an enhancement in the PL intensity [41]. Considering that S-vacancies have already been passivated in our sample, based on the appearance of L-band [32] in PL spectra, and there is no change in the shape, intensity or position of the L-band between the reference and the ML MoS<sub>2</sub> on NWs, we can exclude this effect as a reason for the blueshift of the PL emission peak.

**Dielectric screening:** Engineering the surrounding dielectric environment can also modify the PL emission in ML-TMDs [39]. For example, the dielectric constant of the environment has a strong effect on the exciton binding energy [38]. PL blueshifts up to 40 meV were observed for the excitonic peaks by varying the effective dielectric constant from 2 to 33 by Lin *et. al.* [38]. Additionally, the trion/exciton intensity ratio can also be tuned by an order of magnitude. Fig. SI-6 (a) and (b) present the results of a Lorentzian peak fitting to the PL spectra of monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> on SiO<sub>2</sub> (reference) and GaAs, respectively. The two Lorentzians account for the neutral A-exciton peak and the trion peak. The trion to A-exciton intensity ratio extracted from the fitting is 1.27 and 0.45 for SiO<sub>2</sub> and GaAs, respectively. This induces an overall blueshift in the PL emission peak of 15 meV from 1.896 eV to 1.911 eV from SiO<sub>2</sub> to GaAs. Based on these observations, one could attribute the blueshift observed here to an enhanced dielectric screening of the Coulomb potential due to the high dielectric constant of GaAs ( $\epsilon = 12.9$ ) [42], which is almost four times that of SiO<sub>2</sub> ( $\epsilon = 3.9$ ) [43]. This is consistent with the prior work of Lin *et. al.* [38]. A further confirmation of this is provided by PL measurements on ML WSe<sub>2</sub> on SiO<sub>2</sub>, planar GaAs and GaAs NW substrates (Supplementary information fig. SI-5) where we also see a blueshift in the excitonic emission peak of ML WSe<sub>2</sub>. Considering that Lin *et. al.* [38] have used non-ionic liquids as the dielectric environment to avoid the effects of strain and doping, in our case, we need to take in account the effect of substrate in terms of strain and doping on the PL spectra. As discussed previously, observation of no systematic trend in shift of Raman peaks in ML MoS<sub>2</sub> on NWs indicates only a marginal effect of strain and doping, respectively. Thus, we believe the dielectric screening effect should be dominant in blueshift of the PL emission.

The PL intensity map in fig. 4(c) shows an overall increase in the emission intensity on the NWs compared to the planar region. To further illustrate this in a quantitative manner, fig. 4(e) provides an intensity linescan across the cross-section indicated by a dashed yellow line in (c). The three regions are denoted as no flake, off array and on array. The emission

intensity is normalized to the off-array part of the ML. No emission is observed in the absence of a ML. As we approach the ML flake, the emission intensity on the NW array is almost twice the emission intensity off array. We attribute this to the photonic effect of NWs which enhances the brightness around the NWs [44]–[46]. This photonic enhancement effect can be tuned further by optimizing the aspect ratio of the NWs [47].

### III. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have successfully demonstrated the combination of a monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> with a GaAs NW array. Detailed structural studies reveal different configurations of the flake on NWs. We evidence variations in the vibrational properties of the monolayer as an effect of strain and charge transfer due to the NWs. The luminescence shows a blueshift of the PL emission peak as a result of enhanced dielectric screening due to GaAs NWs. This provides a proof-of-concept to combine monolayer TMDs with III-V NWs and opens up possibilities to engineer the strain and enhance light extraction from monolayer TMDs.

### METHODS

#### GROWTH

Thermally oxidized Si substrates (15 nm SiO<sub>2</sub>) were patterned using e-beam lithography. The substrates were etched in a 1% HF solution to remove the native oxide within the nanoholes prior to loading into the MBE growth chamber. Ga droplets were selectively deposited into the nanoholes for 10 minutes. These droplets serve as catalysts for the growth of GaAs NWs. Nanowires are then grown by the vapor-liquid-solid (VLS) mechanism for 10 minutes under Ga and As<sub>4</sub> fluxes of  $1.4 \times 10^{-7}$  Torr and  $2 \times 10^{-6}$  Torr, respectively. This corresponds to a GaAs planar growth rate of 1 Å/s. The morphology of nanowires is studied using a Zeiss Merlin scanning electron microscope (SEM) and a Bruker FastScan atomic force microscope (AFM) in non-contact mode. The crystal structure of the nanowires is characterized predominantly by zincblende (ZB) phase. The nanowires luminesce around 1.5 eV at low temperature, which does not interfere with the signal investigated here. Details on the structure and optical properties can be found in a previous publication [48].

#### FLAKE TRANSFER

MoS<sub>2</sub> flakes were obtained by mechanical exfoliation from a natural molybdenite crystal from Moly Hill mine (Quebec, Canada) on a Gel-Film (WF x4 6.0 mil) supplied by Gel-Pak®. A monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> flake was deterministically transferred to a NW array substrate using an all-dry viscoelastic stamping process [49]. The flake exfoliated on a Gel-Film film is attached to a glass slide and the glass slide is used as a stamp. The stamp is first aligned with the NW array and then brought in contact using a Z-axis manipulation stage. Following this, a cotton bud is used to gently press the Gel-Film stamp onto the array.

## CHARACTERIZATION

For optical spectroscopy, the samples are mounted on the cold finger of a helium flow cryostat. The cryostat is bolted to computer controlled, motorized X-Y translation stages, which allow two-dimensional in-plane motion. The position of the stages is controlled with micrometer screws, either manually or by automatized stepper motors. Programmable automatic motors allow for mapping measurements, by scanning the sample surface step by step. The PL and Raman measurements were performed using either a CW solid state laser emitting at 405 nm or 532 nm. The excitation beam was focused on the sample by a 50x microscope objective with a numerical aperture of 0.55, giving a spot size of approximately 1  $\mu\text{m}$ . The excitation laser power was kept below 100  $\mu\text{W}$  to avoid heating effects. For PL mapping, the signal was detected in a confocal configuration to improve the spatial resolution. The emitted PL or Raman signal was collected through the same objective and redirected to a spectrometer equipped with a liquid nitrogen-cooled charge-coupled device camera.

Additional data is provided in the *Supplementary Information*.

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. Radisavljevic, A. Radenovic, J. Brivio, V. Giacometti, and A. Kis, "Single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> transistors," *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 147–150, Mar. 2011.
- [2] O. Lopez-Sanchez, D. Lembke, M. Kayci, A. Radenovic, and A. Kis, "Ultrasensitive photodetectors based on monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>," *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 497–501, Jul. 2013.
- [3] M.-L. Tsai *et al.*, "Monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> Heterojunction Solar Cells," *ACS Nano*, vol. 8, no. 8, pp. 8317–8322, Aug. 2014.
- [4] A. Srivastava, M. Sidler, A. V. Allain, D. S. Lembke, A. Kis, and A. Imamoglu, "Optically active quantum dots in monolayer WSe<sub>2</sub>," *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 491–496, 2015.
- [5] C. Palacios-Berraquero *et al.*, "Large-scale quantum-emitter arrays in atomically thin semiconductors," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 8, no. May, pp. 1–6, Aug. 2017.
- [6] K. Barthelmi *et al.*, "Atomistic defects as single-photon emitters in atomically thin MoS<sub>2</sub>," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 117, no. 7, p. 070501, Aug. 2020.
- [7] A. Splendiani *et al.*, "Emerging photoluminescence in monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>," *Nano Lett.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 1271–1275, 2010.
- [8] A. Chernikov *et al.*, "Exciton Binding Energy and Nonhydrogenic Rydberg Series in Monolayer  $\text{MoS}_2$ ," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 113, no. 7, p. 076802, Aug. 2014.
- [9] J. R. Schaibley *et al.*, "Valleytronics in 2D materials," *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, vol. 1, no. 11, 2016.
- [10] R. Frisenda *et al.*, "Recent progress in the assembly of nanodevices and van der Waals heterostructures by deterministic placement of 2D materials," *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 53–68, 2018.
- [11] A. Reserbat-Plantey *et al.*, "Strain superlattices and macroscale suspension of graphene induced by corrugated substrates," *Nano Lett.*, vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 5044–5051, 2014.
- [12] J. Chaste *et al.*, "Intrinsic Properties of Suspended MoS<sub>2</sub> on SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si Pillar Arrays for Nanomechanics and Optics," *ACS Nano*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 3235–3242, Apr. 2018.
- [13] A. Branny, S. Kumar, R. Proux, and B. D. Gerardot, "Deterministic strain-induced arrays of quantum emitters in a two-dimensional semiconductor," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 8, no. May, pp. 1–7, 2017.
- [14] M. Vutukuru, H. Ardekani, Z. Chen, R. L. Wilmington, K. Gundogdu, and A. K. Swan, "Enhanced Dielectric Screening and Photoluminescence from Nanopillar-Strained MoS<sub>2</sub> Nanosheets: Implications for Strain Funneling in Optoelectronic Applications," *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, vol. 4, no. 8, pp. 8101–8107, 2021.
- [15] F. Dirnberger *et al.*, "Quasi-1D exciton channels in strain-engineered 2D materials," *Sci. Adv.*, vol. 7, no. 44, Oct. 2021.
- [16] M. Buscema, G. A. Steele, H. S. J. van der Zant, and A. Castellanos-Gomez, "The effect of the substrate on the Raman and photoluminescence emission of single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub>," *Nano Res.*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 1–11, Apr. 2014.
- [17] J. Feng, X. Qian, C.-W. W. Huang, and J. Li, "Strain-engineered artificial atom as a broad-spectrum solar energy funnel," *Nat. Photonics*, vol. 6, no. 12, pp. 866–872, Dec. 2012.
- [18] M. G. Harats, J. N. Kirchhof, M. Qiao, K. Greben, and K. I. Bolotin, "Dynamics and efficient conversion of excitons to trions in non-uniformly strained monolayer WS<sub>2</sub>," *Nat. Photonics*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 324–329, 2020.
- [19] O. Iff *et al.*, "Substrate engineering for high-quality emission of free and localized excitons from atomic monolayers in hybrid architectures," *Optica*, vol. 4, no. 6, p. 669, Jun. 2017.
- [20] L. Güniat, P. Caroff, and A. Fontcuberta I Morral, "Vapor Phase Growth of Semiconductor Nanowires: Key Developments and Open Questions," *Chem. Rev.*, vol. 119, no. 15, pp. 8958–8971, 2019.
- [21] W. Kim, L. Güniat, A. Fontcuberta I Morral, and V. Piazza, "Doping challenges and pathways to industrial scalability of III-V nanowire arrays," *Appl. Phys. Rev.*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2021.
- [22] J. Vukajlovic-Plestina *et al.*, "Engineering the Size Distributions of Ordered GaAs Nanowires on Silicon," *Nano Lett.*, vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 4101–4108, Jul. 2017.
- [23] J. Vukajlovic-Plestina *et al.*, "Fundamental aspects to localize self-catalyzed III-V nanowires on silicon," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 869, 2019.
- [24] F. Matteini, G. Tütüncüoğlu, H. Potts, F. Jabeen, and A. Fontcuberta i Morral, "Wetting of Ga on SiO<sub>x</sub> and Its Impact on GaAs Nanowire Growth," *Cryst. Growth Des.*, vol. 15, no. 7, pp. 3105–3109, Jul. 2015.
- [25] C. Lee, H. Yan, L. E. Brus, T. F. Heinz, J. Hone, and S. Ryu, "Anomalous lattice vibrations of single- and few-layer MoS<sub>2</sub>," *ACS Nano*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 2695–2700, May 2010.
- [26] M. A. Giambra *et al.*, "Wafer-Scale Integration of Graphene-Based Photonic Devices," *ACS Nano*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 3171–3187, Feb. 2021.
- [27] H. Yu *et al.*, "Wafer-Scale Growth and Transfer of Highly-Oriented Monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> Continuous Films," *ACS Nano*, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 12001–12007, Dec. 2017.
- [28] K.-K. Liu *et al.*, "Growth of Large-Area and Highly Crystalline MoS<sub>2</sub> Thin Layers on Insulating Substrates," *Nano Lett.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 1538–1544, Mar. 2012.
- [29] A. C. Ferrari, "Raman spectroscopy of graphene and graphite: Disorder, electron-phonon coupling, doping and nonadiabatic effects," *Solid State Commun.*, vol. 143, no. 1–2, pp. 47–57, Jul. 2007.
- [30] X. Zhang, X.-F. F. Qiao, W. Shi, J.-B. Bin Wu, D.-S. S. Jiang, and P.-H. H. Tan, "Phonon and Raman scattering of two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides from monolayer, multilayer to bulk material," *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, vol. 44, no. 9, pp. 2757–2785, 2015.
- [31] D. Lloyd *et al.*, "Band Gap Engineering with Ultralarge Biaxial Strains in Suspended Monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>," *Nano Lett.*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 5836–5841, Sep. 2016.
- [32] B. Chakraborty, A. Bera, D. V. S. Muthu, S. Bhowmick, U. V. Waghmare, and A. K. Sood, "Symmetry-dependent phonon renormalization in monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> transistor," *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 85, no. 16, p. 161403, Apr. 2012.
- [33] A. Molina-Sánchez and L. Wirtz, "Phonons in single-layer and few-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> and WS<sub>2</sub>," *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 84, no. 15, p. 155413, Oct. 2011.
- [34] T. L. Atallah *et al.*, "Electrostatic Screening of Charged Defects in Monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>," *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, vol. 8, no. 10, pp. 2148–2152, May 2017.
- [35] J. W. Christopher, B. B. Goldberg, and A. K. Swan, "Long tailed trions in monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>: Temperature dependent asymmetry and resulting red-shift of trion photoluminescence spectra," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2017.
- [36] E. Mitterreiter *et al.*, "The role of chalcogen vacancies for atomic defect emission in MoS<sub>2</sub>," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1–8,



- 2021.
- [37] H. J. Conley, B. Wang, J. I. Ziegler, R. F. Haglund, S. T. Pantelides, and K. I. Bolotin, "Bandgap engineering of strained monolayer and bilayer MoS<sub>2</sub>," *Nano Lett.*, vol. 13, no. 8, pp. 3626–3630, Aug. 2013.
- [38] Y. Lin *et al.*, "Dielectric screening of excitons and trions in single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub>," *Nano Lett.*, vol. 14, no. 10, pp. 5569–5576, 2014.
- [39] A. Raja *et al.*, "Coulomb engineering of the bandgap and excitons in two-dimensional materials," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 8, no. May, pp. 1–7, 2017.
- [40] H. Nan *et al.*, "Strong photoluminescence enhancement of MoS<sub>2</sub> through defect engineering and oxygen bonding," *ACS Nano*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 5738–5745, 2014.
- [41] W. Su, H. Dou, J. Li, D. Huo, N. Dai, and L. Yang, "Tuning photoluminescence of single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> using H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>," *RSC Adv.*, vol. 5, no. 101, pp. 82924–82929, 2015.
- [42] W. J. Moore and R. T. Holm, "Infrared dielectric constant of gallium arsenide," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 80, no. 12, pp. 6939–6942, 1996.
- [43] S. M. Sze and K. K. Ng, *Physics of Semiconductor Devices*. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2006.
- [44] Y. J. Noori *et al.*, "Photonic Crystals for Enhanced Light Extraction from 2D Materials," *ACS Photonics*, vol. 3, no. 12, pp. 2515–2520, Dec. 2016.
- [45] M. E. Reimer *et al.*, "Bright single-photon sources in bottom-up tailored nanowires," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 3, 2012.
- [46] M. Heiss *et al.*, "Self-assembled quantum dots in a nanowire system for quantum photonics," *Nat. Mater.*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 439–444, Feb. 2013.
- [47] I. Friedler, C. Sauvan, J. P. Hugonin, P. Lalanne, J. Claudon, and J. M. Gérard, "Solid-state single photon sources: the nanowire antenna," *Opt. Express*, vol. 17, no. 4, p. 2095, 2009.
- [48] A. Balgarkashi *et al.*, "Facet-driven formation of axial and radial In(Ga)As clusters in GaAs nanowires," *J. Opt.*, 2020.
- [49] A. Castellanos-Gomez *et al.*, "Deterministic transfer of two-dimensional materials by all-dry viscoelastic stamping," *2D Mater.*, vol. 1, no. 1, 2014.



integration with 2D materials.

**Akshay Balgarkashi** received the B.E. degree in Electronics Engineering from University of Mumbai, India in 2012 and M. Tech degree in electronics Engineering (Microelectronics) from Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, India in 2016. He is currently a PhD candidate in the department of Materials Science and Engineering at École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne 1015, Switzerland. His research interests are epitaxial growth and characterization of semiconductor nanostructures and



dimensional systems and device fabrication.

**Dr. Valerio Piazza** received the M.Sc. degree in Materials Engineering and Nanotechnology from Politecnico di Milano, Italy in 2015. He worked on nanoscale characterization of III-V nanowires at Université Paris Sud, France, where he obtained his Ph.D. in 2018. Winner of the Piaget Scientific Award 2020, he is currently postdoctoral researcher in the department of Materials Science of Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne. His current research includes the epitaxial growth of compound semiconductors, characterization of fundamental properties of low-



**Jakub Jasiński** is a PhD student at the Doctoral School at Wrocław University of Science and Technology in the field of Solid-state physics in the Quantum Electronics group led by Paulina Płochocka. He is realizing his PhD within a Preludium Bis project entitled: "Towards deterministic control of van der Waals heterostructures properties". As part of his doctoral work, he is doing research on fundamental properties of two-dimensional

Transition Metal Dichalcogenides using various optical spectroscopy techniques.



with transport at the nanoscale, electronic and optoelectronic properties of 2D materials and the physics of devices based on them.

**Dr. Riccardo Frisenda** received his B.Sc. degree and M. Sc. degree in Physics from La Sapienza University, Roma, Italy in 2011. He then moved to the Technical University of Delft, Delft, the Netherlands to study for his PhD in molecular electronics. After earning his PhD in 2016 he joined as a postdoc Andres Castellanos' research group located in Madrid, Spain, first in IMDEA Nanoscience and later in 2018 in ICMM-CSIC. His research interests deal



is currently Assistant Professor at the Wrocław University of Science and Technology, Poland. His current research is devoted to the investigation of the optical properties of layered materials and metal halide perovskites via optical spectroscopy, both at zero and very high magnetic fields.

**Dr. Alessandro Surrente** obtained his PhD in Physics in 2013 from École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland, where he worked on the epitaxial growth and optical properties of position-controlled semiconductor quantum dots. He has worked as a postdoctoral fellow at CNRS and he was awarded an individual Marie Skłodowska-Curie fellowship, which funded his stay at Sapienza University, Rome, Italy. He



expanding research fields of 2D materials and metal-halide perovskites.

**Dr. Michal Baranowski** has been holding a permanent position as an Assistant Professor in the Department of Experimental Physics at Wrocław University of Science and Technology since 2015. From 2016 to 2019, he was a visiting scientist at LNCMI-CNRS Toulouse, working with the head of the Quantum Electronics group Paulina Płochocka. His main scientific interest is optical spectroscopy of emerging semiconducting materials. In the last years his activity has been focused on the rapidly



EPFL. Her research is focused on discovering and optimizing functional materials for solar cells and solid-state battery applications.

**Dr. Mirjana Dimitrievska** is a materials scientist at the École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL). She completed her undergraduate and master studies at the University of Novi Sad in Serbia and obtained her doctoral degree in physics at the University of Barcelona, Spain. Afterwards, she moved to the United States where she was working as a research scientist at the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) and the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). In 2020, she moved to Switzerland and joined



characterization.

**Didem Dede** received her BSc degree in Metallurgical and Materials Engineering from Middle East Technical University in 2016, and MSc degree in Materials Science from National Nanotechnology Research Center at Bilkent University in 2018. She is currently a PhD candidate in the department of Materials Science and Engineering at École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL). Her research focusses on the epitaxial growth III-V horizontal nanowires, and their structural



**Dr. Wonjong Kim** received the B.S. degree in Department of Information Display from Kyung Hee University (South Korea) in 2014. He obtained a dual Master's degree in Department of Information Display from from Kyung Hee University (South Korea) and in Innovation Technologique: ingénierie et entrepreneuriat from Ecole Polytechnique (France) in 2017. He then moved to Lausanne where he obtained a PhD in Material Science and Engineering from École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (Switzerland) in 2020. He is

currently working at ASM International as a senior process engineer. His research interests are epitaxial deposition of Silicon, Silicon Germanium for applications in the areas of logic and memory.



Si. He obtained his PhD in 2021 after successfully presenting how III-V integration on Si can be done using vertical nanostructures.

**Dr. Lucas Güniat** obtained his Master's degree from EPFL in Materials Science and Engineering in 2016 after his master's thesis work on Ge nanowires in the McIntyre group at Stanford University. His interest in epitaxy and nanostructure fabrication lead him to start a PhD at EPFL in the Laboratory of Semiconductor Materials (LMSC - Prof. Anna Fontcuberta i Morral) on the kinetics of III-V semiconductor nanowire growth on



**Jean-Baptiste Leran** worked several years at Thales Alenia Space, Cannes, France in the field of satellite testing before obtaining a Master in Mechanical Engineering from Conservatoire National des Arts et Métiers, Aix-en-Provence/Paris, France. He moved to École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne 1015, Switzerland as Scientific Collaborator. He is a Molecular Beam Epitaxy expert.



**Dr. Andres Castellanos-Gomez** is a Tenured Scientist in the Spanish National Research Council (Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, CSIC). He explores novel 2D materials and studies their mechanical, electrical and optical properties with special interest on the application of these materials in nanomechanical and optoelectronic devices. He is author of ~150 articles in international peer review journals and 6 book chapters. He is the principal investigator of a prestigious ERC Starting Grant. Among other recognitions has been appointed Fellow of the International Association of Advanced Materials (IAAM) in 2020, has been included in the Highly Cited Researchers 2018, 2019 and 2020 lists of Clarivate/WOS and has been also recognized with the Young Researcher Award (experimental physics) of the Royal Physical Society of Spain (2016).

**Dr. Andres Castellanos-Gomez** is a Tenured Scientist in the Spanish National Research Council (Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, CSIC). He explores novel 2D materials and studies their mechanical, electrical and optical properties with special interest on the application of these materials in nanomechanical and optoelectronic devices. He is author



**Prof. Paulina Plochocka** is currently directrice de recherché de 2e classe (DR2) in Laboratoire National des Champs Magnétiques Intenses (LNCMI), CNRS in Toulouse and part time Professor at Department of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Fundamental Problems of Technology, Wrocław University of Science and Technology in Poland. Her career started at Warsaw University where she defended his Ph.D. After she worked as a postdoc in the Weizmann Institute where she studied the Quantum Hall effect. In 2006 she moved to LNCMI-CNRS Grenoble. In 2011 she created the Quantum Electronics group. The group investigates the properties of emerging layered semiconductors under extreme conditions of high magnetic field and low temperatures.

**Prof. Paulina Plochocka** is currently directrice de recherché de 2e classe (DR2) in Laboratoire National des Champs Magnétiques Intenses (LNCMI), CNRS in Toulouse and part time Professor at Department of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Fundamental Problems of Technology, Wrocław University of Science and Technology in Poland. Her career started at Warsaw University where she defended his Ph.D. After she worked as a postdoc in the Weizmann Institute where she



**Prof. Anna Fontcuberta i Morral**, member IEEE, PhD, Full Professor in Materials Science and Engineering and in Physics, Associate Vice-President for Centers and Platforms at EPFL. She is member of the EPFL-WISH foundation and former president of the foundation whose goal is to support female students on accomplishing their professional dreams. Anna studied physics at the University of Barcelona. She then moved to Paris where she obtained a PhD in Materials Science from Ecole Polytechnique (France). She performed a postdoc at CalTech with Prof. Harry Atwater, with whom she also co-founded the start-up company Aonex Technologies. After a brief period as CNRS researcher at Ecole Polytechnique, she moved to TU Munich (Walter Schottky Institut) as a group leader. She has been professor at EPFL since 2008. Among the awards she has received are the Marie Curie Excellence Grant, ERC Starting Grant, the SNSF-backup schemes Consolidator Grant and the EPS Emy Noether prize.

**Prof. Anna Fontcuberta i Morral**, member IEEE, PhD, Full Professor in Materials Science and Engineering and in Physics, Associate Vice-President for Centers and Platforms at EPFL. She is member of the EPFL-WISH foundation and former president of the foundation whose goal is to support female students on accomplishing their professional dreams.